FILED
Electronically
CV24-01051
2024-12-09 09:22:35 AM
Alicia L. Lerud
Clerk of the Court
ansaction # 10717088 : csulezic

1	CODE: 2405
	CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS
2	Washoe County District Attorney ELIZABETH HICKMAN
3	Deputy District Attorney
4	Bar Number: 11598 One South Sierra Street
5	Reno, NV 89501 (775) 337-5700
6	ehickman@da.washoecounty.gov
	ATTORNEYS FOR WASHOE COUNTY
7	REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
8	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
9	
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
11	* * *
12	FREDERICK H. KRAUSE; PUBLIC INTEREST LEGAL FOUNDATION, Case No.: CV24-01051
13	Dept. No.: 4
14	Petitioners,
15	vs.
16	CARRIE-ANN BURGESS, in her capacity as
	Washoe County Interim Registrar of Voters,
17	Respondent,
18	and
19	FRANCISCO V. AGUILAR, in his official
20	capacity as Nevada Secretary of State,
21	Intervenor-Respondent.
22	WASHOE COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS'
23	MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA
24	
25	
26	

The Washoe County Registrar of Voters (the Registrar)¹, by and through undersigned counsel, moves to quash the subpoena served on Andrew McDonald on November 27, 2024, requiring his appearance and testimony before this Court on December 19, 2024, at 1:30 p.m. Exhibit 1. This motion is brought pursuant to NRCP 45(c)(3)(A)(iv) and is based on the following memorandum of points and authorities, the attached exhibit, all documents on file herein, and any other evidence the Court may deem appropriate to consider in this matter.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. Introduction

Approximately eight months ago, Petitioners submitted a list of addresses to the Registrar requesting that she "conduct [an] investigation" into the addresses they provided "and make appropriate corrections to the voter roll." *Petition*, Ex. A at 1. When the Registrar did not undertake the demanded investigation, Petitioners initiated this action seeking extraordinary relief compelling the Registrar to investigate the addresses they provided. *Petition* at 45 ¶ 1.

The Petition has been fully briefed, with the Registrar and Nevada Secretary of State filing a joint opposition to the Petition on October 28, 2024, and Petitioners filing a reply on November 7, 2024. Although WDCR 12(5) allows decisions to be rendered on the briefs, in this case "the Court [found] it appropriate to exercise its discretion and order oral arguments to be set to hear the parties' arguments. ." *Order dated 10/10/24* at 3. Petitioners filed an Application for Setting, and oral arguments are scheduled to occur on December 19, 2024.

II. Legal Argument

A court can quash or modify a subpoena on timely motion if the subpoena subjects a person to undue burden. NRCP 45(c)(3)(A)(iv). The relevancy of the information sought by the subpoena has been considered by many courts in weighing whether a subpoena creates an undue burden. See Travelers Indem. Co. v. Metropolitan Life Insur. Co., 229 F.R.D. 111, 113 (D.Conn.2005);

¹ Cari-Ann Burgess is on leave from her position as Washoe County Interim Registrar of Voters. Because she is sued in her official capacity only, the County notes that Andrew McDonald, Deputy Registrar of Voters, is currently fulfilling the duties of the Registrar of Voters in the absence of the Interim Registrar.

1	U.S. v. Internationa
2	Goodyear Tire Rubb
3	The subpoer
4	2024, hearing shoul
5	Order dated 10/10/2
6	hearing where the pr
7	appearance is entire
8	III. Conclusion
9	The subpoer
10	December 19, 2024,
11	a witness to appear a
12	
13	The undersig
14	social security numb
15	Dated: Dece
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

U.S. v. International Business Machines Corp., 83 F.R.D. 97, 106 (S. D. New York 1979); Goodyear Tire Rubber Co. v. Kirk's Tire & Auto Servicenter, 211 F.R.D 658, 663 (D.Kan.2003).

The subpoena served on Andrew McDonald seeking his testimony at the December 19, 2024, hearing should be quashed. Oral arguments are set to occur, not an evidentiary hearing. Order dated 10/10/24 at 3. Requiring a person to appear to testify pursuant to a subpoena at a hearing where the presentation of evidence is not contemplated is an undue burden as the witness's appearance is entirely irrelevant.

The subpoena ordering Andrew McDonald to appear at the upcoming oral arguments on December 19, 2024, should be quashed. The hearing is not an evidentiary hearing; subpoenaing a witness to appear at a hearing set only for oral arguments creates an undue burden.

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated: December 9, 2024.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney

By /s/ Elizabeth Hickman
ELIZABETH HICKMAN
Deputy District Attorney
One South Sierra Street
Reno, NV 89501
(775) 337-5700

ATTORNEYS FOR CARI-ANN BURGESS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 Pursuant to NRCP 5, I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the District Attorney 3 of Washoe County, over the age of 21 years and not a party to nor interested in the within action. 4 I certify that on this date, I deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mails, with postage fully prepaid, a 5 true and correct copy of the foregoing document in an envelope addressed to the following: 6 LAENA ST-JULES, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 7 100 NORTH CARSON STREET CARSON CITY, NV 89701 8 JOSEPH M. NIXON, ESQ. PUBLIC INTEREST LEGAL FOUNDATION 107 S. WEST STREET, STE. 700 10 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ROBERT GOLAN-VILELLA, ESQ. 11 ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 250 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW, STE. 400 12 WASHINGTON, DC 20001 13 KAYLAN HUGHES LYTLE-PHILLIPS, ESQ. PUBLIC INTEREST LEGAL FOUNDATION 14 107 S. WEST STREET, STE. 700 15 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 16 RICHARD A. MEDINA, ESQ. ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 250 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW, STE. 400 17 WASHINGTON, DC 20001 18 19 I certify that on this date, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Second Judicial 20 District Court by using the ECF System. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be 21 made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows: 22 DEVIN OLIVER, ESQ. 23 BRADLEY SHRAGER, ESQ. 24 DAVID O'MARA, ESQ. Dated this 9th day of December, 2024. 25 26 /s/ N. Stapledon N. Stapledon

1

EXHIBIT INDEX No. of Pages Exhibit 1 5 Pages Subpoena **EXHIBIT INDEX**

FILED
Electronically
CV24-01051
2024-12-09 09:22:35 AM
Alicia L. Lerud
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 10717088 : csulezic

EXHIBIT 1

PEFFERENCE FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET, COM

EXHIBIT 1

_				
1	THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C. David C. O'Mara, Esq., (NV Bar 08599)			
2	311 E. Liberty Street Reno, Nevada 89501			
3	775.323.1321 david@omaralaw.net			
4	Local Counsel for Petitioners			
5	J. Christian Adams*			
6	Joseph M. Nixon* Kaylan L. Phillips*	,		
7	PUBLIC INTEREST LEGAL FOUNDATION 107 S. West Street, Suite 700 Alexandria, VA 22314 (703) 745-5870			
8				
9	adams@publicinterestlegal.org jnixon@publicinterestlegal.org			
10	kphillips@publicinterestlegal.org Counsel for Petitioners			
11	*Pro Hac Vice motions forthcoming	SKET COM		
12		COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN OUNTY OF WASHOE		
13	FRED KRAUS, PUBLIC INTEREST	Case No.: CV24-01051		
14	LEGAL FOUNDATION,			
15	Petitioners,	Dept. No.: 4		
16	V.	SUBPOENA- WASHOE COUNTY		
17		REGISTRAR OF VOTERS		
18	CARRIE-ANN BURGESS, in her official			
19	capacity as Washoe County Interim Registrar of Voters,			
20	Respondent.			
21				
22	THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETI	NGS TO:		
23	Washoe County Registrar of Voters, Acting			
24	Andrew McDonald 1001 E. 9 th Street			
25	Reno, NV 89501			
26	775.328.3670			
27	YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED	D, that all and singular, business and other excuses		
	being set aside, you appear to provide testimony and produce for inspection and photocopying the			
28		- 1 -		

below listed documents on the December 19, 2024, at the hour of 1:30 p.m., at the Second Judicial District Court, Family Division located at 75 Court Street, Reno, NV 89501 in Department 4.

IF YOU FAIL TO ATTEND, you will be deemed guilty of contempt of the Court and liable to pay all losses and damages caused by your failure to appear and in addition forfeit ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$100.00) in addition thereto.

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document filed in the abovereferenced matter does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: November 27, 2024.

THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C.

DAVID C. O'MARA, ESQ

311 E. Liberty Street Reno, Nevada 89503 775.323.1321

david@omaralaw.net

1 2	STATE OF NEVADA) : ss. COUNTY OF WASHOE) I hereby certify that I am over 18 years of age and not a party to nor interested in the		
3	day of		
5	November, 2024, and personally served the same by delivering a copy to the witness at (state		
6	address)		
7			
8	1 007 1 0004		
9	on this day of November, 2024.		
10	Z COW.		
11	Signature of person making service		
12	Signature of person making service Print name here		
13	Print name here		
14	Find name here		
15	ROW		
16			
17			
1819	[₹]		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	- 3		

- 3 -

serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and

5

10

13 14

1516

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

2627

subpoena. The court that issued the subpoena must enforce this duty and may impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required.

(c) Protection of Persons Subject to Subpoena.

(i) A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(ii) If documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things are produced to the party that issued the subpoena without an appearance at the place of production, that party must, unless otherwise stipulated by the parties or ordered by the court, promptly copy or electronically reproduce the documents or information, photograph any tangible items not subject to copying, and serve these items on every other party. The party that issued the subpoena may also serve a statement of the reasonable cost of copying, reproducing, or photographing, which a party receiving the copies, reproductions, or photographs must promptly pay. If a party disputes the cost, then the court, on motion, must determine the reasonable cost of copying the documents or information, or photographing the tangible items.

(B) **Objections.** A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, or a person claiming a proprietary interest in the subpoenaed documents, information, tangible things, or premises to be inspected, may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The person making the objection must serve it before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made:

(i) the party serving the subpoena is not entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or tangible things or to inspect the premises except by order of the court that issued the subpoena;

(ii) on notice to the parties, the objecting person, and the person commanded to produce or permit inspection, the party serving the subpoena may move the court that issued the subpoena for an order compelling production or inspection; and

(iii) if the court enters an order compelling production or inspection, the order must protect the person commanded to produce or permit inspection from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the court that issued a subpoena must quash or modify the subpoena if it:

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, unless the person is commanded to attend trial within Nevada;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies;

(iv) subjects a person to an undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. On timely motion, the court that issued a subpoena may quash or modify the subpoena if it requires disclosing:

(i) a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order an appearance or production under specified conditions if the party serving the subpoena:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- 4 -

28

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information: (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand. (B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms. (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form. (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery. (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection. (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must: (i) expressly make the claim; and (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim. (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved. (e) Contempt; Costs. Failure by any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court that issued the subpoena. In connection with a motion for a protective order brought under Rule 26(c), a motion to compel brought under Rule 45(c)(2)(B), or a motion to quash or modify the subpoena brought under Rule 45(c)(3), the court may consider the provisions of Rule 37(a)(5) in awarding the prevailing person reasonable expenses incurred in making or opposing the motion. [Amended; effective March 1, 2019.]

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28