ı	TUE UO	NORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR	
1	1	NORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR	
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8	AT SEATTLE		
9	9 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al., CASI	E NO. C25-0127-JCC	
10	0 Plaintiffs, CON	SOLIDATION ORDER	
11	1   v.	CKE	
12	2 DONALD TRUMP, et al.,		
13	Defendants.		
14	4		
15		E NO. C25-0163-JCC	
16	6	E NO. C25-0103-JCC	
17	7 Plaintiffs,		
18	8 DONALD TRUMP, et al.,		
19			
20	0 Defendants.		
21	1		
22	2		
23	This matter comes before the Court <i>sua sponte</i> . Or	This matter comes before the Court <i>sua sponte</i> . On January 20, 2025, President Trump	
24	4 ssued an executive order entitled "Protecting the Meaning	issued an executive order entitled "Protecting the Meaning and Value of American Citizensip."	
25	5   See C25-0127-JCC, Dkt. No. 43 at 1. It directs agencies n	See C25-0127-JCC, Dkt. No. 43 at 1. It directs agencies not to recognize the U.S. citizenship	
26	rights of certain children born within the United States "30 days from the date of this order."		
	CONSOLIDATION ORDER		

C25-0127-JCC / C25-0163-JCC PAGE - 1 C25-0127-JCC, Dkt. No. 1 at 36.

Shortly thereafter, the states of Washington, Oregon, Arizona, and Illinois ("Plaintiff States") filed suit in this Court, seeking to enjoin enforcement of the President's order. *See generally id.* The Court issued a temporary restraining order enjoining implementation and enforcement of President Trump's order and set a preliminary injunction hearing for February 6, 2025. *See* C25-0127-JCC, Dkt. Nos. 43, 44. The following day, Delmy Franco Aleman, Cherly Norales Castillo, Alicia Chavarria Lopez<sup>1</sup> ("Individual Plaintiffs") filed suit seeking similar relief as the Plaintiff States. *See* C25-0163-JCC, Dkt. No. 1. The Individual Plaintiffs also filed notice pursuant to LCR 3(g) of a related case—case number C25-0127-JCC. *See* C25-0163-JCC, Dkt. No. 2. As indicated in the notice, both cases "present the same questions regarding the constitutionality and legality of President Trump's Executive Order." *Id.* at 2.

If multiple actions before the Court involve a common question of law or fact, the Court may consolidate the actions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a)(2). The Court has substantial discretion in determining whether to do so. *See Inv'rs Research Co. v. U.S. Dist. Court for Cent. Dist. of Cal.*, 877 F.2d 777, 777 (9th Cir. 1989). Once a common question of law or fact is identified, the Court considers factors such as the interests of justice, expeditious results, conservation of resources, avoiding inconsistent results, and the potential of prejudice. *See* Wright & Miller, 9A Fed. Prac. & Proc. Civ. § 2383 (3d ed.).

Here, both suits are brought against, ostensibly, the same defendants. *Compare* C25-0127-JCC, Dkt. No. 1, *with* C25-0163-JCC, Dkt. No. 1. And while the plaintiffs and their interests vary, the relief they seek turn on the same core legal issue: the constitutionality and legality of President Trump's order. *Id.* Therefore, to ensure consistent results, conserve resources, and avoid prejudice, the Court FINDS consolidation warranted in this instance. Accordingly, it ORDERS that the following cases be consolidated: C25-0127-JCC and C25-

All of whom are pregnant noncitizens living in the United States with due dates more than 30 days following President Trump's order. *See* C25-0163-JCC, Dkt. No. 1 at 13–15.

1	0163-JCC. All future filings shall bear the caption and case number of the case first filed in this	
2	district, State of Washington, et al., v. Donald Trump, et al., C25-0127-JCC. The remaining case	
3	C25-0163-JCC, shall be CLOSED, and any case management deadlines set in that case shall be	
4	VACATED.	
5	In light of the temporary relief already provided to the Plaintiff States, see C25-0127-	
6	JCC, Dkt. No. 43, and the pendency of a preliminary injunction hearing, scheduled for February	
7	6, 2025, see C25-0127-JCC, Dkt. No. 44, the Court sets the following supplemental deadlines:	
8	The Individual Plaintiffs may supplement the Plaintiff States' anticipated motion	
9	for a preliminary injunction, no later than January 29, 2025.	
10	The Individual Plaintiffs may file a supplemental reply to the Government's	
11	anticipated response (due January 31, 2025) on or before February 4, 2025.	
12	The Individual Plaintiffs shall appear at the preliminary injunction hearing set for	
13	10:00 a.m. on February 6, 2025.	
14	• The Plaintiff States and the Individual Plaintiffs are ORDERED to file a	
15	consolidated complaint no later than February 10, 2025.	
16		
17	DATED this 27th day of January 2025.	
18		
19	John C Coyler and	
20	John C. Coughenour	
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		