

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF OCONEE COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

SUZANNAH HEIMEL,
Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. SUSR2024000058-LL

v.

SHARON GREGG and JAY HANLEY,
Defendants,

**PETITIONER'S MOTION IN OPPOSITION TO WOULD-BE
INTERVENER'S MOTION TO INTERVENE**

Comes now, Suzannah Heimel, Petitioner, to oppose would-be intervener's
Motion to Intervene, based on the following:

1. Petitioner has no standing as she does not represent the county.
2. Petitioner is not a county employee with the Board of Elections.
3. Petitioner is not one of the named people in the case.

4. Petitioner is a county employee and did not disclose this to the court.

5. Motion was improperly drafted and improperly served, as email service is only available for copies. Petitioner's phone number, email, address were not included, which is a violation of certificate of service. Only office phone numbers were included. Cell phone numbers should have been provided for all.

At least two of the named attorneys are not members of the State Georgia of Bar. They do not disclose which Bar they are members of. They did not file a *pro hac vice* motion and they are filing into this case. Their involvement in this case is presumptuous and inappropriate.

1. Sophia Lin Lakin

2. Theresa J. Lee

Attorneys not members of the State Bar of Georgia are welcomed into Oconee County to visit our historical sites and eat at our distinguished restaurants, but they are not able to appear in our courts.

6. This MOTION TO INTERVENE was sent to the Plaintiff late on Friday night, October 4, via email. It was entitled DRAFT: SERVICE OF COURT DOCUMENT. At the time of this writing it has still not been filed into Peach Court.

7. Plaintiff's action is an EMERGENCY ACTION. Would-be Intervener's motion is inappropriate because the Plaintiff filed a Petition for Emergency

Mandamus because the election is upcoming. Early voting starts in less than two weeks. The Akerman Law Firm is located in Atlanta and New York. They are not able to show up for an emergency hearing.

8. Mandamus action is an action that is against a specific government official/s. Therefore, only those government officials can respond. The Akerman Law Firm cannot represent the two named parties. They have no standing in this individual petition. Only those two named people can respond.

Mandamus is an action that has hundreds of years' history in English and American courts. I'm surprised the Akerman Law Firm is unaware of this rich history.

9. Would-be Petitioner's Motion to Intervene has been improperly delayed in its filing. The Motion to Intervene must be filed without delay. The Mandamus was filed September 4, 2024. The Motion to Intervene was not filed until 11:18PM on Friday, October 4, 2024, 3 days before the scheduled hearing. An intervener has the duty to file in a proper and timely manner. The intervener has failed to file in a proper and timely manner. This appeal appears to be a deliberate delay for tactical advantage.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Petitioner requests that this court DENY would-be Intervener's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted on this 6th day of October, 2024.

/s/ Suzannah HeimeI

Suzannah HeimeI, Pro Se Petitioner

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM