



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**INTRODUCTION.....1**

**ARGUMENT.....2**

**I. TRUMP MISCONSTRUES THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT STANDARD..... 2**

**II. THE CORE FACTS OF OBJECTORS’ PETITION ARE UNDISPUTED, SUPPORTED BY ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE, AND ESTABLISH THAT TRUMP ENGAGED IN INSURRECTION. .... 4**

**A. The January 6<sup>th</sup> Report Is Admissible Under Illinois Rule of Evidence 803(8) and the SOEB Rules of Procedure..... 5**

**B. Undisputed Facts Supported By Admissible Evidence Show that Candidate Trump Engaged in Insurrection. .... 7**

**III. THE COLORADO AND MAINE PROCEEDINGS DO NOT MEAN THAT SUMMARY JUDGMENT IS IMPROPER; ON THE CONTRARY, THIS COURT SHOULD CONSIDER THE FACTUAL RECORD AND PERSUASIVE REASONING SET FORTH IN THE COLORADO AND MAINE DECISIONS..... 15**

**IV. MR. WARRINGTON’S IMPROPER RULE 191(B) AFFIDAVIT DOES NOT AFFECT SUMMARY JUDGMENT..... 18**

**A. The Purported Rule 191(b) Affidavit is Fatally Defective..... 18**

**B. The Proffered Testimony Would Not Create a Material Dispute of Fact. .... 23**

**C. Trump Had an Opportunity To Present Evidence and Declined..... 25**

**V. THE HEARING OFFICER AND BOARD ARE WELL-EQUIPPED TO DECIDE THE OBJECTION; EITHER ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR BY EXERCISING FACT-FINDING. .... 27**

**VI. CONCLUSION ..... 29**









































































































































































































