

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT,
1030 15th Street NW, B255
Washington, DC 20005

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Defendant.

Case No. 26-cv-3409

COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff American Oversight brings this action against the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, seeking declaratory and injunctive relief to compel compliance with the requirements of FOIA.

2. On July 17, 2026, Secretary Markwayne Mullin stated during a nationally televised press conference that DHS had identified 250,000 noncitizens registered to vote in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Nevada.¹

¹ See Melissa Quinn, *What to Know About the Trump Administration's Claim that 250K Noncitizens are Registered to Vote in 4 States*, CBS News (July 18, 2026), <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/trump-markwayne-mullin-non-citizens-registered-voters-california-new-jersey-nevada-pennsylvania/>; Doina Chiacu & A.J. Vicens, *DHS Threatens States with Fines, Charges Over Election Measures*, Reuters (July 17, 2026), <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/us-dhs-chief-asks-four-states-check-voter-rolls-non-citizens-2026-07-17/>.

3. In furtherance of its mission to promote government transparency and accountability, American Oversight filed FOIA requests with DHS seeking records regarding the basis for Secretary Mullin's statement and talking points related to the statement.

4. Having received no responsive records nor a determination regarding its FOIA requests, American Oversight now brings this action against DHS under FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, seeking declaratory and injunctive relief to compel compliance with the requirements of FOIA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 2201, and 2202.

6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

7. Because Defendant has failed to comply with the applicable time-limit provisions of FOIA, American Oversight is deemed to have exhausted its administrative remedies pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and is now entitled to judicial action enjoining Defendant from continuing to withhold department or agency records and ordering the production of department or agency records improperly withheld.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff American Oversight is a nonpartisan, non-profit section 501(c)(3) organization primarily engaged in disseminating information to the public. American Oversight is committed to promoting transparency in government, educating the public about government activities, and ensuring the accountability of government officials. Through research and FOIA requests, American Oversight uses the information it gathers, and its analysis of it, to educate the

public about the activities and operations of the federal government through reports, published analyses, press releases, and other media. The organization is incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia.

9. Defendant DHS is a department of the executive branch of the U.S. government headquartered in Washington, DC, and an agency of the federal government within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1). DHS has possession, custody, and control of records that American Oversight seeks.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

10. On August 21, 2026, American Oversight submitted two FOIA requests to DHS seeking records with the potential to shed light on federal government activity related to Secretary Mullin's statement that 250,000 noncitizens were registered to vote in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Nevada.

Factual Basis Documents Request

11. On August 21, 2026, American Oversight submitted a request to DHS bearing internal American Oversight tracking number DHS-26-1595, seeking:

All records reflecting the information and/or documentation relied upon to determine that 250,000 noncitizens were registered to vote in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Nevada, as Secretary Mullin stated on July 14,² 2026.

American Oversight believes an agency employee familiar with the subject matter of this request is in the best position, with a reasonable amount of effort, to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but believes a reasonable search should include, at a minimum, the office of the Director, the Office of the Chief of Staff, the Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans, and the Office of Public Affairs.

Please provide all responsive records from May 1, 2026, through July 31, 2026.

² American Oversight's FOIA request referred to July 14 rather than July 17 in error. However, context, including citations, within the body of the FOIA request made it abundantly clear American Oversight was referring to Secretary Mullin's July 17 remarks.

12. A true and accurate copy of that FOIA request is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein.

13. On August 31, 2026, DHS acknowledged the request, assigned it tracking number 2026-HQFO-03646, and conditionally granted a fee waiver.

14. As of the date of this Complaint, American Oversight has received no further communications from DHS concerning this request.

Talking Points Request

15. On August 21, 2026, American Oversight submitted a request to DHS bearing internal American Oversight tracking number DHS-26-1596, seeking:

All talking points prepared by, provided to, or otherwise in the possession of your agency regarding Secretary Mullin's July 14,³ 2026 statement that 250,000 noncitizens were registered to vote in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Nevada.

American Oversight believes an agency employee familiar with the subject matter of this request is in the best position, with a reasonable amount of effort, to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but believes a reasonable search should include, at a minimum, the office of the Director, the Office of the Chief of Staff, the Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans, and the Office of Public Affairs.

Please provide all responsive records from June 1, 2026, through July 31, 2026.

16. A true and accurate copy of that FOIA request is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein.

17. On August 31, 2026, DHS acknowledged the request, assigned it tracking number 2026-HQFO-03647, and conditionally granted a fee waiver.

³ This FOIA request also referred to July 14 in error, but context made it clear Secretary Mullin's July 17 remarks were indicated.

18. As of the date of this Complaint, American Oversight has received no further communications from DHS concerning this request.

Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

19. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed to: (a) notify American Oversight of a final determination regarding American Oversight's FOIA requests, including the scope of responsive records Defendant intends to produce or withhold and the reasons for any withholdings; or (b) produce the requested records or demonstrate that the requested records are lawfully exempt from production.

20. Through Defendant's failure to respond to American Oversight's FOIA requests within the time period required by law, American Oversight has constructively exhausted its administrative remedies and seeks immediate judicial review.

COUNT I

Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552

Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches for Responsive Records

21. American Oversight repeats the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs and incorporates them as though fully set forth herein.

22. American Oversight properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.

23. Defendant has failed to promptly review agency records for the purpose of locating those records that are responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests.

24. Defendant's failure to conduct an adequate search for responsive records violates FOIA and applicable regulations.

25. Plaintiff American Oversight is therefore entitled to injunctive and declaratory relief requiring Defendant to promptly make reasonable efforts to search for records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests.

COUNT II
Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552
Wrongful Withholding of Non-Exempt Responsive Records

26. American Oversight repeats the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs and incorporates them as though fully set forth herein.

27. American Oversight properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.

28. Defendant is an agency subject to FOIA and must therefore release in response to a FOIA request any non-exempt records and provide a lawful reason for withholding any materials within no more than twenty (20) working days.

29. Defendant is wrongfully withholding non-exempt agency records requested by American Oversight by failing to produce non-exempt records responsive to its FOIA requests.

30. Defendant is wrongfully withholding non-exempt agency records requested by American Oversight by failing to segregate exempt information in otherwise non-exempt records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests.

31. Defendant's failure to provide all non-exempt responsive records violates FOIA and applicable regulations.

32. Plaintiff American Oversight is therefore entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief requiring Defendant to promptly produce all non-exempt records responsive to its FOIA requests and provide indexes justifying the withholding of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption.

REQUESTED RELIEF

WHEREFORE, American Oversight respectfully requests the Court to:

- (1) Order Defendant to conduct a search reasonably calculated to uncover all records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests identified in this complaint, submitted to DHS on August 21, 2026;
- (2) Order Defendant to produce, within twenty days of the Court's order, any and all non-exempt records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests and *Vaughn* indexes of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption;
- (3) Enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to American Oversight's FOIA requests;
- (4) Award American Oversight the costs of this proceeding, including reasonable attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and
- (5) Grant American Oversight such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 30, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Elizabeth Haddix

Elizabeth Haddix

D.C. Bar No. 90019750

AMERICAN OVERSIGHT

1030 15th Street NW, B255

Washington, DC 20005

(252) 359-7424

elizabeth.haddix@americanoversight.org

Counsel for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM



August 21, 2026

VIA ONLINE PORTAL

Department of Homeland Security
Privacy Office, Mail Stop 0655
2707 Martin Luther King Jr. Ave. SE
Washington, DC 20528
Via Online Portal

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

On July 14, 2026, Homeland Secretary Markwayne Mullin stated that 250,000 noncitizens were registered to vote in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Nevada.¹ American Oversight seeks records with the potential to share light on that claim.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your agency produce the following records within twenty business days:

All records reflecting the information and/or documentation relied upon to determine that 250,000 noncitizens were registered to vote in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Nevada, as Secretary Mullin stated on July 14, 2026.²

American Oversight believes an agency employee familiar with the subject matter of this request is in the best position, with a reasonable amount of effort, to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but believes a reasonable search should include, at a minimum, the office of the Director, the

¹ See Melissa Quinn, *What to Know About the Trump Administration's Claim that 250K Noncitizens are Registered to Vote in 4 States*, CBS News, July 18, 2026, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/trump-markwayne-mullin-non-citizens-registered-voters-california-new-jersey-nevada-pennsylvania/>.

² Press Release: *DHS Secretary Markwayne Mullin Sends Letters to Secretaries of State Warning About Non-Citizens on Voter Rolls*, Dep't of Homeland Sec., July 17, 2026, <https://www.dhs.gov/news/2026/07/17/dhs-secretary-markwayne-mullin-sends-letters-secretaries-state-warning-about-non->



Office of the Chief of Staff, the Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans, and the Office of Public Affairs.

Please provide all responsive records from May 1, 2026, through July 31, 2026.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency's regulations American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government."³ The public has a significant interest in how DHS determined 250,000 noncitizens were registered to vote in multiple states, as well as the people DHS identified as ineligible to vote.⁴ Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including the methods used to identify these voters and determine their citizenship status. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

This request "is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."⁵ In fact, as a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose, and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's commercial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and X (formerly Twitter).⁶

³ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁴ See, e.g., Doina Chiacu & A.J. Vicens, *DHS Threatens States with Fines, Charges Over Election Measures*, Reuters (July 17, 2026, 10:26 AM), <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/us-dhs-chief-asks-four-states-check-voter-rolls-non-citizens-2026-07-17/>; Ali Swenson & Collin Binkley, *Mullin Pushes States to Comply with Election Demands, Echoing Trump's Claims About Midterm Risks*, July 17, 2026, <https://www.ap.org/news-highlights/elections/2026/mullin-pushes-states-to-comply-with-election-demands-echoing-trumps-claims-about-midterm-risks/>.

⁵ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁶ American Oversight currently has approximately 16,000 followers on Facebook and 94,100 followers on X (formerly Twitter). American Oversight, Facebook,

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website.⁷ Examples reflecting this commitment include the posting of records related to the first Trump Administration's contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts;⁸ posting records and editorial content about the federal government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic;⁹ posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the first Trump administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;¹⁰ the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;¹¹ and posting records and analysis of federal officials' use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.¹²

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

<https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Aug. 19, 2026); American Oversight (@weareoversight), X (formerly Twitter), <https://x.com/weareoversight> (last visited Aug. 19, 2026).

⁷ See generally *Our Latest*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁸ *The Trump Administration's Contacts with Ukraine*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-contacts-with-ukraine>.

⁹ See generally *The Trump Administration's Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus>; see, e.g., *CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings>.

¹⁰ See generally *Audit the Wall*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Audit the Wall: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://americanoversight.org/audit-the-wall-no-plans-funding-or-timeline-and-no-wall/>.

¹¹ *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal*, American Oversight,

<https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/25544090-doj-records-relating-to-solicitor-general-noel-franciscos-recusal-american-oversight>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

¹² See generally *Swamp Airlines: Chartered Jets at Taxpayer Expense*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/swamp-airlines-private-jets-taxpayer-expense>; see, e.g., *New Information on Pompeo's 2017 Trips to His Home State*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/new-information-on-pompeos-2017-trips-to-his-home-state>.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics.
- In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.
- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.¹³ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.¹⁴
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,¹⁵ and many

¹³ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

¹⁴ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

¹⁵ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of

agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Elizabeth Haddix at foia@americanoversight.org or (252) 359-7424. Also, if American Oversight's request

Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ Elizabeth Haddix

Elizabeth Haddix

on behalf of

American Oversight

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

EXHIBIT 2

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM



August 21, 2026

VIA ONLINE PORTAL

Department of Homeland Security
Privacy Office, Mail Stop 0655
2707 Martin Luther King Jr. Ave. SE
Washington, DC 20528
Via Online Portal

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

On July 14, 2026, Homeland Secretary Markwayne Mullin stated that 250,000 noncitizens were registered to vote in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Nevada.¹ American Oversight seeks records with the potential to share light on that claim.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your agency produce the following records within twenty business days:

All talking points prepared by, provided to, or otherwise in the possession of your agency regarding Secretary Mullin's July 14, 2026 statement that 250,000 noncitizens were registered to vote in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Nevada.²

American Oversight believes an agency employee familiar with the subject matter of this request is in the best position, with a reasonable amount of effort, to identify where responsive records are likely to reside, but believes a reasonable search should include, at a minimum, the office of the Director, the

¹ See Melissa Quinn, *What to Know About the Trump Administration's Claim that 250K Noncitizens are Registered to Vote in 4 States*, CBS News, July 18, 2026, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/trump-markwayne-mullin-non-citizens-registered-voters-california-new-jersey-nevada-pennsylvania/>.

² Press Release: *DHS Secretary Markwayne Mullin Sends Letters to Secretaries of State Warning About Non-Citizens on Voter Rolls*, Dep't of Homeland Sec., July 17, 2026, <https://www.dhs.gov/news/2026/07/17/dhs-secretary-markwayne-mullin-sends-letters-secretaries-state-warning-about-non->



Office of the Chief of Staff, the Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans, and the Office of Public Affairs.

Please provide all responsive records from June 1, 2026, through July 31, 2026.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency's regulations American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government."³ The public has a significant interest in how DHS determined 250,000 noncitizens were registered to vote in multiple states, as well as the people DHS identified as ineligible to vote.⁴ Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including the methods used to identify these voters and determine their citizenship status. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

This request "is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."⁵ In fact, as a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose, and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's commercial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and X (formerly Twitter).⁶

³ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁴ See, e.g., Doina Chiacu & A.J. Vicens, *DHS Threatens States with Fines, Charges Over Election Measures*, Reuters (July 17, 2026, 10:26 AM), <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/us-dhs-chief-asks-four-states-check-voter-rolls-non-citizens-2026-07-17/>; Ali Swenson & Collin Binkley, *Mullin Pushes States to Comply with Election Demands, Echoing Trump's Claims About Midterm Risks*, July 17, 2026, <https://www.ap.org/news-highlights/elections/2026/mullin-pushes-states-to-comply-with-election-demands-echoing-trumps-claims-about-midterm-risks/>.

⁵ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁶ American Oversight currently has approximately 16,000 followers on Facebook and 94,100 followers on X (formerly Twitter). American Oversight, Facebook,

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website.⁷ Examples reflecting this commitment include the posting of records related to the first Trump Administration's contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts;⁸ posting records and editorial content about the federal government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic;⁹ posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the first Trump administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;¹⁰ the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;¹¹ and posting records and analysis of federal officials' use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.¹²

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

<https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Aug. 19, 2026); American Oversight (@weareoversight), X (formerly Twitter), <https://x.com/weareoversight> (last visited Aug. 19, 2026).

⁷ See generally *Our Latest*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁸ *The Trump Administration's Contacts with Ukraine*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-contacts-with-ukraine>.

⁹ See generally *The Trump Administration's Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus>; see, e.g., *CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings>.

¹⁰ See generally *Audit the Wall*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Audit the Wall: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://americanoversight.org/audit-the-wall-no-plans-funding-or-timeline-and-no-wall/>.

¹¹ *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal*, American Oversight,

<https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/25544090-doj-records-relating-to-solicitor-general-noel-franciscos-recusal-american-oversight>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

¹² See generally *Swamp Airlines: Chartered Jets at Taxpayer Expense*, American Oversight,

<https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/swamp-airlines-private-jets-taxpayer-expense>; see, e.g., *New Information on Pompeo's 2017 Trips to His Home State*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/new-information-on-pompeos-2017-trips-to-his-home-state>.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics.
- In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.
- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.¹³ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.¹⁴
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,¹⁵ and many

¹³ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

¹⁴ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

¹⁵ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records

agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Elizabeth Haddix at foia@americanoversight.org or (252) 359-7424. Also, if American Oversight's request

Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ Elizabeth Haddix

Elizabeth Haddix

on behalf of

American Oversight

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM