	Case 2:24-cv-02030-KML Document 93 Filed 10/15/24 Page 1 of 9			
1	BRIAN M. McINTYRE			
2	COCHISE COUNTY ATTORNEY By: PAUL CORREA			
3	Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney State Bar No. 017187			
4	P.O. Drawer CA			
5	Bisbee, AZ 85603 (520) 432-8700			
6	CVAttymeo@cochise.az.gov			
7	Attorney for Cochise County			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9				
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
11	STRONG COMMUNITIES) CASE NO. 2:24-CV-02030-SMB			
12	FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA			
13	INCORPORATED, et al.,			
14	Plaintiffs,)) COCHISE COUNTY			
15	vs.) DEFENDANTS' ANSWER			
16	STEPHEN RICHER, <i>et al.</i> ,			
17	Defendants.			
18	ý			
19	Defendants Cochise County Recorder David Stevens (the "Recorder") and			
20	Cochise County (together, the "Cochise County Defendants") answer Plaintiffs'			
21	Complaint as follows.			
22				
23	COCHISE COUNTY DEFENDANTS' PREFATORY STATEMENT			
24	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County			
25	Defendants' Prefatory Statement.			
	1			

1 **COCHISE COUNTY DEFENDANTS' GENERAL DENIAL** 2 Every allegation in the Complaint that is not specifically admitted in this Answer 3 is denied. 4 (PLAINTIFFS') INTRODUCTION 5 The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County 6 7 Defendants' answers in paragraphs 1. Through 12. 8 PARTIES 9 The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County 10 Defendants' answers in paragraphs 13. through 20. 11 21. The Cochise County Defendants admit that David Stevens is the Cochise 12 13 County Recorder and that he is sued in his official capacity. The remainder of this 14 Paragraph states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extend that a 15 response is required, the Cochise County Defendants admit that the office of Recorder is 16 created by Arizona's Constitution; that the Recorder is one of the principal elections 17 officers in Cochise County; that the Recorder is responsible for overseeing and directing 18 19 numerous components of election administration within the county; and, that some of the 20 Recorder's responsibilities concern voter registration list maintenance and verifying 21 citizenship status of voter-registration applicants. To the extent that Paragraph 21 22 contains additional allegations beyond those admitted, they are denied by the Cochise 23 County Defendants. 24 25

1	22. The Cochise County Defendants admit that Cochise County is a political
2	subdivision of the State of Arizona and that Recorder Stevens is an elected officer in
3	Cochise County. The remainder of the Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no
4 5	response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Cochise County
6	Defendants admit that Cochise County has the power to sue and be sued. To the extent
7	that Paragraph 22 contains additional allegations beyond those admitted, they are denied
8	by the Cochise County Defendants. The Cochise County Defendants further
9	affirmatively state that, in order for someone to sue one of Arizona's Counties, they must
10	be able to articulate injury caused by the County or otherwise be able to state why the
11	- RAC
12	County is a necessary party.
13 14	20MN
15	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County
16	Defendants' answers in paragraphs 23. through 50.
17	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
18	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County
19	Defendants' answers in paragraphs 51. through 56.
20	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS
21	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County
22 23	Defendants' answers in paragraphs 57.
24	
25	

	I. Arizona's Federal-Only Voters
	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County
	Defendants' answers in paragraphs 58. through 67.
	II. Voter List Maintenance Requirements
	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt Maricopa County Defendants'
Е	answers in paragraphs 68. through 81.
1	II. Foreign citizens do register to vote.
	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County
Ι	Defendants' answers in paragraphs 82. through 88.
j	IV. SAVE, SSA, and EVVE are Insufficient to Definitively Verify Citizenship
	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County
]	Defendants' answers in paragraphs 89. through 105.
V	SSA and EVVE
	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County
]	Defendants' answers in paragraphs 106. through 116.
	VI. Federal Law Entitles County Recorders to Submit Citizenship Inquiries to DHS
	The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County
I	Defendants' answers in paragraphs 117. through 134.
,	///
,	///
,	///
ļ	

VII. County Recorder Obligations to Provide to the Attorney General a List of 2 Federal-Only Voters 3 The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County 4 Defendants' answers in paragraphs 135. through 140. 5 VIII. The Gila County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County Defendants' 6 7 answers in paragraphs 141. through 155. 8 **Pre-Litigation Efforts to Request Compliance as to the Other Counties** IX. 9 The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County 10 Defendants' answers in paragraphs 156. through 163. 11 **COUNT I** 12 13 Failure to Use "All Available Resources" for Voter List Maintenance of 14 Federal-Only Voters (Special Action, Declaratory, and Injunctive Relief) A.R.S. §§ 15 16-121.01(0), 12-1801, 12-1831, 12-1832, 12-2021, Ariz. R. Civ. P. 65, RPSA 3, and 16 28 U.S.C. § 1651) 17 The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County 18 19 Defendants' answers to Count I in paragraphs 164. through 169. 20 **COUNT II** 21 Failure to Consult Accessible Databases for Voter List Maintenance of 22 Federal-Only Voters (Special Action, Declaratory, and Injunctive Relief) A.R.S. §§ 23

25 and 28 U.S.C. § 1651)

24

1

16-121.01(D)(5), 12-1801, 12-1831, 12-1832, 12-2021, Ariz. R. Civ. P. 65, RPSA 3,

1 The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County 2 Defendants' answers to Count II in paragraphs 170. through 176. 3 COUNT III 4 Failure to Conduct Regular Voter List Maintenance of Federal-Only Voters 5 Using Accessible Databases (Special Action, Declaratory, and Injunctive Relief) 6 7 A.R.S. §§ 16-165(K), 12-1801, 12-1831, 12-1832, 12-2021, Ariz. R. Civ. P. 65, RPSA 8 3, and 28 U.S.C. § 1651) 9 The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County 10 Defendants' answers to Count III in paragraphs 177. through 186. 11 **COUNT IV** 12 13 Failure to send out Information About Federal-Only Voters to the Attorney 14 General (Special Action, Declaratory, and Injunctive Relief) A.R.S. §§ 16-143, 12-15 1801, 12-1831, 12-1832, 12-2021, Ariz. R. Civ. P. 65, RPSA 3, and 28 U.S.C. § 1651) 16 The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County 17 Defendants' answers to Count IV in paragraphs 187. through 190. 18 19 COUNT V 20 Voter List Maintenance Procedures that Are Discriminatory or Not Uniform 21 (52 U.S.C. §§ 20507(b)(1) and 20510(b), and 28 U.S.C. § 1651) 22 The Cochise County Defendants join in and adopt the Maricopa County 23 Defendants' answers to Count II in paragraphs 191. through 199. 24 25

1	(PLAINTIFFS') PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
2	The Cochise County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of their		
3	requested relief.		
4	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
5	1. Plaintiffs lack Article III standing.		
7	2. Plaintiffs cannot maintain their claims because they failed to comply with		
8			
	the notice requirements of 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b) prior to filing their lawsuit.		
9 10	3. Plaintiffs failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.		
11	4. Plaintiffs failed to sue necessary parties to obtain the relief they request.		
12	5. Some of the relief that Plaintiffs request, or implicitly request, would be		
13	illegal under federal law.		
14	6. Plaintiffs' proposed interpretation of Arizona's and federal-law		
15 16	citizenship-inquiry requirements, which Plaintiffs seek to impose on Defendants, would		
17	lead to futile and absurd results, and courts do not construe statues to produce such		
18	results. Church of Scientology of California v. U.S. Dep't of Just., 612 F.2d 417, 422 (9th		
19	Cir. 1979) (quoting United States v. Am. Trucking Ass'ns, 310 U.S. 534, 543-44 (1940).		
20	7. Cochise County is not a proper defendant to this action and must be		
21	dismissed.		
22 23	8. The Cochise County Defendants reserve the right to assert additional		
23	affirmative defenses as additional facts are discovered.		
25			

1		COCHISE COUNTY DEFENDANTS' PRAYER FOR RELIEF
2	The (Cochise County Defendants pray for relief as follows.
3	A.	That the Court dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint;
5	B.	That judgment be entered in favor of the Cochise County Defendants and
6	against Plair	ntiffs on Plaintiffs' Complaint;
7	C.	That the Cochise County Defendants be awarded their reasonable
8	attorneys' fe	ees and costs under any applicable statute, rule, or equitable doctrine, and
9	D.	For any and all other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.
10 11		RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15th day of October, 2024.
11		BRIAN M. McINTYRE
13		Cochise County Attorney
14		By: <u>/S/ Paul Correa</u> PAUL CORREA Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
15		PAUL CORREA Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
16		Chief Civit Deputy County Futoriney
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	

	Case 2:24-cv-02030-KML Document 93 Filed 10/15/24 Page 9 of 9
1	The foregoing was e-filed on this
2	15th day of October, 2024.
3	Copies were e-served this 15th day of October, 2024, to:
5	American First Legal Foundation
6	James K. Rogers 611 Pennsylvania Ave., SE #231
7	Washington, D.C. 20003 James.Rogers@aflegal.org
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Jennifer Wright Esq., PLC Jennifer J. Wright 4350 E. Indian School Rd. Suite #21-105 Phoenix, AZ 85018 jen@jenwesq.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	Jennifer J. Wright 4350 E. Indian School Rd.
11	Suite #21-105 Phoenix, AZ 85018
12	jen@jenwesq.com Attern and for Plaintiffe
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14	FRON
15	RETRIEVED FIL
16	EFRI
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	