Document 49

ID #:2684

Filed 11/17/25 Page 1 of 6 Page

tase 2:25-cv-10616-JLS-WLH-KKL

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID TANGIPA; ERIC CHING; SAUL AYON; PETER HERNANDEZ; ROXANNE HOGE; JOEL GUITERREZ CAMPOS; SOLOMON VERDUZCO; PAUL RAMIREZ; JANE ORTIZ-WILSON; VERNON COSTA; RACHEL GUNTHER; DOUG BUCHANAN; SAYRS MORRIS; MIKE NETTER;

CHRISTINA RAUGHTON; KRISTI

HAYS; JAMES REID; MICHAEL TARDIF; ALEX GALICIA; AND

CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY,

Plaintiff,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

GAVIN NEWSOM, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE GOVERNOR OF CALIFORNIA; SHIRLEY WEBER, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:25-cv-10616

2CRACYDOCKET.COM

NOTICE OF OPPOSED MOTION TO INTERVENE AS DEFENDANT;

NOTICE OF MOTION AND OPPOSED MOTION TO INTERVENE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a)(2), Clarissa Cervantes, Antonio Madrigal, Jose Antonio Moreno Jr., Dr. Ines Ruiz-Huston, Dr. Gary Segura, and Isabel Solis. (Proposed Cervantes Intervenor-Defendants) respectfully request that this Court grant it leave to intervene of right as a defendant in this case. In the alternative, Proposed Cervantes Intervenor-Defendants respectfully requests this

NOTICE OF OPPOSED MOTION TO INTERVENE AS DEFENDANT; - 2

Court grant it leave to intervene under the permissive intervention standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b). Proposed Cervantes Intervenor-Defendants submit and incorporate the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of its motion. Proposed Cervantes Intervenor-Defendants also submit declarations for each individual intervenor (Exhibit A), a proposed Answer (Exhibit B) and proposed Order (Exhibit C).

Counsel conferred with counsel for the existing parties on November 17, 2025.

Counsel for the Tangipa Plaintiffs stated they would file an opposition to this motion to intervene but would not be filing an opposition to application for expedited consideration.

Counsel for the United States stated, "If you will abide by the stipulated briefing schedule and hearing date set forth in the court's order issued on November 14, then the United States takes no position." (Proposed Cervantes Intervenor-Defendants will of course abide by the Court ordered schedule. That said, they contend that the hearing timeline advocated for by Plaintiffs is unusually expedited given the fact-specific nature of their racial gerrymandering claim. Accordingly, Proposed Cervantes Intervenor-Defendants argue that the Court should take evidence to resolve the fact issues presented in the Motions for Preliminary Injunction and, in order for the parties to submit a record most beneficial to the Court, Proposed Cervantes Intervenor-Defendants advocate for a reasonable extension on

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the hearing date to allow for a reasonable disclosure period. Nevertheless, should the Court maintain the schedule it ordered, the Proposed Cervantes Intervenor-Defendants will abide.)

The LULAC and DCCC Intervenor-Defendants take no position on this intervention.

The Proposed Cervantes Intervenor-Defendants request that the Court grant the motion without a hearing. The Cervantes Intervenors will separately file their memorandum of points and authorities in support of their motion.

Dated November 17, 2025

/s/Sonni Waknin

Sonni Waknin California Bar # 335337 6417 N Figueroa St, #10 Los Angeles, CA 90042 732-610-1283 sonniwaknin@gmail.com

Chad W. Dunn * (Tex. Bar No. 24036507) Brazil & Dunn 1900 Pearl Street Austin, TX 78705 (512) 717-9822 chad@brazilanddunn.com

NOTICE OF OPPOSED MOTION TO INTERVENE AS DEFENDANT; - 4

Document 49 ID #:2688

ase 2:25-cv-10616-JLS-WLH-KKL

Page 5 of 6 Page

Filed 11/17/25

Document 49

Filed 11/17/25

Page 6 of 6 Page

ase 2:25-cv-10616-JLS-WLH-KKL