1	D. Andrew Gaona (028414)	
2	Austin C. Yost (034602) COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC	
3	2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
	T: (602) 381-5486	
4	agaona@cblawyers.com ayost@cblawyers.com	
5	Lalitha D. Madduri*	
6	Daniel J. Cohen* Elena Rodriguez Armenta*	
7	ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP 250 Massachusetts Ave NW, Suite 400	
8	Washington, D.C. 20001	
9	T: (202) 968-4330 lmadduri@elias.law	
10	dcohen@elias.law erodriguezarmenta@elias.law	SKET COM
11	Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor-Defendants	
12	Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans and Voto Latino	5K-
13	*Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming	
14	ARIZONA SUPERIO	OR COURT
15	YAVAPAI COU	
10		
	CERT CALC COA CA	
16	STRONG COMMUNITIES FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC	No. S13000V202400175
16 17	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON;	) ) PROPOSED] ANSWER IN
	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,	) PROPOSED  ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS'
17	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,	PROPOSEDJ ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION
17 18	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.	) PROPOSED  ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR
17 18 19 20	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.  YAVAPAI COUNTY; CRAIG L. BROWN,	PROPOSED ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION RELIEF (Assigned to the Hon. John D.
17 18 19 20 21	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.  YAVAPAI COUNTY; CRAIG L. BROWN, JAMES GREGORY, DONNA G. MICHAELS, MARY MALLORY, and HARRY B. OBERG,	PROPOSED ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION RELIEF
17 18 19 20 21 22	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.  YAVAPAI COUNTY; CRAIG L. BROWN, JAMES GREGORY, DONNA G. MICHAELS, MARY MALLORY, and HARRY B. OBERG, in their respective official capacities as members of the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors;	PROPOSED ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION RELIEF (Assigned to the Hon. John D.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.  YAVAPAI COUNTY; CRAIG L. BROWN, JAMES GREGORY, DONNA G. MICHAELS, MARY MALLORY, and HARRY B. OBERG, in their respective official capacities as members of the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors; MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official capacity as Yavapai County Recorder;	PROPOSED ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION RELIEF (Assigned to the Hon. John D.
17 18 19 20 21 22	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.  YAVAPAI COUNTY; CRAIG L. BROWN, JAMES GREGORY, DONNA G. MICHAELS, MARY MALLORY, and HARRY B. OBERG, in their respective official capacities as members of the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors; MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official capacity as Yavapai County Recorder; MARICOPA COUNTY; BILL GATES,	PROPOSED ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION RELIEF (Assigned to the Hon. John D.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.  YAVAPAI COUNTY; CRAIG L. BROWN, JAMES GREGORY, DONNA G. MICHAELS, MARY MALLORY, and HARRY B. OBERG, in their respective official capacities as members of the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors; MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official capacity as Yavapai County Recorder; MARICOPA COUNTY; BILL GATES, STEVE GALLARDO, THOMAS GALVIN, CLINT HICKMAN, and JACK SELLERS, in	PROPOSED ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION RELIEF (Assigned to the Hon. John D.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.  YAVAPAI COUNTY; CRAIG L. BROWN, JAMES GREGORY, DONNA G. MICHAELS, MARY MALLORY, and HARRY B. OBERG, in their respective official capacities as members of the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors; MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official capacity as Yavapai County Recorder; MARICOPA COUNTY; BILL GATES, STEVE GALLARDO, THOMAS GALVIN, CLINT HICKMAN, and JACK SELLERS, in their respective official capacities as members of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors;	PROPOSED ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION RELIEF (Assigned to the Hon. John D.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.  YAVAPAI COUNTY; CRAIG L. BROWN, JAMES GREGORY, DONNA G. MICHAELS, MARY MALLORY, and HARRY B. OBERG, in their respective official capacities as members of the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors; MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official capacity as Yavapai County Recorder; MARICOPA COUNTY; BILL GATES, STEVE GALLARDO, THOMAS GALVIN, CLINT HICKMAN, and JACK SELLERS, in their respective official capacities as members of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors; STEPHEN RICHER, in his official capacity as Maricopa County Recorder; COCONINO	PROPOSED ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION RELIEF (Assigned to the Hon. John D.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	OF ARIZONA INCORPORATED; ERIC LOVELIS; WILLIAM JOSEPH APPLETON; and LAURA HARRISON,  Plaintiffs,  v.  YAVAPAI COUNTY; CRAIG L. BROWN, JAMES GREGORY, DONNA G. MICHAELS, MARY MALLORY, and HARRY B. OBERG, in their respective official capacities as members of the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors; MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official capacity as Yavapai County Recorder; MARICOPA COUNTY; BILL GATES, STEVE GALLARDO, THOMAS GALVIN, CLINT HICKMAN, and JACK SELLERS, in their respective official capacities as members of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors; STEPHEN RICHER, in his official capacity as	PROPOSED ANSWER IN INTERVENTION TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR SPECIAL ACTION RELIEF (Assigned to the Hon. John D.

1 2 3	official capa County Boa	
4		Defendants.
5		
6	Prop	osed Intervenor-Defendants Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans and Voto
7	Latino ("Pr	oposed Intervenors") answer Plaintiffs' Complaint for Special Action Relief
8	("Complain	t") as follows:
9		<u>INTRODUCTION</u>
10	1.	Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited article contains the quoted language
11	and that elec	ctions must be administered consistent with the requirements of state and federal
12	law. Propos	ed Intervenors otherwise deny the allegations in Paragraph 1 to the extent they
13	suggest that	problems with the 2022 election in Maricopa County affected the outcome.
14	2.	Deny.
15	3.	Deny.  Deny.  Deny.  Deny.
16	4.	Deny.
17	5.	Deny.
18	6.	Deny.
19	7.	Deny.
20	8.	Deny.
21	9.	Proposed Intervenors admit that, on January 29, 2024, the American Law
22	Institute iss	ued a report titled Ethical Standards for Election Administration; that Defendant
23	Bill Gates s	erved on the report's drafting committee; and that the cited report contains the
24	quoted lang	uage. Paragraph 9 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is
25	required. To	the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
26	10.	Deny.
27	11.	Deny.
28	12.	Deny.

#### **PARTIES**

- 13. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 13 and therefore deny them.
- 14. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 14 and therefore deny them.
- 15. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 15 and therefore deny them.
- 16. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 16 and therefore deny them.
- 17. Paragraph 17 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations to the extent they suggest Yavapai County is a proper defendant in this action.
- 18. Proposed Intervenors deny that Craig L. Brown is either the chairman or a member of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors. Paragraph 18 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations.
- 19. Proposed Intervenors admit that Michelle M. Burchill is the Yavapai County Recorder and is sued in her official capacity. Paragraph 19 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations.
- 20. Paragraph 20 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations to the extent they suggest Maricopa County is a proper defendant in this action.
- 21. Proposed Intervenors admit that Jack Sellers is the chairman of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors and that Steve Gallardo, Thomas Galvin, Clint Hickman, and Bill Gates are members of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors. Paragraph 21 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations.

- 22. Proposed Intervenors admit that Stephen Richer is the Maricopa County Recorder. Paragraph 22 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations.
- 23. Paragraph 23 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations to the extent they suggest Coconino County is a proper defendant in this action.
- 24. Proposed Intervenors admit that Jeronimo Vasquez is the chairman of the Coconino County Board of Supervisors and that Patrice Horstman, Adam Hess, Judy Begay, and Lena Fowler are members of the Coconino County Board of Supervisors. Paragraph 24 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations.
- 25. Proposed Intervenors admit that Patty Hansen is the Coconino County Recorder. Paragraph 25 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations.

# **JURISDICTION**

- 26. Paragraph 26 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 27. Paragraph 27 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 28. Proposed Intervenors admit that most of the Plaintiffs previously filed a similar action in Maricopa County before voluntarily dismissing it and refiling in this Court. Paragraph 28 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, and the Court finds it has jurisdiction, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

# **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 29. Deny.
- 30. Deny.

31. Paragraph 31 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statutes contain the quoted language.

## **Chain of Custody Failures**

- 32. Paragraph 32 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 33. Paragraph 33 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the EPM, at different sections, contains provisions relating to counting ballots and specific forms, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the EPM.
- 34. Paragraph 34 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 35. Paragraph 35 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 36. Proposed Intervenors admit that the document cited in Paragraph 36 contains the quoted language.
- 37. Proposed Intervenors admit that the document cited in Paragraph 37 contains the quoted language.
- 38. Proposed Intervenors admit that the document cited in Paragraph 38 contains the quoted language.
- 39. Proposed Intervenors admit that the document cited in Paragraph 39 contains the quoted language.
- 40. Proposed Intervenors admit that the document cited in Paragraph 40 contains the quoted language.

- 41. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 41 and therefore deny them.
- 42. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 42 and therefore deny them.
- 43. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 43 and therefore deny them.
- 44. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 44 and therefore deny them.
- 45. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 45 and therefore deny them.
- 46. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 46 and therefore deny them.
- 47. Paragraph 47 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited document contains the quoted language, but otherwise deny the allegations.

# **Reconciliation Failures**

- 48. Paragraph 48 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 49. Paragraph 49 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 50. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 50 and therefore deny them.
- 51. Proposed Intervenors admit that the document cited in Paragraph 51 contains the quoted language.
- 52. Paragraph 52 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the reconciliation process

serves to avoid tabulation errors and deter fraud, but deny the allegations to the extent they suggest that a failure of reconciliation procedures led to mistakes or fraud in previous Maricopa County elections.

- 53. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 53 and therefore deny them.
- 54. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 54 and therefore deny them.
- 55. Proposed Intervenors admit that the document cited in Paragraph 55 states that reconciliation "is conducted at MCTEC, not in the vote center." Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 55 and therefore deny them.
- 56. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 56 and therefore deny them.

## Failure of Voting Center Printers

- 57. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 57 and therefore deny them. Paragraph 57 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 58. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 58 and therefore deny them.
- 59. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 59 and therefore deny them.
- 60. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 60 and therefore deny them.
- 61. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 61 and therefore deny them.

- 62. Proposed Intervenors admit that the email cited in Paragraph 62 references a printer issue in Yavapai County that was "fixed" within five minutes of being reported, and that the polling place described had a forty-five-minute wait. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 62 and therefore deny them.
- 63. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 63 and therefore deny them.
- 64. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 64 and therefore deny them.
- 65. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 65 and therefore deny them.
- 66. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 66 and therefore deny them.
- 67. Paragraph 67 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

# **Racially Discriminatory Location of Voting Centers**

- 68. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 68 and therefore deny them.
- 69. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 69 and therefore deny them.
- 70. Paragraph 70 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 71. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 71 and therefore deny them.
- 72. Paragraph 72 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 73. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 73 and therefore deny them.

#### **Unlawful Use of Software for Signature Verification**

- 74. Paragraph 74 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the statute.
- 75. Paragraph 75 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 76. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 76 and therefore deny them.
- 77. Proposed Intervenors admit that the email cited in Paragraph 77 contains the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 77 and therefore deny them.
- 78. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 78 and therefore deny them.
- 79. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 79 and therefore deny them.
- 80. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 80 and therefore deny them.
- 81. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 81 and therefore deny them.
- 82. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 82 and therefore deny them.
- 83. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 83 and therefore deny them.
- 84. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 84 and therefore deny them.
- 85. Proposed Intervenors admit that ballots are important. Paragraph 85 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is

- 86. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 86 and therefore deny them.
- 87. Proposed Intervenors admit that the documents cited in Paragraph 87 contain the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 87 and therefore deny them.
- 88. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 88 and therefore deny them.
- 89. Proposed Intervenors admit that the documents cited in Paragraph 89 contain the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 89 and therefore deny them.
- 90. Proposed Intervenors admit that the document cited in Paragraph 90 contains the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 90 and therefore deny them.
- 91. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 91 and therefore deny them.
- 92. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 92 and therefore deny them.
- 93. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 93 and therefore deny them.
- 94. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 94 and therefore deny them.
- 95. Proposed Intervenors admit that the contract cited in Paragraph 95 expires on January 31, 2026. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a

belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 95 and therefore deny them.

- 96. Proposed Intervenors admit that the contract cited in Paragraph 96 contains the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 96 and therefore deny them.
- 97. Proposed Intervenors admit that the contract cited in Paragraph 97 lists the fees as described. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 97 and therefore deny them.
- 98. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 98 and therefore deny them.
- 99. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 99 and therefore deny them.

# Failure to Signature Verify Ballot Affidavits

- 100. Paragraph 100 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 101. Paragraph 101 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 102. Paragraph 102 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 103. Paragraph 103 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
  - 104. Admit.
  - 105. Paragraph 105 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To

the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

- 106. Paragraph 106 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 107. Paragraph 107 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

#### **Voter-Assisted Ballot Affidavits**

- 108. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 108 and therefore deny them. Early Vote Center Ballot Affidavits
- 109. Proposed Intervenors admit that the transcript cited in Paragraph 109 contains the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 109 and therefore deny them.
- 110. Proposed Intervenors admit that the transcript cited in Paragraph 110 contains the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 110 and therefore deny them.
- 111. Paragraph 111 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 112. Proposed Intervenors admit that the transcript cited in Paragraph 112 contains the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 112 and therefore deny them.
- 113. Proposed Intervenors admit that the transcript cited in Paragraph 113 contains the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 113 and therefore deny them.
  - 114. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a

1	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 114 and therefore deny them.
2	Unauthorized Cancellations of Voter Registration
3	115. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
4	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 115 and therefore deny them.
5	116. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
6	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 116 and therefore deny them.
7	117. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
8	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 117 and therefore deny them.
9	118. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
10	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 118 and therefore deny them.
11	119. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
12	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 119 and therefore deny them.
13	120. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
14	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 120 and therefore deny them.
15	121. Paragraph 121 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To
16	the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
17	122. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
18	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 122 and therefore deny them.
19	123. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
20	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 123 and therefore deny them.
21	124. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
22	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 124 and therefore deny them.
23	Unlawful Curing Procedures
24	125. Paragraph 125 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To
25	the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains
26	the quoted language.
27	126. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
28	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 126 and therefore deny them.
•	

- 127. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 127 and therefore deny them.
- 128. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 128 and therefore deny them.
- 129. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 129 and therefore deny them.
- 130. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 130 and therefore deny them.
- 131. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 131 and therefore deny them.
- 132. Proposed Intervenors admit that the website cited in Paragraph 132 contains the quoted language. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 132 and therefore deny them.
- 133. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 133 and therefore deny them.

# **Unstaffed Drop Boxes**

- 134. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 134 and therefore deny them.
- 135. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 135 and therefore deny them. Paragraph 135 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 136. Paragraph 136 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the statute.
  - 137. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a

belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 137 and therefore deny them.

- 138. Proposed Intervenors admit that the Oxford English Dictionary definition cited in Paragraph 138 contains the quoted language. Paragraph 138 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute does not define the term "staffed."
- 139. Proposed Intervenors admit that the Oxford English Dictionary definition cited in Paragraph 139 contains the quoted language. Paragraph 139 otherwise states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 140. Paragraph 140 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 141. Paragraph 141 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 142. Paragraph 142 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 143. Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited article contains the quoted language. The remainder of Paragraph 143 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 144. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 144 and therefore deny them.
- 145. Paragraph 145 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

#### COUNT I

- 146. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
- 147. Paragraph 147 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains

the quoted language.

- 148. Paragraph 148 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the EPM, at different sections, contains provisions relating to counting ballots and specific forms, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the EPM.
- 149. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 149 and therefore deny them.
- 150. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 150 and therefore deny them.
- 151. Paragraph 151 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 152. Paragraph 152 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 153. Paragraph 153 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 154. Paragraph 154 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 155. Paragraph 155 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 156. Paragraph 156 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

#### **COUNT II**

- 157. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
- 158. Paragraph 158 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit the allegations.
- 159. Paragraph 159 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

1	160. Paragraph 160 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To
2	the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
3	161. Paragraph 161 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To
4	the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
5	<u>COUNT III</u>
6	162. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding
7	admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
8	163. Paragraph 163 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To
9	the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
10	164. Paragraph 164 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To
11	the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
12	165. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
13	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 165 and therefore deny them.
14	<u>COUNT IV</u>
15	166. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding
16	admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
17	167. Paragraph 167 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To
18	the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains
19	the quoted language, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the statute.
20	168. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
21	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 168 and therefore deny them.
22	169. Paragraph 169 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To
23	the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
24	170. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a
25	belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 170 and therefore deny them.
26	<u>COUNT V</u>
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	COUNT V  171. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding

- 172. Paragraph 172 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited constitutional provision contains the quoted language.
- 173. Paragraph 173 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 174. Paragraph 174 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 175. Paragraph 175 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 176. Paragraph 176 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

#### COUNT VI

- 177. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
- 178. Paragraph 178 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited constitutional provision contains the quoted language.
- 179. Paragraph 179 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 180. Paragraph 180 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 181. Paragraph 181 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

## **COUNT VII**

- 182. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
- 183. Paragraph 183 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains

the quoted language.

- 184. Paragraph 184 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 185. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 185 and therefore deny them.
- 186. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 186 and therefore deny them.
- 187. Paragraph 187 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 188. Paragraph 188 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 189. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 189 and therefore deny them.
- 190. Paragraph 190 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

## **COUNT VIII**

- 191. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
- 192. Paragraph 192 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 193. Paragraph 193 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 194. Paragraph 194 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 195. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 195 and therefore deny them.

196. Paragraph 196 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

- 197. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 197 and therefore deny them.
- 198. Paragraph 198 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

## **COUNT IX**

- 199. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
- 200. Paragraph 200 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the statute.
- 201. Paragraph 201 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the statute.
- 202. Paragraph 202 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 203. Paragraph 203 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 204. Paragraph 204 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 205. Paragraph 205 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 206. Paragraph 206 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 207. Paragraph 207 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

#### **COUNT X**

- 208. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
- 209. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 209 and therefore deny them.
- 210. Paragraph 210 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the statute.
- 211. Paragraph 211 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 212. Paragraph 212 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the statute.
- 213. Paragraph 213 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 214. Paragraph 214 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 215. Paragraph 215 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admits the cited statute contains the quoted language.
- 216. Paragraph 216 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 217. Proposed Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 217 and therefore deny them.
- 218. Paragraph 218 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

#### COUNT XI

- 219. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
- 220. Paragraph 220 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors admit that the cited statute contains the quoted language, but deny the allegations to the extent they mischaracterize the statute.
- 221. Paragraph 221 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 222. Paragraph 222 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 223. Paragraph 223 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 224. Paragraph 224 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 225. Paragraph 225 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 226. Paragraph 226 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 227. Paragraph 227 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.

#### **COUNT XII**

- 228. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference each of their preceding admissions, denials, and statements as if fully set forth herein.
- 229. Paragraph 229 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
- 230. Paragraph 230 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations.
  - 231. Paragraph 231 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To

1 the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations. Paragraph 232 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To 2 3 the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations. 4 Paragraph 233 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To 5 the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations. 6 Paragraph 234 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To 7 the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations. 8 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 9 Proposed Intervenors deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief. 10 GENERAL DENIAL 11 Proposed Intervenors deny every allegation in Plaintiffs' Complaint that is not 12 expressly admitted herein. **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** 13 1. 14 Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 15 16 2. Plaintiffs' claims are barred because Plaintiffs lack standing. 3. 17 Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part for ripeness. 18 4. Plaintiffs' claims are barred because they seek relief inconsistent with the 19 Arizona and U.S. Constitutions. 20 5. Proposed Intervenors reserve the right to assert additional affirmative 21 defenses—including, but not limited to, those set forth in Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure 22 8(d)—as additional facts are discovered. 23 WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiffs' Complaint, Proposed Intervenors 24 pray for judgment as follows: 25 A. That the Court dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint; 26 В. That judgment be entered in favor of Proposed Intervenors and against 27 Plaintiffs on Plaintiffs' Complaint and that Plaintiffs take nothing thereby;

That Proposed Intervenors be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees and costs

28

C.

1	under any applicable statute or equitable do	octrine; and
2	D. For such other and further reli	ef as the Court, deems appropriate.
3	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED th	is 29th day of February, 2024.
4	C	OPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC
5	В	y: <u>/s/ D. Andrew Gaona</u> D. Andrew Gaona
6		Austin C. Yost
7		2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 T: (602) 381-5486
8		agaona@cblawyers.com ayost@cblawyers.com
9	F	LIAS LAW GROUP LLP
10		Lalitha D. Madduri*
11		Daniel J. Cohen* Elena Rodriguez Armenta*
12		250 Massachusetts Ave NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20001
13		T: (202) 968-4330
14		dcohen@elias.law erodriguezarmenta@elias.law
15 16	ON DE A	ttorneys for Proposed Intervenor-Defendants
17	V V	rizona Alliance for Retired Americans and oto Latino
18	A A V *	Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming
19	ORIGINAL e-filed and served via electron	ic
20	means this 29th day of February, 2024, upo	on:
21	Honorable John D. Napper Yavapai County Superior Court	
22	c/o Felicia L. Slaton	
23	<u>Div2@courts.az.gov</u>	
24	James K. Rogers James.Rogers@aflegal.org	
25	America First Legal Foundation	
26	611 Pennsylvania Ave., SE #231 Washington, D.C. 20003	
27	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
28		

1	Jennifer J. Wright
2	jen@jenwesq.com
	Jennifer Wright Esq., PLC
3	4350 E. Indian School Rd Suite #21-105
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85018 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
5	Attorneys for 1 turnitys
6	Thomas M. Stoxen
7	Thomas.Stoxen@yavapaiaz.gov
	Michael Gordon  Michael.Gordon@yavapaiaz.gov
8	Yavapai County Attorney's Office
9	255 Fast Gurley Street
10	Prescott, Arizona 86301
11	Attorneys for Yavapai County Defendants
	Joseph La Rue
12	laruej@mcao.maricopa.gov
13	Thomas Liddy liddyt@mcao.maricopa.gov
14	Jack L. O'Connor
15	Prescott, Arizona 86301  Attorneys for Yavapai County Defendants  Joseph La Rue  laruej@mcao.maricopa.gov  Thomas Liddy  liddyt@mcao.maricopa.gov  Jack L. O'Connor  oconnorj@mcao.maricopa.gov  Rosa Aquilar
	Rosa Aguilar <u>aguilarr@mcao.maricopa.gov</u>
16	Maricopa County Attorney's Office
17	225 W Madison St
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85003
19	Attorneys for Maricopa County Defendants
	Brett W. Johnson
20	bwjohnson@swlaw.com
21	Eric H. Spencer espencer@swlaw.com
22	Colin P. Ahler
23	<u>cahler@swlaw.com</u>
	Ian Joyce ijoyce@swlaw.com
24	Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
25	One East Washington Street, Suite 2700
26	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2556 Telephone: 602.382.6000
27	Attorneys for Maricopa County Defendants
28	
20	

1 2 3 4	Rose Winkeler  rose@flaglawgroup.com  Flagstaff Law Group  702 North Beaver Street  Flagstaff, Arizona 86001  Attorneys for Coconino County Defendants
5 6	/s/ Diana Hanson
7	
8	
9	
10	-ON
11	
12	100C/L
13	arct
14	RETURN THE BOWN THE WOOD REPORT TO THE REPORT THE REPORT THE PROPERTY OF THE REPORT THE
15	ON DE
16 17	DER <sup>C</sup>
18	OF THE PARTY OF TH
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	