Court Document Not an O STATE OF MISSOURI

Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE)
ADVANCEMENT OF Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document
COLORED PEOPLE)
MISSOURI STATE CONFERENCEment) Not an Official Court Document Not an Official
PATRICIA A. JONES an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an
TRACI. L. WILSON KLEEKAMP
Plaintiff, at Notan Official Court Document (1984) Official Court E
v.) Case No. 25AC-CC06724
STATE OF MISSOURI)
Serve: Office of the Attorney General 227 East High St. Jefferson City, MO 65101 Moran Official Count Document Document Not an Official Count Document
)
MICHAEL KEHOE in his official capacity as Governor of Missouri RESTRAINING ORDER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
ANDREW BAILEY in his official Decame Not an Official Capacity as Attorney General of Missouri)
CINDY O'LAUGHLIN in her official) capacity as State Senator and President) Pro Tem of the Senate)
JON P ATTERSON, in his official (apacity as State Representative and (b) Speaker of the House (b) Not an Official (c)
/
Defendants) Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document No.

FIRST AMENDED PETITION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Comes now Plaintiffs the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People Missouri State Conference ("NAACP MO"), Patricia A. Jones ("Jones"), and Traci Wilson-

Kleekamp ("Wilson-Kleekamp") by and through undersigned counsel and for their Petition against Defendants State of Missouri ("State"), Governor Mike Kehoe ("Governor"), Attorney General Andrew Bailey ("Attorney General"), Senator and President Pro Tem of the Senate Cindy O'Laughlin ("Pro Tem"), and Representative and Speaker of the House Jon Patterson ("Speaker"), states as follows:

an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document

Plaintiffs bring this Verified Petition for Declaratory Judgment and Injunctive Relief, challenging the constitutionality of the Proclamation issued by the Governor on August 29, 2025, ("Proclamation") (Attached as Exhibit A) calling the legislature into an extraordinary session. The Proclamation purportedly was issued under the authority of Article IV, Section 9 of the Missouri Constitution and contains two general topic areas of consideration for the General Assembly – a new Congressional Map drafted under Article III, Section 45 and new laws relating to initiative petitions. The Governor also issued a Press Release (Attached as Exhibit B) to explain his Proclamation which included a proposed new Congressional Map (Attached as Exhibit C) and included reasoning for the extraordinary session different than that stated in the Proclamation. The General Assembly has adjourned from the extraordinary session after passing two pieces of legislation. This amended petition is for the purposes of requesting appropriate relief following this action.

This appears to be a case of first impression in Missouri. Article IV, Section 9 of the Missouri Constitution grants the Governor authority to convene the legislature "on extraordinary occasions." Although the question of what an "extraordinary occasion" under the Missouri Constitution has not been tested in the Courts, no governor has ever before convened the legislature based on similar facts.

Neither of the matters designated in the Proclamation reach the level of extraordinary occasion required by Article IV, Section 9. Additionally, neither Article IV, Sec. 9 nor Article III, Section 45 expressly grant the Governor the authority to request a new Congressional Map from the General Assembly without action from Congress.

Allowing an extraordinary session of the legislature when the constitutionality of the Proclamation is in doubt creates irreparable harm in that the additional costs attributable to the legislative session is in excess of \$25,000 per day based on the per diem and mileage payments authorized by Sections 21.140 and 21.145. Additional irreparable harms would be created by the undue burden on interested parties to travel to Jefferson City for the public hearings and to participate in the legislative process outside of the usual timeframe, uncertainty in the district boundaries both for constituents and anyone interested in filing for office, and the undue burden on legislators created by an early return to Jefferson City.

Plaintiffs seek a declaratory judgment that the Governor's Proclamation is unconstitutional and a temporary restraining order and preliminary and permanent injunctions against the convening of the legislature for an extraordinary session based upon said Proclamation.

Not an III ficia PARTIES ument Not an Official Court Docume

lot an Official Court Documen

- 1. Plaintiff National Association for the Advancement of Colored People Missouri State Conference ("NAACP MO") is an affiliate of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, a Delaware nonprofit corporation in good standing that conducts business in Missouri through its Missouri State Conference. The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People is the oldest and largest civil rights organization in the nation.
- 2. NAACP MO has members in over 35 of its Units across Missouri. The mission of the NAACP is to achieve equity, political rights, and social inclusion by advancing policies and

practices that expand human and civil rights, eliminate discrimination, and accelerate the well-being, education, and economic security of Black people and all persons of color.

- 3. Off Plaintiff Patricia A. Jones is a natural person, a resident and citizen of Jackson County, Missouri, and these United States.
- 4. Plaintiff Traci. L. Wilson Kleekamp is a natural person, a resident and citizen of Boone County, Missouri, and these United States.
- 5. Defendant State of Missouri enforces the Statutes and Constitution of Missouri and will be responsible for the implementation of legislation passed during the extraordinary session.
- 6. The Honorable Michael Kehoe is a natural person, the duly elected and currently serving Governor of Missouri, sued in his official capacity as a constitutional officer of the State of Missouri, whose official office is in Cole County.
- 7. The Honorable Andrew Bailey is a natural person, the duly elected and currently serving Attorney General of Missouri, sued in his official capacity as a constitutional officer of the State of Missouri, whose official office is in Cole County.
- 8. The Honorable Cindy O'Laughlin is a natural person, the duly elected and currently serving President Pro Tem of the Missouri Senate, sued in her official capacity as a constitutional officer of the State of Missouri, whose official office is in Cole County.
- 9. The Honorable Jon Patterson is a natural person, the duly elected and currently serving Speaker of the Missouri House, sued in his official capacity as a constitutional officer of the State of Missouri, whose official office is in Cole County.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article V, Section 14 of the Missouri Constitution and Section 527.010, RSMo.

11. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Section 508.010.2(1), RSMo, because Defendants officers of the State of Missouri sued in their official capacities whose offices are located in Cole County, Missouri.

Document Notan Off IV. FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS ment Notan Official

- 12. In April 2021, the US Census Bureau published the results of the decennial census and its reapportionment calculations, starting the process of reapportionment and redistricting in all 50 States.
- 13. Missouri's Congressional Redistricting is governed by Article III, Section 45 of the Missouri Constitution and requires the General Assembly to pass a law dividing the state into districts composed of contiguous territory as compact and as nearly equal in population as may be.
- 14. On March 1, 2022, then Representative Dan Shaul introduced redistricting legislation, House Bill 2909 entitled "AN ACT To repeal sections 128.345, 128.346, and 128.348, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof twelve new sections relating to the composition of congressional districts, with an emergency clause." (Traly Agreed and Finally Passed Version Attached as Exhibit D).
- 15. On May 9, 2022, House Bill 2909 was passed by the Missouri House by a vote of 101 Ayes to 47 Noes. The Emergency Clause was adopted by a vote of 114 Ayes to 34 Noes.
- 16. On May 11, 2022, House Bill 2909 was Truly Agreed and Finally Passed by the Senate by a vote of 22 Ayes to 11 Noes. The Emergency Clause was adopted by a vote of 29 Ayes to 4 Noes.
- 17. On May 18, 2022, House Bill 2909 was delivered to the Governor and was signed into law by the Governor on the same day.

- 18. House Bill 2909 went into effect on May 18, 2022, and applied to the 118th Congress election which was to be held in November 2022.
- 19. On November 8, 2022, an election for US Representatives was held with the district boundaries being those described in House Bill 2909.
- 20. On November 5, 2024, an election for US Representatives was held with the district boundaries being those described in House Bill 2909. This was the second election using that district maps approved by the legislature and signed into law by the Governor.
- 21. On August 29, 2025, the Governor issued a Press Release announcing a Proclamation convening an extraordinary session of the legislature under Article IV, Section 9 for the purpose of enacting legislation establishing revised congressional districts and amending the state's initiative petition process.
- 22. The Press Release contained several reasons for the Proclamation including "to ensure our districts and Constitution truly put Missouri values first."
- 23. The Press Release also contained a proposed "Missouri First Map" outlining a new set of Congressional Districts.
- 24. The "Missouri First Map" is substantially different than the districts passed into law by House Bill 2909.
- 25. The Proclamation convening the legislature into an extraordinary session lays out eleven "whereas" statements justifying the reasoning for the Proclamation.

"WHEREAS, the General Assembly has adjourned its regular legislative session without having enacted new congressional district boundaries; and

	WHEREAS, Article III, Section 45 of the Missouri Constitution authorizes the
	General Assembly to divide the state into districts for the United States House of
	Representatives; and West Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document
	WHEREAS, the State of Missouri's current congressional district map may be
	vulnerable to a legal challenge under the Voting Rights Act and the Fourteenth
	Amendment, due to a lack of compactness in certain districts; and
	WHEREAS, our congressional delegation should reflect the values of Missourians; and
	February 24, 2026; and Motal Court Document Note:
tan O	WHEREAS, legislation to establish new congressional districts for the State of
	Missouri cannot be accomplished in the 2026 Regular Session; and
	WHEREAS, the failure to establish new congressional districts constitutes an
	extraordinary occasion that warrants immediate legislative action; and
	WHEREAS, the swift and efficient resolution of this matter is necessary to prepare
	for the upcoming election cycle and to provide certainty for voters; and
	WHEREAS, a fair and transparent initiative petition process is essential for the
	citizens of the State of Missouri to propose and enact laws; and
	WHEREAS, the current initiative petition process may be vulnerable to foreign and Court Document Not an Official Court Docum
Nota	WHEREAS, certain ballot initiatives can be confusing to voters and lead to
	unintended consequences; and"
	(Exhibit A, P. 1)

- Assembly without passage of new congressional district boundaries (although no new congressional maps are required until after the 2030 census) and the speculation that the current congressional district map may be vulnerable to a legal challenge from unnamed persons for unexplained reasons.
- 27. Other "whereas" statements generally relate to the need for a "fair and transparent" initiative petition process free from unspecified influence from unnamed foreign sources.
- 28. Together these eleven statements provide the official justification that an an an an official country occasion exists.
- 29. The Proclamation then convenes the legislature beginning at Noon on Wednesday, September 3, 2025, for two purposes:
- 1. To enact legislation to establish new congressional districts for the State of Missouri.
- 2. To enact legislation to amend the state's initiative petition process as follows:
- Notan Official Coura. To ban foreign nationals from contributing to committees for or
 - b. To establish a criminal election offense for fraudulently signing or gathering signatures for a statewide ballot measure; and
- c. To provide that a statewide ballot measure be passed only if a

 Notan Official Cour Document majority of voters statewide and a majority of voters in each congressional district vote to adopt the proposed measure; and

- d. To require that before a statewide ballot measure is certified for signatures to be gathered, there shall be an opportunity for public comment; and e. To require that the full text of a statewide ballot measure be printed and available to voters at all election sites and polling places.

 (Exhibit A P. 2)
- 30. The US Census Bureau has not issued new apportionment calculations since the April 2021 publication.
- 31. On information and belief, the Governor has not received certified numbers from the House of the Congress of the United State triggering the processes of Article III, Section 45 after May 18, 2022, when the current district boundaries when into effect with House Bill 2909.
- 32. On information and belief, only two challenges to the district boundaries passed in House Bill 2909 have been filed, and neither was pursued to conclusion by the plaintiffs. See *Berry v. Ashcroft*, U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, St. Louis Division No. 4:22-cv-465; *Thomas v. Missouri*, Missouri Circuit Court, Cole County No. 22AC-CC00222.
- 33. On December 1, 2024, then Senator Ben Brown introduced Senate Bill 152 entitled "AN ACT To amend chapter 130, RSMo, by adding thereto six new sections relating to campaign finance." (Truly Agreed and Finally Passed Version Attached as Exhibit E).
- 34. On March 27, 2025, Senate Bill 152 was passed by the Missouri Senate by a vote Missal Court Document Not an Official Cou
- 35. On May 15, 2025, Senate Bill 152 was Truly Agreed and Finally Passed by the House by a vote of 94 Ayes to 47 Noes.
 - 36. On July 9, 2025, Senate Bill 152 was signed into law by the Governor.

- 37. Senate Bill 152 went into effect on August 28, 2025.
- Senate Bill 152 contained several provisions which restricted donations from foreign nationals and the use of foreign funds for the purposes of ballot measures.
- Docum 39. Missouri statutes make it a crime to fraudulently gather signatures for an initiative petition under Section 116.090 RSMo. This section was amended in 2013.
- 40. Missouri provides an opportunity for public comment on every initiative petition filed with the Secretary of State under Section 116.153 and 116.334 RSMo. These sections were amended in 2014 and 2025 respectively.
- 41. Missouri requires copies of the full text of each statewide ballot measure to be made available at each polling place under Section 116.290 RSMo. This section was amended in 1983.
- 42. All but one of the actions deemed necessary by the Governor in the Proclamation appear to have already occurred.
- 43. The only matter designated by the proclamation which has not already been enacted is in part 2 subsection c of the proclamation and is "To provide that a statewide ballot measure be passed only if a majority of voters statewide and a majority of voters in each congressional district vote to adopt the proposed measure..."
- 44. There has been ample time for potential challenges to the district boundaries established by House Bill 2909 and none has been forthcoming.
- 45. The General Assembly has convened and adjourned pursuant to the Proclamation Micial Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document National Court Document National

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I – DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT THE GOVERNOR'S PROCLAMATION FAILS TO STATE AN EXTRAORDINARY OCCASSION AS REQUIRED BY ARTICLE IV SECTION 9.

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all proceeding paragraphs of this Petition as if fully set forth herein. We all Court Document. Not an Official Court Document.
- RSMo.

 Not an Official Court Document. Not an Official Court Document.
 - 48. Article IV, Section 9 of the Missouri Constitution provides:

The governor shall, at the commencement of each session of the general assembly, at the close of his term of office, and at such other times as he may deem necessary, give to the general assembly information as to the state of the government, and shall recommend to its consideration such measures as he shall deem necessary and expedient. On extraordinary occasions he may convene the general assembly by proclamation, wherein he shall state specifically each matter on which action is deemed necessary. (Emphasis added).

49. Since 1944, there have been at least 33 extraordinary sessions convened by the Governor.

Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Smart Document Not an Offic

- 50. The matters designated by the Governor for these extraordinary sessions range from emergency appropriations to motor vehicle sales tax.
- Article, IV, Section 9 requires an "extraordinary occasion" before the Governor can convene the legislature.
- 52. The definition of and parameters around the term "extraordinary occasion" is a question of first impression for this Court.
- 53. Where there is no specific definition of a legislative or Constitutional term, the ordinary meaning canon of legislative interpretation applies.
 - 54. The term "extraordinary" in common usage means:

- Out of the ordinary; exceeding the usual, average, or normal measure or out of the common order, method or rule; not usual, regular, or normal of a customary kind; remarkable; uncommon; rare; employed for an exceptional purpose or on a special occasion. (Black's Law Dictionary Sixth Edition)

 Beyond what is common or usual: remarkable. (Webster's II Revised Edition)
 - 55. The term "occasion" in common usage means:
- a. That which provides an opportunity for the causal agency to act. Meaning not only particular time but carrying idea of opportunity, necessity or need, or even cause in a limited sense. Condition of affairs; juncture entailing need; exigency; or juncture affording ground or reason for something. (Black's Law Dictionary Sixth Edition)
- b. An event, especially a notable event. The time at which something occurs.

 A favorable moment: opportunity. Something that brings on an event. A need created by particular circumstances. (Webster's II Revised Edition)
- Taken together the phrase "extraordinary occasion" would appear to mean a set of circumstances that require action or bring about an event.
- 57. Nothing in the Governor's Proclamation indicates a change in Missouri's circumstances that requires action.
- and have already been acted upon by the General Assembly. Thus, the Proclamation fails because, none of the actions are "necessary" as required by Article, IV, Section 9. Nothing sought in the Proclamation is required for the next election for US Representatives to take place in 2026.

- 59. The Governor references the potential for litigation over the constitutionality of the congressional boundaries but provides no support for this claim. Furthermore, the mere threat of litigation would not require redrawing district maps. Such action would be "necessary" only if a litigant actually initiated a lawsuit, prevailed against the State, and Missouri was ordered by a court to redraw district lines. None of that has transpired. There also is no allegation by anyone that the maps as they exist violate the one-person one-vote principal. Thus, there is no legitimate threat to the constitutionality of the current maps.
- 60. A review of the history of extraordinary sessions after the 1971 move to annual legislative sessions will likely show a trend away from the original purpose of emergency spending and interim changes to Federal requirements.
- basis to designate matters the legislature addressed but failed to pass during their regular session.

 That is not the case here. The last time the legislature addressed drawing district lines was when it was required to do so after the results of the 2020 Census.
- 62. Broad discretion given to the Governor under the doctrine of separation of powers does not need to be limitless.
- 63. The separation of powers doctrine does not, for example, require the Courts to allow the executive to act without legislative authority or in violation of the Constitution.
- 64. In this instance, the Court should require a minimum showing of some set of the circumstances or events outside of the normal course of business to justify the convening of the Nation Official Court Document legislature in an extraordinary session under Art. IV Sec. 9.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court:

- A. Enter judgment declaring the Governor's Proclamation to be insufficient under

 Article IV, Section 9;

 B. Grant preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting Defendants from
- Documenting any legislation or joint resolutions passed pursuant to the Proclamation;
- C. Declare any legislation passed pursuant to the Proclamation to be invalid
- D. An award of costs under Section 527.100; and
 - E. Such other relief as is just and proper.

COUNT II – REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION THE PROCLAMATION ISSUED BY THE GOVERNOR ON AUGUST 29, 2025 IS INSUFFICIENT AND THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY MAY NOT CONVENE PURSUANT TO ITS PROVISIONS.

- 65. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all proceeding paragraphs of this Petition as if fully set forth herein.
- 66. Plaintiff is requesting a preliminary injunction pursuant to section 526.030 RSMo.
- 67. Injunctions may be grapted by the Court under Section 526.030 RSMo in cases where other remedies would not be adequate.
- 68. A temporary injunction may be granted when it appears the plaintiff is entitled to relief, there is a likelihood of action during pending litigation, and irreparable harm would result, Section 526.050 RSMo.
- 69. There is no reason to believe that Plaintiffs will not succeed in their claims after Micial Court Document Notan Official Court Document Notan Official
- 70. The Plaintiffs in this case has clearly laid out a series of questions of first impression properly before the Court.

- 71. There is a certainty of action being taken during the pendency of this case absent an injunction.
- No. 72. Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official
- 73. Irreparable harm in the form of confusion over congressional boundaries and whether a measure relating to initiative petitions will appear on the ballot, costs to the taxpayers, and undue burden to participants in the process will result absent an injunction.
- 74. Non-monetary harms such as the undue burden on interested parties in the filing of legal challenges to the language of House Bill 3 which must be filed within 10 days of certification of the title, and uncertainty in the district boundaries both for constituents and anyone interested in filing for office.
- 75. The non-monetary harms caused by the legislature's convening cannot be remedied by monetary damages.
- 76. It is in the public interest to delay the implementation of any legislation until the underlying issue of Constitutionality has been resolved.
- 77. Absent injunctive relief, Plaintiffs, as taxpayers, will suffer immediate and irreparable harm in the form of additional costs and confusion.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court:
- A. Issue a preliminary injunction prohibiting Defendants from implementing any fficial Court Document Not an Official Court
- C. An award of costs under Section 527.100; and
 - D. Such other relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an O
Official Court Document Not an Official Court Mittel Not an Official Court Document
t Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Do
Sharon Geuea Jones 64943
Document Not an Official Court Document Jones Advocacy Group Document Not an Official 227 Jefferson St.
Phone: 573-808-2156
Email: sharon@jonesadvocacy.com
Nimrod T. Chapel, Jr. #46875
Notan Official Court Document (1984) THE CHAPEL LAW GROUP LLC Micial Court L
311 West Dunklin
Phone: 573-303-0405
Fax: 573_303_9709
Email: nimrod@chapellaw.com
C. Austin Reams #66825
REAMS LAW
Not an Uticial Court Document No. 9208 North Kelley Ave. We Not an Uticial Court
Oklahoma City, OK 73131
Telephone: 405-285-6878
Fax: 405-840-1164 Email: austin@reams.law
ficial Court Document Not an OK Pal Court Document Not
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
lot an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Docume
ment - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Cour
urt Document - Not an Official Court - Not an Official Co
Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document Not an Official Court Document No
Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Docu