1	JON R. SMITH								
2	Yuma County Attorney								
	William J. Kerekes, #007073								
3	Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney Office of the Yuma County Attorney								
4	250 West Second Street, Suite G								
5	Yuma, Arizona 85364 Telephone: (928) 817-4300								
	E-mail: YCAttyCivil@yumacountyaz.gov								
6	Attorney for Yuma County Defendants								
7	Theomey for Fama County Berendams								
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
9	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA								
9	Strong Communities Foundation of Arizona Case No. CV-24-02030-PHX-KML								
10	Incorporated, et al.,								
11	Plaintiffs, YUMA COUNTY DEFENDANTS'								
12	v. ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT								
13	Stephen Richer; et al.,								
14	Defendants.								
15	Defendants Yuma County Recorder Richard Colwell (the "Recorder") and Yuma County								
16	("Yuma County Defendants") answer Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint ("Amended								
17	Complaint") as follows:								
	YUMA COUNTY DEFENDANTS' JOINDER AND GENERAL DENIAL								
18	The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants' Answer to								
19	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint filed on September 17, 2024, unless otherwise noted herein.								
20	Every allegation in Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that is not specifically admitted in this								
	Answer is denied.								
21	Allswei is deflied.								

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to the allegations in Paragraphs 1-7 of the Amended Complaint.
- 2. The Yuma County Defendants deny all allegations made in Paragraph 8 as to the Yuma County Defendants, and further affirmatively state that the Yuma County Recorder complies with all legal requirements concerning voter registration list maintenance. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 9, Yuma County Defendants deny that they have failed to follow the law. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the voters or the other Defendants. The Yuma County Defendants join Maricopa County Defendants in asserting that to the extent there has been any loss of confidence in the integrity of the election system, it is far more likely the result of the repeated, false allegations against election officers and their administration of elections.
- 4. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 10 and 11.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 12, the Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the Plaintiffs' motive in filing this lawsuit. The Yuma County Defendants further deny that the

Yuma County Recorder has failed to comply with the list maintenance procedures required by law.

PARTIES

- 6. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 13-16.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 17, the Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning EZAZ.org's members. The Yuma County Defendants deny that the Yuma County Recorder has failed to comply with required voter list maintenance practices.
- 8. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 18-50.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their response to Paragraph 51.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 52, the Yuma County Defendants admit that Plaintiff Strong Communities Foundation sent a letter to the Yuma County Recorder asserting the Yuma County Recorder had failed to comply with her purported mandatory obligation to submit a request to DHS for citizenship confirmation. Yuma County further admits that said letter alleges a violation that occurred within 120 days before an election for a federal office. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants. The Yuma County Defendants deny all other

allegations made in Paragraph 52. Additionally, the Yuma County Defendants affirmatively state as follows: (1) County recorders are not "chief election officials" within the meaning of 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b); (2) Plaintiffs sent their "notice" on July 16, 2024, which is within 120 days of the next federal election; (3) Section 20510(b) requires that a plaintiff may only file a lawsuit alleging a violation of the NVRA occurring within 120 days before the date of a federal election after providing written notice of the violation "to the chief election official of the state involved" (the "NVRA Notice Letter") and providing that official twenty days to correct the alleged violation, 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2); (4) the Arizona Secretary of State is the "chief state election officer" under NVRA, A.R.S. § 16-142(A); and (5) Plaintiffs failed to provide the NVRA Notice Letter to the Secretary of State prior to filing their lawsuit as required by 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b). Thus, Plaintiffs failed to comply with the law's requirements for bringing a lawsuit alleging violations of the NVRA.

11. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 53-56.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

12. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their response to Paragraph 57.

I. Arizona's Federal-Only Voters

13. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 58-64.

- 14. Answering Paragraph 65, the Yuma County Defendants deny that the number of Federal-Only Voters increases in Yuma County each month. In fact, between April 1, 2024, and October 7, 2024, the number of Federal-Only Voters in Yuma County decreased from 47 to 43. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 15. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraphs 66 and 67.

II. Voter List Maintenance Requirements

- 16. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 68-71.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 72, the Yuma County Defendants deny that the Yuma County Recorder has failed to perform required list maintenance. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 18. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their response to Paragraph 73.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 74, the Yuma County Defendants deny that the Yuma County Recorder has failed to perform required list maintenance. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.

20.	The Yuma	County	Defendants	join	the	Maricopa	County	Defendants	in	their
responses to	Paragraphs ?	75-81.								

III. Foreign Citizens do register to vote.

21. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 82-88.

IV. SAVE, SSA, and EVVE are Insufficient to Definitively Verify Citizenship

22. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 89-105.1

V. SSA and EVVE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 106-116.

VI. Federal Law Entitles County Recorders to Submit Citizenship Inquiries to DHS

- 24. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 117-127.
- 25. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 128.
- 26. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 129-134.

VII. County Recorder Obligations to Provide to the Attorney General a List of Federal-Only Voters

¹ Maricopa County inadvertently referred to paragraph 89 when answering Plaintiffs' allegations in Paragraph 93 of the First Amended Complaint. Yuma County wishes to correct this for their own Answer.

- 27. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 135-137.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 138, the Yuma County Defendants deny the allegations as to the Yuma County Recorder. The Yuma County Defendants further affirmatively state that the Yuma County Recorder follows and complies with all applicable law, and any allegations to the contrary stated and implied are denied. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 29. Answering Paragraph 139, the Yuma County Defendants admit that they have not sent a list of Federal-Only Voters to the Attorney General. The Yuma County Defendants further affirmatively state that the Recorder complies with A.R.S. § 16-143(A), and that there is no ongoing requirement that the county recorders "provide" information about Federal-Only Voters to the Attorney General. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 140, the Yuma County Defendants admit that the Yuma County Recorder did not send the Attorney General applications of all of Yuma County's Federal-Only Voters on or before October 31, 2022, as contemplated by A.R.S. § 16-143(A), because there was no requirement that she do so, nor did she have any authority to do so. The Yuma County Defendants further affirmatively state that, as explained in the answer to Paragraph 135, the requirement that the Recorder send voters' applications to the Attorney

VIII. Pre-Litigation Efforts to Request Compliance as to Maricopa County

- 31. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraphs 141-148.
- 32. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 149-150.
- 33. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraphs 151-155.

IX. Pre-Litigation Efforts to Request Compliance as the Other Counties

- 34. Answering Paragraph 156, the Yuma County Defendants admit that the Yuma County Recorder received a letter from Strong Communities Foundation on or about July 16, 2024, and that the letter purported to "remind" the Recorder of list maintenance responsibilities. The Yuma County Defendants further admit that the letter erroneously claimed that a citizenship inquiry made to DHS pursuant to 8 U.S.C. §§ 1373 and 1644 would allow the Recorder to fulfill those obligations. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 35. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 157-158.

- 36. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 159.
- 37. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 160-162.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 163, the Yuma County Defendants admit that they did not respond to the Strong Communities Foundation letter, but they lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.

COUNT I

Failure to Use "All Available Resources" for Voter List Maintenance of Federal-Only Voters (Special Action, Declaratory, and Injunctive Relief) A.R.S. §§ 16-121.01(D), 12-1801, 12-1832, 12-2021, Ariz. R. Civ. P. 65, RPSA 3, and 28 U.S.C. § 1651

- 39. Answering Paragraph 164, the Yuma County Defendants incorporate their responses to the incorporated allegations.
- 40. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their response to Paragraph 165.
 - 41. The Yuma County Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 166.
- 42. Answering Paragraph 167, the Yuma County Defendants admit that the Yuma County Recorder has not submitted any citizenship inquiries to DHS pursuant to 8 U.S.C. §§ 1373 or 1644. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations.
- 43. The Yuma County Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 168 as it relates to the Yuma County Recorder and affirmatively state that the Recorder fully complies with

A.R.S. § 16-121.01(D). The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.

44. The Yuma County Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 169 as they relate to the Yuma County Recorder and affirmatively state that the Recorder fully complies with A.R.S. § 16-121.01(D). The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.

COUNT II

Failure to Consult Accessible Databases for Voter List Maintenance of Federal-Only Voters (Special Action, Declaratory, and Injunctive Relief) A.R.S. §§ 16-121.01(D)(5), 12-1801, 12-1831, 12-1832, 12-2021, Ariz. R. Civ. O. 65, RPSA 3, and 28 U.S.C. § 1651

- 45. Answering Paragraph 170, the Yuma County Defendants incorporate their responses to the incorporated allegations
- 46. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 171-172.
- Answering Paragraph 173, the Yuma County Defendants admit that the Yuma County Recorder has not submitted any citizenship inquiries to DHS pursuant to 8 U.S.C. §§ 1373 or 1644—because (1) the Recorder already uses DHS's citizenship-inquiry process with SAVE pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-121.01(D), for those registrants whose specific immigration enumerators are accessible and known, and (2) there is no other DHS citizenship inquiry available unless one has the specific immigration enumerators for those whose citizenship is being investigated. Thus, all those for whom inquiries to DHS could be made have already been made, and there is no additional inquiry that can be made. The Yuma County Defendants lack

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.

- 48. The Yuma County Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 174 as they relate to the Yuma County Recorder and affirmatively state that the Recorder complies with A.R.S. §16- 121.01(D). The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 49. The Yuma County Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 175 as they relate to the Yuma County Recorder and affirmatively state that the Recorder complies with A.R.S. §16-121.01(D). The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 50. The Yuma County Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 176 as they relate to the Yuma County Recorder and affirmatively state that the Recorder complies with A.R.S. §16- 121.01(D). The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.

COUNT III

Failure to Conduct Regular Voter List Maintenance of Federal-Only Voters Using Accessible Databases (Special Action, Declaratory, and Injunctive Relief) A.R.S. §§ 16-165(K), 12-1801, 12-1831, 12-1832, 12-2021, Ariz. R. Civ. O. 65, RPSA 3, and 28 U.S.C. § 1651

- 51. Answering Paragraph 177, the Yuma County Defendants incorporate their responses to the incorporated allegations.
- 52. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 178-182.

- 53. Answering Paragraph 183, the Yuma County Defendants admit that the Yuma County Recorder has not submitted any citizenship inquiries to DHS pursuant to 8 U.S.C. §§ 1373 or 1644. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
 - 54. The Yuma County Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 184.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 185, the Yuma County Defendants deny that the Yuma County Recorder has violated any mandatory duties under A.R.S. § 16-165(K). Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 56. Answering Paragraph 186, the Yuma County Defendants deny allegations as they relate to the Yuma County Recorder The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.

COUNT IV

Failure to Send Information About Federal-Only Voters to the Attorney General (Special Action, Declaratory, and Injunctive Relief) A.R.S. §§ 16-143, 12-1801, 12-1831, 12-1832, 12-2021, Ariz. R. Civ. O. 65, RPSA 3, and 28 U.S.C. § 1651

- 57. Answering Paragraph 187, the Yuma County Defendants incorporate their responses to the incorporated allegations.
- 58. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their response to Paragraph 188.

Answering Paragraph 189, the Yuma County Defendants deny that the Yuma

59.

- County Recorder has not "made available" to the Attorney General information that the statute requires be made available. The Yuma County Defendants admits that the Yuma County Recorder has not provided to the Attorney General any voter registration applications pursuant to this statute, which required that they be provided on or before October 31, 2022. The Yuma County Defendants affirmatively state, as previously explained above, that the cited statute did not take effect until December 31, 2022—after the October 31, 2022, deadline to provide the application. Therefore, the statute was not in effect on October 31, 2022, and the statue's requirement—i.e., that the Recorder provide to the Attorney General voter registration applications by that date—has (and had) no legal force. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 60. The Yuma County Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 190 and affirmatively state that the Recorder complies with A.R.S. § 16-143(A) and that there is no ongoing requirement that the county recorders "provide" information about Federal-Only Voters to the Attorney General. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.

COUNT V

Voter List Maintenance Procedures that Are Discriminatory or Non-Uniform (52 U.S.C. §§ 20507(b)(1) and 20510(b), and 28 U.S.C. § 1651

61. Answering Paragraph 191, the Yuma County Defendants incorporate their responses to the incorporated allegations.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 62. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their response to Paragraph 192.
- 63. The Yuma County Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 193 as they relate to the Yuma County Recorder. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the other Defendants.
- 64. The Yuma County Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 194.
- 65. The Yuma County Defendants join the Maricopa County Defendants in their responses to Paragraphs 195-199.

PLAINTIFFS' PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The Yuma County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of their requested relief.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiffs lack Article III standing.
- 2. Plaintiffs cannot maintain their claims because they failed to comply with the notice requirements of 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b) prior to filing their lawsuit.
 - 3. Plaintiffs failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
 - 4. Plaintiffs failed to sue necessary parties to obtain the relief they request.
- 5. Some of the relief that Plaintiffs request, or implicitly request, would be illegal under federal law.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

- 6. Plaintiffs' proposed interpretation of Arizona and federal-law citizenship-inquiry requirements, which Plaintiffs seek to impose on Defendants, would lead to futile and absurd results, and courts do not construe statutes to produce such results. *Church of Scientology of California v. U.S. Dep't of Just.*, 612 F.2d 417, 422 (9th Cir. 1979) (*quoting United States v. Am. Trucking Ass'n*, 310 U.S. 534, 543-44 (1940).
 - 7. Yuma County is not a proper Defendant to this action and must be dismissed.
- 8. The Yuma County Defendants reserve the right to assert additional affirmative defenses as additional facts are discovered.

YUMA COUNTY DEFENDANTS PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- A. The Yuma County Defendants pray for relief as follows.
- B. That the Court dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint;
- C. That judgment be entered in favor of the Yuma County Defendants and against Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint;
- D. That the Yuma County Defendants be awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under any applicable statute, rule, or equitable doctrine; and
 - E. For any and all other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.
 - RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this <u>13th</u> day of November, 2024.

JON R. SMITH YUMA COUNTY ATTORNEY

/s/ William J. Kerekes
William J. Kerekes
Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Attorney for Yuma County Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 13, 2024, I electronically transmitted the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the District of Arizona using the CM/ECF System. Counsel for all Defendants, as well as Plaintiffs, who have appeared and are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECRF system pursuant to the notice of electronic filing, with courtesy copy emailed as follows:

Honorable Krissa M. Lanham
District Court Judge
Lanham_chambers@azd.uscourts.gov

/s/ Brenda Luna Lead Paralegal