

JOINT STIPULATION

The Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on November 4, 2025, a statewide special election was held to determine the passage of Proposition 50.

WHEREAS, upon the passage of Proposition 50, the State of California will have different congressional districts for the 2026 election.

WHEREAS, December 19, 2025, is the first day that individuals who will be candidates in each congressional district can begin to gather signatures to qualify as a candidate in lieu of paying a candidate filing fee and therefore must know the district boundaries for these new districts before that date.

WHEREAS, on November 5, 2025, Plaintiffs filed a Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief alleging Defendants violated the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' Complaint Requests a Three-Judge Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2284.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs will be filing a Motion for Preliminary Injunction requesting the Three-Judge Court to enjoin from implementing, enforcing, or giving any effect to Proposition 50 and that the Three-Judge Court Order that the State use the Citizen Redistricting Commission's congressional maps that were used in 2022 and 2024 for the 2026 election during the pendency of this litigation.

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs believe that the Three-Judge Court must enter a decision on Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction by November 26, 2025 so that the non-prevailing party has sufficient time to pursue their appellate remedies before December 19, 2025, the unofficial start of the 2026 congressional campaigns.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs therefore believe that this Court should issue an order scheduling the hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction on a date and time convenient to the Three-Judge Court between November 18, 2025 and November 24, 2025.



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2 3 4

1

5

6 7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, although Defendants do not believe that preliminary injunctive relief is necessary or appropriate here or that a decision is necessary in the timeframe Plaintiffs request, they do not oppose Plaintiffs' request to set a hearing on Plaintiffs' request for a preliminary injunction between November 18, 2025 and November 24, 2025.

WHEREAS, Defendants' non-opposition to scheduling the hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction should not be construed by the parties or the Court as an agreement that this matter is appropriate for resolution on a motion for interim relief rather than after a full evidentiary hearing after sufficient time for the parties to develop the evidentiary record and brief these important issues on the merits.

WHEREAS, the parties agree that Plaintiffs will file their Motion for a Preliminary Injunction by close of business on November 7, 2025.

WHEREAS, the parties agree that Defendants will file their Opposition to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction on or before November 14, 2025.

WHEREAS, the parties agree that Plaintiffs will file their Reply to the Defendants Opposition on or before November 17, 2025.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs request that the Three-Judge Court schedule a hearing on Plaintiffs, Motion for Preliminary Injunction for a date after November 18, 2025, that will enable the Three-Judge Court to issue a decision of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction by November 26, 2025.

NOW THEREFORE, the Plaintiffs request that this Court issue an order scheduling the hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction on a date and time convenient to the Three-Judge Court between November 18, 2025 and November 24, 2025, and pursuant to stipulation, the parties request that the Court set a briefing schedule under which Defendants' opposition to the Motion shall be filed by 11:59 p.m. on November 14, 2025, and Plaintiffs' reply, if any, shall be filed no later than 11:59 pm on November 17, 2025.

Ca	se 2:25-cv-10616-JLS-KES Document 17 Filed 11/07/25 Page 4 of 4 Page ID #:375
1 2 3	Respectfully submitted, Dated: November 7, 2025 DHILLON LAW GROUP INC. By: _/s Mark P. Meuser
4 5 6 7	Mark P. Meuser Attorney for Plaintiffs
8 9	Date: November 7, 2025 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
10 11	By: <u>/s Jennifer Rosenberg</u> Jennifer Rosenberg Attorney for Defendants
12 13	20C/Fr
14	Attestation:
15	All signatories listed have concurred with the stipulation's content
16	and have authorized the filing. Detad. Nevember 7, 2025 DIJH LON LAW CROUD INC.
17	Dated: November 7, 2025 DHILLON LAW GROUP INC.
18	By: <u>/s Mark P. Meuser</u> Mark P. Meuser Attorney for Plaintiffs
19	Mark P. Meuser
20	Attorney for Plaintiffs
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3
7	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE CASE NO 2:25-ov-10616

