1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California			
2	Attorney General of California R. MATTHEW WISE			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL S. COHEN			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 339846			
	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255			
5	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550			
6	Telephone: (916) 210-6090 Fax: (916) 324-8835			
7	E-mail: Michael.Cohen@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for the People of the State of California;			
8	California Secretary of State and Dr. Shirley N. Weber, in her official capacity as California		Exempt from Filing Fee ursuant to Gov. Code § 6103	
9	Secretary of State	1	arsaum to don coue y 0103	
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER			
12	- CXE			
13	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. 30	0-2024-01393606-CU-WM-NJC	
14	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. ROB BONTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA; DR. SHIRLEY N.	PETITION OF JUDGN	ERS' REQUEST FOR ENTRY MENT	
15	WEBER, in her official capacity as California Secretary of State,	RELATED	TO ROA #60	
16	20			
17	Petitioners,	Date: Time:	December 16, 2024 10:00 a.m.	
18	v.	Dept: Judge:	C25 Hon. Nico Dourbetas	
19	CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH; ROBIN	Action Filed	l: April 15, 2024	
20	ESTANISLAU, in her official capacity as the City of Huntington Beach City Clerk;			
21	DOES 1 through 50, INCLUSIVE			
22	Respondents.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	1			

1 2

4 5

3

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

INTRODUCTION

On November 15, 2024, the Court sustained Respondents' Demurrer on ripeness grounds, with leave to amend. Petitioners the People of the State of California and California Secretary of State respectfully disagree with the Court's order and seek an appealable judgment as soon as possible. Petitioners' therefore respectfully request that the Court timely enter judgment in this matter.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Petitioners filed their Petition and Complaint ("Complaint") on April 15, 2024, alleging that the City's recently adopted City Charter provision, which appeared as Measure A on the March 2024 Primary Election ballot, is preempted by state election law. (ROA #2.)

Respondents filed a Demurrer, Motion to Strike, and Request for Judicial Notice ("RJN") on May 9, 2024. (ROA #20, 21, 25.) Petitioners filed oppositions to the Demurrer and Motion to Strike, an RJN, and a Statement of Non-Opposition to Respondents' RJN on September 23, 2024. (ROA #29, 31, 37, 38.) Respondents filed replies in support of their Demurrer and Motion to Strike on September 30, 2024. (ROA #33, 35.) In accordance with the Court's order on October 3, 2024, the parties filed supplemental briefs related to Senate Bill 1174 on October 29, 2024. (ROA #46, 49, 51.)

The motions were heard on November 12 and 14, 2024. On November 15, 2024, the Court sustained Respondents' Demurrer with leave to amend "on grounds of failure to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action." (ROA #60.) Specifically, the Court concluded that "this matter is not ripe for adjudication, as § 705, subd. (a)(2) of the City's Charter is permissive and discretionary in character, and thus currently presents no conflict with state elections law." (Ibid.) The Court granted Petitioners 20 days—or until December 5, 2024—to amend their Complaint. (Ibid.)

ARGUMENT

The Court should enter judgment in this matter because the Court sustained Respondents' Demurrer with leave to amend, and Petitioners have elected not to file an amended Complaint by the time allotted. (See Code Civ. Proc. § 581, subd. (f)(2) [either party may move for dismissal

1	after a demurrer is sustained with leave to amend and the plaintiff fails to amend].) Petitioners			
2	respectfully disagree with the Court's November 15, 2024 order and seek an appealable judgment			
3	as soon as possible, to give the parties the necessary time to resolve the issues presented by this			
4	matter before the planning for the 2026 elections begins. (See generally <i>Chavez v. Alco</i>			
5	Harvesting, LLC (2024) 102 Cal.App.5th 866, 870 [judgment of dismissal generally required for			
6	appeal]; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.104, subd. (a)(1) [deadline for appeal runs from entry of			
7	judgment].) Entry of judgment is also consistent with Respondents' request at the November			
8	hearings to sustain their Demurrer without leave to amend. 1			
9	If the Court grants Petitioners' request, Petitioners respectfully ask that the Court vacate the			
10	upcoming December 16, 2024 status conference.	ON		
11	CONCLUSION			
12	Petitioners respectfully request that the Court enter judgment forthwith.			
13	a Co	×		
14	Dated: December 5, 2024	Respectfully submitted,		
15	Dated: December 5, 2024	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
16	EROW.	R. MATTHEW WISE Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
17	JED,	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
18	, Relie	Microel & Cohen		
19	××	MICHAEL S. COHEN		
20		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for the People of the State of		
21		California; California Secretary of State		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	Nevertheless, Respondents have informed Petition	ners that they may oppose this request. (Cohen		
28				

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY E-MAIL

Case Name:	The People of the State of	California, et al. v. City of Huntington Beach, et al
Case No.:	30-2024-01393606-CU-WI	M-NJC
I declare:		
California Sta		by General, which is the office of a member of the rection this service is made. I am 18 years of age or
		ed PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF electronic service addressed as follows:
Peggy Huang Office of the 2000 Main S Huntington E E-Mail: Mic E-Mail: Pegg E-Mail: Mic E-Mail: Chri Attorneys for	ates, City Attorney g, Sr. Deputy City Attorney City Attorney treet, P.O. Box 190 Beach, CA 92648 hael.Gates@surfcity-hb.org gy.Huang@surfcity-hb.org hele.Hoffman@surfcity-hb.org respondents, ington Beach and Robin Este	SENO
of America th		e laws of the State of California and the United States et, and that this declaration was executed on rnia.
	L. Zamora	
	Declarant	451gnature

SA2024300906 67269597