

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA**

SHERRY BOSTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE STATE OF GEORGIA,

Defendant.

Civil Action File No: _____

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Sherry Boston is the elected district attorney for the DeKalb Judicial Circuit. She files this Complaint against Defendant the State of Georgia for a declaration that HB 369 is unconstitutional and for an injunction preventing its enforcement and implementation. Plaintiff respectfully shows the Court the following:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

In the 2026 regular session, the General Assembly passed HB 369, which makes elections for county offices (including district attorney) nonpartisan in any county that uses a medical examiner instead of a coroner. The coroner classification is a pretext for singling out the five metro Atlanta counties of Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Fulton, and Gwinnett—each of which has elected a Democrat as district attorney. In the rest of Georgia, district attorney elections will remain partisan.

Changing the election rules only for metro Atlanta is unconstitutional in a number of ways. HB 369's medical examiner distinction is arbitrary and has no rational relation to the partisan status of county elections. There is simply no reason why a county with a medical examiner should have a nonpartisan election for district attorney but a county with a coroner should have partisan elections for district attorney. That arbitrary classification means that HB

369 runs afoul of the Georgia Constitution's Uniformity Clause, which prevents the kind of geographic discrimination deployed here by the General Assembly. HB 369 likewise violates the Equal Protection Clauses of the Georgia and U.S. Constitutions because it arbitrarily singles out candidates for certain elections, with no rational basis why they should have to play by different rules than candidates in other counties.

The General Assembly also used an unconstitutional procedure to pass HB 369. The proposal to make metro Atlanta county elections nonpartisan first came up in SB 573. After heated debate on the floor, the Senate rejected the bill by a vote of 24 in favor to 29 against. When a bill is voted down by the Senate or House, the Georgia Constitution requires two thirds of the rejecting body to give consent before that same proposal can be reconsidered and passed during the same legislative session. After SB 573 was voted down, the sponsoring legislators stripped HB 369 of its substance—the bill had originally concerned safety standards for food trucks—and substituted in SB 573's language, in an apparent end-run around the Constitution's restrictions on rejected bills. During committee hearings, legislators acknowledged that the new HB 369 was just a continuation of SB 573; the two are substantively identical, just with some minor "technical cleanups" from legislative counsel. But the Senate did not vote to allow reconsideration of the previously rejected proposal to make metro Atlanta county elections nonpartisan. And the revised HB 369 passed with a mere majority. Because two-thirds of the Senate did not consent, the General Assembly's consideration and passage of HB 369 was unconstitutional.

Plaintiff requests that this Court declare that HB 369 is unconstitutional and enjoin its application or enforcement.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action for declaratory relief through O.C.G.A. §§ 9-4-2 and 9-5-1 and Articles I and VI of the Georgia Constitution.

2. Venue is proper in this Court because Fulton County is the site of the State Capitol and the “seat of government.” *Hoffman v. Dep’t of Corr.*, 218 Ga. App. 363, 363 (1995).

3. Under Article I, Section II, Paragraph V(b)(1) of the Georgia Constitution, Defendant has waived sovereign immunity in actions such as this one seeking declaratory relief.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Sherry Boston has been the elected District Attorney of the DeKalb Judicial Circuit¹ since January 2017.

5. When Boston ran for the office of District Attorney in 2016, she did so as a Democrat, the political party with which she affiliates. In that election cycle, she defeated the incumbent district attorney in the Democratic primary election. Boston was uncontested in the 2016 general election.

6. Boston was re-elected in 2020 and 2024. In both years, Boston faced no challengers in the Democratic primary or in the general elections.

7. Boston is registered to vote in DeKalb County, and she endeavors to vote in all general and special elections, including primaries.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

District attorney elections before HB 369

8. There are 159 counties in Georgia and 51 judicial circuits. Sixteen of those circuits cover one county; the remainder span multiple counties. O.C.G.A. § 15-6-1.

¹ Until 2024, the DeKalb Judicial Circuit was known as the Stone Mountain Judicial Circuit. For ease of reference, the Complaint refers to the DeKalb Judicial Circuit throughout.

9. The Georgia Constitution establishes the office of district attorney “for each judicial circuit, who shall be elected circuit-wide for a term of four years.” Ga. Const. art. VI, § VIII, ¶ I(a).

10. Under Georgia law as it existed before HB 369, candidates for district attorney ran in partisan elections, meaning candidates qualified through political parties, were nominated through partisan primary elections before competing in general elections, and appeared on ballots with their party affiliation identified. This was true for every judicial circuit in Georgia.

11. Under Georgia law as it existed before HB 369, to appear on the general election ballot, a candidate for district attorney had to first secure nomination through his or her political party’s primary election conducted pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-151.

12. A candidate seeking the nomination of a political party for district attorney had to file a notice of candidacy with the Secretary of State, pay a qualifying fee, and satisfy the relevant party’s qualifying requirements. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-153.

13. After the primary election, the nominee of each qualifying political party appeared on the general election ballot with their party affiliation identified.

14. The identification of each candidate by party affiliation (or lack thereof) allowed voters to use party affiliation (or lack thereof) as a basis for their vote. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-285.

The Senate rejects SB 573

15. In late February 2026, SB 573 was proposed in the Georgia Senate. Ex. A (SB 573). Per its preamble, the bill “provide[d] for the nonpartisan election for county officers and district attorneys in certain counties.” *Id.* at 1. Those certain counties were defined as “any county in which the governing authority of the county appoints a medical examiner in lieu of an elected coroner.” *Id.* at 2.

16. By local law, the General Assembly may authorize a county “to abolish the office of coroner and establish in lieu thereof the office of medical examiner.” O.C.G.A. § 45-16-80. The General Assembly has only passed such local laws for the five metro Atlanta counties of Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Fulton, and Gwinnett; all other counties continue to have an office of the coroner.

17. SB 573 provided that “all candidates to fill elected county offices” in the counties that use a medical examiner in lieu of a county coroner “shall be elected in nonpartisan elections.” Ex. A (SB 573) at 3. It exempted from this rule county sheriffs, local boards of education, and offices of county governing authorities established by local constitutional amendment. *Id.*

18. SB 573 likewise moved the election date for all affected offices from the November election to the May election.

19. The bill was heard by the Senate Ethics Committee on March 2, 2026. After debate and public comment, the Committee favorably reported SB 573 on a party-line vote.

20. The Senate took up the bill on March 6, 2026. SB 573 failed by a vote of 24 Yeas to 29 Nays, with two senators not voting and one excused. Ex. B (Senate Vote #655).

The Senate passes HB 369

21. For its part, HB 369—which addressed safety standards for food trucks—was proposed in the House on February 10, 2025. Ex. C (HB 369 as passed by the House). The House passed the bill with minor amendments on March 4, 2025.

22. When it moved to the Senate side, HB 369 was assigned to the Senate Public Safety Committee, but no further action was taken on the bill in the 2025 legislative session. On March 16, 2026, HB 369 was withdrawn, replaced with the substance of SB 573, Ex. D (HB 369 substitute), and reassigned to the Senate Ethics Committee.

23. On March 19, 2026, the Ethics Committee took up HB 369. Senator John Albers—who had sponsored SB 573 and who was sponsoring HB 369 in the Senate—presented the bill to the committee. In his remarks, he noted that HB 369 was a “cleanup” of SB 573, as “there were some technical changes that needed to be made.”² In discussion with members of the committee, Senator Albers explained that a “technical cleanup” was necessary because SB 573 “had district attorneys at the wrong election date in May, and they should have been in November, so there was some technical cleanups with legislative counsel that had some senators who needed to understand to make sure that was right. We have made those technical corrections, so this [i.e., HB 369] is perfected and ready to go.”³

24. Though Senate committees typically take public comment on bills transmitted by the House, the Ethics Committee did not take public comment on HB 369 during the March 19 hearing. This was because the committee had already heard public comment on the same proposal during its hearing on SB 573 two weeks before.

25. The Senate Ethics Committee favorably reported the substitute for HB 369, again on a party-line vote.

26. Other than the “technical cleanup” of the election date for district attorneys, SB 573 and the substitute version of HB 369 are materially identical. Both single out the same group of five counties—defined as those that have abolished the office of elected coroner in lieu of an appointed medical examiner—and change the same county offices from a partisan election to a nonpartisan election. As multiple senators acknowledged during the Ethics Committee hearing

² The Senate Ethics Committee hearing is available to be viewed at <https://vimeo.com/1175284225>.

³ SB 573 had provided that all impacted elections would be moved to the May primary. The “technical cleanup” made in HB 369 acknowledged that, unlike other county offices, district attorney elections are constitutionally required to be held during the November general election and so could not be moved to May. Ga. Const. art. VI, § VIII, ¶ I(a).

and as is evident from the committee’s decision not to hold public comment on HB 369, the substituted HB 369 was the same proposal as SB 573.

27. On March 25, 2026, the Senate took up HB 369 and approved it by a vote of 32 Yea to 21 Nay. Ex. E (Senate Vote #803). On March 27, 2026, the House passed the Senate substitute version of HB 369 by a vote of 93 Yea to 64 Nay. Ex. F (House Vote #790); Ex. G (HB 369 As Passed).

28. On May 12, 2026, Governor Kemp signed HB 369 into law.

HB 369 creates nonpartisan elections in five of Georgia’s 159 counties

29. HB 369 amends Chapter 2 of Title 21 of the Georgia Code by revising O.C.G.A. § 21-2-132(c)(2) and adding the new § 21-2-140 (the “Statute”).

30. The Statute’s effective date is January 1, 2028. District attorneys are elected every four years, with the next election cycle taking place in 2028.⁴ HB 369 thus controls the rules for the next elections for district attorney.

31. Even before the law officially goes into effect, candidates for those offices will have to adjust their fundraising and their campaign conduct—which can begin more than a year before an election date and thus before January 1, 2028—to account for HB 369. That includes accepting campaign contributions only for one election (rather than the two elections allowed for partisan offices) and refraining from affiliating with any political party in their campaign messaging and public appearances.

32. The intended effect of the Statute is to mandate nonpartisan elections for certain offices in five metro Atlanta counties: Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Fulton, and Gwinnett.

⁴ The same is true for other county offices, including solicitors-general, county clerks, and county tax commissioners.

33. The Statute accomplishes that by limiting its application to “consolidated law enforcement count[ies],” defined as “any county where the elected office of county coroner has been abolished.” O.C.G.A. § 21-2-140(a)(1).

34. The Statute then provides that, subject to certain enumerated exceptions, “all candidates to fill elected county offices in a consolidated law enforcement county shall be elected in nonpartisan elections.” O.C.G.A. § 21-2-140(b).

35. The Statute defines “county office” to mean “any office where the electors of a county elected the officeholder” and specifies that “county office” includes, but is not limited to, members of a county governing authority, tax commissioners, clerks of superior court, solicitors-general, and, where elected by county electors, clerks of state court and county surveyors. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-140(a)(2).

36. The Statute exempts (1) the office of county sheriff and (2) offices of county governing authorities established pursuant to or authorized by a local constitutional amendment—meaning those elections will remain subject to partisan elections. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-140(c).

37. Under the Statute, elections for county offices that are changed to nonpartisan will be moved from the November election to the May election, similar to the way nonpartisan judicial elections are held. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-140(d).

38. Because district attorneys are constitutionally required to be elected in the general election, HB 369 provides that district attorneys in consolidated law enforcement counties will be elected by a nonpartisan election held in November, rather than in May like the other county office elections modified by HB 369. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-140(e).

39. Under the Statute, no candidate for district attorney in a consolidated law enforcement county “shall be nominated by a political party or by a petition as a candidate of a political body or as an independent candidate.” O.C.G.A. § 21-2-140(e).

40. The Statute repeals any existing local laws providing for the partisan election of district attorneys in consolidated law enforcement counties, replacing them with the Code sections setting forth nonpartisan election procedures. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-140(e); *see also* O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-139, 21-2-284.1, 21-2-285.1.

41. The Statute’s key classification is to distinguish “consolidated law enforcement counties” from all others. As mentioned above, the Statute defines a “consolidated law enforcement county” as “any county where the elected office of county coroner has been abolished.” O.C.G.A. § 21-2-140(a)(1).

42. The only five “consolidated law enforcement count[ies]” under the Statute are Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Fulton, and Gwinnett Counties.

43. Historically, district attorney elections in Georgia have been conducted on a partisan basis in each of the state’s judicial circuits.

44. District attorney elections in the remaining 154 Georgia counties will continue to be held in a partisan manner in the November general election.

45. The five counties covered by HB 369 are, and have been for a number of election cycles, Democratic-leaning jurisdictions in which Democratic candidates regularly prevail in partisan county elections.

46. In each of these five counties, the current elected district attorney is a Black female Democrat.

47. In the modern political era, DeKalb, Fulton, and Clayton Counties have routinely elected Democratic candidates for district attorney. In Cobb and Gwinnett Counties, significant political and demographic change has resulted in competitive district attorney elections and recent victories by Democratic candidates.

48. In the Cobb Judicial Circuit, Sonya Allen has served as the district attorney since 2025. Allen defeated the incumbent district attorney in the Democratic primary in 2024 and was uncontested in the general election.

49. Allen is only the second Democrat to serve as district attorney of the Cobb Judicial Circuit in modern Georgia history; Allen's predecessor, elected in 2020, was the first to do so. From at least 1976 until 2020, the office was continuously held by a Republican candidate, and the Republican nominee often faced no Democratic challenger in the general election.

50. Allen's election in 2024 and her predecessor's election in 2020 reflects the changing political dynamics in Cobb County.

51. Patsy Austin-Gatson has served as the district attorney for the Gwinnett Judicial Circuit since 2021. In the 2020 general election, Austin-Gatson, a Democrat, defeated the Republican incumbent, who had held the office since 1992.

52. Austin-Gatson's election and reelection in 2020 and 2024 reflects the changing political dynamics in Gwinnett County.

53. The next election for district attorney in each of the five counties targeted by HB 369 will occur in 2028.

54. After the passage of the Statute, district attorney candidates will no longer be nominated by parties or petitions in Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Fulton, or Gwinnett Counties.

Instead, the district attorney in those five counties will be elected during the November general election without a nonpartisan primary. Other county offices will now be elected in a nonpartisan election in May.

55. Beginning in 2028, as a result of the Statute, voters in Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Fulton, and Gwinnett Counties will no longer see party affiliation listed on ballots for district attorneys and other county offices affected by the Statute.

56. As a result of the Statute, political parties will be unable to nominate candidates for district attorney and other county offices in the five metro Atlanta counties.

57. In partisan elections, Georgia law allows candidates to campaign and fundraise based on party affiliation. Because of the Statute, candidates for district attorney in the five metro Atlanta counties will no longer be able to affiliate themselves with a political party that will support their campaign for office. All other district attorney candidates in the state will remain able to do so.

58. As a result of the Statute, candidates for the office of district attorney in the five metro Atlanta counties will no longer be able to campaign or fundraise based on party affiliation. Their fundraising limits will also be lower, as they will only be able to raise funds towards the general election, instead of both the primary and general election.⁵ See O.C.G.A. § 21-5-41.

59. The ability to fundraise for only a general election rather than for a primary and general election impairs candidates' ability to raise money. Candidates who raise money for a primary election carry forward any unused money to be used in a general election. *Id.* § 21-5-

⁵ A runoff election would trigger an additional contribution limit for any candidate, whether running in a partisan or nonpartisan election. Regardless, candidates for county offices in metro Atlanta will still be subject to fewer elections, and therefore have lower campaign limits, than candidates in all other Georgia counties.

41(d). Candidates for the office of district attorney in the five metro Atlanta counties will no longer be able to do so because they can no longer raise money for a primary election.

60. Georgia law also allows candidates running for reelection to carry forward money from a prior election cycle. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-5-33(b)(1)(D). While the candidates for the office of district attorney in the five metro Atlanta counties can still do so, the amounts they could carry forward will be limited because they cannot raise money for a primary election.

61. Over time, because of HB 369, candidates for reelection for the office of district attorney in the five metro Atlanta counties will be able to raise and carry forward significantly less campaign money than district attorney candidates in other counties.

62. Partisan elections also allow voters to use party affiliation as information when making electoral decisions. As a result of the Statute, voters in the five Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Fulton, and Gwinnett counties will no longer be able to use party affiliation to guide their decision making in voting for district attorney candidates.

COUNT I
Violation of Georgia's Uniformity Clause

63. Article III, Section 6, Paragraph IV(a) of the Georgia Constitution (the "Uniformity Clause") provides:

Laws of a general nature shall have uniform operation throughout this state and no local or special law shall be enacted in any case for which provision has been made by an existing general law, except that the General Assembly may by general law authorize local governments by local ordinance or resolution to exercise police powers which do not conflict with general laws.

64. HB 369 violates this provision because it is either "a general law which lacks uniform operation throughout the state or a special law for which provision has been made by existing general law." *Gliemmo v. Cousineau*, 287 Ga. 7, 8 (2010) (citation modified).

65. HB 369 is a special law because, by arbitrarily singling out counties “where the elected office of county coroner has been abolished,” it “only affects a limited area or class,” *Walker v. Cromartie*, 287 Ga. 511, 513 (2010), and “draws a legislative classification that is arbitrary or unreasonable in relation to the purpose of the legislation[.]” *Lucid Grp. USA, Inc. v. State*, 323 Ga. 601, 615 (2026) (citation modified).

66. There is no legitimate or rational reason why counties without an elected county coroner must now hold nonpartisan elections for county offices, while all other counties in Georgia continue to conduct partisan elections. The legislature’s “consolidated law enforcement county” criteria is a pretense to single out the metro Atlanta counties for political gain.

67. Because its classifications are arbitrary, HB 369 is a special law. It violates the Uniformity Clause because general law already establishes the partisan status of county elections. *See, e.g.*, O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-9, 21-2-130, 21-2-139, 21-2-151.

68. HB 369 also violates Paragraph IV(c)’s requirement that “[n]o special law relating to the rights or status of private persons shall be enacted.” HB 369 relates to the private rights of both candidates and voters by interfering with their rights to affiliate with partisan political organizations, including as a candidate identifying with a party or as a voter supporting a candidate of their preferred party. Because it is a special law, HB 369 cannot constitutionally do so.

69. In the alternative, to the extent HB 369 is found to be a general law, it violates the Uniformity Clause because, in application, it lacks uniform operation.

70. This Court should issue a declaration under O.C.G.A. § 9-4-2 that HB 369 is unconstitutional for violation of Article III, Section 6, Paragraph IV of the Georgia Constitution.

71. Subsequent to that declaration, this Court should enjoin any enforcement or implementation of HB 369 under O.C.G.A. § 9-4-3.

COUNT II
Violation of the Equal Protection Clauses

72. HB 369 singles out elected offices in counties that have abolished the office of coroner for disparate treatment, forcing candidates for those county offices to run in nonpartisan elections while similarly situated candidates in other counties run in partisan elections.

73. HB 369 harms affected candidates in a number of ways, including by limiting their ability to associate with the party of their choice, limiting their ability to communicate with voters, and limiting the amount of funds they can raise.

74. HB 369's distinction between so-called "consolidated law enforcement counties" and counties with an elected coroner is arbitrary and is not rationally related to any legitimate purpose of the law.

75. Accordingly, HB 369 violates Plaintiff's right to equal protection under the laws as guaranteed by Article I, Section 1, Paragraph II of the Georgia Constitution and by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

76. This Court should issue a declaration under O.C.G.A. § 9-4-2 that HB 369 is unconstitutional for violation of the Georgia and federal Equal Protection Clauses.

77. Subsequent to that declaration, this Court should enjoin any enforcement or implementation of HB 369 under O.C.G.A. § 9-4-3.

COUNT III
Violation of Georgia's Rejected Bills Clause

78. Article III, Section 5, Paragraph XII of the Georgia Constitution (the "Rejected Bills Clause") provides:

No bill or resolution intended to have the effect of law which shall have been rejected by either house shall again be proposed during the same regular or special session under the same or any other title without the consent of two-thirds of the house by which the same was rejected.

79. On March 6, the Georgia Senate voted down SB 573, which would have made certain county-level elections nonpartisan in any counties that have abolished the office of coroner.

80. That same bill language was again “proposed during the same regular . . . session under . . . [another] title” when the Senate Ethics Committee inserted the language of SB 573 into HB 369.

81. The Rejected Bills Clause required consent of two thirds of the Senate in order for that body to properly consider the substituted HB 369. But the Senate never held a vote on consent and certainly never obtained consent from two thirds of its members. Yet the Senate held a final vote on HB 369, and it passed 32 Yea to 21 Nay.

82. HB 369’s consideration and passage by the General Assembly therefore violated the Rejected Bills Clause.

83. Accordingly, this Court should issue a declaration under O.C.G.A. § 9-4-2 that HB 369 is void and unenforceable under the Georgia Constitution.

84. Subsequent to that declaration, this Court should enjoin any enforcement or implementation of HB 369 under O.C.G.A. § 9-4-3.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for the following relief:

- a. A trial by jury on all such triable issues;
- b. Issuance of process so that Defendant the State of Georgia can be served as provided by law;

- c. A declaration that HB 369 is unconstitutional;
- d. Entry of a permanent injunction against enforcement or implementation of HB 369;
- e. Reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of litigation; and,
- f. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of June, 2026.

/s/ Michael A. Caplan

Michael A. Caplan

Georgia Bar No. 601039

Sarah Brewerton-Palmer

Georgia Bar No. 589898

Cameron B. Roberts

Georgia Bar No. 599839

Erin Morrissey Victoria

Georgia Bar No. 285342

Alex Estroff

Georgia Bar No. 680155

CAPLAN COBB LLC

75 Fourteenth Street, NE, Suite 2700

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

Phone: (404) 596-5600

Fax: (404) 596-5604

mcaplan@caplancobb.com

spalmer@caplancobb.com

croberts@caplancobb.com

evictoria@caplancobb.com

aestroff@caplancobb.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Exhibit A

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

Senate Bill 573

By: Senators Setzler of the 37th, Kirkpatrick of the 32nd, Albers of the 56th, Still of the 48th and Dixon of the 45th

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
AN ACT

1 To amend Chapter 2 of Title 21 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, relating to
2 primaries and elections generally, so as to provide for the nonpartisan election for county
3 officers and district attorneys in certain counties; to provide for the qualifying for such
4 offices; to provide a definition; to provide for related matters; to provide for an effective
5 date; to repeal conflicting laws; and for other purposes.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF GEORGIA:

7 **SECTION 1.**

8 Chapter 2 of Title 21 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, relating to elections and
9 primaries generally, is amended in Code Section 21-2-9, relating to date of election for
10 office, by revising subsections (a) and (b) as follows:

11 "(a) The Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, Attorney General, State
12 School Superintendent, Commissioner of Insurance, Commissioner of Agriculture,
13 Commissioner of Labor, members of Congress, district attorneys not elected pursuant to
14 Code Section 21-2-140, members of the General Assembly, and county officers not elected
15 pursuant to Code Section 21-2-139 or 21-2-140 shall be elected in the November election
16 next preceding the expiration of the term of office.

17 (b) Justices of the Supreme Court, Judges of the Court of Appeals, judges of the superior
18 courts, district attorneys elected pursuant to Code Section 21-2-140, and county ~~judicial~~
19 officers, offices of local school boards, and nonpartisan offices elected pursuant to Code
20 Section 21-2-139 or 21-2-140 shall be elected in the nonpartisan general election next
21 preceding the expiration of the term of office."

22 **SECTION 2.**

23 Said chapter is further amended by revising paragraph (2) of subsection (c) of Code
24 Section 21-2-132, relating to filing notice of candidacy, nomination petition, and affidavit,
25 reopening qualifying period, payment of qualifying fee, and pauper's affidavit and qualifying
26 petition for exemption from qualifying fee, as follows:

27 "(2) Each candidate for a county ~~judicial~~ office, a local board of education office, or an
28 office of a consolidated government, or the candidate's agent, desiring to have his or her
29 name placed on the nonpartisan election ballot shall file notice of candidacy in the office
30 of the superintendent no earlier than 9:00 A.M. on the Monday of the eleventh week
31 immediately prior to the election and no later than 12:00 Noon on the Friday immediately
32 following such Monday, notwithstanding the fact that any such days may be legal
33 holidays;"

34 **SECTION 3.**

35 Said chapter is further amended by adding a new Code section to read as follows:

36 "21-2-140.

37 (a) As used in this Code section, the term 'medical examiner county' means any county in
38 which the governing authority of the county appoints a medical examiner in lieu of an
39 elected coroner, regardless of whether such appointment is made pursuant to a local
40 constitutional amendment or by general law.

41 (b) Except as provided for in subsection (c) of this Code section, all candidates to fill
42 elected county offices in a medical examiner county shall be elected in nonpartisan
43 elections.

44 (c) The provisions of this Code section shall not apply to:

45 (1) The office of county sheriff;

46 (2) Offices of local boards of education; or

47 (3) Offices of county governing authorities established pursuant to or authorized by a
48 local constitutional amendment.

49 (d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter to the contrary, all candidates to
50 fill the office of district attorney for a judicial circuit comprised solely of a medical
51 examiner county shall be elected in nonpartisan elections. All candidates to fill the office
52 of district attorney for a judicial circuit comprised solely of a medical examiner county
53 shall qualify with the Secretary of State in the same manner as provided for candidates to
54 qualify for the office of judge in Code Section 21-2-138.

55 (e) Such county officers and district attorneys shall be elected in nonpartisan elections held
56 and conducted in conjunction with the general primary in even-numbered years in
57 accordance with this chapter without a prior nonpartisan primary. Except as otherwise
58 provided in this Code section, the procedures employed in such elections shall conform as
59 nearly as practicable to the procedures governing nonpartisan elections as provided in this
60 chapter. Except as otherwise provided in this Code section, the election procedures
61 established by any existing local law which provides for the partisan election of candidates
62 to fill elected county offices shall be repealed and elections for such county offices shall
63 be conducted as nonpartisan elections accordance with the applicable provisions of this
64 chapter, notwithstanding the provisions of any existing local law."

65 **SECTION 4.**

66 This Act shall become effective on January 1, 2027.

67

SECTION 5.

68 All laws and parts of laws in conflict with this Act are repealed.

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

Exhibit B

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

PASSAGE

SB 573

Yea Y : 24Nay N : 29Not Voting NV : 2Excused E : 1

- Y ALBERS, 56TH
- N ANAVITARTE, 31ST
- Y ANDERSON, 24TH
- N ANDERSON, 43RD
- N BEARDEN, 30TH
- N BRASS, 6TH
- Y BURNS, 23RD
- N COWSERT, 46TH
- N DAVENPORT, 17TH
- N DICKERSON, 21ST
- Y DIXON, 45TH
- N DOLEZAL, 27TH
- Y ECHOLS, 49TH
- Y GINN, 47TH
- N GOOCH, 51ST
- Y GOODMAN, 8TH
- N HALPERN, 39TH
- Y HARBIN, 16TH
- E HARBISON, 15TH
- N HARRELL, 40TH
- Y HATCHETT, 50TH
- Y HICKMAN, 4TH
- Y HODGES, 3RD
- N HOWARD, 35TH
- Y HUFSTETLER, 52ND
- N JACKSON, 41ST
- N JAMES, 28TH
- N JONES, 10TH
- N JONES II, 22ND
- N KEMP, 38TH
- Y KIRKPATRICK, 32ND
- N LUCAS, 26TH
- N MALLOW, 2ND
- N MANGHAM, 55TH
- N MCLAURIN, 14TH
- Y MCNEEL, 18TH
- N MERRITT, 9TH
- N ORROCK, 36TH
- N PARENT, 44TH
- N PARKES, 7TH
- Y PAYNE, 54TH
- N RAHMAN, 5TH
- N RHETT, 33RD
- Y ROBERTSON, 29TH
- Y SETZLER, 37TH
- N SIMS, 12TH
- Y STILL, 48TH

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

- Y STRICKLAND, 42ND
- Y SUMMERS, 13TH
- Y TILLERY, 19TH
- NV VACANT
- NV WALKER, III, 20TH (PRS)
- Y WATSON, 1ST
- Y WATSON, 11TH
- N WICKS, 34TH
- Y WILLIAMS, 25TH

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

Exhibit C

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

House Bill 369

By: Representatives Sharper of the 177th, Hitchens of the 161st, LaHood of the 175th, Baker of the 64th, Cummings of the 39th, and others

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
AN ACT

1 To amend Part 1 of Article 1 of Chapter 8 of Title 40 of the Official Code of Georgia
2 Annotated, relating to general provisions relative to equipment of motor vehicles, so as to
3 provide for vehicle equipment standards for motor vehicles used for the sale of food or
4 beverages that primarily conduct such sales upon roadways; to provide for related matters;
5 to repeal conflicting laws; and for other purposes.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF GEORGIA:

7 **SECTION 1.**

8 Part 1 of Article 1 of Chapter 8 of Title 40 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated,
9 relating to general provisions relative to equipment of motor vehicles, is amended by adding
10 a new Code section to read as follows:

11 "40-8-12.

12 (a) On and after January 1, 2026, any motor vehicle used for the mobile sale of food or
13 beverages which primarily conducts such sales during stops upon a roadway shall be
14 equipped with the following:

15 (1) Four hooded or recessed red flasher lights or four red flasher lights and four amber
16 flasher lights mounted on the same horizontal centerline as the red lights and nearer the

17 centerline. Such amber lights shall be at least two and one-half times brighter than the
18 red lights;

19 (2) A signal arm that can be extended horizontally from the left side of the vehicle that
20 is a triangular shape, yellow in color, and contains the words 'caution,' 'slow,' or
21 'children'; and

22 (3) A sign mounted upon the rear of the motor vehicle that shall be at least three square
23 feet in size and display a warning that the vehicle makes frequent stops.

24 (b) The Department of Public Safety shall be authorized to adopt rules and regulations for
25 the purposes of this Code section."

26 **SECTION 2.**

27 All laws and parts of laws in conflict with this Act are repealed.

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

Exhibit D

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

House Bill 369 (COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE)

By: Representatives Sharper of the 177th, Hitchens of the 161st, LaHood of the 175th, Baker of the 64th, Cummings of the 39th, and others

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

1 To amend Part 1 of Article 1 of Chapter 8 of Title 40 of the Official Code of Georgia
2 Annotated, relating to general provisions relative to equipment of motor vehicles, so as to
3 provide for vehicle equipment standards for motor vehicles used for the sale of food or
4 beverages that primarily conduct such sales upon roadways; to provide for related matters;
5 to repeal conflicting laws; and for other purposes.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF GEORGIA:

7 **SECTION 1.**

8 Part 1 of Article 1 of Chapter 8 of Title 40 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated,
9 relating to general provisions relative to equipment of motor vehicles, is amended by adding
10 a new Code section to read as follows:

11 "40-8-12.

12 (a) On and after January 1, 2026, any motor vehicle used for the mobile sale of food or
13 beverages which primarily conducts such sales during stops upon a roadway shall be
14 equipped with the following:

H. B. 369 (SUB)

- 15 (1) A signal arm that can be extended horizontally from the left side of the vehicle that
16 is a triangular shape, yellow in color, and contains the words 'caution,' 'slow,' or
17 'children'; and
18 (2) A sign mounted upon the rear of the motor vehicle that shall be at least three square
19 feet in size and display a warning that the vehicle makes frequent stops.
20 (b) The Department of Public Safety shall be authorized to adopt rules and regulations for
21 the purposes of this Code section."

22 **SECTION 2.**

23 All laws and parts of laws in conflict with this Act are repealed.

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

Exhibit E

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

PASSAGE BY SUBSTITUTE

HB 369

Yea : 32Nay : 21Not Voting : 2Excused : 1

- ALBERS, 56TH
- ANAVITARTE, 31ST
- ANDERSON, 24TH
- ANDERSON, 43RD
- BEARDEN, 30TH
- BRASS, 6TH
- BURNS, 23RD
- COWSERT, 46TH
- DAVENPORT, 17TH
- DICKERSON, 21ST
- DIXON, 45TH
- DOLEZAL, 27TH
- ECHOLS, 49TH
- GINN, 47TH
- GOOCH, 51ST
- GOODMAN, 8TH
- HALPERN, 39TH
- HARBIN, 16TH
- HARBISON, 15TH
- HARRELL, 40TH
- HATCHETT, 50TH
- HICKMAN, 4TH
- HODGES, 3RD
- HOWARD, 35TH
- HUFSTETLER, 52ND
- JACKSON, 41ST
- JAMES, 28TH
- JONES, 10TH
- JONES II, 22ND
- KEMP, 38TH
- KIRKPATRICK, 32ND
- LUCAS, 26TH
- MALLOW, 2ND
- MANGHAM, 55TH
- MCLAURIN, 14TH
- MCNEEL, 18TH
- MERRITT, 9TH
- ORROCK, 36TH
- PARENT, 44TH
- PAYNE, 54TH
- RAHMAN, 5TH
- RHETT, 33RD
- ROBERTSON, 29TH
- SETZLER, 37TH
- SIMS, 12TH
- STILL, 48TH
- STRICKLAND, 42ND

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

- Y SUMMERS, 13TH
- Y TILLERY, 19TH
- NV VACANT
- NV VACANT
- Y WALKER, III, 20TH
- Y WATSON, 1ST
- Y WATSON, 11TH
- N WICKS, 34TH
- Y WILLIAMS, 25TH

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

Exhibit F

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

Agree to Senate Substitute

HB 369

Yea : 93Nay : 64Not Voting : 7Excused : 16

<input type="checkbox"/> ADESANYA, 43RD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEMPSEY, 13TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JASPERSE, 11TH	<input type="checkbox"/> REESE, 140TH
<input type="checkbox"/> ADEYINA, 110TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DICKEY, 134TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JENKINS, 136TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REEVES, 99TH
<input type="checkbox"/> ALEXANDER, 66TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DONATUCCI, 105TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JONES, 25TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RHODES, 124TH
<input type="checkbox"/> ALI, 106TH	<input type="checkbox"/> DOUGLAS, 78TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JONES, 47TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RICE, 139TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ANDERSON, 10TH	<input type="checkbox"/> DRAPER, 90TH	<input type="checkbox"/> JONES, 60TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RICHARDSON, 125TH
<input type="checkbox"/> AU, 50TH	<input type="checkbox"/> DRENNER, 85TH	<input type="checkbox"/> JONES, 143RD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RIDLEY, 6TH
<input type="checkbox"/> BAKER, 64TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DUBNIK, 29TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KAHAIAN, 81ST	<input type="checkbox"/> RIDLEY, 22ND
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BALLARD, 147TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DUNAHOO, 31ST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KELLEY, 16TH	<input type="checkbox"/> ROBERTS, 52ND
<input type="checkbox"/> BARNES, 86TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFSTRATION, 104TH	<input type="checkbox"/> KENDRICK, 95TH	<input type="checkbox"/> ROMMAN, 97TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BARRETT, 24TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EHRHART, 36TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LAHOOD, 175TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAINZ, 180TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BARTON, 5TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ERWIN, 32ND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LEVERETT, 123RD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAMPSON, 153RD
<input type="checkbox"/> BAZEMORE, 69TH	<input type="checkbox"/> EVANS, 57TH	<input type="checkbox"/> LEWIS-WARD, 115TH	<input type="checkbox"/> SANCHEZ, 42ND
<input type="checkbox"/> BECKLES, 96TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINCHER, 23RD	<input type="checkbox"/> LIM, 98TH	<input type="checkbox"/> SANTOS, 117TH
<input type="checkbox"/> BELL, 75TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FLEMING, 114TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LUMSDEN, 12TH	<input type="checkbox"/> SCHOFIELD, 63RD
<input type="checkbox"/> BERRY, 56TH	<input type="checkbox"/> FLOURNOY, 74TH	<input type="checkbox"/> LUPTON, 83RD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SCOGGINS, 14TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BLACKMON, 146TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FORD, 170TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARTIN, 49TH	<input type="checkbox"/> SCOTT, 76TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BONNER, 73RD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FRANKLIN, 160TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARTINEZ, 111TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEABAUGH, 34TH
<input type="checkbox"/> BUCKNER, 137TH	<input type="checkbox"/> FRYE, 122ND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MATHIAK, 82ND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SILCOX, 53RD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BURCHETT, 176TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GAINES, 120TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MATHIS, 133RD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SMITH, 18TH
<input type="checkbox"/> BURNOUGH, 77TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GAMBILL, 15TH	<input type="checkbox"/> MCCLAIN, 109TH	<input type="checkbox"/> SMITH, 41ST
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BURNS, 159TH	<input type="checkbox"/> GILLIARD, 162ND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MCCOLLUM, 30TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SMITH, 70TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BYRD, 20TH	<input type="checkbox"/> GISLER, 121ST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MCDONALD III, 26TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SMITH, 138TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CAMERON, 1ST	<input type="checkbox"/> GLAIZE, 67TH	<input type="checkbox"/> MCQUEEN, 61ST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STEPHENS, 164TH
<input type="checkbox"/> CAMP, 135TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GREENE, 154TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEEKS, 178TH	<input type="checkbox"/> STINSON, 150TH
<input type="checkbox"/> CAMPBELL, 35TH	<input type="checkbox"/> GRIFFIN, 149TH	<input type="checkbox"/> MILLER, 62ND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TARVIN, 2ND
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CAMPBELL, 171ST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GULLETT, 19TH	<input type="checkbox"/> MITCHELL, 88TH	<input type="checkbox"/> TAYLOR, 92ND
<input type="checkbox"/> CANNON, 58TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GUNTER, 8TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MOMTAHAN, 17TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TAYLOR, 173RD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CANNON, 172ND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HAGAN, 156TH	<input type="checkbox"/> MOORE, 91ST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> THOMAS, 21ST
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CARPENTER, 4TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HATCHETT, 155TH	<input type="checkbox"/> MYLES, 126TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TOWNSEND, 179TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CARSON, 46TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HAWKINS, 27TH	<input type="checkbox"/> NEAL, 79TH	<input type="checkbox"/> TRAN, 80TH
<input type="checkbox"/> CARTER, 93RD	<input type="checkbox"/> HERRING, 145TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEW, 40TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VACANT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHASTAIN, 7TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HILTON, 48TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEWTON, 127TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VACANT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHEOKAS, 151ST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HITCHENS, 161ST	<input type="checkbox"/> OKOYE, 102ND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VACANT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLARK, 100TH	<input type="checkbox"/> HOLCOMB, 101ST	<input type="checkbox"/> OLALEYE, 59TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VACANT
<input type="checkbox"/> CLARK, 108TH	<input type="checkbox"/> HOLLAND, 54TH	<input type="checkbox"/> OLIVER, 84TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WADE, 9TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLIFTON, 131ST	<input type="checkbox"/> HOLLY, 116TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> O'STEEN, 169TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WASHBURN, 144TH
<input type="checkbox"/> COOPER, 45TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HONG, 103RD	<input type="checkbox"/> PANITCH, 51ST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WERKHEISER, 157TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CORBETT, 174TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HORNER, 3RD	<input type="checkbox"/> PARIS, 142ND	<input type="checkbox"/> WESTBROOK, 163RD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COX, 28TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HOWARD, 71ST	<input type="checkbox"/> PARK, 107TH	<input type="checkbox"/> WILKERSON, 38TH
<input type="checkbox"/> CRAWFORD, 89TH	<input type="checkbox"/> HOWARD, 129TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PARRISH, 158TH	<input type="checkbox"/> WILLIAMS, 37TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CROWE, 118TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HUDDLESTON, 72ND	<input type="checkbox"/> PARSONS, 44TH	<input type="checkbox"/> WILLIAMS, 168TH
<input type="checkbox"/> CUMMINGS, 39TH	<input type="checkbox"/> HUGLEY, 141ST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PERSINGER, 119TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WILLIAMS, JR., 148TH
<input type="checkbox"/> DAVIS, 87TH	<input type="checkbox"/> JACKSON, 68TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETREA, 166TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WILLIAMSON, 112TH
<input type="checkbox"/> DAWSON, 65TH	<input type="checkbox"/> JACKSON, 128TH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> POWELL, 33RD	<input type="checkbox"/> WILLIS, 55TH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DELOACH, 167TH	<input type="checkbox"/> JACKSON, 165TH	<input type="checkbox"/> PRINCE, 132ND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YEARTA, 152ND

Exhibit G

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

House Bill 369 (AS PASSED HOUSE AND SENATE)

By: Representatives Sharper of the 177th, Hitchens of the 161st, LaHood of the 175th, Baker of the 64th, Cummings of the 39th, and others

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
AN ACT

1 To amend Chapter 2 of Title 21 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, relating to
2 primaries and elections generally, so as to provide for the nonpartisan election of county
3 officers and district attorneys in certain counties; to provide for the qualifying for such
4 offices; to provide for definitions; to provide for related matters; to provide for an effective
5 date; to repeal conflicting laws; and for other purposes.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF GEORGIA:

7 **SECTION 1.**

8 Chapter 2 of Title 21 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, relating to elections and
9 primaries generally, is amended in by revising paragraph (2) of subsection (c) of Code
10 Section 21-2-132, relating to filing notice of candidacy, nomination petition, and affidavit,
11 reopening qualifying period, payment of qualifying fee, and pauper's affidavit and qualifying
12 petition for exemption from qualifying fee, as follows:

13 "(2) Each candidate for a county ~~judicial~~ office, a local board of education office, or an
14 office of a consolidated government, or the candidate's agent, desiring to have his or her
15 name placed on the nonpartisan election ballot shall file notice of candidacy in the office
16 of the superintendent no earlier than 9:00 A.M. on the Monday of the eleventh week

17 immediately prior to the election and no later than 12:00 Noon on the Friday immediately
18 following such Monday, notwithstanding the fact that any such days may be legal
19 holidays;"

20

SECTION 2.

21 Said chapter is further amended by adding a new Code section to read as follows:

22 "21-2-140.

23 (a) As used in this Code section, the term:

24 (1) 'Consolidated law enforcement county' means any county where the elected office
25 of county coroner has been abolished.

26 (2) 'County office' means any office where the electors of a county elected the
27 officeholder. Such term shall include, but not be limited to, members of a county
28 governing authority, tax commissioners, clerks of superior court, solicitor-generals, and
29 where such offices are elected by the electors of such county, clerk of the state court and
30 county surveyor.

31 (b) Except as provided for in subsection (c) of this Code section, all candidates to fill
32 elected county offices in a consolidated law enforcement county shall be elected in
33 nonpartisan elections.

34 (c) The provisions of this Code section shall not apply to:

35 (1) The office of county sheriff; or

36 (2) Offices of county governing authorities established pursuant to or authorized by a
37 local constitutional amendment.

38 (d) County officers provided for in subsection (b) of this Code section shall be elected in
39 nonpartisan elections held and conducted in conjunction with the general primary in
40 even-numbered years in accordance with this chapter without a prior nonpartisan primary.
41 Except as otherwise provided in this Code section, the procedures employed in such
42 elections shall conform as nearly as practicable to the procedures governing nonpartisan

43 elections as provided in this chapter. Except as otherwise provided in this Code section,
44 the election procedures established by any existing local law which provides for the
45 partisan election of candidates to fill elected county offices shall be repealed and elections
46 for such county offices shall be conducted as nonpartisan elections accordance with the
47 applicable provisions of this chapter, notwithstanding the provisions of any existing local
48 law.

49 (e) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter to the contrary, all candidates to
50 fill the office of district attorney for a judicial circuit comprised solely of a consolidated
51 law enforcement county shall be elected in nonpartisan elections to be held as part of the
52 November election as that term is defined in paragraph (15) of Code Section 21-2-2
53 without a prior nonpartisan primary. No candidate for any such office shall be nominated
54 by a political party or by a petition as a candidate of a political body or as an independent
55 candidate. Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the procedures employed in
56 such elections shall conform as nearly as practicable to the procedures governing
57 nonpartisan elections as provided in this chapter. All candidates to fill the office of district
58 attorney for a judicial circuit comprised solely of a consolidated law enforcement county
59 shall qualify with the Secretary of State in the same manner and at the same time as
60 provided for candidates to qualify for the office of judge in Code Section 21-2-138."

61 **SECTION 3.**

62 This Act shall become effective on January 1, 2028.

63 **SECTION 4.**

64 All laws and parts of laws in conflict with this Act are repealed.