IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

ETERNAL VIGILANCE ACTION, INC., SCOT TURNER, and JAMES HALL,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Case No. 24CV011558

STATE OF GEORGIA,

Defendant,

[PROPOSED] ANSWER BY THE REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE AND GEORGIA REPUBLICAN PARTY

Thomas R. McCarthy* Gilbert C. Dickey* Conor D. Woodfin* CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC 1600 Wilson Boulevard, Ste. 700 Arlington, VA 22209

Alex B. Kaufman Georgia Bar No. 43607 CHALMERS, ADAMS, BACKER & KAUFMAN, LLC 11770 Haynes Bridge Road #205-219 Alpharetta, GA 30009-1968 William Bradley Carver, Sr. Georgia Bar No. 115529 HALL BOOTH SMITH, P.C. 191 Peachtree Street NE, Ste. 2900 Atlanta, GA 30303

Baxter D. Drennon Georgia Bar No. 241446 HALL BOOTH SMITH, P.C. 200 River Market Avenue, Ste. 500 Little Rock, AR 72201

*pro hac vice forthcoming

Proposed Intervenors—the Republican National Committee and the Georgia Republican Party, Inc.—answer the Plaintiffs' complaint. Unless expressly admitted below, every allegation in the complaint is denied. Accordingly, Intervenors state:

INTRODUCTION

1. The rules adopted by the Georgia State Election Board speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

PARTIES

2. The cited statute speaks for itself. The portion of the paragraph asserting that Plaintiff Eternal Vigilance Action, Inc. has standing is a legal conclusion to which no response is required. Intervenors otherwise lack sufficient information to admit or deny the other allegations made in the paragraph.

3. The portion of the paragraph claiming that Plaintiff Scot Turner has standing is a legal conclusion to which no response is required. Intervenors otherwise lack sufficient information to admit or deny the other allegations made in the paragraph.

4. The portion of the paragraph claiming that Plaintiff James Hall has standing is a legal conclusion to which no response is required. Intervenors otherwise lack sufficient information to admit or deny the other allegations made in the paragraph.

5. The cited statutes and constitutional provisions speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

6. The cited statutes and constitutional provisions speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

VENUE AND PERSONAL JURISDICTION

7. The cited statutes and constitutional provisions speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

FACTUAL AND LEGAL BACKGROUND

8. The cited statute speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

9. The cited statute speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

10. The cited statute speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

11. The cited statute speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

12. This paragraph contains legal conclusions to which no response is required.

13. The cited constitutional provision speaks for itself.

14. The cited authority speaks for itself.

15. The cited authority speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

16. The cited authority speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

17. The cited authorities speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

18. The cited authorities speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

19. The cited statute speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

20. Admit.

21. The cited statute speaks for itself.

22. The cited statutes speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

23. The cited statutes speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

24. The cited statutes speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

25. The cited statutes speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

26. The cited statute speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

27. The cited statutes speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

28. The cited statute speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

29. The cited statute speaks for itself.

30. The cited statutes speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

31. The cited statutes speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

32. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

33. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

34. Intervenors admit that the SEB passed some rules or amendments by a 3-2 vote. The remaining allegations of this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

35. The cited rule speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

36. The cited statutes speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

37. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

38. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

39. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

40. The cited rule speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

41. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required

42. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

43. This paragraph consists of legal conclusion to which no response is required.

44. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

45. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

46. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

47. The cited rule speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

48. The paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

49. The cited authorities speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

50. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

51. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

52. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

53. The cited rule speaks for itself.

54. The cited statute speaks for itself. The rest of the allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

55. The cited statutes and regulations speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

56. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

57. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is re-58. quired.

59. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is re-60. 1DOCKET.COM quired.

COUNT J

- 61. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.
- Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief. 62.

COUNT II

- 63. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.
- Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief. 64.

COUNT III

- 65. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.
- 66. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

<u>COUNT IV</u>

- 67. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.
- 68. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

<u>COUNT V</u>

- 69. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.
- 70. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.
- 71. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

<u>COUNT VI</u>

- 72. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.
- 73. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.
- 74. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

<u>COUNT VII</u>

75. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.

76. The cited rule and statute speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required

77. The cited statute speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

78. The cited statute speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

79. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

80. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

COUNT VIII

81. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.

82. The cited rule and statute speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph are legal conclusions to which no response is required.

83. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

84. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required..

85. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

86. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

COUNT IX

87. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.

88. The cited rule and statute speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

89. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

90. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

91. This paragraph consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

92. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

COUNT X

- 93. Intervenors incorporate their prior responses.
- 94. Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

RESPONSE TO PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to their requested relief.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiffs' claims are not justiciable.
- 2. The allegations in the complaint fail to state claim.
- 3. Plaintiffs are barred from obtaining the requested relief with an election ding.

impending.

Respectfully submitted this 26th day of September, 2024.

/s/ William Bradley Carver, Sr.

Thomas R. McCarthy* Gilbert C. Dickey* Conor D. Woodfin* CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC 1600 Wilson Boulevard Suite 700 Arlington, VA 22209 (703) 243-9423 tom@consovoymccarthy.com gilbert@consovoymccarthy.com conor@consovoymccarthy.com

*pro hac vice forthcoming

William Bradley Carver, Sr. Georgia Bar No. 115529 HALL BOOTH SMITH, P.C. 191 Peachtree Street NE Suite 2900 Atlanta, GA 30303 (404) 954-5000 BCarver@hallboothsmith.com

Baxter D. Drennon Georgia Bar No. 241446 HALL BOOTH SMITH, P.C. 200 River Market Avenue Suite 500 Littly (501) BDrei Counsel for Applicants Little Rock, AR 72201 (501) 319-6996 BDrennon@hallboothsmith.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 27th day of September, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **[PROPOSED] ANSWER BY THE REPUBLICAN NA-TIONAL COMMITTEE AND GEORGIA REPUBLICAN PARTY** was electronically filed with the Court using the Court's eFileGA electronic filing system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to all attorneys of record, and was additionally served by emailing a copy to the currently known counsel of named parties and proposed intervenors as listed below:

Wright Banks Chief Deputy of the Office of the Attorney General wbanks@law.ga.gov

Kristyn Long Executive Counsel in the Office of Governor Brian Kemp kristyn.long@georgia.gov

Elizabeth Young Senior Assistant Attorney General eyoung@law.ga.gov

Attorneys for Defendant

Christopher S. Anulewicz Georgia Bar No. 020914 canulewicz@bradley.com

Jonathan R. DeLuca Georgia Bar No. 228413 jdeluca@bradley.com

Wayne R. Beckermann Georgia Bar No. 747995 wbeckermann@bradley.com

Marc James Ayers Pro hac to be applied for mayers@bradley.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

/s/ William Bradley Carver, Sr.