DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

Nye
Case No. W24-046 County, Nevada
(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

I. Party Information (provide both home a	nd mailing addresses if different)		
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		Defendant(s) (name/address/phone):	
American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada		The County of Nye	
Foundation, 702-366-1226, 4362 W. Cheyenne Ave, North Las Vegas, NV 89032		Interim County Clerk, Cori Freidhof, 1520 E. Basin Avenue, Pahrump, NV 89060	
Jacob Smith, 4362 W. Cheyenne Ave, N	lorth Las Vegas, NV 89032	775-482-8127	
E-mail address:		E-mail address:	
Attorney (name/address/phone):		Attorney (name/address/phone):	
Sadmira Ramic, Christoph	er Peterson	Nye County District Attorney, Brian Kuzi, 1520 Basin Ave	
4362 W. Cheyenne Ave, North La	s Vegas, NV 89032	#105, Pahrump, Nv. 89060	
Law Firm/Bar # 15984,13932		Law Firm/Bar #	
E-mail address; ramic@aclunv.org		E-mail address:	
II. Nature of Controversy (Please Select to	he Primary Complaint or the One M		
Civil Case Filing Types	or and on the one in	osc Appricame Cuse Type Below)	
Real Property		Torts	
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence	Other Torts	
Unlawful Detainer	Auto	Product Liability	
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability	Intentional Misconduct	
Title to Property	Other Negligence	Employment Tort	
Judicial Foreclosure Foreclosure Mediation Assistance	Malpractice	Insurance Tort	
Other Title to Property (e.g., Quiet Title)	Medical/Dental	Other Tort	
Other Real Property	Legal		
Condemnation/Eminent Domain	Accounting Other Malpractice		
Other Real Property		,0°	
Probate	Construction Defect & Cont	ract Judicial Review/Appeal	
Probate (select case type and estate value)	Construction Defect	Judicial Review	
Summary Administration	Chapter 40	Petition to Seal Records	
General Administration	Other Construction Defect	Mental Competency (in Lower Court Proceedings)	
Special Administration	Contract Case	Nevada State Agency Appeal	
Set Aside () Surviving Spouse	Uniform Commercial Code	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Trust/Conservatorship	Fuilding and Construction	Worker's Compensation	
Other Probate	Insurance Carrier	Other Nevada State Agency	
Estate Value \$300,000 or Greater	Collection of Assessed	Appeal Other	
\$200,000-\$299,999	Collection of Accounts	Appeal from Justice/Municipal Court	
\$100,001-\$199,999 \$25,001-\$100,000	Employment Contract Other Contract	Other Judicial Review/Appeal	
\$20,001-\$25,000	Other Connact		
\$2,501-20,000 \$2,500 or less			
land of the state			
Civil Writ		Other Civil Filing	
Civil Writ		Other Civil Filing	
Writ of Habeas Corpus	Writ of Prohibition	Compromise of Minor's Claim	
Writ of Mandamus	Other Civil Writ	Foreign Judgment	
Writ of Quo Warrant		Other Civil Matters	
Business Co.	urt filings should be filed using t	he Business Court civil coversheet.	
9/10/2024			
Date	-	Signature of initiating party or representative	

See other side for family/juvenile-related case filings.

	WMAN	
1	SADMIRA RAMIC, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No.: 15984	FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	CHRISTOPHER M. PETERSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 13932	
3	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES	SEP 1 0 2024
4	UNION OF NEVADA	Nye County Clerk
5	4362 W. Cheyenne Ave. North Las Vegas, NV 89032	Brittani Smith Deputy
3	Telephone: (702) 366-1226	•
6	Facsimile: (702) 830-9205	
7	Email: ramic@aclunv.org Attorneys for Petitioners	
8	FIFTH JUDICIAL DIST	TRICT COURT
9	NYE COUNTY, N	NEVADA
10	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF	NEVADA Case No.: CV24-04-01
11	NEVADA FOUNDATION, a domestic nonprofit	,00
11	corporation, and JACOB SMITH, an individual, Petitioners,	Department:
12	r etitioners,	HEARING REQUESTED
13	vs.	
	The COUNTY OF NYE, a governmental entity, and	ARBITRATION EXEMPTION CLAIMED
14	CORI FREIDHOF, in her official capacity as	CLAIMED
15	interim county clerk,	IMMEDIATE ACTION
16	Respondents.	REQUESTED BY OCTOBER 3, 2024
		OCTOBER 3, 2024
17	EMERGENCY PETITION FOR WRIT OF MA	
18	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE TO PERMIT (PROCESSING OF BALLOTS DURING EARLY	
10	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY	
19		
20	The Petitioners, the American Civil Liberties	Union of Nevada Foundation ("ACLU of
21	Nevada"), and Jacob Smith by and through counsel	Sadmira Ramic, Esq., and Christopher M.
22	Peterson, Esq. of the American Civil Liberties Union	of Nevada, hereby bring this Petition for
23	Writ of Mandamus (NRS Chapter 34) and Complaint	for declaratory and injunctive relief (NRS
24	Chapter 33).	
25		
•		. '

Petitioners are seeking an order declaring that Respondents violated NRS 293.274. NRS 293B.330, and Petitioners' right to access information pursuant to Article I, Section 9 of the Nevada Constitution, when they prohibited the Petitioner Jacob Smith, in his capacity as an observer for Petitioner ACLU of Nevada, from observing voting and the handling and processing of ballots during the 2024 Presidential Preference Primary election due to Petitioner Smith's categorization as a "nonpartisan" observer though space was available in the observation area. Additionally, Petitioners seek a declaration that Nye County's policy limiting observer access based upon whether an observer is observing on behalf of the Democratic Party, Republican Party, or an organization Nye County classifies as "non-partisan" is viewpoint discrimination in violation of Petitioners' free speech rights under the Nevada Constitution. Furthermore, Petitioners seek an injunction enjoining Defendants from excluding Petitioners and other members of the general public from observing the conduct of voting and the handling and processing of ballots during the 2024 General Election, and all elections going forward, when sufficient room exists in the designated observation areas. Petitioners seek reasonable costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to NRS 34.270, NRS 18.010, and 42 U.S.C § 1988(b). This Petition is supported by the pleadings and papers filed with this Court, and any attached exhibits.

The Court should issue a writ of mandamus and order the requested relief as soon as possible, but not later than October 3, 2024. If these violations are permitted to proceed, and if not remedied before the start of early voting on October 19, 2024, individuals who intend on observing the election process during the 2024 General Election face the imminent risk of having their fundamental rights infringed upon. The time constraint, the inability to seek a remedy after the election, and the importance of the upcoming election warrant a ruling on an emergency and expedited basis.

24

25

22

23

INTRODUCTION

Transparency is essential in ensuring public trust in the administration of elections and has been a hallmark of our democracy since our nation's founding. Perhaps there is no greater example of transparency than the opportunity for the public to observe the election process. By observing our election procedures first-hand, people participate in our democracy, hold the government accountable, and independently verify that our elections process is conducted accurately and fairly.

For Nevadans, NRS Chapters 293, 293B, and 293C protect the public's right to access and observe the administration of elections. These laws require county clerks to allow members of the general public to observe the conduct of voting at a polling place and vote count centers, and cannot prevent observers from communicating with individuals inside polling locations, including election board officers, unless such action is explicitly limited or prohibited by Nevada law. No law within the Nevada Revised Statutes authorizes a local government to limit theses rights, let alone impose limits based upon the political affiliation of the observer. Beyond statutory protections, Article I, Section 9 of the Nevada Constitution protects the right to observe governmental functions as a qualified right to access information fundamental to engaging in traditional activities related to free speech and prohibits viewpoint discrimination based on political affiliation in the absence of a compelling state interest served by a restriction narrowly tailored to meet that interest.

Despite clear statutory and constitutional authority requiring election processes to be open to observation by the public, the Nye County Clerk's Office and then Clerk Mark Kampf blocked Jacob Smith, an employee of the ACLU of Nevada carrying out the ACLU's Voter Protection Alliance Program, from observing election processes occurring at the Nye County Bob Ruud Community Center during the February 2024 Presidential Preference Primary. According to Mr. Kampf's statements to Mr. Smith and later to the Nevada Secretary of State's Office, the Nye County Clerk's Office maintains a policy admitting only one observer per political affiliation in

an observation area at a time. The County imposes this limitation, allowing only one "non-partisan" observer at a time, even if there is space available for additional observers. In doing so, Nye County violated Mr. Smith's and the ACLU of Nevada's right to observe the election process pursuant to Nevada law, and unconstitutionally restricted their right to access information on government activities.

Additionally, the Nye County Clerk's Office has expressed that it will restrict access to observe election procedures in future elections based upon whether the person is designated as a Republican, Democrat, or non-partisan observer. In light of this admission, Petitioners reasonably believe they will face similar unlawful restrictions on their rights during the upcoming 2024 General Election. Accordingly, Petitioners seek mandamus to affirm their statutory and constitutional rights to observe voting and the processing of ballots at polling locations, and to enjoin Defendants from continuing to commit clear violations of the law.

PARTIES

- 1. Petitioner, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF NEVADA FOUNDATION, is a domestic nonprofit, non-partisan organization that works to defend and advance the civil liberties and civil rights, including the fundamental right to vote, of all Nevadans operating in the State of Nevada.
- 2. Petitioner, JACOB SMITH, is a staff attorney employed by the ACLU of Nevada who has routinely engaged and will continue to engage in observing elections in Nevada on behalf of the affiliate through the ACLU of Nevada's Voter Protection Alliance Program.
- 3. Respondent, COUNTY OF NYE, is a governmental entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nevada.
- 4. Respondent, CORI FREIDHOF, is the interim clerk of Nye County and is the primary election administrator for Nye County.

25

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 5. The transactions and occurrences that give rise to the Petitioner's claims against Respondent occurred in the City of Pahrump, Nye County, Nevada.
- 6. This Court has the authority to grant the writ relief requested herein pursuant to NRS 34.160.
- 7. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this request for declaratory and injunctive relief under Article 6, Section 6, of the Constitution of the State of Nevada.¹
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to NRS 13.020 and 13.040 because the cause, or some part thereof, arose in the City of Pahrump, Nye County, Nevada.

STANDING

9. To have standing in Nevada courts, a plaintiff typically must show some injury, that the injury is caused by the activity of which the plaintiff complains, and that the requested relief will redress that injury. See Nat'l Ass'n of Mut. Ins. Cos. v. Dep't of Bus. & Indus., Div. of Ins., 139 Nev. Adv. Op. 3, 524 P.3d 470, 475 (2023).

I. ACLU of Nevada Standing

- 10. ACLU of Nevada has standing to bring this mandamus proceeding because it has a beneficial interest in obtaining writ relief. *Id*.
- 11. Additionally, the ACLU of Nevada has standing under the traditional Article III federal standing principle.
- 12. An organization has standing to sue on its own behalf for injuries the organization has sustained, *Havens Realty Corp.* v. *Coleman*, 455 U. S. 363, 379, n. 19, 102 S. Ct. 1114, 71 L. Ed. 2d 214 (1982), and on behalf of its members as an association. *Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Comm'n*, 432 U.S. 333, 343, 97 S.Ct. 2434, 53 L.Ed.2d 383 (1977); *Nat'l Ass'n of Mut. Ins. Cos.*, 139 Nev. Adv. Op. 3, 524 P.3d at 476.

¹ See also NRS 30.030 (Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act).

- 13. The ACLU of Nevada suffered a direct concrete injury when the Nye County clerk denied the ACLU of Nevada's employee, in his role as an election observer on behalf of the ACLU of Nevada, access to the polling location.
- 14. The ACLU of Nevada will continue to suffer a direct concrete injury as long as Nye County classifies the organization's observers as "nonpartisan" and denies those observers access to designated observation areas due to that classification when there is otherwise space in those areas for additional observers.
- 15. ACLU of Nevada is a non-profit organization that works to defend and preserve the individual rights and liberties that the Constitution and the laws of the United States guarantee everyone in Nevada.
- 16. Protecting the fundamental right to vote is a core tenet of ACLU of Nevada's work, and the organization has frequently engaged in systemic work in furtherance of this mission.
- 17. For several years the ACLU of Nevada has run one of the largest non-partisan election protection programs within the state, deploying observers across the state to monitor polling locations and vote centers during elections.
- 18. The ACLU of Nevada will continue to carry out this program during the 2024 General Election and for all statewide elections for the foreseeable future.
- 19. Through its observers, the ACLU of Nevada receives actionable information on issues that arise at the polling locations, gains an understanding of the election process in each county, and can assist voters who are prevented from casting their ballot.
- 20. For example, in 2022, the ACLU of Nevada successfully litigated against Nye County in ACLU of Nev. v. Cnty. of Nye, 519 P.3d 36 (Nev. 2022) which stemmed from an unlawful hand count process observed by ACLU of Nevada observers at a Nye County central counting place.

- 21. Mr. Smith played a vital role in carrying out this mission when he was sent to Nye County to observe the election process as an ACLU of Nevada election observer.
- 22. Mr. Smith will carry out the same role during the 2024 General Election taking place this November.
- 23. When the Nye County clerk denied Mr. Smith access to the observation area and prevented him from fulfilling his role as an election observer, it directly affected and interfered with the ACLU of Nevada's core business activities and its right to access information and ideas.
- 24. Courts have found that the ACLU of Nevada has standing to challenge restrictions on its expressive activities by government actors that violate constitutional free speech and associational activities. *See ACLU v. City of Las Vegas*, No. CV-S-97, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 52131 (D. Nev. Mar. 17, 2009).
- 25. The ACLU of Nevada also has associational standing because a) its members would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right; b) the interests the ACLU of Nevada seeks to protect are germane to the organization's purpose; and c) neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of individual members in the lawsuit.²
- 26. "In First Amendment cases, 'it is sufficient for standing purposes that the plaintiff intends to engage in a course of conduct arguably affected with a constitutional interest and that there is a credible threat that the challenged provision will be invoked against the plaintiff." Am.

² "[W]e have recognized that an association has standing to bring suit on behalf of its members when: (a) its members would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right; (b) the interests it seeks to protect are germane to the organization's purpose; and (c) neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of individual members in the lawsuit." *Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Comm'n*, 432 U.S. 333, 343, 97 S.Ct. 2434, 53 L.Ed.2d 383 (1977); *Greater Birmingham Ministries v. Sec'y of State for State of Alabama*, 992 F.3d 1299, 1316 (11th Cir. 2021).

C.L. Union of Nevada v. Heller, 378 F.3d 979, 984 (9th Cir. 2004), citing LSO, Ltd. v. Stroh, 205 F.3d 1146, 1154–55 (9th Cir. 2000).³

27. ACLU of Nevada's members, and specifically those that volunteer as poll observers through its non-partisan election protection program and intend on doing so during the upcoming 2024 General Election, face the imminent risk of being denied access to observe the voting process in Nye County, in violation of their constitutional and statutory rights.

II. Individual Plaintiff Standing

- 28. Petitioner Jacob Smith has standing as an observer who was denied access to the designated observation areas at Nye County's designated polling location when he sought to observe the voting process being administered by the Nye County Clerk's Office during the 2024 February Presidential Preference Primary.
- 29. Mr. Smith will be present in Nye County to observe the election process during the 2024 General Election and faces the imminent risk of being unlawfully denied access again in violation of his constitutional and statutory rights.

STANDARO OF REVIEW – MANDAMUS

- 30. A writ of mandamus may be issued by the court "to compel the performance of an act which the law especially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station; or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which the party is entitled and from which the party is unlawfully precluded by such inferior tribunal, corporation, board or person," when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course. NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170.
- 31. It is within the court's sound discretion whether to grant such relief. Segovia v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 133 Nev. 910, 911, 407 P.3d 783, 785 (2017). "Extraordinary writ

³ The protections offered by Article 1, Section 9, of the Nevada Constitution are "co-extensive to" those offered by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. S.O.C., Inc. v. Mirage Casino-Hotel, 117 Nev. 403, 415, 23 P.3d 243, 251 (2001).

relief may be available where there is no 'plain, speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law." *Id.* (quoting NRS 34.170 and NRS 34.330).

- 32. However, even when a legal remedy is available, the court can "still entertain a petition for writ 'relief where the circumstances reveal urgency and strong necessity." *Id.* (quoting *Barngrover v. Fourth Judicial Dist. Court*, 115 Nev. 104, 111, 979 P.2d 216, 220 (1999)).
- 33. The court must examine each request for writ relief individually. *Jeep Corp. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court*, 98 Nev. 440, 443, 652 P.2d 1183, 1185 (1982).
- 34. The court will generally exercise its discretion to consider an extraordinary writ where an important legal issue that needs clarification is raised or to promote judicial economy and administration. State Office of the Attorney General v. Justice Court of Las Vegas Township, 133 Nev. 78, 80, 392 P.3d 170, 172 (2017).
- 35. When a petition for extraordinary relief involves a question of first impression that arises with some frequency, the interests of sound judicial economy and administration favor consideration of the petition. A.J. v. Eighth Judicial District Court in and for County of Clark, 2017, 394 P.3d 1209, 133 Nev. 202, quoting_Cote H. v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. ex rel. Cty. of Clark, 124 Nev. 36, 175 P.3d 906 (2008).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 36. Jacob Smith is an employee of the ACLU of Nevada.
- 37. In his capacity as an employee of the ACLU of Nevada, he serves as a non-partisan observer during elections.
- 38. The ACLU of Nevada's observers observe the election process at polling locations and ballot counting centers in the state of Nevada to ensure that the elections held in this state comply with state and federal law.
- 39. These observations involve monitoring activity occurring during in-person voting at polling locations include, but are not limited to, interactions between voters and poll workers,

mechanical voting device for disabled voters to cast their ballot and provided a space for voters to fill out their paper ballot.

- 49. This area also had three seats available for observers.
- 50. This area also had sufficient room for additional chairs to be added.
- 51. When an observer shows up at a polling location and before entering into an observation area, the observer must first check in with an election worker and sign an acknowledgement form prescribed by the Nevada Secretary of State Office indicating they will abide by the prescribed laws governing observations.
- 52. Mr. Smith visited the Bob Ruud Community Center on January 27, 2024, with the intent to observe the voting proceedings occurring at that location.
- 53. Upon arriving at the facility, Mr. Smith, in accordance with the procedures set for election observers, spoke first with a poll worker about observing the conduct of voting.
- 54. Mark Kampf, the Nye County clerk at the time, told Mr. Smith that Mr. Smith would not be able to observe either the "check-in area" or the "voting area" because only one non-partisan observer would be admitted into either area at a time and non-partisan observers had already checked in to observe both areas prior to Mr. Smith's arrival.
- 55. However, Mr. Smith observed that several chairs in the observation areas were unoccupied at the time: two in the "check-in area" and two in the "voting area".
- 56. Mr. Smith remained at the polling location and continued to request the opportunity to observe.
- 57. He was eventually permitted to observe the "check-in area" but only because the other non-partisan observer forfeited their seat after realizing that Mr. Smith would not have the chance to observe.
- 58. Mr. Smith was not able to see voters filling out their ballots and returning them to election officials from this area.

59.

- 67. On August 27, 2024, before commencing litigation, the ACLU of Nevada reached out to the Interim Nye County Clerk, Cori Freidhof, via email and inquired whether the policy will be implemented during the 2024 General Election. See Ex. 4.
 - 68. No response to the email has been received by the ACLU of Nevada.

LEGAL STANDARD AND AUTHORITY

I. Violation of NRS 293.274 and NRS 293B.330

- 69. Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 70. Here, there is no plain, speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law as there is no other method to challenge the clerk's refusal to provide access to polling locations for observation of the conduct of voting and processing of ballots.
- 71. There is also no speedy remedy because the early voting at polling locations in Nye County, including the Bob Ruud Community Center, will begin October 19, 2024, only 40 days from the filing of this petition.
- 72. Nevada laws governing elections mandate that the public be permitted access to observe early voting, election day, and post-election procedures.
- 73. NRS 293.274 requires county clerks to allow members of the general public to observe the conduct of voting at a polling place.
- 74. NRS 293B.330 mandates that "the county clerk allow members of the general public to observe the handling of the ballots [...] if those members do not interfere with the handling of the ballots."
- 75. No statutes within the Nevada Revised Statutes grant authority to county clerks, or other election officials, to limit the rights of members of the public to observe the election process.
- 76. The Nevada Administrative Code does grant county clerks some discretion "to limit the number of persons in the polling place who are observing the conduct of voting," but only "for

reasons of public safety, to protect voter privacy, or to maintain order." NAC 293.245 (3)(a). Neither Mr. Kampf nor anyone else in Nye County Clerk's Office conveyed any such reasons to Mr. Smith, nor did any such reasons exist when Mr. Smith was attempting to observe the conduct of voting during the 2024 Presidential Preference Primary.

- 77. The Nye County Clerk's Office violated NRS 293.274 and NRS 293B.330 when it refused to allow Mr. Smith to observe the conduct of voting and handling of ballots by election officials.
- 78. The sole reason given for refusing to allow Mr. Smith to observe the conduct of voting was because another non-partisan observer was already present in the observer box.
- 79. When asked to explain why he was denying Mr. Smith access to the observation area, the Nye County Clerk stated that he had the authority to limit the number of observers to only one per political affiliation at a time.
- 80. The fact that multiple chairs remained unoccupied in the observation areas made no difference to Mr. Kampf when he decided to deny Mr. Smith access to the observation areas as Kampf insisted that the Office's policy is not based on whether ample room exists for observations but rather whether observers with the same political affiliation (or lack thereof) are observing at the same time.
- 81. There is no legal authority under Nevada law that authorizes Nye County's to limit observer access based upon an observer's political affiliation or ideology, and Mr. Smith's rights were violated when he was prohibited from observing solely on such grounds.

II. Article 1, Section 9, of the Nevada Constitution

- a. Right of Access to Observe Government Activities.
- 82. Article 1, Section 9, of the Nevada Constitution states that "[e]very citizen may freely speak, write and publish his sentiments on all subjects being responsible for the abuse of

that right; and no law shall be passed to restrain or abridge the liberty of speech or of the press." Nev. Const. art. 1, § 9.

- 83. The protections offered by Article 1, Section 9, are "co-extensive to" those offered by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. S.O.C., Inc., 117 Nev. at 415, 23 P.3d at 251.
- 84. The First Amendment guarantees a qualified right of access for the public to observe government activities. See Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia, 448 U.S. 555, 100 S. Ct. 2814, 65 L. Ed. 2d 973 (1980) (holding that the First Amendment provides the public with a right to attend a trial); Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court, 457 U.S. 596, 102 S. Ct. 2613 (1982) (recognizing "the common understanding that a major purpose of [the First] Amendment was to protect the free discussion of governmental affairs when striking down a state law that excluded the public from the trial testimony."); California First Amendment Coalition v. Woodford, 299 F.3d 868 (9th Cir. 2002) (affirming the district court's conclusion prohibiting a state prison "from preventing uninterrupted viewing of executions from the moment the condemned enters the execution chamber through, to and including, the time the condemned is declared dead."); Leigh v. Salazar, 677 F.3d 892 (9th Cir. 2012) ("affirming that the Supreme Court has long recognized a qualified right of access for the press and public to observe government activities when analyzing whether restrictions at a horse roundup violated a photojournalist's First Amendment right of access.").
- 85. A two-part test has been established for right of access claims. First, the court must determine whether a right of access attaches to the government proceeding or activity by considering 1) whether the place and process have historically been open to the press and general public and 2) whether public access plays a significant positive role in the functioning of the particular process in question. Second, if the court determines that a qualified right applies, the government may overcome that right only by demonstrating an overriding interest based on

findings that closure is essential to preserve higher values and is narrowly tailored to serve that interest. *Salazar*, 677 F.3d at 898.

- 86. This test has been applied to observations in various contexts- criminal and civil proceedings, court documents, administrative proceedings, executions, and even wild horse roundups conducted by the Bureau of Land Management in Nevada. *Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court of California (Press-Enterprise II)* 478 U.S. 1, 3–4 (1986) (criminal preliminary hearings); *Courthouse News Serv. v. Planet*, 750 F.3d 776 (9th Cir. 2014) (public records and civil proceedings); *First Amendment Coalition v. Ryan*, 938 F.3d 1069, 1075 (9th Cir. 2019), *citing Woodford*, 299 F.3d at 875–77 (executions); *Leigh v. Salazar*, 677 F.3d 392 (9th Cir. 2012) (horse roundups).
- 87. The administration of elections, including facilitating voting and processing ballots, is a government activity to which the First Amendment right of access applies.
- 88. Polling locations are inherently open to the public, and the public has historically been given the right to access information regarding the election process.
- 89. The voting process in early U.S. elections consisted of casting votes by speaking the name of the preferred candidate out loud, a process known as *viva voce* voting. Green, Rebecca, "Rethinking Transparency in U.S. Elections", 75 Ohio St. L.J. 779, 784 (2014).
- 90. This process was a public act involving onlookers who observed the live voting. *Id* at 785.
- 91. While voting eventually shifted across the county to secret balloting, and it has remained this way to date, *id.*, simply moving an government activity inside to a more restricted area does not terminate the public's right to access and observe that proceeding. *See First Amendment Coal. of Ariz., Inc. v. Ryan*, 938 F.3d 1069 (9th Cir. 2019) (recognizing that public observe executions remained intact even after the state moved executions from the public square to the heavily restricted prison environment).

- 92. On the contrary, transparency in the election process did not fade, and poll observers began to play a critical role in ensuring that it was preserved. 9 *Id* at 789-792.
- 93. The goals of preserving election transparency are similar to those of criminal and civil proceedings, court documents, administrative proceedings, executions, and government horse roundups-ensuring public confidence in the government process and protecting the free discussion of governmental affairs.
- 94. While maintaining order may be a sufficient government interest, the fact that other individuals may come later requesting to observe the process is purely hypothetical.
- 95. Further, the Nevada Administrative Code already accounts for this interest, providing clerks with some discretion to preserve public order. This administrative rule cannot be read to invalidate Nevada's express statutory protection for the general public to engage in election observation.
- 96. Regardless, the fact that someone else of a different political affiliation may show up to engage in observation is not a competing interest that warrants overriding the right of access to the election process for observers who are present.
- 97. Indeed, it directly contradicts the process established by the Nevada Secretary of State Office requiring observers to be rotated on an equitable basis.
- 98. A blanket denial of access to an observer is not narrowly tailored to serve the clerk's interest in maintaining order. The county clerk at the time, Mark Kampf, indicated that he could ask an observer to switch out if observers with a different political affiliation arrived and did not have a chance to observe. See Ex 1.

monitoring (last visited Aug. 20, 2024).

⁹ Congress recognized the importance poll observers serve and passed legislation authorizing the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice to send federal election observers to oversee elections by order of a federal court. *About Federal Observers and Election Monitoring*, DEP'T OF JUST., https://www.justice.gov/crt/about-federal-observers-and-election-

- 99. Whether a county clerk, or other officials who administer elections in Nevada, can deny access to observes requesting to view the process of voting or handling of ballots when there is available space to observe presents a question of first impression that arises with some frequency, and thus favors consideration of the petition.
- 100. Whether Article 1, Section 9, of the Nevada Constitution guarantees a qualified right to access election procedures is a question of first impression in Nevada, and thus favors consideration of the petition.
 - b. Viewpoint Discrimination in Violation of Article 1. Section 9, of the Nevada Constitution.
- 101. The First Amendment, applicable to the States through the Fourteenth Amendment, prohibits the enactment of laws "abridging the freedom of speech." U.S. Const., Amdt. 1.
- 102. Similarly, Article 1, Section 9 of the Nevada Constitution protects the general right of the people to engage in expressive activities in this state.
- 103. Therefore, under the Nevada Constitution, the appropriate analysis for determining whether restrictions on free speech constitute a violation is identical to that under the First Amendment. S.O.C., Inc., 117 Nev. at 415, 23 P.3d at 251.
- 104. The government "has no power to restrict expression because of its message, its ideas, its subject matter, or its content." *Reed v. Town of Gilbert*, 576 U.S. 155, 163 (2015) citing *Police Dep't of Chicago* v. *Mosley*, 408 U.S. 92, 95, 92 S. Ct. 2286, 33 L. Ed. 2d 212 (1972).
- 105. "Government discrimination among viewpoints or the regulation of speech based on the *specific motivating ideology* or the opinion or the perspective of the speaker is a more blatant and egregious form of content discrimination." *Id.*, at 168 (quotation omitted) (emphasis added).

- 106. Viewpoint-based regulations "are presumptively unconstitutional and may be justified only if the government proves that they are narrowly tailored to serve compelling state interests." *Id.*, at 163 citing *R. A. V.* v. *St. Paul*, 505 U. S. 377, 395, 112 S. Ct. 2538, 120 L. Ed. 2d 305 (1992); *Simon & Schuster, Inc.* v. *Members of N. Y. State Crime Victims Bd.*, 502 U. S. 105, 115, 118, 112 S. Ct. 501, 116 L. Ed. 2d 476 (1991).
- 107. The Nye County Clerk's Office grants access to observe its election process based on the political affiliation of the individual, i.e. their ideology.
- 108. The policy explicitly targets certain speech for regulation based on the topic of that speech, i.e. whether an individual is granted access is based on the individual's political viewpoint for engaging in observation, and therefore strict scrutiny applies.
- 109. Political belief and association constitute core free speech activities protected by the First Amendment. *Elrod v. Burns*, 427 U.S., 347, 356 (1976).
- 110. No compelling interest exists in restricting access based on political affiliation, and the policy of the Nye County Clerk's Office is not narrowly tailored.
- 111. To the extent there is a compelling state interest in assuring observers representing political parties and candidates or groups favoring or opposing a ballot proposition can equally observe elections, and this may require clerks to provide accommodations based on political affiliation, this is not at issue here because Nye County had ample room for observation at the time Mr. Smith requested to observe.
- 112. The existence of adequate viewpoint-neutral alternatives "undercuts significantly" any defense of a viewpoint-based regulation of speech. See Sanders Cnty. Republican Cent. Comm. v. Bullock, 698 F.3d 741, 747 (9th Cir. 2012) (explaining that suitable neutral alternatives generally undercut content-based discrimination) (quoting R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, 505 U.S. 377, 395 (1992)).

- 113. Here, a viewpoint-neutral alternative, one acknowledged by Mr. Kampf, exists-individuals may be given access to observe on a first-come-first-serve basis, and can be rotated based on availability of seats in the observation area. *See* Ex. 1.
- 114. This is precisely the procedure outlined in the 2024 Election Manual drafted by the Nevada Secretary of State Office to provide meaningful observations on an equitable basis. Nev. Sec'y of State Off., 2024 Elections Procedure Manual (May 13, 2024).
- 115. Because the Respondents are engaging in viewpoint-based restriction of speech, and alternative viewpoint-neutral channels exist, Petitioners are being denied their right of free speech guaranteed by Article 1, Section 9 of the Nevada Constitution.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioners, the ACLU of Nevada and Jacob Smith, ask for the following relief:

- A. A declaration that Article 1, Section 9 of the Nevada Constitution guarantees a qualified right of access for the public to observe the election process, including the handling, counting, and casting of ballots;
- B. A declaration that Respondents violated NRS 293.274 and NRS 293B.330 when they prohibited Petitioner Smith from observing the conduct of voting during the 2024 Presidential Preference Primary election;
- C. A declaration that Respondents violated Petitioners' right to access information under the Nevada Constitution when they prohibited Mr. Smith, in his capacity as an ACLU of Nevada employee, from observing the conduct of voting during the 2024 Presidential Preference Primary election when there was space available in the observation areas located at the Bob Ruud Community Center;
- D. A declaration that denying an individual the opportunity to observe the election process at polling locations and count centers based solely upon their political affiliation or lack thereof is

1	viewpoint-based discrimination that violates Petitioners' right of speech under the Nevada
2	Constitution;
3	E. An injunction enjoining Defendants from excluding Petitioners and other members of the
4	general public from observing the conduct of voting and the counting of votes during the 2024
5	General Election and all future elections if space exists in the designated observation areas for
6	observation;
7	F. An injunction enjoining Defendants from excluding Petitioners and other members of the
8	general public from observing the conduct of voting and the counting of votes during the 2024
9	General Election and all future elections based solely upon the individual's political affiliation or
10	lack thereof when room is otherwise available in the designated observation areas.
11	G. Award Petitioners their reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action as
12	provided by NRS 34.270, NRS 18.010, and 42 U.S.C § 1988(b); and
13	H. Such other and further relief as the court deems just and appropriate.
14	Dated this 10 th day of September 2024.
15	Dated this 10 th day of September 2024. This document does not contain the Social Security number of any person. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct
16	person. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare
17	under penalty of perjury that the
18	2010going is that and correct.
19	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF NEVADA
20	SADMIRA RAMIC, ESO.
21	Nevada Bar No. 15984
22	CHRISTOPHER PETERSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13932
23	4362 W. Cheyenne Ave. North Las Vegas, NV 89032
24	Telephone: (702) 366-1226 Facsimile: (702) 366-1331
25	Email: ramic@aclunv.org Attorneys for Petitioners

EXHIBIT 1



STATE OF NEVADA

SECRETARY OF STATE FRANCISCO V. AGUILAR

101 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701 Phone: Fax:

775-684-5705 775-684-5718

nvelect@sos.nv.gov

www.nvsos.gov

For official use only:
Received by:
Date Received:
Complaint Type:
[Stamp here]
j

ELECTION INTEGRITY VIOLATION REPORT

The information you report on this form may be used to help us investigate violations of Nevada election laws. When completed, mail, email, or fax your form and supporting documents to the office listed above. Upon receipt, your complaint will be reviewed by a member of our staff. The length of this process can vary depending on the circumstances and information you provide with your complaint. The Office of the Secretary of State may contact you if additional information is needed.

INSTRUCTIONS: Please TYPE/PRINT your complaint in dark ink. You must write LEGIBLY. All fields MUST be completed.

SECTION 1.			
COMPLAINANT INFORMATION Salutation:	lr. ☐Mrs. ☑Ms. ☐Miss		
Your Name: Ramic	Sadmira		
Last	First		MI
Your Organization, if any: ACLU of Nevada			
Your Address: 4362 W. Cheyenne Ave.	North Las Vegas	NV	89032
Address	City (775) 222 2242	State	Zip
Your Phone Number :	(775) 828-2210 Work	 Fax	
Email: ramic@aclunv.org			
Email: Tarric@acidity.org	Call me between 8am-5pm at:	Home [Cell / _Work
SECTION 2.			
SECTION 2. TYPE OF COMPLAINT			
	☐ Voter Fraud		
TYPE OF COMPLAINT	☐ Voter Fraud ☐ Initiative / Referendum	Petition	
TYPE OF COMPLAINT Campaign Practices	<u></u>		
TYPE OF COMPLAINT Campaign Practices Contributions / Expenses	Initiative / Referendum		
TYPE OF COMPLAINT Campaign Practices Contributions / Expenses Voter Registration ✓ Other	Initiative / Referendum Financial Disclosure S	tatement	
TYPE OF COMPLAINT Campaign Practices Contributions / Expenses Voter Registration	Initiative / Referendum Financial Disclosure S	tatement	
TYPE OF COMPLAINT Campaign Practices Contributions / Expenses Voter Registration ✓ Other The administration of the 2024 Preference	Initiative / Referendum Financial Disclosure S	tatement	
TYPE OF COMPLAINT Campaign Practices Contributions / Expenses Voter Registration ✓ Other The administration of the 2024 Preference	Initiative / Referendum Financial Disclosure S	tatement	
TYPE OF COMPLAINT Campaign Practices Contributions / Expenses Voter Registration ✓ Other The administration of the 2024 Preference	Initiative / Referendum Financial Disclosure S	tatement	

SECTION 3.	
COMPLAINT IS AGAINST	
Please detail the nature of your complaint. of the individual, candidate, campaign, or go complaint must also include a clear and cor alleged violation occurred. Any relevant do	Include the name and contact information (if known) roup that is the subject of your complaint. Your noise statement of facts sufficient to establish that the ocuments or other evidence that support your ou may attach additional sheets if necessary.
Please see the attached letter.	
	· COW.
	IN DENOCRACY DOCKET, COM
	at v
	CPY
	-EMC
)`
SECTION 4.	
Sign and date this form. The Secretary of State's Off complaints. In order to resolve your complaint, we a whom you are complaining.	fice cannot process any unsigned, incomplete, or illegible may send a copy of this form to the person or group about
individual or group. I understand that the information co	etary of State of the activities of a particular candidate, campaign, ontained in this complaint may be used to establish violations of ons. I authorize the Office of the Secretary of State to send my r group identified in this complaint.
By signing my name below, I certify under penalty of per correct to the best of my knowledge.	rjury that the information provided in this complaint is true and
Sadi 2	Sadmira Ramic

Print Name

Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

02/06/2024

Signature

Nevada Secretary of State c/o Mark Wlaschin Office of the Secretary of State, Elections Division 101 North Carson Street, Suite 3 Carson City, Nevada 89701

Re: Election Administration Violations in Nye County



4362 W. Cheyenne Ave. North Las Vegas, NV 89032 702-366-1226 aclunv.org Dear Mr. Wlaschin:

I am the Voting Rights Attorney of the ACLU of Nevada, and I oversee the affiliate's non-partisan democracy program. The primary objective of the democracy program is to lead and support legal efforts throughout the state to ensure that every eligible voter in Nevada can cast a ballot and that elections are conducted fairly. This includes observing the administration of our elections at polling locations throughout the state. The purpose of this complaint is to seek a formal investigation into several violations of state election laws by Nye County Interim Clerk Mark Kampt while administering the 2024 Presidential Primary Preference Election.

On Saturday, January 27, 2024, one volunteer with our democracy program, and two with a partner organization, arrived at the Bob Ruud Community Center in Nye County to observe the election process. Almost immediately, they were met with antagonistic reactions from Mr. Kampf who would not permit more than two observers at a time, even though three empty chairs were visible in the observation box and no other people were there to observe. He incorrectly claimed that Nevada law permits him to turn away an observer if one from the same party is already observing the process. Per NRS 293.274, the county clerk must allow members of the general public to observe the conduct of voting at a polling place. This includes the ability to engage in written recordation of notes and communicating with voters and election boards officers. See SB 406, 2023 Reg. Sess. (Nev. 2023). NAC 293.245 further outlines the requirements for persons to conduct such observations and permits the county clerk to "limit the number of persons in the polling place who are observing the conduct of voting pursuant to this section for reasons of public safety or to protect voter privacy or maintain order." There was no indication that Mr. Kampf's refusal to permit observations had anything to do with public safety or voter privacy, especially given that approximately eleven voters voted within that hour.

The volunteers that were permitted to observe continued to perceive issues as the day went on. One very concerning matter involved a poll worker greeting voters as they entered the building. She was overheard telling voters that "if [they] are a Republican, [they] vote later in the caucus." Such misstatements would lead Republican voters to refrain from voting in the state run Presidential Primary Preference, and instead wait for the party run caucus. Additional violations include:

1) Mr. Kampf engaged in several inappropriate conversations with voters in the vicinity of others, some of which included him criticizing the state's use of mail-in

- ballots, the fact that he had to administer the Presidential Primary Preference instead of having a caucus "all because of the Democrats," and that "by law, [he] only needs one polling location for early voting so [he] could really piss people off and put it in Beaty";
- 2) Mr. Kampf continuously permitted voters to cast their ballots in candidate t-shirts, specifically Trump t-shirts, in violations of NRS 293.361; and
- 3) A car displaying Trump stickers remained parked directly next to the entrance of the polling location for the entire voting period and within the 100 ft electioneering sign. We later learned that the car belongs to a poll worker.

Such blatant disregard for election laws designed to preserve the integrity of our elections and allow voters to cast their vote freely and without coercion is increasingly concerning due to previous election administration violations by the county and the importance of the upcoming primary and general election.

We urge the Secretary to launch an investigation into these matters, and while hopeful that the necessary steps will be taken to remedy these deficiencies, we reserve the right to seek other remedies afforded under the law.

Sincerely, /s/ Sadmira Ramic, Esq. ACLUNV Voting Rights Attorney



4362 W. Cheyenne Ave. North Las Vegas, NV 89032 702-366-1226 aclunv.org

EXHIBIT 2

Complaint

On Saturday, January 27, 2024, one volunteer with our democracy program, and two with a partner organization, arrived at the Bob Ruud Community Center in Nye County to observe the election process. Almost immediately, they were met with antagonistic reactions from Mr. Kampf who would not permit more than two observers at a time, even though three empty chairs were visible in the observation box and no other people were there to observe.

He incorrectly claimed that Nevada law permits him to turn away an observer if one from the same party is already observing the process. Per NRS 293.274, the county clerk must allow members of the general public to observe the conduct of voting at a polling place.

This includes the ability to engage in written recordation of notes and communicating with voters and election boards officers. See SB 406, 2023 Reg. Sess. (Nev. 2023). NAC 293.245 further outlines the requirements for persons to conduct such observations and permits the county clerk to "limit the number of persons in the polling place who are observing the conduct of voting pursuant to this section for reasons of public safety or to protect voter privacy or maintain order."

There was no indication that Mr. Kampf's refusal to permit observations had anything to do with public safety or voter privacy, especially given that approximately eleven voters voted within that hour.

Nye County Clerk Response

NAC 293.245 3 a

- 3. The county or city clerk may, at his or her discretion:
- (a) Limit the number of persons in the polling place who are observing the conduct of voting pursuant to this section for reasons of public safety or to protect voter privacy or maintain order.

Nye Clerk Comment:

The observers arrived shortly after the polls opened on the first day of voting. I asked that only one person from each organization observe at a time since we anticipated that we would have observers from the Major Political Parties. I did not want to ask them to leave later, but felt this would help maintain order.

NAC 293.245 2 a 4

- 2. Before observing the conduct of voting at a polling place pursuant to subsection 1, a person must sign an acknowledgment in the form prescribed by the Secretary of State stating that the person, during the time the person observes the conduct of voting:
- (a) Acknowledges that he or she is prohibited from:
- (4) Arguing for or against or challenging any decisions of county or city election personnel; and

Nye Clerk Comment:

The observer did not like the above response from the Clerk and became argumentative with the Clerk in violation of NAC 293.245 2 a 4.

NAC 293.245 2 a 5

2. Before observing the conduct of voting at a polling place pursuant to subsection 1, a person must sign an acknowledgment in the form prescribed by the Secretary of State stating that the person, during the time the person observes the conduct of voting:

- (a) Acknowledges that he or she is prohibited from:
- (5) Interfering with the conduct of voting; and

Nye Clerk Comment:

I had to ask the observer from the ACLU to stop disturbing, talking with and asking questions of one of my poll workers at checkin Kiosk 6.

The volunteers that were permitted to observe continued to perceive issues as the day went on. One very concerning matter involved a poll worker greeting voters as they entered the building. She was overheard telling voters that "if [they] are a Republican, [they] vote later in the caucus."

NAC 293,245 9 a 2

- 9. As used in this section:
- (b) "Meaningful observation" means a person may observe the identification of voters who appear at a polling place to vote, the distribution of a ballot or voting machine card to a voter, the movement of a voter to a voting booth, the return of a ballot or voting machine card by a voter and the exiting of a polling place by a voter. The term does not include allowing a person to:
- (2) Listen to any conversation between election board officers or between a voter and an election board officer.

Nye Clerk Comment:

Voters were rightly confused about the Presidential Preference Primary slate of candidates and our election workers, like many throughout the state, had to deal with frustrated voters who did not understand the process.

Again, this appears to be selective interpretation of the comments that they "listened to" when the deputized election worker was responding to a frustrated voter.

All workers were instructed to tell voters to follow up with the GOP with any questions about the slate of candidates and where to resolve their concerns.

	Mr. Kampf engaged in several
	inappropriate conversations with voters in
	the vicinity of others, some of which
	included him criticizing the state's use of
	mail-in ballots, the fact that he had to
l	administer the Presidential Primary
	Preference instead of having a caucus "all
	because of the Democrats," and that "by
	law, [he] only needs one polling location
	for early voting so [he] could really piss
	people off and put it in Beaty";

NAC 293.245 9 a 2

- 9. As used in this section:
- (b) "Meaningful observation" means a person may observe the identification of voters who appear at a polling place to vote, the distribution of a ballot or voting machine card to a voter, the movement of a voter to a voting booth, the return of a ballot or voting machine card by a voter and the exiting of a polling place by a voter. The term does not include allowing a person to:

 (2) Listen to any conversation between
- (2) Listen to any conversation between election board officers or between a voter and an election board officer.

Nye Clerk Comment:

I do not recall this conversation. However, I do not ignore direct questions from voters and respond in an honest manner.

This appears to be selective hearsay that may have been taken out of context. If this is not hearsay, then the representative was in direct violation of (2) above.

2) Mr. Kampf continuously permitted voters to cast their ballots in candidate t-shirts, specifically Trump t-shirts, in violations of NRS 293.361; and

NRS 293.361 Electioneering prohibited near polling place;

1. During the time a polling place for early voting is open for voting, a person may not electioneer for or against any candidate, measure or political party in or within 100 feet from the entrance to the voting area.

Nye Clerk Comment:

Trump was not a candidate on the ballot.

3) A car displaying Trump stickers remained parked directly next to the entrance of the polling location for the entire voting period and within the 100 ft electioneering sign. We later learned that the car belongs to a poll worker.

NRS 293.361 Electioneering prohibited near polling place;

1. During the time a polling place for early voting is open for voting, a person may not electioneer for or against any candidate,

measure or political party in or within 100 feet from the entrance to the voting area.
Nye Clerk Comment:
Trump was not a candidate on the ballot.

RETAILVED FROM DEMOCRAÇYDOCKET.COM

EXHIBIT 3

FRANCISCO V. AGUILAR

Secretary of State

MAGGIE SALAS CRESPO

Deputy Secretary for Southern Nevada

DEBBIE I. BOWMAN

Deputy Secretary for Operations

SHAUNA BAKKEDAHL

Deputy Secretary for Commercial Recordings

STATE OF NEVADA



GABRIEL DI CHIARA

Chief Deputy Secretary of State

ERIN M. HOUSTON

Deputy Secretary for Securities

MARK A. WLASCHIN
Deputy Secretary for Elections

Via Email Only

March 18, 2024

Sadmira Ramic, Esq. ACLU Nevada 4362 West Cheyenne Avenue North Las Vegas, Nevada 89032 ramic@aclunv.org

Re:

Alleged Violation of Title 24 of NRS

File C24-22 SOS

Dear Ms. Ramic,

Thank you for contacting the Secretary of State's (SOS) office regarding your complaint of Voter Registration. The SOS office has finished its review of your Election Integrity Violation Report received February 6, 2024. This review included contacting Nye County Interim Clerk Mark Kampf for a response to your Report, a copy of the response is included with this letter.

Your complaint contains several allegations, which are addressed in turn.

First you claimed that on January 27, 2024, Interim Clerk Mark Kampf "would not permit more than two observers at a time, even though three empty chairs were visible in the observation box and no other people were there to observe." Pursuant to NAC 293.245(3)(a), a county clerk may, at his or her discretion, limit the number of observers to maintain order. Interim Clerk Kampf has explained that he limited representatives from organizations to one person to maintain order as he anticipated more observers from major political parties and did not want to have to ask an observer to leave if other observers arrived. The SOS does not find this to be a violation; asking observers to leave when they are in place can lead to confrontation and disruption, and imposing reasonable limits on the number of representatives from an organization can promote order, especially when observation areas may be limited.

Next, you claimed that observers overheard a poll worker discussing the Republican caucus with a voter, and Interim Clerk Kampf engaged in conversations with voters in the vicinity of others regarding election law requirements. As you may be aware, there has been voter confusion relating to the Republican candidate names on the presidential preference primary ballot; Republican candidates who participated in the caucus did not appear on the presidential preference primary ballot and vice versa. Without further context suggesting voter disenfranchisement, the SOS believes it is permissible for poll workers and county clerks to explain election processes and laws to voters. Interim Clerk Kampf has noted that all workers were instructed to tell voters to follow up with the Republican party with any questions about candidates and how to resolve their concerns.

Finally, you claimed Interim Clerk Kampf violated NRS 293.361 by allowing voters to cast their ballots in Trump t-shirts and permitting a car with Trump stickers to be parked within the 100-feet electioneering sign. NRS 293.361(1) prohibits a person from electioneering "for or against any candidate, measure or political party in or within 100 feet from the entrance to the voting area." The Secretary of State interprets "candidate" in this context to mean a candidate on any ballot being voted on at that election. Mr. Trump was not a presidential preference primary candidate, and as a result, stickers, t-shirts, etc. with Mr. Trump's name were permitted.

The SOS, as Chief Election Officer for the State of Nevada, is responsible for enforcement of the election laws contained in Title 24 of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS 293.124). However, the allegations described in your complaint do not amount to a statutory violation under Title 24. As a result, no further action will be taken by this office and your file will be closed.

If you have questions regarding the determination in the matter, please contact the undersigned at (702) 486-0868 or at https://narrisd@sos.nv.gov.

Respectfully,

Francisco V. Aguilar Secretary of State

By: Daviel &. Sarris

Darrell K. Harris, Investigator: Elections

EXHIBIT 4

From:

Sadmira Ramic

To: Subject: cafreidhof@nyecountynv.gov

Subject Date: RE: Nye County Observer Policy Tuesday, August 27, 2024 4:39:19 PM

Good afternoon.

My name is Sadmira Ramic, and I work at the ACLU of Nevada. The ACLU of Nevada runs a non-partisan election protection program which deploys observers across the state to monitor polling locations and vote centers during elections.

During the 2024 primary election, observers with the program arrived at the Bob Ruud Community Center in Nye County to observe the election process. The Nye County Clerk did not permit them to observe, stating that per the clerk's office policy, only one observer from each major party and one who identifies as non-partisan can observe at the same time. It is our understanding that this policy is being implemented even if a spot is not being occupied by the other party. For example, even if no Republican or Democratic party observers are occupying a seat in the "observer box," two non-partisan observers could not observe at the same time.

We intend on having observers in Nye County during the 2024 General Election. Can you please confirm whether this policy will be implemented during that time?

Please let me know if there is someone else within the office that I should reach out to with this concern.

Thank you,

Sadmira Ramic, Esq. (she/her) Voting Rights Attorney ACLU of Nevada Phone: 702-366-1536

Direct: 702-830-9205 Fax: 702-366-1331

601 S. Rancho Drive, Suite B-11 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89106

EXHIBIT 5

<u>DECLARATION OF JACOB SMITH IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF</u> <u>MANDAMUS AND COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE</u>

- I, Jacob Smith, under penalty of perjury declare:
 - 1. I am over the age of 18 and I am competent to testify.
 - 2. I am a staff attorney of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Nevada.
 - 3. Part of my role as a staff attorney involves serving as a non-partisan observer during elections.
 - 4. As an observer, I receive training each year on Nevada's election laws, the process for conducting observations, and how to report issues that may arise at polling location during my observations.
 - 5. Observations consist of monitoring in-person voting at polling locations including, but not limited to, interactions between voters and poll workers, the casting of a ballots by voters using electronic voting machines or paper ballots, and voters returning completed ballots.
 - 6. I am a paying member of the ACLU of Nevada.
 - 7. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration.
 - 8. I make this declaration in support of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief.
 - During the 2024 Presidential Preference Primary, I was assigned to observe the election process at the Bob Ruud Community Center located at 150 NV-160 Pahrump, NV 89060.
 - I visited the Bob Ruud Community Center located at 150 NV-160 Pahrump, NV 89060,
 on January 27, 2024.

- 11. The Bob Ruud Community Center is a location designated as a polling site during the early voting period and election day for the 2024 Nevada Primary Election.
- 12. The Bob Ruud Community Center had two designated areas for observation.
- 13. The first was labeled the "check-in area," and was in an open area inside of the building where voters approached poll workers, provided them with the required information needed to vote, and received their ballot.
- 14. The second area, located in a separate and closed off room, included a mechanical voting device for disabled voters to cast their ballot and provided a space for voters to fill out their paper ballot and turn it in upon completion.
- 15. I approached the polling location and spoke with a poll worker about observing the conduct of voting.
- 16. Based on my observation, the check-in area was approximately 40 feet by 5 feet and ran along the entire south wall of the check-in room.
- 17. In previous years observing at the Bob Ruud Community Center, I have seen up to eight observers seated in chairs in the check-in area.
- 18. Based on my observation, the check-in area could have accommodated at least ten chairs for observation.
- 19. Based on my observation, the second area was around 20 feet by 3 feet and ran along east wall of the voting room.
- 20. Based on my observation, the second area could have accommodated at least six chairs for observation.
- 21. At the time I arrived to observe, three chairs for observing were available in each area.
- 22. Two chairs in each area were unoccupied.

23. Mark Kampf, the Nye County clerk at the time, stated that only one non-partisan observer can observe at a time.

24. Since I was classified as a non-partisan observer, Mr. Kampf did not allow me to observe the voting process because non-partisan observers had just checked in to observe those areas.

25. After waiting approximately five minutes, the non-partisan observer in the "check-in" area surrendered their seat so I could observe.

26. While observing, I had to step out briefly to make a phone call because the use of a mobile phone is prohibited in the observation area.

27. When I returned to continue observing, I was informed by the poll worker that I could not observe in either area because both areas had non-partisan individuals in the observation box.

28. There were two unoccupied chairs in the check in area.

29. There were also two unoccupied chairs in the voting area.

30. At no point did I see anyone sitting in the two unoccupied chairs.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS 53.045).

EXECUTED this 9th day of September 2024.

Jacob Smith

EXHIBIT 6

DECLARATION OF ATHAR HASEEBULLAH, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- I, Athar Haseebullah, Esq., under penalty of perjury declare:
 - 1. I am over the age of 18 and I am competent to testify.
 - 2. I am the Executive Director of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Nevada and an attorney licensed to practice before Nevada Courts.
 - 3. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration.
 - 4. I make this declaration in support of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief.
 - 5. ACLU of Nevada is a non-partisan, non-profit organization with more than 4,000 members statewide that continually works to defend and advance the civil liberties and civil rights of all Nevadans.
 - 6. The ACLU of Nevada has been at the forefront of numerous efforts surrounding voting rights, including as counsel for petitioners in ACLU of Nev. v. Cnty. of Nye, 519 P.3d 36 (Nev. 2022) and Martin v. City of North Las Vegas, No. A-21-845709-W (Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty., Nev. filed Feb. 4, 2022).
 - 7. ACLU of Nevada's membership includes members who reside in Nye County.
 - 8. Protecting the fundamental right to vote is a core tenet of ACLUNV's work, and ACLUNV has frequently engaged in *systemic* work in furtherance of this mission.
 - 9. This includes running one of the largest non-partisan election protection programs within the state which deploys observers to monitor polling locations and vote centers during elections.

- 10. Through observations, the organization receives information on issues that appear at the polling locations, gains an understanding of the election process in each county, and can assist voters who are prevented from casting their ballot.
- 11. ACLU of Nevada has placed poll observers in Nye County to monitor the election process during primaries and general elections for several years including elections during the 2022 and the 2024 election cycles.
- 12. Poll observers working on behalf of the ACLU of Nevada include ACLU of Nevada employees, ACLU of Nevada members, and volunteers.
- 13. ACLU of Nevada deployed Jacob Smith, a staff attorney with the ACLU of Nevada, to the Bob Ruud Community Center located at 150 NV-160 Pahrump, NV 89060 during the 2024 Presidential Preference Primary.
- 14. Mr. Smith, in his capacity as an observer for the ACLU of Nevada, was sent to Nye County to monitor in-person voting at the Bob Ruud Community Center including, but not limited to, interactions between voters and poll workers, the casting of a ballots by voters using electronic voting machines or paper ballots, and voters returning completed ballots.
- Mr. Smith visited the Bob Ruud Community Center located at 150 NV-160 Pahrump,
 NV 89060, on January 27, 2024.
- 16. Mark Kampf, the Nye County clerk at the time, denied Mr. Smith's request to observe the election process and stated that only one non-partisan observer can observe at a time.
- 17. Mr. Smith was denied access to observe even though unoccupied chairs remained in the observation area.

18. The denial of access to Mr. Smith constricted the ability of the ACLU of Nevada to carry out the intent of its election protection program including gathering information on Nye County's election procedures, assuring that the election is being administered in accordance with state and federal law, and that voters are not unlawfully denied the right to vote.

19. ACLU of Nevada will deploy observers through its election protection program to polling locations, including those located in Nye County, during the upcoming 2024 General Election.

20. ACLU of Nevada intends to send Mr. Smith to observe the election process in Nye County during the upcoming 2024 General Election.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS 53.045). EXECUTED this 10th day of September, 2024.

On behalf of Athar Haseebullah, Esq.

Executive Director

American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada