United States Court of Appeals

for the **Third Circuit**

Case No. 24-1593

ANDY KIM, in his personal capacity as a candidate for U.S. Senate; ANDY KIM FOR NEW JERSEY; SARAH SCHOENGOOD; SARAH FOR NEW JERSEY; CAROLYN RUSH; CAROLYN RUSH FOR CONGRESS,

– v. –

(For Continuation of Caption See Inside Cover)

ON APPEAL FROM AN INTERLOCUTORY ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY, CASE NO. 3:24-CV-01098-ZNQ-TJB, DISTRICT JUDGE: HONORABLE ZAHID N. QURAISHI

BRIEF ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS JOSEPH GIRALO, JOHN S. HOGAN, JOSEPH RIPA, RITA M. ROTHBERG, CELESTE M. RILEY, CHRISTOPHER J. DURKIN, JAMES M. HOGAN, MARY H. MELFI, PAULA SOLLAMI COVELLO, NANCY J. PINKIN, CHRISTINE GIORDANO HANLON, ANN GROSSI, DANIELLE IRELAND-IMHOF, STEVE PETER, JOANNE RAJOPPI, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS CLERKS OF ATLANTIC, BERGEN, CAMDEN, CAPE MAY, CUMBERLAND, ESSEX, GLOUCESTER, HUNTERDON, MERCER, MIDDLESEX, MONMOUTH, MORRIS, PASSAIC, SOMERSET AND UNION COUNTIES, NEW JERSEY IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY MOTION FOR A STAY PENDING APPEAL

JASON C. SPIRO
ERIC H. JASO
SPIRO HARRISON & NELSON
Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant Christine Giordano Hanlon, in her official capacity as Monmouth County Clerk
200 Monmouth Street, Suite 310
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701
(732) 784-1470 ANGELO J. GENOVA JENNIFER BOREK DANIEL LEBERSFELD GENOVA BURNS LLC Attorneys for Defendants-Appellants Christopher J. Durkin, in his official capacity as Essex County Clerk and Joanne Rajoppi, in her official capacity as Union County Clerk 494 Broad Street Newark, New Jersey 07102 (973) 533-0777

(For Continuation of Appearances See Inside Cover)

CHRISTINE GIORDANO HANLON, in her official capacity as Monmouth County Clerk; SCOTT M. COLABELLA, in his official capacity as Ocean County Clerk; PAULA SOLLAMI COVELLO, in her official capacity as Mercer County Clerk; MARY H. MELFI, in her capacity as Hunterdon County Clerk; STEVE PETER, in his official capacity as Somerset County Clerk; HOLLY MACKEY, in her official capacity as Warren County Clerk; NANCY J. PINKIN, in her official capacity as Middlesex County Clerk; JOSEPH J. GIRALO, in his official capacity as Atlantic County Clerk; JOHN S. HOGAN, in his official capacity as Bergen County Clerk; JOANNE SCHWARTZ, in her official capacity as Burlington County Clerk; JOSEPH RIPA, in his official capacity as Camden County Clerk; RITA ROTHBERG, in her official capacity as Cape May County Clerk; CELESTE M. RILEY, in her official capacity as Cumberland County Clerk; CHRISTOPHER J. DURKIN, in his official capacity as Essex County Clerk; JAMES N. HOGAN, in his official capacity as Gloucester County Clerk; E. JUNIOR MALDONADO, in his official capacity as Hudson County Clerk; ANN GROSSI, in her official capacity as Morris County Clerk; DANIELLE IRELAND-IMHOF, in her official capacity as Passaic County Clerk; JOANNE RAJOPPI, in her official capacity as Union County Clerk; LAURA ALI; CAMDEB COUNTY DEMOCRATIC COMMITTE: DALE CROSS, in his official capacity as Salem County Clerk; JEFF PARROTT, in his official capacity as Sussex County Clerk; NEW JERSEY SECRETARY OF STATE JOSEPH GIRALO, JOHN S. HOGAN, JOANNE SCHWARTZ, JOEPH RIPA, RITA M. ROTHBERG, CELESTE M. RHEY, CHRISTOPHER J. DURKIN, JAMES M. HOGAN, E. JUNIOR MALDONADO, MARY H. MELFI, PAULA SOLLAMI COVELLO, NANCY J. PINKIN, CHRISTINE GIORDANO HANLON, ANN GROSSI, DANIELLE IRELAND-IMHOF, STEVE PETER,

JOANNE RAJOPPI,

Appellants.

JOHN M. CARBONE **CARBONE & FAASSE** Attorneys for Defendants-Appellants Mary H. Melfi, in her official capacity as Hunterdon County Clerk, Joseph J. Giralo in his official capacity as Atlantic County Clerk, Celeste M. Riley, in her official *capacity as Cumberland County* Clerk, James N. Hogan, in his official capacity as Gloucester County Clerk, Rita Rothberg, in her official capacity as Cape May County Clerk 401 Goffle Road PO Box 763 Ridgewood, New Jersey 07451 (201) 445-7100

LOUIS N. RAINONE RAINONE COUGHLIN MINCHELLO Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant Paula Sollami Covello, in her official capacity as Mercer County Clerk 555 U.S. Highway One South, Suite 440 Iselin, New Jersey 08830 (732) 709-4182

RICHARD K. WILLE, JR.

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant Steve Peter in his official capacity as Somerset County Clerk
90 Woodbridge Center Drive, Suite 900
Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095
(732) 855-6056 Case: 24-1593 Document: 10

KIRSTIN BOHN CHASAN LAMPARELLO MALLON & CAPPUZZO, PC Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant Ann Grossi in her official capacity as Morris County Clerk 300 Harmon Meadow Boulevard Secaucus, New Jersey 07094 (201) 348-6000

MICHAEL S. WILLIAMS
MIDDLESEX COUNTY OFFICE COUNTY COUNSEL
Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant Nancy J. Pinkin in her official capacity as Middlesex County Clerk
75 Bayard Street
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901
(732) 745-3228

ETRIEVEDFROMD

Page: 3 Date Filed: 04/01/2024

RAJIV D. PARIKH
KATHLEEN BARNETT EINHORN
PEM LAW LLP
Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant

Danielle Ireland-Imhof in her
official capacity as Passaic County
Clerk

1 Boland Drive, Suite 101
West Orange, New Jersey 07052
(973) 577-5500

HOWARD LANE GOLDBERG OFFICE OF CAMDEN COUNTY COUNSEL Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant Joseph Ripa in his official capacity as Camden County Clerk 520 Market Street Courthouse – 14th Floor Camden, New Jersey 08102 (856) 225-5543

THOMAS A. ABBATE
DECOTIAS, FITZPATRICK, COLE & GIBLIN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant John S. Hogan in his official capacity as Bergen County Clerk
61 South Paramus Road, Suite 250
Paramus, New Jersey 07652
(201) 928-1100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

TABLE OF	AUTHORITIES	ii
INTRODUC	CTION	1
STATEMEN	NT OF THE CASE/PROCEDURAL POSTURE	3
JURISDICT	ION	5
STANDAR	D OF REVIEW	5
FACTUAL	BACKGROUND	5
ARGUMENT		12
I.	THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION VIOLATES THE PURCELL PRINCIPLE	
II.	THE STATE INTERESTS OUTWEIGH THE HARM TO THE CANDIDATES	17
CONCLUS	ON	21
	RETRIEVED FROM DE	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases:

Anderson v. Celebrezze, 460 U.S. 780 (1983)	18
Benisek v. Lamone, 585 U.S. 155 (2018)	13
Burdick v. Takushi, 504 U.S. 428 (1992)	18
Democratic Nat'l Comm. v. Wisc. State Legislature, 141 S. Ct. 28 (2020)	13
Democratic Nat'l Comm. v. Wisc. State Legislature, 141 S. Ct. 28 (2020) Democratic-Republican Org. v. Guadagno, 900 F. Supp. 2d 447 (2012)	19
<i>Eu v. San Francisco County Democratic Cent, Committee,</i>	20
489 U.S. 214 (1989)	12
<i>Lara v. Comm'r Pa. State Police</i> , 91 F.4th 122 (3d Cir. 2024)	
Mazo v. New Jersey Sec'y of State, 54 F.4th 124 (3d Cir. 2022)	18
Merrill v. Milligan, 142 S. Ct. 879 (2022)passe	im
Purcell v. Gonzalez, 549 U.S. 1 (2006)passe	im
<i>Quaremba v. Allan</i> , 67 N.J. 1 (1975), affirming and modifying 128 N.J. Super 570 (App. Div. 1974)	19
Republican Nat'l Comm. v. Democratic Nat'l Comm., 140 S. Ct. 1205 (2020)12, 12	13

Revel AC, Inc. v. IDEA Boardwalk LLC, 802 F.3d 558 (3d Cir. 2015)	5
<i>Schrader v. DA of York Cnty.</i> , 74 F.4th 120 (3d Cir. 2023)	
<i>Thompson v. Dewine</i> , 959 F.3d 804 (6th Cir. 2020)	13, 16
Veasey v. Perry, 574 U.S. 951 (2014)	12
<i>Voltaggio v. Caputo</i> , 210 F. Supp. 337 (D.N.J. 1962)	19
Statutes and Other Authorities:	
U.S. Const., amend. I	1, 14, 19, 20
U.S. Const., amend. I	1, 14, 19, 20 19
U.S. Const., amend. I U.S. Const., amend. XIV	1, 14, 19, 20 19 5
U.S. Const., amend. I U.S. Const., amend. XIV 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) 28 U.S.C. § 1331	1, 14, 19, 20 19 5
U.S. Const., amend. I U.S. Const., amend. XIV 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) 28 U.S.C. § 1331 Fed. R. App. P. 8	1, 14, 19, 20 19 5 5 5
U.S. Const., amend. I U.S. Const., amend. XIV 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) 28 U.S.C. § 1331 Fed. R. App. P. 8 N.J.S.A. 19:23-26.1	1, 14, 19, 20 19 5 5 5 5
U.S. Const., amend. I U.S. Const., amend. XIV 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) 28 U.S.C. § 1331 Fed. R. App. P. 8 N.J.S.A. 19:23-26.1 N.J.S.A. 19:32-53	1, 14, 19, 20 19 5 5 5 5
Statutes and Other Authorities: U.S. Const., amend. I U.S. Const., amend. XIV 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) 28 U.S.C. § 1331 Fed. R. App. P. 8 N.J.S.A. 19:23-26.1 N.J.S.A. 19:32-53 N.J.S.A. 19:49-2	1, 14, 19, 20 19 5 5 5 5 18 8 18, 19

INTRODUCTION

Only one week before April 5, 2024, the statutory deadline for New Jersey's County Clerks (among the appellants here) to print ballots for the upcoming primary elections for federal and state offices, the District Court issued a blanket injunction sought by a leading (and now the presumptive) Democratic candidate for U.S. Senate, disrupting 70 years of State statutes and precedents governing ballot design. Based largely on one candidate's self-serving testimony and that of "experts" who had no experience in New Jersey elections, the District Court held that candidates who failed to win the endorsement of county political parties were either relegated to "ballot Siberia" or forced to associate by ballot placement with other endorsed candidates, both in violation of the First Amendment. Disregarding the testimony and averments of the County Clerks that an eleventh-hour change would wreak havoc and was logistically infeasible, as well as a certification of ES&S, the election software and equipment vendor for a number of the counties, that it could not guarantee the implementation of the changes sought by Plaintiffs to meet certain statutorily imposed deadlines, the Court concluded that New Jersey's interests in employing its longstanding ballot design for the imminent 2024 primary elections were "not especially compelling."

At a minimum, the District Court's injunction flies in the face of the Supreme Court's *Purcell* principle, which one Justice has aptly describes as a "bedrock tenet of election law":

When an election is close at hand, the rules of the road must be clear and settled. Late judicial tinkering with election laws can lead to disruption and to unanticipated and unfair consequences for candidates, political parties, and voters, among others. It is one thing for a State on its own to toy with its election laws close to a State's elections. But it is quite another thing for a federal court to swoop in and re-do a State's election laws in the period close to an election.

Merrill v. Milligan, 142 S. Ct. 879, 880-881 (2022) (Kavanaugh, *J.*, concurring). The District Court gave *Purcell* short shrift, concluding that "this case is not last-minute" because the election is on June 4, ignoring the fact that the 2024 primary election process is well underway, with ballot printing taking place on April 5 and mail-in balloting on April 20.

Further, the District Court granted this extraordinary relief after a nearly onesided evidentiary hearing in which the Defendant Clerks (most of whom are appellants here) were unable to call rebuttal experts or procure the live testimony of critical fact witnesses. In doing so, the Court largely ignored and inexplicably discounted the testimony and certifications of the County Clerks charged with administering New Jersey election laws on the issues that the Clerks know best – particularly as to the feasibility of radically changing statewide ballot design on the eve of the deadline for ballot printing without "chaos" ensuing. The Court's assurances that its Order "can and should be enforced without disrupting the upcoming election" unfortunately ring hollow and defy both common sense and the extensive evidence in the record. Indeed, the Court's follow-up Order -- "clarifying" that the injunction applies *only to Democratic* *primary ballots* and not to Republican ballots (which the same machines will need to count on the same election day) baldly illustrates the predictable confusion wrought by this ill-considered, eleventh-hour edict.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE/PROCEDURAL POSTURE

On February 26, 2024, Plaintiffs Andy Kim, Andy Kim for New Jersey, Sarah Schoengood, Sarah for New Jersey, Carolyn Rush, and Carolyn Rush for Congress (collectively "Plaintiffs") filed a Verified Complaint against numerous New Jersey County Clerks. (ECF Dkt. 1). The Verified Complaint challenges the constitutionality of New Jersey laws that dictate the design of the ballot in primary elections. *Id.* Also on February 26, 2024, Plaintiffs filed an application for a preliminary injunction enjoining Defendants from utilizing the regally authorized ballot design in the 2024 Democratic primary election. (ECF Dkt. 5).

On February 29, 2024, the District Court held a scheduling conference before Judge Zahid Quraishi after which the Court issued an Order requiring all opposition briefs to be filed by March 6, 2024. (ECF Dkt. 34). On March 4, 2024, the Camden County Democratic Committee filed a motion to intervene, which was subsequently granted. (ECF Dkt. 121). The District Court held a hearing on March 18, 2024 at which it heard the testimony of seven witnesses. (*See* ECF Dkt. 159). On March 26, 2024, the District Court held an emergency conference during which it discussed additional submissions by the parties related to First Lady Tammy Murphy's withdrawal

from the Senate race and Plaintiff Andy Kim's subsequent procurement of several county endorsements. (See ECF Dkt. 185).

On March 29, 2024, Judge Zahid Quraishi issued his opinion (ECF Dkt. 194), granting the Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. Judge Quraishi also issued an Order (ECF Dkt. 195) enjoining Defendants from preparing, disseminating, using, displaying, or counting any ballot that is (i) designed by columns or rows, rather than by office sought, (ii) positions candidates on the ballot automatically based upon a ballot draw among candidates for a different office, (iii) places candidates such that there is an incongruous separation from other candidates running for the same office, (iv) places candidates are listed horizontally, or to the side of another candidate running for the same office, where the rest of the candidates are listed horizontally, or to the side of another candidate running for the same office, where the rest of the candidates together on the ballot such that candidates for different offices are featured on the same column (or row) of the ballot.

Also on March 29, 2024, Defendants filed an emergency motion to stay and a notice of interlocutory appeal (ECF Dkt. 198, 200). The Morris County Republican Committee requested confirmation that the Order applies only to the Democratic primary and not the Republican primary (ECF Dkt. 196). The District Court subsequently clarified that the Order does not apply to the Republican primary. (ECF

Dkt. 207). The District Court denied the emergency motion to stay on April 1, 2024. (ECF Dkt. 219).

JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction to review the grant of a preliminary injunction under

§ 1292(a)(1). Schrader v. DA of York Cnty., 74 F.4th 120, 124 (3d Cir. 2023). The

District Court had jurisdiction over this Constitutional challenge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1331. Id. The Clerks complied with FRAP 8 by moving for an emergency stay before

the District Court the same day the injunction was granted, which motion was denied.

STANDARD OF REVŒW

In considering whether to grant a stay pending appeal, this Court will consider

four factors:

(1) whether the appellant has made a strong showing of the likelihood of success on the merits; (2) will the appellant suffer irreparable injury absent a stay; (3) would a stay substantially harm other parties with an interest in the litigation; and (4) whether a stay is in the public interest.

Revel AC, Inc. v. IDEA Boardwalk LLC, 802 F.3d 558, 565 (3d Cir. 2015).

This Court will review the District Court's findings of fact for clear error and its

decision to grant the injunction de novo. Lara v. Comm'r Pa. State Police, 91 F.4th 122,

128 n.5 (3d Cir. 2024). The Court will consider the Constitutional issues de novo. Id.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Andy Kim announced his candidacy for U.S. Senate in September 2023.

(Tr. 167: 10-11). Even before he announced his candidacy, he raised concerns about

ballot bracketing. (Tr. 211:18-21).¹ Yet, he waited until February 26, 2024 to file this lawsuit. On January 21, 2024, Sarah Schoengood announced her candidacy for Representative of the 3rd Congressional District. Because she filed two days after the Monmouth County Democratic Committee's deadline for filing an intent to seek endorsement at the Monmouth County Democratic Convention, Schoengood foreclosed herself from appearing on the county line. (Pl. Br. at 14). ² On February 12, 2023, Carolyn Rush announced her candidacy for Representative of the 2nd Congressional District, over one year before filing this action. Rush was also a candidate for the same seat in the 2022 Democratic Primary election and faced these same issues with the county line. (Complaint at ¶ 159).

The primary election, while ostensibly held on June 4, 2024, begins much earlier on April 20, 2024, when mail-in ballots are mailed according to a statutorily prescribed timeline. *See N.J.S.A.* 19:63-9. Monmouth County Clerk Christine Hanlon, who is responsible for the preparation of the ballots, submitted a certification and testified to the schedule that clerks are required to follow. Ballot preparation begins even sooner than April 20: less than a month before, Republican and Democratic candidates must file petitions seeking their party's nomination on March 25, 2024. (Hanlon Cert. at ¶

¹ "Tr." refers to the Transcript from the March 18, 2024 hearing.
² "Pl. Br." refers to Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of their Motion for Preliminary Injunction filed on February 26, 2024. *See* ECF 5-1.

12)³. Within 48 hours after the March 25, 2024 petition filing deadline, candidates seeking to bracket with other candidates and use slogans to signify their association with one another must submit these requests. (*Id.* at ¶ 13). Statute, not political party organizations, controls the bracketing process. Any candidate can form a bracketed slate with the slogan of their choice by securing at least 100 signatures on a petition for a County Commissioner candidate. (*Id.* at ¶ 14).

Sixty-one days prior to election day, on April 4, 2024, the County Clerk must conduct the drawing to determine final ballot positions for primary election candidates. (*Id.* at ¶ 16). By April 4, most, if not all of the candidate information and the offices being contested must be entered into spreadsheets and elections management software's database. (*Id.* at ¶ 17). Primary election ballots must be prepared for printing 60 days before election day, or April 5, 2024. (Id. at ¶ 19). In order to meet federal and state deadlines for the mailing of mail-in ballots and to allow sufficient time for programming of the counties' elections management software, changes cannot be made to the ballot after April 5, 2024. (*Id.* at ¶ 28).

The preparation of the ballots requires a significant amount of work by the offices of the county clerks. In Monmouth County, for instance, the Clerk's office has only six

³ "Hanlon Cert." refers to the Certification of Christine Giordano Hanlon dated March 6, 2024. (ECF Dkt. # 61-2).

employees, and by early March, is already collecting information from the municipal clerks from the county's 53 municipalities. (*Id.* at ¶ 6,11). The Monmouth County Clerk must design, program, print, and mail 948 separately designed ballots for its 474 separate election districts, which contain more than 2,000 candidate names. (Id. at ¶¶ 10, 11). In preparing the ballots, the county clerks rely upon the elections management software that is programed to create the ballot; the County Superintendent in those counties that have one also rely upon this software to program the voting machines. (Id. at ¶ 21). The Superintendent has custody of the voting machines and is required to maintain them. N.J.S.A. 19:32-53. The Board of Elections is responsible for canvassing the mail-in ballots, and maintains optical scanners that must be programmed to conduct the canvassing. (Hanlon Cert. at ¶ 21). Clerk Hanlon testified that an office-block ballot has never been used in a primary election in Monmouth County, and that a column-androw ballot has always been used in accordance with the statute, contrary to the claims of one of Plaintiffs' experts. (Tr. 350:21-25, 352:1-21).

Counties use various voting machines that have been approved by the State, including Dominion and Election Systems & Software ("ES&S") ExpressVote XL machines. Monmouth County, for instance, uses ES&S ExpressVote XL machines, which have been coded and certified by the Secretary of State in accordance with existing New Jersey law. (*Id.* at ¶ 24). In 2022 and 2023, as Benjamin R. Swartz, Principal State Certification Manager for ES&S, affirmed, the New Jersey Secretary of

State certified the ExpressVote XL machines and software. (ES&S Aff. March 4, 2024 at \P 6)⁴. The ExpressVote XL system used in New Jersey was certified and tested using the statutorily authorized ballot design style, not an office-block style. (*Id.* at \P 8). Swartz further affirmed that any deviations from the column-and-grid style previously used would have to be evaluated to determine feasibility, and that depending on the layout style requirements, any changes would require developing, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software. (*Id.*). Notably, Swartz stated that, "Such deviations could not be made and implemented prior to New Jersey's 2024 primary elections." (*Id.*).

On March 18, 2024, ES&S's Benjamin Swartz submitted a supplemental affidavit. He advised that, "With any significant ballot design change, such as the one proposed in this lawsuit, we would have to conduct extensive internal accuracy testing." (ES&S Aff. March 18, 2024 at \P 8).⁵ He estimated, based on his knowledge and experience, that testing would require two weeks. (*Id.* at \P 9). He advised that Ryan Macias, an expert hired by Plaintiff, was mistaken in stating "with certainty that the machines would not have to be re-certified by the New Jersey Division of Elections." (*Id.*). Notably, Swartz affirmed that, "In saying that the voting systems would not need

⁴ "ES&S Aff. March 4, 2024" refers to the Affidavit of Benjamin R. Swartz dated March 4, 2024 and filed with the District Court on March 6, 2024. (*See* ECF Dkt. 46).

⁵ "ES&S Aff. March 18, 2024" refers to the Affidavit of Benjamin R. Swartz dated March 18, 2024. (ECF Dkt. # 151).

to be retested or the voting system would not require recertification. Mr. Macias is ignoring the risk of tabulation errors any time a ballot layout is re-designed or deviated from what was demonstrated during the voting system certification or the established ballot layout practices currently done in New Jersey." (*Id.* at ¶ 13). He also added that if re-certification was required, that process would take an additional one to three months. (*Id.* at ¶ 17). Similarly, Union County Clerk Joanne Rajoppi submitted a statement stating that Union County's ES&S Account Manager said that changes may or may not require recertification, and that she could not be certain without knowing what the exact changes would be. (Rajoppi Declaration (ECF Dkt 166-1) at ¶ 6).

At the March 18, 2024 hearing, Ryan Macias, owner of RSM Election Solutions LLC, an expert called to testify by Plaintifis, claimed that he could confidently say that the changes can be made in time for the election, but admitted on cross that none of his ten-plus years of experience occurred in New Jersey. (Tr. 144: 7-16). Dr. Andrew Appel, Professor of Computer Science at Princeton University, a self-described expert in the field of voting machines (Tr. 295:20-22) who also testified on behalf of Plaintiffs, claimed in his initial expert report that he had never seen ExpressVoteXL create an office-block ballot, but then suddenly submitted a supplemental report claiming that two New Jersey ExpressVote XL ballots each included one contest using an office-block style. At the March 18, 2024 hearing, however, he admitted on cross examination that he had never seen an ExpressVote XL ballot that had an office-block layout for every

contest. (Tr. 298:18-20). He also admitted he has not personally physically examined ES&S software, nor ever used it (Tr. 297:1-2; 298:21-23).

Clerk Hanlon testified as to the limitations that she has observed as County Clerk for nine years. She described the interaction between mail-in paper ballots and the machine ballots, noting that there is a limit in terms of what can be placed on mail-in ballots because it impacts the voting machines, and there are size constraints on the voting machine ballots. (Tr. 353:18-354:10). She also explained that there must be sufficient time to test the machine ballots. (Tr. 356:2-24). Importantly, the machine ballots must be ready by May 22, 2024 due to early voting, not June 4. (Tr. 356:25-357:7). Clerk Hanlon further testified that she had spoken to the printer used by Monmouth County, who conveyed that using an office-block ballot style for the primary was "uncharted territory." (Tr. 359:4-23). Clerk Hanlon also expressed concern that she needs to place up to ten races on each ballot, and it is unclear if there would be sufficient room on the ballot even if an office-block style could be accommodated. (Tr. 361:7-24). Notably, Clerk Hanlon referred to her conversation with ES&S about changing the ballot style, to which they responded, "No, that would be bad." (Tr. 361:25-362:24).

Additionally, Clerk Hanlon testified as to the guidance that county clerks would need if the preliminary injunction were granted. She noted that she didn't know how the statute would operate if bracketing were removed. (Tr. 363:4-364:9). And, if the machines could not be utilized, she would need some authority telling her what do to, similar to the Executive Order in 2020. For example, if the election were to be conducted via paper ballots at the polling sites because the machines could not accommodate office-block ballots, a framework would be required to ensure that proper custody and control procedures were utilized. (Tr. 364:14-365:25). The March 29, 2024 Order does not provide such a framework.

Lastly, the District Court advised that the March 29, 2024 Order applies only to the Democratic Primary, not the Republican Primary. (ECF Dkt. 207). As a result, County Clerks must determine whether the voting software and machines can accommodate two different ballot styles concurrently.

ARGUMENT

I. THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION VIOLATES THE PURCELL PRINCIPLE

The Supreme Court's "*Purcell* Principle" holds that "[f]ederal courts should ordinarily not alter the election rules on the eve of an election." *Republican Nat'l Comm. v. Democratic Nat'l Comm.*, 140 S. Ct. 1205, 1207 (2020) (citing *Purcell v. Gonzalez*, 549 U.S. 1 (2006), *Frank v. Walker*, 574 U.S. 929 (2014) and *Veasey v. Perry*, 574 U.S. 951 (2014)). "Court orders affecting elections, *especially conflicting orders*, can themselves result in voter confusion and consequent incentive to remain away from the polls. As an election draws closer, that risk will increase." *Purcell*, 549 U.S. at 4-5 (emphasis added). The Purcell Principle "reflects a bedrock tenet of election law: When an election is close at hand, the rules of the road must be clear and settled." *Merrill v.*

Milligan, 142 S. Ct. 879, 880-81 (2022) (Kavanaugh, J., concurring). Accordingly, the Court discourages injunctions -- even those that "tinker[] with" a State's electoral processes "in the period close to an election" -- all the more so those which wholly upend them as here. Id. at 881; see also Republican Nat'l Comm., 140 S. Ct. at 1207; Democratic Nat'l Comm. v. Wisc. State Legislature, 141 S. Ct. 28 (2020); Benisek v. Lamone, 585 U.S. 155, 160-61 (2018). As the Sixth Circuit observed in staying a District Court's "rewriting Ohio law with its injunction" six months before the 2020 election, "a state's election procedures or moving deadlines rarely ends with one court order. Moving one piece on the game board invariably leads to additional moves. This is exactly why we must heed the Supreme Court's warning that federal courts are not supposed to change state election rules as elections approach." Thompson v. Dewine, 959 F.3d 804, 812, 813 (6th Cir. 2020). Yet the District Court gave short shrift to this "bedrock tenet," discussing it in a single paragraph, and distinguishing the Purcell line of cases (wrongly) on the ground that "this case is not last-minute."

In his *Merrill* concurrence, Justice Kavanaugh distilled the Purcell Principle into a four-part test to assess the validity of injunctive relief granted in the runup to an election. The plaintiff must have established "at least the following: (i) the underlying merits are entirely clearcut in favor of the plaintiff; (ii) the plaintiff would suffer irreparable harm absent the injunction; (iii) the plaintiff has not unduly delayed bringing the complaint to court; and (iv) the changes in question are at least feasible before the election without significant cost, confusion, or hardship." *Merrill*, 142 S. Ct. at 881. The Clerks respectfully submit that the Plaintiff Candidates in this case failed to establish any of these, much less all of them, and that the Purcell Principle commands that the Preliminary Injunction be stayed pending appeal.

First, regardless of what this Court might ultimately decide on the merits, they are far from "entirely clearcut" in the Candidates' favor, particularly considering these election laws have stood for over seventy years (and somehow still stand with respect to the Republican primary election). The District Court's Opinion demonstrates as much. The Court based its finding that "Plaintiffs have shown a severe burden on their First Amendment rights" on the testimony and reports of two experts (who have no experience with New Jersey elections) to conclude respectively that "candidates placed in an early position on a ballot receive a distinct advantage" and that "the county-line provides a substantial benefit ... over and above candidates that are merely endorsed by a county [organization]." Op. at 31, 32. Yet these conclusions plainly were bootstrapped, though the District Court clothed them in the garb of credibility findings, perhaps to gird them for appellate review. The District Court acknowledged that one expert, Dr. Pasek, "assess[ed] four competing studies that called into question th[e] primacy effect" but "[f]or various reasons the Court finds are sound, he conclude[d] that those competing studies are less credible." Op. at 31. Similarly, the District Court noted that Plaintiffs' expert Dr. Rubin responded to cross-examination questioning "whether she had adequately accounted for other potential causes of the [primacy] effect" by "emphasiz[ing] that her analysis was statistically descriptive, and that she saw a pattern of the county-line having a consistent positive effect on the race results." Op. at 32. These transparently subjective conclusions, however credible the District Court found them, are far from "entirely clearcut" in Plaintiffs' favor.

Second, the Candidates will not suffer irreparable harm absent this Injunction. Now that Candidate Kim's most prominent opponent has dropped out of the Senate race, he is the presumptive Democratic nominee and thus will not be penalized by bracketed ballots. The Candidates' purported remaining harm – that the brackets force their political association with other endorsed candidates – is a thin reed upon which to upend seventy years of election law and process.

Third, by (among other things) ignoring the imminent April 5 ballot-printing deadline and the soon-approaching April 20 start to mail-in balloting, the District Court erred in concluding that the Candidates did not unduly delay prosecuting their Constitutional challenge. Candidate Kim declared his run in September 2023 and had already retained counsel by year's end – the same counsel who since 2020 has represented other parties *before the same District Judge* challenging *the same bracketed ballots* as unconstitutional. The District Court's assurances that the Clerks have plenty of time to undo 70 years of ballot procedure is simply contrary to the bulk of the

evidence in this record, and ultimately defies common sense. As the Sixth Circuit observed in staying an injunction issued *six months* before a general election:

Here, the November election itself may be months away but important, interim deadlines that affect Plaintiffs, other ballot initiative proponents, and the State are imminent. And moving or changing a deadline or procedure now will have inevitable, other consequences.

Thompson, 959 F.3d at 813. The District Court either ignored or wrongly disregarded those "imminent" "important, interim deadlines." *Id*.

Fourth, the Clerks presented ample evidence (which the District Court improperly discounted or ignored) that changing the ballots – as the Court "clarified," *only the Democratic ballots* – will not be feasible (or even possible), and will certainly result in "significant cost, confusion, [and] hardship." *Merrill*, 142 S. Ct. at 881. Among other things, the Clerks presented evidence that ES&S and Dominion, the two companies providing voting machines and ballot-counting software to New Jersey counties, cannot process the multi-column and/or multi-page office-block ballots that the Injunction may require. (*See* ECF Dkt. 46, 63-1, 151).

In sum, as the Supreme Court did in *Merrill*, "practical considerations sometimes require courts to allow elections to proceed despite pending legal challenges." *Id.* at 882. As was the case there, this Court may ultimately affirm the District Court on the constitutional merits, but the sweeping (and at the moment politically one-sided) changes to New Jersey balloting can and should wait until the general election in November or beyond.

II. THE STATE INTERESTS OUTWEIGH THE HARM TO THE CANDIDATES

The District Court gave the inexplicably short shrift to New Jersey's "interests in providing a manageable and understandable ballot, and ensuring an orderly election process[.]" Op. at 33. Dismissing seventy years of unassailable elections, the Court pointed to *one* instance of apparent voter confusion in *one* county in *one* election, an incident in the 2020 Democratic primary in Mercer County where a purported one-third of voters were "disenfranchised . . . because they voted for more than one candidate for the same office due to the current ballot systems." *Id.* That one incident, which occurred during a COVID lockdown election using paper/mail-in balloting, the Candidate's own expert acknowledged was an "anomaly." (Pasex Report, ECF 5-1 ("Pasek Rep."), Exh. B at ¶109). Omitting that crucial context, the Court concluded that because of that single incident "the State's interests are not especially compelling." *Id.*

More importantly, the District Court's brushoff of the State's proffered interests flies in the face of well-established Supreme Court and Circuit precedent that affords heavy weight and deference to the State. In *Anderson v. Celebrezze*, 460 U.S. 780 (1983), the Supreme Court recognized that "not all restrictions imposed by the States on candidates' eligibility for the ballot impose constitutionally suspect burdens on voters' rights to associate or to choose among candidates." *Id.* at 788. Rather, there must be "a substantial regulation of elections if they are to be fair and honest . . . some sort of order, rather than chaos." *Id.* (citation omitted). As the Court acknowledged, any state law governing the election process has at least some effect on "the individual's right to vote and his right to associate with others for political ends," however, "the state's important regulatory interests are generally sufficient to justify *reasonable, nondiscriminatory restrictions.*" *Anderson*, 460 U.S. at 788 (emphasis added). In considering the weight of these interests, the Court should be "'quite deferential," and must not require "elaborate, empirical verification of the weightiness of the State's asserted justifications." *Mazo v. New Jersey Sec'y of State*, 54 F.4th 124, 153 (3d Cir. 2022) (citations omitted).

The U.S. Supreme Court has "repeatedly upheld reasonable, politically neutral regulations that have the effect of channeling expressive activity at the polls." *Burdick v. Takushi*, 504 U.S. 428, 438 (1992). The New Jersey statutes governing balloting are politically neutral and give County Clerks appropriate discretion to design ballots to facilitate voting and vote counting. *N.J.S.A.* 19:23-26.1 provides that "in the case of a primary election for the nomination of a candidate for the office of United States Senator ... the names of all candidates for the office of United States Senator ... shall be printed on the official primary ballot in the first column or horizontal row designated for the part of those candidates." *N.J.S.A.* 19:49-2 provides in relevant part, "in those counties where voting machines are used, the county clerk shall have the authority to determine the specifications for, and the final arrangement of, the official ballots." The statute also provides for candidates to associate with each other, "choose the same designation or

slogan" and petition to be "placed on the same line of the voting machine[.]" *Id*. This language, too, is neutral.

The federal courts considering these statutes have upheld them, rejecting identical arguments that candidates listed in the first column on the ballot receive additional votes solely because they are listed in the first column. *See Democratic-Republican Org. v. Guadagno*, 900 F. Supp. 2d at 459; *Voltaggio v. Caputo*, 210 F. Supp. 337, 339 (D.N.J. 1962). Similarly, the New Jersey Supreme Court long ago upheld the constitutionality of these statutes: "[T]here can be no doubt about the authority of the Legislature to adopt reasonable regulations for the conduct of primary and general elections. Such regulations, of course, may control the manner of preparation of the ballot, so long as they do not prevent a qualified elector from exercising his constitutional right to vote for any person he chooses." *Quaremba v. Allan*, 67 N.J. 1, 11 (1975), affirming and modifying 128 N.J. Super 570 (App. Div. 1974).

New Jersey has a legitimate interest in allowing candidates to exercise their freedom of political association by bracketing. *See Eu v. San Francisco County Democratic Cent. Committee*, 489 U.S. 214, 224 (1989) ("[I]t is well settled that partisan political organizations enjoy freedom of association protected by the First and Fourteenth Amendments.") (citation omitted). *Eu* makes clear that States are constitutionally prohibited from enacting election laws that infringe on political parties'

rights to associate. *Eu*, 489 U.S. at 222 ("A State's broad power to regulate the time, place, and manner of elections 'does not extinguish the State's responsibility to observe the limits established by the First Amendment rights of the State's citizens."").

In contrast, in finding their burden "severe," the District Court gave improper and factually unsupported weight to the Candidates' purported harms, including that such harms would be irreparable. As a Senate candidate, Candidate Kim's ballot position is randomly assigned, so Kim has an equal opportunity to obtain a first column ballot position. Further, he is now the presumptive Democratic nominee. Thus, bracketing has no impact on his chances of receiving first column ballot position and Kim has no equal protection argument relating to access to the first ballot column. Further, the Congressional candidates presented no reliable evidence showing that their appearing in the first versus second or third column of the ballot will significantly impact their election chances.

The Candidates also claimed to be burdened by the "weight of the line," or the placement of party-endorsed candidates in a single column. Plaintiffs' experts acknowledged, however, that any statistical evidence showing an advantage to the "weight of the line" could be attributed to an "endorsement effect" or other political or associational factors. *See, e.g.*, Pasek Rep. ¶ 135 ("[T]his disparate impact suggests that the benefits of county party endorsements likely hinge on features of the contest in which the endorsement takes place.").

Thus, while purporting to relieve constitutional burdens and irreparable harms to the Candidates, the Injunction visits severe burdens and irreparable harms on the Clerks and the State of New Jersey more broadly. Even if the change in the longstanding ballot format did not confuse voters and muck up voting machines (and it will, as the Clerks attested), the District Court's "clarification" that the Injunction applies *only to Democratic primary ballots* will ensure that these ills come to pass. The District Court erred both in fact and in law by deciding that the Candidates' interests outweighed the State's. The erroneous Injunction must be stayed.

CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant a stay of the District Court's order pending appeal.

GENOVA BURNS LLC

By: <u>/s/Angelo J. Genova</u> ANGELO J. GENOVA JENNIFER BOREK DANIEL LEBERSFELD 494 Broad Street Newark, New Jersey 07102 Attorneys for Defendants Christopher J. Durkin, in his official capacity as Essex County Clerk and Joanne Rajoppi, in her official capacity as Union County Clerk

SPIRO HARRISON & NELSON

By: <u>/s/ Jason C. Spiro</u>

JASON C. SPIRO ERIC H. JASO 200 Monmouth Street, Suite 310 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 Attorneys for Defendant Christine Giordano Hanlon, in her official capacity as Monmouth County Clerk

RAINONE COUGHLIN MINCHELLO

By: <u>/s/ Louis N. Rainone</u>

LOUIS N. RAINONE 555 U.S. Highway One South, Suite 440 Iselin, New Jersey 08830 Attorneys for Defendant Paula Sollami Covello, in her official capacity as Mercer County Clerk

CARBONE & FAASSE

By: <u>/s/John M. Carbone</u> JOHN M. CARBONE 401 Goffle Road PO Box 763 Ridgewood, New Jersey 07451 Attorneys for Defendants Mary H. Melfi, in her official capacity as Hunterdon County Clerk, Joseph J. Giralo in his official capacity as Atlantic County Clerk, Celeste M. Riley, in her official capacity as Cumberland County Clerk, James N. Hogan, in his official capacity as Gloucester County Clerk, Rita Rothberg, in her official capacity as Cape May County Clerk

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.

By:/s/ Richard K. Wille, Jr. RICHARD K. WILLE, JR. 90 Woodbridge Center Drive Suite 900 Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 Attorneys for Defendant Steve Peter in his official capacity as Somerset County Clerk

PEM LAW LLP

By: /s/ Rajiv D. Parikh

RAJIV D. PARIKH KATHLEEN BARNETT EINHORN 1 Boland Drive, Suite 101 West Orange, New Jersey 07052 Attorneys for Defendant Danielle Ireland-Imhof in her official capacity as Passaic County Clerk

CHASAN LAMPARELLO MALLON & CAPPUZZO, PC

By:/s/ Kirstin Bohn

KIRSTIN BOHN 300 Harmon Meadow Boulevard Secaucus, New Jersey 07094 Attorneys for Defendant Ann Grossi in her official capacity as Morris County Clerk

OFFICE OF CAMDEN COUNTY COUNSEL

By:/s/ Howard Lane Goldberg

HOWARD LANE GOLDBERG 520 Market Street Courthouse – 14th Floor Camden, New Jersey 08102 *Attorneys for Defendant Joseph Ripa in his official capacity as Camden County Clerk*

MIDDLESEX COUNTY OFFICE COUNTY COUNSEL

By:/s/ Michael S. Williams MICHAEL S. WILLIAMS 75 Bayard St. New Brunswick, NJ 08901 Attorneys for Defendant Nancy J. Pinkin in her official capacity as Middlesex County Clerk

DECOTIIS, FITZPATRICK, COLE & GIBLIN, LLP

By:/s/ Thomas A. Abbate

THOMAS A. ABBATE 61 South Paramus Road, Suite 250 Paramus, New Jersey 07652 Attorneys for Defendant John S. Hogan in his official capacity as Bergen County Clerk Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 Page: 31 Date Filed: 04/01/2024

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, Angelo J. Genova, certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Angelo J. Genova</u> Angelo J. Genova, Esq.

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, Jason C. Spiro, certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Jason C. Spiro</u> Jason C. Spiro, Esq. Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 Page: 32 Date Filed: 04/01/2024

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, Louis N. Rainone, certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Louis N. Rainone</u> Louis N. Rainone, Esq.

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, John M. Carbone, certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ John M. Carbone</u> John M. Carbone, Esq. Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 Page: 33 Date Filed: 04/01/2024

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, Richard K. Wille, Jr., certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Richard K. Wille, Jr.</u> Richard K. Wille, Jr., Esq.

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, Rajiv D. Parikh, certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Rajiv D. Parikh</u> Rajiv D. Parikh, Esq. Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 Page: 34 Date Filed: 04/01/2024

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, Kirstin Bohn, certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Kirstin Bohn</u> Kistin Bohn, Esq.

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, Howard Lane Goldberg, certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Howard Lane Goldberg</u> Howard Lane Goldberg, Esq. Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 Page: 35 Date Filed: 04/01/2024

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, Michael S. Williams, certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Michael S. Williams</u> Michael S. Williams, Esq.

CERTIFICATION OF ADMISSION TO BAR

I, Thomas A. Abbate, certify as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Thomas A. Abbate</u> Thomas A. Abbate, Esq.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Fed. R. App. P. 27(d)(2)(a). This brief contains 5,186 words, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Rule 32(a)(7)(B) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

This brief complies with the typeface requirements of Rule 32(a)(5) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and the type style requirements of Rule 32(a)(6) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure because this brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using the 2019 version of Microsoft Word in 14 point Times New Roman font.

This brief complies with the electronic filing requirements of Local Rule 31.1(c) because the Vipre Virus Protection, version 3.1 has been run on the file containing the electronic version of this brief and no viruses have been detected.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Angelo J. Genova</u> Angelo J. Genova, Esq.

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that on this 1st day of April 2024, the foregoing Motion for a Stay Pending Appeal has been filed through CM/ECF system and served on all parties or their counsel of record through the CM/ECF system.

Dated: April 1, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Angelo J. Genova</u> Angelo J. Genova, Esq.

REPRIEMENT

Exhibit A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CHAMBERS OF ZAHID N. QURAISHI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE U.S. COURTHOUSE 402 EAST STATE STREET, ROOM 4000 TRENTON, NJ 08608

April 1, 2024

LETTER ORDER

Re: Andy Kim, *et al.* v. Christine Giordano Hanson, *et al.* Civil Action No. 24-1098-ZNQ-TJB

Dear Counsel:

Before the Court are Emergency Motions to Stay this Court's Order (ECF No. 195) pending appeal to the Third Circuit. (ECF Nos. 198, 204, 205, 217.) Plaintiffs filed an opposition. (ECF No. 214.)

"[T]he standard for obtaining a stay pending appeal is essentially the same as that for obtaining a preliminary injunction." *Conestoga Wood Specialties Corp. v. Sec 'y of U.S. Dep't of Health & Hum. Servs.*, Civ. No. 13-1144, 2013 WL 1277419, at *1 (3d Cir. Feb. 8, 2013); *Hilton v. Braunskill*, 481 U.S. 770, 776 (1987). Preliminary injunctive relief is warranted where a party demonstrates: "(1) a likelihood of success on the merits; (2) that it will suffer irreparable harm if the injunction is denied; (3) that granting preliminary relief will not result in even greater harm to the nonmoving party; and (4) that the public interest favors such relief." *Kos Pharms., Inc. v. Andrx Corp.*, 369 F.3d 700, 708 (3d Cir. 2004). Accordingly, the same four factors that this Court previously analyzed in considering Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction are at issue here.

Where a party moves for a stay pending appeal of a decision concerning a preliminary injunction, and merely re-raises the same arguments the court already considered in issuing or denying the underlying preliminary injunction, the court will often re-incorporate its earlier reasoning to deny the stay pending appeal. *See, e.g., Nat'l Shooting Sports Found. v. Platkin,* Civ. No. 22-6646, 2023 WL 2344635, at *1 (D.N.J. Mar. 3, 2023) (denying a defendant's motion for a stay as doing so would "arguably be tantamount to a reconsideration and reversal of the Court's [prior] decision."); *Robinson v. Murphy*, Civ. No. 20-5420, 2020 WL 13891018, at *2 (D.N.J. Oct. 28, 2020) (denying a motion to stay pending appeal and incorporating the same reasons set out in the court's prior opinions and order "as the facts before it remain largely the same at this juncture."); *Novartis Consumer Health, Inc. v. Johnson & Johnson-Merck Consumer Pharm. Co.,* Civ. No. 00-5361, 2001 WL 493266, at *1 (D.N.J. Jan. 17, 2001) ("[Defendant] offers no new circumstances to support its application for a stay pending appeal. Therefore, granting [Defendant's] motion for stay would effectively be a reconsideration and reversal of the Court's [earlier] decision.").

For the same reasons expressed in its Opinion, which are fully incorporated herein, the Court concludes that Defendants have not demonstrated that a stay pending appeal is warranted. Specifically,

Case 3:24 Case 1 2 481 2 980 - T Doc Doentne 0t 2 1 Pagei 40 04/ Date Files 04/01/2024 eID: 3142

Defendants do not raise any new facts or law suggesting their appeal is likely to succeed on the merits.¹ The Court declines to retread the same ground a second time.

For these reasons, Defendants' Emergency Motions to Stay (ECF Nos. 198, 204, 205, 217) are hereby DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ZAHID N. QURAISHI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Defendants raise purported "confusion" regarding the scope of the Court's Order, but the Court has already resolved that issue. If anything, the record appears to have shifted further against Defendants' positions, insofar as Defendant Clerks for Burlington and Hudson County have filed letters indicating that they are withdrawing their appeals and will comply with the Court's Order. (ECF Nos. 208, 209.)

Exhibit B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

ANDY KIM *in his personal capacity as a candidate for U.S. Senate, et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

Civil Action No. 24-1098 (ZNQ) (TJB)

v.

ORDER

CHRISTINE GIORDANO HANLON, et al.,

Defendants.

QURAISHI, District Judge

THIS MATTER, having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary

Injunction (ECF No. 5) and Defendants' Motions in Jimine (ECF Nos. 152–158), for the reasons

set forth in the accompanying Opinion, and for good cause shown.

IT IS on this 29th day of March 2024,

ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Defendants' Metions in Limine (ECF Nos. 152–158) are hereby **DENIED**.
- 2. Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 5) is hereby **GRANTED**.
- 3. Defendants and each of them; their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys as follows (collectively, "Defendants"), with regard to the June 4, 2024 New Jersey Primary Election are enjoined as follows:
 - (a) Defendants are enjoined from preparing, disseminating, using, displaying, or counting any ballot, in any form, whether on paper or electronic, that:
 - i. Is designed by columns or rows, rather than by office sought;
 - ii. positions candidates on the ballot automatically based upon a ballot draw among candidates for a different office;
 - iii. places candidates such that there is an incongruous separation from other candidates running for the same

office;

- iv. places candidates underneath another candidate running for the same office, where the rest of the candidates are listed horizontally, or to the side of another candidate running for the same office, where the rest of the candidates are listed vertically; and
- v. bracketing candidates together on the ballot such that candidates for different offices are featured on the same column (or row) of the ballot;
- (b) Defendants are enjoined from conducting draws for ballot positions that do not include a separate drawing for every office and candidate, and where every candidate running for the same office has an equal chance at the first ballot position; and
- (c) Defendants are required to use a ballot for all voters, whether mail-in, at a polling site, or otherwise, that is organized by office sought (commonly known as a "office-block ballot,") rather than by column or row, and which implements for each office on the ballot, a randomized ballot order system (e.g. random draw) which affords each candidate for the same office an equal chance at obtaining the first ballot position.
- 4. The Court waives the requirement to post bond or security under Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(c).
- 5. The Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of ensuring compliance.

Date: March 29, 2024

ZAJIID N. QURAISHI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Exhibit C

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

ANDY KIM *in his personal capacity as a candidate for U.S. Senate, et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No. 24-1098 (ZNQ) (TJB)

OPINION

CHRISTINE GIORDANO HANLON, et al.,

Defendants.

QURAISHI, District Judge

THIS MATTER comes before the Court upon a Motion for Preliminary Injunction (the "Motion", ECF No. 5) filed by Plaintiffs Andy Kim, Sarah Schoengood, Carolyn Rush, and their respective campaign committees (collectively, "Plaintiffs"). Plaintiffs filed a brief in support of their Motion. ("Moving Br.", ECF No. 5-1.) Defendant County Clerks Christine Giordano Hanlon, Scott M. Colabella, Paula Sollami Covello, Mary H. Melfi, Steve Peter, Holly Mackey, Nancy J. Pinkin, Joseph J. Giralo, John S. Hogan, Joanne Schwartz, Joseph Ripa, Rita Rothberg, Celeste M. Riley, Christopher J. Durkin, James N. Hogan, E. Junior Maldonado, Ann Grossi, Danielle Ireland-Imhof, and Joanne Rajoppi (collectively, "Defendants") filed oppositions to the Motion. (ECF Nos. 16, 26, 44–46, 48–51, 53, 54, 57, 59–61, 63, 65, 69.)¹ Plaintiffs filed a reply

¹ The Court granted a Motion to Intervene filed by the Camden County Democratic Committee ("CCDC") (ECF No. 121), and the CCDC attended the evidentiary hearing but did not file its own brief opposing Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

brief in further support of their Motion. ("Reply", ECF No. 95.)² At the Court's request, the parties filed a letter identifying *all* the relevant submissions before the Court on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction. (ECF No. 193.)³

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, the Court conducted an evidentiary hearing ("Hearing") on the record on March 18, 2024. (ECF No. 159; "Hearing Tr.")

The Court has carefully considered the parties' submissions as well as the evidence and arguments presented at the Hearing. For the reasons set forth below, the Court will **GRANT** the Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

I. <u>BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY⁴</u>

This matter arises out of the upcoming 2024 Democratic primary election (the "2024 Primary") for which Plaintiffs have declared their candidacies. Plaintiff Andy Kim is running for U.S. Senate. Plaintiff Sarah Schoengood is running for the U.S. House of Representatives for New Jersey's Third Congressional District. Plaintiff Carolyn Rush is running for the U.S. House of Representatives for New Jersey's Second Congressional District. Defendants are the County Clerks for nineteen of the twenty-one counties in New Jersey.⁵

On February 26, 2024, Plaintiffs filed a Verified Complaint raising concerns with a ballot design used for primary elections in nineteen of the twenty-one counties in New Jersey. ("V.C.",

² The Court additionally received six amici submissions, (ECF Nos. 90 (certifications from candidate amici), 116–18, 128, 134, 136.)

³ The Court has carefully reviewed each of these submissions. It does note that the parties' joint list appears to have omitted the Response in Opposition by Joanne Schwartz at ECF No. 53.

⁴ The Court recites the procedural history only as relevant to the instant Motion. Notably, various issues concerning the underlying litigation that are not relevant here, such as discovery disputes, have been stayed pending the Court's resolution of Plaintiffs' Motion.

⁵ The remaining two County Clerks are named as interested parties, together with Tahesha Way in her official capacity as Secretary of State for New Jersey and her related role as chief elections officer in the state. The Attorney General for the State of New Jersey advised by letter dated March 17, 2024, that he was electing not to intervene in this matter. (ECF No. 149.) His letter included additional discussion that this Court does not consider, given that it was essentially provided by a non-party that had not sought leave to brief the Court amicus curiae.

ECF No. 1.) Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction was filed on the same day. (ECF No. 5.) In their Verified Complaint, Plaintiffs allege that the ballot design's "bracketing system" infringes upon their constitutional rights under the First Amendment⁶—specifically, the Right to Vote (Count I), Equal Protection (Count II), and Freedom of Association (Count III)—and that it violates the Elections Clause of the U.S. Constitution (Count IV). (V.C. ¶¶ 168–227.)⁷

Defendants were properly served. (ECF No. 8.) Interested parties Tahesha Way, as Secretary of State for New Jersey, and County Clerks for the remaining two counties in New Jersey were furnished with copies of, *inter alia*, the Verified Complaint and Plaintiffs' Motion. (*Id.*) Plaintiffs also furnished the following non-parties with copies of the Verified Complaint and Motion: all declared candidates that at the time were running against Plaintiffs in the upcoming primary election, the Democratic and Republican State Committees, and all county party committees for whom email addresses could be fourd. (*Id.*)

By way of background, the Verified Complaint alleges the following facts.

In nineteen of its twenty-one counties, New Jersey's primary election ballot system features, or "brackets," certain groups of candidates together in the same column⁸ based on endorsements by political party leaders (the "Bracketing Structure"), rather than grouping candidates together based on the office for which they are running ("Office Block Structure").⁹ (V.C. ¶¶ 3–6, 53–55, 62.) New Jersey is the only state in the country that organizes its primary election ballots by the Bracketing Structure. (*Id.* at 2 n.1; *id.* ¶¶ 1, 5.) The Bracketing Structure is

⁶ Plaintiffs correctly plead their First Amendment injuries via the Fourteenth Amendment. For the sake of brevity only, the Court refers directly to the First Amendment.

⁷ Plaintiffs' claims are brought pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

⁸ The Verified Complaint refers to "column" as either the vertical or horizontal grouping together of the various bracketed candidates on New Jersey ballots. (V.C. \P 3.)

⁹ The two New Jersey counties that do not and have historically not used the Bracketing Structure for their ballots, Salem County and Sussex County, use the Office Block Structure instead. (*Id.* ¶ 55.)

governed by New Jersey state law,¹⁰ which allows candidates to request that they be bracketed, or grouped, with other party-endorsed candidates on the ballot. (*Id.* ¶¶ 6, 59–60.)

Once the deadline passes for submitting bracketing requests, whichever office position the County Clerk draws first becomes the "pivot point" of that county's ballot. (*Id.* ¶ 56.) The pivot point is the first column (or row, depending on the design) that appears on that county's primary ballot. (*Id.* ¶¶ 56, 65–66.)¹¹ This is known as the "preferential ballot draw." (*Id.* ¶ 7.) The other candidates endorsed by the county party and thus bracketed with the endorsed pivot point candidate(s) are then automatically placed on that same column (or row), with the same county party slogan. (*Id.* ¶¶ 6, 14, 62, 65.) This is referred to as the "county-line." (*Id.* at 3.)

If a candidate chooses not to bracket with other candidates, or requests to do so but loses that spot to another candidate, that candidate is an "unbracketed candidate." (*Id.* ¶ 58.) Unbracketed candidates cannot receive the first ballot position (*i.e.*, the top left position), and are placed instead either farther to the right or farther to the bottom of the ballot, with no guarantee that they will be placed on the next available column after the bracketed candidates. (*Id.* ¶¶ 65–67.)¹² As a result, unbracketed candidates tend to occupy obscure parts of the ballot that appear less important and are harder to locate, and may be grouped in a column with other candidates with whom they did not want to be associated. (*Id.* ¶ 67.)

The Bracketing Structure is not imposed consistently throughout New Jersey, as the layout for a given county's ballot depends on that county's pivot point, and County Clerks have applied

¹⁰ Defendants are elected officials vested with certain statutory duties and obligations including but not limited to designing, preparing, and printing all ballots, issuing mail-in ballots, and conducting a drawing for ballot positions for various elections held in various counties. (*Id.* ¶¶ 28–47.)

¹¹ According to the Verified Complaint, New Jersey law requires U.S. Senators (or Governors, if not Senators) to be drawn as the pivot point when those positions are up for election. (*Id.* $\P\P$ 69–70.)

¹² Specifically, the Verified Complaint alleges that unbracketed candidates are: "(a) placed multiple columns away from the bracketed candidates, (b) stacked in the same column as another candidate for the exact same office, and/or (c) placed in the same column as candidates with whom they did not request to bracket and who requested a different ballot slogan." (*Id.* ¶ 67.)

internally inconsistent approaches to determining the pivot point candidate. (*Id.* ¶¶ 73, 75–76.) The Verified Complaint makes several allegations regarding the purported effects of the county line on elections in New Jersey, including positional bias, "arbitrary advantage[s]" that are given to candidates on the county line, and voter confusion. (*Id.* ¶¶ 77–78, 84–87.) Several expert reports were submitted with the Verified Complaint in connection with Plaintiffs' claims. (*Id.* ¶¶ 103–133; *id.* at Exs. B–E.)

The Verified Complaint also alleges the ways each Plaintiff has been or will be affected by the county line. Kim launched his campaign for the position of U.S. Senator in the 2024 Primary on September 23, 2023. (Id. ¶ 144.) Within one week after Tammy Murphy's campaign started for the same position, numerous counties in New Jersey endorsed her, including some of the largest Democratic counties in the state and totaling over half of New Jersey's registered Democratic voters. (Id. ¶ 145.) Although Kim at the time had received some endorsements himself, Murphy's position on the county line over Kim in certain counties disadvantaged Kim in the election and forced him to consider choosing to bracket with other candidates to avoid further disadvantages. (Id. ¶ 147–50.) Previously, Kim was elected three times—in 2018, 2020, and 2022—to represent New Jersey's Third Congressional District. (Id. ¶ 137.) Although Kim was unopposed in 2018 and 2020, he expressed frustration in 2018 with having to appear on the ballot in a column with Senator Bob Menendez. (Id. ¶ 139-40, 142.) After this suit was filed and the Hearing was conducted, Tammy Murphy announced her withdrawal from the Democratic Primary. Kim has been offered the county line in 17 counties. He accepted the line in 16, declining the county line in Camden because the CCDC is adverse to him in this suit.¹³ He will therefore not appear on the county line in Camden.

¹³ As of the parties' last communication dated March 27, 2024 on the status of endorsements, Cumberland County had not yet offered Mr. Kim its endorsement. (ECF No. 188.)

Schoengood declared her candidacy on January 21, 2024, for New Jersey's Third Congressional District, which is comprised of the counties of Monmouth, Burlington, and Mercer. (*Id.* ¶¶ 151–52.) She did so after the deadline had passed for her to seek endorsement in Monmouth County by its Democratic Committee, and thus will not be featured on the county line. (*Id.*) She will also not be featured on the county line in Burlington County, which had already by that time selected its endorsed candidate. (*Id.* ¶ 153.) Schoengood does not wish to consider bracketing with any senatorial candidate other than Kim, with whom her ideology aligns, and therefore it is "virtually certain" she will be excluded from preferential ballot draws in the Third Congressional District. (*Id.* ¶ 154, 157.) She is thus an unbracketed candidate. (*Id.* ¶ 155.)

Rush declared her candidacy for New Jersey's Second Congressional District, which is comprised of the counties of Atlantic, Cape May, Cumberland, and Salem, and portions of Gloucester and Ocean Counties, both in the 2024 Primary and in the 2022 primary election. (*Id.* ¶ 158.) In 2022, her opponent Tim Alexander was featured on the county line in Cumberland, Cape May, Atlantic, and Ocean Counties. (*Id.* ¶ 159.) In Gloucester County, Rush shared the county line with Alexander even mough the vote was only for one person. (*Id.* ¶ 160.) She did not prevail in the election despite obtaining 38.8% of the total vote. (*Id.*) In the 2024 Primary, four counties had endorsed Alexander for the county line by the time the Verified Complaint was filed, putting her at a "substantial electoral disadvantage." (*Id.* ¶ 162.)

Voting for the 2024 Primary will occur on June 4, 2024. (*Id.* ¶ 164.) Plaintiffs filed the instant Motion for Preliminary Injunction seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, including an order enjoining Defendants from using the county line system in the 2024 Primary.

II. JURISDICTION

Based on the nature of the constitutional claims asserted, the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this suit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

III. <u>STANDING</u>

Defendants challenge Plaintiffs' standing to raise their claims. The analysis is relatively straightforward. Plaintiffs' First Amendment claims allege that the Bracketing System and ballot placement for primaries in New Jersey confer advantages to candidates who win a county line, bracket with other candidates, and/or are placed in an early position on the ballot. There is at least one county where Kim will not have the county line: Camden. There is at least one county where Schoengood will not have the county line: Monmouth, Burlington, and Mercer. Finally, there is at least one county where Rush will not have the county line: Ocean County. Moreover, even in counties where Plaintiffs will be appearing on a county line and/or bracket, they allege an associational harm of being forced to associate with other candidates not of their choosing. With respect to Plaintiffs' Elections Clause claims, Plaintiffs' allegations of an impermissible regulation of federal elections, and the three plaintiffs allege injuries related to their candidacy in such elections. Accordingly, the Court finds that Plaintiffs have alleged sufficient injuries-in-fact.

Moreover, Plaintiffs' injuries derive from the current and future enforcement of the Bracketing Structure. Thus, Plaintiffs' injuries flow directly from Defendants' actions. *See Duke Power Co. v. Carolina Env't Study Grp., Inc.*, 438 U.S. 59, 77–78 (1978) (applying a "but for" test to the causation analysis). It is likely that a declaratory judgment stating that the Bracketing Structure is unconstitutional and an injunction enjoining Defendants from enforcing it would prevent Plaintiffs' injuries. *See Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Env'tal Servs.*, 528 U.S. 167,

185–86 (2000) (reasoning that "for a plaintiff who is injured or faces the threat of future injury due to illegal conduct ongoing at the time of suit, a sanction that effectively abates that conduct and prevents its recurrence provides a form of redress"); *New Jersey Civ. Just. Inst. v. Grewal*, Civ. No. 19-17518, 2021 WL 1138144, at *5 (D.N.J. Mar. 25, 2021) (same).

For these reasons, the Court concludes Plaintiffs have standing to raise each of their claims in this matter.

IV. FAILURE TO JOIN CERTAIN PARTIES

Defendants (other than Holly Mackey and E. Junior Maldonado) argue that this matter should be dismissed because certain parties were not named despite being required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 19. (*See, e.g.*, ECF No. 63 at 39–41.) The list of parties that Defendants view as indispensable is substantial: Plaintiffs' opponents in the primary; all other primary candidates; all county Democratic and Republican county committees; county boards of election and superintendents of election; and all local and statewide political organizations. Defendants argue that these absent parties' constitutional rights "hang in the balance." (ECF No. 50 at 5.)

Plaintiffs respond that the Court has already rejected similar arguments in *Conforti v. Hanlon*, Civ. No. 20-8267. 2022 WL 1744774 (D.N.J. May 31, 2022), and should do so again here. In their view, Plaintiffs in this case have gone further than *Conforti* plaintiffs by naming as Interested Parties the other County Clerks (Salem and Sussex) and the Secretary of State; and serving the Verified Complaint and Motion on their opponents in the primary, the Democratic and Republican State Committees, and 39 of the 42 Democratic and Republican county party committees. (Reply at 1–3; V.C. ¶¶ 48–52.) None of these parties has sought to intervene other than the Camden County Democratic Committee. A Rule 19 analysis is a two-step process. See Gen. Refractories Co. v. First State Ins. Co., 500 F.3d 306, 312 (3d Cir. 2007). Given that a failure to name a required party can be grounds for dismissal for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction, see Provident Tradesmens Bank & Trust Co. v. Patterson, 390 U.S. 102, 117 (1968), a court must first determine whether a party is a "necessary" party that must be joined if "feasible" under Rule 19(a). Janney Montgomery Scott, Inc. v. Shepard Niles, Inc., 11 F.3d 399, 404 (3d Cir. 1993).¹⁴ If the party is necessary, but joinder is not feasible because it would defeat subject-matter jurisdiction, then the Court must determine whether the party is "indispensable" under Rule 19(b). Gen. Refractories Co., 500 F.3d at 312; accord Janney Montgomery Scott, 11 F.3d. at 404. "A holding that joinder is compulsory under Rule 19(a) is a necessary predicate to the district court's discretionary determination under Rule 19(b)." Culinary Serv. of Delaware Valley, Inc. v. Borough of Yardley, Pa., 385 F. App'x 135, 145 (3d Cir. 2010) (citation omitted). If the party is found to be indispensable under Rule 19(b), the action therefore cannot go forward. See Janney Montgomery Scott, 11 F.3d. at 404.

Rule 19(a)(1) provides that:

Id. at 404 n.4. However, *Janney Montgomery Scott* favorably used the "necessary" language in its analysis. Therefore, the Court will employ the same language in its own analysis.

¹⁴ The Third Circuit in *Janney Montgomery Scott* discussed the differences between the present and prior Rule 19 wording:

The present version of Rule 19 does not use the word "necessary." It refers to parties who should be joined if *feasible*. The term *necessary* in referring to a Rule 19(a) analysis harks back to an earlier version of Rule 19. It survives in case law at the price of some confusion. *See Provident Tradesmens Bank & Trust Co. v. Patterson*, 390 U.S. 102, 116 n. 12, 88 S. Ct. 733, 741 n. 12, 19 L. Ed. 2d 936 (1968) ("Where the new version [of the Rule] emphasizes the pragmatic consideration of the effects of the alternatives of proceeding or dismissing, the older version tended to emphasize classification of parties as 'necessary' or 'indispensable."); *see also Park v. Didden*, 695 F.2d 626, 627 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (acknowledging 1966 amendments to the Rule as attempt to circumvent "'a jurisprudence of labels") (citation omitted).

Required Party. A person who is subject to service of process and whose joinder will not deprive the court of subject-matter jurisdiction must be joined as a party if:

(A) in that person's absence, the court cannot accord complete relief among existing parties; or

(B) that person claims an interest relating to the subject of the action and is so situated that disposing of the action in the person's absence may:

(i) as a practical matter impair or impede the person's ability to protect the interest; or

(ii) leave an existing party subject to a substantial risk of incurring double, multiple, or otherwise inconsistent obligations because of the interest.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 19(a)(1). "Any party whose absence results in any of the problems identified in either subsection is a party whose joinder is compulsory if feasible." *Janney Montgomery Scott*, 11 F.3d at 405.

Here, the Court finds that joinder of the parties sought by Defendants is feasible because the matter presents a federal question (such that joinder of the additional defendants would not risk depriving the Court of subject matter jurisdiction) and because Plaintiffs have neither argued nor shown that the absent parties would not be subject to formal¹⁵ service of process. Accordingly, the Court moves on to assessing the alternative prongs of Rule 19(a)(1)(A) and 19(a)(1)(B) to determine whether joinder of the absent parties is "necessary."

"Subsection (a)(1)(A) is limited to considerations of whether the court can grant complete relief to persons already named; the effect on unnamed parties is immaterial." *Culinary Serv. of Delaware Valley*, 385 F. App'x at 145; *accord Field v. Volkswagenwerk AG*, 626 F.2d 293, 301 (3d Cir. 1980), *modified on other grounds* (quoting 3A James W. Moore et al., *Moore's Federal*

¹⁵ The Court distinguishes formal service of process from any informal process by which Plaintiffs have served the various absent parties identified in their Verified Complaint. (V.C. $\P\P$ 48–52.)

Practice ¶¶ 19.07–1[2], at 19–128 (2d ed. 1979), and counseling that "mere theoretical considerations of disposing of the whole controversy should not be employed" to dismiss an action [on Rule 19(a)(1) grounds] 'where it appears unlikely that absent persons could be adversely affected"").

"Subsection (a)(1)(B), however, requires the court to take into consideration the effect the resolution of the dispute may have on absent parties." *Culinary Serv. of Delaware Valley*, 385 F. App'x at 145 (citation omitted). "Under the first prong of subsection (a)(1)(B), a party must show that some outcome of the federal case would preclude the absent parties with respect to an issue material to the absent parties' rights or duties." *Id.* (citation omitted). "[C]oncerns regarding privity and the possibility of preclusion are too speculative to require joinder. *Id.* (citation omitted). "The second prong of (a)(1)(B) focuses on the obligations of named parties, not absent parties." *Id.* (citations omitted). "Further, an unsubstantiated or speculative risk will not satisfy Rule 19(a) criteria—the possibility of exposure to multiple or inconsistent obligations must be real." *Id.* (citation omitted).

Subsection (a)(1)(A) does not apply to absent parties. Therefore, the Court will consider whether the absent parties must be joined under subsection (a)(1)(B). First, the Court finds that the absent County Boards of Elections and Superintendents of Elections are not necessary parties under subsection (a)(1)(B)(i). The Defendant County Clerks argue that ordering the County Clerks to change the ballot structure will not afford complete relief because "the putative new ballot structure Plaintiffs seek to have the Court impose would need to be configured to voting machines, which are outside of the control and purview of the County Clerks." (ECF No. 63 at 39–40.) Rather, the Defendant County Clerks contend, each County's Board of Elections or Superintendent of Elections has custody over voting machines. (*See id.*) (citing N.J. Stat. § 19:48-6)). Therefore, the County Clerks argue that at least joinder of those absent parties is necessary to afford complete relief. (*See id.*)

The Court disagrees that custody over voting machines is relevant to the issue at hand. The issue here is ballot design, over which Defendant County Clerks do, in fact, have custody and control. (*See* V.C. ¶ 28–47.)

Furthermore, the Court finds the absent County Boards of Elections and Superintendents of Elections are not necessary parties under subsection (a)(1)(B)(ii). This subsection focuses on the effect on obligations of named parties, and there is no real risk that deciding the case without joining the absent parties would expose any of the named parties to "a substantial risk of incurring double, multiple, or otherwise inconsistent obligations \ldots ." Fed. R. Civ. P. 19(a)(1)(B)(ii). For instance, a county clerk's duties regarding voting machines are clearly delineated in N.J. Stat. §19:48-6 and other provisions of New Jersey law (see V.C. ¶¶ 28–47), and any concerns on their behalf are purely speculative. The Court therefore finds that although it is feasible to join the County Boards of Elections and Superintendents of Elections, it is not necessary to join these absent parties in this action. For this reason, the Court need not decide whether the County Boards of Elections and Superintendents of Elections are indispensable parties under Rule 19(b).

The Court next considers whether the other absent parties Defendants mention—other primary candidates; all county Democratic and Republican county committees; Plaintiffs' opponents in the primary; and all local and statewide political organizations—are necessary parties under subsection (a)(1)(B). For the reasons stated below, the Court finds that these are not necessary parties.

Defendants argue that these are necessary parties under subsection (a)(1)(B)(i) because "the Bracketing System at least serves a legitimate interest of political candidates to associate with one another and for political parties to endorse candidates" (ECF No. 63 at 40.) Defendants note that the Supreme Court held these constitutional interests to be compelling in *Eu v. San Francisco County Democratic Central Committee*, 489 U.S. 214 (1989) and that upending the

bracketing system would impair the interests of the absent parties. (ECF No. 63 at 40.)

However, Defendants misplace their reliance on *Eu*. That case concerned challenges to sections of the California Election Code that purported to, *inter alia*, ban primary endorsements by political parties and dictate the organization and composition of those parties. *See Eu*, *489 U.S.*

at 216. The U.S. Supreme Court in Eu opined:

Barring political parties from endorsing and opposing candidates not only burdens their freedom of speech but also infringes upon their freedom of association. It is well settled that partisan political organizations enjoy freedom of association protected by the First and Fourteenth Amendments. Freedom of association means not only that an individual voter has the right to associate with the political party of her choice, but also that a political party has a right to "identify the people who constitute the association," and to select a "standard bearer who best represents the party's ideologies and preferences." Depriving a political party of the power to endorse suffocates this right.

Id. at 224 (citations omitted).

Defendants have not adequately explained how a change to the county line balloting system would burden the interests of the absent political committees, parties, and organizations. Unlike in Eu, this is not a case of an outright ban on primary endorsements by political parties, nor is it a case of a state dictating the internal organization of a political party or political organization. Absent the Bracketing Structure, political parties and political organizations would still maintain the freedom to endorse their preferred candidates. Merely presenting the information in a different format, the Office Block Structure, will not detract from the political parties' and political organizations' freedom of speech and association. In fact, Plaintiffs made clear in their Verified Complaint that they do not seek to inhibit political parties' ability to endorse candidates: Plaintiffs do not seek to disrupt the conduct of parties in their right to endorse the standard-bearer of their choice, or their right to contribute and pool resources to support that candidate in the primary or general election. Nor do Plaintiffs seek to disrupt the ability of parties to signify their endorsements or slogans on the ballot alongside the candidates of their choice.

(V.C. ¶ 17.) Clearly, the Defendants' stated interest does not rise to the level of the interests identified in *Eu*. Consequently, the Court finds that these parties are not necessary under subsection (a)(1)(B)(i). Nor are they necessary under subsection (a)(1)(B)(ii). Any concerns about the effect of the balloting system on the existing parties are purely speculative, as there is no real risk that deciding the case without joining these absent parties would adversely affect the obligations of the named parties. In fact, the allegations in the Verified Complaint (as well as Plaintiffs' supporting evidence discussed further, infra) suggest that maintaining the *current* Bracketing Structure adversely affects the named parties by creating "arbitrary advantage[s]" for candidates on the county line and leading to voter confusion. (V.C. ¶¶ 77–78, 84–87.) For the above reasons, the Court finds that the absent parties are not required to be joined under Rule 19(a). Therefore, the Court need not decide whether they are indispensable parties under Rule 19(b).¹⁶

¹⁶ Even if Rule 19(b) did apply, the Court would find the absent parties were not indispensable parties. Under Rule 19(b), the Court would have to consider, in relevant part: "(1) the extent to which a judgment rendered in the person's absence might prejudice that person or the existing parties;" or "(2) the extent to which any prejudice could be lessened or avoided by: (A) protective provisions in the judgment; (B) shaping the relief; or (C) other measures[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 19(b). Regarding (1), as discussed, Defendants have not adequately explained how failing to join the absent parties would prejudice the absent parties or the existing parties. Regarding (2), Defendants argue that there is no way to shape the relief Plaintiffs seek—requiring the County Clerks to use an "office-block ballot"—that would not require joining the absent county officials. (*See* ECF No. 63 at 41.) However, this argument is undermined by the fact that Salem County and Sussex County both use the Office Block Structure instead of the Bracketing Structure. (*See* V.C. ¶ 55.) Moreover, Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ryan Macias, testified at the Hearing that all of New Jersey's balloting machines are capable of laying out both paper and electronic ballots in the Office Block Structure instead of the Bracketing Structure. (*See* Hearing Tr. at 93:21–96:19). Defendants' response, via their expert David Passante's testimony, that their county officials and printing staff are unprepared to implement a new balloting system, does not entirely rebut Macias's point and therefore does not constitute a compelling reason to join additional parties. (*See* Hearing Tr. 257:16–259:17.)

V. THE MARCH 18, 2024 EVIDENTIARY HEARING

A. DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE

The Court first addresses seven motions in limine filed on the day of the Hearing by Defendants Durkin, Ireland-Imhof, and Rajoppi ("Moving Defendants"). The motions sought to prevent Plaintiffs' expert witnesses from testifying and to preclude the Court's consideration of their expert reports. (ECF Nos. 152–158.) Plaintiffs filed an omnibus brief opposing all seven motions. ("MIL Opp'n Br.", ECF No. 177.) This unusual posture warrants some explanation.

Defendants first indicated their intention to file "pre-hearing motions" of an unspecified type as part of a Joint Proposed Hearing Agenda filed by the parties three days before the Hearing:

Defendants' Position: Any pre-hearing motions shall be filed on or before March 15, 2024. Defendants believe that motions related to evidence are appropriate in advance of an evidentiary hearing and intend on filing same today. Defendants have offered to Plaintiffs that responses to any such motions may be filed by March 17, 2024.

(ECF No. 140 at 5.) Plaintiffs responded that they did not believe pre-hearing motions were appropriate given the nature of the Hearing. (*Id.*) On the basis of the information provided by the parties, the Court decided the issue by instructing counsel "to timely raise any objections during the hearing rather than file pre-hearing motions." (ECF No. 141 at 5.)

At the start of the Hearing, however, Moving Defendants' intentions became clear when they raised their dispute as to the qualifications of Plaintiffs' experts. (*See* Hearing Tr. 24:13– 25:25.) Given that the Hearing had already commenced and there was no jury involved, the Court exercised its discretion to permit the experts to testify as planned, and reserved its decision as to the merits of the motions in limine. (*Id.* at 26:1–14.) *See UGI Sunbury LLC v. A Permanent Easement for 1.775 Acres*, 949 F.3d 825, 833 (3d Cir. 2020). Accordingly, the Court addresses the motions by assessing, after the fact, the experts' testimony and reports. For the reasons set forth below, the Motions in Limine will be **DENIED**.

1. <u>MOTION No. 1: SEEKING TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF ALL</u> <u>PLAINTIFFS' EXPERTS BASED ON FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH</u> <u>DISCOVERY REQUIREMENT</u>

Moving Defendants' First Motion in Limine is premised on discovery and cries unfair delay. They cite Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 for the principle that expert disclosures must be made "at least 90 days before the date set for trial or for the case to be ready for trial[.]" ("First MIL", ECF No. 152-2 at 5) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(D)(i)). Moving Defendants argue that Plaintiffs contacted their experts more than two months before disclosing their opinions in this suit and Plaintiffs obtained one complete expert report nearly two months before filing suit. (*Id.* at 6.) According to Moving Defendants, Plaintiffs' decision to pursue an "eleventh-hour filing" with regard to the primary election deprived all Defendants of the opportunity to depose Plaintiffs' experts or prepare rebuttal reports. (*Id.*)

Plaintiffs broadly argue that all seven of Moving Defendants' motions in limine are merely attempts to "relitigate their claims of 'delay." (MIL Opp'n Br. at 1.) Plaintiffs also argue that Moving Defendants "confuse *admissibility* of an expert's testimony with the question of how much *weight* it should be given" when addressing the merits of Plaintiffs' Motion. (*Id.*) (emphasis in original). Finally, Plaintiffs contend that Moving Defendants misunderstand the concept of "relevance" under the Federal Rules of Evidence as well as "how time can be computed" in the context of an expedited hearing under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (*Id.*) (emphasis in original). As it relates to the First Motion in Limine, Plaintiffs detail the timeline, content, and speed of the expert reports authored by Dr. Wang, Dr. Pasek, Dr. Rubin, and Dr. Appel. (*Id.* at 5–9.) Plaintiffs take the position that they brought this emergent application in a timely manner, with the proper evidence to support such application consistent with Article III standing requirements.

(*Id.*) Plaintiffs deny the existence of any "grand scheme to line the whole case up in advance, press the pause button, and press play at the last second." (*Id.*)

First, Moving Defendants provide no authority to support the notion that the disclosure requirements of Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(i) apply to a hearing on a motion for preliminary injunction. (*See generally* First MIL.) Indeed, as accurately quoted by Moving Defendants' brief, the language of this part of the Rule contemplates a "trial" rather than a preliminary hearing. (*Id.* at 5–6; *see also* MIL Opp'n Br. at 10.) Setting aside the language of the Rule, Plaintiffs and the Court agree: reason dictates that it would defeat the purpose of a litigant seeking emergent relief if that litigant were required to wait 91 days for a hearing so that it could meet the strictures of Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(i). (MIL Opp'n Br. at 10.) Here, Plaintiffs provided their expert reports the very same day they filed suit. Plaintiffs explain how the experts "worked concurrently and not sequentially" and the four expert reports "could not nave come any earlier than they did." (*Id.* at 8–9.) Their disclosures could not reasonably be expected to have been provided to Moving Defendants any earlier.

Next, as Plaintiffs note, Moving Defendants' actual challenge is to when this suit was filed. That issue is properly addressed on the merits of Plaintiffs' emergent application rather than on a motion in limine. As a final alternative, even if Plaintiffs' disclosures could be deemed a technical violation under Rule 26(a), the Court finds that Plaintiffs' technical failure was nevertheless "substantially justified" within the meaning of Rule 37(c)(1) based on the circumstances of this case. For these reasons, the Court will **DENY** Moving Defendants' First Motion in Limine (ECF No. 152).

2. <u>MOTION Nos. 2–5: SEEKING TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF RUBIN,</u> <u>APPEL, WANG, AND PASEK BASED ON THE FEDERAL RULES OF</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u>

Four of the Motions in Limine—the Second through Fifth Motions in Limine—raise challenges to the experts' testimony and reports based on Federal Rule of Evidence 702 alone or in combination with Rule 402. (ECF Nos. 153–156.) The Court can dispose of these Motions quickly. The Federal Rules of Evidence are "relaxed" in the context of a hearing on a motion for preliminary injunction. (*See* Hearing Tr. 89:18–19) (Court reminding counsel of relaxed application of evidence rules); *see also Kos Pharms., Inc. v. Andrx Corp.*, 369 F.3d 700, 718 (3d Cir. 2004); *Univ. of Texas v. Camenisch*, 451 U.S. 390, 395 (1981) (noting that because preliminary injunctions have a "limited purpose," they are "oustomarily granted on the basis of procedures that are less formal and evidence that is less complete than in a trial on the merits"). For reasons unclear, the moving briefs supporting these motions in limine do not acknowledge, much less mention, that fact. Plaintiffs however, repeatedly emphasize that Moving Defendants' arguments improperly challenge the admissibility of the expert testimony.¹⁷ (*See* MIL Opp'n Br. at 1, 12, 17.) At best, Plaintiffs ciaim, Moving Defendants' challenges relate to the weight the Court should give the expert reports and testimony. (*See id.* at 12, 17.)

Given the expedited schedule leading up to the Hearing driven by the emergent nature of the pending application, coupled with the relaxed standards generally utilized during a preliminary injunction hearing, the Court declines to exclude the expert testimony as inadmissible. With respect to emergent applications, courts routinely permit expert testimony at preliminary injunction hearings before addressing any challenges to the expert testimony. *See, e.g., In re Ohio*

¹⁷ For the avoidance of doubt, Plaintiffs firmly oppose Moving Defendants' admissibility challenges and Plaintiffs' position is that "each and every expert proffered by Plaintiffs qualifies as an expert under Rule 702." (MIL Opp'n Br. at 4.)

Execution Protocol Litig., Civ. No. 11-1016, 2018 WL 6382108, at *2 (S.D. Ohio Dec. 6, 2018) ("However in this case it is simply not possible to put the process on hold while the *Daubert* inquiry is separately conducted, given the imminence of the hearing"); *F.T.C. v. BF Labs Inc.*, Civ. No. 14-815, 2014 WL 7238080, at *2 n.3 (W.D. Mo. Dec. 12, 2014) (explaining that defendants moved to exclude expert testimony but the court took the motions "under advisement" and permitted the expert to testify at the hearing). Notably, at this stage of the proceedings, rather than evaluate the admissibility of the expert testimony, the more appropriate inquiry is to determine whether the expert reports and testimony "present the indicia of reliability common to expert testimony." *Parks v. City of Charlotte*, Civ. No. 17-670, 2018 WL 4643193, at *4 (W.D.N.C. Sept. 27, 2018).

Here, the Court finds that, for the limited purposes of resolving the pending preliminary injunction application, Plaintiffs' expert reports and their testimony contain the indicia of reliability sought under Rule 702 and *Daubert*. The reports and testimony seek to explain, *inter alia*, the "feasibility of using New Jersey's existing equipment and software to present office-block ballots to primary voters," the impact the county line had on candidates who were awarded it, and how ballot design affects voter behavior. (*See generally* MIL Opp'n Br.) Notably, the experts rely upon their professional and educational experience when providing the various quantitative and statistical analysis within their respective reports. Further, the Court finds that the various challenges raised in the Second through Fifth Motions in Limine attacking the reliability, relevance, and methodological flaws of the reports and testimony more properly go to the weight the Court affords the testimony and not the admissibility. *See BF Labs Inc.*, 2014 WL 7238080, at *2 n.3. For these reasons, the Court will **DENY** Moving Defendants' Second through Fifth Motions in Limine (ECF Nos. 153–156).

3. <u>MOTIONS Nos. 6–7: SEEKING TO EXCLUDE THE TESTIMONY OF</u> <u>PASEK AND MACIAS BASED ON THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL</u> <u>PROCEDURE</u>

The Sixth and Seventh Motions in Limine raise challenges to Dr. Pasek and Mr. Macias's testimony and reports based on Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii).¹⁸ ("Sixth MIL", ECF No. 157; "Seventh MIL", ECF No. 158.) Moving Defendants rely on Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii) for the proposition that an expert's reply is prohibited unless it is "intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party under Rule 26(a)(2)(B)." (Sixth MIL at 1) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(D)(ii)). Here, Moving Defendants have not procured their own experts. (*Id.*) Accordingly, they argue that Dr. Pasek's Expert Reply, attached as Exhibit A to Plaintiffs' Reply ("Expert Reply", ECF No. 95 at 48–57), and Mr. Macias' expert report and testimony should be excluded because they do "not purport to rebut any expert report submitted by any of the Defendants[.]" (Sixth MIL at 1.)

First, the Court finds that Moving Defendants' reliance on Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii) to exclude Dr. Pasek's Expert Reply and testimony is inapposite. Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii) governs expert *rebuttal* reports, not expert *reply* reports. *See Haskins v. First Am. Title Ins. Co.*, Civ. No. 10-5044, 2013 WL 5410531, at *2 (D.N.J. Sept. 26, 2013) (citing *Crowley v. Chait*, 332 F. Supp. 2d 530, 550–51 (D.N.J. 2004)); *Kleen Prods. LLC v. Int'l Paper*, 306 F.R.D. 585, 591 (N.D. Ill. 2015) ("Rule 26 does not address reply expert reports.") Unlike Mr. Macias's report, which Plaintiffs' characterized as a "rebuttal", (ECF No. 115), Dr. Pasek's Expert Reply was submitted as part of Plaintiffs' Reply Brief. Therefore, the Expert Reply is a reply report, not a rebuttal report.

¹⁸ Defendants also argue that Mr. Macias's report should be excluded because it was filed and served on March 13, 2024, a day after the Court's deadline for Plaintiffs to reply to Defendants' opposition of March 12, 2024. (Seventh MIL at 1; ECF No. 34.) Notably, Defendants do not argue that they suffered any impact or prejudice due to this one-day delay. As such, the Court rejects Defendants' challenge to Mr. Macias's report on this basis.

Even so, Moving Defendants' challenge to the expert testimony is narrow because they do not challenge the contents of the testimony. Instead, Moving Defendants argue that Dr. Pasek and Mr. Macias do not respond to any expert testimony procured by Moving Defendants. (Sixth MIL at 1; Seventh MIL at 2.) Though Moving Defendants did not procure experts, Plaintiffs argue that the expert reports responded to Defense certifications that "contained a fair amount of 'technical discussion."" (Id. at 22) (quoting Suppl. Certification of Ryan Macias, ECF No. 171 at ¶ 5). Plaintiffs emphasize Dr. Pasek and Mr. Macias were responding to briefs and certifications containing "arguments that were at least arguably in the realm of experts, not fact witnesses." (MIL Opp'n Br. at 20–21.) And as Plaintiffs reiterate, rules of procedure are relaxed in the context of preliminary injunction hearings. (MIL Opp'n Br. at 20, 22.) Moving Defendants recognize that the emergent nature of this application might have impacted their opportunity to procure experts. (Sixth MIL at 1.) Yet Moving Defendants fail to appreciate that Plaintiffs' experts are rebutting arguments raised by Moving Defendants in their various opposition briefs and certifications in the absence of, or even more accurately, in heu of, expert testimony. Considering the circumstances of this case and the emergent nature of the application, the Court rejects Moving Defendants' hyper-technical challenge to the Expert Reply and testimony of Dr. Pasek and the expert report and testimony of Mr. Macias, especially in light of these experts' responses to evidence put forth by Defendants. For these reasons, the Court will **DENY** Moving Defendants' Sixth and Seventh Motions in Limine (ECF Nos. 157–158).

B. HEARING CONDUCT AND TESTIMONY

On February 29, three days after the Verified Complaint and emergent application were filed, the Court conducted a case management teleconference with counsel for the parties. The Court set March 18 as the date for a one-day hearing and emphasized that it sought an *evidentiary* hearing rather than mere oral argument from counsel. The primary purpose of the hearing was to

provide Defendants with an opportunity to challenge Plaintiffs' proofs that were previously provided to the Court through documentary evidence as well as an opportunity for Defendants to introduce their own evidence. The Court instructed counsel to meet and confer and submit a proposed agenda for the one-day hearing by March 15 that included identification of witnesses and a proposed schedule. (ECF No. 34.) The parties timely submitted a proposed schedule which although it presented some disputes, was largely agreed upon-but it identified an improbable number of witnesses for a one-day hearing: fifteen. (ECF No. 140.) The Court resolved the parties' disputes and, balancing the appropriate time allotted for the hearing against the unreasonable proposed scheduled submitted by the parties, the Court took what steps it could to manage the hearing in advance. The Court expressly noted that it "encourages the parties to streamline witness testimony as much as possible" to include limiting direct examination of certain expert witnesses at times to simply adopting the accompanying expert report; it limited opening arguments; it reserved on whether closing arguments could be presented and then ultimately denied this request; it instructed the parties to call each witness only once; it allowed for and permitted witnesses to be called out of order at Defendants' request; and it encouraged Plaintiffs to prepare any Plaintiff-candidates who were testifying to also serve as their own Rule 30(b)(6) designeewitnesses. (ECF No. 141.)

The marathon hearing that ensued lasted nearly 9 hours. It was not a model of efficiency by either side, a problem the Court noted to both sides during the proceedings. On several occasions throughout the hearing, the Court reminded the parties to manage their time wisely and make adjustments where needed to prioritize their presentations as it became obvious that the parties would not be able to fully comply with their proposed schedule in the allotted time. However, the Court, in an effort to ensure Defendants had sufficient time to respond to Plaintiffs' proofs, extended the hearing beyond the expected time period. Ultimately, seven of the fifteen witnesses testified. The Court ultimately concluded the hearing because the courthouse was closing for the day and if the hearing continued further there would be insufficient security on staff to safely escort attendees from the building. Defendants final act was to request to nevertheless continue to present closing arguments which was denied. Overall, the Court provided Defendants with ample time to call and cross examine selected witnesses. It should be noted that neither party chose to call the plaintiff candidates to testify other than Andy Kim. Whether this was a tactical decision on the part of the parties or an error is unknown to the Court. What is known and wholly supported by the record is that Defendants could have called and examined all three plaintiff candidates as a priority during the hearing whether or not Plaintiffs elected to testify themselves in support of their motion for a preliminary injunction, especially in light of the Verified Complaint that was filed by them. Nevertheless, for reasons of their own choosing, Defendants only focused on Mr. Kim.

1. Witness Testimony: Ryan Macias (Hearing Tr. 71–158)

Ryan Macias testified by video at the Hearing. Mr. Macias has worked for nearly 20 years in election infrastructure technology and security, as well as election administration and election policies. (Hearing Tr. 73:12–14). He was the acting director of voting systems and testing and certification program under the U.S. Election Assistance Commission, the agency designed by Congress to conduct testing and certification for voting systems in federal elections. (*Id.* 73:17–74:4.) He now owns a private consulting company that provides guidance to domestic and international election management bodies. (*Id.* 74:5–11). Having reviewed Mr. Macias' education and experience, the Court finds that he is qualified to testify as an expert on election technology. Mr. Macias described the voting systems in place in New Jersey and the election management software used design ballots. (*Id.* 75:14–118:14.) He testified that New Jersey's vote-by-mail and

in-person electronic voting systems have the ability to layout a ballot in an office-block style. (*Id.* 118:11–14.) He noted that the office-block ballot design was already used in the same or similar voting systems across the county. He further opined that the office-block style was actually less complicated and therefore less time consuming to lay out. On the whole, assessing Mr. Macias' demeanor, manner in which he testified, and the substance of his testimony together with other corroborative evidence, the Court found Mr. Macias's testimony credible and assigns it substantial weight.

2. <u>Witness Testimony: Andy Kim (Hearing Tr. 164–245)</u>

Congressman Andy Kim testified in person at the hearing. Mr. Kim held a variety of roles within the executive branch of the federal government until he was elected as U.S. Congressman for New Jersey's Third District in 2018. He was re-elected to the same office in 2020 and 2022. Mr. Kim testified as to the reasons he filed this suit: his frustration with the current primary ballots and the effects they have on him individually and on his campaign. He also explained the timing as to when it was brought: his attempts to approach the county clerks on the ballot issue without a response, then trying to balance assembling the evidence he needed to bring strong case against bringing suit in a timely manner. He testified as to the effect that the county line has on candidates and their campaigns. (*see, .e.g, Id.* 168:16–170:2.) Based upon Mr. Kim's demeanor, manner in which he testified, and substance of his testimony in conjunction with other corroborative evidence, the Court found Mr. Kim's testimony to be credible and assigns it substantial weight.

3. Witness Testimony: David Passante (Hearing Tr. 250–280)

David Passante testified in person at the hearing. He is co-owner of a printing service that does a lot of government work, and specializes in the printing of ballots. His company has been printing ballots in New Jersey since 1983. It has been printing New Jersey county ballots since 1994. It currently prints ballots for 11 counties in New Jersey. Ten of those use bracketing. Mr. Passante opined that if the ballot layout for the primaries were to change—due to the deadlines his staffing, training required—the result within his company would be "chaos." (Hearing Tr. 257:12–14.) He expressed doubt that it could be done in time. On cross-examination, Plaintiffs challenged Mr. Passante on bias based on his company's relationship with the county clerks and its \$6 million per year revenue earned from ballot printing. They also showed him office-block ballots prepared by his company that were prepared using the ES&S system. The Court concluded by questioning Mr. Passante whether, if requested by a county clerk, his company could find a way to print office-block ballots. Tellingly, Mr. Passante responded that his company would find a way. (Hearing Tr. 282:4–283:5.) Based upon his demeanor, manner in which he testified, and conflicting and contradictory testimony, the Court finds that Mr. Passante's testimony with respect to Defendants' professed inability to deliver office-block ballots for the 2024 Primary was of low credibility, and the Court assigns it minimal weight.

4. <u>Witness Testimony: Andrew Wilson Appel (Hearing Tr. 284–302)</u>

Dr. Appel testified in person at the hearing. Having reviewed Dr. Appel's education and experience, the Court finds that he is qualified to testify as an expert on election technology. Plaintiffs adopted his expert report for the purposes of his direct testimony (ECF No. 1-5). His report surveyed the voting machines used in New Jersey and their related election management software. He opined that the work required to prepare office-block ballots using the current systems "will not be significantly different from the work or effort needed to prepare row-and-column ballots." (ECF No. 1-5 at 5.) On cross examination, Defendants challenged the bases for Dr. Appel's opinion with respect to particular voting systems (including the ExpressVote) and election management software. On re-direct, Plaintiffs elicited testimony that emphasized Dr. Appel's overall assessment and a fundamental premise underlying his opinion: that voting machines from manufacturers come with software that accommodates many ballot designs and

that no software or hardware updates would be required to perform office-block voting. The Court found Dr. Appel's testimony credible and assigns it substantial weight based upon his demeanor, manner in which he testified, and substance of his testimony which was corroborated by other evidence.

5. <u>Witness Testimony: Julia Sass Rubin (Hearing Tr. 309–333)</u>

Dr. Rubin testified in person at the hearing. Plaintiffs adopted her expert report for the purposes of her direct testimony. The Court has reviewed Dr. Rubin's education and experience (Rubin Report at 2, and Appendix B thereto), and it satisfied that she is qualified to serve as an expert in the area of public policy. The relevant substance of her testimony and her expert report and Defendants' cross-examination is discussed later in this Opinion. Based upon Dr. Rubin's demeanor, manner in which she testified, and substance of her testimony which was corroborated by other evidence presented, the Court found her testimony credible and assigns it substantial weight.

6. <u>Witness Testimony: Christine Hanlon (Hearing Tr. 335–369)</u>

Christine Hanlon testified in person at the hearing. She was elected Monmouth County Clerk in 2015 and has held the office since then. She described the responsibilities of her office, as well as the magnitude of the effort assorted with voting in her county. With respect to ballot changes, she expressed her concern that "there is a design process that would need to be undertaken to determine where things go, whether the equipment and software that we have could accommodate changes to the ballots that we have right now." (Hearing Tr. 358: 5–10.) Her office "would have to undertake an analysis of how these races would be laid out on a ballot" and new ballots "would take us some time to figure out where things would go." (*Id.* 359:6–20.) In sum, she related that based on communications with her ballot vendor and because her staff is untrained on office-block ballot format, she has "grave concern" about their ability to get this done "in the

very short time frame" left. (Hearing Tr. 362:17–363:6.) Although the Court does not express concern regarding Ms. Hanlon's demeanor, the Court found her testimony only moderately credible and assigns it medium weight for a number of reasons. First, for portions of her testimony she was doing little more than recounting what she had been told by third parties. Second, and more importantly, her assertions that she did not know how or if Monmouth County could administer office-block voting and her expressions of concern that they might not be able to, fell short of fully rebutting the direct testimony from Mr. Macias and Dr. Appel. Put another way, saying she was not sure it could be done does not necessarily fully respond to Plaintiffs' expert testimony that it can be done. Ms. Hanlon's testimony appeared to be based more on speculation than fact.

7. Witness Testimony: Noah Dion (Hearing Tr. 374–375)

Noah Dion testified in person at the hearing. He has been Andy Kim's campaign manager since October 13, 2023. Defendants called Mr. Dion to testify as to the timing of Mr. Kim's decision to bring this suit. Mr. Dion's testimony was compatible with Mr. Kim's testimony in this regard and corroborated a similar timeline. Defendants specifically questioned Mr. Dion on when the campaign communicated with litigation counsel and experts and when they were retained. The Court, upon assessing Mr. Dion's demeanor, manner in which he testified, and substance of his testimony together with corroborative evidence from others, finds his testimony credible and assigns it substantial weight.

VI. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

To determine whether a preliminary injunction should issue, a court must consider "(1) whether the movant has a reasonable probability of success on the merits; (2) whether irreparable harm would result if the relief sought is not granted; (3) whether the relief would result in greater harm to the non-moving party, and (4) whether the relief is in the public interest." *Amalgamated*

Transit Union Loc. 85 v. Port Auth. of Allegheny Cnty, 39 F.4th 95, 102–103 (3d Cir. 2022) (quoting *Swartzwelder v. McNeilly*, 297 F.3d 228, 234. (3d Cir. 2002)).

The first two factors are "gateway factors" that the moving party must establish. *See Greater Phila. Chamber of Com. v. City of Phila.*, 949 F.3d 116, 133 (3d Cir. 2020). If they are established, the "court then determines in its sound discretion if all four factors, taken together, balance in favor of granting the requested preliminary relief." *Id.* (internal quotation marks omitted).

"[W]hen the preliminary injunction is directed not merely at preserving the status quo but ... at providing mandatory relief, the burden on the moving party is particularly heavy." *Punnett v. Carter*, 621 F.2d 578, 582 (3d Cir. 1980) (citing *United States v. Spectro Foods Corp.*, 544 F.2d 1175, 1181 (3d Cir. 1976)). "[A] mandatory injunction is an 'extraordinary remedy to be employed only in the most unusual case.' "*Trinity Indus. v. Chi. Bridge & Iron Co.*, 735 F.3d 131, 139 (3d Cir. 2013) (citing *Communist Party of Ind. v. Whitcomb*, 409 U.S. 1235, 1235 (1972)). For a court to grant mandatory injunctive relief, "the moving party's 'right to relief must be indisputably clear."" *Id.* (quoting *Communist Party of Indiana*, 409 U.S. at 1235).

VII. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

A. PURCELL

Unsurprisingly, Defendants are eager for the Court to view this suit as a last-minute election case, and exercise caution against upsetting the status quo as directed by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Purcell v. Gonzalez*, 549 U.S. 1 (2006). The problem with Defendants' position is that this case is not last-minute. It was filed 100 days before the primary election on June 4th, and well over a month before the April 5th deadline for preparing official primary election ballots for printing. On this basis alone, this case is readily distinguishable from the line of *Purcell* cases invoked by Defendants. *See, e.g., Republican Party of Pa. v. Cortés*, 218 F. Supp. 3d 396 (E.D.

Pa. 2016) (suit filed mere 18 days before election). The Court is satisfied that it has made every effort to move quickly and efficiently through the briefing and hearing process while protecting the parties' rights to present their positions.¹⁹ The Court is likewise satisfied that it has exhausted its own resources to render a comprehensive decision with substance that is also timely in relation to the 2024 Primary, one that can and should be enforced without disrupting the upcoming election.

B. LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON THE MERITS

1. <u>FIRST AMENDMENT</u>

The parties largely agree that the *Anderson-Burdick* framework applies to Plaintiffs' First Amendment Claims. Indeed, because New Jersey's bracketing system regulates the voting ballots themselves as well as the "the mechanics of the electoral process," the Court finds that the Third Circuit requires the use of *Anderson-Burdick* in this instance. *Mazo v. New Jersey Sec'y of State*, 54 F.4th 124, 142–43 (3d Cir. 2022) ("*Mazo II*") *cert. denied sub nom. Mazo v. Way*, 144 S. Ct. 76 (2023) (location/timing of regulation and nature/character of regulation decide applicability of *Anderson-Burdick*).

The parties disagree, however, as to the appropriate standard of review under *Anderson-Burdick*. Plaintiffs argue for strict scrutiny because they believe the burdens on their rights are severe. (Moving Br. at 22–32). Defendants argue for rational basis review because they believe the alleged burdens on Plaintiffs' rights are minimal. The Third Circuit has distilled how to determine the appropriate level of scrutiny under *Anderson-Burdick*:

[t]he *Anderson-Burdick* test "requires the reviewing court to (1) determine the "character and magnitude" of the burden that the challenged law imposes on constitutional rights, and (2) apply the level of scrutiny corresponding to that burden. *Burdick*, 504 U.S. at

¹⁹ Application of the *Purcell* principle is also not so automatic as Defendants hope. As recently as March 28, 2024, one Third Circuit judge observed that the *Purcell* concerns did not apply to challenges to mail-in ballot requirements in Pennsylvania. *See Pa. State Conference of NAACP Branches v. Sec. Commonwealth of Pa.*, App. No. 23-3166 at 9 n.5 (3d Cir. 2024) (Shwartz, C.J., dissenting).

434, 112 S.Ct. 2059 (quoting *Anderson*, 460 U.S. at 789, 103 S.Ct. 1564). If the burden is "severe," the court must apply exacting scrutiny and decide if the law is "narrowly tailored and advance[s] a compelling state interest." *Timmons*, 520 U.S. at 358, 117 S.Ct. 1364. But if the law imposes only "reasonable, nondiscriminatory restrictions," *Anderson*, 460 U.S. at 788, 103 S.Ct. 1564, the court may use *Anderson-Burdick's* sliding scale approach under which a State need only show that its "legitimate interests... are sufficient to outweigh the limited burden," *Burdick*, 504 U.S. at 440, 112 S.Ct. 2059.

Mazo II, 54 F.4th at 137.

Here, Plaintiffs present argument and evidence that New Jersey's system of bracketing and ballot placement violates their First Amendment rights.

a) Burdens on Associational Rights

All Plaintiffs assert that their right to associate (and not associate) with other candidates is burdened by the bracketing system no matter their circumstance with respect to the county line. Notably, they say that if they win the endorsement of a county and appear on the county line, they are forced to appear alongside (and thereby associate with) candidates for other offices with whom they don't wish to associate. Plaintiffs cite various reasons they often would prefer not to associate with other candidates on the county line or a created bracket: differences in policy, differences in personal views, line-mates who are supporting a competing candidate, and not even knowing the other line members. (V.C. ¶¶ 140 (Kim), 154 (Schoengood), 163 (Rush); Hearing Tr. 170:20– 171:8 (Kim).) In Plaintiffs' view, if they do not pursue a position on the county line or other bracket, they suffer, whether it is viewed as ceding a significant advantage to their opponents or as being punished for asserting their own right to not associate.

b) Burdens of Ballot Placement & the "Weight of the Line"

For the reasons noted above, candidates who do not win a position on the county line and do not bracket are excluded from even the opportunity to be placed in or near the first position on the ballot.²⁰ Plaintiffs proffered expert witnesses to show that this imposes real-world burdens on candidates' prospects. As to ballot positioning, Plaintiffs offer Dr. Pasek's expert report.²¹ His report reviews and summarizes more than four dozen studies in the literature to support the conclusion that there is a pervasive primacy effect that favors candidates in elections that appear in an early position on a ballot. (Pasek Report ¶¶ 27, 38–43.) Dr. Pasek also assesses four competing studies that called into question that primacy effect. (*Id.* ¶¶ 44–47.) For various reasons the Court finds are sound, he concludes that those competing studies are less credible. (*Id.*) On the whole, the Court finds that Dr. Pasek's report is well-reasoned and suffices to establish, for this preliminary stage of this case, that candidates placed in an early position on a ballot receive a distinct advantage.²²

As to the effect of the county line on voting ("the weight of the line") apart from its potential for leading to early ballot placement, Plaintiffs offer Dr. Pasek and Dr. Rubin. Dr. Pasek's report describes a voting experiment he designed and conducted involving 1,393 volunteer-voters in two Congressional districts in New Jersey. (Pasek Report ¶¶ 114–157.) He draws several conclusions from his experiment, including that his voters selected candidates endorsed by a county 11.6% more frequently when the endorsed candidates appeared together on a county line than if they appeared separately in office-block format. (*Id.* ¶ 156.) Pasek finds this

²⁰ Here, there is arguably some differences in Plaintiffs' respective circumstances. As already noted, Kim is running for U.S. Senate, which is expected to be considered a pivot office, such that he would not appear far from a first ballot position. He continues to maintain that, given a choice, he would prefer to simply run for office on his own merit without associating with other candidates by appearing on any county line. Schoengood and Rush, running for U.S. Congress, clearly remain subject to the ills of ballot placement and the weight of the line.

²¹ Dr. Pasek's report was filed with the Verified Complaint as Exhibit B (ECF No. 1-2) and separately admitted into evidence at the Hearing as P-9. Neither of the parties called him to testify at the Hearing.

²² On this issue, Plaintiffs also offered the opinion of Dr. Wang who reached a similar conclusion based on the way human cognition works when faced with voting choices on a ballot and a statistical treatment of voting data.

difference "statistically significant" and concludes that it has "less than a one-in-a-million probability of appearing by chance."²³ (*Id.*)

Dr. Rubin was called to testify at the Hearing and, for the purposes of her direct testimony, Plaintiffs adopted her report. (Hearing Tr. 312:8–11; Exhibit C to VC, ECF No. 1-3.) Dr. Rubin focused her analyses on historical data. Her findings include the observation that in 35 of the 37 primary contests that took place in New Jersey between 2012 and 2022, "candidates received a larger share of the vote when they were on the county line than when they were endorsed but there was no county line. The difference in the candidate's performance ranged from -7 to 45 percentage points, with a mean of 12% points and a median of 11 percentage points." (Rubin Report at 4.) On cross-examination at the Hearing, Defendants challenged Dr. Rubin's choice of statistics and whether she had adequately accounted for other potential causes of the effects she observed. (Hearing Tr. 312:14–332:15.) In response, she emphasized that her analyses were intended to be statistically descriptive, and that she saw a pattern of the county-line having a consistent positive effect on the race results. (Hearing Tr. 317:12-19.) Having considered Dr. Pasek's report and Dr. Rubin's report and her testimony on the issue of ballot placement and the weight of the line, the Court finds that their opinions are well-reasoned and that they suffice to show, again, at this preliminary stage of this case, that the county-line provides a substantial benefit in terms of voting over and above candidates that are merely endorsed by a county.²⁴

Based on the foregoing, the Court finds that Plaintiffs have shown a severe burden on their First Amendment rights. Accordingly, the Court applies exacting scrutiny to decide whether the

²³ On this issue, Plaintiffs again offered the opinion of Dr. Wang who reached a similar conclusion based on the way human cognition works when faced with voting choices on a ballot and a statistical treatment of voting data.
²⁴ On this issue, Plaintiffs also offered the opinion of Dr. Wang who reached a similar conclusion based on the way human cognition works when faced with voting choices on a ballot and a statistical treatment of voting data.

laws establishing bracketing and ballot placement are "narrowly tailored and advance a compelling state interest."

c) State Interests

Defendants maintain that the current system in 19 counties of bracketing and ballot placement furthers important State interests because it: 1) preserves other candidates' rights and the political parties' rights to associate; 2) communicates those associations of candidates to voters; 3) provides a manageable and understandable ballot; and 4) prevents voter confusion.

As to the first two considerations, Plaintiffs in this case are quick to point out that they are not disputing political parties' rights to associate by choosing their standard bearers or disputing other candidates' rights to associate by choosing common slogans. Nor are Plaintiffs disputing a state's interest in communicating these associations to voters. As the Verified Complaint makes clear, Plaintiffs do not challenge any of these endorsement efforts *even on the ballots themselves*. Plaintiffs challenge is only to the practice of the county line/bracketing and ballot placement, with its attendant infringement on their right to not associate and its outsized effects on primary elections.

As to the last two considerations—state interests in providing a manageable and understandable ballot, and ensuring an orderly election process—Defendants' position is hampered by the fact, pointed out by Plaintiffs and Dr. Pasek, that history has demonstrated otherwise insofar as one-third of all Mercer County voters were disenfranchised in the 2020 Democratic Primary Election because they voted for more than one candidate for the same office due to the current ballot systems. (V.C. ¶ 117; Pasek Report ¶ 109.) Under the circumstances, the Court concludes that the State's interests are not especially compelling.

d) Balancing the Burdens Against the Interests

Based on Plaintiffs' preliminary showing as to the burden imposed upon them, it is not clear at this stage how these burdens can be justified by the State's interests. This case is different from a previous one addressed by this Court where aggrieved candidates alleged purely legal burdens that could be measured at the motion to dismiss stage. *See Mazo v. Way*, 551 F. Supp. 3d 478, 508 n.12 (D.N.J. 2021) ("*Mazo P*"). This case is also different from another previous case addressed by this Court where aggrieved candidates needed only to allege sufficient factual burdens to survive a motion to dismiss and proceed to discovery. *See Conforti*, 2022 WL 1744774, at *17. Rather, in this case, Plaintiffs have come forward seeking emergent relief and support their application with a substantive factual record, including expert reports and credible expert and factual testimony. On the basis of that record, the Court finds that there is a sufficient likelihood that Plaintiffs will succeed on the merits of their First Amendment claims.

2. <u>ELECTIONS CLAUSE</u>

The Elections Clause of the United States Constitution provides that "[t]he Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof; but the Congress may at any time by Law make or alter such Regulations, except as to the Places of [choosing] Senators." U.S. Const. art. I, § 4, cl. 1. When the regulation involves the time, place, and manner of primary elections, the only question is whether the state system is preempted by federal election law on the subject. *U.S. Term Limits, Inc. v. Thornton*, 514 U.S. 779, 832 (1995). However, when the regulation does not regulate the "time, place, or manner," courts must consider whether the regulation on its face or as applied falls outside that grant of power to the state by, for example, "dictat[ing] electoral outcomes, favor[ing] or disfavor[ing] a class of candidates, or evad[ing] important constitutional restraints. *Cook v. Gralike*, 531 U.S. 510, 523 (2001). The Supreme Court has struck down such regulations when they "attach[] a concrete consequence to noncompliance" rather than informing voters about some topic. *Id.* at 524. The timing may also add to the gravity of injury, especially when it occurs "at the most crucial stage in the election process – the instant before the vote is cast." *Id.* at 525 (quoting *Anderson v. Martin*, 375 U.S. 399, 402 (1964)).

Here, as set forth above, the State conferred its power to regulate the "manner" of federal elections to the county clerks, including the Defendant County Clerks, by requiring them to design and print ballots. N.J. Stat. Ann. 19:23-26.1, 19:42-2. In Defendants' view, the Bracketing Structure is a permissible regulation on the "manner" of federal elections. On the record already reviewed, Plaintiffs' evidence is sufficient to make their showing of a likelihood they will succeed in establishing that the Bracketing Structure and ballot placement is improperly influencing primary election outcomes by virtue of the layout on the primary ballots. This would clearly exceed a State's right to regulate the "manner" of federal elections. *Cook*, 531 U.S. at 525 ("the instant before the vote is cast" is the "most crucial stage in the election process").

C. IRREPARABLE HARM

Next, the Court considers the extent to which Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable harm absent the requested relief.

"It is well-established that '[t]he loss of First Amendment freedoms, for even minimal periods of time, unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury." *Hohe v. Casey*, 868 F.2d 69, 72 (3d Cir. 1989) (quoting *Elrod v. Burns*, 427 U.S. 347, 373 (1976)). At least one district court, later affirmed by the Third Circuit, noted that "[f]or the purposes of this [preliminary injunction], the Court assumes that Plaintiffs have satisfied the irreparable harm prong if they can demonstrate a constitutional injury." *Democratic-Republican Org. of New Jersey v. Guadagno*, 900 F. Supp. 2d 447, 453 (D.N.J. 2012), *aff'd*, 700 F.3d 130 (3d Cir. 2012). In other instances, however, the Third Circuit has provided that "the assertion of First Amendment rights does not automatically require

a finding of irreparable injury," *Hohe*, 868 F.2d at 72–73, and that Plaintiffs who show a likelihood of success on the merits for their First Amendment claim are not entitled to preliminary injunctive relief unless they can show a "real or immediate" danger to their rights "in the near future." *Anderson v. Davila*, 125 F.3d 148, 164 (3d Cir. 1997).

The Court could find that Plaintiffs have satisfied the irreparable harm prong because it concluded that Plaintiffs met their burden of showing success on the merits as to their constitutional challenges. However, the Court additionally finds that Plaintiffs have met their burden to show they are likely to suffer "real or immediate" irreparable harm "in the near future" should the Court not grant the Motion.

From the Verified Complaint through the testimony provided at the Hearing, Plaintiffs have made their position evident as to the associational harm they face with the current ballot design. In particular, Plaintiffs explain that their associational harm is twofold. If Plaintiffs "forfeit their right to not associate with certain other cardidates," they will be harmed because they will be "punished for doing so by being excluded from the preferential ballot draw and risk getting relegated to obscure portions of the ballot in Ballot Siberia and/or put themselves at a substantial disadvantage from their opponents." (V.C. \P 201.) Alternatively, Plaintiffs are "forced" to associate with candidates "with whom they may not want to associate and whose policies they may disagree with." (*Id.* \P 202.)

Defendants' arguments that the changed political landscape has eliminated Kim's associational harm is specious at best. (ECF Nos. 190–91.) First, at the Hearing, Kim testified that he won the Monmouth County convention making him the endorsed candidate in that county. (Hearing Tr. 182:7–8.) Notably, Kim won and accepted the county line in Monmouth County *before* his main opponent withdrew from the primary. Kim will share the endorsed candidate line

with a congressman who chose *not* to endorse Kim and "is not supportive of [Kim's] campaign." (*Id.* 182:9–14.) Kim faces a similar problem in Morris County too. (*Id.* 182:18–22.) Kim expressed that being on the same line with candidates that do not support him is "difficult" because it affects his campaign and voter engagement. (*Id.* 182:21–24.) Finally, Kim explained how being on the same candidate endorsed line with candidates that "are actively working against each other" is confusing to voters: "whole idea of association, you know, presents the idea that these are candidates that chose to associate with each other" yet Kim has not had formal conversations with nor does he "even know most of these candidates." (*Id.* 183:5–14.)

Not only does Kim contend that the associational harm will be eliminated if this Court grants Plaintiffs relief, Kim underscored that he "just want[s] to run for the Senate seat." (*Id.* 184:2–7.) Kim does not want "to consider, you know, dozens if not hundreds of other candidates across multiple counties" but that he "unfortunately [has to] given the system here in New Jersey." (*Id.* 184:17–21.) The Court reiterates that Kim's harms are not alleviated because his main opponent withdrew from the election. Kim's harms, like Schoengood and Rush's, are real and immediate whether or not they are on the county line or not.

Second, though Defendants disproportionately focus on Kim, the Court emphasizes that Schoengood and Rush will also face irreparable harm. Schoengood will not be on the county line in the three counties within her congressional district. (V.C. ¶¶ 151–57; ECF No. 188 at 1.) Nor will Schoengood be bracketed with any candidates, thus leaving her "vulnerable to be placed with ballot gaps in between her bracketed opponents or otherwise put out in Ballot Siberia, and/or could be either in a column by herself or stacked in a column with other candidates for the same or different offices with whom she does not want to associate." (V.C. ¶ 156.) As evidenced by Dr. Pasek's report, the impact on a candidate who fails to secure the county line or the first ballot position is consequential. Dr. Pasek concluded that "[p]rimacy biases in New Jersey elections will always negatively impact candidates who do not bracket with a candidate for the pivot-point position, as these candidates are guaranteed to be placed in positions further to the right of (or below) colleagues who are bracketed with someone in the pivot-point position." (Pasek Report ¶ 81.)

More specifically, Dr. Pasek found that "all candidates on party-column ballots performed better when listed in the leftmost available position, with these benefits ranging from 3.9 percentage points to 27.8 percentage points across candidates." (*Id.* ¶ 144.) Even just among bracketed candidates that are not in a column by themselves, "the earlier listed candidate received an 8.2% and 11.1% benefit over chance and 16.5% and 22.2% benefit over later-listed candidates" in the districts the study was conducted in. (Moving Br. at 9 n.9; Pasek Report ¶ 143.) Dr. Pasek's report, together with the other reports and testimony, highlights the negative impact resulting from a failure to secure the county line. However, the evidence as it relates to unbracketed candidates further explains the harm that a candidate faces when they choose to remain unbracketed in exchange for exercising their right to associate. As such, unbracketed candidates like Schoengood will be harmed.

Similarly, Rush will be off the county line in two of the counties within her congressional district. (ECF No. 188 at 1.) In these two counties, Rush will also remain unbracketed and will face the same harm that Schoengood faces. In three other counties within her congressional district, Rush will be on the county line. However, in two of these districts, Rush will be bracketed with her opponents in the same column, creating the perception that Rush is associated with these candidates although she is not. ²⁵

²⁵ There is an additional concern of overvoting that occurs when candidates are stacked together in the same column in "vote for one" counties. (V.C. ¶ 117.) For example, Mercer County is a vote for one county whereby multiple

Lastly, Defendants largely challenge that any harm Plaintiffs will suffer is the product of their own delay.²⁶ Defendants claim that Plaintiffs "slow-walked" bringing this action and therefore "orchestrated" the existence of harm. (Hearing Tr. 55:12–19.) As previously discussed, the Court is not persuaded by Defendants' challenge for several reasons.

First, Defendants improperly frame undue delay as fatal to Plaintiffs' Motion. However, delay is only one of the various *factors* a court considers when addressing a preliminary injunction. *See Otsuka Pharm. Co. v. Torrent Pharms*. *Ltd.*, 99 F. Supp. 3d 461, 504 (D.N.J. 2015) (noting that delay is an "important factor bearing on the need for a preliminary injunction, particularly irreparable harm"); *Cortés*, 218 F. Supp. 3d at 404 (considering plaintiffs' unreasonable delay as part of the court's analysis of the preliminary injunction and relief sought). Therefore, the Court considers any delay as it relates to Plaintiffs irreparable harm.

Second, to the extent Defendants argue that Plaintiffs have unreasonably or unduly delayed, the Court disagrees. Defendants characterize Plaintiffs' Motion as an "eleventh-hour application" and argue that Plaintiffs "have known about New Jersey's ballot structure for years" yet they "rested on their claims until the final weeks of preparation for the Primary Election." (*Id.* at 19, 46.) Defendants contend that that Kim's "clock on applying for injunctive relief" started in September of 2023 when he decided to run for Senate. (ECF No. 191 at 2.) However, Plaintiffs' written submissions and testimony at the Hearing clarified why Plaintiffs filed the emergent application when they did.

At the Hearing, Kim explained the timeline from when he decided to run in September of 2023 to when Plaintiffs filed this action in February of 2024. Kim first explained that after

candidates are stacked in the same column but voters may only select one. (*Id.*) Dr. Pasek explained that in the 2020 Democratic Primary Election in Mercer County, a vote for one county, 32.4% of voters overvoted resulting in their votes being invalidated. (Pasek Report ¶ 109.)

²⁶ Defendants Hanlon

speaking with his senior staff, "sometime in December [2023] was the first time that [Kim] had conversations with different attorneys." (Hearing Tr. 189:7–11.) Next, Kim described some of the considerations he faced about taking legal action. Kim explained that a key other consideration he faced was whether he was "able to demonstrate a — a real and non-speculative injury, a harm done to [Kim] personally." (*Id.* 189:12–18.) When asked when, it if at all, Kim faced a concrete injury, Kim stated the following: "So the concrete injury that happened in a real and non-speculative way was on February 10th [2024] with the – with the awarding of the actual formal, official county-line in Passaic County on February 10th. That was – that was adverse to me." (*Id.* 190:5–13.) Kim expressed concern that if he brought the action any sooner than February 10th, it "would be seen as – that [Kim had] not actually been injured at that point." (*Id.* 196:10–14.) Kim also feared that if he brought an action too soon, "there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off" because he lacked an injury. (*Id.* 196:14–16.)

Kim also testified about his understanding of preliminary injunctions and how they "[require] a very high burden of evidence and proof to be able to demonstrate." (*Id.* 189:19–23.) Consequently, Kim became familiar with the types of evidence, research, and testimony that would be required to reach the burden and to make a "successful case." (*Id.* 189:24–190:4.) Kim subsequently testified about the various research and expert reports ultimately produced and why these materials were critical to his case. Ultimately, Kim emphasized that because of the high threshold he believed was required for a preliminary injunction, Kim needed "all of the necessary research and evidence that [he] felt was necessary to reach it." (*Id.* at 196:17–23.)

Having considered Kim's testimony, and Plaintiffs' written submissions, the Court rejects Defendants' position that Plaintiffs have unduly delayed bringing this action. Plaintiffs have explained that they filed suit as soon as they believed there was a concrete injury on February 10, 2024. And Plaintiffs filed the Verified Complaint and the present Motion about two weeks later on February 26, 2024. Plaintiffs even appreciated the consequences of filing this action prematurely.²⁷

Also, Plaintiffs assert that the relief sought can be accomplished in time for the 2024 Primary. (V.C. \P 18.) Plaintiffs explain that the action was "filed 100 days prior to the Primary Election, almost two months before vote by mail ballots are to be sent out, about one and a half months before the ballot draw, and even almost a full month prior to the petition filing deadline." (Reply at 5.) In sum, despite Defendants' arguments to the contrary, the Court finds based on the entire record before it that Plaintiffs have timely filed this Motion.

D. BALANCE OF THE HARM

Given the Court's finding that Plaintiffs have successfully met the first two prongs, it must next consider the final two factors. The third factor requires the court to "balance the parties' relative harms; that is, the potential injury to the plaintiffs without this injunction versus the potential injury to the defendant with it in place." *Issa v. Sch. Dist. of Lancaster*, 847 F.3d 121, 143 (3d Cir. 2017). At this stage, a court should also consider "the possibility of harm to other interested persons from the grant or denial of the injunction." *Reilly v. City of Harrisburg*, 858 F.3d 173, 176 (3d Cir. 2017) (internal citation and quotation marks omitted). "[W]hen considerable injury will result from either the grant or denial of a preliminary injunction, these factors to some extent cancel each other." *Del. River Port Auth. v. Transam. Trailer Transp., Inc.*, 501 F.2d 917, 924 (3d Cir. 1974).

²⁷ Testimony from Kim's campaign manager, Mr. Dion, further supported Kim's testimony about the timing of the action. Mr. Dion stated that as of late January 2024, "we had not made, in my summation, a final decision, because there needed to be other pieces brought together." (Hearing Tr. 380:23–381:7.)

Plaintiffs argue that, should the Court grant injunctive relief, any harm to Defendants would be minimal and would pale in comparison to the deprivation of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights. (Moving Br. at 51.) Plaintiffs assert that office-block ballots would be easy for Defendants to implement, as it is already regularly used in two New Jersey counties. (Id.) Not only is the required infrastructure already in place according to Plaintiffs, (Moving Br. at 52), but the two voting systems that are predominantly used in New Jersey, ES&S²⁸ and Dominion, have already been employing the office-block ballots in various elections throughout the state, including in some of Defendants' counties,²⁹ with the same software and vendors that will be used in the 2024 Primary. (Reply at 28-34 (detailing various elections that have occurred in New jersey using Office Block Structure entirely or Office Block Structure plus other structures in a hybrid format).) Plaintiffs provide the expert report and testimony of Dr. Andrew W. Appel, (Moving Br. at 51-52; V.C. ¶ 130–33; Appel Report at 2–6; Hearing Tr. 285:17–286:7), as well as the expert report and testimony of Ryan Macias to show that voting machines in New Jersey are capable of accommodating office-block ballots. (ECF No. 115-1; Hearing Tr. 92:11-96:19.) Furthermore, Plaintiffs provide the expert report of Edward P. Perez to show that changing a ballot's layout after the data has been entered takes just "a matter of hours," or one day at most. (Reply at 29, 35–36, Ex. C ¶ 27.) Plaintiffs emphasize that their requested relief would not eliminate counties' slogans, ability to endorse candidates, or right to associate by any constitutional means, and that the same election procedures must occur with or without a court order in preparation for the 2024 Primary. (Moving Br. at 52; Reply at 29.)

²⁸ In full, Election Systems & Software, LLC.

²⁹ Plaintiffs specify that some County Clerk Defendants have admitted to using office-block ballots, or incredibly deny knowledge of same. (Reply at 30, 33–34.)

Defendants, on the other hand, argue that Plaintiffs' lack of urgency in bringing the lawsuit negates any purported harm to Plaintiffs. (ECF No. 60 at 26.) As for potential harm to others, some Defendants argue that a change in the ballot design cannot be effectuated in time for the 2024 Primary,³⁰ while other Defendants state that imposing the change in such a short timeframe would be a significant hardship to election workers and officials. (ECF No. 16 at 5; ECF No. 26 at 2; ECF No. 44 at 9–10; ECF No. 51 at 40–41; ECF No. 61 at 49–53 (describing the 2024 Primary ballot as "particularly complex"); ECF No. 63 at 47.) Defendants provide a certification from Benjamin R. Swartz, the Principal State Certification Manager for ES&S, (ECF No. 60 at 26 (citing Swartz Aff. (ECF No. 46)); ECF No. 61 at 54 (same)), witness testimony from County Clerk Hanlon, (Hearing Tr. 358:19-364:9), and a certification plus witness testimony from David Passante, owner of Royal Printing Services, to support their arguments concerning the timeline implications of Plaintiffs' request at this stage of the election cycle. (ECF No. 53 at Ex. A; Hearing Tr. 257:12–263:5.) Additionally, Defendants assert that the change sought by Plaintiffs would cause chaos and disruption, destroying the integrity or fairness of the election. (ECF No. 26 at 1; ECF No. 50 at 25; ECF No. 51 at 41; ECF No. 59 at 17; ECF No. 60 at 24-26; ECF No. 61 at 55.) They argue that injunctive relief would not only cause voter confusion and distrust in the system (ECF Nos. 51 at 42, 65 at 15), but it would impose a burden on election officials to educate voters about the new design and potentially lead to disenfranchisement. (ECF No. 48 at 1-2; ECF No. 51 at 42; ECF No. 53 at 15; ECF No. 59 at 17; ECF No. 61 at 50, 53; ECF No. 65 at 15.) Defendants insist that injunctive relief would infringe upon the broad discretion of the Defendants

³⁰ Plaintiffs counter that even if revisions are necessary to the ballot, they will take a matter of hours or one day at the most to effectuate, not weeks or months. (Reply at 36.)

to design ballots in a manageable and understandable way, as well as the rights of various nonparties.³¹ (ECF No. 54 at 20–21.)

Given the extensive evidence in the record, and the relative weight the Court has assigned to each witness's testimony, the Court finds that the harm Plaintiffs would suffer absent an injunction well exceeds the harm that Defendants would suffer should the Court grant the injunction. Plaintiffs have put forth credible evidence not only that their constitutional rights are violated by the present ballot design used in New Jersey, which is used in no other state in the country, see supra discussion of irreparable harm, but that Defendants would suffer minimal harm in implementing the ballot design requested by Plaintiffs.³² First, Defendants' argument that they simply cannot implement the Office Block Structure is readily belied by the fact that two counties in New Jersey, Salem and Sussex, already use office-block ballots for primary elections, and that some of the other counties have used the office-block ballots for other elections, including in a school board election, nonpartisan municipal election, school board race, fire commission race, and general elections. (V.C. ¶ 55; Reply at 28-34; see also Appel Report at 2-6; Hearing Tr. 285:17-286:7; ECF No. 115-1; Hearing Tr. 92:11-96:19 ("[A]ll voting systems used in New Jersey have the ability to lay out ballots without the county-line style.").) Even considering the reduced timeframe in which Defendants would have to change the ballot design before the 2024 Primary, the evidence indicates that it can be done. (See, e.g., Perez Decl. ¶¶ 21–23, 27.) In fact,

³¹ Specifically, Defendants argue that the following rights and interests will be infringed: the state legislature's interest in organizing ballots in such a way (ECF No. 54 at 20); the right of other candidates to associate (ECF No. 54 at 20– 21; ECF No. 57 at 12–13; ECF No. 60 at 26); and the fundamental right of New Jersey's political parties to associate, which is particularly concerning because they are not named as parties in the lawsuit and thus their interests are not represented, (ECF No. 53 at 14–15; ECF No. 65 at 14).

³² The Court notes that assertions by Defendants that they lack knowledge about what it would require to implement a change in the ballot design or about how it works are not responsive to Plaintiffs' argument that the ballot design can in fact be easily changed.

the undersigned asked that exact question to Defendants' witness Passante at the Hearing, during

which the following exchange occurred:

THE COURT: So erase me from the equation and erase this entire courtroom. One of the county clerks, they decide their preference is office ballot, and they come to you and your company and say, This is how we want it done. You tell them No, get another vendor?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: It would be chaos or you would find a way to do it?

Do you see the difference between my question and the one that these guys have been asking?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: So what do you tell your client? What do you tell the county clerk when he or she says, We want this done. We made a decision that we prefer this ballot in this county for this election. Do you say yes or no?

That's my first question.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And you find a way to do it, correct?

THE WITNESS: One hundred percent, yes.

(Hearing Tr. 282:12–283:5)³³

The Court finds that the effort that it would take Defendants to implement Office Block

Structure in their respective ballots does not pose more harm than that suffered by Plaintiffs now

because of the existing structure. See supra discussion of irreparable harm. Moreover, the timeline

for implementing the change would not require the drawn-out process that Defendants would have

³³ ECF No. 191 points to a list of "unrefuted evidence in the record" that the suggested ballot changes cannot be implemented on time; this exchange with a witness called by the Defendants, along with testimony and reports from Plaintiffs' experts, squarely refute that contention.

the Court believe; rather, the evidence suggests that it would take not nearly as long. (See, e.g., Reply at Ex. C \P 27.)³⁴

In sum, the Court finds that Plaintiffs have shown that the harm to them absent an injunction exceeds the harm Defendants and other interested persons would suffer in the face of an injunction here. Accordingly, the Court finds that this factor also weighs in favor of granting Plaintiffs' Motion.

E. PUBLIC INTEREST

Finally, the Court must weigh whether the public interest favors injunctive relief pending the outcome of this litigation. "As a practical matter, if a plaintiff demonstrates both likelihood of success on the merits and irreparable injury, it almost always will be the case that the public interest will favor the plaintiff." *Am. Tel. & Tel. Co. v. Winback & Conserve Program, Inc.*, 42 F.3d 1421, 1427 n.8 (3d Cir. 1994). The Third Circuit has recognized that "[i]n the absence of legitimate, countervailing concerns, the public interest clearly favors the protection of constitutional rights." *Council of Alt. Pol. Parties v. Hooks*, 121 F.3d 876, 883–84 (3d Cir. 1997).

Plaintiffs argue that government compliance with the Constitution "should always be in the public interest, particularly where the fundamental right to vote is at stake." (Moving Br. at 52.) They provide the expert report of Dr. Pasek to show that the current Bracketing System can be outcome-determinative even when candidates win by double-digit margins. (*Id.* at 52; V.C. ¶ 127; Pasek Report ¶ 183.) Plaintiffs urge that injunctive relief is necessary to restore the power of the people to select nominees "without unnecessary government interference" and to instill confidence in election results. (Moving Br. at 53.)

³⁴ To the extent Defendants argue that the state legislature will be harmed if they cannot continue to organize their ballots using the Bracketing Structure under the current statutory framework, that argument fails because it is well-settled that there is no legitimate interest in the enforcement of an unconstitutional law. *Am. Civ. L. Union v. Ashcroft*, 322 F.3d 240, 247 (3d Cir. 2003).

Defendants argue that no fundamental rights are at stake, and Plaintiffs are acting in their own interest rather than for the public interest. (ECF No. 51 at 43, 46.) Defendants assert that, rather, the following public interests are at stake³⁵: an interest in allowing states to regulate their own elections absent judicial intervention, especially when intervention would require last-minute ballot changes, (ECF No. 53 at 15–16; ECF No. 60 at 27–28); an interest in allowing candidates to signal to voters their chosen political associations, (ECF No. 50 at 24; ECF No. 60 at 27; ECF No. 61 at 58-59); and an interest in the "orderly administration of elections," (ECF No. 53 at 16-17 (citing Passante Cert., ECF No. 53 at Ex. A); ECF No. 61 at 56; ECF No. 65 at 16.) Defendants additionally argue that injunctive relief should not be granted on the "eve of an election," as it would confuse voters, cause them to feel distrust, disenfranchise them, (ECF No. 51 at 45–46, 53 at 16, 60 at 28, 61 at 58, 65 at 16.) Defendants point Plaintiffs instead towards "multiple political remedies" that they can use to address their concerns, as well as the state Legislature as another option for redress. (ECF No. 53 at 15-16, 65 at 15-16, 50 at 23 n.5) Lastly, Defendants argue that current election laws have already been deemed constitutional by New Jersey state courts (ECF No. 51 at 43-45.)

Here, the Court has already found a likelihood of success on the merits for Plaintiffs as well as a showing of irreparable harm, including the likelihood of constitutional violations. *See supra*. The Court finds that the concerns expressed here by Defendants are not the "legitimate, countervailing concerns" to be favored over the protection of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights in such a situation. *Council of Alt. Pol. Parties*, 121 F.3d at 883–84. Although mindful of Defendants' various concerns, the Court finds they do not weigh more heavily than the public

³⁵ Defendants argue that the public interests at stake here require fact discovery before any injunction should be granted. (ECF No. 60 at 28.)

interest in having candidates running in the 2024 Primary presented on the ballot in a fair and equal manner that is free from unnecessary government interference. (ECF No. 192 at 4.)

Accordingly, the Court concludes that public interest favors granting Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. *Council of Alt. Pol. Parties*, 121 F.3d at 883–84; *Am. Tel. & Tel. Co.*, 42 F.3d at 1427 n.8.

F. SECURITY

Having concluded that a preliminary injunction order should issue, the Court turns to the final consideration under Rule 65: bond. Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(c). This is not a commercial case. Plaintiffs are claiming violations of their constitutional rights. Defendants have raised no more than speculative concerns that some counties may incur million dollar costs *if* technical obstacles force them to switch to vote-by-mail for the 2024 Primary. The Court finds that imposing a bond on Plaintiffs based on this type of speculation would constitute an unnecessary hardship on Plaintiffs. On balance, the Court therefore finds it appropriate to waive the bond requirement of Rule 65. *See Elliott v. Kiesewetter*, 98 F.3d 47, 59–60 (3d Cir. 1996); *Koons v. Platkin*, 673, F. Supp. 3d 515, 671 (D.N.J. 2023).

VIII. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

As a final note, the Court wishes to make clear that it recognizes the magnitude of its decision. The integrity of the democratic process for a primary election is at stake and the remedy Plaintiffs are seeking is extraordinary. Mandatory injunctive relief is reserved only for the most unusual cases. Plaintiffs' burden on this Motion is therefore particularly heavy. Nevertheless, the Court finds, based on this record, that Plaintiffs have met their burden and that this is the rare instance when mandatory relief is warranted.

For the reasons stated above, the Court will **GRANT** the Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Defendants' Motions in Limine will be **DENIED**. An appropriate Order will follow.

Date: March 29, 2024

ID N. OURAIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

REPRIEMED FROM DEMOCRACY DOCKER, COM

Exhibit D

	Case: 24-1593	Document: 10	Page: 95	Date Filed: 04/01/2024	3
		1	1	A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)	-
	1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW 2		2 3 4	MALAMUT & ASSOCIATES LLC BY: MARK ROBERT NATALE, ESQUIRE 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 500 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 For the Defendant Joanne Schwartz	
	 ANDY KIM, in his personal capacity as a candidate for U.S. Senate, ANDY 4 KIM FOR NEW JERSEY, SARAH SCHOENGOOD, SARAH FOR NEW JERSEY, CAROLYN RUSH and CAROLYN RUSH FOR CONGRESS, Plaintiffs, 	CIVIL ACTION NUMBER 3:24-cv-01098-ZNQ-TJB	5 6 7	CHASAN LAMPARELLO MALLON & CAPPUZ BY: KIRSTIN BOHN, ESQUIRE 300 Lighting Way, Suite 200 Secaucus, New Jersey 07094 For the Defendant Ann Grossi, Morris Cour	
	<pre>6 vs. 7 CHRISTINE GIORDANO HANLON, in her 8 Official capacity as Monmouth County Clerk, et al., 9 Defendants.</pre>	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING	8 9 10	CAMDEN COUNTY NEW JERSEY HOWARD L. GOLDBERG, FIRST ASSISTAN 520 Market Street Camden, NJ 08102 For the Defendant Camden County Clerk	·
	 and DALE A. CROSS, in his official Capacity as Salem County Clerk, et al., As Interested Parties. Clarkson S. Fisher Building & U.S. County 	thouse	11 12 13	MIDDLESEX COUNTY NEW JERSEY BY: MICHAEL WILLIAMS, DEPUTY COUNT County Administration Building 2nd Floor 75 Bayard Street New Brunswick, NJ 08901 For the Defendant County Clerk Nancy Pin	
	14 402 E. State Street, Trenton, New Jerse Monday, March 18, 2024 15 Commencing at 10:37 a.m. 16 BEFORE: THE HONOI		14 15 16	RAINONE COUGHLIN MINCHELLO BY: LOUIS N. RAINONE, ESQUIRE DAVID L. MINCHELLO, ESQUIRE MATTHEW R. TAVERAS, ESQUIRE CHRISTOPHER D. ZINGARO, ESQUIRE	
	 18 WEISSMAN & MINTZ BY: BRETT M. PUGACH, ESQUIRE 19 FLAVIO L. KOMUVES, ESQUIRE 220 Davidson Ave, Suite 410 20 Somerset, New Jersey 08873 For the Plaintiffs 21 		17 18 19	555 U.S. Highway One South Suite 440 Iselin, NJ 08830 For the Defendant County Clerk Paula Soll BARRY, SAHKADNIK, KOTZAS & BENSON,	
	Megan McKay-Soule, Federal D: 22 Megan McKay-Soule@njd.ux (856) 576-7094 23 Proceedings recorded by mechanical ster 24 produced by computer-aided tr 25 produced by computer-aided tr	scourts.gov nography; transcript	20 21 22	BY: MATHEW B. THOMPSON, ESQUIRE 212 Hooper Avenue Toms River, NJ 08753 For the Defendant County Clerk Scott M. C PELL, SHIVAS & BELL	Colabella
	25 United States Distri District of New J		23 24 25	5% JOSEPH J. BELL, ESQUIRE 150 Mineral Springs Drive Rockaway, NJ 07866 For the Defendant County Clerk of Warren United States District Court	County
		2	e^{-}	District of New Jersey	4
	A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continuer BROMBERG LAW LLC BY: YAEL BROMBERG ESOURE	d) 2	1 2	District of New Jersey I N D E X EXAMINATIONS	4 PAGE
2 3	· ·	d) 2 FROMDE		I N D E X EXAMINATIONS RYAN MACIAS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROMBERG	71 72
2 3 4 5 6 7	BROMBERG LAW LLC BY: YAEL BROMBERG, ESQUIRE 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 32 New York, New York 10036-7424 For the Plaintiffs SPIRO HARRISON & NELSON LLC BY: BRIAN M. NELSON, ESQUIRE JASON CHARLES SPIRO, ESQUIR MARISSA K. DEANNA, ESQUIRE 200 Monmouth Street, Suite 310	WED FROM DE	2 3 4 5 6 7	I N D E X EXAMINATIONS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROMBERG CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NELSON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES ANDY KIM DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI	71 72 152 156 158 164 165 201
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BROMBERG LAW LLC BY: YAEL BROMBERG, ESQUIRE 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 32 New York, New York 10036-7424 For the Plaintiffs SPIRO HARRISON & NELSON LLC BY: BRIAN M. NELSON, ESQUIRE JASON CHARLES SPIRO, ESQUIR MARISSA K. DEANNA, ESQUIRE 200 Monmouth Street, Suite 310 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 For the Defendant Christine Gio Can WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER BY: RICHARD WILLE, II, ESQUIRE GORDON J. GOLUM, ESQUIRE	EREVED FROMDE o Hanlon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	I N D E X EXAMINATIONS RYAN MACIAS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROMBERG CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NELSON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES ANDY KIM DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES	$\begin{array}{c} 71\\ 72\\ 122\\ 152\\ 156\\ 158\\ 164\\ 165\\ 201\\ 210\\ 6\\ 243\\ 245\\ 250\\ 250\\ 263\\ \end{array}$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BROMBERG LAW LLC BY: YAEL BROMBERG, ESQUIRE 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 32 New York, New York 10036-7424 For the Plaintiffs SPIRO HARRISON & NELSON LLC BY: BRIAN M. NELSON, ESQUIRE JASON CHARLES SPIRO, ESQUIR MARISSA K. DEANNA, ESQUIRE 200 Monmouth Street, Suite 310 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 For the Defendant Christine Gio Can WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER BY: RICHARD WILLE, II, ESQUIRE GORDON J. GOLUM, ESQUIRE 90 Woodbridge Center Drive, Suite Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 For the Defendant Somerset County GENOVA BURNS LLC BY: ANGELO J. GENOVA, ESQUIRE	EREVED FROM DE o Hanlon 900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	I N D E X EXAMINATIONS RYAN MACIAS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROMBERG CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NELSON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES ANDY KIM DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. FLORIO DAVID PASSANTE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MOUVES CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MS. DEANNA: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH: JULIA SASS RUBIN	71 72 152 156 156 158 164 165 201 210 5 243 245 250 263 280 284 285 287 302 309
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BROMBERG LAW LLC BY: YAEL BROMBERG, ESQUIRE 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 32 New York, New York 10036-7424 For the Plaintiffs SPIRO HARRISON & NELSON LLC BY: BRIAN M. NELSON, ESQUIRE JASON CHARLES SPIRO, ESQUIRE MARISSA K. DEANNA, ESQUIRE 200 Monmouth Street, Suite 310 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 For the Defendant Christine Gio Can WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER BY: RICHARD WILLE, II, ESQUIRE GORDON J. GOLUM, ESQUIRE 90 Woodbridge Center Drive, Suite Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 For the Defendant Somerset County GENOVA BURNS LLC	E HARION 900 Clerk E	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 16	I N D E X EXAMINATIONS RYAN MACIAS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROMBERG CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NELSON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES ANDY KIM DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. FLORIO DAVID PASSANTE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE DAVID PASSANTE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH: JULIA SASS RUBIN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH: JULIA SASS RUBIN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH CHRISTINE HANLON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SPIRO	71 72 152 156 158 164 165 201 210 243 243 245 250 250 263 284 285 287 309 310 311 331 331 335 335
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BROMBERG LAW LLC BY: YAEL BROMBERG, ESQUIRE 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 32 New York, New York 10036-7424 For the Plaintiffs SPIRO HARRISON & NELSON LLC BY: BRIAN M. NELSON, ESQUIRE JASON CHARLES SPIRO, ESQUIRE MARISSA K. DEANNA, ESQUIRE 200 Monmouth Street, Suite 310 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 For the Defendant Christine Giorcan WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER BY: RICHARD WILLE, II, ESQUIRE GORDON J. GOLUM, ESQUIRE GORDON J. GOLUM, ESQUIRE BY: RICHARD WILLE, II, ESQUIRE GORDON J. GOLUM, ESQUIRE GORDON J. GOLUM, ESQUIRE Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 For the Defendant Somerset County GENOVA BURNS LLC BY: ANGELO J. GENOVA, ESQUIRE RAJIV D. PARIKH, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE 194 Broad Street Newark, New Jersey 07102	E Hanlon 900 Clerk E d Passaic County Clerks RE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I N D E X EXAMINATIONS RYAN MACIAS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROMBERG CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NELSON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES ANDY KIM DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH: JULIA SASS RUBIN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH CHRISTINE HANLON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SPIRO CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SPIRO CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SPIRO CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SPIRO CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH E X H I B I T S	$\begin{array}{c} 71\\ 72\\ 122\\ 152\\ 156\\ 158\\ 164\\ 165\\ 201\\ 210\\ 243\\ 243\\ 245\\ 250\\ 263\\ 284\\ 285\\ 287\\ 302\\ 309\\ 310\\ 311\\ 331\\ 333\\ 335\\ 335\\ 369\\ 374\\ 375 \end{array}$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BROMBERG LAW LLC BY: YAEL BROMBERG, ESQUIRE 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 32 New York, New York 10036-7424 For the Plaintiffs SPIRO HARRISON & NELSON LLC BY: BRIAN M. NELSON, ESQUIRE JASON CHARLES SPIRO, ESQUIRE 00 Monmouth Street, Suite 310 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 For the Defendant Christine Giorcan WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER BY: RICHARD WILLE, II, ESQUIRE GORDON J. GOLUM, ESQUIRE 90 Woodbridge Center Drive, Suite Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 For the Defendant Somerset County GENOVA BURNS LLC BY: ANGELO J. GENOVA, ESQUIRE RAJIV D. PARIKH, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE DY ANGELO J. GENOVA, ESQUIRE RAJIV D. PARIKH, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE HARRISON Street Newark, New Jersey 07102 For the Defendants Essex, Union an BROWN & CONNERY BY: WILLIAM M. TAMBUSSI, ESQUIR 360 Haddon Avenue Westmont, New Jersey 08108	E Hanlon 900 Clerk E d Passaic County Clerks RE Democratic Committee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I N D E X EXAMINATIONS RYAN MACIAS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROMBERG CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NELSON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES ANDY KIM DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. FLORIO DAVID PASSANTE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH: JULIA SASS RUBIN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH CHRISTINE HANLON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH CHRISTINE HANLON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH E X H I B I T S Exhibit NO. DESCRIPTION Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 in evidence. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 4 in evidence.	71 72 122 152 156 158 164 165 201 210 243 245 250 263 280 263 280 284 285 287 302 309 310 311 331 333 335 335 369 374 375 Page 88 91 99 103
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BROMBERG LAW LLC BY: YAEL BROMBERG, ESQUIRE 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 32 New York, New York 10036-7424 For the Plaintiffs SPIRO HARRISON & NELSON LLC BY: BRIAN M. NELSON, ESQUIRE JASON CHARLES SPIRO, ESQUIRE 00 Monmouth Street, Suite 310 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 For the Defendant Christine Gio Can WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER BY: RICHARD WILLE, II, ESQUIRE GORDON J. GOLUM, ESQUIRE 90 Woodbridge Center Drive, Suite Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 For the Defendant Somerset County GENOVA BURNS LLC BY: ANGELO J. GENOVA, ESQUIRE RAJIV D. PARIKH, ESQUIRE RAJIV D. PARIKH, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE HARRISON C. CLEWELL, ESQUIRE Newark, New Jersey 07102 For the Defendants Essex, Union an BROWN & CONNERY BY: WILLIAM M. TAMBUSSI, ESQUIR 360 Haddon Avenue Westmont, New Jersey 08108 For the Defendant Camden County I FLORIO KENNY RAVAL, LL.P BY: EDWARD J. FLORIO, ESQUIRE 125 Chubb Avenue, Suite 310 - N Lyndhurst, New Jersey 0701	E HARMED FROM DE TO Hanlon 900 Clerk E d Passaic County Clerks RE Democratic Committee lerk BLIN LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I N D E X EXAMINATIONS RYAN MACIAS DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROMBERG CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES ANDY KIM DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. FLORIO DAVI D PASSANTE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH: JULIA SASS RUBIN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PUGACH CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PUGACH CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PUGACH CHRISTINE HANLON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH E X H I B I T S Exhibit No. Description Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 in evidence. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 in evidence. PLAINERCE	71 72 122 152 158 164 165 201 210 5 243 245 250 250 263 284 285 287 302 309 310 311 331 335 369 374 375 Page 88 91 99

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page: 90	6 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	5	1	7 please the Court.
		2	
2	EXHIBITS	3	Flavio Komuves, K-O-M-U-V-E-S, of Weissman & Mintz, for the plaintiffs.
3		4	THE COURT: Good morning.
		5	For the defense, I don't know how you're going to
4	Defendant's Exhibit 3 in evidence. 242	6	organize this, but you all should have figured it out by now.
5	Plaintiffs' Exhibit 10 in 289 evidence.	7	MR. GENOVA: Well, we'll do our best.
	Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in 343	8	Your Honor, good morning.
6	evidence.	9	Angelo Genova, Genova Burns, Newark, New Jersey, on
7		10	behalf of the county clerks of Union County, Essex County,
8		11	Passaic County, Cumberland County, Salem County, Atlantic
9		12	County, Hunterdon County, Gloucester County.
10		13	I think I got them all on the list.
11 12		14	THE COURT: All right. Good morning, Mr. Genova.
13		15	MR. PARIKH: Good morning, Your Honor.
14		16	Raj Parikh also of Genova Burns for those same parties.
15		17	THE COURT: All right. Good morning, Mr. Parikh.
16 17		18	MR. RAINONE: Good morning, Your Honor.
18		19	Louis Rainone, Rainone Coughlin Minchello, on behalf of
19		20	the county clert of Mercer County, Paula Sollami Covello.
20		21 22	THE COURT: Good morning.
21 22		22	MR. TAMBUSSI: Good morning, Your Honor.
23		23	William M. Tambussi for Camden County Democratic Committee.
24		25	THE COURT: Good morning.
25			United States District Court
	United States District Court District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey
	6	\leftarrow	8
1	(PROCEEDINGS held in open court before The Honorable	1	MR. GOLDBERG: Good morning, Your Honor.
2	ZAHID N. QURAISHI, United States District Judge, on March 18,	2	Howard L. Goldberg, Assistant County Counsel for Camden
3	2024, at 10:37 a.m.)	3	County on behalf of the Camden County Clerk.
4	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise.	4	THE COURT: Good morning to you.
5	THE COURT: All right, folks. Please be seated.	5	MR. NATALE: Good morning, Your Honor.
6	Thank you.	6	Mark Natale from Malamut & Associates on behalf
7	Good morning. We are on the record in Kim, et al.,	7	Burlington County Clerk.
8	versus Hanlon, et al., docket number 24-1098, for a	8	MR. FLORIO: Good morning, Your Honor.
9	preliminary injunction hearing.	9	Edwin J. Florio, Florio Kenny Raval, on behalf of
10	Before I address some housekeeping issues with counsel,	10	Hudson County Clerk, E. Junior Maldonado.
11	let me just have appearances of counsel, beginning with the	11	THE COURT: Good morning.
12	plaintiff.	12	MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning, Your Honor.
13	MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, Yael Bromberg on behalf of	13	Michael Williams, Deputy County Counsel for Middlesex
14 15	the plaintiffs	14 15	County on behalf of Middlesex County, County Clerk Nancy
15	THE COURT: You've got to stand. MS. BROMBERG: Sorry.	16	Pinkin. THE COURT: Good morning.
17	Yael Bromberg with Bromberg Law on behalf of the	17	MR. GENOVA: May it please the court.
18	plaintiffs.	18	Angelo Genova, also on behalf of the Burlington County
19	THE COURT: Good morning.	19	Clerk.
20	MS. BROMBERG: Good morning.	20	MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
21	MR. PUGACH: Good morning, Your Honor.	21	Mathew Thompson from Barry Sahradnik Kotzas & Benson on
22	Brad Pugach from Weissman & Mintz LLC, on behalf of the	22	behalf of the County Clerk Scott M. Colabella.
23	plaintiffs.	23	MS. DEANNA: Marissa Deanna on behalf of the Monmouth
24	THE COURT: Good morning.	24	County Clerk. Good morning.
25	MR. KOMUVES: Good morning, Your Honor. May it	25	MR. SPIRO: Jason Spiro from Spiro, Harrison & Nelson
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pag	e: 97 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	9 on behalf of Monmouth County.	1	11 from me additional time or dates to present evidence to the
2	THE COURT: Good morning.	2	Court, so I want to make sure that you use your time wisely.
3	MR. NELSON: And Brian Nelson, Spiro, Harrison &	3	And I'm telling you now, for both sides, if you have to
4	Nelson also on behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine	4	make adjustments, I strongly suggest you make them, because
5	Hanlon.	5	today is your day to present.
6	THE COURT: Good morning.	6	One issue on the bond: I think plaintiffs' counsel
7	MR. MINCHELLO: Good morning, Your Honor.	7	actually mentioned it; they didn't think a bond was
8	David Minchello from the law firm of Rainone Coughlin &	8	appropriate here, and I don't remember reading anything from
9	Minchello on behalf of the Mercer County Clerk.	9	the defense side even addressing the bond.
10	MS. BOREK: Jennifer Borek from Genova Burns on	10	So can I presume from the defense side that no bond is
11	behalf of the same counties as Mr. Genova.	11	necessary because there's no monetary component here, or did I
12	MR. GOLUM: Gordon J. Golum and Richard Wille,	12	miss it somewhere in the voluminous papers that have been
13	Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer on behalf of the Somerset County	13	filed in the last three weeks?
14	Clerk.	14	MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, we actually think it's
15	THE COURT: Folks, by the way, if you're outside,	15	premature. It would only be triggered by your entry of the
16	just project a little bit for the court reporter so she can	16	injunction, at which point
17	make sure she gets your appearance on the record.	17	THE COURT: Well, it's not premature, though. So I'm
18	MR. BELL: Good morning, Your Honor.	18	not saying I'm going to grant the plaintiffs' relief that
19	Joseph J. Bell on behalf of the County Clerk of Warren	19	they're requesting, but normally at a preliminary injunction
20 21	County. THE COURT: Good morning.	20 21	hearing and I'll get to this, by the way, folks, because
21	MR. PLACEK: Good morning, Your Honor.	21	for some reason some of the lawyers think that what we're doing here is unique to this case. It is not.
23	Jaime R. Placek, P-L-A-C-E-K, of DeCotiis Fitzpatrick	23	When a plaintiff files for emergent relief, this Court
24	on behalf of the Bergen County Clerk John Hogan.	24	acts and this Court acts quickly. So folks that have
25	THE COURT: Good morning.	25	actually practiced in federal court know this. There's
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	d C	District of New Jersey
	10	0	12
1	MR. TAVERAS: Matthew R. Taveras, Rainone Coughlin	1	nothing unusual about an expedited brief. There's nothing
2	Minchello, on behalf of Mercer County Clerk.	2	unusual about demanding that folks have to get into court on
3	THE COURT: Good morning.	3	time.
4	MS. BOHN: Good morning, Your Honor.	4	Basically the plaintiffs are saying there's a fire, and
5	Kirstin Bohn, Chasan Lamparello Mallon & Cappuzo on	5	they would rather us not wait for the house to burn down
6 7	behalf of the Morris County Clerk. MR. ZINGARO: Good morning, Your Honor.	6 7	before we put it out. So the bond issue is premature in that I haven't
8	Christopher Zingaro, Rainone Coughlin Minchello, also	8	granted the relief, but what you're telling me is that I have
9	for Mercer County Clerk.	9	to wait, and if I grant the preliminary injunction, then I got
10	THE COURT: Mr. Genova, is that everybody? Nope.	10	to deal with supplemental papers from 19 lawyers to say what
11	You got one.	11	the bond should be, if at all.
12	MR. CLEWELL: Harrison Clewell, Genova Burns, on	12	Is that the position? Because I disagree with that.
13	behalf of the same defendants as Mr. Genova.	13	MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, I would say that we're
14	THE COURT: All right. Well, that hopefully is the	14	raising it now because we thought it was premature. I
15	most difficult part of this morning, but we'll see.	15	understand the Court's view in the
16	Well, let me just say a few things, and then, if	16	THE COURT: What do you think the bond should be?
17	there's any housekeeping issues either from the plaintiffs'	17	Have you all talked about it?
18	side or the defense side, I'm happy to hear from you before we	18	MR. GENOVA: Well, Your Honor, I think it's going to
19	get started, but let me address a few issues right out of the	19	be a function of whatever costs may be necessitated by
20 21	gate. So first, let me say I appreciate the parties	20 21	whatever relief is ordered by the Court. So I don't know what
21	continuing to meet and confer on how you intended to proceed	21	that is yet because we don't know what the Court's order is. So that's why it's premature, and we don't mean to
23	today as directed by the Court, but I want to be very clear	23	burden the Court in any way. It just seems to me that that's
24	that you have today and today only.	24	a component of relief should Your Honor order some kind of
25	This is not a situation where you are going to request	25	change in the ballot design.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pad	e: 98 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	13	uy	e: 50 Date Filed: 04/01/2024 15
1	THE COURT: Yeah, but, Mr. Genova, bonds have been	1	the attorney general's filing of this letter on a Sunday, on
2	addressed in preliminary injunction hearings well in advance	2	St. Patrick's Day, the day before this hearing was
3	of whether the Court decides to grant or deny the relief, so I	3	scheduled when I scheduled this hearing on February 29th,
4	don't see what is so unique about this particular case.	4	2024, with plenty of time for the attorney general's office to
5	But what I'm going to my understanding, though, is	5	decide whether they were going to intervene or not intervene,
6	that the defense I should not presume that you agree there	6	and that could have been a one-sentence letter.
7	should be no bond. The defense's position is a bond may be	7	And so I have concerns about the prejudices to the
8	appropriate or necessary, but you haven't determined yet what	8	defense here if they believe that it's something that I should
9	the amount is because you're going to wait to decide whether I	9	not consider.
10	grant the plaintiffs' relief.	10	So my first question is: Do I bother considering this
11	Is that fair?	11	letter at all, or is it not proper before the Court because
12	MR. GENOVA: Well, that's fair, with one caveat.	12	he's trying to backdoor his opinion without getting into the
13	THE COURT: Go ahead.	13	case?
14	MR. GENOVA: Not knowing what that relief is, whether	14	MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, I couldn't have said it
15	you instruct anything with regard to that, we can't price it.	15	better.
16	THE COURT: How quickly can you turn around your	16	Our position is that that letter has no place in this
17	position on the bond if I grant the relief?	17	courtroom. The attorney general chose to drop a litigation
18	MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, I'd have to ask my client.	18	grenade in the middle of this proceeding. Worse than that,
19	THE COURT: Well, it's going to happen quickly. You	19	Your Honor has described this. The attorney general's
20	ask him.	20	represented in the case management conference in this matter.
21	MR. GENOVA: I would say it would have to happen	21	The question of intervention was raised in this matter. The
22	quickly, and I would say that we would have to get our act	22	attorney general took a position that they wanted their 60
23	together and get some number and then take a formal position	23	days under the statute to make that assessment. I'll
24	with the Court.	24	represent to the Court that we had no notice of what the
25	THE COURT: All right. Fair enough. So I'll take	25	THE COURT: But that was my next question. Did they
	United States District Court	G	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	Θ	District of New Jersey 16
1	this as your position, and I presume that's the collective	1	call you before they filed that letter?
2	position of all the defendants. Is that fair?	2	MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, I read it in a on the
3	MR. GENOVA: I think we can assume that what I say	3	internet. That's where I read it, and then I received their
4	today is the collective position until one of my colleagues	4	letter.
5	gets up and says otherwise.	5	THE COURT: Let me ask you this: I'm not going to
6	THE COURT: Fair enough. I appreciate that,	6	hold you to everything this morning because I know we want to
7	Mr. Genova. I've got another issue, though, but I'll let you	7	get to evidence. But is the defense intending to respond in
8	sit first. I've got to make a comment.	8	writing to the letter by the attorney general's office?
9	So yesterday I received a letter, which I'm sure all	9	MR. GENOVA: Well, the defense has to assess the
10	counsel is well aware of, from the attorney general of the	10	impact of the letter on their clients, and those conversations
11	State of New Jersey dated March 17, 2024, where he simply	11	are underway. I will say I can respond in this court
12	could have stated that he did not want to intervene in the	12	proceeding, beginning with the fact that I think, aside from
13	case, but he ended doing more than that.	13	all of the points that Your Honor made, they are not a party;
14	He opined on the constitutional clause before this	14	they didn't intervene; they didn't even attempt to appear
15	Court. And this is maybe more of question for the defense	15	amicus. I believe they're judicially estopped from taking the
16	counsel.	16	position they've taken here because they've taken an exact
17	My concern is that I don't even know if I should	17	opposite position in the Conforti case, and I can read to you
18	consider that letter at all. The attorney general is not a	18	from the record and
19	party to the case. In fact, he actually failed to intervene	19	THE COURT: I know the Conforti case because I had
20	and stated he was not going to intervene in the case.	20	it.
21	He has not moved to file an amicus brief, so he's	21	MR. GENOVA: Right, Your Honor. And in your
22	lobbying his opinion from the cheap seats without anything	22	decision and in your decision, you restated the position of
23	behind it. He's not here today, and he could have easily been	23	the attorney general on the compelling state interest that
24	sitting at counsel table.	24	supports the position of the clerks in this case.
25	So I guess my question for the defense is you know,	25	So for the life of me, I don't understand how, on the
	United States District Court		United States District Court
1	District of New Jersey	I	District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pag	e: 99 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	eve of this proceeding, the attorney general does a reverse on	1	19 going somewhere else but not in front of my eyes.
2		2	MR. GENOVA: Five pages each, Your Honor?
3	the merits of this case, having represented to this Court and	3	THE COURT: You all should start consolidating your
4	this judge a position totally different than what appears in this letter.	4	efforts a bit with the counties, but
5	I find it not only offensive from the defense point of	5	So that's all I have on the letter. But, Mr. Genova,
6	view; I find it offensive to this Court to introduce that	6	do you have something more on that issue? Because I'm not
7	matter at this time and in the manner that it was.	7	done, and we need to get through my housekeeping.
8	THE COURT: Here's what I'm going to do, then. We're	8	MR. GENOVA: No, Your Honor. We'll address it in
9	going to table I'm going to give you all until Friday to	9	writing. I don't want to belabor. I don't blame you. We
10	submit a response in writing with respect to the attorney	10	have a lot to say about the letter, and I'll say one thing.
11	general's and the threshold issue will be, first, do I even	11	Your Honor, it's for this Court to make the
12	consider anything he had to say other than we do not intend to	12	THE COURT: I got it.
13	intervene, period. Because what he's done after that, which	13	MR. GENOVA: So this Court
14	was not necessary under the Rules of Civil Procedure, was	14	THE COURT: It's my call. It's not Mr. Platkin's,
15	opine on the constitutional claims.	15	and he's well aware of that.
16	So that's going to be your first issue.	16	MR. GENOVA: Okay.
17	And then secondly, if you want to oppose	17	THE COURT: So regarding time today, let me just
18	alternatively, whether I consider it or not, that you disagree	18 19	briefly say that, because we have a lot of folks, we have a
19	with this position on the constitutionality of the ballot.	20	lot to do today, and like I said, you get one day here, so use
20 21	So and I presume, Plaintiff's Counsel, I don't know	20	it wisely.
21	if this is an issue on your end. Are you going to be	21	Regarding the time. Thirty-minute break for lunch. It
22	responding to the attorney general's letter by this Friday as	22	will be between 12:00 and 12:30. Counsel, if you guys are
23 24	well so I know what to expect?	23 24	presenting a witness and you think there is a good place to
24 25	MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, we certainly may, but just	24 25	stop let me know. I'm happy to accommodate that. But if you don't, I have to do a hard stop. Everybody needs to at least
25	by way of background, what first of all, we also did not United States District Court	25	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	_0	District of New Jersey
	18	Θ^{-}	20
1	have any notice of the letter until yesterday afternoon.	1	break. I don't, but you all do. My staff needs to break. So
2	THE COURT: When it was filed or prior to the filing?	2	I want to give you 30 minutes to do whatever you need to do,
3	MR. KOMUVES: No, when it was filed. There was a	3	eat lunch, clear your head.
4	new	4	If you need a break in the morning or afternoon, I'm
5	THE COURT: So we all got the notice the same way.	5	not going to know unless you ask. But if you want a personal
6	MR. KOMUVES: Right, right.	6	break, all you have to do is ask, and I'll accommodate.
7	So the this is the chief law enforcement weighing in	7	Otherwise, I'm running through this. So I'm not going
8	on the question of constitutionality of the statute in terms	8	breaking, but, Counsel, don't be shy. Ask me if you need a
9	of he took a position in <i>Conforti;</i> he effectively confessed	9	break or someone from your party needs a break, and I'm happy
10	error there. And I think it is very relevant, when you go	10	to give you five or ten minutes, whatever you ask for.
11	do when you go through the balancing of the government and	11	What else?
12	state interests, that you consider what the chief	12	The expedited schedule. I said this earlier, but I
13	law enforcement of the state chief law enforcement officer	13	want to be clear on the record about the expedited briefing
14	of the state actually had to say	14	schedule for this hearing because there has been
15	THE COURT: Well, I don't have if that's the	15	correspondence about that. There is nothing unusual about an
16	position of plaintiffs' counsel, and that's the position of	16	expedited briefing schedule when parties in this court have
17	plaintiffs, you respond by Friday to say, "No, no, Judge. You	17	requested emergent relief. When this is done, the Court acts
18	may not like how this was done, but you should consider it,	18	quickly.
19	and here's why."	19	Not now, not just in this particular case, in all
20	So I presume you'll take, you know, an opposing	20	cases. So any change that the plaintiffs are requesting such
21	position from the defense. But I want to hear it by this	21	relief, there's an expedited briefing schedule. Those who
22	Friday. I don't want any longer than that. I don't think it	22	regularly practice in this court know that.
23	will take much longer.	23	So any implication that the schedule I ordered is
24	And by the way, no more than five pages. I shouldn't	24	somehow unique to this case is simply without merit. And ${\rm I}$
25	have to say it, but there it is. You go to six pages, it's	25	said this before. If somebody claimed there's a fire, this
1	United States District Court		United States District Court
1	Office Offices District Court		

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 100 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	21		23
1	Court doesn't wait for the house to burn down and then go put	1	give an opening statement before you present?
2	it out because there's irreparable harm that's being claimed.	2	MS. BROMBERG: We would like to, Your Honor.
3	And you shouldn't read the tea leaves that, because we're	3	And also just another housekeeping item. As you know,
4	moving quickly, that the Court has somehow decided the issue	4	we started our session today at 10:30 a.m. At 10:37 a.m., a
5	on the merits.	5	supplemental certification was filed
6	What you should understand, though, is, when somebody's	6	THE COURT: Should I find out right now who filed
7	asking for emergent relief and has claimed irreparable harm,	7	something in my case while I'm sitting on the bench? I mean,
8	we don't wait until that harm is done to decide the issue.	8	I'm good, but I'm not that good.
9	So there's nothing unique about this. This is no	9	What is it?
10	different than any other case.	10	MR. NATALE: Your Honor, it was a supplemental
11	Let me talk about today's schedule with that.	11	certification from a witness from one of the election machine
12	Plaintiffs, you have the burden. You're the one	12	companies that explains why he can't be present today. And it
13	requesting this extraordinary relief, and you're well aware	13	lays out some of the logistical items that would need to take
14	that the burden is on you, and it's your intent to present	14	place if Your Honor enters an order.
15	evidence, I presume, today, or additional evidence to what	15	I think it's highly relevant. I understand that, if
16	you've already submitted to the Court.	16	Your Honor's order was that it's only everything up to the
17	I intend to only consider only consider what has	17	start of this hearing and then anything presented at this
18	been submitted to the Court up to this point and anything	18	hearing, I would argue that that was presented at this
19	additional that may be presented later today with the	19	hearing.
20	exception of that letter by the attorney general. I want to	20	THE COURT I think that's fair.
21	hear from the parties on Friday. I have not decided yet	21	Is there some objection to the certification?
22	whether I'll consider that letter or opinion at all on the	22	MS_BROMBERG: I have not had an opportunity to put
23	constitutional claims.	23	my eyes on it yet, Your Honor.
24	So I'm going to that is one issue that is before the	24	THE COURT: All right. We'll take a look at it. But
25	Court that I do not yet have a finding that I'm going to	25	if there's an objection, you can let me know.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
		N	
	22	1.	24
1	consider. So I want to be clear about that.	1	MS. BROMBERG: Okay.
2	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal	2	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some
	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court,		MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going
2 3 4	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to	2 3 4	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time.
2 3 4 5	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance	2 3 4 5	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want
2 3 4 5 6	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they	2 3 4 5 6	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are
2 3 4 5 6 7	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court; not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And then we'll have Congressman Andy Kim testify.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right. Is this something that Your Honor would entertain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And then we'll have Congressman Andy Kim testify. THE COURT: All right. And if we get through more,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right. Is this something that Your Honor would entertain considering on the papers, or do we want go through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And then we'll have Congressman Andy Kim testify. THE COURT: All right. And if we get through more, you'll let me know. If we get a break in the afternoon, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right. Is this something that Your Honor would entertain considering on the papers, or do we want go through THE COURT: There's really a dispute as to whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And then we'll have Congressman Andy Kim testify. THE COURT: All right. And if we get through more, you'll let me know. If we get a break in the afternoon, you'll let know the afternoon lineup or if any changes are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right. Is this something that Your Honor would entertain considering on the papers, or do we want go through THE COURT: There's really a dispute as to whether they're going to be qualified as experts today? Is that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And then we'll have Congressman Andy Kim testify. THE COURT: All right. And if we get through more, you'll let me know. If we get a break in the afternoon, you'll let know the afternoon lineup or if any changes are made. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right. Is this something that Your Honor would entertain considering on the papers, or do we want go through THE COURT: There's really a dispute as to whether they're going to be qualified as experts today? Is that the issue?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And then we'll have Congressman Andy Kim testify. THE COURT: All right. And if we get through more, you'll let me know. If we get a break in the afternoon, you'll let know the afternoon lineup or if any changes are made. MS. BROMBERG: Yes. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right. Is this something that Your Honor would entertain considering on the papers, or do we want go through THE COURT: There's really a dispute as to whether they're going to be qualified as experts today? Is that the issue? MR. GENOVA: Well, we were prepared and are prepared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And then we'll have Congressman Andy Kim testify. THE COURT: All right. And if we get through more, you'll let me know. If we get a break in the afternoon, you'll let know the afternoon lineup or if any changes are made. MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: What else? You all want to do opening</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right. Is this something that Your Honor would entertain considering on the papers, or do we want go through THE COURT: There's really a dispute as to whether they're going to be qualified as experts today? Is that the issue? MR. GENOVA: Well, we were prepared and are prepared to submit some court briefs with respect to each expert or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court; not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And then we'll have Congressman Andy Kim testify. THE COURT: All right. And if we get through more, you'll let me know. If we get a break in the afternoon, you'll let know the afternoon lineup or if any changes are made. MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: What else? You all want to do opening remarks, I presume, right? You wanted five or ten minutes to </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right. Is this something that Your Honor would entertain considering on the papers, or do we want go through THE COURT: There's really a dispute as to whether they're going to be qualified as experts today? Is that the issue? MR. GENOVA: Well, we were prepared and are prepared to submit some court briefs with respect to each expert or alleged expert, and we've preserved our Daubert applications.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>consider. So I want to be clear about that. I do want to advise counsel: Focus on the legal issues, the constitutional issues that are before the Court, not the political concerns that may have some importance to you outside these walls but have absolutely no relevance inside them. Those political concerns, however important they may be to you, have absolutely no bearing on my consideration or ultimate decision in this case. Plaintiffs, you must have an order for your witnesses, I presume, by now. Yes? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: Who is testifying just this morning? Give me the road map for this morning. MS. BROMBERG: We'll first have testifying Mr. Ryan Macias, who is joining us on the screens from abroad. THE COURT: All right. MS. BROMBERG: And he's really the first up. And then we'll have Congressman Andy Kim testify. THE COURT: All right. And if we get through more, you'll let me know. If we get a break in the afternoon, you'll let know the afternoon lineup or if any changes are made. MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: What else? You all want to do opening</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: But for now, I mean, unless there's some substantive objection to it, the timing of it, I'm not going to give counsel a hard time. By the way, there's an overflow room. So I just want to be clear. I'm looking back here. So for those who are interested, there is an overflow room where you can observe this argument or this hearing in Courtroom 5W on the 5th floor. But I just want to make a note of it as I see folks standing in the back. Those are my housekeeping issues. I'm sorry. From the plaintiffs' side, what do we have on your end? MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, the only question I have is just trying to gauge the Court's preference here is we asked the defense whether they would stipulate to the expertise of any of the expert witnesses we are presenting. They declined. That's their right. Is this something that Your Honor would entertain considering on the papers, or do we want go through THE COURT: There's really a dispute as to whether they're going to be qualified as experts today? Is that the issue? MR. GENOVA: Well, we were prepared and are prepared to submit some court briefs with respect to each expert or

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 101 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	25		27
1	Your Honor directed that we deal with issues here	1	THE COURT: Look, do you have documents today that
2	today. We can provide the Court with briefs. We defer to the	2	you intend to submit, or is this all just witness testimony
3	Court as to the protocol	3	that's being added
4	THE COURT: Well, I guess let me just get to the	4	MS. BROMBERG: We will be presenting the expert
5	first question: So the defense is not willing to stipulate	5	reports that have been
6	that anyone the plaintiffs are bringing to testify or	6	THE COURT: But those have already previously been
7	testifying by video is an expert?	7	I guess what I'm asking: Is there anything additional or
8	You are not willing to stipulate to any of those	8	something new, documentation wise, that you're presenting
9	qualifications; you're going to dispute every single	9	today that was not previously submitted to the Court?
10	qualification of each of the experts they bring?	10	MS. BROMBERG: There there may be a few.
11	MR. GENOVA: I believe that's the case. I believe	11	THE COURT: Right. So how is this any different than
12	that's the case.	12	certification that defense counsel has?
13	MR. NATALE: Your Honor, if I may, one of the things	13	MS. BROMBERG: As
14	that plaintiffs' counsel has raised in this conversation was,	14	THE COURT: I mean, what's the difference if he filed
15	Oh, but look at their Ph. D.s; look at their academic	15	it or he brought it with him today and said, Your Honor, we're
16	background.	16	moving to admit this certification?
17	We don't dispute that they are academic, but what you	17	MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, a certification that's
18	will find and what we plan to establish on	18	filed in response to a report offered by an expert needs
19	cross-examination that their experience and knowledge about	19	to be
20	design and the impact on elections is minimal, if existent.	20	THE COURS? Is it an expert report? Is that
21	So, while I'm sure there are plenty of areas and fields	21	certification from an expert?
22	and subjects of litigation where they would be experts, we	22	MR_NATALE: No, it's from a fact witness,
23	have a legitimate claim that they are not experts for this	23	Your Honor. It's a fact witness from one of the election
24	case, and I believe that binding the defense's hands in	24	companies that runs the voting machines in New Jersey. There
25	defending this issue would be extremely prejudicial.	25	were allegations made in a supplemental certification filed
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	b [∪]	District of New Jersey
			<i>'</i> 00'
		1.	28
1	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're	1	after initial briefing by defense counsel.
2	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today.	2	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering
	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi	23	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States,
2 3 4	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection.	2 3 4	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible.
2 3 4 5	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts,	2 3 4 5	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's	2 3 4 5 6	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week.	2 3 4 5 6 7	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already? MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, with regard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match. Are you guys done?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already? MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, with regard THE COURT: You got to stand up in this courtroom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match. Are you guys done? MR. PUGACH: Nothing further, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already? MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, with regard THE COURT: You got to stand up in this courtroom. MS. BROMBERG: I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match. Are you guys done? MR. PUGACH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already? MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, with regard THE COURT: You got to stand up in this courtroom. MS. BROMBERG: I'm sorry. Your Honor, with regard to the certification that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match. Are you guys done? MR. PUGACH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already? MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, with regard THE COURT: You got to stand up in this courtroom. MS. BROMBERG: I'm sorry. Your Honor, with regard to the certification that was belatedly filed after the start of our hearing today, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match. Are you guys done? MR. PUGACH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge. Judge, on the in limine motions, on the Daubert motions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you really have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already? MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, with regard THE COURT: You got to stand up in this courtroom. MS. BROMBERG: I'm sorry. Your Honor, with regard to the certification that was belatedly filed after the start of our hearing today, I would request leave at a minimum for us to be able to offer a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match. Are you guys done? MR. PUGACH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge. Judge, on the in limine motions, on the Daubert motions that Mr. Genova mentions, they've been e-filed with the court.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you reany have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already? MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, with regard THE COURT: You got to stand up in this courtroom. MS. BROMBERG: I'm sorry. Your Honor, with regard to the certification that was belatedly filed after the start of our hearing today, I would request leave at a minimum for us to be able to offer a supplemental response to it, not that I want to paper us even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match. Are you guys done? MR. PUGACH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge. Judge, on the in limine motions, on the Daubert motions that Mr. Genova mentions, they've been e-filed with the court. I'm happy to, if I may approach, hand a binder to the Court so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you reaily have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already? MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, with regard THE COURT: You got to stand up in this courtroom. MS. BROMBERG: I'm sorry. Your Honor, with regard to the certification that was belatedly filed after the start of our hearing today, I would request leave at a minimum for us to be able to offer a supplemental response to it, not that I want to paper us even more.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match. Are you guys done? MR. PUGACH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge. Judge, on the in limine motions, on the Daubert motions that Mr. Genova mentions, they've been e-filed with the court. I'm happy to, if I may approach, hand a binder to the Court so that Your Honor has it, or I can give it to Your Honor's staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make it easy. They're going to testify today. So, defense, you are not going to block their Tambussi with an objection. You are not going to make an objection. If you wait until Friday to brief why they are not experts, and you want to have a Daubert briefing done, then that's fine. We'll have it by the end of the week. So I think that's fair. If you reany have an objection there that you want to voice to the Court, then you can do it, but they're going to testify today so that testimony is before me. And I can determine later whether I consider it, not consider it, if they're an expert, not an expert, so I'll reserve. Fair enough? What else? Is there another housekeeping issue on the plaintiff's side, or can I switch over to Mr. Parikh, who's standing already? MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, with regard THE COURT: You got to stand up in this courtroom. MS. BROMBERG: I'm sorry. Your Honor, with regard to the certification that was belatedly filed after the start of our hearing today, I would request leave at a minimum for us to be able to offer a supplemental response to it, not that I want to paper us even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	after initial briefing by defense counsel. This election company is currently administering elections in multiple states, all through the United States, collaborated with them as quickly as possible. But the certification to rebut that the certification entered belatedly by defense could not be filed until this morning. THE COURT: Why don't we do this, Ms. Bromberg. You guys review you don't have to do it now; review it over lunch. If there's an objection, you guys will note it on the record this afternoon. MS. BROMBERG: Okay. THE COURT: All right? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Is there anything more from plaintiffs because I don't want to go back and forth. We're not a ping-pong match. Are you guys done? MR. PUGACH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge. Judge, on the in limine motions, on the Daubert motions that Mr. Genova mentions, they've been e-filed with the court. I'm happy to, if I may approach, hand a binder to the Court so

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rade	e: 102 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	29		31
1	later. I can give a copy to plaintiffs.	1	second time.
2	If Your Honor wants to deal with those motions later	2	Everybody understood?
4	THE COURT: This is regarding the expert testimony?	4	With that, let's go with opening remarks. I'm sure
5	MR. GENOVA: It is, Your Honor.	5	I don't know who's giving it from the plaintiffs' side, but who is giving the opening remarks?
6	THE COURT: I'm not going to deal with it today. MR. GENOVA: I understand, Your Honor. We had	6	MR. KOMUVES: I am.
7	proposed to plaintiffs that we file those motions on Friday so	7	THE COURT: All right. You may proceed when you're
8	that we could try to expedite this proceeding by narrowing the	8	ready.
9	issues.	9	MR. KOMUVES: Good morning, Your Honor.
10	But there was seven in limine motions seeking to bar	10	THE COURT: Good morning.
11	each of these experts, some of them because there was late	11	MR. KOMUVES: Sam Komuves for the plaintiffs.
12	filings. Clearly, based on Your Honor's ruling already, that	12	Your Honor, the design of the New Jersey's primary
13	one will most likely be denied.	13	ballots is an national outlier and a national embarrassment.
14	But there are, as Mr. Natale said, a whole host of	14	Since the early 1900s, our laws have said the way party
15	issues with those purported experts. We don't believe they	15	nominees are picked is by the voters in a primary election.
16	meet the test, the qualifications, and we've laid out those	16	Unfortunately, that's simply not a reality today.
17	reasons in the in limine motions.	17	Today the Court will hear from three experts: Dr. Pasek,
18	THE COURT: So you don't have to brief anything by	18	Dr. Julia Sass Rubin, and Sam Wang.
19	Friday. You have it all done.	19	They will give rigorous scientific evidence that
20	MR. PARIKH: It's done and already e-filed, or it	20	already confirms what political operators and
21	should be e-filed any moment, Your Honor.	21	politicians and candidates already know in New Jersey, which
22	THE COURT: All right. So why don't you submit it to	22	is that, because of the way our ballots are prepared and
23	my courtroom deputy, and that way she has it.	23	they're prepared at taxpayer expense these races are not
24	MR. PARIKH: May I approach, Your Honor.	24	presented to voters in an evenhanded way, in a constitutional
25	THE COURT: You may. Kim, thanks.	25	way.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	, <mark>0</mark> ,	District of New Jersey
4	30	1 A	32
1	Llow falles a swisk natar. Anythe dy standing in the brain	4	They haven't been in a long time, and unless this Count
	Hey, folks, a quick note: Anybody standing in the back	1	They haven't been in a long time, and unless this Court
2	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me	2	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election.
2 3	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone	2 3	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election.
2 3 4	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not	2 3 4	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't
2 3	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option.	2 3	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election.A competitor wants to run in our primary election.They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at
2 3 4 5 6	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the	2 3 4 5	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well
2 3 4 5	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I	2 3 4 5 6	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start.
2 3 4 5 6 7	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this	2 3 4 5 6 7	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in our district court. We expect a high level of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test. The burdens that on the candidates and on the voters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in our district court. We expect a high level of professionalism. You are free to zealously advocate on behalf	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test. The burdens that on the candidates and on the voters have to be weighed against the state interests.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in our district court. We expect a high level of professionalism. You are free to zealously advocate on behalf of your clients. We do not fall below that standard. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test. The burdens that on the candidates and on the voters have to be weighed against the state interests. Now, we talked a little bit about this before with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in our district court. We expect a high level of professionalism. You are free to zealously advocate on behalf of your clients. We do not fall below that standard. I promise you it will not go well if you do. That's the one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test. The burdens that on the candidates and on the voters have to be weighed against the state interests. Now, we talked a little bit about this before with what the attorney general thinks about the state interest,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in our district court. We expect a high level of professionalism. You are free to zealously advocate on behalf of your clients. We do not fall below that standard. I promise you it will not go well if you do. That's the one warning I give you all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test. The burdens that on the candidates and on the voters have to be weighed against the state interests. Now, we talked a little bit about this before with what the attorney general thinks about the state interest, what we argue about the state interests. But that's part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in our district court. We expect a high level of professionalism. You are free to zealously advocate on behalf of your clients. We do not fall below that standard. I promise you it will not go well if you do. That's the one warning I give you all. I know this is a very a highly charged issue. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test. The burdens that on the candidates and on the voters have to be weighed against the state interests. Now, we talked a little bit about this before with what the attorney general thinks about the state interest, what we argue about the state interests. But that's part of the balancing that has to go on, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in our district court. We expect a high level of professionalism. You are free to zealously advocate on behalf of your clients. We do not fall below that standard. I promise you it will not go well if you do. That's the one warning I give you all. I know this is a very a highly charged issue. I know there are a lot of folks here observing from the public,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test. The burdens that on the candidates and on the voters have to be weighed against the state interests. Now, we talked a little bit about this before with what the attorney general thinks about the state interest, what we argue about the state interests. But that's part of the balancing that has to go on, and their view on the matter has been has been made very clear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in our district court. We expect a high level of professionalism. You are free to zealously advocate on behalf of your clients. We do not fall below that standard. I promise you it will not go well if you do. That's the one warning I give you all. I know this is a very a highly charged issue. I know there are a lot of folks here observing from the public, but I give you that one caution. I won't give it to you a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test. The burdens that on the candidates and on the voters have to be weighed against the state interests. Now, we talked a little bit about this before with what the attorney general thinks about the state interest, what we argue about the state interests. But that's part of the balancing that has to go on, and their view on the matter has been has been made very clear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of the courtroom, the U.S. Marshals Service has informed me that you're causing a fire hazard in my courtroom. So anyone who is standing, not sitting, you have to go to 5W. It's not an option. So please just do me a favor and make your way to the overflow room if you still want to observe the argument, but I don't want the marshals having to come up here to clean this up. Thank you. All right. From defense, no other housekeeping issues, folks? MR. PARIKH: None, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let me just briefly state, before we get to opening remarks, you all shouldn't need this reminder, but I'm going to give it to you in an abundance of caution. Remember where you are today. All right. You're in our district court. We expect a high level of professionalism. You are free to zealously advocate on behalf of your clients. We do not fall below that standard. I promise you it will not go well if you do. That's the one warning I give you all. I know this is a very a highly charged issue. I know there are a lot of folks here observing from the public,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 acts, they won't be presented that way in the 2024 election. A competitor wants to run in our primary election. They might not receive the county line, and if they don't receive the county line, they may drop out and not compete at all, or if they do compete, their campaigns may be very well doomed from the start. Why is that? The evidence that we're going to present shows that the people that get the county line are beneficiaries of double-digit advantages just by virtue of how the ballot is designed, independent of other variables. And that thumb on the scale, again, thumb put on the scale by the Government, by the clerks, may not always be outcome determinative, but in many cases it will be at these kind of numbers, at these kinds of ratios. And that violates both the elections clause and the 1st and 14th Amendments under the Anderson-Burdick test. The burdens that on the candidates and on the voters have to be weighed against the state interests. Now, we talked a little bit about this before with what the attorney general thinks about the state interest, what we argue about the state interests. But that's part of the balancing that has to go on, and their view on the matter has been has been made very clear.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pade	e: 103 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	33	1	35
2	general's letter, the totality of evidence that we have presented and will present, it should be enough to convince	2	Office-block ballots of the kind seen in Figure 1, they're being used with the equipment we have today already.
3	Your Honor that we have a likelihood of success on the merits	3	So the Court is going to have try and figure out what
4	as that standard is defined in applicable law, again, on both	4	their claims of infeasibility are.
_			·
5	the elections clause and the 1st and 14th claims.	5 6	So that's on the issue of timing.
	Further, Your Honor, the Court will hear from three	_	And then, lastly, Congressman Kim will explain the nature of the timing of when this suit was brought, why it was
7 8	candidates for federal office: Representative Andy Kim,	7 8	
9	Ms. Sarah Schoengood, Ms. Carolyn Rush.	9	brought this way.
10	We're asking this federal court to make sure that their elections for federal office are run fairly, and of course	10	And just to give a couple of highlights here: He's an Article 1 representative. He knows that he can't come into an
11	there's also the materials from the amicus curiae and other	11	Article 3 courtroom unless there's been actual injury by
12	findings in the case.	12	virtue of the law standing. And he also knows that
13	These candidates will explain how they were personally	13	preliminary injunctions are a high bar, and you've got to come
14	harmed by the county line, by that ballot system. Once a	14	in with real evidence.
15	county line was awarded to an opponent, that constituted both	15	You can't come in with speculative evidence. You've
16	a necessary and sufficient injury to them under the	16	got to come in with historical and currently related evidence.
17	requirements of Article 3 for standing.	17	That's in the form of Dr. Pasek's report.
18	It's irreparable. Why is that? They get one chance to	18	Put another way, you can't come in too early, because
19	run for Congress this year. New Jersey has sore loser laws.	19	then you don't have standing, and it's not right. You can't
20	If they don't prevail on a primary, they can't turn around and	20	come in too late, because then, at that point, you get into
21	run as an independent.	21	feasibility concerns.
22	They get one shot, and they're asking for one shot at a	22	So there has to be a sweet spot when the case can be
23	fair ballot, and that's why these individuals are here today.	23	brought And this case was brought within that time under the
24	Further, Your Honor, our experts will explain that	24	totality of the circumstances, including getting the rigorous
25	New Jersey's election infrastructure can accommodate	25	science that Your Honor is going to hear.
	United States District Court	0	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	d'	District of New Jersey
	34	\sim	36
		Ι.	
1	alternatives to the county line that are to be presented in	1	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the
2	the upcoming primary.	2	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the
2 3	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot	2 3	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction.
2 3 4	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it.	2 3 4	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and
2 3 4 5	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got	2 3 4 5	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say
2 3 4 5 6	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be	2 3 4 5 6	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move
2 3 4 5 6 7	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on timing of when a decision would have to be made that could 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What am I going to hear today on Judge, if you're inclined to grant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on timing of when a decision would have to be made that could change the ballot system so that it could practically be moved 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What am I going to hear today on Judge, if you're inclined to grant this injunction, if you're inclined to change this ballot for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on timing of when a decision would have to be made that could change the ballot system so that it could practically be moved forward? MR. KOMUVES: So, yes, in two respects. So Mr. Macias and Dr. Appel will testify that, in essence, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What am I going to hear today on Judge, if you're inclined to grant this injunction, if you're inclined to change this ballot for this election, when does that decision have to be made so that this ballot can be changed in time, according to your witnesses who are going to testify?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on timing of when a decision would have to be made that could change the ballot system so that it could practically be moved forward? MR. KOMUVES: So, yes, in two respects. So Mr. Macias and Dr. Appel will testify that, in essence, the if the Court were to order a ballot comparable to what's up on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What am I going to hear today on Judge, if you're inclined to grant this injunction, if you're inclined to change this ballot for this election, when does that decision have to be made so that this ballot can be changed in time, according to your witnesses who are going to testify? MR. KOMUVES: Sure. So what our witnesses will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on timing of when a decision would have to be made that could change the ballot system so that it could practically be moved forward? MR. KOMUVES: So, yes, in two respects. So Mr. Macias and Dr. Appel will testify that, in essence, the if the Court were to order a ballot comparable to what's up on the screen, that that would not require new equipment, would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What am I going to hear today on Judge, if you're inclined to grant this injunction, if you're inclined to change this ballot for this election, when does that decision have to be made so that this ballot can be changed in time, according to your witnesses who are going to testify? MR. KOMUVES: Sure. So what our witnesses will testify is that you've seen in some of the affidavits they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive claims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on timing of when a decision would have to be made that could change the ballot system so that it could practically be moved forward? MR. KOMUVES: So, yes, in two respects. So Mr. Macias and Dr. Appel will testify that, in essence, the if the Court were to order a ballot comparable to what's up on the screen, that that would not require new certification. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What am I going to hear today on Judge, if you're inclined to grant this injunction, if you're inclined to change this ballot for this election, when does that decision have to be made so that this ballot can be changed in time, according to your witnesses who are going to testify? MR. KOMUVES: Sure. So what our witnesses will testify is that you've seen in some of the affidavits they explain all the steps that have to be done to prepare a ballot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive c'aims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on timing of when a decision would have to be made that could change the ballot system so that it could practically be moved forward? MR. KOMUVES: So, yes, in two respects. So Mr. Macias and Dr. Appel will testify that, in essence, the if the Court were to order a ballot comparable to what's up on the screen, that that would not require new equipment, would not require new software, would not require new certification. It is functional with the existing machinery. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What am I going to hear today on Judge, if you're inclined to grant this injunction, if you're inclined to change this ballot for this election, when does that decision have to be made so that this ballot can be changed in time, according to your witnesses who are going to testify? MR. KOMUVES: Sure. So what our witnesses will testify is that you've seen in some of the affidavits they explain all the steps that have to be done to prepare a ballot file with the candidates and the races and all this sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive c'aims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on timing of when a decision would have to be made that could change the ballot system so that it could practically be moved forward? MR. KOMUVES: So, yes, in two respects. So Mr. Macias and Dr. Appel will testify that, in essence, the if the Court were to order a ballot comparable to what's up on the screen, that that would not require new equipment, would not require new software, would not require new certification. It is functional with the existing machinery. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What am I going to hear today on Judge, if you're inclined to grant this injunction, if you're inclined to change this ballot for this election, when does that decision have to be made so that this ballot can be changed in time, according to your witnesses who are going to testify? MR. KOMUVES: Sure. So what our witnesses will testify is that you've seen in some of the affidavits they explain all the steps that have to be done to prepare a ballot file with the candidates and the races and all this sort of stuff. The testimony is going to be that has to be done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the upcoming primary. The opposition papers, the defendants are making a lot of noise about this, but here's the reality of it. THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I've got a question because I want to make sure it's going to be addressed today, right? I understand the substantive c'aims. Are you also planning on addressing the timing issue? MR. KOMUVES: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Because the defense has raised, well, to me at least, Judge, even if you were going to grant the preliminary injunction, you can't do it because it's too late. So do the plaintiffs intend to address that issue on timing of when a decision would have to be made that could change the ballot system so that it could practically be moved forward? MR. KOMUVES: So, yes, in two respects. So Mr. Macias and Dr. Appel will testify that, in essence, the if the Court were to order a ballot comparable to what's up on the screen, that that would not require new equipment, would not require new software, would not require new certification. It is functional with the existing machinery. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Now, at the end of the day, we are convinced that the Court we're satisfied that the plaintiffs meet all the requirements of a preliminary injunction. I understand Your Honor wants to rule quickly on it and all I would say THE COURT: Well, I don't know if I want to move quickly on it. I mean, I think you're putting words in my mouth. I think the question is you've taken the position that I have to move quickly on it. Right? And the defense has taken the position that I have to move even quicker on it than you're telling me I have to. So and by the way, it's all moot if I deny the relief. But the question has to be posed now because if I grant it, what's the time frame? So for you-all, what's your position on the time? What am I going to hear today on Judge, if you're inclined to grant this injunction, if you're inclined to change this ballot for this election, when does that decision have to be made so that this ballot can be changed in time, according to your witnesses who are going to testify? MR. KOMUVES: Sure. So what our witnesses will testify is that you've seen in some of the affidavits they explain all the steps that have to be done to prepare a ballot file with the candidates and the races and all this sort of

· · · · · ·	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pane	e: 104 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	37		39
1	anyway, regardless of what the Court rules.	1	Court to intervene, we would suggest, and enjoin the
2	And the ballot that the definition file has to be	2	pernicious practices of the county line ballot. And it can be
3	loaded into the voting machines by way of hard drives, it has	3	done, and it can be done in a way that the rest of the
4	to be uploaded to a printer. That's going to have to be done	4	country, and even two counties in New Jersey, are already
5	anyway, regardless of what the Court rules.	5	doing it.
6	The testimony is going to be that we're looking at a	6	And to some extent, as I said, it is even being done
7	day tops, a few hours, to change the layout of the ballots, of	7	today in New Jersey, and that's what we ask the Court to
8	the mail and paper ballots. That is going to be the only	8	grant.
9	thing that's different.	9	If you have no other questions
10	And so I think with the deadlines we're looking at,	10	THE COURT: No, no. I don't want to interrupt too
11	it's kind of two deadlines. One is a bit of a softer	11	much in opening remarks. I've got questions for the defense,
12	deadline; one is a harder deadline. So I have April 4th.	12	too, so it will be fair.
13	April 4th is the day the printer proofs are supposed to be	13	But no, I don't have any questions. I'll let you guys
14	prepared.	14	present your evidence.
15	We have explained, in my certification, the state	15	But let me hear from the defense.
16	courts have routinely, after that date, ordered new ballot	16	MR. KOMUVES: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	draws, have ordered redesigns of the ballot because it's not	17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	truly a hard deadline. It would be nice, it would be good, it	18	At some point in our rotation, Mr. Genova, will you get
19	would be appropriate to have it done by the 4th, but the state	19	into the timing as well?
20	courts have relaxed that, including 21 years ago in a case	20	MR. GENOVA: Absolutely. I'll start with it now,
21 22	where some of the counsel before that are here today were	21 22	Your Honor, if you'd like. I'll answer that question directly.
22	before the New Jersey Supreme Court that asked and	22	
23	successfully asked the Supreme Court to replace a candidate after mail-in ballots had gone out.	23	Giffe COURT: All right. Let me hear it. Are you going to tell me it's too late?
25	So there is flexibility	24	MR. GENOVA: I'm going to tell you that it can't be
23	United States District Court	23	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	-C	District of New Jersey
-	38	ę-	40
1	THE COURT: What's the hard date that you are	1	dana. Thatle what I we asing to tall you. It could be done
			done. That's what I'm going to tell you. It can't be done,
2	proposing?	2	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm
2 3		-	
	proposing?	2	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm
3	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that	2 3	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election
3 4	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that 1- propose and it's not entirely a hard date but I would	2 3 4	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done.
3 4 5	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that a propose and it's not entirely a hard date but i would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go	2 3 4 5	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't
3 4 5 6	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that i propose and it's not entirely a hard date but I would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45	2 3 4 5 6	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it
3 4 5 6 7	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that 1 propose and it's not entirely a hard date but 7 would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election.	2 3 4 5 6 7	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done?
3 4 5 6 7 8	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that a propose and it's not entirely a hard date but I would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that is propose and it's not entirely a hard date but I would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that i propose and it's not entirely a hard date but I would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that is propose and it's not entirely a hard date but i would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that is propose and it's not entirely a hard date but i would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that a propose and it's not entirely a hard date but I would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots nave to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that a propose and it's not entirely a hard date but I would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots nave to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that is propose and it's not entirely a hard date but i would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that is propose and it's not entirely a hard date but i would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other 49 states in terms of how their ballots are presented.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting in this courtroom.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that a propose and it's not entirely a hard date but I would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots nave to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other 49 states in terms of how their ballots are presented. And this is, just for your information, is a comparison	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting in this courtroom. But you will hear from Monmouth Clerk Hanlon, who will
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that a propose and it's not entirely a hard date but i would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other 49 states in terms of how their ballots are presented. And this is, just for your information, is a comparison point of the New Jersey ballots versus the way other states do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting in this courtroom. But you will hear from Monmouth Clerk Hanlon, who will describe to you and walk you through what it takes to put an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that is propose and it's not entirely a hard date but i would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other 49 states in terms of how their ballots are presented. And this is, just for your information, is a comparison point of the New Jersey ballots versus the way other states do it, 49 other states have seen fit to design their ballots.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting in this courtroom. But you will hear from Monmouth Clerk Hanlon, who will describe to you and walk you through what it takes to put an election today together.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that is propose and it's not entirely a hard date but i would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other 49 states in terms of how their ballots are presented. And this is, just for your information, is a comparison point of the New Jersey ballots versus the way other states do it, 49 other states have seen fit to design their ballots. And to put it another way, because of the elections	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting in this courtroom. But you will hear from Monmouth Clerk Hanlon, who will describe to you and walk you through what it takes to put an election today together. And the complexities of that, I believe, through their
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that a propose and it's not entirely a hard date but i would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other 49 states in terms of how their ballots are presented. And this is, just for your information, is a comparison point of the New Jersey ballots versus the way other states do it, 49 other states have seen fit to design their ballots. And to put it another way, because of the elections clause and because of the 1st and 14th Amendment clauses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting in this courtroom. But you will hear from Monmouth Clerk Hanlon, who will describe to you and walk you through what it takes to put an election today together. And the complexities of that, I believe, through their testimony and through the testimony of vendors charged with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that 1 propose and it's not entirely a hard date but 1 would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other 49 states in terms of how their ballots are presented. And this is, just for your information, is a comparison point of the New Jersey ballots versus the way other states do it, 49 other states have seen fit to design their ballots. And to put it another way, because of the elections clause and because of the 1st and 14th Amendment clauses really the Constitution demands no less in a fair ballot for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting in this courtroom. But you will hear from Monmouth Clerk Hanlon, who will describe to you and walk you through what it takes to put an election today together. And the complexities of that, I believe, through their testimony and through the testimony of vendors charged with providing the software and the like to construct the ballot
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that 1 propose and it's not entirely a hard date but 1 would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other 49 states in terms of how their ballots are presented. And this is, just for your information, is a comparison point of the New Jersey ballots versus the way other states do it, 49 other states have seen fit to design their ballots. And to put it another way, because of the elections clause and because of the 1st and 14th Amendment clauses really the Constitution demands no less in a fair ballot for voters and a fair ballot for candidates, and it's time for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting in this courtroom. But you will hear from Monmouth Clerk Hanlon, who will describe to you and walk you through what it takes to put an election today together. And the complexities of that, I believe, through their testimony and through the testimony of vendors charged with providing the software and the like to construct the ballot through their certifications they're going to reveal to you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	proposing? MR. KOMUVES: So I think the hard date that 1 propose and it's not entirely a hard date but 1 would argue that April 20th is the date when the ballots have to go out to service members and Americans living abroad. That's 45 days before the election. It's not really April 4th. The harder date is April 20th. But even that has been waived in some instances by a few days. So that's 33 days from now. And if the clerks need time, they have 33 days. That's that's our position, and that's what our experts will testify to. And so just in closing, Your Honor, we ask the Court to grant the preliminary injunction against the use of the office spot of the New Jersey line features. And this is an injunction that will bring New Jersey into line with the other 49 states in terms of how their ballots are presented. And this is, just for your information, is a comparison point of the New Jersey ballots versus the way other states do it, 49 other states have seen fit to design their ballots. And to put it another way, because of the elections clause and because of the 1st and 14th Amendment clauses really the Constitution demands no less in a fair ballot for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and it can't be done for 6,000 election districts. And I'm going to tell you, particularly in a presidential election year, it can't be done. THE COURT: Okay. Now, walk me through why it can't be. Or what am I going to hear today from evidence that it can't be done? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from county clerks that are going describe each and every step along the way in terms of what is required to get a ballot together, the design of a ballot, the printing of a ballot, the certification, the changes to software, the effect it has on the training of individuals, the cost associated with it. You're going to get an education, Your Honor, today in the machinery of elections in New Jersey. And you're going to get that from the people who are on the ground who do this, and who do this every election, and many of them are sitting in this courtroom. But you will hear from Monmouth Clerk Hanlon, who will describe to you and walk you through what it takes to put an election today together. And the complexities of that, I believe, through their testimony and through the testimony of vendors charged with providing the software and the like to construct the ballot

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 105 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	that it is not feasible, it cannot be done.	1	43 following. This is the legislative statement.
2	THE COURT: All right. So it sounds like I'm going	2	
3	to have conflicting testimony today, which is what I	3	
4	anticipate, and I'm going to have to make determinations on	4	
5	and make factual findings.	5	
6	MR. GENOVA: Correct, Your Honor.	6	5
7	THE COURT: All right.	7	
8	MR. GENOVA: Correct, Your Honor.	8	
9	So may it please the Court, Your Honor, I'm here with a	9	
10	bevy of barristers, as you can see, and we represent 19	10	
11	clerks. And I think it's important from the inception that	11	associational right that is vested in the individuals who
12	the Court understands who our clients are. These are public	12	
13	officials. They're Constitutional officers. They're not	13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14	partisans. They are not corrupted in their processes. They	14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15	are charged with the responsibility of administering the laws	15	
16	of the State of New Jersey as they relate to elections.	16	
17	As one of my colleagues continually says, they are	17	
18	umpires; they are not players.	18	
19	They are here in this litigation in their official	19	
20	capacity, and they're here because they're charged with that	20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
21	responsibility.	21	and to and candidates who choose the same designation or
22	We do not represent candidates. None of the lawyers	22	
23	here, except for Mr. Tambussi, who represents a political	23	
24	party committee, represent candidates. We don't represent	24	
25	party officials. We don't represent politicians. We don't	25	
	United States District Court	0	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	CX	District of New Jersey
		\sim	
	42		44
1	represent county chairs.	1	44 MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences,
1 2		1 2	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences,
	represent county chairs.	1 2 3	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to
2	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the	-	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to
2	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it	-	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an
2 3 4	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county	3 4	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will
2 3 4 5	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an	3 4 5	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter	3 4 5 6	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care?	3 4 5 6 7	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them. MR. GENOVA: I'll answer that, Your Honor.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend to a standard, courts tend to establish a robust factual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them. MR. GENOVA: I'll answer that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend to a standard, courts tend to establish a robust factual record to characterize an alleged burden.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them. MR. GENOVA: I'll answer that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. GENOVA: Okay. So it starts with what the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend to a standard, courts tend to establish a robust factual record to characterize an alleged burden. You said that. And you also said, Your Honor and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them. MR. GENOVA: I'll answer that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. GENOVA: Okay. So it starts with what the statute says. Okay? They're charged with implementing what	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend to a standard, courts tend to establish a robust factual record to characterize an alleged burden. You said that. And you also said, Your Honor and you said that correctly because you denied our motion to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them. MR. GENOVA: I'll answer that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. GENOVA: Okay. So it starts with what the statute says. Okay? They're charged with implementing what the statute says. And what gets lost many times is what the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend to a standard, courts tend to establish a robust factual record to characterize an alleged burden. You said that. And you also said, Your Honor and you said that correctly because you denied our motion to dismiss.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them. MR. GENOVA: I'll answer that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. GENOVA: Okay. So it starts with what the statute says. Okay? They're charged with implementing what the statute says. And what gets lost many times is what the statute says.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti</i> . You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend to a standard, courts tend to establish a robust factual record to characterize an alleged burden. You said that. And you also said, Your Honor and you said that correctly because you denied our motion to dismiss. THE COURT: Those cases survived.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them. MR. GENOVA: I'll answer that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. GENOVA: Okay. So it starts with what the statute says. Okay? They're charged with implementing what the statute says. And what gets lost many times is what the statute says. And I'm reading from the legislative history of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend to a standard, courts tend to establish a robust factual record to characterize an alleged burden. You said that. And you also said, Your Honor and you said that correctly because you denied our motion to dismiss. THE COURT: Those cases survived. MR. GENOVA: Right. The point I am making is this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them. MR. GENOVA: I'll answer that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. GENOVA: Okay. So it starts with what the statute says. Okay? They're charged with implementing what the statute says. And what gets lost many times is what the statute says. And I'm reading from the legislative history of 19:49-2, which you cite in <i>Confort</i> /and which says the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend to a standard, courts tend to establish a robust factual record to characterize an alleged burden. You said that. And you also said, Your Honor and you said that correctly because you denied our motion to dismiss. THE COURT: Those cases survived. MR. GENOVA: Right. The point I am making is this THE COURT: I haven't addressed those issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	represent county chairs. So any suggestions and arguments or otherwise that the folks that we represent put I think I heard I heard it said by my friend on the plaintiffs' side that the county chairs either put their finger on the outcome is an absolute mischaracter THE COURT: Why do they even care about the issue, then? I don't understand. MR. GENOVA: Why do I even care? THE COURT: Why do your clients care about the county line if it's not a political issue? Why don't they just say, Look, we are all about abolishing it. You just can't do it now, Judge, because the timing won't work because we can't administratively get this done. MR. GENOVA: Well, I THE COURT: It sounds like you're trying to distance them from the candidates or what that means for them. MR. GENOVA: I'll answer that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. GENOVA: Okay. So it starts with what the statute says. Okay? They're charged with implementing what the statute says. And what gets lost many times is what the statute says. And I'm reading from the legislative history of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GENOVA: Well, there is collateral consequences, and it may flow both ways, The collateral consequences to those whose associational rights might be compromised by not being able to bracket. And to the extent that they make an argument based on what I think is junk science, which will prove that somehow there's a primacy effect or the like, and we will attack those academic academic testimony, that becomes the balancing that you're going to have to asses and what those constitutional rights are. And I'll remind the Court you did that quite well in <i>Conforti.</i> You did that quite well. In <i>Conforti</i> you said: Where plaintiffs where plaintiffs' claims assert a legal burden rather than a factual burden, dismissal at this the stage would be warranted because further proceedings, discovery would not benefit the resolution of plaintiffs' claims or change the nature, magnitude of the burden imposed. When reviewing a case under the <i>Anderson</i> verdict, courts tend to a standard, courts tend to establish a robust factual record to characterize an alleged burden. You said that. And you also said, Your Honor and you said that correctly because you denied our motion to dismiss. THE COURT: Those cases survived. MR. GENOVA: Right. The point I am making is this

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 106 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	dispositively in either of those cases, though this is the	1	application on the eve of the election.
2	first time it's before me, at least in a preliminary	2	And under the <i>Purcell</i> standards that you'll hear about,
3	injunction phase. Right?	3	delay matters. Delay is pertinent, and the disruption that
4	There was no there was no emergent relief requested	4	could be visited upon the election.
5	in <i>Conforti</i> , correct?	5	THE COURT: Well, I permitted you to explore this
6	MR. GENOVA: No, Your Honor, which goes to the other	6	issue in this hearing. I mean, I didn't disagree with the
7	question that you asked about timing.	7	defense on that particular issue. I said it's relevant, but
8	THE COURT: Well, I don't know. The cases have	8	we're going to get into it today.
9	different postures. So, I mean, you'll get into timing today,	9	MR. GENOVA: Right. That's correct, Your Honor. I'm
10	but those candidates were about to go into an election,	10	happy to hear that we're going to get into it today, but I
11	correct?	11	want to go back to what you said in <i>Conforti</i> for a minute, and
12	MR. GENOVA: Those candidates.	12	I don't want to divert too much.
13	THE COURT: Had lost an election?	13	But the fact that the discovery that we're here
14	MR. GENOVA: They had lost an election.	14	today addressing a preliminary injunction based on expert
15	THE COURT: It was after the fact.	15	testimony that we have not, in the context of a preliminary
16	MR. GENOVA: Correct.	16	injunction, had the opportunity to test, will only get to test
17	THE COURT: So, I mean	17	by cross-examination.
18	MR. GENOVA: And another difference, Your Honor.	18	We've had no depositions of those folks. The Court is
19	There was a general election, as I recall, right? I think	19	going to consider what purports to be support. The whole
20	I think it was. I'm not remembering it as I stand here right	20	premise of this is that the constitutional burden is triggered
21	now.	21	by a ballot design that we have academicians telling us who
22	THE COURT: Yeah, but the posture of the cases were	22	haven't been deposed and haven't been cross-examined and who
23	different, is all I'm saying, Mr. Genova. That's all.	23	haven't been tested and haven't even been
24	MR. GENOVA: Right, and the plaintiffs were	24	THE COURT: So you're saying that your clients all
25	different. But, Your Honor, it was well within the minds of	25	of you were shocked by this issue, that, when that complaint
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	46		48
1			
	my friends on the plaintiffs' side at the time. They knew of	1	was filed, this is the first time you heard about county lines
2	this issue.	2	and folks opposing this issue?
	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that		and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor.
2 3 4	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and	2 3 4	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in
2 3 4 5	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties.	2 3 4 5	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you
2 3 4 5 6	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the	2 3 4 5 6	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for
2 3 4 5 6 7	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public	2 3 4 5 6 7	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel
2 3 4 5 6 7	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities.	2 3 4 5 6 7	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that comolaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor correctly indicated that the burden resides on these folks to establish that that relief in the context of this election should occur.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here, and if you don't give us experts, well, then you've timed yourself out, and you can't grant the relief requested by the plaintiffs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor correctly indicated that the burden resides on these folks to establish that that relief in the context of this election should occur. Now, as I indicated, this complaint parrots <i>Conforti</i> ,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here, and if you don't give us experts, well, then you've timed yourself out, and you can't grant the relief requested by the plaintiffs. So, I mean, I understand you haven't deposed anybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor correctly indicated that the burden resides on these folks to establish that that relief in the context of this election should occur. Now, as I indicated, this complaint parrots <i>Conforti</i> , and it was well within the mind Your Honor's very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here, and if you don't give us experts, well, then you've timed yourself out, and you can't grant the relief requested by the plaintiffs. So, I mean, I understand you haven't deposed anybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that comolaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor correctly indicated that the burden resides on these folks to establish that that relief in the context of this election should occur. Now, as I indicated, this complaint parrots <i>Conforti</i> , and it was well within the mind Your Honor's very familiar well within the mind of plaintiffs' counsel what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here, and if you don't give us experts, well, then you've timed yourself out, and you can't grant the relief requested by the plaintiffs. So, I mean, I understand you haven't deposed anybody. But you can cross-examine the experts here today, and you have your opportunity to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor correctly indicated that the burden resides on these folks to establish that that relief in the context of this election should occur. Now, as I indicated, this complaint parrots <i>Conforti</i> , and it was well within the mind Your Honor's very familiar well within the mind of plaintiffs' counsel what this case was about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here, and if you don't give us experts, well, then you've timed yourself out, and you can't grant the relief requested by the plaintiffs. So, I mean, I understand you haven't deposed anybody. But you can cross-examine the experts here today, and you have your opportunity to. And, to the extent there were experts that you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor correctly indicated that the burden resides on these folks to establish that that relief in the context of this election should occur. Now, as I indicated, this complaint parrots <i>Conforti</i> , and it was well within the mind of plaintiffs' counsel what this case was about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here, and if you don't give us experts, well, then you've timed yourself out, and you can't grant the relief requested by the plaintiffs. So, I mean, I understand you haven't deposed anybody. But you can cross-examine the experts here today, and you have your opportunity to. And, to the extent there were experts that you were well aware of in the past or know of them who would oppose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor correctly indicated that the burden resides on these folks to establish that that relief in the context of this election should occur. Now, as I indicated, this complaint parrots <i>Confortl</i> , and it was well within the mind Your Honor's very familiar well within the mind of plaintiffs' counsel what this case was about. And it's going to be our contention that these folks knew, as far back as November, of their intentions to bring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here, and if you don't give us experts, well, then you've timed yourself out, and you can't grant the relief requested by the plaintiffs. So, I mean, I understand you haven't deposed anybody. But you can cross-examine the experts here today, and you have your opportunity to. And, to the extent there were experts that you were well aware of in the past or know of them who would oppose their experts, I didn't prohibit you-all from having them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor correctly indicated that the burden resides on these folks to establish that that relief in the context of this election should occur. Now, as I indicated, this complaint parrots <i>Conforti</i> , and it was well within the mind Your Honor's very familiar well within the mind of plaintiffs' counsel what this case was about. And it's going to be our contention that these folks knew, as far back as November, of their intentions to bring this application and waited four months to bring this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here, and if you don't give us experts, well, then you've timed yourself out, and you can't grant the relief requested by the plaintiffs. So, I mean, I understand you haven't deposed anybody. But you can cross-examine the experts here today, and you have your opportunity to. And, to the extent there were experts that you were well aware of in the past or know of them who would oppose their experts, I didn't prohibit you-all from having them testify or even testifying by video conference.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this issue. They this complaint parrots almost identically that complaint. The only differences between that complaint and this complaint are the parties. The other point I wanted to make in addition to the type of who it is we're representing is the public officials, and, as you know, Your Honor, they're elected by voters to serve in these administrative capacities. They're the ones and you'll learn of this today. They're the ones that have to face the everyday challenges that go with administering elections, and you're going to hear from them as to what challenges any relief would pose in the context of this election. And we rely heavily on <i>Purcell</i> , and Your Honor correctly indicated that the burden resides on these folks to establish that that relief in the context of this election should occur. Now, as I indicated, this complaint parrots <i>Confortl</i> , and it was well within the mind Your Honor's very familiar well within the mind of plaintiffs' counsel what this case was about. And it's going to be our contention that these folks knew, as far back as November, of their intentions to bring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and folks opposing this issue? MR. GENOVA: No, no, no, Your Honor. THE COURT: And now you I mean, I said this in advance, but I'm going to say it again. I'm not giving you three months to get experts if you're really telling me, for the first time, this is an issue of first impression for all of you sitting there. There's a lot of well-known counsel sitting at table that know this is a hot issue that's been out there before. I'm not giving you three months to get experts so that you can force the Court not to be able to decide a particular issue by saying, Well, now it's too late, Judge, you know. MR. GENOVA: No, no THE COURT: You have to decide this issue by here, and if you don't give us experts, well, then you've timed yourself out, and you can't grant the relief requested by the plaintiffs. So, I mean, I understand you haven't deposed anybody. But you can cross-examine the experts here today, and you have your opportunity to. And, to the extent there were experts that you were well aware of in the past or know of them who would oppose their experts, I didn't prohibit you-all from having them

1 If you didn't bring them, you didn't bring them. 1 to be brought. 2 MR. GENOVA: Your thoor, you're going to continue in MR. GENOVA: You thoor, you're going to continue in Amerikan and the second to the second		Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pade	e: 107 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
2 Ms. CEROVA: Your thoor, you're going to contrue in this particular synthey can be experted to the and the synthey induction in the server is the are involved in that case, freely gave consent				51
 3 this proceeding as your choose, and as you've just described, it is prefectly appropriate in a preliminary injunction bearing: The point I'm attempting to make with Your Honor is simply this: You asked the question, Did we know? Well, serveybody knew because there was the <i>ComBeV/Clase</i> that				-
4 It is particulty appropriate in a preliminary injunction bearing. 4 are involved in that case, freely gave consent The point I'm attempting to make with Your Honor is The point I'm attempting to make with Your Honor is the everydody hew because there was the <i>Caracter/careat</i> The COURT: I'm safety gave caracter the angistrate judge who is gavering the practices of this particular case. Na? 7 imply this: You saked the question, Did we know? Weil, everydody hew because there was the <i>Caracter/Careat</i> 7 MR. CENOUX: I'm taiking about <i>Caracter/-</i> The count: They fee the one lingated in this state, they value dicking those, at least for application <i>Caracter/Careat</i> 7 MR. CENOUX: I'm saying the practices of this particular case. Na? 16 purptees of <i>Canacter/Careat</i> 11 Will - 17 application <i>Caracter/Careat</i> 11 Will - 18 they value case there's a segnate judge handing it. 11 MR. CENOUX: I'm saying ware - we are in the 13 11 19 They count: And there were not discussions about the 11 11 11 11 11 11 they value and that's who'r maximum the discussions about the 13 12 MR Acenova: No. 12 11 12 11 12 12 12 12 12 14 14 14 <				
5 The Joint I'm attempting to make with Your Honor is simply this: You asked the question, Did we know? Well, everybody New Decause there was the <i>Carbor/Clase</i> that				
6 The point Tim attempting to make with Your Honor is simply this: You asked the question, Did we know? Weil, everybody knew because there was the Cantor/reset that the sisce was there, but had it ever been ittigated? MR. GENOVA: Tim talking about Cantor/r/- MR. GENOVA: Tim talking about Cantor/r/- MR. GENOVA: Tim talking about the case. No? 11 there's been an opposite finding, and Your Honor has cited a state court decision on the very issue that deferred to the purposes of Cantor/r. MR. GENOVA: Tim talking about Cantor/r/- WR. GENOVA: Tim relia, your Honor has applications were rooted in reason and determined if they application graphinany injunction application. They're the one that manufactured the exigence in the identical datase. They have to priminary injunction application. They're the one that manufactured the application - in the identical datase. They have taken no depositons in that case. 12 The COURT: And there were no discussions about the issue? MR. GENOVA: No. United States Debric Court Destrict of New Jersey 26 MR. GENOVA: No. United States Debric Court Destrict of New Jersey So the point to all this, Your Honor, what the evidence of that. So the point to all this, Your Honor, what the evidence is going is to show the court. I con't know yet. That's wing any negotibions, weren't talking about to that is any the like. So 14 But was in the contenplation and with it was in the contenplation set and the way and the all the were and allohtfly course alloh the decision and the were of as that the was in porticin to so were the adverse the section. 15 MR. GENOVA: Now Yet. That's why I'm asking is to show it th			_	
7 simply this: You asked the question, Did we know? Well, a verybody knew because there was the <i>Conford</i> case that by this issue was there, but all ever ben litigated? 7 MR. GENOVA: There is, Your Hencr. You're not - 1 10 The fact, when it's bean litigated in this stat, dicks if the decisions were noted in reason and determined that you weren't going to be following those, at least for dicks if their preliminary injunction injunction or interim relief under <i>Conform</i> and the election. 10 MR. GENOVA: There is, Your Hencr. You're not - 1 11 their seven the ist beir preliminary injunction injunction or interim relief under <i>Conform</i> or nay injunction or interim relief under <i>Conform</i> for the identical lissue, on the identical claims. Yet we're stimp here while lissue? 16 MR. GENOVA: There is, Your Hencr. You're not is solut on the weeds to bring this application they wated in the weeds to bring the paleit (loss to the lissue? 50 11 THE COURT: Mere Saving they held it close to the lissue? 50 12 Vee Naw Saves Diskier Court United States Diskier Court 10 13 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why Tim asking i to chone the wate any the plaint the's warts going to mark with solut it, solut the wise save that was tho point me tosomething, and i to the coure on the plaint the's cou		5	_	
3 everybody knew because there was the <i>conterr</i> /case that	_		-	
 9 this issue was there, but had it ever been inigated? In fact, when it's been inigated in this state, 11 there's been an opposite finding, and Your Honor has cited a 12 state court decision on the very issue that deferred to the 13 clerks if there decisions were rooked in reason and determined 14 that you werent going to be following those, at least for 15 purposes of Controv. 16 They knew. It's their preliminary injunction 17 application. They're the ones that manufactured the election. 19 They could have brought a preliminary injunction or any 10 injunction rinkerim relife under Controv moth te identical 12 issue, on the identical clams. Yet we're sitting here while 14 they waited in the weeds to bring this application 15 They could have brought a preliminary injunction or any 10 injunction interim relife under Controv moth te identical 12 issue? 13 THE COURT: And there were no discussions about the 14 that these particular candidates warket to address if, werent 15 but of New Jensey 14 that these particular candidates warket to address if, werent 15 but on New Jensey 16 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the 16 that discusse Diskind Court 17 They issue for the printing they may list to be 18 to discusse this is point and the werent taiking about it. 18 the close to the primary, they thought, Lars file the 19 vou, because this is compliant close to the way, just to be 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why The asking 11 the was deeled, they is an inthe assort they have to scurry 19 appenstance, but diarupt that election, by design or by 11 the was deeled, by design or by 11 the scuese this before this courd baba. 12 the state now taiking about it. 13 because this begin the paint the simplify is ones this, you Honora, sub scritter. 14 We have scales about 15 puipted the fact that this is lightly one going to bary this? 15 puipted the paint the simplify course and the way. 16 THE COURT: I don't know yet. 17 the' reaction the paint the simplify t				
10 In fact, when it's been ittigated in this state, states state course decision of the very issue that deferred to the state and position in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that deferred to the state in the very issue that the very issue that the state in the very issue that the very i				
11 there's been an opposite finding, and Your Honor has cited a 11 will 12 state court decision on the very issue that deferred to the 12 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not you have to give me 13 state court decision on the very issue that deferred to the 12 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not you have to give me 14 that you weren't going to be following those, at least for 12 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not you have to give me 15 purposes of Com/or// the bring us of Com/or// into the identical 14 16 They could have brought a preliminary injunction or any 13 that discover, we consented to an extension of 16 the bring us here at this hour shortly before the election. 14 that was in the could any. Free sitting here while 16 they walked in the weeds to bring this application 13 that COURT: You're saying they held it Cose to the 17 THE COURT: Now Ease District Court 20 20 20 18 that they same at this hour court District New Jensey 21 compelling under the Azecovitanders, is this isn't just 20 the weeds to bring they held it Cose to the 20 20 20 14 they was a design. This w				
12 THE COURT: Yeah. The save of year me 13 derks if their decisions were rooted in reason and determined 13 what the point is. I am misunderstanding. I thought you're 14 that you weren't going to be following those, at least for 14 saying Th in the middle of discovery in Cxx70x7; and that's 15 purposes of Cxx70x72 15 just not the case. Ther's a sparate judge handling it. 16 They knew. It's their preliminary injunction 16 MR, GENOVA: I'm saying vare	11	-	11	
 13 clerks if their decisions were noted in reason and determined that you weren't going to be following those, at least for purposes of CanterX. 14 that you weren't going to be following those, at least for papelication. They're the ones that manufactured the exigency injunction or interim reliance in the exigency indice of CanterX discovery. We chaves these experts in that case. 19 We have seen no experts. We haven't seen these experts in that case. 10 Kenter were no discussions about the issue? 20 MR. GENOVA: No. United States Distric Caur District of New Jersey 21 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing is optic to New Jersey 20 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing is optic to New Jersey 22 vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing is optic to hear with existence. 30 MR. GENOVA: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing is optic to show it converting and the subters the source of an election to disrup that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrup that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrup that election and put all these is oblow it that it was in the contemplation and the or debate, Till convers who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to point me to something, ant I is onto mean witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to point me to something, ant I is on the arrative. 31 The courts of what you're also saying is going to matter is olve of that is was in the exidence. 31 The evi	12		12	THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not you have to give me
14 that you weren't going to be following those, at least for 14 saying Tim In the middle of discoury in Convariant dustry	13		13	
16 They knew. It's their preliminary injunction 17 application. They're the ones that manufactured the exigency. 17 application. They're the ones that manufactured the exigency. We have seen no experts. We haven't case. 19 They could have brought a preliminary injunction or any injunction or interim relief under <i>Confort/I</i> this discovery. We have seen no experts. We haven't coss-examined, 20 injunction or interim relief under <i>Confort/I</i> the identical 21 issue, on the identical claims. Yet we're sitting here while 22 they walted in the weeds to bring this application - 23 THE COURT: And there were no discussions about the 24 issue? 25 MR, GENOVA: No. 26 1 27 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to they standards, is this light own and that "what's going to come out in today's heaving, 1 28 that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't 1 30 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the evidence is going to bar with seas a design. This was orchestrated. This was 1 30 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the evidence. 2 41 advaure going to goart the primary, they thought, Lai's file the laisous. 3 31 THE C	14	that you weren't going to be following those, at least for	14	
 application. They're the ones that manufactured the exigency to bring us here at this hour shortly before the election. by They could have brought a preliminary injunction or any injunction or interim relief under <i>Candord</i> discovery. We have taken no depositions in that case. by Have could have brought a preliminary injunction or any interim relief under <i>Candord</i> discovery. We have no experts. We haven't seen these experts in 20 injunction or interim relief under <i>Candord</i> discovery in the identical calms. Yet we're saling here while it is used on the identical calms. Yet we're saling to be filed, 21 and pair regiong to get evidence of that. THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vare your going to care out in today's hearing, it is an intery intervent taiking about it. They have done a very good it is evidence. that these particular candidates wanted to address if, wern't is any any negotiations, weren't taiking about it. They 'ne going to point me to something, am I HE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking it you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, yus to be advecuse this is opening remarks, by the way, yus to be advecuse this is any ingoing to point me to something, am I He widence. going to hear withesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to point me to something, am I the vidence of this evidence. going to hear withesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to point me to something, am I the vidence of the widence solut it you're going to point me to something, am I the vidence. going to hear withesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to point me to something, am I the vidence of this evidence. going to hear withesses who are actually gong to say th	15	purposes of <i>Conforti</i> .	15	just not the case. There's a separate judge handling it.
 18 to bring us here at this hour shortly before the election. 19 They could have brought a preliminary injunction or any injunction or interim relief under <i>Carvar/standards</i>. It has a set for an extension of discovery in that case. 19 We have seen no experts. We haven't cess-examined, and plaint(s²) coursel asked for an extension of discovery in that case. 11 COURT: And there were no discussions about the issue? 12 MR. GENOVA: No. 11 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't having any negotiations, weren't talking about it. They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, L25 file the lawsuit. 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking it you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 11 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. 12 clear. None of that you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 13 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. 14 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to a solver was delayed in <i>Carabril</i> without talking about the fact that this litigation was going <i>Unied States Distric Court</i> 15 that ik was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be the place it gets resolved? 16 MR GENOVA: You're going to solven yus delayed in <i></i>	16	They knew. It's their preliminary injunction	16	MR. GENOVA: I'm saying we are we are in the
 19 They could have brought a preliminary injunction or any injunction or interim relief under <i>Confort</i> on the identical claims. Yet were stitting here while they waited in the weeds to bring this application 21 THE COURT: And there were no discussions about the issue? 25 MR. GENOVA: No. United States District Court District of New Jarsey 26 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the year of the year	17	application. They're the ones that manufactured the exigency	17	middle of <i>Conforti</i> discovery. We consented to an extension of
 injunction or interim relief under <i>Context</i> on the identical claims. Yet we're sitting here while they waited in the weeks to bring this application	18	to bring us here at this hour shortly before the election.	18	that discovery. We have taken no depositions in that case.
 1 issue, on the identical claims. Yet we're sitting here while 21 issue, on the identical claims. Yet we're sitting here while 22 they waited in the weeds to bring this application 23 THE COURT: And there were no discussions about the 24 issue? 25 MR. GENOVA: No. 26 UT COURT: You're saying they held it close to the 27 Uries going to zeme out in today's hearing. 28 that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't 4 having any negotiations, weren't talking about it. 5 They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as 18 it go closer to the primary, they thought, tat's file the 19 to show 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 10 the cost of the you're also saying is going to matter 11 you, because non of it is evidence. 12 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 13 But if you're also saying is going to matter 14 but if you're also saying is going to matter 15 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 16 because none of it is evidence. 17 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, 18 but ermails and the like. 19 They're going to sole wout that was in the 10 the vidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 21 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 22 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 24 pople talking about the diff. Sourcel, in combination with 25 They're solong to see emails and evidence and speak to 26 Pople talking about the diff. Sourcel, in combination with 27 that it key diff the evidence and speak to 28 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 29 They're revidence of the as a they exist today, and <	19	They could have brought a preliminary injunction or any	19	We have seen no experts. We haven't seen these experts in
 they waited in the weeds to bring this application THE COURT: And there were no discussions about the issue? MR. GENOVA: No. United States Distric Court District of New Jersey THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's heating, that these particular candidates wanted to address it weren't having any negotiations, weren't talking about it. They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Ley's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, vinat the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking that it evidence. Buit if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to point me to something, am I the evidence that the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. They're going to shew you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that the fact that this littigation was going united States Distric Court Without talking about the fact that this littigation was going united States Distric Court They're regoing to see emails and evidence and speak	20	injunction or interim relief under <i>Conforti</i> on the identical	20	that case.
 THE COURT: And there were no discussions about the issue? THE COURT: And there were no discussions about the issue? MR. GENOVA: No. United States District Court District of New Jersey THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't having any negotiations, weren't talking about it. They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, tor's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking it you, because this is opting remarks, by the way, just to be got it is evidence. MR. GENOVA: You're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses, that are not matter of debate, I'll concede that. But mais and the like. They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, that the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this. You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to the evidence that they langtfis' counsel, in combination with at the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this. You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to the evidence that they langtfis' counsel, in combination with at the slogans to got the fact that this litigation was going to as they exist today, and they is legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States Distric Court 	21	issue, on the identical claims. Yet we're sitting here while	21	We have seen no experts. We haven't cross-examined,
 issue? MR. GENOVA: No. United States District Court District of New Jersey THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the 2 vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, 3 that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't 4 having any negotiations, weren't talking about it. 5 They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as 6 it got closer to the primary, they thought, Leg's file the 1 awsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going 9 to show 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 11 you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be 12 clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter 13 because none of it is evidence. 14 But if you're going to bear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 15 MR. GENOVA: Your dig ong to hear witnesses, that say 16 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, 17 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, 18 but emails and the like. 19 They're going to show you that it was in the 20 complation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with 21 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 22 as November to do this. 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 24 people talking about the fact that this litigation was going 25 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 26 people talking about the fact that this litigation was going 26 United States District Court 27 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 28 you're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 29 people talking about the fact that this litigation was going 20 united States District Court 28 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 29 you on't legislate in a courtroom what might be accompliable durit 20 united States District Court 29 They're supposed to accommodate a ballot 20 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going 20 united States District Court<!--</th--><th></th><th>they waited in the weeds to bring this application</th><th></th><th>and plaintiffs' counsel asked for an extension of discovery in</th>		they waited in the weeds to bring this application		and plaintiffs' counsel asked for an extension of discovery in
25 MR. GENOVA: No. United States District Court District of New Jersey 50 50 50 50 1 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, at that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't taking about it. 50 52 1 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, at that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't taking about it. 50 52 1 They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, tee's file the lawsuit. 6 6 6 5 They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, tee's file the lawsuit. 7 7 7 6 it got closer to the primary, they thought, tee's file the lawsuit. 6 6 6 6 10 7		THE COURT: And there were no discussions about the		
United States District Court District of New Jersey1THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the 2 vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, 3 that these particular candidates wanted to address it weren't 4 having any negotiations, weren't talking about it 5 They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as 6 it got closer to the primary, they thought, Lee's file the 7 lawsuit.1compelling under the <i>Purcel</i> /standards, is this isn't just 2 delay for the sake of delay. This is not a sweet spot as 3 Mr. Komuves talks about.1having any negotiations, weren't talking about it thay just held this complaint close to the vest, and as 6 it got closer to the primary, they thought, Lee's file the 1 lawsuit.1This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was a maipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by 7 happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these 8 public officials in the position where they have to scurry 9 around and hurry around to accomplish an objective.10THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 10 wou, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be 12 clear. None of what you're going to point me to something, am I 13 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this?1011So the contemplation and not just witnesses, 18 but emails and the like.1119They're going to sole on the just it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' coursel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this.1321people talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court <th></th> <th>issue?</th> <th></th> <th></th>		issue?		
District of New Jersey 50 52 1 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the 2 vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, 3 that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't 4 having any negotiations, weren't talking about it 5 1 compelling under the <i>Parcel</i> /standards, is this isn't just 2 62 1 THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't 4 having any negotiations, weren't talking about it 5 1 compelling under the <i>Parcel</i> /standards, is this isn't just 2 64 5 They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as 6 it got closer to the primary, they though, Lev's file the 7 1 This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was 5 8 MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going 9 to show 9 around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 14 10 Now Your Honor said something earlier. This is a 10 11 you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be 12 10 Now Your Honor said something, am I 15 11 15 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 16 16 discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be 17 16 bet ensils and the like. 19 They're g	25		25	
50521THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, at that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't thaving any negotiations, weren't talking about it.1compelling under the <i>Purced</i> /standards, is this isn't just delay for the sake of delay. This is not a sweet spot as at lawsuit.3that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't thaving any negotiations, weren't talking about it.This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was a before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 161016But if you're going to point me to something, am I that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like.14Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved?17They're going to see mails and evidence and speak to that it was in the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this.15It he evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back asy swhat			6	
1THE COURT: You're saying they held it close to the vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't thaving any negotiations, weren't talking about it. They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as 6 it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit.1compelling under the <i>Purcell</i> /standards, is this isn't just delay for the sake of delay. This is not a sweet spot as Mr. Komuves talks about.3They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit.4This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these gouble officials in the position where they have to scurry ground and hurry around to accomplish an objective.10THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking to show10Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good 13 job on the arrative.11But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiff's consel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this.1Nobody is blind to the fact that this the siderks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans togeth			<u>b</u>	· · · · · ·
 vest, and that's what's going to come out in today's hearing, that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't having any negotiations, weren't talking about it. They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, let's file the lawsuit. M.R. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? M.R. GENOVA: You're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? M.R. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses, but emails and the like. They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with 21 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this. You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to you're going to see emails and evidence and speak to people talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court Venture States District Court discussed, but enails and evidence and speak to you're acting to the laws as they exist today, and United States District Court discussed District Court discussed District Court discussed States District Court discussed States District Court discussed States District Court discussed States District Court 	1		1	
 3 that these particular candidates wanted to address it, weren't having any negotiations, weren't talking about it. 5 They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Lee's file the lawsuit. 8 MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 11 you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter is evidence. 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 12 clear. None of it is evidence. 11 But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 16 MR, GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses, but emails and the like. 17 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. 19 They're going to show you that it was in the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this. 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to you're going to see emails and evidence and speak to pople talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 3 Mr. Komuves talks about. 4 This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was matter is an inputated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplished United States District Court 3 Mr. Komuves talks about. 4 They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States District Court 	-			
 4 having any negotiations, weren't talking about it. 5 They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as 6 it got closer to the primary, they thought, Ley's file the 1 lawsuit. 8 MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going 9 to show 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 11 you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be 12 clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter 13 because none of it is evidence. 14 But if you're going to point me to something, am I 15 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 16 MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses, that say 17 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, 18 but emails and the like. 19 They're going to show you that it was in the 20 contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with 21 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 24 This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an 6 election to disrupt that election, by design or by 7 happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these 8 matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over 12 the state now talking about it. They have done a very good 13 going to hear witnesses, that say 14 Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be 15 discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be 16 these clerks they're looking at a statute that 20 say swhat I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a 21 the vidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 22 that respects the associational rights of individuals who 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to <l< th=""><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></l<>	-			
 5 They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Lat's file the lawsuit. 8 MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show 9 to show 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 11 you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be lact. None of what you're also saying is going to matter 13 because none of it is evidence. 14 But if you're going to point me to something, am I 15 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 16 MR. GENOVA: You're going to point me to something, am I 15 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 16 MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses, that say 17 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, 18 but emails and the like. 19 They're going to show you that it was in the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as Nowmber to do this. 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to state <i>District Court</i> 24 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 26 They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and You 'ne going to see mails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 27 They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and You 'ne going to the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 			-	MIL RUITUVES LARS ADUUL.
6it got closer to the primary, they thought, tet's file the lawsuit.6election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these gublic officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective.10THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 11 you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter 2 clear. None of it is evidence.10Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a 11 matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over 12 the state now talking about the to something, am I 13 because none of it is evidence.11Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a 11 matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over 12 the state now talking about it. They have done a very good 13 job on the narrative.14But if you're going to point me to something, am I 15 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 1614Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be 15 discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets 17 resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets 18 resolved?19They're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to You		having any negotiations, weren't talking about it.	4	
8MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going 9 to show9public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective.10THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 1 you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter 13 because none of it is evidence.10Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative.14But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this?14Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets 1718but emails and the like.1716If these clerks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans together.24You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to united States District Court24They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished united States District Court			_	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was
 9 to show 10 THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking 11 you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be 12 clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter 13 because none of it is evidence. 14 But if you're going to point me to something, am I 15 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 16 MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say 17 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, 18 but emails and the like. 19 They're going to show you that it was in the 10 contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with 11 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 24 people talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 9 United States District Court 9 On the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 9 On the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 9 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigation was going 0 On the fact that this litigati	5	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as	5	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an
10THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence.10Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative.14But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this?10Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative.14But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this?14Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved?16MR. GENOVA: You're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this.14Nobody is blind to the fact state that tais it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans together.24You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States District Court1025Without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court24	5 6	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the	5 6	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by
11you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be11matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over12clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter12the state now talking about it. They have done a very good13because none of it is evidence.13job on the narrative.14But if you're going to point me to something, am I14Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be15going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this?16MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses, but emails and the like.17that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses,16be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be18but emails and the like.17resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets18but emails and the like.19If these clerks they're looking at a statute that20contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with20says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a21the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back21ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a23You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to23choose those slogans together.24people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> 24They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and25without talking about the fact that this litigation was goingUnited States Distric CourtUnited States Distric Court	5 6 7	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit.	5 6 7	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these
12clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence.12the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative.13because none of it is evidence.1314But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this?1415going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this?1416MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like.1417They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this.1923You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> 2324without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court2425United States District CourtUnited States District Court	5 6 7 8	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going	5 6 7 8	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry
 13 because none of it is evidence. 14 But if you're going to point me to something, am I 15 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 16 MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say 17 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, 18 but emails and the like. 19 They're going to show you that it was in the 20 contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with 21 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 24 people talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 	5 6 7 8 9	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show	5 6 7 8 9	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective.
14But if you're going to point me to something, am I14Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be15going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this?15discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it16MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say16be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be17that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses,16be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be18the wills and the like.17resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets19They're going to show you that it was in the19If these clerks they're looking at a statute that20contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with10says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a21the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back21ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot23You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to23Choose those slogans together.24people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> 24They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and25without talking about the fact that this litigation was goingUnited States District CourtUnited States District Court	5 6 7 8 9 10	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking	5 6 7 8 9 10	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a
 15 going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? 16 MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say 17 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, 18 but emails and the like. 19 They're going to show you that it was in the 20 contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with 21 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 24 people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> 25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good
16MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like.16be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved?18but emails and the like.17resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved?19They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this.19If these clerks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot that respects the associational rights of individuals who choose those slogans together.23You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court24They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States District Court	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative.
 17 that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. 19 They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this. 18 resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved? 19 If these clerks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot that respects the associational rights of individuals who choose those slogans together. 24 people talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be
 18 but emails and the like. 19 They're going to show you that it was in the 20 contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with 21 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 22 as November to do this. 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 24 people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> 25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 18 resolved? 19 If these clerks they're looking at a statute that 20 says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a 21 ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot 22 that respects the associational rights of individuals who 23 choose those slogans together. 24 They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and 25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it
19They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this.19If these clerks they're looking at a statute that20says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot that respects the associational rights of individuals who23You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court24They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States District Court	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be
 20 contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with 21 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 22 as November to do this. 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 24 people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> 25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going 26 says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot that respects the associational rights of individuals who 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> 24 They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States District Court 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets
 21 the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back 22 as November to do this. 23 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to 24 people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> 25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 21 ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot 22 that respects the associational rights of individuals who 23 choose those slogans together. 24 They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and 25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 21 ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot 23 that respects the associational rights of individuals who 23 choose those slogans together. 24 They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and 25 you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States District Court 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved?
 as November to do this. You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 22 that respects the associational rights of individuals who choose those slogans together. They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States District Court 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. They're going to show you that it was in the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved? If these clerks they're looking at a statute that
 You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> without talking about the fact that this litigation was going <i>United States District Court</i> Contend States District Court 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved? If these clerks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a
 24 people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> 25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going United States District Court 24 They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and 25 you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States District Court 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved? If these clerks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot
25 without talking about the fact that this litigation was going 25 you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished United States District Court United States District Court	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved? If these clerks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot that respects the associational rights of individuals who
United States District Court United States District Court	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this. You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved? If these clerks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot that respects the associational rights of individuals who choose those slogans together.
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this. You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved? If these clerks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot that respects the associational rights of individuals who choose those slogans together.
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	They just held this complaint close to the vest, and as it got closer to the primary, they thought, Let's file the lawsuit. MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show THE COURT: I don't know yet. That's why I'm asking you, because this is opening remarks, by the way, just to be clear. None of what you're also saying is going to matter because none of it is evidence. But if you're going to point me to something, am I going to hear witnesses who are actually going to say this? MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear witnesses that say that it was in the contemplation and not just witnesses, but emails and the like. They're going to show you that it was in the contemplation of the plaintiffs' counsel, in combination with the evidence that they purport to be presenting, as far back as November to do this. You're going to see emails and evidence and speak to people talking about how discovery was delayed in <i>Conforti</i> without talking about the fact that this litigation was going	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This was a design. This was orchestrated. This was manipulated to get this before this Court on the eve of an election to disrupt that election, by design or by happenstance, but disrupt that election and put all these public officials in the position where they have to scurry around and hurry around to accomplish an objective. Now, Your Honor said something earlier. This is a matter of debate, I'll concede that. There's people all over the state now talking about it. They have done a very good job on the narrative. Nobody is blind to the fact that this has to be discussed, but you ask an important question. Where should it be discussed? Where should it be resolved? Should it be resolved in our legislature? Should that be the place it gets resolved? If these clerks they're looking at a statute that says what I said it says. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans. They're supposed to accommodate a ballot with slogans together. They're reacting to the laws as they exist today, and you don't legislate in a courtroom what might be accomplished

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 108 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	53	1	factually different as it comes to the election. But the
2	through the legislator. Now, your job, as you know it, and you said it best, is	2	legal theories advanced and the remedies sought in <i>Conforti</i>
3	to look at those laws and decide whether or not	3	and the nature of the claims that are being
4	THE COURT: They're constitutional or not. That is	4	THE COURT: But the evidence is different, too, no?
5	within the purview of this Court.	5	MR. GENOVA: Correct, Your Honor. It is different.
6	MR. GENOVA: Exactly. It's well within the purview	6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	of the Court and clearly not within the purview of the	7	MR. GENOVA: But the legal principles are the same.
8	attorney general, if I can resort back to that argument.	8	You said in <i>Conforti</i> as well that the decision was
9	But that being said, I don't want to belabor my	9	going to be driven by the facts, and that's all that we're
10	opening, but I will answer your questions. But we're here.	10	looking for and whether or not those facts are advanced and
11	They have the burden. They have the burden under the <i>Purcell</i>	11	complete here.
12	to show you four things. Those four things are not the	12	Your Honor, you're going to see compelling evidence
13	equivalent of a preliminary injunction. It's a heavier	13	that the plaintiffs unduly delay the action. I don't need to
14	burden, and it's theirs.	14	belabor that. I think you know what we're saying here, but
15	They have to show that the underlying merits are	15	they slow-walked it; they orchestrated it. They want to be
16	clear-cut on their in their favor. They have to show that	16	here today in this context, in this circumstance.
17	they have not unduly delayed bringing the complaint to the	17	As a matter of irreparable harm, we're going to contend
18	Court.	18	that they're not suffering and will not suffer irreparable
19	They have to show that they would suffer irreparable	19	harm. They created the delay. Any harm they created and
20	harm as a consequence of that of the statute as it exists,	20	and we contend that any harm they're complaining about is
21	and they have to show that the remedies in question that they	21	speculative because that harm can only be visited upon them on
22	seek are at least feasible before the election without	22 23	Election Day if, in fact, the ballot is
23 24	significant cost, confusion, or hardship. It's our contention that the evidence is going to	23 24	THE COURT: I'm curious: What's the position of the defense on when they should have filed a complaint in order to
25	establish clearly that they won't be able to meet any one of	25	avoid this argument by you-all now that says they unduly
25	United States District Court	25	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	~CY	District of New Jersey
	54	₽–	56
1	those.	1	delayed this on their own, if they're responsible for this
2		2	delayed this on their own, if they're responsible for this delay?
	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard?		delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent
2 3 4	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're	2 3 4	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff.
2 3 4 5	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from.	2 3 4 5	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very
2 3 4 5 6	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> .	2 3 4 5 6	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this
2 3 4 5 6 7	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case	2 3 4 5 6 7	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> /or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> /or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant discovery. You gave us discovery, those of us in that case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential election. It is a very hotly contested presidential election.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant discovery. You gave us discovery, those of us in that case. It was close enough, but it wasn't dispositive to grant a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential election. It is a very hotly contested presidential election. We talk about voter confusion. The confusion that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant discovery. You gave us discovery, those of us in that case. It was close enough, but it wasn't dispositive to grant a motion to dismiss. A close call doesn't warrant an injunction. THE COURT: Let me just be clear. And you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential election. It is a very hotly contested presidential election. We talk about voter confusion. The confusion that would be visited upon the voters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> /or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant discovery. You gave us discovery, those of us in that case. It was close enough, but it wasn't dispositive to grant a motion to dismiss. A close call doesn't warrant an injunction. THE COURT: Let me just be clear. And you're saying and plaintiffs will present it there is nothing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential election. It is a very hotly contested presidential election. We talk about voter confusion. The confusion that would be visited upon the voters THE COURT: Putting names together in the same office is going to confuse the voters more than what the current ballot looks like?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> /or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant discovery. You gave us discovery, those of us in that case. It was close enough, but it wasn't dispositive to grant a motion to dismiss. A close call doesn't warrant an injunction. THE COURT: Let me just be clear. And you're saying and plaintiffs will present it there is nothing different about the posture of that case than this one. There	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential election. It is a very hotly contested presidential election. We talk about voter confusion. The confusion that would be visited upon the voters THE COURT: Putting names together in the same office is going to confuse the voters more than what the current ballot looks like? MR. GENOVA: Well, Your Honor, you'll have to rely on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant discovery. You gave us discovery, those of us in that case. It was close enough, but it wasn't dispositive to grant a motion to dismiss. A close call doesn't warrant an injunction. THE COURT: Let me just be clear. And you're saying and plaintiffs will present it there is nothing different about the posture of that case than this one. There is nothing different in the information. A year and a half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential election. It is a very hotly contested presidential election. We talk about voter confusion. The confusion that would be visited upon the voters THE COURT: Putting names together in the same office is going to confuse the voters more than what the current ballot looks like? MR. GENOVA: Well, Your Honor, you'll have to rely on my cross-examination
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> /or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant discovery. You gave us discovery, those of us in that case. It was close enough, but it wasn't dispositive to grant a motion to dismiss. A close call doesn't warrant an injunction. THE COURT: Let me just be clear. And you're saying and plaintiffs will present it there is nothing different about the posture of that case than this one. There is nothing different in the information. A year and a half later, this is the identical case as <i>Conforti</i> .	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential election. It is a very hotly contested presidential election. We talk about voter confusion. The confusion that would be visited upon the voters THE COURT: Putting names together in the same office is going to confuse the voters more than what the current ballot looks like? MR. GENOVA: Well, Your Honor, you'll have to rely on my cross-examination THE COURT: I'm not asking you, because you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant discovery. You gave us discovery, those of us in that case. It was close enough, but it wasn't dispositive to grant a motion to dismiss. A close call doesn't warrant an injunction. THE COURT: Let me just be clear. And you're saying and plaintiffs will present it there is nothing different about the posture of that case than this one. There is nothing different in the information. A year and a half later, this is the identical case as <i>Conforti</i> . MR. GENOVA: No, it's not the identical case. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential election. It is a very hotly contested presidential election. We talk about voter confusion. The confusion that would be visited upon the voters THE COURT: Putting names together in the same office is going to confuse the voters more than what the current ballot looks like? MR. GENOVA: Well, Your Honor, you'll have to rely on my cross-examination THE COURT: I'm not asking you, because you're telling me that, somehow, today, it's going to be more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	those. Now, you yourself said you yourself said THE COURT: Here or in <i>Conforti</i> /or in my backyard? Because every time you quote me, I don't know where you're quoting me from. MR. GENOVA: Okay. I'll be clear, in <i>Conforti</i> . THE COURT: All right. We're going back to a case that's not before me at the moment. MR. GENOVA: Correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. GOLDBERG: But the issues are the same. And, Your Honor, you said it was close enough it was a close call. You said it was a close call. THE COURT: I did. MR. GENOVA: It was close enough to warrant discovery. You gave us discovery, those of us in that case. It was close enough, but it wasn't dispositive to grant a motion to dismiss. A close call doesn't warrant an injunction. THE COURT: Let me just be clear. And you're saying and plaintiffs will present it there is nothing different about the posture of that case than this one. There is nothing different in the information. A year and a half later, this is the identical case as <i>Conforti</i> .	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	delay? MR. GENOVA: Well, I suppose, you know, to the extent that they are relying on a candidate as their lead plaintiff. And their lead plaintiff, who chose to participate in the very process that he is now complaining about through this litigation, who went to those various conventions to support the slogan, to utilize the same statute that's in dispute, then they should have brought the lawsuit in November if they were going to bring the lawsuit when that candidate chose to run, and that's who they're representing as a candidate. The ballot changes, you will hear and I'll on this are not feasible. We contend that it can't happen and it shouldn't happen. And it shouldn't happen in a way that is going to disrupt this election. This is a presidential election. It is a very hotly contested presidential election. We talk about voter confusion. The confusion that would be visited upon the voters THE COURT: Putting names together in the same office is going to confuse the voters more than what the current ballot looks like? MR. GENOVA: Well, Your Honor, you'll have to rely on my cross-examination THE COURT: I'm not asking you, because you're

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 109 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	57 confusing for voters to clean this up than leaving it as the	1	59 So with that, Your Honor, I welcome the opportunity
2	status quo. And I don't know what evidence is going to be	2	today with my colleagues to present to you, and I thank you
3	presented to show that, but I'm going to be curious.	3	for indulging me.
4	MR. GENOVA: You're going to hear from a clerk, and	4	THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate it.
5	you're going to hear from a clerk just the fact that a voter	5	MR. TAMBUSSI: Your Honor, if I may.
6	walks into a polling booth or gets a vote-by-mail ballot or	6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	gets an overseas ballot that looks different what does it	7	MR. TAMBUSSI: My interest is different.
8	mean. This has been the ballot structure in this state for a	8	THE COURT: We have more than one opening statement?
9	hundred years. The courts of this state have said that	9	You guys didn't warn me.
10	THE COURT: Yeah, but, Mr. Genova, the argument that	10	MR. TAMBUSSI: My interest is different, which is why
11	this is how we've always done it so this is how it should be	11	I intervened.
12	is not necessarily the argument that's going to convince the	12	THE COURT: Would you like to come to the podium?
13	Court. I'll tell you that.	13	MR. TAMBUSSI: I would like to, Judge, with your
14	So a hundred years or 200 years of this ballot system	14	permission.
15	is not going to convince me.	15	THE COURT: You may.
16	MR. GENOVA: And I'm not arguing that. I'm not	16	MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you.
17	arguing that, because it was done in the past, it should be	17	THE COURT: But just so I'm clear, are you the only
18	done I'm making the point that, because it was done in the	18	one with a different interest that's going to speak so we can
19	past, it introduces confusion for people that have to react to	19	get to evidence? Or is there anybody else that's going to be
20	something new. That's a different question of whether or not	20	popping up here?
21	you conclude that how it's been done in the past is	21	MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm the only one here on behalf of the
22	unconstitutional. I'm saying	22	political parties, Judge.
23	THE COURT: So just solely based on the change alone,	23	THE COURT: All right. That's fair. I am happy to
24	that's the confusion, potentially, to voters?	24	hear from you, Mr. Tambussi. And I know that you moved to
25	MR. GENOVA: Yeah. That's the first part of it. But	25	intervene, and I granted it, didn't I?
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	\mathbf{O}	District of New Jersey
	58		60
1	I'm going to defer to the clerk that's going to testify as to	1	MR. TAMBUSSI: You did, Your Honor.
2	what that change means and what that visits upon are the	2	THE COURT: I just didn't let you on my phone call
3	various voting workers have to explain things and they get	3	until I granted it.
4 5	questions and everything else with respect to sample ballots	4 5	MR. TAMBUSSI: You did not, Your Honor. THE COURT: But I thought that was the right call.
6	and the like. It's a process. You don't snip a finger, and you don't	6	MR. TAMBUSSI: I respect Your Honor's call.
7	say it's just moving a bunch of names on the ballot. It's not	7	THE COURT: Good morning.
8	just moving a bunch of names on the ballot.	8	MR. TAMBUSSI: Good morning, Your Honor. I will be
9	And, please, Your Honor, I want to leave on this note.	9	brief, Judge.
10	<i>Purcell</i> is a compelling Supreme Court decision. Evaluating	10	But you recognized in <i>Conforti</i> the political parties
11	this case under <i>Purcell</i> ends the story. We never get to the	11	have the right to associate. And that's a right guaranteed by
12	injunction issue and should end the story and should allow you	12	the United States Supreme Court and in the New Jersey Supreme
13	to deprive them of the relief that they seek for this	13	Court.
14	election.	14	And that right to associate, the freedom of
15	Their case survives; they don't have to get this	15	association, means that the political party has the right to
16	injunction. The parties and stakeholders in the matter can	16	identify those candidates to which it wishes to associate and
17	address it in a different context if they choose,	17	those to which it does not. And it also has the right to
18	legislatively or otherwise, just as it was done a hundred	18	identify them in such a way that they select they can be
19	years ago. And we get discovery, they get discovery, and the	19	bracketed with or associated with a standard bearer who best
20	case proceeds to determine whether or not they can actually	20	represents the parties' ideologies and preferences.
21	prove that these theories translate into what they claim as	21	If we look at how the ballot is structured now, you
22	harms.	22	can't just look at it between what the clerks do and what the
23	You will hear that there are alternative theories. You	23	candidates the aggrieved candidate's position is. You have
24	will hear that not all these theories have been accepted by	24	to look at it also from the parties that got the endorsement
25			
25	courts. You will hear that they've been criticized.	25	or the association.
25	courts. You will hear that they've been criticized. United States District Court District of New Jersey	25	or the association. United States District Court District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rage	e: 110 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	61 And the way the law is structured and the way the law	1	63 but what happens is, Judge, in a Senate race or a
2	is implemented, at least in Camden County, it affords everyone	2	gubernatorial race, there's a ballot draw for those positions,
3	the opportunity, the opportunity to get primacy of a ballot in	3	and they have to be from the first position. So if there's
4	the first position or the first column or row. That's	4	four candidates, they will take 1, 2, 3, and 4 because it's
5	permitted. That's a big part of the plaintiffs' argument	5	columns in Camden County. Those candidates that are bracketed
6	here.	6	with those Senate candidates would fall below or above.
7	Without primacy, we're harmed.	7	Above, in this case, because there's a presidential candidate.
8	THE COURT: Why can't the county endorse and	8	So if the bracketed candidates, Senate representative,
9	associate with whatever candidates they want to support and	9	draws column 4, that's where the bracketed column would be.
10	stay away from the ballot? Why can't they just go out there	10	So the primacy effect that is alleged here to be a big
11	and say, This is our candidate and we support this person and	11	difference wouldn't apply because the bracketed candidates
12	this is where our committee is behind, and leave the ballot	12	wouldn't be in column 1. They would be in column 4.
13	where the names are next to the office that they're running	13	So there's an issue here that needs to be addressed,
14	for so voters can just see the name? And if the committees	14	which is do you have a guaranteed right to be in column 1, or
15	want to endorse or associate with whatever candidate, no one	15	do you have a guaranteed opportunity to be in column 1? And
16	is preventing that.	16	that's what's presented, and that's the balancing that gives
17	Why does it have to be they also control the ballot?	17	the political parties and balances the political parties'
18	MR. TAMBUSSI: Because well, they don't control	18	rights to have endorsements and identification of standard
19	the ballot. The statute permits the inclusion of slogans or	19	bearers.
20	identifiers on the ballot. And as consequence as a	20	Now, the voters are entitled to know about this. And,
21	consequence, the parties have the right to identify those	21	in fact, that's the way the statutory construct is so that
22	people through those slogans or identifiers on the ballot	22	each candidate that is permitted to be bracketed under the
23	under the New Jersey	23	statute would be identified by the same slogan or identifier.
24	THE COURT: How do you explain away this ballot	24	The plaintiff here in this case, the primary
25	Siberia? All right. That the plaintiffs have already how	25	plaintiff, the Senate candidate plaintiff, elected to try to
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	, 0 ⁰	District of New Jersey
	62	2	64
4	de evelois that and have that a surplice constitutional for		
1	do you explain that and how that's somehow constitutional for	1	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the
2	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here,	2	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to
2 3	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down nere, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more	2 3	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will
2 3 4	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the	2 3 4	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the
2 3 4 5	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to	2 3 4 5	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary
2 3 4 5 6	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting	2 3 4 5 6	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So
2 3 4 5	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run?	2 3 4 5	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually
2 3 4 5 6 7	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this?	2 3 4 5 6 7	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate race? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the legal analysis here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate race? MR. TAMBUSSI: Not in the Senate race. THE COURT: All right. So it basically how it happens I have you here now at the podium	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the legal analysis here. MR. TAMBUSSI: We're not saying that they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate race? MR. TAMBUSSI: Not in the Senate race. THE COURT: All right. So it basically how it happens I have you here now at the podium MR. TAMBUSSI: Sure. Ask away.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the legal analysis here. MR. TAMBUSSI: We're not saying that they're benefitting. What we're saying is that each candidate has an equal opportunity, an equal opportunity to be in a ballot position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate race? MR. TAMBUSSI: Not in the Senate race. THE COURT: All right. So it basically how it happens I have you here now at the podium MR. TAMBUSSI: Sure. Ask away. THE COURT: so explain to me how that works	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the legal analysis here. MR. TAMBUSSI: We're not saying that they're benefitting. What we're saying is that each candidate has an equal opportunity, an equal opportunity to be in a ballot position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate race? MR. TAMBUSSI: Not in the Senate race. THE COURT: All right. So it basically how it happens I have you here now at the podium MR. TAMBUSSI: Sure. Ask away. THE COURT: so explain to me how that works outside of the Senate race and how that makes sense for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the legal analysis here. MR. TAMBUSSI: We're not saying that they're benefitting. What we're saying is that each candidate has an equal opportunity, an equal opportunity to be in a ballot position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate race? MR. TAMBUSSI: Not in the Senate race. THE COURT: All right. So it basically how it happens I have you here now at the podium MR. TAMBUSSI: Sure. Ask away. THE COURT: so explain to me how that works outside of the Senate race and how that makes sense for the voters.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the legal analysis here. MR. TAMBUSSI: We're not saying that they're benefitting. What we're saying is that each candidate has an equal opportunity, an equal opportunity to be in a ballot position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate race? MR. TAMBUSSI: Not in the Senate race. THE COURT: All right. So it basically how it happens I have you here now at the podium MR. TAMBUSSI: Sure. Ask away. THE COURT: so explain to me how that works outside of the Senate race and how that makes sense for the voters. MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I know that Camden County is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the legal analysis here. MR. TAMBUSSI: We're not saying that they're benefitting. What we're saying is that each candidate has an equal opportunity, an equal opportunity to be in a ballot position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate race? MR. TAMBUSSI: Not in the Senate race. THE COURT: All right. So it basically how it happens I have you here now at the podium MR. TAMBUSSI: Sure. Ask away. THE COURT: so explain to me how that works outside of the Senate race and how that makes sense for the voters. MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I know that Camden County is going to present a witness on how it's done in Camden County,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the legal analysis here. MR. TAMBUSSI: We're not saying that they're benefitting. What we're saying is that each candidate has an equal opportunity, an equal opportunity to be in a ballot position. You asked how the ballot would be constructed going forward. There are certain limitations on county machines, voting machines, and there's determinations based on how certain offices are drawn. And that depends on the number of candidates there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these voters when you've got somebody all the way down here, 15 columns later, and somehow that's going to be more confusing than me deciding to grant this relief for the plaintiffs to say, We're going to put all these names next to the office where voters can actually see who they're voting for and find the names that they're looking for to run? How do you explain this? MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I explain it in the context of this case in front of Your Honor right now as it applies to the Senate race. That can't happen. In Camden County, for example, they fill out four Senate candidates. Those four Senate candidates will be in row or column 1, 2, 3 and 4. THE COURT: It can happen, but not in the Senate race? MR. TAMBUSSI: Not in the Senate race. THE COURT: All right. So it basically how it happens I have you here now at the podium MR. TAMBUSSI: Sure. Ask away. THE COURT: so explain to me how that works outside of the Senate race and how that makes sense for the voters. MR. TAMBUSSI: Well, I know that Camden County is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	get the endorsements in some counties. He received the endorsements in some counties, and he will be permitted to bracket in some counties. In others where he did not, he will not. But he will still have the opportunity to have the primary THE COURT: But I haven't decided the case yet. So you're saying he has the opportunity. I mean, I actually don't understand the legality of that argument. So if you have a candidate who may benefit from some counties with the county line and not others, somehow that has some bearing on my consideration as to whether this is constitutional in the first place? Because I'm not so sure that's even relevant for my consideration. Why should I even care if a candidate is benefitting or not benefitting from some counties or other counties or no counties? I don't know if that's part of the legal analysis here. MR. TAMBUSSI: We're not saying that they're benefitting. What we're saying is that each candidate has an equal opportunity, an equal opportunity to be in a ballot position.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 111 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	65 But each have the same opportunity. And that's what	1	once, one too many times.
2	the law provides. And that's what the law guarantees. And	2	MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you.
3	when you look at the opportunity, you have to look at it in	3	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Let's get with
4	the context that political parties have rights also, and those	4	plaintiffs' counsel, and who's up first?
5	rights are constitutional. And they can't be just swept	5	MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, I just want to I think
6	aside. They have to be considered as part of this calculus.	6	Your Honor wanted witnesses to be sequestered, so I just
7		7	
8	So when you look at respectfully, Judge, or you hear	8	wanted to make sure there was an opportunity to do that.
9	the evidence that's presented to you, you see box ballots that	9	THE COURT: I did. Are they still floating around here? Because we have rooms available for both sides if
9 10	are shown that have no identification of who the candidates	10	
11	are with regard to a political party, which is permitted in	11	there's witnesses that are not parties, obviously.
12	New Jersey, to identify candidates by political party and	12	MR. PUGACH: Yes, Your Honor.
12	factions of political parties.	12	THE COURT: Anyone that's not a party to the case.
	That box that box ballot, excuse me, doesn't include		All right. So you're going to take care of that now?
14	any reference, the one you saw.	14 15	MR. PUGACH: Yes, Your Honor.
15	If we were to put those references in those box	-	THE COURT: All right.
16	ballots, would we be required to have a draw for each box	16	MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, you had said that you want
17	ballot, or would it be the identified party identified	17	to break for lunch in 15 minutes. If I put on a witness
18	candidate that has an endorsement of a political party	18	now
19	would that candidate always be in the top left position, or	19	THE COURT: I can break at 12:30. It doesn't have to
20	will it be scattered across the ballot?	20	be at 12:00. I'm thinking somewhere in that realm. We go off
21	We are trying to make the voters give the voters the	21	on time just on the opening remarks, but do you think you can
22	opportunity to understand that which is guaranteed, which is	22	get a witness done by around 12:30?
23	the right to associate. And by associating the political	23	You all know better how long you need with the
24	by association, I mean the right to give political	24	witnesses than I do.
25	endorsements.	25	MS. BROMBERG: We can I can start with him, and
	United States District Court	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	Θ	District of New Jersey
1	And that can't be ignored in the case of this I	1	68 then he can pick back up after lunch. He's remote anyway, so
2	don't know why people are putting things up on the screen.	2	there's no way he
3	THE COURT: Are you doing this? Because I don't know	3	THE COURT: How long do you think you'll have with
4	¥ *	Ŭ	The cooker. Now long to you think you in have with
	it you want me to look at it or not but I'm burting my neck	4	him on direct?
5	if you want me to look at it or not, but I'm hurting niv neck	4	him on direct? MS_BROMBERG: On direct_I would say about an hour
5	over here.	5	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour.
6	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge.	5 6	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done
6 7	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I	5 6 7	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break?
6 7 8	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me	5 6 7 8	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and
6 7 8 9	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do	5 6 7 8 9	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you
6 7 8 9 10	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony.	5 6 7 8 9	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct?
6 7 8 9 10 11	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that way we come back for cross? I mean, why don't we just see
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate THE COURT: The constitutional rights of the CCDC,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate THE COURT: The constitutional rights of the CCDC, your client.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that way we come back for cross? I mean, why don't we just see how you all feel?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate THE COURT: The constitutional rights of the CCDC, your client. MR. TAMBUSSI: Right.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that way we come back for cross? I mean, why don't we just see how you all feel? MR. PARIKH: Not at all, Your Honor. I have no intent one hour is a lot of time considering that the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate THE COURT: The constitutional rights of the CCDC, your client.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that way we come back for cross? I mean, why don't we just see how you all feel? MR. PARIKH: Not at all, Your Honor. I have no
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate THE COURT: The constitutional rights of the CCDC, your client. MR. TAMBUSSI: Right. THE COURT: I read your papers more than once. MR. TAMBUSSI: I appreciate it, Your Honor. Thank	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that way we come back for cross? I mean, why don't we just see how you all feel? MR. PARIKH: Not at all, Your Honor. I have no intent one hour is a lot of time considering that the plaintiffs are trying to put on six or seven or eight
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate THE COURT: The constitutional rights of the CCDC, your client. MR. TAMBUSSI: Right. THE COURT: I read your papers more than once. MR. TAMBUSSI: I appreciate it, Your Honor. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that way we come back for cross? I mean, why don't we just see how you all feel? MR. PARIKH: Not at all, Your Honor. I have no intent one hour is a lot of time considering that the plaintiffs are trying to put on six or seven or eight witnesses today. We may be here until tomorrow at the pace if it's
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate THE COURT: The constitutional rights of the CCDC, your client. MR. TAMBUSSI: Right. THE COURT: I read your papers more than once. MR. TAMBUSSI: I appreciate it, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: All of your papers. So I'm not biased	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that way we come back for cross? I mean, why don't we just see how you all feel? MR. PARIKH: Not at all, Your Honor. I have no intent one hour is a lot of time considering that the plaintiffs are trying to put on six or seven or eight witnesses today. We may be here until tomorrow at the pace if it's THE COURT: Well, we're not because I already told
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate THE COURT: The constitutional rights of the CCDC, your client. MR. TAMBUSSI: Right. THE COURT: I read your papers more than once. MR. TAMBUSSI: I appreciate it, Your Honor. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that way we come back for cross? I mean, why don't we just see how you all feel? MR. PARIKH: Not at all, Your Honor. I have no intent one hour is a lot of time considering that the plaintiffs are trying to put on six or seven or eight witnesses today. We may be here until tomorrow at the pace if it's
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	over here. MR. TAMBUSSI: I am not, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I'll just focus on you. So I understand the position you're taking on behalf but let me ask you well, is there anything I'm missing, because I do want to get to testimony. Is there anything more I'm missing from your opening remarks. MR. TAMBUSSI: No. I think, Judge, what can't be ignored is the fact that the statutory construct takes into consideration the political rights to associate of the candidates and the parties, and any decision here can't obliterate THE COURT: The constitutional rights of the CCDC, your client. MR. TAMBUSSI: Right. THE COURT: I read your papers more than once. MR. TAMBUSSI: I appreciate it, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: All of your papers. So I'm not biased toward Mr. Tambussi. I've read all your papers more than	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. BROMBERG: On direct, I would say about an hour. THE COURT: You want to try to get his direct done and then break? Well, I will tell you what: Why don't you just go, and then I'll talk to counsel and say, How do you feel; do you need a break for the lunch, or do we get done with direct? And, Mr. Parikh, are you handling the cross, Mr. Parikh? MR. PARIKH: I think both Mr. Natale and I may both be doing that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection to see if we can get his direct done and then break? And that way we come back for cross? I mean, why don't we just see how you all feel? MR. PARIKH: Not at all, Your Honor. I have no intent one hour is a lot of time considering that the plaintiffs are trying to put on six or seven or eight witnesses today. We may be here until tomorrow at the pace if it's THE COURT: Well, we're not because I already told you all that you guys submitted a proposal, and I'm going to

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 112 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	69	1	71
1	be very clear. I asked you and actually I didn't ask you.	2	writing with their response on Friday, and I can address it.
2	I ordered you to provide a proposal for today's hearing.	2	Why are we spending 25 minutes for someone to give
4	So if you all came to some meet and confer where, practically speaking, you need two days to do what I told you	4	their qualifications just for you to stand up and say, I object to them being an expert in this particular area, and I
5	to do in one, you need to make adjustments today.	5	say reserved?
6	So I'm going to defer to the plaintiffs on making those	6	MR. PARIKH: I absolutely agree with Your Honor.
7	calls, and you must have worked out how long cross-examination	7	THE COURT: All right.
8	is going to be because it sounds like you agreed to 30 or	8	MR. PARIKH: We're already before the Court. At the
9	45 minutes per witness for cross-exam, but today's your day.	9	end of the day, I don't think frankly, I don't think we
10	MR. PARIKH: Well, Your Honor, we agreed to 30 to 45	10	need to be repetitive and go through any of it. And
11	per witness direct, and then cross obviously would be	11	Your Honor will be able to put weight onto all these
12	dependent upon what the witnesses would talk about but, I	12	witnesses.
13	would assume, shouldn't take longer for most witnesses than	13	I do think, and you'll see this in the papers, that
14	the direct would.	14	that, if these folks are are viewed as experts or pseudo
15	So, you know, that's all I wanted you to know.	15	experts by the Court for purposes of this hearing, that does
16	THE COURT: I'll let you guys proceed as you deem	16	not mean that Your Honor has to rule that they're experts for
17	appropriate for your case, but be mindful that we have a	17	the entire case.
18	hearing day, and you all needed to put that together in	18	THE COURT: correct.
19	advance. But I'm happy to hear from you.	19	So, Ms. Bromberg, does that work for you? It sounds
20	MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'm happy to try to shave	20	like the defense is amenable to at least saying, Look, you
21	off some time, for example, on our next witness with regard to	21	don't have to go through the entire CV; we'll have it.
22	his I mean, I might address it shortly, but with regard to	22	They'll object to it. We'll deal with it in writing, and that
23	going through his education and experiences.	23	should cut ϵ lot of time at least on anyone that you're
24	If there's going to be motions in limine to try to	24	deeming an expert.
25	remove him the designation of him from an expert as an	25	MS. BROMBERG: That works, Your Honor.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	70		72
1	expert, then I have some reasonable concerns around that.	1	THE COURT: All right.
2	But that's an opportunity that I can, you know, cut	2	So what do we have? Who's up? Are you all calling a
3	some time for us.	3	witness?
4	THE COURT: I'm not going to ask you I'm not going	4	MS. BROMBERG: We are. We are. Mr. Ryan Macias is
5	to tell you where to cut your time. I'm not going to get	5	joining us remotely.
6	involved in that. You cut your time if you want to cut	6	(Brief pause.)
7	that particular area of a witness or some other area, but I'm	7	MS. BROMBERG: Hi, Ryan. Can you hear us?
8	not going to direct you where to cut.	8	MR. MACIAS: I can hear you, yes. Can you hear me?
9 10	I'm not going to influence how you want to present your	9 10	MS. BROMBERG: Yes, we can. THE COURT: Can he hear us?
11	case. I'm just telling you, you all have today, and that's it.	11	MS. BROMBERG: Yes, he can hear us, Your Honor.
12	MS. BROMBERG: I think that what I would ask, then,	12	Ryan, are you hearing us clearly?
13	Your Honor, is for consideration, if necessary, when the	13	MR. MACIAS: I am hearing you. I just plugged in my
14	motions in limine are filed, for us to also offer some	14	headset right now.
15	additional explanation with regard to his experience and	15	THE COURT: The headset is not working well?
16	background.	16	Can you avoid using the headset, sir? Can you hear me
17	THE COURT: Any objection to that from the defense?	17	fine?
18	MR. PARIKH: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	18	MR. MACIAS: I can hear you fine. Can you hear me
19	I have no objection to them providing additional	19	now?
20	information. I think the main issue, Your Honor, is, if it's	20	THE COURT: Yes. Leave the headset off. I am going to
21	something new, that we have an opportunity to respond. I know	21	have you sworn in, all right, by my courtroom deputy.
22	Your Honor does not want to see, you know	22	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please raise your right
23	THE COURT: No. I mean, look, why do they have to go	23	hand.
24	through the whole CV today if you're objecting anyway on	24	(RYAN MACIAS, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS
25	whether they're an expert. They can just provide the CV in	25	FOLLOWS:)
1	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 113 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	73 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and	1	75 there, correct?
2		2	MR. PARIKH: Correct, Your Honor.
3	the spelling of your last name for the record.	3	
4	THE WITNESS: Ryan Macias, M-A-C-I-A-S.	4	THE COURT: All right. I'm reserving, but the
5	MS. BROMBERG: Ryan, if you can lean a little forward	5	defense has agreed that the plaintiff can supplement his
6	also, I think that will be a little helpful. Yeah.	6	professional background and CV by Friday when we when we deal with the Daubert issue.
_	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BROMBERG:)	7	
7 8	Q. Ryan, welcome. Thank you for joining us today in court.	-	Okay. I just wanted to make sure, but that's the area.
_	We are going to skip a little bit over your background	8 9	All right. I'm sorry. Please continue.
9	in the interest of time.		MS. BROMBERG: Yes. Your Honor, with regard to an
10	But if you can tell us specifically what you specialize	10	expert in the field of voting systems, security, and election
11 12	in, in your particular field?	11 12	technology.
	A. Yes. I've spent 18-plus years working in election		THE COURT: All right. Thank you. BY MS. BROMBERG:
13	infrastructure technology and security as well as election	13	
14 15	administration and election policies.	14	Q. Okay. Mr. Macias, what kind of voting systems are in use
	Q. And what was the prior position that you held while being	15	in New Jersey?
16	at the United States Election Assistance Commission?	16	A. Yes. Based on the Secretary of State's website, there
17	A. Yes. I was the acting director of the voting systems	17	are two main election technology providers or voting system
18 19	testing and certification program, the United States Election	18 19	vendors, which are Election Systems & Software and Dominion
20	Assistance Commission.	20	Voting Systems. And, more specifically, the systems that are
20	Q. And what do you	20	certified are ES&S voting systems or ES&S version 6.3.0.0
	A. In	22	and ES&S 6.2.0.0, as well as Dominion Voting Systems version
22 23	Q. Oh, I'm sorry.	22	5.15. Q. And how are these two systems similar?
23 24	A. Sorry. I'm hearing some I'm hearing some feedback or	23 24	
24 25	background noise from what's coming through the telephone. It	24 25	A. So all voting systems are similar in their design and the
25	sounds like somebody's trying to talk to me. No? Okay. United States District Court	25	devices that are utilized. So, specifically, we refer to a United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	_	District of New Jersey
	District of New Jersey	Θ	76
1	So as I was stating, the EAC is the agency that was	1	voting system as an election management system, which is
2	designated by the U.S. Congress under the Help America	2	basically the brains of the system that helps design and lay
3	Vote Act to conduct testing and certification for voting	3	out ballots, as well as brings in results from each of the
4	systems for the use in federal elections.	4	independent voting tabulators to aggregate those results and
5	Q. And, Ryan, what do you do now?	5	ultimately display or report the final results.
6	A. I am the owner of RSM Election Solutions, which is a sole	6	Additionally, there are what we call voting tabulators.
7	proprietor, L.L.C., and I work on consulting and strategic	7	Specifically for ES&S and Dominion in use in New Jersey, these
8	advising for election infrastructure technology and security	8	are scanners. There's two different types of scanners:
9	as well as election administration and election policies for	9	precinct scanners and central scanners. But ultimately what
10	federal, state, and local elections officials as well as	10	this is, is a device that scans a piece of paper, interprets
11	internationally with election management bodies.	11	results on that piece of paper, and then saves, in memory or
12	Q. Mr. Macias, is this your first time serving as an expert	12	what we call tabloids, that interpretation.
13	witness?	13	Then there's a third device, which are typically
14	A. No, it is not.	14	referred to as the accessible device, which is a
15	Q. Thank you.	15	ballot-marking device, or a DRE, direct recording electronic
16	Okay. Let's jump to it. What kind of voting systems	16	device. What these are are computer systems where a voter
17	are in use in New Jersey?	17	interacts with a computer or what we call an electronic ballot
18	THE COURT: Sorry. I just what is the area that	18	interface. So the ballot is on screen, typically a touch
19	you're qualifying him as an expert in?	19	screen, and that is how the voter ends up marking their
20	MS. BROMBERG: I'm sorry. I am qualifying him as an	20	ballot.
21	expert with regard to election technology.	21	The main difference between a ballot-marking device and
22	THE COURT: Okay.	22	a DRE is that a DRE, when the voter completes their ballot,
23	MS. BROMBERG: Specifically election security and	23	they submit their selections into memory, and it also acts as
24	election administration.	24	a tabulator, whereas, a ballot-marking device, when you submit
25	THE COURT: All right. And there's an objection	25	your vote selections, it prints out on a piece of paper that
1	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 114 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	77 is ultimately tabulated by one of the scanners that I had	1	79 After that data is input, it is overlaid onto a ballot
2	referred to.	2	layout, or what we call ballot layout software, which is
3		3	
4		4	ultimately what the presentation would look like both on paper as well as in the electronic ballot interface.
5	direct within an hour, by 1 p.m. our time, so I'm sorry if I'm going to try to speed us up a bit.	5	
6		6	Then that is generated into a set of files. One set of
7	So you A. No problem.	7	files, typically what we call a ballot PDF, is just a PDF version of the paper ballot that ends up getting printed, and
8	Q. you spoke about how the election management system,	8	there's an electronic file that goes to each of the devices,
9	the EMS, or the brains, as you called it, is a computer	9	one of which includes the electronic ballot interface that a
10	software that is used for laying out and designing ballots.	10	voter would interact with.
11	Can you please walk us through the process?	11	Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to step 1, the data entry step,
12	A. Yes. So	12	what type of information is entered into the data
13	MR. PARIKH: Objection. I still don't think it's	13	MR. NATALE: Objection, Your Honor.
14	specific enough. I mean, you talked about four or five	14	BY MS. BROMBERG:
15	different types of systems, talked about two different types	15	Q. into the system?
16	of voting machines. You know, if he wants to explain in his	16	MR. NATALE: Objection, Your Honor. I have the same
17	answer which one he's talking about or whether there's a	17	concerns
18	general thing, I just think the record requires clarity.	18	THE COURT: I need you to stand up.
19	THE COURT: All right. I think that's fair.	19	MR. NATALE: Sure, sorry. It's a little bit of tight
20	Ms. Bromberg, can you clarify it?	20	quarters back here
21	MS. BROMBERG: Yes.	21	THE COURT: All right.
22	BY MS. BROMBERG:	22	MR NATALE: I have come to the same concerns raised
23	Q. Mr. Macias, you mentioned earlier that both voting	23	by Mr. Parikh. What is unclear from testimony to me is
24	systems in place in New Jersey, the Dominion system and the	24	whether every voting machine has the same EMS, whether there's
25	ES&S system, both rely on election management softwares.	25	different EMSs, whether the question should be tailored to him
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	LCX	District of New Jersey
	78	\sim	80
1	Is that right, the EMS, the brains?	1	giving information as to what voting machine he's talking
2	A. Correct.	2	about.
3	MS. BROMBERG: Does that satisfy your objection?	3	THE COURT: All right. Let me look at the question.
4	MR. PARIKH: I'm sorry. I don't know if coursel is	4	I'll sustain the objection.
5	addressing the Court or addressing	5	Can you clarify, Ms. Bromberg?
6	THE COURT: Yes, I mean	6	BY MS. BROMBERG:
7	MS. BROMBERG: Okay.	7	Q. Mr. Macias, can you answer the question again? How are
8	THE COURT: I'm going to accress the objection.	8	the two systems, the two voting systems in place in
9	So I sustained Mr. Parikh's earlier objection. He	9	New Jersey, the ES&S system and the Dominion system, the same?
10	hasn't objected a second time, so let's	10	A. So each of the systems have multiple different
11	MS. BROMBERG: Okay.	11	components. Each has an election management system, a
12	THE COURT: move on.	12	tabulator, more specifically, a set of scanners, and then an
13	BY MS. BROMBERG:	13	accessible device, as we typically refer to it, which can be a
14	Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with	14	DRE or a BMV, ballot-marking device.
15	Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing	15	Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in
15 16	Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots.	15 16	Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system?
15 16 17	 Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots. A. Yes. So, as I had previously mentioned, the election 	15 16 17	 Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system? A. That is correct.
15 16 17 18	 Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots. A. Yes. So, as I had previously mentioned, the election management system is a set of technologies. It can be 	15 16 17 18	 Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, if there is a specificity that
15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots. A. Yes. So, as I had previously mentioned, the election management system is a set of technologies. It can be multiple applications, or it can be one application with 	15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, if there is a specificity that you would like to offer with regard to the treatment of the
15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots. A. Yes. So, as I had previously mentioned, the election management system is a set of technologies. It can be multiple applications, or it can be one application with multiple different functions and features. 	15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, if there is a specificity that you would like to offer with regard to the treatment of the EMS or any other aspect of the voting system as to the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots. A. Yes. So, as I had previously mentioned, the election management system is a set of technologies. It can be multiple applications, or it can be one application with multiple different functions and features. But, ultimately, an election official or the 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, if there is a specificity that you would like to offer with regard to the treatment of the EMS or any other aspect of the voting system as to the Dominion system or the ES&S system, will you offer us that
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots. A. Yes. So, as I had previously mentioned, the election management system is a set of technologies. It can be multiple applications, or it can be one application with multiple different functions and features. But, ultimately, an election official or the third-party provider, their contractor who may be laying out a 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, if there is a specificity that you would like to offer with regard to the treatment of the EMS or any other aspect of the voting system as to the Dominion system or the ES&S system, will you offer us that specificity?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots. A. Yes. So, as I had previously mentioned, the election management system is a set of technologies. It can be multiple applications, or it can be one application with multiple different functions and features. But, ultimately, an election official or the third-party provider, their contractor who may be laying out a ballot for them, inputs data. And so this would be contests, 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, if there is a specificity that you would like to offer with regard to the treatment of the EMS or any other aspect of the voting system as to the Dominion system or the ES&S system, will you offer us that specificity? A. Yes. So, in specificity, ES&S, their EMS, or election
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots. A. Yes. So, as I had previously mentioned, the election management system is a set of technologies. It can be multiple applications, or it can be one application with multiple different functions and features. But, ultimately, an election official or the third-party provider, their contractor who may be laying out a 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, if there is a specificity that you would like to offer with regard to the treatment of the EMS or any other aspect of the voting system as to the Dominion system or the ES&S system, will you offer us that specificity?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So, Mr. Macias, please walk us through the process with regard to how to use the EMS for laying out and designing ballots. A. Yes. So, as I had previously mentioned, the election management system is a set of technologies. It can be multiple applications, or it can be one application with multiple different functions and features. But, ultimately, an election official or the third-party provider, their contractor who may be laying out a ballot for them, inputs data. And so this would be contests, candidates, districts, precincts, all of the data that is 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Mr. Macias, to clarify, the two systems in place in New Jersey each have an election management system? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, if there is a specificity that you would like to offer with regard to the treatment of the EMS or any other aspect of the voting system as to the Dominion system or the ES&S system, will you offer us that specificity? A. Yes. So, in specificity, ES&S, their EMS, or election management system, is entitled Electionware. And then

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 115 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	81 Suite.	1	83
2	Election ES&S's Electionware is one piece of	2	In other words, if the Court grants the relief that we're seeking with regard to the preliminary injunction, does
3	software with multiple different with multiple functions	3	that make any change with regard to the need to engage with
4	within, whereas Dominion Voting Systems', Democracy Suite is a	4	step 1?
5	set of applications that perform similar functions to that of	5	MR. PARIKH: Compound. Leading. I don't even know
6	the ES&S's Electionware.	6	that I understand the whole question, Your Honor, so I
7	Q. Okay. Does the process that you just previously	7	would
8	described with regard to step 1, the data entry, step 2 you	8	THE COURT: All right. So there's an objection to
9	were starting to talk about the ballot design and layout	9	compound and leading. I'll sustain it.
10	does that step 1 and step 2 process apply to both voting	10	You can rephrase it.
11	systems in New Jersey?	11	BY MS. BROMBERG:
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. Mr. Macias, does step 1 need to take place regardless of
13	Q. Okay. So can you offer us, again because we got	13	the layout that needs to be put in at step 2?
14	disconnected a bit the data that's entered into the step 1	14	MR. NATALE: Objection. It's still leading, Your
15	process?	15	Honor.
16	A. Yes. So everything that would be necessary to conduct an	16	THE COURT: I'll allow it.
17	election. So it is items such as the contest names so	17	THE WITNESS: Yes. Step 1 has to take place because
18	governor, Senate, president candidate names, districts,	18	you are going to have different candidates, contests,
19	precincting data, and other data that would be necessary to	19	potentially different districts for every single election.
20	design and develop both a ballot as well as data that would	20	BY MS. BROMBERG
21	be necessary for carrying the final ballot generation files to	21	Q. Okay. Mr. Macias, is it possible to adjust the
22	the voting systems.	22	templates?
23	So that is things like what types of voting systems	23	A. Yes Just as you would with a template, it starts as a
24	you're going to be utilizing, in some cases the device	24	format that you would end up modifying based on the given data
25	like, specific information about the device, like serial	25	that was input. So, for instance, in a gubernatorial primary
	United States District Court	6	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey 82	, O	District of New Jersey 84
1	numbers or what precincts they are going to be loaded with and	1	election, you may have a contest of governor that has five
2	so on and so forth.	2	candidates in the 2020 election or 2018 election. Then when
3	Q. Let's go to step 2. After you put in the data entry into	3	you are reusing that template for a 2022 election, there may
4	either EMS system, what is the step 2 process for the layout	4	only be three candidates. So you would end up having to
5	and design?	5	remove two of the candidate areas. And so these templates are
6	A. Yes. So you can design a ballot layout from scratch. So	6	modified for every given election.
7	I equate this to starting with a blank Word document. And you	7	Q. Does the EMS software have the ability to lay out a
8	can design a ballot or lay out a ballot using a blank template	8	ballot in portrait mode, vertically?
9	or a blank format in placing the data and information into the	9	MR. PARIKH: Objection, Your Honor. Just on the word
10	necessary locations on the ballot display, or you can import	10	"layout," I think that they've been using, you know, certain
11	existing templates.	11	phrases interchangeably, and I think that the meaning here in
12	So I equate this to basically bringing in an existing	12	this question
13	template in Word, or, like, a memo style, and then what you do	13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	is you associate that data into the template. So you	14	He can answer it if he understands the question.
15	basically overlay the names of the candidates, the names of	15	BY MS. BROMBERG:
16	the contests onto an existing template.	16	Q. Do you know the question, Mr. Macias?
17	And these templates typically include elections that	17	 A. Yes. Describe EMC astronomy have the shilling to law out a
18 19	the election official or their vendor has designed in the	18 19	Q. Does the EMS software have the ability to lay out a
13	past. So it could be a previous gubernatorial primary, a gubernatorial general, presidential primary, presidential	20	ballot in portrait, vertically? A. Yes.
20		20	Q. Does it have the ability to lay out a ballot in
20 21			
21	general, et cetera.		
21 22	general, et cetera. Q. With regard to step 1, the data entry stage where you put	22	landscape, horizontally?
21	general, et cetera.Q. With regard to step 1, the data entry stage where you put in the candidates, the number of office offices being		landscape, horizontally? A. Yes.
21 22 23	general, et cetera. Q. With regard to step 1, the data entry stage where you put	22 23	landscape, horizontally? A. Yes.
21 22 23 24	general, et cetera.Q. With regard to step 1, the data entry stage where you put in the candidates, the number of office offices being sought, the precinct information, does that have an impact on	22 23 24	Iandscape, horizontally?A. Yes.Q. Does this also apply to electronic ballots?
21 22 23 24	general, et cetera.Q. With regard to step 1, the data entry stage where you put in the candidates, the number of office offices being sought, the precinct information, does that have an impact on step 2 in terms of sequence or timing?	22 23 24	 Iandscape, horizontally? A. Yes. Q. Does this also apply to electronic ballots? A. So most voting devices have the ability to lay out

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 116 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	85	1	87
1	ballots in both portrait and landscape. Some are unique in	2	as an exhibit for plaintiffs? MS. BROMBERG: I would like to mark it as an exhibit,
2 3	that they can only do one or the other.	2	Your Honor, as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1.
4	Q. Okay. Are the voting systems in use in New Jersey, the	4	
4 5	ES&S, EVS 6200 and the 63 series, as you previously attested	5	THE COURT: All right. So this isn't something that
6	 and the Dominion Democracy Suite voting systems certified? MR. NATALE: Objection. 	6	was previously admitted? MS. BROMBERG: It was also previously admitted to the
7	-	7	Court, entered on the docket as ECF 95.
8	THE COURT: I see your spacing is tight now. I'm exempting you from standing to address the Court because I'm	8	THE COURT: All right.
9	appreciating more how tight it is in that L shape of the table	9	So you're marking it as P-1.
10	over, but what have you got?	10	Is there any objection to this document? It's part of
11	MR. NATALE: I appreciate Your Honor's relief on	11	the docket?
12	that.	12	MR. GENOVA: Yeah, it's part of the docket,
13	THE COURT: All right. Well, what is the objection,	13	Your Honor. I there's no objection to her talking to the
14	though?	14	witness about publishing it, but I'm really not so sure what
15	MR. NATALE: There are multiple types of	15	the scope is.
16	certification. I think she needs to specify.	16	THE COURT: All right. Well, I don't know either
17	THE COURT: I agree. Objection is sustained. What	17	until I hear the question. So if there's an objection, I'll
18	specific certification are you referring to in that question,	18	wait for it, but now, Ms. Bromberg
19	so it's clear?	19	All right. So it's P-1. Let me ask you this: Have
20	BY MS. BROMBERG:	20	the parties decided, it something's already been previously
21	Q. Mr. Macias, can you please describe the certification for	21	submitted to the Court, you're not going readmit it for
22	the ES&S EVS 6200 and 6300 series?	22	purposes of the hearing, or are you double-tapping that?
23	A. Yes. ES&S's EVS 6300 and 6200, respectively, are	23	How are you-all deciding to deal with that issue since
24	certified by the United States Election Assistance Commission,	24	you've been meeting and conferring?
25	so federal certification, as well as certified by the	25	MR. PARIKH: We have not met and conferred on the
	United States District Court	0	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	~CX	District of New Jersey
	86	K_	88
1	Secretary of State of New Jersey and approved for use in the	1	evidence issues with respect to that, Your Honor.
2	State of New Jersey.	2	THE COURT: All right. Well, then let me just
3	Q. And can you please describe the certification of the	3	clarify it now. If anything has been previously submitted to
4	Dominion Democracy Suite voting systems in New Jersey?	4	the Court, why don't we why don't we say now that we agree
5	A. The version utilized in New Jersey is certified by the	5	that it's deemed admitted so you don't have to resubmit the
6	Secretary of State of New Jersey and approved for use in the	6	same document that's already on the docket? Notwithstanding
7	State of New Jersey elections.	7	the attorney general's letter, which I know Mr. Genova is
8	Q. Mr. Macias, did you have an opportunity to review the	8	going to say you've reserved on that.
9	certification offered in this matter written by Edward Perez,	9	MR. PARIKH: I think the only concern with certain
10	which is entered on the docket as ECF 95?	10	documents like this one, Your Honor, is there's been no
11	A. Yes.	11	opportunity to cross-examine, et cetera. I believe Your Honor
12	Q. Okay. One moment, please.	12	can take the weight of that appropriately.
13	(Brief pause.)	13	So I would have no objection, unless any other defense
14	MS. BROMBERG: Thank you.	14	counsel have an objection.
15	BY MS. BROMBERG:	15	THE COURT: Well, either way, I consider I
16	Q. Mr. Macias, do you see it on my screen?	16	consider affidavits, certifications all those are fair game
17	A. Yes, I do.	17	at a preliminary injunction.
18	MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, this hasn't been marked. I	18	MR. PARIKH: Yes, Your Honor.
19	don't know. There was no request to publish it to the	19	THE COURT: So I am going to consider all that, but
20	witness. I'm not really sure	20	they're deemed admitted, but I think for purposes of here,
21	THE COURT: What is the exhibit?	21	let's identify it with an exhibit number to say this is P-1.
22	MR. DARROW: what it's being offered for.	22	There's now no objection from the defense to show it to the
23	THE COURT: What's the exhibit?	23	witness, and we'll find out what you're going to ask.
24	By the way, all those objections are sustained.	24	I don't know what the scope of this direct exam is
25	Ms. Bromberg, what are we doing here? Is this marked	25	going to be if there's an objection.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 117 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	You may continue.	1	THE COURT: Woah, woah, woah. I didn't abbreviate
2	MS. BROMBERG: Thank you.	2	your testimony at all.
3	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 in evidence.)	3	MS. BROMBERG: No, no, that's not what I'm
4	MS. BROMBERG: I'll identify as Exhibit Number P-1,	4	suggesting.
5	which was entered in the docket as ECF 95, the certification	5	THE COURT: And I didn't do it for lunch. If you're
6	offered by Edward P Perez.	6	cutting out but what is the response to the objection?
7	BY MS. BROMBERG:	7	
8		8	MS. BROMBERG: The response to the objection is I
	Q. Mr. Macias, are you familiar with this document?		would like I'm happy to clarify that this is with regard to
9	A. Yes.	9	the election technology field that Mr. Macias is here to speak
10 11	Q. Okay.	10 11	to as a proposed expert.
	And does Mr. Perez's explanation of step 1 as you	12	He's an expert in the field. There's another certification offered. I would like him to reflect on that
12 13	articulated and step 2 comport with the description you just offered?	13	
		14	certification specifically as a detailed
14 15	A. Yes.	14	THE COURT: Okay. I'll allow it. You may continue.
-	Q. Okay.		MS. BROMBERG: Thank you.
16	MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I'll note the hearsay issue	16	BY MS. BROMBERG:
17	there, and I understand	17	Q. Mr. Macias, I'd like to show you the declaration of
18 19	THE COURT: Acceptable. The rules of evidence are relaxed here.	18 19	Flavio Komuves, which I'm marking as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, which was previously on the docket at DE 951.
-			
20	MR. PARIKH: I absolutely do, Your Honor. I want	20	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 in evidence.)
21	just to make it clear for the record that the	21	MS. BROMBERG: One moment, please.
22	THE COURT: So you're going to object to every	22	(Brief pause.)
23	hearsay objection?	23	BY MS. BROMBERG:
24	MR. PARIKH: I will not, Your Honor.	24	Q. Are you familiar with this declaration, Mr. Macias?
25	THE COURT: The objection is noted. Rules of	25	A. Yes.
	United States District Court	6	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey 90	\sim	District of New Jersey 92
1	evidence are relaxed in a preliminary injunction hearing I.	1	Q. Okay. And do you recognize the exhibits which are
2	I will allow that question, and the answer, I believe,	2	included, attached to this certification, Exhibits A through
3	was captured, correct?	3	F?
4	MS. BROMBERG: Yes, sir.	4	A. Yes.
5	THE COURT: All right.	5	Q. Okay. How do you know that each of these ballots are
6	BY MS. BROMBERG:	6	what they purport to be?
7	Q. Mr. Macias, are Mr. Perez's explanation and conclusions	7	A. So each of these sample ballots, I went to the specific
8	commonly understood and standard within the voting machines	8	or the respective elections officials' website and looked up
9	field?	9	the information and saw exact copies as of what is in these
10	MR. NATALE: Objection.	10	exhibits.
11			
	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11	Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, do each of these ballots include
12	THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Hold on, There's an objection.	11 12	Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, do each of these ballots include at least one race presented to voters in an office-block
12 13	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection.	12	at least one race presented to voters in an office-block
12 13 14			
13	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was	12 13	at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format?
13 14	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused	12 13 14	at least one race presented to voters in an office-blockformat?A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on
13 14 15	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into	12 13 14 15	at least one race presented to voters in an office-blockformat?A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does.
13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please. MR. NATALE: Sure.	12 13 14 15 16	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F?
13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please.	12 13 14 15 16 17	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F? A. Exhibit F does.
13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please. MR. NATALE: Sure. THE COURT: Sorry. What is the objection?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F? A. Exhibit F does. MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just object. There's no
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please. MR. NATALE: Sure. THE COURT: Sorry. What is the objection? MR. NATALE: I would object. We understand what	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F? A. Exhibit F does. MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just object. There's no foundation laid for what an office-block format is. If
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please. MR. NATALE: Sure. THE COURT: Sorry. What is the objection? MR. NATALE: I would object. We understand what the what fields he is purported to be an expert in. The	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F? A. Exhibit F does. MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just object. There's no foundation laid for what an office-block format is. If Your Honor wants to take it under advisement, I'm trying to
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please. MR. NATALE: Sure. THE COURT: Sorry. What is the objection? MR. NATALE: I would object. We understand what the what fields he is purported to be an expert in. The voting machines field is something that is undefined. I'm not	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F? A. Exhibit F does. MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just object. There's no foundation laid for what an office-block format is. If Your Honor wants to take it under advisement, I'm trying to but I want to note the objection for the record.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please. MR. NATALE: Sure. THE COURT: Sorry. What is the objection? MR. NATALE: I would object. We understand what the what fields he is purported to be an expert in. The voting machines field is something that is undefined. I'm not sure what standard he's being asked about.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F? A. Exhibit F does. MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just object. There's no foundation laid for what an office-block format is. If Your Honor wants to take it under advisement, I'm trying tobut I want to note the objection for the record. THE COURT: I'm sure it's going to come out today.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please. MR. NATALE: Sure. THE COURT: Sorry. What is the objection? MR. NATALE: I would object. We understand what the what fields he is purported to be an expert in. The voting machines field is something that is undefined. I'm not sure what standard he's being asked about. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I have a short period of	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F? A. Exhibit F does. MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just object. There's no foundation laid for what an office-block format is. If Your Honor wants to take it under advisement, I'm trying to but I want to note the objection for the record. THE COURT: I'm sure it's going to come out today. You may continue.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please. MR. NATALE: Sure. THE COURT: Sorry. What is the objection? MR. NATALE: I would object. We understand what the what fields he is purported to be an expert in. The voting machines field is something that is undefined. I'm not sure what standard he's being asked about. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I have a short period of time to get the testimony of my witness, which is abbreviated	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F? A. Exhibit F does. MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just object. There's no foundation laid for what an office-block format is. If Your Honor wants to take it under advisement, I'm trying to but I want to note the objection for the record. THE COURT: I'm sure it's going to come out today. You may continue. BY MS. BROMBERG:
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	THE COURT: Hold on. There's an objection. MR. NATALE: I was going to be honest; I was confused THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Natale, can you speak into the microphone, please. MR. NATALE: Sure. THE COURT: Sorry. What is the objection? MR. NATALE: I would object. We understand what the what fields he is purported to be an expert in. The voting machines field is something that is undefined. I'm not sure what standard he's being asked about. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I have a short period of time to get the testimony of my witness, which is abbreviated in the interest of lunch, and what we need to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 at least one race presented to voters in an office-block format? A. Can you scroll through each respectively? The one on screen right now does. Q. That's the bottom one. That's Exhibit F? A. Exhibit F does. MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just object. There's no foundation laid for what an office-block format is. If Your Honor wants to take it under advisement, I'm trying tobut I want to note the objection for the record. THE COURT: I'm sure it's going to come out today. You may continue. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. So, Mr. Macias, does Exhibit E show at least one race

	Case: 24-1593 Docume	ent: 10 F	Page	: 118	Date Filed: 04/01/2024	
		93	-		95	
1	presented to the voters in an office-block format?		-		uling. She's going to be able to get an answer to	
2	A. Yes.		2	her quest	ion.	
3	Q . That's with respect to Camden County.		3	S	you can answer the question, Mr. Macias.	
4	Mr. Macias, does Exhibit D, also Camden County	, display	4		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
5	at least one race presented to the voters in an office-bl	ock	5	Ye	es. All paper excuse me both voting systems can	
6	format?		6	lay out a	I paper ballots in a traditional office-block style.	
7	A. Yes.		7	BY MS. B	ROMBERG:	
8	Q. Mr. Macias, does Exhibit C for the county of Hudso	n	8	Q. Mr.	Macias, can you tell us with a reasonable degree of	
9	include at least one race presented to the voters in an		9	scientific	certainty that all electronic ballot interfaces in	
10	office-block format?		10	New Jers	ey can offer an office-block presentation style?	
11	A. Yes.		11		THE COURT: Noted.	
12	Q. Mr. Macias, does Exhibit B for Ocean County I'm	1	12		MR. PARIKH: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.	
13	sorry for Monmouth County display at least one race		13	Yo	our Honor, I just noted	
14	presented to the voters in an office-block format?		14		THE COURT: I know. Let's do that. Because I want	
15	A. Yes.		15	Ms. Brom	berg to get through her witness, and you guys are	
16	Q . Last one, Mr. Macias, does Exhibit A for the county		16	starting t	o push my buttons.	
17	Morris include at least one race presented to the voters	in an	17		MR. PARIKH: Note the running objection on that	
18	office-block format?		18	particula		
19	A. Yes.		19		THE COURT: All right.	
20	Q . Thank you, Mr. Macias.		20		THE WITNESS: I did not hear. Was that sustained, or	
21	Mr. Macias, you testified earlier with regard to significant to sinterval to significant to sign	ep 2	21	can I ans		
22	that step 2 offers the process for layout and design.		22		THE COURT: You can answer the question, Mr. Macias.	
23	Can you offer specificity with regard to your		23	\cap	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
24	description of layout for both systems?		24		es. Each voting system has the ability to lay out an	
25	A. Can you repeat the question, please.		25	electronic	ballot interface in a traditional office-block	
	United States District Court		8	2	United States District Court	
	District of New Jersey		\ominus		District of New Jersey	
		94			96	
1	Q. Mr. Macias, in step 2 of the EMS process, the layor		1	-	wever, there is one voting device in New Jersey,	
2 3	design system, does that layout option offer a technological grid-based format?	gical	2 3		ly, the ES&S ExpressVote XL. That requires a ed ballot layout.	
4	A. Both ES&S and Dominion have the ability to i		4	5	ROMBERG:	
- 5	ballot in a grid-based format, yes.	ay out a	5		can that ES&S ExpressVote XL voting machine, which	
6	Q. And is another form of layout set out in step 2 an		6		which uses a grid template can it present with	
7	office-block format?		7	•	block presentation style?	
8	A. I do not believe that the EMS's called them of	fice-block	8		. As a matter of fact, what is on-screen right now	
9	layout, but a traditional office-block layout is what		9		n F. Grossi, County Clerk, appears to be the electron	nic
10	consider a bubble-based or generally referred to a		10		terface for ExpressVote XL and presents to the vote	
11	bubble-based ballot.		11		e-block-like ballot layout with all candidates listed	
12	And that is what we would also call an offic	e-block	12		ile column.	
13	layout, and, yes, both systems have the ability to		13	-	Macias, can you tell us, with a reasonable degree of	
14	ballot in that format.	5	14	scientific	certainty, that all voting systems and all voting	
15	Q. And is there a system that is there a machine the	nat	15	devices i	n New Jersey can provide voters with a ballot that	
16	you know what? Let me pause that question. I'll move		16	does not	use the county-line-style ballot format?	
17	forward.		17	A. Yes	. Both voting systems or all voting systems used	k
18	Mr. Macias, can you tell us with a reasonable de	gree of	18		lersey have the ability to lay out ballots without the	
19	scientific certainty that all vote-by-mail ballots in the		19		ine style.	
20	State of New Jersey can offer an office-block presentati	on	20		MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I apologize because I do	
21	style to the voters?		21	know tha	t Your Honor wants to get through this. I will note a	
22	MR. PARIKH: Objection. Same objection as e	arlier	22	running o	bjection also to the characterization of anything	
23	with respect to the in limine motions, conclusory nature	,	23	that's a c	ounty-line style ballot. It's bracketing. That's	
24	et cetera, with a scientific basis.		24	what's re	ferenced in the statutes. I know that the plaintiffs	
25	THE COURT: All right. I'll reserve on it, thoug	jh	25	have use	d that term colloquially throughout their briefing and	
	United States District Court				United States District Court	
	District of New Jersey				District of New Jersey	

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pade	e: 119 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	97		99
1	whatnot, but I do think it's a mischaracterization of exactly	1	complicated.
2	what's going on here.	2	THE COURT: Sorry.
3	And I just note the running objection to that	3	If you're going to object, speak up.
4	throughout the proceedings because I'm sure it will come up	_	Who is objecting, and what is it? I can't hear you.
5	many times.	5	MR. NATALE: I would object again to the
6	THE COURT: All right. Your objection is noted, and	6	characterization of
7 8	that's fair.	8	THE COURT: Overruled.
9	And look, by the way, to be clear, we'll talk about	9	MR. PARIKH: There's also a lack of foundation,
10	lunch, about your response to the motions in limine and when	10	Your Honor, but -
11	they're due. So just remind me when we take the break, let's address that before I let you guys off to lunch.	11	THE COURT: All right. Overruled. Go ahead, Ms. Bromberg.
12	All right. Go ahead, Ms. Bromberg.	12	BY MS. BROMBERG:
13	MS. BROMBERG: Thank you.	13	Q. Mr. Macias, is it your conclusion that the county-line
14	BY MS. BROMBERG:	14	style formats are a simplified or a more complicated format
15	Q. Mr. Macias, how can a grid-based voting template, like	15	than the other ballot formats?
16	that used by the ExpressVote XL, be generated to look similar	16	A. Yeah, the county-line is a more complicated format. If
17	to an office-block style?	17	you just look at it from a mathematical perspective, a
18	A. Yes. So grid-based ballots generally, or typically	18	traditional office-block style ballot would be a single
19	basically, whenever they are used outside of the state of New	19	column. And so if there are four candidates, it would have
20	Jersey so the other four states, Louisiana, New York,	20	four cells, one column for each of the four candidates.
21	Delaware, and Pennsylvania present a voter with a ballot	21	Whereas, the county-line style ballot requires a multitude of
22	that has all candidates listed in a single column to appear to	22	columns for which the candidates can be laid out.
23	the voter similar to that of an office-block layout.	23	And so, again, as an example, it may take four columns
24	So presenting all candidates under a single contest or	24	by the four candidates, or the four rows, equivalent to 16
25	alongside, as we are seeing on-screen right now, a single	25	different cells in which a candidate can be placed. That is
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	d G	District of New Jersey
	98		100
1	98 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns.	1	100 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block
1 2		1 2	
_	contest as opposed to across multiple different columns.		much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block
2 3 4	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used 	2 3 4	much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out.
2 3 4 5	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of 	2 3 4 5	much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out.Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the
2 3 4 5 6	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across 	2 3 4 5 6	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows
2 3 4 5 6 7	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of fine states across the country. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election official to conduct what we call logic and accuracy testing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style require recertification or retesting of the New Jersey ES&S or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election official to conduct what we call logic and accuracy testing which is required prior to every election. Because you then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style require recertification or retesting of the New Jersey ES&S or Dominion Voting Systems? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election official to conduct what we call logic and accuracy testing which is required prior to every election. Because you then have to be able to test every single cell to ensure that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style require recertification or retesting of the New Jersey ES&S or Dominion Voting Systems? A. It does not because it is already a part of the current 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election official to conduct what we call logic and accuracy testing which is required prior to every election. Because you then have to be able to test every single cell to ensure that the cell is operating correctly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style require recertification or retesting of the New Jersey ES&S or Dominion Voting Systems? A. It does not because it is already a part of the current certified system. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election official to conduct what we call logic and accuracy testing which is required prior to every election. Because you then have to be able to test every single cell to ensure that the cell is operating correctly. Q. Mr. Macias, I'm going to refer us to your report, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style require recertification or retesting of the New Jersey ES&S or Dominion Voting Systems? A. It does not because it is already a part of the current certified system. Q. Is it your conclusion that county-line style formats are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election official to conduct what we call logic and accuracy testing which is required prior to every election. Because you then have to be able to test every single cell to ensure that the cell is operating correctly. Q. Mr. Macias, I'm going to refer us to your report, which I'm marking into evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style require recertification or retesting of the New Jersey ES&S or Dominion Voting Systems? A. It does not because it is already a part of the current certified system. Q. Is it your conclusion that county-line style formats are a simplified or a more complicated format than the other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election official to conduct what we call logic and accuracy testing which is required prior to every election. Because you then have to be able to test every single cell to ensure that the cell is operating correctly. Q. Mr. Macias, I'm going to refer us to your report, which I'm marking into evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3, which is in the record as ECF 115-1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style require recertification or retesting of the New Jersey ES&S or Dominion Voting Systems? A. It does not because it is already a part of the current certified system. Q. Is it your conclusion that county-line style formats are a simplified or a more complicated format than the other ballot formats? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election official to conduct what we call logic and accuracy testing which is required prior to every election. Because you then have to be able to test every single cell to ensure that the cell is operating correctly. Q. Mr. Macias, I'm going to refer us to your report, which I'm marking into evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3, which is in the record as ECF 115-1. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 in evidence.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 contest as opposed to across multiple different columns. Q. Are the Dominion and ES&S voting systems used in New Jersey the same that are used across the country? A. The the ES&S 6300 and 6200 respectively are used throughout the country. They're certified in a majority of the states and are utilized in a majority of the states across the country. The Dominion Voting Systems 5.15 specifically, I believe, is unique to New Jersey. However, the Dominion Voting Systems 5 series so what we would call 5.X, so the 5.5, 5.17, 5.0, et cetera are used in a majority of the states across the country. Q. Okay. Do those other states use a county-line style ballot format? A. No, that is unique to New Jersey. Q. Does the provision of the traditional office-block style require recertification or retesting of the New Jersey ES&S or Dominion Voting Systems? A. It does not because it is already a part of the current certified system. Q. Is it your conclusion that county-line style formats are a simplified or a more complicated format than the other ballot formats? MR. NATALE: Objection. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 much more complicated than four cells in which an office-block style would be laid out. Q. How does the use of a county-line style format impact the time needed to program the voting systems? A. Well, the answer would be similar in the fact that it is more complicated. You have to create additional rows excuse me, additional columns for the candidates that are part of that given election. And so the more columns you have to create, the more time that it takes, number one. And number two is it requires more time for the election official to conduct the proofing or basically to determine and ensure the accuracy to make sure that the candidate is in the right cell. And then lastly is it takes more time for the election official to conduct what we call logic and accuracy testing which is required prior to every election. Because you then have to be able to test every single cell to ensure that the cell is operating correctly. Q. Mr. Macias, I'm going to refer us to your report, which I'm marking into evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3, which is in the record as ECF 115-1. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 in evidence.)

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rage	: 120	Date Filed: 04/01/2024	
	101			103	3
1	A. I see it on the screen, yes.	1	yes, tha	t is similar.	
2	Q. Okay. Referring to Figure 1 in your report, which is on	2		ay. Is this an example of a New Jersey county using	
3	page 16, can this be designed using a grid-based ballot	3	the offic	e-block presentation from Figure 2?	
4	system I'm sorry. Let me rephrase.	4	A. Ye	5.	
5	Can this be designed, Figure 1, using a grid-based	5		v do you know that this is an office-block	
6	ballot?	6	presenta	tion? How do you know that that's possible here?	
7	A. Yes. A grid-based template would be laid out in 1, 2, 3,	7		this is a sample ballot from Camden County, which	٦
8	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 this would be what we would call a two	8	actually	, if you scroll up a little bit well so it	
9	column by nine row. So a two-by-nine grid-based ballot.	9		nere, across the top, that this is the official	
10	Q. Okay. Can this be done on all New Jersey's voting	10		sample ballot for the Tuesday, November 7th, 202	23,
11	systems?	11		. And it is a sample ballot, is an exact copy as	
12	A. Yes.	12		Ited for that election for ballots on Election Day.	
13	Q. Is this an office-block-like ballot?	13		o this reflects that this ballot was used in an	
14	A. Yes, it is using a grid-based template to present like an	14		in 2023 in Camden County.	
15	office-block ballot layout.	15		y. Was this a ballot for voting machines or for paper	
16	Q. Is this the layout and format in use in other states?	16	ballots?		
17	A. Where a grid-based ballot is used, yes.	17		s is a paper ballot.	
18	Q. What other states are those, Mr. Macias? Just a few.	18		y. Mr. Macias, I'm putting up another image, which is	
19	A. So grid-based generally are in Louisiana, some	19	-	eport, but it is included in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 as	
20	jurisdictions in Pennsylvania, some jurisdictions in New York,	20		of ECF 95	
21	and the state of Delaware.	21		s this an example of a New Jersey county using an	
22	Q. Do those states use the ES&S voting systems?	22		ock presentation that you're referring to?	
23	A. Pennsylvania, New Jersey or excuse me, New York, and	23	A. Ye		
24	Delaware do use ES&S voting systems.	24 25	1V	his an office-block display set up with a grid-based	
25	Q. Okay. Ryan, I'm Mr. Macias, I'm moving us to	25	layout?	United States District Count	
	United States District Court	6	2	United States District Court	
	District of New Jersey	0		District of New Jersey	
	102 Firme 2 which is seen 17 of which is			104	ł
1					
1	Figure 2, which is page 17 of your report.	1	A. Ye		
2	A. Uh-huh.	1 2 3	Q . Ok	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias.	
2 3	A. Uh-huh.Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template?	3	Q. Ok	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense	
2 3 4	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it 	3 4	Q . Ok [by Benja	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the	
2 3 4 5	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a 	3 4 5	Q. Ok [by Benja principa	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S?	
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, 	3 4 5 6	Q. Ok [by Benja principal A. Ye	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did.	
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the 	3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Ok Dy Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok 	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by-four grid for the right-hand column. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Ok by Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok 	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Ok by Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scree	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by-four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Ok by Enja principal A. A. Ye Q. Ok the screet A.	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Ok by Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scre A. Ye which p	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Ok by Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scre A. Ye which p	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by-four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Ok by Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok E the scree A. Ye which p Q. Do 	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by-four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Ok by Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok E the scree A. Ye which p Q. Do 	 ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. aid you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? as, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. b. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Ok by Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok E the scree A. Ye which p Q. Do 	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Ok by Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scre A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh	 ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. MS. BROMBERG: I can I'll mark this as Plaintiffs' 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Ok principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scree A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh	 ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by-four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. Q. Let me direct us to one. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Ok principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scree A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. to you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. MS. BROMBERG: I can I'll mark this as Plaintiffs' , please. It is the Benjamin Swartz affidavit in the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. Q. Let me direct us to one. So just by way of example, Exhibit D, previously 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Ok principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scre A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh Exhibit 4 record a ES&S.	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. to you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. MS. BROMBERG: I can I'll mark this as Plaintiffs' , please. It is the Benjamin Swartz affidavit in the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. Q. Let me direct us to one. So just by way of example, Exhibit D, previously entered into the record as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, Exhibit D, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Ok principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scre A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh Exhibit 4 record a ES&S.	 ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. MS. BROMBERG: I can I'll mark this as Plaintiffs' , please. It is the Benjamin Swartz affidavit in the s DE 46, the principal state certification manager for 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by-four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. Q. Let me direct us to one. So just by way of example, Exhibit D, previously entered into the record as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, Exhibit D, the Camden County ballot for Cherry Hill. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Ok principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scree A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh Exhibit 4 record a ES&S. (F	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. S. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. MS. BROMBERG: I can I'll mark this as Plaintiffs' , please. It is the Benjamin Swartz affidavit in the s DE 46, the principal state certification manager for laintiff's Exhibit 4 in evidence.)	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by-four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. Q. Let me direct us to one. So just by way of example, Exhibit D, previously entered into the record as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, Exhibit D, the Camden County ballot for Cherry Hill. Does that look like the Figure 2 we just took a look 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Ok by Benja principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scre A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh Exhibit 4 record a ES&S. (P BY MS. 1	ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. to you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. S. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. MS. BROMBERG: I can I'll mark this as Plaintiffs' , please. It is the Benjamin Swartz affidavit in the s DE 46, the principal state certification manager for laintiff's Exhibit 4 in evidence.) THE COURT: Thank you.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. Q. Let me direct us to one. So just by way of example, Exhibit D, previously entered into the record as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, Exhibit D, the Camden County ballot for Cherry Hill. Does that look like the Figure 2 we just took a look at? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Ok principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scre A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh Exhibit 4 record a ES&S. (P BY MS. I Q. Mr.	 ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. MS. BROMBERG: I can I'll mark this as Plaintiffs' , please. It is the Benjamin Swartz affidavit in the s DE 46, the principal state certification manager for laintiff's Exhibit 4 in evidence.) THE COURT: Thank you. BROMBERG: 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. Q. Let me direct us to one. So just by way of example, Exhibit D, previously entered into the record as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, Exhibit D, the Camden County ballot for Cherry Hill. Does that look like the Figure 2 we just took a look at? A. In part, yes, it does. Insofar as that there is the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 24	Q. Ok principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scre A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh Exhibit 4 record a ES&S. (P BY MS. I Q. Mr. when he	 ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. s. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. MS. BROMBERG: I can I'll mark this as Plaintiffs' , please. It is the Benjamin Swartz affidavit in the s DE 46, the principal state certification manager for laintiff's Exhibit 4 in evidence.) THE COURT: Thank you. ROMBERG: Macias, in paragraph eight, what does Mr. Swartz mean 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Uh-huh. Q. Can this be designed using a grid-based template? A. Yes, similar to Figure 1. The difference here is that it would be a one-by it would be a one-by-six layout on a grid-based ballot for the left-hand column, and then, subsequently, you would create a one-by-four grid for the right-hand column. Q. Is this layout and format in use in other New Jersey counties? A. Yes. Q. What counties is that? Can you give us one example, Mr. Macias? A. I would need to refer back to the ballots to name specifically the counties that use this layout. I do not recall off of the top of my head. Q. Let me direct us to one. So just by way of example, Exhibit D, previously entered into the record as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, Exhibit D, the Camden County ballot for Cherry Hill. Does that look like the Figure 2 we just took a look at? A. In part, yes, it does. Insofar as that there is the left-hand column with one grid-based layout and then a second 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 24	Q. Ok principal A. Ye Q. Ok the scre A. Ye which p Q. Do this exh Exhibit 4 record a ES&S. (P BY MS. I Q. Mr. when he	 ay. Thank you, Mr. Macias. id you review the affidavit submitted by the defense min Swartz, which is on the record as DE 46, the state certification manager of ES&S? s, I did. ay. And you were oh, okay. o you recall paragraph eight therein? I can put it on en as well. S. Please put that on the screen. I do not recall aragraph off the top of my head. you see it now? MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I just ask that counsel mark bit so the record's clear. THE COURT: Agreed. MS. BROMBERG: I can I'll mark this as Plaintiffs' , please. It is the Benjamin Swartz affidavit in the s DE 46, the principal state certification manager for laintiff's Exhibit 4 in evidence.) THE COURT: Thank you. BROMBERG: Macias, in paragraph eight, what does Mr. Swartz mean refers to a what is Mr. Swartz telling us in 	

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10		e: 121 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	Case. 24-1395 Document. 10 1 105	aya	107
1	A. So it is assumed that the first sentence is saying that	1	be determined feasibility for the EVS 6300 or the EVS 6200 as
2	the two systems, the two ES&S systems EVS 6200 and EVS	2	we know, in fact, that other styles are used across the
3	6300, specifically the ExpressVote XL used in New Jersey	3	country
4	were tested and certified using the New Jersey traditional	4	Q. And what about
5	ballot-style layout, which includes a grid-based ballot using	5	A using that using on that device.
6	a county-line style.	6	Q. And what about for the Dominion systems?
7 8	That is what I assume he is referring to as a	7 8	A. For the Dominion system, I also know that the system can
9	traditional ballot layout style. Again, I will call that a New Jersey traditional ballot layout style and not a	9	use different layouts than what is used in New Jersey. Q. Okay. And, Mr. Macias, the next sentence where he
10	traditional ballot layout style.	10	describes: Depending on the ballot layout style requirements,
11	Second, it says, depending on ballot layout style	11	any changes would require development, testing, and
12	format, changes may need to be tested and certified to include	12	certification of a new and or updated version of software.
13	new or updated versions of the software.	13	What is your is this applicable to New Jersey's
14	And then the last thing is that any modifications or	14	voting machines for the purposes of offering an office-block
15	changes and any modifications or changes that would require	15	display presentation?
16	updated software and, therefore, a retest and recertification	16	MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, I believe this witness has
17	cannot be implemented prior to the 2024 primary election.	17	testified multiple times now that the EVS 6200 and the
18	Q. Do you agree with Mr. Swartz's description of traditional	18	EVS 6300 are capable, but the ExpressVote is not.
19	to describe the ballot layout style?	19	And when we talk in generalities about election
20	MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, just relevancy. I mean, the	20	machines, it really with I think, make things confusing with
21	certification says what it says. What his opinion is of	21	respect to a question like this.
22	what's traditional	22	So I would ask that counsel
23	THE COURT: Well, he's opposing your expert, right?	23	THE COURT: What's the objection? To the form of the
24	You have this individual. She's got somebody else, and she's	24	question?
25	asking whether you agree with or not. No? I'm going to allow	25	MR. PARIKH: Too general, Your Honor. It's undercut
	United States District Court District of New Jersey		United States District Court District of New Jersey
-	106	<u>_</u>	108
1	it.	1	by foundational evidence.
1 2		1 2	
	it.		by foundational evidence.
2	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn.	2	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you
23	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's	2 3	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness?
2 3 4 5 6	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional	2 3 4 5 6	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an	2 3 4 5 6 7	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overw'helming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any deviations from that style would need to be evaluated to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software with respect to New Jersey's current voting systems?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overw!uelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any deviations from that style would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software with respect to New Jersey's current voting systems? A. Well, the question is open ended in that it says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any deviations from that style would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility? And, Mr. Macias, if you can please offer some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software with respect to New Jersey's current voting systems? A. Well, the question is open ended in that it says depending on ballot layout style requirements. There may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any deviations from that style would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility? And, Mr. Macias, if you can please offer some description of what that style here, how you're assuming it to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software with respect to New Jersey's current voting systems? A. Well, the question is open ended in that it says depending on ballot layout style requirements. There may be ballot style requirements that would require testing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any deviations from that style would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility? And, Mr. Macias, if you can please offer some description of what that style here, how you're assuming it to be applied. A. So from that style, again, I infer that Mr. Swartz is talking about the New Jersey grid-based, county-line style, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software with respect to New Jersey's current voting systems? A. Well, the question is open ended in that it says depending on ballot layout style requirements. There may be ballot style requirements that would require testing development testing and new software and, therefore, new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any deviations from that style would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility? And, Mr. Macias, if you can please offer some description of what that style here, how you're assuming it to be applied. A. So from that style, again, I infer that Mr. Swartz is talking about the New Jersey grid-based, county-line style, and any deviations from that would need to be evaluated to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software with respect to New Jersey's current voting systems? A. Well, the question is open ended in that it says depending on ballot layout style requirements. There may be ballot style requirements that would require testing development testing and new software and, therefore, new retesting or recertification. However, as it applies to the styles that we are talking about here, so a grid-based ballot layout that appears
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any deviations from that style would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility? And, Mr. Macias, if you can please offer some description of what that style here, how you're assuming it to be applied. A. So from that style, again, I infer that Mr. Swartz is talking about the New Jersey grid-based, county-line style, and any deviations from that would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software with respect to New Jersey's current voting systems? A. Well, the question is open ended in that it says depending on ballot layout style requirements. There may be ballot style requirements that would require testing development testing and new software and, therefore, new retesting or recertification. Mowever, as it applies to the styles that we are talking about here, so a grid-based ballot layout that appears like an office-block style or, more specifically, a single
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any deviations from that style would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility? And, Mr. Macias, if you can please offer some description of what that style here, how you're assuming it to be applied. A. So from that style, again, I infer that Mr. Swartz is talking about the New Jersey grid-based, county-line style, and any deviations from that twould need to be evaluated to determine feasibility. I do not believe that it would need to be evaluated to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software with respect to New Jersey's current voting systems? A. Well, the question is open ended in that it says depending on ballot layout style requirements. There may be ballot style requirements that would require testing development testing and new software and, therefore, new retesting or recertification. Mow ver, as it applies to the styles that we are talking about here, so a grid-based ballot layout that appears like an office-block style or, more specifically, a single column of candidates with the contests either above or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 it. MR. PARIKH: It's okay. That's fine. Withdrawn. THE WITNESS: No, I would not consider New Jersey's style of grid-based ballot using a county-line a traditional ballot layout style, as it is unique to New Jersey and used in New Jersey alone. A traditional ballot layout style would be an office-block style as it is used in an overwhelming majority of the states. Secondarily, if you use a grid-based ballot layout, the traditional grid-based ballot layout would be not using the county-line style as is used in the other four states: Louisiana, Delaware, New York, and Pennsylvania. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Would you agree with the assertion here that any deviations from that style would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility? And, Mr. Macias, if you can please offer some description of what that style here, how you're assuming it to be applied. A. So from that style, again, I infer that Mr. Swartz is talking about the New Jersey grid-based, county-line style, and any deviations from that would need to be evaluated to determine feasibility. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 by foundational evidence. THE COURT: You can handle it on cross. Are you cross-examining this witness? MR. PARIKH: I don't know, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, somebody in the team of 19 is going to cross-examine, so Ms. Bromberg, ask the question, get an answer, and one of you can cross-examine if you want to seek clarification on that particular issue, but I'm overruling the objection. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Swartz's statement here, is it your conclusion that this is an accurate statement that, depending on the ballot layout style requirements in New Jersey, any changes would require development, testing, and certification of a new and/or updated version of software with respect to New Jersey's current voting systems? A. Well, the question is open ended in that it says depending on ballot layout style requirements. There may be ballot style requirements that would require testing development testing and new software and, therefore, new retesting or recertification. Mowever, as it applies to the styles that we are talking about here, so a grid-based ballot layout that appears like an office-block style or, more specifically, a single

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 122 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	109	1	111
2	alongside, no, I do not agree that it would require development testing and certification or upgraded software, as	2	number to the number of rows and still lay out the exact same candidates, just in a different cell.
3	those styles are used on these systems and devices across the	3	Q. Okay.
4	country.	4	MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'm going to try to go for
5	Q. And, Mr. Macias, do you agree with Mr. Swartz's statement	5	ten more minutes.
6	that such deviations could not be made and implemented prior	6	THE COURT: All right. Let's push. Let's do it.
7	to New Jersey's 2024 primary elections?	7	BY MS. BROMBERG:
8	A. Again, for clarification, if	8	Q. Mr. Macias, are you familiar with the certification of
9	THE COURT: Can you put him back on the screen so I	9	Warren County Clerk, Holly Mackey, which was entered into
10	can see him? Thank you.	10	evidence as ECF 57-1 and will be marked as Plaintiffs'
11	BY MS. BROMBERG:	11	Exhibit 5?
12	Q. You can continue.	12	A. I am aware that I believe Ms. Mackey submitted two
13	THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor, I didn't hear	13	different certifications, a certification and a supplemental.
14	THE COURT: I just want to be able to see you. I can	14	Q. That's correct. She offered an original certification
15	see you now.	15	which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5, and the supplemental which
16	Now you can answer the question.	16	we'll mark into evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6, which is on
17	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.	17	ECF 139.
18	For clarification, if such deviations means a	18	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5 in evidence.)
19	grid-based ballot layout with a single column and the contest	19	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6 in evidence.)
20	listed above or alongside, I disagree with the statement.	20	BY MS. BROMBERG:
21	THE COURT: Ms. Bromberg?	21	Q. Mr. Macias, with regard to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5, her
22	MS. BROMBERG: Yes.	22	original certification, what did she originally attest to?
23	BY MS. BROMBERG:	23	A. So believe there was lower I'm not sure which
24	Q. Mr. Macias, does the remedial action that plaintiffs seek	24	paragraph it was, but one of the things that she attested to
25	use the same ballot layout formats as what is already in place	25	is that her current system can handle her current ES&S,
	United States District Court	6	United States District Court District of New Jersey
	District of New Jersey	Θ	
	110		112
1	in New Jersey?	1	112 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot
1	in New Jersey?	1	specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts.
-	in New Jersey?	-	specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot
2	in New Jersey? A. Yes.	2	specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts.
23	in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today,	2	specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now,
2 3 4	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same 	2 3 4	specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of
2 3 4 5	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that 	2 3 4 5	specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to.
2 3 4 5 6	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? 	2 3 4 5 6	specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could import the county-line-style grid-based ballot layout, remove 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could import the county-line-style grid-based ballot layout, remove the excess columns so if there's five columns, delete four 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that 1 need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote can do both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could import the county-line-style grid-based ballot layout, remove the excess columns so if there's five columns, delete four columns and add the same number of rows. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that 1 need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote can do both bubble-based and grid-based, office-block presentations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could import the county-line-style grid-based ballot layout, remove the excess columns so if there's five columns, delete four columns and add the same number of rows. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot? A. Yes. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could import the county-line-style grid-based ballot layout, remove the excess columns so if there's five columns, delete four columns and add the same number of rows. So if you deleted four columns whereby the candidates were listed in the four columns, then you would instead you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that 1 need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote can do both bubble-based and grid-based, office-block presentations? A. Yes. Q. Which system is Clerk Mackey referring to here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could import the county-line-style grid-based ballot layout, remove the excess columns so if there's five columns, delete four columns whereby the candidates were listed in the four columns and instead add four rows and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote can do both bubble-based and grid-based, office-block presentations? A. Yes. Q. Which system is Clerk Mackey referring to here? A. Based on her certification documents and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could import the county-line-style grid-based ballot layout, remove the excess columns so if there's five columns, delete four columns should be the same number of rows. So if you deleted four columns whereby the candidates were listed in the four columns and instead add four rows and include the names of the candidates in that layout. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that I need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote can do both bubble-based and grid-based, office-block presentations? A. Yes. Q. Which system is Clerk Mackey referring to here? A. Based on her certification documents and the supplemental excuse me. Based on the supplemental, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 in New Jersey? A. Yes. Q. And for the clerks listening to your testimony today, Mr. Macias, how would you advise them to adjust the same ballot layout to permit for the remedial action that plaintiffs seek? A. So as we have seen on some of the ballot sample ballots that have been placed on screen during this testimony, many of the clerks are already doing what the plaintiffs are requesting, which is using a grid-based ballot layout with candidates listed in a single column and either the contest listed above or alongside. Therefore, if they're importing ballot layouts, they should begin by importing one of the layouts that they have already currently used. However, if their jurisdiction has not ever used a single-column ballot layout, then they could import the county-line-style grid-based ballot layout, remove the excess columns so if there's five columns, delete four columns science is for columns whereby the candidates were listed in the four columns and instead add four rows and include the names of the candidates in that layout. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 specifically, can handle the multiple different ballot layouts. Specifically, as shown on the screen right now, paragraph 7 says, the ES&S system can handle any style of ballot that 1 need it to. Q. All right. A. She also attests that ballots in previous years included a bubble ballot design, which by its very nature is an office-block style. Q. And what did she clarify in her supplemental certification, which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit sorry 6? A. For clarification, we affirmed that the ES&S system can create a bubble-style ballot in on a paper ballot as opposed to the electronic ballot interface. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote XL cannot do the bubble ballot but can do the grid ballot? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the ExpressVote can do both bubble-based and grid-based, office-block presentations? A. Yes. Q. Which system is Clerk Mackey referring to here? A. Based on her certification documents and the supplemental excuse me. Based on the supplemental, which is up on-screen right now, I cannot tell. It just says,

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Dage	e: 123 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	Case. 24-1595 Document. 10 1 113	aye	115 Date Tiled. 04/01/2024
1	I believe it's right there on paragraph 2, ES&S	1	MS. BROMBERG: Well, Mr. Passante uses a term here of
2	express machines. This could be either the ExpressVote, which	2	"shell ballots" with respect to the printing services that he
3	is one type of device, or ExpressVote XL, which is a different	3	offers these counties, and I'm Mr. Passante is also on the
4	type of device.	4	witness list for later today.
5	However, in additional research and, I believe, in her	5	So I'm trying to ascertain what is meant here by "shell
6		6	
	original certificate, it does specify the ExpressVote XL.	-	ballots" based on Mr. Macias' expertise in the field.
7	Q. Okay. Mr. Macias, let's turn to one final piece of item	7	THE COURT: I mean, are you calling this witness?
8	(sic) that was entered into the record, which is we're	8	MR. PARIKH: We are, Your Honor.
9	going to mark as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 7. It's the	9	THE COURT: So why don't you just ask the witness
10	certification offered by David Passante on the record as ECF	10	whose affidavit this is or certification this is? Shouldn't
11	65-1.	11	he be able to answer what he means by shell ballot?
12	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 7 in evidence.)	12	MS. BROMBERG: I will be sure to ask
13	BY MS. BROMBERG:	13	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection, then, if this
14	Q. Did you have an opportunity to review this certification?	14	witness this witness is definitely coming?
15	A. Yes.	15	MR. PARIKH: Yes, Your Honor.
16	Q. Do you recall that Mr. Passante states that he services	16	THE COURT: All right. Then you can ask the witness
17	11 counties for the purposes of administering for the	17	who actually wrote shell ballot. Why ask another party what
18	purpose of servicing New Jersey elections?	18	the author meant by it?
19	A. Yes.	19	So I'll sustain the objection.
20		20	BY MS. BROMBERG:
	Q. Okay. What does Mr. Passante claim that he does?		
21	A. So it says that he provides printing services. However,	21	Q. Mr. Macias, Mr. Passante describes that it takes him
22	the description later on in his cert is difficult to ascertain	22	three to four weeks in order to complete the ballot design
23	exactly what he is what his company provides.	23	process with the ten clerks that he represents.
24	As the description for preprinting where is it?	24	Is this any different in time from what he can provide
25	here in paragraphs 7 and 8 where he's talking about the design	25	using a grid-based ballot to look like an office-block
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	114		116
1	features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about	1	presentation?
1 2		1	
-	features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about	1 2 3	presentation?
2	features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not		presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on.
2	features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services.		presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This
2 3 4	features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election	3 4	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force
2 3 4 5 6	features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing	3 4 5 6	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having
2 3 4 5 6 7	features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services.	3 4 5 6 7	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his 	3 4 5 6 7 8	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this THE COURT: So the objection is on relevance? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and adjustments as I have described earlier in regards to deleting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this THE COURT: So the objection is on relevance? MR. PARIKH: It is, Your Honor. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and adjustments as I have described earlier in regards to deleting specific columns and adding the applicable number of rows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this THE COURT: So the objection is on relevance? MR. PARIKH: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So let me ask you this, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and adjustments as I have described earlier in regards to deleting specific columns and adding the applicable number of rows. However, this is very minimal and is typically done in any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this THE COURT: So the objection is on relevance? MR. PARIKH: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So let me ask you this, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and adjustments as I have described earlier in regards to deleting specific columns and adding the applicable number of rows. However, this is very minimal and is typically done in any given election anyhow.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed, to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this THE COURT: So the objection is on relevance? MR. PARIKH: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So let me ask you this, Ms. Bromberg. I understand you're asking whose affidavit am I looking at again? Remind me. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and adjustments as I have described earlier in regards to deleting specific columns and adding the applicable number of rows. However, this is very minimal and is typically done in any given election anyhow. As I previously testified, every template that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this THE COURT: So the objection is on relevance? MR. PARIKH: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So let me ask you this, Ms. Bromberg. I understand you're asking whose affidavit am Ilooking at again? Remind me. MS. BROMBERG: We're looking at the affidavit of Mr. Passante, who's the co-owner of Royal Printing Services. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and adjustments as I have described earlier in regards to deleting specific columns and adding the applicable number of rows. However, this is very minimal and is typically done in any given election anyhow. As I previously testified, every template that is reused is going to have a different number of contests, a different number of candidates in it. And so anybody who is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this THE COURT: So the objection is on relevance? MR. PARIKH: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So let me ask you this, Ms. Bromberg. I understand you're asking whose affidavit am I looking at again? Remind me. MS. BROMBERG: We're looking at the affidavit of Mr. Passante, who's the co-owner of Royal Printing Services. THE COURT: You're asking whether he agrees with his 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and adjustments as I have described earlier in regards to deleting specific columns and adding the applicable number of rows. However, this is very minimal and is typically done in any given election anyhow. As I previously testified, every template that is reused is going to have a different number of contests, a different number of candidates in it. And so anybody who is performing the ballot programming is automatically going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this THE COURT: So the objection is on relevance? MR. PARIKH: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So let me ask you this, Ms. Bromberg. I understand you're asking whose affidavit am I looking at again? Remind me. MS. BROMBERG: We're looking at the affidavit of Mr. Passante, who's the co-owner of Royal Printing Services. THE COURT: You're asking whether he agrees with his position in the affidavit? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and adjustments as I have described earlier in regards to deleting specific columns and adding the applicable number of rows. However, this is very minimal and is typically done in any given election anyhow. As I previously testified, every template that is reused is going to have a different number of contests, a different number of candidates in it. And so anybody who is performing the ballot programming is automatically going to have to make some adjustments. And I would say that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 features, the shells, which, again, I he is talking about ballot layouts as well as other descriptions in here is not what we would typically, in the elections world, describe as printing or printing services. Rather, it would be ballot printing or election definition configuration services as opposed to printing services. Q. What do you make of Mr. Passante's explanation that his company performs for 11 counties tasks that use a shell ballot for each of his clients? What is he talking about? MR. PARIKH: Judge, I don't know the relevancy of this. I mean, it's a certification for the Court. She's asking what the witness would make of this person's paragraph and his description of a shell ballot. I don't know what the relevancy of that is to any issue before the Court, and this THE COURT: So the objection is on relevance? MR. PARIKH: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So let me ask you this, Ms. Bromberg. I understand you're asking whose affidavit am I looking at again? Remind me. MS. BROMBERG: We're looking at the affidavit of Mr. Passante, who's the co-owner of Royal Printing Services. THE COURT: You're asking whether he agrees with his 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	presentation? THE COURT: This one I'm going to allow, but I'll let you put your objection on. MR. PARIKH: Same objection, Your Honor. This witness is going to task force THE COURT: This is a different question than having her interpret what your witness meant by "shell ballots." So objection is noted. It's overruled. Repeat the question, or have him answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. So it would take a similar time to be able to lay out a ballot in an office-block style or a grid-based ballot style. Particularly, if you were starting from scratch. In regards to whether or not you are reusing a ballot layout or template, it may take a little bit different timing in that you would have to make some modifications and adjustments as I have described earlier in regards to deleting specific columns and adding the applicable number of rows. However, this is very minimal and is typically done in any given election anyhow. As I previously testified, every template that is reused is going to have a different number of contests, a different number of candidates in it. And so anybody who is performing the ballot programming is automatically going to

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 124 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	117 types of adjustments are equivalent to any other adjustments	1	119 MR. PARIKH: There I hesitate I believe the
1		2	
3	that would be made in a ballot layout. BY MS. BROMBERG:	3	answer to that is yes, Your Honor. I don't know if there's
4	Q. Do you have a concern, Mr. Macias, at this stage before	4	testimony that comes out today from one of these
5	the primary election with regard to the ability to meet the	5	THE COURT: That might result in some additional
6	statutory deadlines to satisfy an office-block presentation	6	motions. Anything additional has to come in by Friday. But these motions in limine regarding the experts, when do you-all
7	layout in New Jersey's primary ballots?	7	intend to respond to those motions?
8	A. Insofar as if this is going to be a company-by-company	8	MS. BROMBERG: How many are there?
9	if we're talking about third parties doing it on their	9	MR. PARIKH: There are seven, Your Honor. Each is
10	resources.	10	just a few pages long as are as is the case with in limine
11	Now, in regards to Mr. Passante and his 11 counties,	11	motions.
12	the process would be very similar. So if he does not take on	12	MS. BROMBERG: When would Your Honor like for us to
13	additional business, does not take on additional counties and	13	respond?
14	so on and so forth, he should be able to continue to do the	14	THE COURT: Can you get them by Monday?
15	ballot programming for the same number of jurisdictions in the	15	MS. BROMBERG: Okay, Your Honor.
16	same amount of time.	16	THE COURT: All right. So 3/25 is the deadline to
17	And, equivalently, a single jurisdiction who programs	17	oppose those motions in limine. And let's not do 11:59 p.m.
18	their own ballots, so an election official or a county clerk	18	I'm not the Third Circuit, so I'm not ordering some rule on
19	who does this on their own, they should also be able to do the	19	timing, but I want them in, let's say, no later than 1 p.m. on
20	grid-based ballot layout without a county-line-style in the	20	Monday.
21	same amount of time that they would do a grid-based ballot	21	So
22	with the county-line format, or similar to in time.	22	MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, one last housekeeping
23	Q. Mr. Macias, is it your conclusion that New Jersey's	23	piece.
24	primary ballot ballots for the upcoming primary can	24	THE COURT: But let me ask you this. Are you done
25	support	25	with direct exam with Mr. Macias?
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	118		120
1	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection.	1	120 MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that
2	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what	2	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence.
2 3	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't		MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted.
2 3 4	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to	2 3 4	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct?
2 3 4 5	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question.	2 3 4 5	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed
2 3 4 5 6	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say 'o answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I	2 3 4 5 6	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say 'o answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule n.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say 'o answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule n. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say 'o answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say 'o answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule n. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say 'o answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule n. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say 'o answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule n. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously, have Mr. Macias moved in an as expert into the matter, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch? MR. NATALE: I'll leave it to your discretion, Your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously, have Mr. Macias moved in an as expert into the matter, and I understand that will have to wait until	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch? MR. NATALE: I'll leave it to your discretion, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously, have Mr. Macias moved in an as expert into the matter, and I understand that will have to wait until THE COURT: Yeah, I'll reserve on that because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch? MR. NATALE: I'll leave it to your discretion, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously, have Mr. Macias moved in an as expert into the matter, and I understand that will have to wait until THE COURT: Yeah, I'll reserve on that because and, Mr. Parikh, my understanding is the motions in limine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch? MR. NATALE: I'll leave it to your discretion, Your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, there's too many folks here. I think some folks are going to have to take a break.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously, have Mr. Macias moved in an as expert into the matter, and I understand that will have to wait until THE COURT: Yeah, I'll reserve on that because and, Mr. Parikh, my understanding is the motions in limine that you referenced are being filed today. Correct? I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch? MR. NATALE: I'll leave it to your discretion, Your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, there's too many folks here. I think some folks are going to have to take a break. MR. NATALE: That sounds good, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule u. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously, have Mr. Macias moved in an as expert into the matter, and I understand that will have to wait until THE COURT: Yeah, I'll reserve on that because and, Mr. Parikh, my understanding is the motions in limine that you referenced are being filed today. Correct? I'm seeing them on the docket as I'm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch? MR. NATALE: I'll leave it to your discretion, Your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, there's too many folks here. I think some folks are going to have to take a break. MR. NATALE: That sounds good, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is somebody in the gallery motioning to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously, have Mr. Macias moved in an as expert into the matter, and I understand that will have to wait until THE COURT: Yeah, I'll reserve on that because and, Mr. Parikh, my understanding is the motions in limine that you referenced are being filed today. Correct? I'm seeing them on the docket as I'm MR. PARIKH: Yeah, they're already on the docket. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch? MR. NATALE: I'll leave it to your discretion, Your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, there's too many folks here. I think some folks are going to have to take a break. MR. NATALE: That sounds good, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is somebody in the gallery motioning to me? Because that's odd.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule it. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously, have Mr. Macias moved in an as expert into the matter, and I understand that will have to wait until THE COURT: Yeah, I'll reserve on that because and, Mr. Parikh, my understanding is the motions in limine that you referenced are being filed today. Correct? I'm seeing them on the docket as I'm MR. PARIKH: Yeah, they're already on the docket. THE COURT: Okay. So there are no additional 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch? MR. NATALE: I'll leave it to your discretion, Your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, there's too many folks here. I think some folks are going to have to take a break. MR. NATALE: That sounds good, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is somebody in the gallery motioning to me? Because that's odd. All right. Why don't we do this. We'll take a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MR. PARIKH: Leading, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: It's leading. I think it's obvious what his position is, so I'm going to allow it because I don't think it's going to be a shock, what he is going to say to answer this question. So maybe it would be different if we don't know. I don't think he is going to be influenced by the leading format of the question. So I'm going to overrule to. You can ask him. BY MS. BROMBERG: Q. Is it your conclusion, Mr. Macias, that New Jersey's primary ballots for the 2024 primary can support an office-block presentation style for all races in New Jersey? A. Yes. MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to, obviously, have Mr. Macias moved in an as expert into the matter, and I understand that will have to wait until THE COURT: Yeah, I'll reserve on that because and, Mr. Parikh, my understanding is the motions in limine that you referenced are being filed today. Correct? I'm seeing them on the docket as I'm MR. PARIKH: Yeah, they're already on the docket. THE COURT: Okay. So there are no additional motions; they're all being filed today regarding these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. BROMBERG: I would just like to make sure that Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 through 7 are moved into evidence. THE COURT: So admitted. There's no objection to any of these exhibits, correct? MR. PARIKH: These are all the ones that were filed on the docket. THE COURT: They're all on the ECF docket, correct. All right. So those are in. MS. BROMBERG: And as well as his report is in here. Plaintiffs' Exhibit yeah, that's all. THE COURT: Those are all in. All right. So that was your last housekeeping matter? MS. BROMBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You want to take a break, then, and then begin cross-examination after a 30-minute break for lunch? MR. NATALE: I'll leave it to your discretion, Your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, there's too many folks here. I think some folks are going to have to take a break. MR. NATALE: That sounds good, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is somebody in the gallery motioning to me? Because that's odd. All right. Why don't we do this. We'll take a 30-minute lunch break. I'll see you guys back in 30.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 125 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	121	1	conferring during the break.
2	with him about it, because he's on cross.	2	
3	MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, can we approach with	2	What else? Anything additional?
4	plaintiffs' counsel just for one second? THE COURT: Come on up.	4	MR. PARIKH: I don't believe so, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So who is conducting the
5	(Luncheon recess was taken from 1:09 p.m. until 1:44	5	cross-examination?
6	p.m.)	6	MR. NATALE: I'm going to start, Your Honor.
7	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise.	7	THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Macias, I just want
8	THE COURT: Mr. Macias, let me just briefly, before	8	to remind you that you're still under oath from earlier today.
9	we get to the cross-examination, Mr. Macias, let me just make	9	Okay?
10	sure I speak to the gallery.	10	MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, I don't think he can hear
11	These are folks that are not in the well and they are	11	us just yet.
12	not doing anything up here as lawyers. Your phones are off.	12	THE COURT: Oh, sorry. Liz?
13	If the CSOs come over to you and you are causing distractions	13	THE WITNESS: Can you hear me now?
14	with your telephones, I'm going to have you removed from the	14	THE COURT: I can. Can you hear me, that you're
15	courtroom. Okay?	15	still under oath from earlier today?
16	So I say that to you folks in the gallery. If you need	16	THE WITNESS: I understood that, yes.
17	to use your phone, you're free to step in and out. This isn't	17	THE COURT: You may proceed.
18	like a Broadway musical where once you're in, I lock the doors	18	MR. NATALE: Okay.
19	on you. Feel free to go out, use your phone, and come back	19	(CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:)
20	in. But don't bother the court security officers, and don't	20	Q. Good afternoon Mr. Macias. I am going to have a few
21	distract the lawyers, because it was distracting this morning	21	questions for you today, but first, just logistically, I want
22	not for me because I don't hear very well. So I can't hear	22	to make sure that you can hear me okay.
23	the phones back there, but the lawyers can. All right? And	23	A. I can hear you, yes.
24	they've got a job to do, and it's an important one. So just	24	Q. Excellent.
25	be mindful of that, and that's for you folks in the gallery.	25	A I will let know if there's any issues.
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	122		124
1	Now, absent that, do we have any other housekeeping	1	Q. I appreciate that, thank you.
2	issues that we need to address?		
	MD DADIKH: I believe the parties have some to an		Now, just two questions that I would ask any witness.
3	MR. PARIKH: I believe the parties have come to an	3	First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to
3 4	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that	3 4	First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break?
3 4 5	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it.	3 4 5	First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break?A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't
3 4 5 6	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert	3 4 5 6	First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break?A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me;
3 4 5 6 7	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert	3 4 5	First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break?A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room.
3 4 5 6	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in	3 4 5 6 7	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that
3 4 5 6 7 8	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert	3 4 5 6 7 8	First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break?A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them. So you're really just going to defer cross-examination	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them. So you're really just going to defer cross-examination right out of the gate and then redirect, if needed.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background. We're not going to go all into your qualifications, but there's a couple of things I need to know to understand your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them. So you're really just going to defer cross-examination right out of the gate and then redirect, if needed. MR. KOMUVES: I think we would ask the question if	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background. We're not going to go all into your qualifications, but there's a couple of things I need to know to understand your answers.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them. So you're really just going to defer cross-examination right out of the gate and then redirect, if needed. MR. KOMUVES: I think we would ask the question if the witness would if called to the stand, testify to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background. We're not going to go all into your qualifications, but there's a couple of things I need to know to understand your answers. First, you currently own RSM Election Solutions, L.L.C., correct? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them. So you're really just going to defer cross-examination right out of the gate and then redirect, if needed. MR. KOMUVES: I think we would ask the question if the witness would if called to the stand, testify to the contents of this report and the accuracy of the CV. They say	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background. We're not going to go all into your qualifications, but there's a couple of things I need to know to understand your answers. First, you currently own RSM Election Solutions, L.L.C., correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to characterize that as a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them. So you're really just going to defer cross-examination right out of the gate and then redirect, if needed. MR. KOMUVES: I think we would ask the question if the witness would if called to the stand, testify to the contents of this report and the accuracy of the CV. They say yes, they cross. THE COURT: All right. Makes sense to me. MR. KOMUVES: And the redirect.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background. We're not going to go all into your qualifications, but there's a couple of things I need to know to understand your answers. First, you currently own RSM Election Solutions, L.L.C., correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to characterize that as a consulting company?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them. So you're really just going to defer cross-examination right out of the gate and then redirect, if needed. MR. KOMUVES: I think we would ask the question if the witness would if called to the stand, testify to the contents of this report and the accuracy of the CV. They say yes, they cross. THE COURT: All right. Makes sense to me. MR. KOMUVES: And the redirect. THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that, folks. It	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background. We're not going to go all into your qualifications, but there's a couple of things I need to know to understand your answers. First, you currently own RSM Election Solutions, L.L.C., correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to characterize that as a consulting company? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them. So you're really just going to defer cross-examination right out of the gate and then redirect, if needed. MR. KOMUVES: I think we would ask the question if the witness would if called to the stand, testify to the contents of this report and the accuracy of the CV. They say yes, they cross. THE COURT: All right. Makes sense to me. MR. KOMUVES: And the redirect. THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that, folks. It does make sense, and I appreciate you all meeting and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background. We're not going to go all into your qualifications, but there's a couple of things I need to know to understand your answers. First, you currently own RSM Election Solutions, L.L.C., correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to characterize that as a consulting company? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you currently have any clients, public or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understanding, Judge. There's an agreement that THE COURT: Let's hear it. MR. PARIKH: With respect to the proposed expert witnesses, plaintiffs will just be relying upon their expert reports. We'll be able to cross; they'll able to redirect in order to try to expedite things. THE COURT: All right. And I think that makes sense, but, again, that's something that you guys have agreed to, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, it's the reports and the CVs. THE COURT: Which are already part of the record, and I will consider them. So you're really just going to defer cross-examination right out of the gate and then redirect, if needed. MR. KOMUVES: I think we would ask the question if the witness would if called to the stand, testify to the contents of this report and the accuracy of the CV. They say yes, they cross. THE COURT: All right. Makes sense to me. MR. KOMUVES: And the redirect. THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that, folks. It	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 First, we just got done a break. Did you speak to anyone during your break? A. Only thing I spoke about was the fact that I couldn't hear you and was asking whether or not you guys could hear me; and then I was also asked to come back into the room. Q. Okay. And the second is, do you have any documents that are printed out or on your computer screen that have not been identified to the Court that you've been referring to throughout this morning? A. I do not have any documents whatsoever on my computer and/or on my desk. Q. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to talk a little bit about your background. We're not going to go all into your qualifications, but there's a couple of things I need to know to understand your answers. First, you currently own RSM Election Solutions, L.L.C., correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to characterize that as a consulting company? A. Yes.

		Rage): 126	Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	125 private, within the state of New Jersey other than plaintiffs'	1	documo	127
2	law firm?	2		nts, and they were developed for the 2024 election. So sume that they are in use somewhere in the state
3	A. No.	3	currentl	·
4	Q. So just to clarify, you don't represent any voting	4		y. what is the subject of these written materials?
5	machine technology company in the state of New Jersey; is that	5		ction security. So one product is called the
6	correct?	6		t, which basically is just that. It's an overview of
7	A. That is correct.	7		security policies and processes that New Jersey
8	Q. Okay. And you don't perform any consulting services for	8		ents to secure election infrastructure.
9	any county clerk or other government agency within the state	9		ne second one is called emergency response guide,
10	of New Jersey; is that correct?	10		entifies partners in the election infrastructure
11	A. I am not I have not been hired by any elections	11		ity such as emergency management, the NJ Kick, and
12	officials in the state of New Jersey, either the Secretary of	12		nat support elections officials.
13	State or any of the local elections officials.	13	А	nd it basically gives an all-hazards approach, so it
14	However, in one of my roles, I work alongside or with	14	identifie	s specific types of threats or risks like violence or
15	the Department of Homeland Security as one of their subject	15	fire or a	cybersecurity incident.
16	matter expert consultants. And in that role working for them,	16	11	identifies steps that should be taken if one of
17	I work with all 50 secretaries of or excuse me all 50	17	those in	cidents were to occur as well as identifies the phone
18	chief elections officials and all 80 under jurisdictions	18	numbers	s of external stakeholders that can help in support, if
19	across the country. But I have not been hired by or work on	19	one of tl	nose incidents were to occur.
20	behalf of anyone in the state of New Jersey.	20	Q . Oka	y. And would you consider election security the bulk
21	Q. Okay. And is that role with the Department of Homeland	21	of your c	onsulting practice?
22	Security, is that through RSM Election Solutions?	22	A. Ele	ction technology and security, yes.
23	A. No, it is not.	23	C	y. Have you ever consulted with an election
24	Q. Okay. And does that role relate to either ballot design	24	JV.	y company that was undergoing the New Jersey state
25	or preparing for the upcoming election?	25	certificati	on process?
	United States District Court		25	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	$-\Theta_{N}$		District of New Jersey
				100
1	126	1		128
1	A. That role does not.	1	A. No.	
2	A. That role does not.Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you	2	Q . Hav	e you ever consulted with a county government who was
-	A. That role does not.Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group?	2 3	Q. Hav having el	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state
2 3 4	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. 	2 3 4	Q. Hav having el certificati	e you ever consulted with a county government who was
2	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting 	2 3	Q. Havhaving elcertificatiA. No.	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process?
2 3 4 5	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. 	2 3 4 5	 Q. Hav having el certificati A. No. Q. So el 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of
2 3 4 5 6	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are your also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Hav having el certificati A. No. Q. So o New Jers 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Hav having el certificati A. No. Q. So o New Jers election t 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group have any clients, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Hav having election A. No. Q. So election A. Not 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group inave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Have having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jerse election to the data the U 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group nave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Hav having electrificati A. No. Q. So election termination A. Notat the U work with 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group nave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So election to A. Not at the U work with office in 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group nave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jers election to A. Not at the U work with office in Q. Did 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group nave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jers election to A. Not at the U work with office in Q. Did 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group nave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Have having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jerse election to A. Not at the U work will office in Q. Did to federal certification 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group have any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jers election to A. Note at the U work with office in Q. Dide to federation certification A. Ger 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group nave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? A. No. Q. Okay. When was the last time prior to this case that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jerse election to A. Not at the U work with office in Q. Did to federate certification A. Ger certification 	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group nave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? A. No. Q. Okay. When was the last time prior to this case that you worked directly with a stakeholder in the state of New Jersey? A. Directly with? I believe it would have been I worked with the New Jersey it's called the NJ Kick. It's the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jers election to A. Not at the U work with office in Q. Didentification to federate certification A. Gerentification A. Gere	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on? herally around best practices and guidance for tion as well as one of the things we did at the tates Election Assistance Commission was break down d federal certification, who did not use federal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group nave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? A. No. Q. Okay. When was the last time prior to this case that you worked directly with a stakeholder in the state of New Jersey? A. Directly with? I believe it would have been I worked with the New Jersey it's called the NJ Kick. It's the fusion center on a product one of the DHS products called 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jers election to A. Note at the U work with office in Q. Did to federate certification A. Gere certification <li< th=""><th>e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on? herally around best practices and guidance for tion as well as one of the things we did at the tates Election Assistance Commission was break down d federal certification, who did not use federal tion, and if you did not use federal certification,</th></li<>	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on? herally around best practices and guidance for tion as well as one of the things we did at the tates Election Assistance Commission was break down d federal certification, who did not use federal tion, and if you did not use federal certification,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group nave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? A. No. Q. Okay. When was the last time prior to this case that you worked directly with a stakeholder in the state of New Jersey? A. Directly with? I believe it would have been I worked with the New Jersey it's called the NJ Kick. It's the fusion center on a product one of the DHS products called The Last Mile. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jerse election to A. Not at the U work with office in Q. Did to federation certification A. Gere certification certification	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on? herally around best practices and guidance for tion as well as one of the things we did at the tates Election Assistance Commission was break down d federal certification, who did not use federal tion, and if you did not use federal certification, ur state certification process was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group have any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? A. No. Q. Okay. When was the last time prior to this case that you worked directly with a stakeholder in the state of New Jersey? A. Directly with? I believe it would have been I worked with the New Jersey it's called the NJ Kick. It's the fusion center on a product one of the DHS products called the Last Mile. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So election to A. Notat the U work with office in Q. Did to federate certification A. Generation of the election of the electi	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on? herally around best practices and guidance for tion as well as one of the things we did at the tates Election Assistance Commission was break down d federal certification, who did not use federal tion, and if you did not use federal certification, ur state certification process was. b we worked with all of the states and territories in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group inave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? A. No. Q. Okay. When was the last time prior to this case that you worked directly with a stakeholder in the state of New Jersey? A. Directly with? I believe it would have been I worked with the New Jersey it's called the NJ Kick. It's the fusion center on a product one of the DHS products called The Last Mile. I believe in January of this year would have been the last time I worked with anybody in the state of New Jersey. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jers election to A. Note at the U work with office in Q. Dide to federal certification A. Gere certification <li< th=""><th>e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on? herally around best practices and guidance for tion as well as one of the things we did at the tates Election Assistance Commission was break down d federal certification, who did not use federal tion, and if you did not use federal certification, ur state certification process was. b we worked with all of the states and territories in to that.</th></li<>	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on? herally around best practices and guidance for tion as well as one of the things we did at the tates Election Assistance Commission was break down d federal certification, who did not use federal tion, and if you did not use federal certification, ur state certification process was. b we worked with all of the states and territories in to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group inave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? A. No. Q. Okay. When was the last time prior to this case that you worked directly with? I believe it would have been I worked with the New Jersey it's called the NJ Kick. It's the fusion center on a product one of the DHS products called The Last Mile. I believe in January of this year would have been the last time I worked with anybody in the state of New Jersey elections? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jerse election to A. Note at the U work with office in Q. Did to federate certification A. Gere certification Monuse certification	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on? herally around best practices and guidance for tion as well as one of the things we did at the tates Election Assistance Commission was break down d federal certification, who did not use federal tion, and if you did not use federal certification, ur state certification process was. to we worked with all of the states and territories in to that. did you help administer state certification for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That role does not. Q. Okay. Along with owning RSM Election Solutions, are you also currently an employee of the Lafayette Group? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And is the Lafayette Group also a consulting company? A. It is. Q. Okay. And does the Lafayette Group inave any clients, public or private, in the state of New Jersey? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. And have you performed any work for the Lafayette Group in the state of New Jersey outside of that role with the Department of Homeland Security that you just specified? A. No. Q. Okay. When was the last time prior to this case that you worked directly with a stakeholder in the state of New Jersey? A. Directly with? I believe it would have been I worked with the New Jersey it's called the NJ Kick. It's the fusion center on a product one of the DHS products called The Last Mile. I believe in January of this year would have been the last time I worked with anybody in the state of New Jersey. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Hav having electrification A. No. Q. So of New Jerse election to A. Note at the U work with office in Q. Did to federate certification A. Gere certification Monuse certification	e you ever consulted with a county government who was ection technology undergoing the New Jersey state on process? do you have any experience working with the state of ey on the certification or recertification process of echnology within the state? under my RSM Election Solutions role, but when I was hited States Election Assistance Commission, I did th the state of New Jersey in the Secretary of State's regards to state certification. you work with the state of New Jersey as it relates I certification or as it relates to their own state on? herally around best practices and guidance for tion as well as one of the things we did at the tates Election Assistance Commission was break down d federal certification, who did not use federal tion, and if you did not use federal certification, ur state certification process was. b we worked with all of the states and territories in to that.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rade	e: 127 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	129		131
1	A. Administer, no.	1	Q. Okay. And in a past role, did you consult with either
2	Q. So when you make an opinion that these changes would not	2	Dominion or ES&S as it pertains to any of their internal
3	require recertification by the state of New Jersey, are you	3	quality control protocols?
4	making that opinion without any experience representing the	4	A. I have never been retained to consult with them.
5	voting technology company in the recertification process? MS. BROMBERG: Objection.	6	However, under the U.S. Election Assistance Commission's
7	THE COURT: Sorry. What is the objection? You guys	7	testing and certification program, there are requirements that each of the voting system vendors must have quality control in
8	can remain seated for this afternoon.	8	place.
9	So go ahead.	9	They must submit documentation in regards to their
10	MS. BROMBERG: Just for clarification of the	10	quality control and more generally what we call their quality
11	question, please.	11	management system.
12	MR. NATALE: Okay.	12	And so I worked directly with each of the voting
13	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule that	13	systems vendors on quality control, on quality management, and
14	objection. The witness seemed to have understood it. If he	14	also the EAC requires continuous monitoring for compliance
15	doesn't understand it, then we'll deal with it.	15	with those quality controls and quality management, so I have
16	So, Mr. Macias, did you hear the question?	16	conducted audits and inspections to ensure that those
17	THE WITNESS: I did hear the question, yes.	17	processes and policies are being adhered to.
18	THE COURT: Can you respond to it? Can you answer	18	Q. Okay. And that all occurred when you were at the EAC,
19	it?	19	correct?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. As it yes, I can.	20	A. California had similar laws or similar requirements, I
21	THE COURT: Then do so.	21	should say, for its testing and certification program, so I
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.	22	have gone torough it with both California and EAC.
23	As it relates to working on behalf of a voting systems	23	Q. Okay. I'm trying my best to truncate your experience.
24 25	vendor who was going through certification in the state, no,	24 25	So I want to be clear that I was asking the last time you went
25	and as I have answered, I have not I do not have any United States District Court	25	through that was with the EAC, correct? United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey
	2.0.000	-0,	2.00.000
	130	N.	132
1	experience.	1	132 A. Correct.
1 2		1 2	
	experience.	_	A. Correct.
2	experience. BY MR. NATALE:	2	A. Correct.Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019,
23	experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these	2	A. Correct.Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct?
2 3 4	experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without	2 3 4	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is required for a new certification, which generally across the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal quality control protocols have changed since you left ES&S in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is required for a new certification, which generally across the country as well as for federal certification would require 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal quality control protocols have changed since you left ES&S in 2019?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is required for a new certification, which generally across the country as well as for federal certification would require software changes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal quality control protocols have changed since you left ES&S in 2019? A. It is responsible that they have changed since I left the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is required for a new certification, which generally across the country as well as for federal certification would require software changes. Q. But that experience does not relate to any specific 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal quality control protocols have changed since you left ES&S in 2019? A. It is responsible that they have changed since I left the EAC in 2019. However, in some of the roles that I play
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is required for a new certification, which generally across the country as well as for federal certification would require software changes. Q. But that experience does not relate to any specific New Jersey state recertification process; is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal quality control protocols have changed since you left ES&S in 2019? A. It is responsible that they have changed since I left the EAC in 2019. However, in some of the roles that I play working directly with state certification authorities, I do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not chese machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is required for a new certification, which generally across the country as well as for federal certification would require software changes. Q. But that experience does not relate to any specific New Jersey state recertification process; is that correct? A. That is correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal quality control protocols have changed since you left ES&S in 2019? A. It is responsible that they have changed since I left the EAC in 2019. However, in some of the roles that I play working directly with state certification authorities, I do get to see some of that documentation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not chese machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is required for a new certification, which generally across the country as well as for federal certification would require software changes. Q. But that experience does not relate to any specific New Jersey state recertification process; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. As part of your current experience, do you consult with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal quality control protocols have changed since you left ES&S in 2019? A. It is responsible that they have changed since I left the EAC in 2019. However, in some of the roles that I play working directly with state certification authorities, I do get to see some of that documentation. And many of the quality control processes in place, while being enhanced, are similar to what they were at the EAC when I was conducting audits on them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not viese machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is required for a new certification, which generally across the country as well as for federal certification would require software changes. Q. But that experience does not relate to any specific New Jersey state recertification process; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. As part of your current experience, do you consult with any of either Dominion or ES&S about any of their internal quality control protocols? A. Not as it pertains to my current role. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal quality control protocols have changed since 1 left the EAC in 2019. However, in some of the roles that 1 play working directly with state certification authorities, 1 do get to see some of that documentation. And many of the quality control processes in place, while being enhanced, are similar to what they were at the EAC when 1 was conducting audits on them. Q. Okay. Is it your opinion that changes of this caliber
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 experience. BY MR. NATALE: Q. And when you answer a question as to whether or not these machines would have to be recertified, do you do so without any experience of consulting the state of New Jersey through any individual state recertification process? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. Sure. I'll withdraw the question. Have you ever advised the state of New Jersey on a recertification application by an election technology company? A. No. Q. Okay. So when you give your opinion on whether or not the state recertification statute would be implicated by these changes, are you doing so just by reading the statute? A. That and my expertise and understanding of what is required for a new certification, which generally across the country as well as for federal certification would require software changes. Q. But that experience does not relate to any specific New Jersey state recertification process; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. As part of your current experience, do you consult with any of either Dominion or ES&S about any of their internal quality control protocols? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you stopped working for the EAC in 2019, correct? A. Correct. Q. And while you were at the EAC, you were focused on the federal standards for quality control, correct? A. So what what federal standards require that the vendors have quality control and quality management systems in place, but each of the respective vendors has to submit its documentation. So it is the quality control that they conduct as a company holistically, and so my experience is with each of the respective company's quality control and quality management systems. Q. Now, is it possible that the ES&S or Dominion internal quality control protocols have changed since you left ES&S in 2019? A. It is responsible that they have changed since I left the EAC in 2019. However, in some of the roles that I play working directly with state certification authorities, I do get to see some of that documentation. And many of the quality control processes in place, while being enhanced, are similar to what they were at the EAC when I was conducting audits on them.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 128 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	would require an internal quality control protocol by the	1	of a given election. They do ballot proofing and testing for
2	voting technologies company?	2	what we call logic. So is the ballot definition programmed
3	MS. BROMBERG: Objection.	3	correctly prior to every election?
4	MR. NATALE: I'll withdraw the question. I know what	4	We also go through accuracy tests to ensure that the
5	I did wrong.	5	systems are accurate. The voting systems vendors who do the
6	THE COURT: Sustained. That's the easiest one I'm	6	ballot programming on behalf of the election officials, so if
7	going to have for the afternoon.	7	they're consulted, they also conduct similar proofing and
8	Go ahead.	8	checks on the ballot programming as they go through it.
9	BY MR. NATALE:	9	But that is that is a databases, not a voting
10	Q. Would it be your opinion that the changes as requested by	10	systems software, change. As it relates to voting systems
11	the plaintiffs in this lawsuit would require an internal	11	software changes, which would not be required in this
12	quality control protocol to be in place by the election	12	situation, then, yes. They should go through they do
13	technology companies to ensure the accuracy of their ballots?	13	they should and do go through multiple layers of quality
14	A. Protocols? The protocols are already in place.	14	control, accuracy checking and so on and so forth.
15	Q. Would they have to go through those quality control	15	Q. So if a representative from one of the vendors
16	protocols if they were to design the ballots under the Court's	16	responsible for New Jersey's election technology states that
17	direction for this action?	17	the changes requested in this lawsuit would trigger their
18	A. The company may choose to do so. However, because there	18	internal quality control protocols, you would disagree with
19	is no software changes, there is no configuration changes,	19	that?
20	these are the requested change or modifications to ballot	20	A. I do not believe it would be required based on their
21	layout is something that each of the companies does on an	21	quality control processes.
22	ongoing basis utilizing the current and existing systems and	22	Q. Okay. Do you think that it would have no value to go
23	software. No.	23	through that process?
24	These are ballot programming changes that happen prior	24	A. No, I disagree with that. There is always value in going
25	to every single election, and vendors do not typically go	25	through testing, proofing, accuracy checks, and so on and so
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of Now Jorson
	District of New Jersey	<u> </u>	District of New Jersey
	134	0	136
1	134 through quality control checks prior to every single election	1	136 forth.
2	134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur.	2	136 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by
-	134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county	2 3	136 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the
2 3 4	134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot	2 3 4	136 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be
2 3 4 5	134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted	2 3 4 5	136 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal
2 3 4 5 6	134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in	2 3 4 5 6	136 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election.
2 3 4 5 6 7	134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	136 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they should go through normal proofing throughout the process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? A. They are not charged with the accuracy of the elections. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? A. They are not charged with the accuracy of the elections. The elections officials are. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they should go through normal proofing throughout the process. Similarly, if you are doing the ballot printing on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this bailot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? A. They are not charged with the accuracy of the elections. The elections officials are. Q. Okay. So it's your position, then, that the election 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they should go through normal proofing throughout the process. Similarly, if you are doing the ballot printing on behalf of an election official, you should be testing your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this ballot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? A. They are not charged with the accuracy of the elections. The elections officials are. Q. Okay. So it's your position, then, that the election 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they should go through normal proofing throughout the process. Similarly, if you are doing the ballot printing on behalf of an election official, you should be testing your quality control through the printing process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this bailot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? A. They are not charged with the accuracy of the elections. The elections officials are. Q. Okay. So it's your position, then, that the election technology companies have no responsibility or control over the accuracy of our elections; is that what you're telling the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they should go through normal proofing throughout the process. Similarly, if you are doing the ballot printing on behalf of an election official, you should be testing your quality control through the printing process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this bailot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? A. They are not charged with the accuracy of the elections. The elections officials are. Q. Okay. So it's your position, then, that the election technology companies have no responsibility or control over the accuracy of our elections; is that what you're telling the Court today? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they should go through normal proofing throughout the process. Similarly, if you are doing the ballot printing on behalf of an election official, you should be testing your quality control through the printing process. And then, ultimately, an election official at the end is going to do that proofing and logic and accuracy testing to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this bailot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? A. They are not charged with the accuracy of the elections. The elections officials are. Q. Okay. So it's your position, then, that the election technology companies have no responsibility or control over the accuracy of our elections; is that what you're telling the Court today? A. That is not correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they should go through normal proofing throughout the process. Similarly, if you are doing the ballot printing on behalf of an election official, you should be testing your quality control through the printing process. And then, ultimately, an election official at the end is going to do that proofing and logic and accuracy testing to make sure that everything is correct. And that is part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 134 through quality control checks prior to every single election when any kind of data and layout changes occur. Rather, that is something that is placed on the county elections officials to test and proof. We call this bailot proofing and logic and accuracy testing. That is conducted prior to every single election regardless of the changes in layout. Q. Okay. So I want to focus on something you said at the beginning of your answer. You said that they could go through this protocol if they choose to. Am I understanding your words correctly? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So is it your position that these election technology companies who are charged with the accuracy of our elections should make these ballot changes and then hand them to the county clerks and say good luck? A. They are not charged with the accuracy of the elections. The elections officials are. Q. Okay. So it's your position, then, that the election technology companies have no responsibility or control over the accuracy of our elections; is that what you're telling the Court today? A. That is not correct. Q. Okay. Well, can you clarify what you just said? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 forth. But in this case that is that would be conducted by whoever is doing the ballot programming, whether that is the vendor or another third-party provider, and it would be conducted by the election official, and that is the normal case course of business in every election. Q. So you started that by saying that you felt there would always be value. Do you believe that there is also always value in having a layered approach to quality control where both the vendor and the government official make sure that these machines are working properly? A. So as previously answered, yes. If whoever the vendor is, if there is a vendor who is doing the ballot programming, i.e., entering the ballot the election definition data and/or laying out the ballots on their behalf, then they should go through normal proofing throughout the process. Similarly, if you are doing the ballot printing on behalf of an election official, you should be testing your quality control through the printing process. And then, ultimately, an election official at the end is going to do that proofing and logic and accuracy testing to make sure that everything is correct. And that is part of the normal course of business.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 129 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	137	-	139
1	testified about their EMS software and how they each have	1	A. I have both overseen and conducted the testing after
2	different EMS software.	2	laying out the ballots, yes.
3 4	Is that software proprietary?	3	Q. Have you ever overseen or done the testing internally
4 5	A. Yes.Q. In your roles currently, do you have access to use of	4 5	that is required by either ES&S or Dominion?A. No, I have never worked for either of them to do their
6	that software?	6	internal testing.
7	A. When yes. In my role as it pertains to testing and	7	Q. Okay. Have you ever done any testing for any New Jersey
8	certifying on behalf of an election authority when either of	8	clerk's office?
9	the vendors, or any vendor, for that matter, applies for	9	A. No.
10	certification to one of my clients, then I generally will have	10	Q. Okay. You've talked a lot about the duties and
11	access to the software to be able to conduct the necessary	11	responsibilities of the New Jersey clerk and their staff to
12	testing.	12	ensure an accurate election. I just want to clarify, though:
13	Q. Okay. And have you had access to the software since you	13	Have you ever assisted with, consulted with, trained, or
14	prepared your first certification in this case?	14	otherwise worked with any New Jersey County Clerk or any of
15	A. No.	15	their staff as it relates to proofing and accuracy testing?
16	Q. Okay. And when you were preparing that certification,	16	A. I have not worked directly with them. However, I helped
17	did you have access to the proprietary software for either	17	write the guidance document for proofing as well as for logic
18	ES&S or Dominion?	18	and accuracy testing. So one of my clients who has worked
19	A. No.	19	directly with New Jersey county and state elections
20	Q. Okay. So when you were detailing how this proprietary	20	official
21	software that you don't have access to could function, were	21	Q. Okay.
22	you actually performing those tasks on the software, or were	22	A on proofing and logic and accuracy.
23	you going off of your memory?	23	Q. Do you have any knowledge about the staffing levels at a
24	 A. Going off of my expertise. 	24	New Jersey County Clerk's office?
25	Q. Okay. Now, I notice that you switched the word "memory"	25	A. Similarly, yes. On any given elections office, no.
	United States District Court District of New Jersey	_6	United States District Court District of New Jersey
	District of New Versey		
	138	h	
1	to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up	1	140
1 2	to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question.	1 2	140
_	to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up	_	140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and
2	to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question.	2	140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County
2 3	to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for	2 3	140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff?
2 3 4	to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried	2 3 4	140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member.
2 3 4 5 6 7	to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were mentioned in Mr. Passante's certification. Those local
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you generate a file for the ExpressVote XL. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you generate a file for the ExpressVote XL. I have done I have laid out a ballot in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were mentioned in Mr. Passante's certification. Those local elections offices outsource that to a vendor or a third-party
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you generate a file for the ExpressVote XL. I have done I have laid out a ballot in the Electionware software and generated the files for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were mentioned in Mr. Passante's certification. Those local elections offices outsource that to a vendor or a third-party contractor to do that work. And so it is likely that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you generate a file for the ExpressVote XL. I have done I have laid out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL and tested the XL, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were mentioned in Mr. Passante's certification. Those local elections offices outsource that to a vendor or a third-party contractor to do that work. And so it is likely that the staff internally would not have the expertise or knowledge to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you generate a file for the ExpressVote XL. I have done I have laid out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL and tested the XL, yes. Q. Okay. And when was the last time you did that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were mentioned in Mr. Passante's certification. Those local elections offices outsource that to a vendor or a third-party contractor to do that work. And so it is likely that the staff internally would not have the expertise or knowledge to make those changes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you generate a file for the ExpressVote XL. I have done I have laid out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL and tested the XL, yes. Q. Okay. And when was the last time you did that? A. 6300 would have been in 2023. I don't recall the month. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were mentioned in Mr. Passante's certification. Those local elections offices outsource that to a vendor or a third-party contractor to do that work. And so it is likely that the staff internally would not have the expertise or knowledge to make those changes. Q. Okay. I'm going to repeat virtually the same question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you generate a file for the ExpressVote XL. I have done I have laid out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL and tested the XL, yes. Q. Okay. And when was the last time you did that? A. 6300 would have been in 2023. I don't recall the month. 6200 would have been 2022, I believe. Q. Have you ever done that in the state of New Jersey? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were mentioned in Mr. Passante's certification. Those local elections offices outsource that to a vendor or a third-party contractor to do that work. And so it is likely that the staff internally would not have the expertise or knowledge to make those changes. Q. Okay. I'm going to repeat virtually the same question because I want to emphasize that I asked about your direct knowledge and not knowledge that you may have learned through filings of this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you generate a file for the ExpressVote XL. I have done I have laid out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL and tested the XL, yes. Q. Okay. And when was the last time you did that? A. 6300 would have been in 2023. I don't recall the month. 6200 would have been 2022, I believe. Q. Have you ever overseen any of the accuracy testing after 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were mentioned in Mr. Passante's certification. Those local elections offices outsource that to a vendor or a third-party contractor to do that work. And so it is likely that the staff internally would not have the expertise or knowledge to make those changes. Q. Okay. I'm going to repeat virtually the same question because I want to emphasize that I asked about your direct knowledge and not knowledge that you may have learned through filings of this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 to "expertise," so I have to ask an important follow-up question. Have you ever gone on to the proprietary software for the devices that you discuss in your certification and tried to design a ballot? A. Yes. For EMS 6300 specifically and EMS 6200, I have had to do ballot design and layout for testing and certification for my clients. Q. Okay. And who A. As it pertains to Dominion 5.15 specifically, no. But for Dominion Democracy Suite generally, yes. Q. Okay. Have you ever done it for the ExpressVote XL? A. So you don't lay out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL; you lay it out in the election management software, and then you generate a file for the ExpressVote XL. I have done I have laid out a ballot in the ExpressVote XL and tested the XL, yes. Q. Okay. And when was the last time you did that? A. 6300 would have been in 2023. I don't recall the month. 6200 would have been 2022, I believe. Q. Have you ever done that in the state of New Jersey? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 140 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to the training and job responsibilities of each member of the New Jersey County Clerk staff? A. No, not for each member. Q. Do you have any direct knowledge of whether the staff at the New Jersey County Clerk's office are appropriately trained to make the changes to the voting machines that you describe in your certification? A. That's going to be dependent upon the county itself. So some of the certifications actually speak to the fact that they do the ballot design and layout, or what we generally call "ballot programming." That staff would have the ability to do this for the jurisdictions, such as the 11 jurisdictions that were mentioned in Mr. Passante's certification. Those local elections offices outsource that to a vendor or a third-party contractor to do that work. And so it is likely that the staff internally would not have the expertise or knowledge to make those changes. Q. Okay. I'm going to repeat virtually the same question because I want to emphasize that I asked about your direct knowledge and not knowledge that you may have learned through filings of this case.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 130 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	141 changes that you propose in your certification?	1	143A. Not to a specific date, but I know that they have been
2	MS. BROMBERG: Objection with regard to lack of	2	provided at county associations meetings as well as directly
3	clarity and the description of changes specifically.	3	to elections officials in the state of New Jersey.
4	THE COURT: Well, the changes, he qualified those	4	Q. Okay. And do you have any idea how quickly any of the
5	that you proposed in your certification. So I'm going to	5	individual county clerks' offices can go through their
6	overrule the objection.	6	proofing and accuracy testing protocols?
7	Mr. Macias, can you answer that question?	7	A. Any given elections office, no. However, I know that it
8	Do you want me to repeat it?	8	has to be conducted by a certain time frame before every
9	Do you have any knowledge of the training and skills	9	single election.
10	that the staff of the New Jersey County Clerks have to make	10	Q. Okay. But you don't know when that necessarily starts in
11	the changes that you propose in your certification?	11	order to get done in that time frame, correct?
12	That's the question.	12	A. Not by any given elections office, but generally, yes.
13	Did I do good job with that, Counsel?	13	Q. Is it safe to say that you don't know the inner workings
14	MR. NATALE: Better than I did, Your Honor.	14	of any given county clerk in New Jersey?
15	THE WITNESS: Any given staff member, no.	15	MS. BROMBERG: Objection.
16	BY MR. NATALE:	16	THE COURT: Basis?
17	Q. I'm you broke up.	17	MS. BROMBERG: This is a generalized question. I
18	MR. NATALE: So I didn't quite hear his answer.	18	think his testimony already established his ability to have
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, no problem. I said any given	19	been engaged in training
20	staff member, no.	20	THE COURT: What do you mean by "inner workings"? I
21	BY MR. NATALE:	21	mean, you've asked this question in different ways.
22	Q. Okay. Do you have any direct knowledge of any training,	22	I'll sustain the objection, but can you clarify.
23	experience, and expertise of staff members of the county	23	You've asked this question, but this is different wording.
24	clerk's office in New Jersey as it comes to ballot proofing	24	MR. NATALE: Okay, understood.
25	and accuracy testing?	25	THE COURT: All right. Objection sustained.
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey
	142	N	
4		1	144
1	A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the	1	BY MR. NATALE:
2	A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon	2	BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that
-	A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some		BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training,
2 3 4	A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing	2 3 4	BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words,
2 3 4 5	A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped	2 3 4 5	BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey?
2 3 4 5 6	A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write.	2 3 4 5 6	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience occurred in the state of New Jersey, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? A. I did not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience occurred in the state of New Jersey, correct? A. That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any idea when these trainings occurred? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience occurred in the state of New Jersey, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. I want to talk to you you spent some time in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, 4 know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any idea when these trainings occurred? A. The guide was published in well, the proofing guide 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience occurred in the state of New Jersey, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. I want to talk to you you spent some time in your certification talking about how grid-layout ballots can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, 4 know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any idea when these trainings occurred? A. The guide was published in well, the proofing guide was published prior to 2022. The logic and accuracy guide, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. I want to talk to you you spent some time in your certification talking about how grid-layout ballots can be adjusted to mimic the block-ballot system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, 4 know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any idea when these trainings occurred? A. The guide was published in well, the proofing guide was published prior to 2022. The logic and accuracy guide, I believe, was in the summer of 2023, and then the proofing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience occurred in the state of New Jersey, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. I want to talk to you you spent some time in your certification talking about how grid-layout ballots can be adjusted to mimic the block-ballot system. Do you recall that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any idea when these trainings occurred? A. The guide was published in well, the proofing guide was updated in 2023, and then the proofing guide was updated in 2023, and the trainings have taken place 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience occurred in the state of New Jersey, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. I want to talk to you you spent some time in your certification talking about how grid-layout ballots can be adjusted to mimic the block-ballot system. Do you recall that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any idea when these trainings occurred? A. The guide was published in well, the proofing guide was updated in 2023, and then the proofing guide was updated in 2023, and the trainings have taken place since that time frame. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience occurred in the state of New Jersey, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. I want to talk to you you spent some time in your certification talking about how grid-layout ballots can be adjusted to mimic the block-ballot system. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. When you have a grid-layout ballot, is there a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon, which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any idea when these trainings occurred? A. The guide was published in well, the proofing guide was published prior to 2022. The logic and accuracy guide, I believe, was in the summer of 2023, and then the proofing guide was updated in 2023, and the trainings have taken place since that time frame. Q. Okay. But do you have any direct knowledge of when these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience occurred in the state of New Jersey, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. I want to talk to you you spent some time in your certification talking about how grid-layout ballots can be adjusted to mimic the block-ballot system. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. When you have a grid-layout ballot, is there a limit to the number of grids that can appear on the page of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I know that they have been trained. I know some of the jurisdictions though it will, again, be dependent upon which jurisdiction we are referring to, but I know that some of the jurisdictions have been trained on a ballot-proofing guide as well as a logic and accuracy guide for which I helped develop and write. And from that perspective, yes, I know what they have been trained on again, for those specific jurisdictions that were trained on that guide. Q. Well, I want to specify something. You know what was in the guide because you helped author it, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you attend any of these trainings on the guide that you helped author? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any idea when these trainings occurred? A. The guide was published in well, the proofing guide was published prior to 2022. The logic and accuracy guide, I believe, was in the summer of 2023, and then the proofing guide was updated in 2023, and the trainings have taken place since that time frame. Q. Okay. But do you have any direct knowledge of when these trainings took place in various New Jersey County Clerks 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 BY MR. NATALE: Q. To summarize this line of questioning, would you say that you do not have any direct knowledge on the training, expertise, skills, or efficiency of any, to use your words, particular county clerk in the state of New Jersey? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. But yet you believe you can say confidently that they can get your changes done in time for the election? A. From my ten-plus years working in this space and understanding what logic and accuracy testing is, understanding what proofing is, understanding the voting systems that we are talking about and their capabilities, yes, I do believe that I can speak to that. Q. Okay. Despite the fact that none of that experience occurred in the state of New Jersey, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. I want to talk to you you spent some time in your certification talking about how grid-layout ballots can be adjusted to mimic the block-ballot system. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. When you have a grid-layout ballot, is there a limit to the number of grids that can appear on the page of a ballot?

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 131 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
_	145	-	147
1	Q. Okay. And do you recall whether or not the ballots that	1	has in order to ensure the accuracy of his printing?
2	you use in your certification were unopposed elections or	2	MS. BROMBERG: Objection, Your Honor.
3	opposed elections?	3	THE WITNESS: I don't.
4	A. I believe there was both, but I am not certain.	4	THE COURT: Sorry. Wait.
5	Q. Okay. Is it possible that, depending on the number of	5	MS. BROMBERG: Lack of clarity with regard to
6	races, that a ballot could run out of the number of grids	6	ascribing Mr. Passante as a printing company.
7	permitted on one page?	7	THE COURT: You're saying Mr. Passante does not have
8	A. On one page, yes.	8	a printing company?
9	Q. Okay. And then that would have to go to a multipage	9	MS. BROMBERG: Mr. Passante claims in his
10	ballot, correct?	10	certification that he operates as a printing company, but
11	A. That is correct.	11	Mr. Macias has offered that he also does ballot design
12	Q. Okay. And for counties that never have done it this way,	12	services.
13	is it fair to say they may have never produced a multipage	13	THE COURT: All right. So are you asking specific
14	ballot?	14	about his printing services?
15	A. For counties that have not done it, that is a fair	15	MR. NATALE: I was asking if Mr. Macias has any
16	statement because they've never done it.	16	knowledge at all as to what protocols a printing company would
17	Q. Okay. And do you think that producing a multipage ballot	17	take place to make sure that printing is accurate.
18	for the first time would cause any sort of delays or	18	THE COURT: I think that's fair questioning, so I'll
19	complications in making sure that ballot is accurate?	19	overrule the objection.
20	A. The number of contests is the same so the proofing would	20	THE WITMESS: Yes. I believe that was a different
21	be the same. It would increase the amount of logic and	21	question, and as to what a printing company would do, yes, I
22	accuracy testing but not as it pertains to an electronic	22	do.
23	ballot interface, only if it goes to a multipage on paper	23	In the state of California, we actually certify or
24	because you would need to test more paper.	24	we certified, I should say, when I was there, ballot printing
25	Q. And do you think that having a multipage ballot for the	25	companies as well as ballot printing technologies.
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	146		148
1	first time would cause additional time needed for each	1	The process was very similar to what I had testified I
2	county clerk to design their numerous ballots they have to	2	did at the EAC where we actually went to ballot printing
2 3	county clerk to design their numerous ballots they have to design?	2 3	did at the EAC where we actually went to ballot printing facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their
_	design? A. It may.		facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We
3	design?	3	facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their
3 4	design? A. It may.	3 4 5 6	facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We
3 4 5 6 7	design?A. It may.Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots?	3 4 5 6 7	facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols
3 4 5 6 7 8	design?A. It may.Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time	3 4 5 6 7 8	facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. Q. Okay. Are any of your clients in your consulting 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has in place for his coordination with his county clerk clients
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. Q. Okay. Are any of your clients in your consulting business printing companies? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has in place for his coordination with his county clerk clients regarding ballot design?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. Q. Okay. Are any of your clients in your consulting business printing companies? A. No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has in place for his coordination with his county clerk clients regarding ballot design? A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. Q. Okay. Are any of your clients in your consulting business printing companies? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea how many employees Mr. Passante has? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has in place for his coordination with his county clerk clients regarding ballot design? A. No. Q. Okay. So when he certifies that it would take three to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. Q. Okay. Are any of your clients in your consulting business printing companies? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea how many employees Mr. Passante has? A. No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has in place for his coordination with his county clerk clients regarding ballot design? A. No. Q. Okay. So when he certifies that it would take three to four weeks, you certified you don't think it should take that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballots for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. Q. Okay. Are any of your clients in your consulting business printing companies? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea how many employees Mr. Passante has? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea about the internal protocols he has 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has in place for his coordination with his county clerk clients regarding ballot design? A. No. Q. Okay. So when he certifies that it would take three to four weeks, you certified you don't think it should take that long, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballous for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. Q. Okay. Are any of your clients in your consulting business printing companies? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea how many employees Mr. Passante has? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea about the internal protocols he has for quality control? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has in place for his coordination with his county clerk clients regarding ballot design? A. No. Q. Okay. So when he certifies that it would take three to four weeks, you certified you don't think it should take that long, correct? A. I don't believe that that was my statement. What I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballous for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. Q. Okay. Are any of your clients in your consulting business printing companies? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea how many employees Mr. Passante has? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea about the internal protocols he has for quality control? A. Not for his company, no. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has in place for his coordination with his county clerk clients regarding ballot design? A. No. Q. Okay. So when he certifies that it would take three to four weeks, you certified you don't think it should take that long, correct? A. I don't believe that that was my statement. What I stated was that he attested that it was three to four weeks,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 design? A. It may. Q. Okay. Do you think that having multipage ballous for the first time in some counties might extend the amount of time that is needed to accurately print those ballots? A. To print? Yes. Because you would have you would have more pages or more documents, what we call sheets, to be printed. Q. Okay. While we're on the subject of printing, you offered an opinion on Mr. Passante's certification, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to clarify something. Have you ever owned or worked for a printing company? A. Owned or worked for? No. Q. Okay. Are any of your clients in your consulting business printing companies? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea how many employees Mr. Passante has? A. No. Q. Do you have any idea about the internal protocols he has for quality control? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 facilities. We tested their quality control. We tested their quality management systems. We watched their proofing. We you know, we assessed all of their technologies, all of their processes, and so on and so forth. So, yes, I do have extensive knowledge on protocols conducted by a ballot printing company. BY MR. NATALE: Q. Okay. And I think you're right because I think, when I reworded the question, I worded it a little bit differently. So I believe the original question was: Do you have any direct knowledge of what those protocols are within Mr. Passante's printing company? A. No. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what protocols he has in place for his coordination with his county clerk clients regarding ballot design? A. No. Q. Okay. So when he certifies that it would take three to four weeks, you certified you don't think it should take that long, correct? A. I don't believe that that was my statement. What I

 additional weeks whether that was the same three to four weeks that it would normally take to lay out a ballot. And so the other thing that I had stated was that generally these changes would not take a significant amount of time beyond what it normally takes to lay out a ballot or go through the normal ballot programming process. Q. Okay. Do you recall the part of the certification where he testified that he has already done a significant portion of legwork for this election? A. I do not believe that that is how it was stated. I believe that the way that his certification was written was he was talking about a normal process and interactions that he 	
 2 weeks that it would normally take to lay out a ballot. 3 And so the other thing that I had stated was that 4 generally these changes would not take a significant amount of 5 time beyond what it normally takes to lay out a ballot or go 6 through the normal ballot programming process. 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall the part of the certification where 8 he testified that he has already done a significant portion of 9 legwork for this election? A. I do not believe that that is how it was stated. I 10 A. I do not believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 was talking about a normal process and interactions that he 2 he is being incorrect? 3 A. I do not have knowledge of his company to assert the way that his certification where 12 believe that the way that his certifications that he 2 he is being incorrect? 3 A. I do not have knowledge of his company to assert the 4 would not take him that long. 5 Q. Okay. And you have no reason to dispute that he is the 6 printing vendor for 11 different county clerks, correct? 7 A. Based on the cert the certification, I cannot tell 8 whether or not he performs printing for those jurisdiction 9 The process that was described is more closely aligned to ballot programming, but some but some print service 10 ballot programming, but some but some print service 11 believe that the way that his certification shat he 12 So it is very it is very plausible that he does bot 	
 And so the other thing that I had stated was that generally these changes would not take a significant amount of time beyond what it normally takes to lay out a ballot or go through the normal ballot programming process. Q. Okay. Do you recall the part of the certification where he testified that he has already done a significant portion of legwork for this election? A. I do not believe that that is how it was stated. I believe that the way that his certification was written was he was talking about a normal process and interactions that he And so the other thing that I had stated was that A. I do not have knowledge of his company to assert the would not take him that long. Q. Okay. And you have no reason to dispute that he is the printing vendor for 11 different county clerks, correct? A. Based on the cert the certification, I cannot tell whether or not he performs printing for those jurisdiction The process that was described is more closely aligned to ballot programming, but some but some print service companies do both. So it is very it is very plausible that he does both 	at it
 4 generally these changes would not take a significant amount of 5 time beyond what it normally takes to lay out a ballot or go 6 through the normal ballot programming process. 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall the part of the certification where 8 he testified that he has already done a significant portion of 9 legwork for this election? A. I do not believe that that is how it was stated. I 10 A. I do not believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 was talking about a normal process and interactions that he 4 would not take him that long. 5 Q. Okay. And you have no reason to dispute that he is the 6 printing vendor for 11 different county clerks, correct? 7 A. Based on the cert the certification, I cannot tell 8 whether or not he performs printing for those jurisdictio 9 The process that was described is more closely aligned to ballot programming, but some but some print service 11 companies do both. 12 So it is very it is very plausible that he does bot 	111
 5 time beyond what it normally takes to lay out a ballot or go 6 through the normal ballot programming process. 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall the part of the certification where 8 he testified that he has already done a significant portion of 9 legwork for this election? 10 A. I do not believe that that is how it was stated. I 11 believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 was talking about a normal process and interactions that he 5 Q. Okay. And you have no reason to dispute that he is the 6 printing vendor for 11 different county clerks, correct? 7 A. Based on the cert the certification, I cannot tell 8 whether or not he performs printing for those jurisdictio 9 The process that was described is more closely aligned to 10 ballot programming, but some but some print service 11 believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 So it is very it is very plausible that he does bot 	
 6 through the normal ballot programming process. 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall the part of the certification where 8 he testified that he has already done a significant portion of 9 legwork for this election? A. I do not believe that that is how it was stated. I 10 A. I do not believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 was talking about a normal process and interactions that he 6 printing vendor for 11 different county clerks, correct? 7 A. Based on the cert the certification, I cannot tell 8 whether or not he performs printing for those jurisdiction 9 The process that was described is more closely aligned to ballot programming, but some but some print service 11 believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 So it is very it is very plausible that he does both 	
 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall the part of the certification where 8 he testified that he has already done a significant portion of 9 legwork for this election? 10 A. I do not believe that that is how it was stated. I 11 believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 was talking about a normal process and interactions that he 7 A. Based on the cert the certification, I cannot tell 8 whether or not he performs printing for those jurisdictio 9 The process that was described is more closely aligned to 10 ballot programming, but some but some print service 11 companies do both. 12 So it is very it is very plausible that he does both 	
 8 he testified that he has already done a significant portion of 9 legwork for this election? A. I do not believe that that is how it was stated. I 10 A. I do not believe that this certification was written was he 12 was talking about a normal process and interactions that he 8 whether or not he performs printing for those jurisdiction 9 The process that was described is more closely aligned to ballot programming, but some but some print service 11 believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 So it is very it is very plausible that he does both 	
 9 legwork for this election? 9 The process that was described is more closely aligned to ballot programming, but some but some print service 11 believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 was talking about a normal process and interactions that he 9 The process that was described is more closely aligned to ballot programming, but some but some print service 11 believe that the way that his certification was written was he 12 So it is very it is very plausible that he does bot 	5.
10A. I do not believe that that is how it was stated. I10ballot programming, but some but some print service11believe that the way that his certification was written was he10ballot programming, but some but some print service12was talking about a normal process and interactions that he12So it is very it is very plausible that he does bot	
11believe that the way that his certification was written was he11companies do both.12was talking about a normal process and interactions that he12So it is very it is very plausible that he does both.	
13 would normally have with elections officials regarding the	
13 would normally have with elections officials regarding the 13 ballot programming and ballot printing services.	
14 services that he provides but not as to whether or not that 14 Q. Okay. But the fact that he is the vendor for half of the	
15has already been taken place for this election or any given15county clerks or nearly half of the county clerks, you don't	
16 election. 16 have any personal knowledge to dispute that fact, correct?	
17 Q. Okay. And if he testifies that that would be to start 17 A. The fact that he is a vendor for those counties, I have	÷
18over and he would need three to four weeks after this Court's18nothing to dispute it.	
19order, do you have any knowledge about Mr. Passante's company19Q.Okay. So if he later testifies that he would need this	
20to know whether or not he is telling the truth?20amount of time, and that would impact half of our county	
21MS. BROMBERG: Objection. Speculative.21clerks, you don't have any direct knowledge to dispute that,	
22 THE COURT: Well, he's asking if he has any 22 correct?	
23 knowledge. 23 A. Again, based on his company, no. However, in term	of
24 MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, he's asking if he has any 24 the process generally, I still dispute the fact that it	
25 knowledge about Mr. Passante's company specifically but not 25 would take that he would have to restart the process a	d
United States District Court United States District Court	
District of New Jersey District of New Jersey	
1 with regard to the process itself, the ballot design, and 1 take an additional three to four weeks to make the minor	2
 2 printing process itself. 2 modification that is necessary to change the ballot layou 	
3 THE COURT: Repeat the question, Counsel, so I can 3 Q. So that's why I think we have a little bit of a	
4 MR. NATALE: Yeah, she summarized my question well. 4 disconnect, Mr. Macias.	
5 I'm asking that whether or not he knows how long it would 5 How can you say that if you don't know what resources,	
6 take Mr. Passante's company specifically because he has 11 6 what templates, what documents Mr. Passante currently has in	
7 county clerk clients. 7 his possession?	
8 If counsel is saying that that testimony is speculative 8 A. I am solely saying, from the use of the technology a	d
9 because he doesn't have any knowledge of Mr. Passante's 9 the understanding of what a ballot design-and-layout so	ware
10 company, I would agree, which is why he shouldn't opine on it. 10 and/or application is and does and the fact that anybody	vho
11But I'm asking the question what knowledge does he11has ever programmed a ballot has to make modifications	and
12 have.12 changes prior to every single election, that is what my	
13THE COURT: I think that's a fair question. Is there13assertion that's where you know, where I come from	in
14 an objection to that question of Mr. Macias?14 making that assertion.	
15 MS. BROMBERG: I think well, we can address it on 15 Q. If a single vendor performs these services for half of	
16 redirect. 16 our county governments, do you think it is valuable	
17 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to let you ask it, 17 information to know how that vendor performs his services? 10 10 10 10 10	
18 counsel. 19 MB_NATALEL Surger 19 the country, and Lunderstand that, number 1, in super-	ross
19MR. NATALE: Sure.19the country, and I understand that, number 1, in every20BY MR. NATALE:20election somewhere in the country, any major vendor is	eod +-
20 BY MR. NATALE: 20 election somewhere in the country, any major vendor is 21 Q. If Mr. Passante I'll rephrase it for you. 21 making edits and changes, both due to Court orders, due	
21Q.If Mr. Passante	5 118
 23 down with his order, he would need an additional three to four 23 modifications that must be conducted. 	
24 weeks to go through his internal processes and protocol to be 24 This is part of the everyday process that they go	
 25 ready with a ballot design, do you have any specific knowledge 25 through in creating ballot design and ballot layout. Char 	es
United States District Court United States District Court	-
District of New Jersey District of New Jersey	

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rade	e: 133 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	153	age	155
1	in modifications happen prior to every election, and this is	1	where basically you pick the order based on the first letter
2	just a normal course of business.	2	of the last name and you randomly select, so it wouldn't be A
3	And so, again, from a general perspective, anyone who	3	through Z but it may be, for instance, Z, M, A, C, Y, G. That
4	has ever conducted these services is used to making	4	becomes your new alphabet.
5	modifications and changes, particularly in short time frames.	5	In some cases it is based on party. So some states
6	Q. Okay. Do you think that, after 30 years of experience,	6	use whatever the party of the governor is, that would be
7	Mr. Passante should change how his company does his business	7	the first candidate. That would obviously be for a general
8	to suit the way you believe it should be done and that that	8	election only. But every state has a law as to how they would
9	should be done before the June election?	9	order the candidates.
10	MS. BROMBERG: Objection, Your Honor.	10	Q. Are you
11	THE COURT: Sustained.	11	THE COURT: Mr. Macias, did you say most states?
12	MR. NATALE: Withdrawn. I defer the rest of my time	12	What was the last part of your response?
13	to defense counsel.	13	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I believe I first said all
14	THE COURT: All right. There's a lot of defense	14	states, and I would say most states have a form specified in
15	counsel.	15	law of what that alphabet or random alpha or ordering system
16	Mr. Tambussi?	16	would be.
17	MR. TAMBUSSI: Thank you.	17	BY MR. TAMBUSSI:
18	(CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:)	18	Q. You've heard of random draws in determining ballot order?
19	Q. Mr. Macias, I just want to get some clarification.	19	A. Yes.
20	For the office-block ballot using the grid base	20	Q. Okay. And in the ballot that you're proposing on your
21	template, is it your position that each candidate lists	21	grid layout, all using column 1, is there a way to identify
22	listed for each particular office, starting with president,	22	candidates by political party?
23	would start at the top of the ballot and work its way down	23	A. Yes.
24	column 1?	24	MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have, Judge.
25	A. That is one method. As we have seen on some of the	25	THE COURT: All right. Is there any are we done
	United States District Court	0	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	~C	District of New Jersey
	154	k_{-}	156
			100
1	sample ballots that were shown, there can be multiple	1	with the defense cross?
1	sample ballots that were shown, there can be multiple multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column	1	
			with the defense cross?
2	multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column	2	with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor?
2 3	multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in	23	with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing
2 3 4	multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth.	2 3 4	with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine
2 3 4 5	multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on	2 3 4 5	with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break
2 3 4 5 6	multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down.	2 3 4 5 6	with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to
2 3 4 5 6 7	multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real	2 3 4 5 6 7	with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll ever to the next column.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candicates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candicates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who? MR. NELSON: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who? MR. NELSON: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon. THE COURT: And you couldn't coordinate with</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, correct? A. That is correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who? MR. NELSON: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon. THE COURT: And you couldn't coordinate with Mr. Natale to get three questions asked during his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. In determination of where a candidate falls within 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who? MR. NELSON: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon. THE COURT: And you couldn't coordinate with Mr. Natale to get three questions asked during his cross-examination?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. In determination of where a candidate falls within that ballot real estate for a particular office, for example, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who? MR. NELSON: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon. THE COURT: And you couldn't coordinate with Mr. Natale to get three questions asked during his cross-examination? MR. NELSON: We have been coordinating.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. In determination of where a candidate falls within that ballot real estate for a particular office, for example, if there are four Senate candidates, how would there be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who? MR. NELSON: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon. THE COURT: And you couldn't coordinate with Mr. Natale to get three questions asked during his cross-examination? MR. NELSON: We have been coordinating. THE COURT: All right. Bang them out. But I've got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. In determination of where a candidate falls within that ballot real estate for a particular office, for example, if there are four Senate candidates, how would there be determined who falls in what position or what order? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who? MR. NELSON: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon. THE COURT: And you couldn't coordinate with Mr. Natale to get three questions asked during his cross-examination? MR. NELSON: We have been coordinating. THE COURT: All right. Bang them out. But I've got to tell you: This is not how this afternoon is going to be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. In determination of where a candidate falls within that ballot real estate for a particular office, for example, if there are four Senate candidates, how would there be determined who falls in what position or what order? A. So that is based on state law. It is typically done by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who? MR. NELSON: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon. THE COURT: And you couldn't coordinate with Mr. Natale to get three questions asked during his cross-examination? MR. NELSON: We have been coordinating. THE COURT: All right. Bang them out. But I've got to tell you: This is not how this afternoon is going to be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. In determination of where a candidate falls within that ballot real estate for a particular office, for example, if there are four Senate candidates, how would there be determined who falls in what position or what order? A. So that is based on state law. It is typically done by some sort of alphabet. In some cases that is a traditional 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of who? MR. NELSON: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon. THE COURT: And you couldn't coordinate with Mr. Natale to get three questions asked during his cross-examination? MR. NELSON: We have been coordinating. THE COURT: All right. Bang them out. But I've got to tell you: This is not how this afternoon is going to be. We are not leaving here at 9:00 o'clock p.m. because each one of you wants to have one sound bite.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 multiple grids. And so, you know, it would go down the column on the left-hand side. Then you would go into another grid in another column and so on and so forth. But, yes, for a given contest, it would be a contest on the top or alongside with the list of the candidates down. And if you run out of space or what we call ballot real estate, typically that would then roll over to the next column. Q. Real estate. That would move a person from the bottom of the column over to the next column; is that what you're saying? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And depending on how many candidates there are, that depends on how much ballot real estate you need to use, correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. In determination of where a candidate falls within that ballot real estate for a particular office, for example, if there are four Senate candidates, how would there be determined who falls in what position or what order? A. So that is based on state law. It is typically done by some sort of alphabet. In some cases that is a traditional alphabet. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	 with the defense cross? MR. NELSON: Your Honor? THE COURT: Have you guys is this what we're doing now? We're going to have every defense attorney cross-examine each witness? Because I thought you just told me on break that you guys met and conferred and figured out how to streamline this. Because this doesn't seem very streamlined to me. MR. TAMBUSSI: Judge, I'm speaking on behalf of the political parties, which my interest is different. THE COURT: All right. So what is this now? MR. NELSON: Just three quick questions. THE COURT: On behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon. THE COURT: And you couldn't coordinate with Mr. NATALE to get three questions asked during his cross-examination? MR. NELSON: We have been coordinating. THE COURT: All right. Bang them out. But I've got to tell you: This is not how this afternoon is going to be. We are not leaving here at 9:00 o'clock p.m. because each one of you wants to have one sound bite.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 134 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	probably redundant anyway.	1	ballots that I have seen, the certs that I have seen, it is my
2	MR. NELSON: Yes, sir.	2	understanding that the county clerk does. But if that differs
3	THE COURT REPORTER: Can you identify yourself,	3	county by county, no, I could not.
4	please.	4	MR. NELSON: That's all I have, Your Honor.
5	MR. NELSON: Yes. Brian Nelson, Spiro, Harrison &	5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.
6	Nelson, on behalf of Monmouth County Clerk, Christine Hanlon.	6	Anything further from the defense? But I presume the
7	(CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NELSON:)	7	answer is no.
8	Q. Are you familiar with the various offices commissioned	8	Redirect. Is there any redirect on this?
9	with the administering of elections in each county in	9	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:)
10	New Jersey?	10	Q. Hi, Mr. Passante. This is Flavio Komuves, one of the
11	A. I couldn't name each of them for each county. However, I	11	plaintiffs sorry.
12	do know that many of the counties have three election	12	Mr. Macias, this is Flavio Komuves, one of the
13	officials per county. Some have two elections officials or	13	plaintiffs' attorneys. I just want to ask you a few redirect
14	elections administrators that have different roles and	14	questions.
15	responsibility within some of the jurisdictions, yes.	15	Your testimony earlier today was about two different
16	Q. Are you aware of the role in which the counties that have	16	systems, two different election management systems of ES&S,
17	a superintendent of elections plays the role that the	17	correct?
18	superintendent of election plays in those counties which have	18	A. Yes.
19	a superintendent?	19	Q. And one election management system of Dominion, correct?
20	MS. BROMBERG: Objection. Relevance.	20	A. Yes.
21	THE COURT: Overruled. I'll allow it.	21	Q. And with respect to those systems, you gave us testimony
22	You got three questions? Is that how many? And you	22	about the ease with which ballot layout could be changed in
23	can't I mean, this is going to be more to with the	23	each of those two systems, yes?
24	objections	24	A. Sir, which two systems?
25	MR. NELSON: Your honor, I am not repeating a single	25	Q . Sorry. The ES&S system and the Dominion system.
	United States District Court	<	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	d G	District of New Jersey
	158		160
1	question.	1	A. Yes. I talked about the process for ballot programming
2	THE COURT: No, I know. But how many questions de	2	but more specifically ballot layout.
3	you have?	3	Q. Okay. And with respect to ballot layout, fundamentally
4	MR. NELSON: I've had three, but this could lead	4	there is let me withdraw that.
5	into, because of his answers THE COURT: I'll overrule it.	5	With respect to creating the ballot definition files,
6		6	that requires a certain amount of work to put candidates in
7	MR. NELSON: important.	7	offices, correct?
8	THE COURT: All right. I've got it. I overruled the	8	A. Yes.
9	objection. Let's go.	9	Q. Would that amount of work change whatever this Court
10	MR. NELSON: Okay.	10	enters by way of an order requiring redesign or enjoining
11	BY MR. NELSON:	11	certain ballot uses?
12	Q. So the question I asked, to just repeat it, are you aware	12	A. In the redesign it you know, as previously testified,
13	of the role which the superintendent of elections plays in the	13 14	yes, it is likely that it would take additional time, but that
14	counties that have a superintendent?		would not it should not be substantially different from the
15 16	A. I don't know the role of any specific office and what	15 16	time that it would take to redesign any ballot for any given
10	role they play in any given county, no. Q. Are you aware of the role that the boards of elections	10	election. Q. Okay. So there might be some additional time associated
17	play in each county?	18	with the redesign of the ballot layout, correct?
19	A. Same answer.	19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Do you know which of these election offices have custody	20	Q. How much additional time are we talking about?
21	of the machines in these counties?	20	 A. Again, it's going to depend on every single election, how
22	A. No.	22	many candidates you have, how many contests you have. But in
23	Q. Do you know which of these offices conduct the ballot	23	just the normal course of business, in every single election,
24	proofing and testing in each of these counties?	24	you are going to have to redesign a ballot. Even if you are
25	A. Based on the documentation that I have seen, the sample	25	starting with a template, the template is not going to be
	United States District Court		United States District Court
1	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pade	e: 135 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	161 Bocument. 10	Jage	163
1	exactly the same as the previous election. And so this is	1	Do you want to answer that question, Mr. Macias? Do
2	just a normal course of business in every single election when	2	you have the question, or do you remember what it is?
3	defining an election definition.	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The question was would these
4	Q. Okay. And going back to the steps before the layout,	4	changes require additional certification by the Election
5	which is the creation of the ballot definition file, the	5	Assistance Commission, and the answer to that is no, there
6	entering of the candidates, things like that, is it your	6	would not be a recertification or retest without a software
7	would there be any change in the amount of time required to	7	change.
8	take that step of the process based on whatever the Court may	8	BY MR. KOMUVES:
9	order?	9	Q. All right. And with the familiarity level that you do
10	A. For data input, no. The number of candidates and	10	have regarding New Jersey state certification, if a new
11	contests are finite, and that will be the same regardless of	11	software if new software is not required for a particular
12	ballot layout. That is a separate process. And so that is	12	system, would certificate would recertification be required
13	dependent upon the number of contests in an election and	13	in New Jersey?
14	number of candidates in an election.	14	A. Required, no.
15	Q . But those are two things that this Court will not decide,	15	Q. Okay. Is it necessary in formulating these opinions
16	right, the number of contests, the number of candidates?	16	about the various election management systems you described,
17	A. I don't believe that is part of this case, while courts	17	is it necessary for you to have worked with any election
18	decide that prior to almost to many elections for reasons	18	official in New Jersey for you to have come to those
19	that I had described earlier.	19	conclusions?
20	Q. Okay. And post layout, when the ballot definition files	20	A. No. These are capabilities of a system. And so I'm
21	and the layout has to be transferred into the voting machines,	21	speaking on the capability and the process for laying out and
22	would that take any more or less time than what it would take	22	finding about.
23	today, regardless of what this Court orders?	23	THE COURT: Now I'm going back to Mr. Parikh's
24	A. To load the programming onto each of the voting devices	24	objection. I may go back in time here, but this has already
25	would take the same amount of time regardless of ballot	25	been said on his direct and through cross.
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	162		164
1		1	What's new here in this redirect that we're
2	layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct?	2	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's
-	layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct.		What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I
2 3 4	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your 	2 3 4	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his
2 3 4 5	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be 	2 3 4 5	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he
2 3 4 5 6	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are 	2 3 4 5 6	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his
2 3 4 5 6 7	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiifs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiifs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this question, but how many questions do you have left, Counsel, on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was pretty clear, that he didn't believe he had to work with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiifs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this question, but how many questions do you have left, Counsel, on this redirect? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was pretty clear, that he didn't believe he had to work with New Jersey or work with folks in New Jersey. They are going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintiifs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this question, but how many questions do you have left, Counsel, on this redirect? MR. KOMUVES: Not too many, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was pretty clear, that he didn't believe he had to work with New Jersey or work with folks in New Jersey. They are going to make a noise out of that, but it didn't sound like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintin's are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this question, but how many questions do you have left, Counsel, on this redirect? MR. KOMUVES: Not too many, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll let this question 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was pretty clear, that he didn't believe he had to work with New Jersey or work with folks in New Jersey. They are going to make a noise out of that, but it didn't sound like Mr. Macias was concerned about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintifs are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this question, but how many questions do you have left, Counsel, on this redirect? MR. KOMUVES: Not too many, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll let this question go, but if that objection comes up again from Mr. Parikh, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was pretty clear, that he didn't believe he had to work with New Jersey or work with folks in New Jersey. They are going to make a noise out of that, but it didn't sound like Mr. Macias was concerned about it. BY MR. KOMUVES:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintif's are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this question, but how many questions do you have left, Counsel, on this redirect? MR. KOMUVES: Not too many, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll let this question go, but if that objection comes up again from Mr. Parikh, I may sustain it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was pretty clear, that he didn't believe he had to work with New Jersey or work with folks in New Jersey. They are going to make a noise out of that, but it didn't sound like Mr. Macias was concerned about it. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. And is it necessary for you to know individual clerks'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintif's are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this question, but how many questions do you have left, Counsel, on this redirect? MR. KOMUVES: Not too many, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll let this question go, but if that objection comes up again from Mr. Parikh, I may sustain it. MR. KOMUVES: Understood. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was pretty clear, that he didn't believe he had to work with New Jersey or work with folks in New Jersey. They are going to make a noise out of that, but it didn't sound like Mr. Macias was concerned about it. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. And is it necessary for you to know individual clerks' office duties to make those conclusions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintin's are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this question, but how many questions do you have left, Counsel, on this redirect? MR. KOMUVES: Not too many, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll let this question go, but if that objection comes up again from Mr. Parikh, I may sustain it. MR. KOMUVES: Understood. THE COURT: All right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was pretty clear, that he didn't believe he had to work with New Jersey or work with folks in New Jersey. They are going to make a noise out of that, but it didn't sound like Mr. Macias was concerned about it. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. And is it necessary for you to know individual clerks' office duties to make those conclusions? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 layout. Q. So no change whatsoever, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Now, your testimony also was that, in your expert opinion, you don't no software changes would be required to implement the relief that the plaintif's are seeking in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And if there is no change in software, to your understanding, does the United States election official the United States Election Assistance Commission require recertification under the VVSG? MR. PARIKH: Your honor, I just think we're getting very repetitive here. This has already been testified about twice. The answer has come up five times. Just general objection on THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule on this question, but how many questions do you have left, Counsel, on this redirect? MR. KOMUVES: Not too many, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll let this question go, but if that objection comes up again from Mr. Parikh, I may sustain it. MR. KOMUVES: Understood. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	What's new here in this redirect that we're MR. KOMUVES: What's THE COURT: We're all going to have transcripts. I don't need it's not like we have to memorize what his testimony is, but there's nothing he's saying on redirect he hasn't already testified to either on direct or through his cross-examination. MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I think the issue here is that on cross, there were efforts to ask whether he had whether he had worked with New Jersey companies or not. I'm trying to establish that whether or not he worked with New Jersey companies is not relevant to or affecting his opinion. THE COURT: Right. And I thought he made that clear on cross-examination. Obviously, defense counsel disagrees and the defendants disagree with that that opinion, but I'll let you have a few more questions, but I thought that was pretty clear, that he didn't believe he had to work with New Jersey or work with folks in New Jersey. They are going to make a noise out of that, but it didn't sound like Mr. Macias was concerned about it. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. And is it necessary for you to know individual clerks' office duties to make those conclusions?

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 136 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	165 Q. Is it necessary for you to understand the number of	1	167 Q. And the statements you've made in that complaint, you
2		2	Q. And the statements you've made in that complaint, you reviewed them before signing it, correct?
3	employees or the protocols of printing companies for you to	3	
3	make those conclusions?	3 4	 A. That is correct. And all these statements were made somethal
4	A. No.	_	Q. And all those statements were made, correct?
5	Q. Okay.	5	A. That's right.
6	MR. KOMUVES: Nothing further.	6	Q. All right.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	7	Now, I want to turn to your candidacy now for the
8	Mr. Macias, thank you for your time. You're excused	8	U.S. Senate seat for New Jersey. When did you declare your
9	from this matter.	9	candidacy?
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	10	A. I declared my candidacy end of September, September 23rd,
11	THE COURT: Where we going next, Plaintiffs? Next	11	2023.
12	witness?	12	Q. Okay. And were you the first candidate in the race?
13	MR. KOMUVES: Congressman Andy Kim.	13	A. That's correct. I was.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Kim, come up to the witness box. I'm	14	Q. All right. Prior to December 23rd, had you given any
15	going to have you sworn in by my courtroom deputy.	15	public statements on the issue of New Jersey's ballot design,
16	(ANDY KIM, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS	16	laws, and practices?
17	FOLLOWS:)	17	A. I did. I gave an interview just a little bit before
18	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and	18	December 23rd in which I was asked directly about my position
19	the spelling of your last name for the record.	19	on the county-line, and I said publicly that I opposed it.
20 21	THE WITNESS: My full name is Andrew Kim, last name	20 21	Q. Okay. And when you gave that interview, you were not a
	K-I-M.		declared candidate at that time?
22 23	THE COURT: Mr. Kim, you can be seated.	22 23	 A. That's correct. I was not. A. Yau and allowing the bacance of declared conditions?
23 24	Counsel, when you are ready to proceed with direct	23 24	 Q. You were not planning to become a declared candidate? A second s
24 25	examination, feel free.	24	A. Not for the United States Senate, no.
25	MR. KOMUVES: Thank you. United States District Court	25	Q. And what did prompt your candidacy for U.S. Senate? United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey
		Θ^{-}	•
	166	2 ·	168
1	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:)	1	168 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came
1 2	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:)	1	 What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before,
	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:)	-	A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came
2	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman.	2	A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before,
2	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is?	2 3	A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd.
2 3 4	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 	2 3 4	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming?
2 3 4 5	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. 	2 3 4 5	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment
2 3 4 5 6	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal government, primarily in foreign policy. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me? Q. Yes. We are using colloquially I understand defense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal government, primarily in foreign policy. Q. All right. You're among the plaintiffs who filed a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me? Q. Yes. We are using colloquially I understand defense is objecting but we are talking about the way the primary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal government, primarily in foreign policy. Q. All right. You're among the plaintiffs who filed a verified complaint in this matter? A. That's correct. Q. You've previously seen the verified complaint itself, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me? Q. Yes. We are using colloquially I understand defense is objecting but we are talking about the way the primary ballot is laid out in New Jersey, which is shorthand I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal government, primarily in foreign policy. Q. All right. You're among the plaintiffs who filed a verified complaint in this matter? A. That's correct. Q. You've previously seen the verified complaint itself, which is docket entry DE 1, and we'll mark it as Plaintiff 9. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me? Q. Yes. We are using colloquially I understand defense is objecting but we are talking about the way the primary ballot is laid out in New Jersey, which is shorthand I'll call it the county-line ballot. A. Yeah. Look, you know, it's something that's very fundamental to every consideration. I remember, when I first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal government, primarily in foreign policy. Q. All right. You're among the plaintiffs who filed a verified complaint in this matter? A. That's correct. Q. You've previously seen the verified complaint itself, which is docket entry DE 1, and we'll mark it as Plaintiff 9. MS. BROMBERG: Eight. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me? Q. Yes. We are using colloquially I understand defense is objecting but we are talking about the way the primary ballot is laid out in New Jersey, which is shorthand I'll call it the county-line ballot. A. Yeah. Look, you know, it's something that's very fundamental to every consideration. I remember, when I first was considering running for the United States Congress,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal government, primarily in foreign policy. Q. All right. You're among the plaintiffs who filed a verified complaint in this matter? A. That's correct. Q. You've previously seen the verified complaint itself, which is docket entry DE 1, and we'll mark it as Plaintiff 9. MS. BROMBERG: Eight. MR. KOMUVES: Plaintiff 8. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me? Q. Yes. We are using colloquially I understand defense is objecting but we are talking about the way the primary ballot is laid out in New Jersey, which is shorthand I'll call it the county-line ballot. A. Yeah. Look, you know, it's something that's very fundamental to every consideration. I remember, when I first was considering running for the United States Congress, literally the first question I was asked by anybody was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal government, primarily in foreign policy. Q. All right. You're among the plaintiffs who filed a verified complaint in this matter? A. That's correct. Q. You've previously seen the verified complaint itself, which is docket entry DE 1, and we'll mark it as Plaintiff 9. MS. BROMBERG: Eight. MR. KOMUVES: Plaintiff 8. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit P-8 in evidence.) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me? Q. Yes. We are using colloquially I understand defense is objecting but we are talking about the way the primary ballot is laid out in New Jersey, which is shorthand I'll call it the county-line ballot. A. Yeah. Look, you know, it's something that's very fundamental to every consideration. I remember, when I first was considering running for the United States Congress, literally the first question I was asked by anybody was whether or not I could if I thought I could achieve the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal government, primarily in foreign policy. Q. All right. You're among the plaintiffs who filed a verified complaint in this matter? A. That's correct. Q. You've previously seen the verified complaint itself, which is docket entry DE 1, and we'll mark it as Plaintiff 9. MS. BROMBERG: Eight. MR. KOMUVES: Plaintiff 8. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit P-8 in evidence.) BY MR. KOMUVES: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me? Q. Yes. We are using colloquially I understand defense is objecting but we are talking about the way the primary ballot is laid out in New Jersey, which is shorthand I'll call it the county-line ballot. A. Yeah. Look, you know, it's something that's very fundamental to every consideration. I remember, when I first was considering running for the United States Congress, literally the first question I was asked by anybody was whether or not I could if I thought I could achieve the county-line. It was seen as very much determinative of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Q. Good afternoon, Congressman. Can you tell the Court what your current occupation is? A. I am currently the U.S. representative of the 3rd Congressional District of New Jersey. Q. When were you first elected? A. I was elected in November of 2018 and sworn in January 3rd, 2019. Q. So you've served how many this is your third term in Congress? A. Third term. That's right. Q. Okay. Before being elected to Congress, what was the nature of your work? A. Before being elected into Congress, I had worked in a variety of jobs in the executive branch of the federal government, primarily in foreign policy. Q. All right. You're among the plaintiffs who filed a verified complaint in this matter? A. That's correct. Q. You've previously seen the verified complaint itself, which is docket entry DE 1, and we'll mark it as Plaintiff 9. MS. BROMBERG: Eight. MR. KOMUVES: Plaintiff 8. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit P-8 in evidence.) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. What prompted my candidacy was the indictment that came out on our current senator, Senator Menendez, the day before, so on September 22nd. Q. Did you know that indictment was forthcoming? A. I had no idea when the date would be or that indictment would come. Q. So when you made your public statements about the county and the line, you had no idea of the indictment and no idea you were going to be a candidate, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, you said before you ran you've run three times for Congress, and now you're running for U.S. Senate. Could you give the Court a sense of how the New Jersey primary ballot design laws affect you? A. How the design of the ballots affects me? Q. Yes. We are using colloquially I understand defense is objecting but we are talking about the way the primary ballot is laid out in New Jersey, which is shorthand I'll call it the county-line ballot. A. Yeah. Look, you know, it's something that's very fundamental to every consideration. I remember, when I first was considering running for the United States Congress, literally the first question I was asked by anybody was whether or not I could if I thought I could achieve the

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pad	e: 137 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	169	Ū	171
1	whether or not I would be successful or not.	1	that I was now being associated with. I did not know their
2	So from the very outset it was something that was made	2	policy backgrounds. I was not aware of their personal
4	very clear to me was very important for my candidacy and the	3	background. I had concerns of whether or not there would be
5	candidacy of anyone running for office in New Jersey. Q. How, if at all, did being awarded a line effect your	5	some type of new information or some scandal that one of the candidates might have and how that would affect me being
6	fundraising potential?	6	now that I'm being forced to associate with them.
7	A. Well, look, for instance, my very first race, I was	7	So that was that was a real concern of mine and
8	trying to take on an incumbent Republican congressman. I was	8	something that I worried about a lot.
9	not supported by National Democratic Party institutions like	9	Q. As you sit here today, do you have any regrets about
10	the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee or others	10	pursuing the county-line in those first three races?
11	until I was able to demonstrate an ability to be able to	11	A. Well, as I mentioned, you know, it was something that was
12	achieve the county lines within my congressional district.	12	made very clear to me was necessary for me to be able to be
13	So it was seen as very much determinative on viability	13	successful.
14	and, as a result, was something that had a very significant	14	And so despite my personal concerns about how this
15	impact on whether or not I would be seen as viable amongst	15	went, I felt like I had no choice but to participate in this
16	Democratic party institutions, donors, et cetera.	16	system if I wanted to be successful in my elections.
17	So it was very impactful.	17	Q. If someone else was awarded the county-line, one of your
18	Q. So I'm understanding you, getting the county-line would	18	opponents, how would that have affected you?
19	be evidence of your viability as a candidate?	19	A. It would have been quite devastating most likely,
20	A. It was the main consideration of viability in the eyes of	20	especially my first race. You know, it is something where, if
21	many, if not all, people in the political space, correct.	21	you are if I do not accept the county-line and if I were to
22 23	Q. And your viability as a candidate, in turn, does that	22 23	to avoid that, that advantage would then go to a
23 24	affect your fundraising potential? A. It is incredibly important. If you are not able to	23 24	competitor, and that would be something that would significantly adversely affect my candidacy.
24 25	A. It is incredibly important. If you are not able to demonstrate viability, it becomes very difficult to be able to	24 25	Q. So if I'm understanding you, part of the reason you
23	United States District Court	23	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	²	District of New Jersey
	170	P	172
1	convince people or organizations to be able to financially	1	accept the county-line is so that the advantages that come
2	back your campaign.	2	from the county-line don't go to an opponent. Is that fair?
3	Q. Did you observe similar effects of receiving the line in	3	A. That's correct. It's not just about what it is that I
4	your subsequent two races for Congress?	4	can achieve, but if I do not participate in this system, then
5	A. It was with certain with my second and third races, at	5	that advantage will go to a competitor of mine and likely make
6	that point, I was an incumbent congressman, so viability had	6	my candidacy significantly weaker.
7	been established in that type of way.	7	Q. You talked a little bit earlier about these advantages
8	But certainly, you know, the continuation of being on	8	being perceptions of viability and perceptions of fundraising.
9	the county-line is was seen as important for my ability to	9	What about the electoral results themselves? What
10 11	be able to to be able to win and to be able to have the	10 11	affect does the county-line have on electoral results?
12	kind of margins needed. Q. Okay. And in regard to that just let me be clear: In	12	A. Well, there would be, I would say, two ways to think about this. Certainly in terms of actually being on the
13	all three races for Congress, you've received a county-line in	13	ballot, you know, the advantage that we see and I know
14	all the counties in your district, correct?	14	other experts will speak to this is significant in terms of
15	A. That's correct.	15	just the competitiveness.
16	Q. Okay. So in the county-line, your name appears	16	Especially when I was in the House of
17	associated with other candidates, right?	17	Representatives running for the House of Representatives,
18	A. That's right. Up and down with of different offices,	18	which does not have the the primacy argument it does
19	correct.	19	have the primacy argument and concerns. You know, there is
20	Q. Did you did you see any downsides to that arrangement	20	if I did not take the line, the prospects were being put out
21	on the ballot?	21	in what is called ballot Siberia, which was very real.
22	A. I did. I mean, in in you know, you're being	22	So that could have a very pronounced impact, but the
23	associated with candidates and in every county, every	23	secondary effect that I've seen and witnessed is, if someone
24	municipality and in multiple counties.	24	doesn't get the county-line, oftentimes that candidate ends up
25	So, for instance, I just simply did not know everybody	25	dropping out. Oftentimes, it becomes this determinative
	United States District Court		United States District Court
1	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pade	e: 138 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	173		175
1	effect where the choice is limited for the voters to start	1	Q. Did you take steps to ramp up communication efforts with
2	with because it is seen as impossible to be a win without the	2	voters?
3	county-line.	3	A. Yes. Certainly did engagements, thinking about different
4 5	So, I mean, I can imagine I can recall any number of	4 5	events that we can do across the state. Q. What about social media?
6	situations in which I have seen candidates not get the county-line and drop out because they just do not feel like it	6	A. Yes.
7	is at all possible for them.	7	Q. Okay. And when you had obviously, I understand, as a
8	So the advantage is not just about what we see in	8	congressman, you're pretty busy down in D.C., but when you
9	November or June on the ballot, but a lot of it is about	9	weren't busy down in D.C., what did you what did you do
10	preventing candidates to start with and preventing that choice	10	back in New Jersey to further your candidacy?
11	to start with on the ballot.	11	A. I would often travel around to many of the different
12	Q. So you're saying the county-line system results in fewer	12	counties, hold different meet and greet events, build
13	candidates and fewer voters?	13	engagement with voters, fundraisers and other types of efforts
14	A. Correct. That's absolutely correct.	14	to be able to reach out to people.
15	Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a legislator, as	15	Q. Did you pursue endorsements from any persons or entities?
16	someone who's worked for the State Department on democracy	16	A. I did have conversations with different organizations,
17	issues, what is your opinion about the effect of having less	17	whether that be, you know, unions or advocacy organizations,
18	candidates?	18	as well as elective leaders and political leaders across the
19	A. Well, look, the whole point of democracy is to give the	19	state.
20	people a choice and be able to have the decision made by the	20	A lot of that was about just introducing myself to a
21	people. But if you are limiting that or if there are elements	21	lot of people that I haven't met before. So at that stage,
22	that are limiting or repressing that choice, I find that to be	22	the primary effort was about informing them about my
23	adverse to the pursuit of the democracy that I believe in, of	23	candidacy hearing from them about feedback.
24	fairness and equality.	24	Q. Okay. And so you've described all this hiring,
25	Q. And that's based on your judgment as a candidate and as a	25	fundraising, social media, talking with voters, meeting with
	United States District Court District of New Jersey	_6	United States District Court District of New Jersey
	174	Θ	176
1			
	legislator?	1	NGOs, things like that. If at the time that shortly after
2	legislator? A. Yes, and just as an American.	1 2	NGOs, things like that. If at the time that shortly after you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws
2 3			
2	A. Yes, and just as an American.	2	you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws
2 3	A. Yes, and just as an American.Q. Great. Okay.	2 3	you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect,
2 3 4	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. 	2 3 4	you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the
2 3 4 5	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I 	2 3 4 5	you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent 	2 3 4 5 6	you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the time, so certainly needed to hire senior staff, be able to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be engaged with voters, still be out there doing those types of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the time, so certainly needed to hire senior staff, be able to build out an operation that could then run in 21 counties. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be engaged with voters, still be out there doing those types of outreach.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the time, so certainly needed to hire senior staff, be able to build out an operation that could then run in 21 counties. So it was a major operation that I immediately had to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be engaged with voters, still be out there doing those types of outreach. Q. Okay. And so this is now your fourth time running for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the time, so certainly needed to hire senior staff, be able to build out an operation that could then run in 21 counties. So it was a major operation that I immediately had to shift into, knowing full well that I did not have a full 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be engaged with voters, still be out there doing those types of outreach. Q. Okay. And so this is now your fourth time running for federal office. Based on that experience, is seeking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the time, so certainly needed to hire senior staff, be able to build out an operation that could then run in 21 counties. So it was a major operation that I immediately had to shift into, knowing full well that I did not have a full campaign cycle ahead of me, given that this was a later 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be engaged with voters, still be out there doing those types of outreach. Q. Okay. And so this is now your fourth time running for federal office. Based on that experience, is seeking endorsements a useful or a necessary part of a campaign?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the time, so certainly needed to hire senior staff, be able to build out an operation that could then run in 21 counties. So it was a major operation that I immediately had to shift into, knowing full well that I did not have a full campaign cycle ahead of me, given that this was a later decision. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be engaged with voters, still be out there doing those types of outreach. Q. Okay. And so this is now your fourth time running for federal office. Based on that experience, is seeking endorsements a useful or a necessary part of a campaign? A. It is a useful part of the campaign in terms of being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the time, so certainly needed to hire senior staff, be able to build out an operation that could then run in 21 counties. So it was a major operation that I immediately had to shift into, knowing full well that I did not have a full campaign cycle ahead of me, given that this was a later decision. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be engaged with voters, still be out there doing those types of outreach. Q. Okay. And so this is now your fourth time running for federal office. Based on that experience, is seeking endorsements a useful or a necessary part of a campaign? A. It is a useful part of the campaign in terms of being able to show the coalition that one can build. But with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the time, so certainly needed to hire senior staff, be able to build out an operation that could then run in 21 counties. So it was a major operation that I immediately had to shift into, knowing full well that I did not have a full campaign cycle ahead of me, given that this was a later decision. So it was something I had to immediately turn to. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be engaged with voters, still be out there doing those types of outreach. Q. Okay. And so this is now your fourth time running for federal office. Based on that experience, is seeking endorsements a useful or a necessary part of a campaign? A. It is a useful part of the campaign in terms of being able to show the coalition that one can build. But with and I would just say, you know, the endorsements in that kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes, and just as an American. Q. Great. Okay. I want to switch gears for a moment to the Senate race. So you touched on this a little bit earlier. You declared, I think, on September 23rd, which was a day after the incumbent Senator got into some legal trouble. A. Uh-huh. Q. That's what prompted you to run? A. Correct. Q. After you declared as a Senate candidate, what steps did you take to organize and run your campaign? A. Well, immediately had to set up a full operation at that time. I was running you know, I was running for the House of Representatives. I had only two campaign staff at the time, so certainly needed to hire senior staff, be able to build out an operation that could then run in 21 counties. So it was a major operation that I immediately had to shift into, knowing full well that I did not have a full campaign cycle ahead of me, given that this was a later decision. So it was something I had to immediately turn to. Q. And did you also take steps to ramp up fundraising? A. Correct. Yes. I had to immediately start to increase 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 you declared, if you knew that New Jersey's ballot design laws and the county-line ballot were not going to be in effect, would you have done anything differently than given the status quo that they are in effect? A. Well, I think if the county-line system was not in effect, I still would be reaching out to voters. I'd still be engaged in the fundraising and different operations at that point. I think maybe there would have been, you know, maybe some different reactions from some of my conversations about my viability in terms of a candidacy for Senate. And so I think that might have changed some of the dynamics. Q. But overall, would you say your A. In terms of in terms of just, you know, the operations, I would still be hiring on the staff, still be engaged with voters, still be out there doing those types of outreach. Q. Okay. And so this is now your fourth time running for federal office. Based on that experience, is seeking endorsements a useful or a necessary part of a campaign? A. It is a useful part of the campaign in terms of being able to show the coalition that one can build. But with and I would just say, you know, the endorsements in that kind of capacity in terms of different organizations, elected

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	rade	e: 139 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	177	1	179
1	different from sort of an endorsement situation when it comes		 A. Yes. That's a very regular and form part of campaigning. Of all comparing?
2	to county chairs or other entities that, you know, participate	2	Q. Of all campaigns?
3	in this system about awarding county lines. So that's a	3	 A. Of all campaigns, yes.
4	different set.	4	Q . Have there been any senatorial any polls taken about
5	Q. So it is true you made efforts to get county party	5	your senatorial race and how you faired against Ms. Murphy,
6	endorsements in all counties, correct?	6	Ms. Campos, and Mr. Hand?
7	A. For the Senate race?	7	A. There have been a number of different polls that have
8	Q . Yes.	8	come out and have been public in this race, yes.
9	A. Yes. I've been participating in the county convention	9	Q . And just summarize, if you would, what have those polls
10	efforts in the counties that do have conventions, which is	10	shown?
11	only about half of them. So, you know, the other half of	11	A. Every single poll showed me with a very significant
12	counties in New Jersey that do not have that kind of system,	12	double-digit lead over my competitors.
13	you know, I did not have a county convention effort to be able	13	Q . So I'm assuming, in your media coverage, you must be
14	to engage in that.	14	characterized now as the favorite or leading candidate, right?
15	Those counties account for roughly about 50 percent of	15	A. No. In fact, for in many, if not most or all,
16	all registered Democratic voters in the state.	16	publications, I'm often referred to as an underdog despite my
17	Q . And in your experience, do any electoral benefits come	17	significant advantage in polling.
18	from winning a county party endorsement?	18	Q. And based on your experience as a four-time federal
19	A. Yes. Yes. I mean, if you're able to win a county	19	candidate, why is it that they're treating you as underdog?
20	endorsement we've certainly seen the research, and this was	20	A. It is predominantly because of the county-line situation.
21	a lot of what we tried to present here today and before this	21	When it comes to fundraising, she has the first lady has a
22	Court, about the advantages that come with that endorsement.	22	slight advantage over me, but I've kept up with the
23	Q. And in pursuing these endorsements, you did win some and	23	fundraising on that front.
24	you didn't win some. Is that fair?	24	The primary reason by far that I'm being referred to as
25	A. That's correct.	25	an underdog is because of the number of county endorsements
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	6	District of New Jersey
	178		180
1	 Q. All right. Does your campaign keep records of the counties where you won or lost endorsements? 	1	that the first lady has compared to me.
2	counties where you won or lost endorsements?	2	Q. Okay.
3	A. Yes, we do.	3	And just to focus on your request to this Court, could
4	Q. Measured as a share of Democratic voters, what do those	4	you define for the Court and Judge Ouraichi what is it that
5		-	you define for the Court and Judge Quraishi what is it that
-	records tell you about which senatorial candidate has won the	5	you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary
6	county endorsements in the county with the majority of	6	
7	county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters?	6 7	you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary
7 8	county endorsements in the county with the majority of	6 7 8	you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots?
7 8 9	county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters?A. Yes. So I 've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered	6 7 8 9	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been
7 8 9 10	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I 've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, 	6 7 8 9 10	you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on
7 8 9 10 11	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've 	6 7 8 9 10 11	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been
7 8 9 10 11 12	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all Democratic voters reside in the counties that she either has or is expected to be able to have the county-line in.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all Democratic voters reside in the counties that she either has or is expected to be able to have the county-line in. Q. So on a net basis, because of the number and size of the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all Democratic voters reside in the counties that she either has or is expected to be able to have the county-line in. Q. So on a net basis, because of the number and size of the counties that she won as compared to the number and size of 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all Democratic voters reside in the counties that she either has or is expected to be able to have the county-line in. Q. So on a net basis, because of the number and size of the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all Democratic voters reside in the counties that she either has or is expected to be able to have the county-line in. Q. So on a net basis, because of the number and size of the counties that she won as compared to the number and size of the counties you won, is that a net benefit for her? A. That's a significant net benefit for her. That's 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate in this kind of way. I want to be able to serve the state and this country, and given this this situation right now, I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all Democratic voters reside in the counties that she either has or is expected to be able to have the county-line in. Q. So on a net basis, because of the number and size of the counties that she won as compared to the number and size of the counties you won, is that a net benefit for her? A. That's a significant net benefit for her. That's correct. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate in this kind of way. I want to be able to serve the state and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate in this kind of way. I want to be able to serve the state and this country, and given this this situation right now, I hope that this chance that I have to serve will be will be allowed for the voters to be able to do it in a fair way.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all Democratic voters reside in the counties that she either has or is expected to be able to have the county-line in. Q. So on a net basis, because of the number and size of the counties that she won as compared to the number and size of the counties you won, is that a net benefit for her? A. That's a significant net benefit for her. That's correct. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate in this kind of way. I want to be able to serve the state and this country, and given this this situation right now, I hope that this chance that I have to serve will be will be
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate in this kind of way. I want to be able to serve the state and this country, and given this this situation right now, I hope that this chance that I have to serve will be will be allowed for the voters to be able to do it in a fair way.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I 've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate in this kind of way. I want to be able to serve the state and this country, and given this this situation right now, I hope that this chance that I have to serve will be will be allowed for the voters to be able to do it in a fair way. Q. So in this one chance that you have to run for Senate,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all Democratic voters reside in the counties that she either has or is expected to be able to have the county-line in. Q. So on a net basis, because of the number and size of the counties that she won as compared to the number and size of the counties you won, is that a net benefit for her? A. That's a significant net benefit for her. That's correct. Q. Okay. Congressman, one thing I didn't ask before was about the steps you had taken as polls and polsters. So in the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate in this kind of way. I want to be able to serve the state and this country, and given this this situation right now, I hope that this chance that I have to serve will be will be allowed for the voters to be able to do it in a fair way. Q. So in this one chance that you have to run for Senate, you want to make sure the voters are presented with evenhanded
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've it's not all sorted out, but it will be over 60 percent of all Democratic voters reside in the counties that she either has or is expected to be able to have the county-line in. Q. So on a net basis, because of the number and size of the counties that she won as compared to the number and size of the counties you won, is that a net benefit for her? A. That's a significant net benefit for her. That's correct. Q. Okay. Congressman, one thing I didn't ask before was about the steps you had taken as polls and polsters. So in the course of running for Congress and in the course of your 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate in this kind of way. I want to be able to serve the state and this country, and given this this situation right now, I hope that this chance that I have to serve will be will be allowed for the voters to be able to do it in a fair way. Q. So in this one chance that you have to run for Senate, you want to make sure the voters are presented with evenhanded and fair ballots, right?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 county endorsements in the county with the majority of Democratic voters? A. Yes. So I've won now nine county conventions. That accounts for about 33 percent, about a third of all registered Democratic voters in New Jersey. Whereas, my main competitor, the First Lady Jamie Murphy, she has county lines. We've	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 you want this Court to do vis-à-vis New Jersey primary ballots? A. Look, I just ask for us to have a fair ballot here. I'm not asking for any advantage to me. I'm not asking to hold on to the advantages that I have in the counties that I have been successful in. All I'm asking for is for New Jersey to be in line with 49 other states in terms of pursuing an office-block-style ballot that would allow us to have a fair system here and give me an opportunity to be able to pursue this this chance to run for Senate. This is I'm getting this will likely be the only time that I'll be able to step up and run for Senate in this kind of way. I want to be able to serve the state and this country, and given this this situation right now, I hope that this chance that I have to serve will be will be allowed for the voters to be able to do it in a fair way. Q. So in this one chance that you have to run for Senate, you want to make sure the voters are presented with evenhanded and fair ballots, right? A. That's correct. I mean, the winner of the Senate seat

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Dode	e: 140 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	Case. 24-1395 Document. 10 1 181		183
1	This is a significant situation for me, but it's also a	1	not all supporting each other but instead that there are
2	significant situation for the state of New Jersey in terms of	2	elements within those county lines that are actively working
3	who will represent them in the United States Senate when	3	against each other.
4	there's so many difficult issues facing our nation right now.	4	Q. Do you have any concerns that this would confuse voters?
5	Q . And just so I'm clear, you want the Court to enjoin	5	A. Well, it's very confusing to voters. I mean, the whole
6	well, we know there's two counties that don't use county-line	6	idea of association, you know, presents the idea that these
7	ballots; they use office block. But you're asking the Court	7	are candidates that chose to associate with each other. But
8	to enjoin this statewide, correct?	8	essentially what has happened is that I did not actually have
10	A. That's correct. And the fact that, as you mentioned, the	9 10	formal conversations with all of these other candidates. As I
11	two counties in New Jersey already use an office-block system show that, you know, that it's something that we are able to	11	mentioned, I don't even know most of these candidates in, you know, these different counties across the state that are
12	do here, and I'd ask for the other 19 counties to follow suit.	12	awarded these lines. I am I am basically being awarded
13	Q . And so that would mean you personally would be giving up	13	this and then forced to associate with one another through the
14	the county lines in the counties you've won.	14	county organizations.
15	A. That's correct.	15	Q. So just I'm sorry.
16	Q . You would be able to do that?	16	A. Yeah. So that's the challenge there. I don't know the
17	A. Yes.	17	policy positions of many of these candidates, and because I'm
18	Q. Great.	18	running for Senate, I'm now in many more counties than I was
19	So if on the other hand the Court does not enjoin the	19	before as a House candidate. So it's more pronounced, more
20	office block the sorry. If the Court does not enjoin	20	difficult for me to understand, and it's more concerning in
21	the county-line ballot, will there be a similar situation	21	terms of, you know, whether or not there are candidates there
22	where you're named with other candidates in a column?	22	that I might disagree with policy-wise, might have elements of
23	A. That's right. In some of the counties that I have been	23	a background that I would be and find concerning. And because
24	awarded the line, I will be associated with other candidates	24	I'm associated with them, I'm often referred to as a running
25	in those counties.	25	mate for some of these candidates. I'm taking on that type of
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	60	District of New Jersey
	182		184
1	Q . And focusing on this year's election, are there any	1	potential concern and harm to my campaign.
2	Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates?	2	potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the
2 3	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've 	23	potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just
2 3 4	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there 	2 3 4	potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the
2 3 4 5	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties 	2 3 4 5	potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct?
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. 	2 3 4 5 6	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is,
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very itrst win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very itrst win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and visually associated with someone that wants your Senate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just find that to be, you know, deeply challenging.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and visually associated with someone that wants your Senate opponent to win? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just find that to be, you know, deeply challenging. I don't want to have to do this. I'd rather just run
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and visually associated with someone that wants your Senate opponent to win? A. That's correct. And that's, you know, also the case in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just find that to be, you know, deeply challenging. I don't want to have to do this. I'd rather just run for my Senate race and not have to consider, you know, dozens
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and visually associated with someone that wants your Senate opponent to win? A. That's correct. And that's, you know, also the case in Morris County, a county that I just won the congressional 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just find that to be, you know, deeply challenging. I don't want to have to do this. I'd rather just run for my Senate race and not have to consider, you know, dozens if not hundreds of other candidates across multiple counties.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very itrst win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and visually associated with someone that wants your Senate opponent to win? A. That's correct. And that's, you know, also the case in Morris County, a county that I just won the congressional candidate there, somebody who has endorsed a competitor of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just find that to be, you know, deeply challenging. I don't want to have to do this. I'd rather just run for my Senate race and not have to consider, you know, dozens if not hundreds of other candidates across multiple counties.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and visually associated with someone that wants your Senate opponent to win? A. That's correct. And that's, you know, also the case in Morris County, a county that I just won the congressional candidate there, somebody who has endorsed a competitor of mine. So it just makes things very difficult for me to be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just find that to be, you know, deeply challenging. I don't want to have to do this. I'd rather just run for my Senate race and not have to consider, you know, dozens if not hundreds of other candidates across multiple counties. But, unfortunately, I have to given the system here in New Jersey.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and visually associated with someone that wants your Senate opponent to win? A. That's correct. And that's, you know, also the case in Morris County, a county that I just won the congressional candidate there, somebody who has endorsed a competitor of mine. So it just makes things very difficult for me to be able to campaign. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just find that to be, you know, deeply challenging. I don't want to have to do this. I'd rather just run for my Senate race and not have to consider, you know, dozens if not hundreds of other candidates across multiple counties. But, unfortunately, I have to given the system here in New Jersey. Q. Okay. And so with all these concerns that come from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very first win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and visually associated with someone that wants your Senate opponent to win? A. That's correct. And that's, you know, also the case in Morris County, a county that I just won the congressional candidate there, somebody who has endorsed a competitor of mine. So it just makes things very difficult for me to be able to campaign. Think about how to build a field program, how to do voter engagement. And certainly it's very confusing to a lot of voters that I've talked to when the the county-line is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just find that to be, you know, deeply challenging. I don't want to have to do this. I'd rather just run for my Senate race and not have to consider, you know, dozens if not hundreds of other candidates across multiple counties. But, unfortunately, I have to given the system here in New Jersey. Q. Okay. And so with all these concerns that come from the county-line, can you share with the Court your thoughts why don't you just give it up? Why not A. Look, it's similar to what I said earlier, which is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And focusing on this year's election, are there any problems about being associated with those other candidates? A. Yes, yes. In some of the counties that I'm where I've been awarded the line, there are candidates on that there are candidates that have also won the line in those counties that are actively working against my campaign. So, for instance, in Monmouth County, I won the county convention there. That was my very iffst win. The congressman there, Congressman Frank Pallone, is actively supporting a competitor of mine, has been campaigning on her behalf. So that makes it very challenging for me. That makes it very difficult for me to be on an endorsed candidate line with with somebody who is not supportive of my campaign. Q. So you're going to be listed on the same line and visually associated with someone that wants your Senate opponent to win? A. That's correct. And that's, you know, also the case in Morris County, a county that I just won the congressional candidate there, somebody who has endorsed a competitor of mine. So it just makes things very difficult for me to be able to campaign. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 potential concern and harm to my campaign. Q. And these concerns about confusion and and the oddities of being shared with the candidates that you just went over, they would be eliminated if this Court enjoined the use of county-line ballots, correct? A. That's correct. I mean, look. What I'll just say is, like, I just want to run for the Senate seat. This is what I was stepping up to do because there's so much at stake right now. And like you know, if I think about about, yes, freedom to associate in this country, that also should mean that I have the freedom to not associate with others if I choose not to. And, you know, here's a situation where, for my own electoral prospects, I am forced to have to associate with people that I do not know, many of them I do not know, some of them who are actively working against me, and I just find that to be, you know, deeply challenging. I don't want to have to do this. I'd rather just run for my Senate race and not have to consider, you know, dozens if not hundreds of other candidates across multiple counties. But, unfortunately, I have to given the system here in New Jersey. Q. Okay. And so with all these concerns that come from the county-line, can you share with the Court your thoughts why don't you just give it up? Why not

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rage	ge: 141 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	185		187
1	it would just if I do not accept these lines, if I do not	1	
2	participate in those conventions, then a competitor of mine	2	
3	would be able to then be on the line and be afforded very	3	
4	significant advantages in the election.	4	
5	So, again, even if it was something that I personally	5	
6	did not like, I felt it necessary to participate, even if it	6	
7	was to prevent a competitor of mine from gaining that type of	7	5 5
8	advantage in all of those different counties which would have	8	
9	very much had an adverse effect on my capacity to be able to	9	
10	win this campaign.	10	, 5
11	Q. Appreciate that.	11	2
12	Okay. I want to just go over, briefly, a little bit,	12	-
13	some of the time sequence again.	13	
14	A. Yeah.	14	
15	Q. I don't want to necessarily repeat myself here. You	15	
16	declared candidacy on September 23rd at a point after you come	16	
17	out publicly against the practices known as the "county-line."	17	
18	Now, when you declared for Senate, did you know with	18	5 , 5
19	any level of certainty whether those laws would benefit you or	19	
20	harm you?	20	
21	A. I did not know for certain at that time, no.	21	
22	Q. Okay. But at some point, other candidates entered in the	22	
23	race for Senate?	23	
24	A. That's correct.	24	
25	Q. Okay. And at some point, these other candidates received	25	
	United States District Court	G	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey 186	<u> </u>	District of New Jersey 188
1	the endorsement of county political chairs, right?	1	
2	A. That's right.	2	
3	Q. When was that?	3	5, 5, 5, 5,
4	A. It was, I believe, some time in mid November. The	4	
5	first lady, Tammy Murphy, jumped into the race officially and	5	
6	then, within just a matter of a few days, has about eight or	6	
7	nine county chairs endorse her campaign	7	
8	Q. Just so I'm clear, there's a difference between receiving	8	5
9	the endorsement of the political party chair and actually	9	
10	receiving the line, correct?	10	. 5
11	A. That's correct.	11	, 5 5 5
12	Q. All right. And what is that difference?	12	
13	A. Well, you know, at that time the county chairs, some of	13	
14	those that made the endorsements, you know they did so in	14	
15		15	
	their own personal capacity, but there was no officially		MR. KOMUVES: Of course.
16	their own personal capacity, but there was no officially awarding of a county-line at that point.	16	
16 17		16 17	THE COURT: All right.
	awarding of a county-line at that point.		THE COURT: All right. MR. KOMUVES: Of course.
17	awarding of a county-line at that point. Q. So would you say that, as of the moment that these county	17	THE COURT: All right. MR. KOMUVES: Of course. THE COURT: You may proceed.
17 18	awarding of a county-line at that point. Q. So would you say that, as of the moment that these county chairs had endorsed your opponent, that that was a moment	17 18	THE COURT: All right. MR. KOMUVES: Of course. THE COURT: You may proceed. BY MR. KOMUVES:
17 18 19	awarding of a county-line at that point. Q. So would you say that, as of the moment that these county chairs had endorsed your opponent, that that was a moment where you felt you were experiencing an injury as a candidate	17 18 19	 THE COURT: All right. MR. KOMUVES: Of course. THE COURT: You may proceed. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Congressman, did there come a point in time when you
17 18 19 20	awarding of a county-line at that point. Q. So would you say that, as of the moment that these county chairs had endorsed your opponent, that that was a moment where you felt you were experiencing an injury as a candidate in your electoral chances?	17 18 19 20	 THE COURT: All right. MR. KOMUVES: Of course. THE COURT: You may proceed. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Congressman, did there come a point in time when you began efforts to seek counsel?
17 18 19 20 21	 awarding of a county-line at that point. Q. So would you say that, as of the moment that these county chairs had endorsed your opponent, that that was a moment where you felt you were experiencing an injury as a candidate in your electoral chances? A. Well, I think that there was it certainly got my 	17 18 19 20 21	 THE COURT: All right. MR. KOMUVES: Of course. THE COURT: You may proceed. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Congressman, did there come a point in time when you began efforts to seek counsel? A. At the end of November, I wanted to see what options I
17 18 19 20 21 22	 awarding of a county-line at that point. Q. So would you say that, as of the moment that these county chairs had endorsed your opponent, that that was a moment where you felt you were experiencing an injury as a candidate in your electoral chances? A. Well, I think that there was it certainly got my attention, you know, just the speed with which these, you 	17 18 19 20 21 22	 THE COURT: All right. MR. KOMUVES: Of course. THE COURT: You may proceed. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Congressman, did there come a point in time when you began efforts to seek counsel? A. At the end of November, I wanted to see what options I had available to me, so I, at the end of November, asked some
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 awarding of a county-line at that point. Q. So would you say that, as of the moment that these county chairs had endorsed your opponent, that that was a moment where you felt you were experiencing an injury as a candidate in your electoral chances? A. Well, I think that there was it certainly got my attention, you know, just the speed with which these, you know, endorsements came out. 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 THE COURT: All right. MR. KOMUVES: Of course. THE COURT: You may proceed. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Congressman, did there come a point in time when you began efforts to seek counsel? A. At the end of November, I wanted to see what options I had available to me, so I, at the end of November, asked some of my senior staff to start to reach out to attorneys to have
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 awarding of a county-line at that point. Q. So would you say that, as of the moment that these county chairs had endorsed your opponent, that that was a moment where you felt you were experiencing an injury as a candidate in your electoral chances? A. Well, I think that there was it certainly got my attention, you know, just the speed with which these, you know, endorsements came out. And so, you know, I certainly felt that this could 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 THE COURT: All right. MR. KOMUVES: Of course. THE COURT: You may proceed. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Congressman, did there come a point in time when you began efforts to seek counsel? A. At the end of November, I wanted to see what options I had available to me, so I, at the end of November, asked some of my senior staff to start to reach out to attorneys to have

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rage	: 142	Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	189 Q. And when you say some of your senior staff, how many	1	P	191 ut I also just again, given that we needed to
2	people are we talking about?	2		trate it for this particular election cycle for my case,
3	A. It was primarily my campaign manager and then my chief of	3		to me, I felt it was important as well to be able to
4	staff.	4		ne research about this specific election cycle and see
5	Q. Chief of staff, who's at the time volunteering?	5		n show corroboration between analysis of past races as
6	A. Volunteering, yeah. That's right.	6		what is happening right now in this particular
7	Q. Okay. And there ultimately came a point at which you	7	election	
8	engaged counsel?	8		o that was the type of thinking of what kind of
9	A. That's right. My my senior staff had initial	9		tion is necessary to reach the very high threshold and
10	conversations, and then sometime in December was the first	10		den for a preliminary injunction.
11	time that I had conversations with different attorneys.	11	-	there's historical research, and there's current
12	Q. All right. Did there come a point at which you made some	12	research	
13	strategic decisions about expert testimony in this matter?	13	A. Cor	rect.
14	A. Yes. I mean, I was told that that there were sort of	14	Q. Rigl	nt. Do you recall you said earlier you recall
15	two main considerations that we have to think through whether	15	signing tl	ne verified complaint. Do you recall what was
16	or not this would be successful is, one, to be able to	16	attached	to the verified complaint? I'm speaking specifically
17	demonstrate a a real and non-speculative injury, a harm	17	about ex	pert reports.
18	done to me specifically.	18	A. An	umber of different expert reports.
19	And then the second one being that the it was made	19	Q. And	did one of those expert reports focus on the current
20	very clear do me that there's a very high threshold that is	20	election?	ON
21	necessary to be able to seek a preliminary injunction. And	21	A. We	had a couple different ones. One was about past
22	that is something that requires a very high burden of evidence	22	research	n, and then we did have a survey that was done specific
23	and proof to be able to demonstrate.	23	to this c	ampaign and this election cycle that was attached to
24	So it became very clear to me just the kind of in-depth	24	it.	
25	research and expert expert testimony and other types of	25	Q. Oka	y. Do you recall in when that survey was
	United States District Court		25	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	<u>~</u> ~		District of New Jersey
	190			192
1	evidence that are necessary to be able to achieve that.	1	complete	
2 3	So we did have conversations in terms of what kind of	2		at survey itself was completed on February 14th.
4	research we would want to have to try to make a successful	4	Q. Oka	,
4 5	case. Q. All right. Now, let's just break those down into two	5		/hen you authorized this research to begin, did you
6	Q. All right. Now, let's just break those down into two things. The first thing you talked about was concrete injury	6		v it was going to turn out? e survey of this current cycle? No, I did not. This
7	to you.	7		ng to be this was done on its own in an independent
8	When, if it at all, did that happen?	8	-	king at sending out literally sending out, you
9	A. So the concrete injury that happened in a real and	9	-	you know, ballots sample ballots to voters across
10	non-speculative way was on February 10th with the with the	10		sey with my name on it, with competitors' names on it.
11	awarding of the actual formal, official county-line in	11		ometimes I'm on the line. Sometimes I'm not. It was
12	Passaic County on February 10th. That was that was adverse	12		a way to try to understand what kind of impact the
13	to me.	13	line wou	
14	Q. On February 10th?	14	I	did not know what the actual outcome would be when we
15	A. Correct.	15	received	l it back on February 14th.
16	Q. Okay.	16	Q . Oka	y. And just to be clear, so there was a there was
17	Now, did you you said about the threshold for	17	a survey	component to it and a report component to it. Is
18	preliminary injunction. What kind of research did you think	18	that is	that
19	would be important in light of those standards?	19	A. Tha	at's right.
20	A. Yeah. I think again, I think it was important to be	20	Q. r	ight?
21	able to kind of look at it both in terms of past incidents and	21	A	nd the report itself not necessarily the survey
22	be able to use the research and expertise to be able to	22	compone	nt but the report itself component, when is that
23	identify from past experiences in in in large cases what	23	A. The	e survey itself was completed on February 14th, and
24	kind of harm might have been done, what we think the advantage	24	then the	y would need to take that data to be able to analyze
25	was.	25	it after t	hat to be able to provide that kind of context of
	United States District Court			United States District Court
	District of New Jersev			District of New Jersev

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 143 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	193	1	195
1	the analysis, and that happens shortly that happened after	1	to make sure this was reaching that high threshold of
3	February the 14th. MR. KOMUVES: Excuse me one minute.	3	necessary for trying to be successful in this kind of legal
4		4	matter.
	(Brief pause.)	-	And I didn't feel like I could I could I could
5	MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?	5	achieve that necessarily just off of research about past
6	THE COURT: You may. What do you have? What are you	6	elections, because every election has its own dynamics.
7	approaching him with?	7	So I thought it was very important to be able to have
8	MR. KOMUVES: I'm providing him with Exhibit B, as in	8	this researched. As mentioned before, I did not know what the
9	Bravo, the verified complaint.	9	outcome actually would be when we received the report back.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. You guys have a copy	10	And, look, I wanted to see that to be able to help
11	of that, so	11	inform my decision on how to move forward, and I think that
12	MR. PARIKH: Can I just ask that Mr. Komuves mark	12	that was really important for me to take on board and
13	that as a PX number for the purposes of the record, please?	13	consider.
14	THE COURT: Sure.	14	Q. Just so I'm clear, Dr. Pasek's February 14th report, that
15	MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, I have the order. That	15	had a big influence on your decision based to
16	would be P-9.	16	A. That's right. The research, as it as it was
17	THE COURT: P-9?	17	presented, as we saw, showed to me a very strong sense of
18	MR. PUGACH: Yes, Your Honor.	18	of influence that the line had on this particular race. Had
19	(Plaintiff's Exhibit P-9 in evidence.)	19	the results been different, had it not been so pronounced,
20	MR. KOMUVES: And this is the docket entry BA -	20	that would have othat would have maybe caused me to
21	DE1-2.	21	reevaluate whether or not I proceed and what kind of actions
22	BY MR. KOMUVES:	22	that I would take.
23	Q. If you could take a look at that. Do you recognize that?	23	So it was very important. I wanted I wanted to be
24	A. Yes. I see this, yeah.	24	respectful to the Court, make sure that, if we do this kind of
25	Q. And what is it?	25	action, that we felt like we had the kind of evidence and
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	6	District of New Jersey
	194		196
1	A. It's the expert report of Dr. Josh Pasek.	1	information necessary to be able to present a strong argument.
	• Contration Dr. Decolula final report?		
2	Q. So that's Dr. Pasek's final report?	2	Q . Congressman, there's been testimony or at least there's
3	A. It looks like it	23	been argument that you could have brought this case sooner,
3 4	A. It looks like it	3 4	been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it.
3 4 5	A. It looks like it	3 4 5	been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that?
3 4 5 6	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. 	3 4 5 6	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to
3 4 5 6 7	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek 	3 4 5 6 7	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed before 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that. And then the other aspect of it was about the data, about the research. You know, it's very it was made very
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed before A. Prior to that, correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that. And then the other aspect of it was about the data, about the research. You know, it's very it was made very clear to me just the high threshold that is needed. And if I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed before A. Prior to that, correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that. And then the other aspect of it was about the data, about the research. You know, it's very it was made very clear to me just the high threshold that is needed. And if I were to submit prior to having all of the necessary research
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed before A. Prior to that, correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. And so did you deem it important to see 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that. And then the other aspect of it was about the data, about the research. You know, it's very it was made very clear to me just the high threshold that is needed. And if I were to submit prior to having all of the necessary research and evidence that I felt was necessary to reach it, I I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed before A. Prior to that, correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. And so did you deem it important to see the results of Dr. Pasek's survey well, let me ask you: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that. And then the other aspect of it was about the data, about the research. You know, it's very it was made very clear to me just the high threshold that is needed. And if I were to submit prior to having all of the necessary research and evidence that I felt was necessary to reach it, I I worried about whether or not we could actually be successful
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed before A. Prior to that, correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. And so did you deem it important to see the results of Dr. Pasek's survey well, let me ask you: Did Dr. Pasek's survey influence your result to proceed with 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that. And then the other aspect of it was about the data, about the research. You know, it's very it was made very clear to me just the high threshold that is needed. And if I were to submit prior to having all of the necessary research and evidence that I felt was necessary to reach it, I I worried about whether or not we could actually be successful there.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed before A. Prior to that, correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. And so did you deem it important to see the results of Dr. Pasek's survey well, let me ask you: Did Dr. Pasek's survey influence your result to proceed with this litigation? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that. And then the other aspect of it was about the data, about the research. You know, it's very it was made very clear to me just the high threshold that is needed. And if I were to submit prior to having all of the necessary research and evidence that I felt was necessary to reach it, I I worried about whether or not we could actually be successful there. Q. Congressman, I think that's all I have. Thank you for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed before A. Prior to that, correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. And so did you deem it important to see the results of Dr. Pasek's survey well, let me ask you: Did Dr. Pasek's survey influence your result to proceed with this litigation? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that. And then the other aspect of it was about the data, about the research. You know, it's very it was made very clear to me just the high threshold that is needed. And if I were to submit prior to having all of the necessary research and evidence that I felt was necessary to reach it, I I worried about whether or not we could actually be successful there. Q. Congressman, I think that's all I have. Thank you for your time.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. It looks like it. Q. What date is it? A. February 14th. Q. Thank you. The contents of Dr. Pasek THE COURT: Counsel, hold on one second. Just so you know, we are going to take a break for my court reporter around 3:30. All right. So I just wanted to let you know. Go ahead, Counsel. MR. KOMUVES: Sure. Good chance we'll be done by then. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Just with regard to the report that you just identified, the survey part of that, that would have been completed before A. Prior to that, correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. And so did you deem it important to see the results of Dr. Pasek's survey well, let me ask you: Did Dr. Pasek's survey influence your result to proceed with this litigation? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 been argument that you could have brought this case sooner, maybe a month or so before you brought it. What's your response to that? A. Look, I have as I told you, I wanted to I wanted to run for the Senate seat. I see the challenges that we face here, and when it came to this legal matter, I knew I had one shot at this, to be able to engage. And I had concerns, if I were to do this prior to February 10th, which was the awarding of the first county-line adverse to me, you know I was worried that that would be seen as that I have not actually been injured at that point. There was not an actual real and non-speculative injury and harm done to me and concern that there could be efforts to try to dismiss or push off because of that. And then the other aspect of it was about the data, about the research. You know, it's very it was made very clear to me just the high threshold that is needed. And if I were to submit prior to having all of the necessary research and evidence that I felt was necessary to reach it, I I worried about whether or not we could actually be successful there. Q. Congressman, I think that's all I have. Thank you for

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pade	e: 144 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	197 - 197	age	199
1	MR. KOMUVES: I turn it over to defense counsel.	1	understanding he wasn't, like he came here and I could
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.	2	ask him what time.
3	Who on the defense is conducting the cross-examination?	3	THE COURT: I mean, again, though, it's your
4	MR. SPIRO: I am, Your Honor.	4	responsibility to tell the witnesses that they can't be in the
5	THE COURT: Do you mind if we do the ten-minute break	5	room and listen to others' testimony.
6	now? I want to make sure my staff has a break. And I	6	I mean, I don't know why you guys are looking at me
7	apologize, but why don't we just Mr. Kim, you can come off	7	like a deer in headlights. Whose issue do you think this is?
8	the witness stand as well, if you want, go back to counsel's	8	Mine?
9	table.	9	MR. PARIKH: I noticed his appearance, Your Honor.
10	We're going to take a ten-minute break, and then we'll	10	THE COURT: Well, I want to hear first when he got
11	get back at it.	11	into the courtroom and what he may have actually listened to.
12	MR. TAMBUSSI: I have some questions, too.	12	I don't have any of those facts yet. But it sounds like he
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry?	13	did come in here and violate the sequestration order. He's
14	MR. TAMBUSSI: I have some questions also.	14	not a party to the case. You guys were informed of that.
15	THE COURT: Right, I know. But I want to take that	15	I mean, am I mistaken?
16	ten-minute break.	16	MR. PUGACH: No, you're not, Your Honor.
17	I know, Mr. Tambussi. It's a separate issue.	17	MR. KOMUVES: You did inform us, Your Honor. We were
18	(A short recess occurred.)	18	not aware of his arrival.
19	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please remain seated.	19	THE COURT: I'm not saying it's intentional, but it's
20	THE COURT: Counsel, you want to get your folks if	20	still a violation of sequestration.
21	we're missing anybody. Let's get started.	21	So I need to know what harm, if any, was done. I'm not
22	We are back on record. Counsel, you ready for	22	willing to strike anything just yet. I don't even know when
23	cross-exam?	23	he walked in or what he heard.
24	MR. PARIKH: Judge, I have a significant concern I	24	Was he here during another expert's testimony? That
25	have to raise. Plaintiffs have violated the sequestration	25	would be more concerning to me.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	\sim	District of New Jersey
	198		200
1	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom.	1	200 MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have
1 2		1 2	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I
	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom.		MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have
2	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise.	2	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I
2	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor.	2	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here.
2 3 4	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise.	2 3 4	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to
2 3 4 5 6 7	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the	2 3 4 5 6	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer. MR. PUGACH: I can speak to I spoke to Mr. Appel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this afternoon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer. MR. PUGACH: I can speak to I spoke to Mr. Appel. He didn't realize. He had come in late. He was coming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this afternoon? MR. KOMUVES: He's the only one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer. MR. PUGACH: I can speak to I spoke to Mr. Appel. He didn't realize. He had come in late. He was coming from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this afternoon? MR. KOMUVES: He's the only one. THE COURT: All right. So what's the story? When
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer. MR. PUGACH: I can speak to I spoke to Mr. Appel. He didn't realize. He had come in late. He was coming from THE COURT: What do you mean he didn't realize? It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this afternoon? MR. KOMUVES: He's the only one. THE COURT: All right. So what's the story? When did he walk in here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer. MR. PUGACH: I can speak to I spoke to Mr. Appel. He didn't realize. He had come in late. He was coming from THE COURT: What do you mean he didn't realize? It's your responsibility to sequester the witnesses.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this afternoon? MR. KOMUVES: He's the only one. THE COURT: All right. So what's the story? When did he walk in here? MS. BROMBERG: He's actually missing. He's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer. MR. PUGACH: I can speak to I spoke to Mr. Appel. He didn't realize. He had come in late. He was coming from THE COURT: What do you mean he didn't realize? It's your responsibility to sequester the witnesses. MR. PUGACH: Well, I understand, but he wasn't here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this afternoon? MR. KOMUVES: He's the only one. THE COURT: All right. So what's the story? When did he walk in here? MS. BROMBERG: He's actually missing. He's downstairs, and somebody else went downstairs to find out what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer. MR. PUGACH: I can speak to I spoke to Mr. Appel. He didn't realize. He had come in late. He was coming from THE COURT: What do you mean he didn't realize? It's your responsibility to sequester the witnesses. MR. PUGACH: Well, I understand, but he wasn't here. He had come from elsewhere and then came into the he must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this afternoon? MR. KOMUVES: He's the only one. THE COURT: All right. So what's the story? When did he walk in here? MS. BROMBERG: He's actually missing. He's downstairs, and somebody else went downstairs to find out what time he walked in. I know that he came late.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer. MR. PUGACH: I can speak to I spoke to Mr. Appel. He didn't realize. He had come in late. He was coming from THE COURT: What do you mean he didn't realize? It's your responsibility to sequester the witnesses. MR. PUGACH: Well, I understand, but he wasn't here. He had come from elsewhere and then came into the he must have asked where the courtroom was, came here, and it's my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this afternoon? MR. KOMUVES: He's the only one. THE COURT: All right. So what's the story? When did he walk in here? MS. BROMBERG: He's actually missing. He's downstairs, and somebody else went downstairs to find out what time he walked in. I know that he came late. THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we do this. Why don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	order. They've had Professor Appel in the courtroom. THE COURT: Why don't you come back on a sidebar. MR. PARIKH: Very well, Your Honor. THE COURT: Kim, hit the white noise. (Sidebar begins at 3:35 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. So what's the issue? MR. PARIKH: There's a sequestration order saying that witnesses be out of the courtroom. THE COURT: All nonparty witnesses. MR. PARIKH: Correct. Professor Appel, one of the plaintiffs' experts, has been sitting, I guess, in the gallery, at least all through Mr. Kim's testimony and perhaps even Mr. Macias' cross-examination. THE COURT: Counsel? MR. PUGACH: I can speak to THE COURT: Come closer. MR. PUGACH: I can speak to I spoke to Mr. Appel. He didn't realize. He had come in late. He was coming from THE COURT: What do you mean he didn't realize? It's your responsibility to sequester the witnesses. MR. PUGACH: Well, I understand, but he wasn't here. He had come from elsewhere and then came into the he must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. PUGACH: He may have been, Your Honor. We have to get some clarification on that, but he may have been. I don't know how long he was here. THE COURT: Anybody else coming in late? Because to me it's irrelevant whether they're coming in the afternoon or not. They should be aware that they can't be just coming into the courtroom. So do you have other witnesses that are coming in this afternoon? What steps have you taken to prevent another witness from walking in here? MR. KOMUVES: So all the nonparty witnesses were here this morning. They left after the closing statements. THE COURT: Right, except for this one witness. MR. KOMUVES: Appel, who was he was testifying at a legislative hearing THE COURT: I don't care where he was. Is he the only witness, or is there anybody else coming in this afternoon? MR. KOMUVES: He's the only one. THE COURT: All right. So what's the story? When did he walk in here? MS. BROMBERG: He's actually missing. He's downstairs, and somebody else went downstairs to find out what time he walked in. I know that he came late.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rage	e: 145 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	201		203
1	we address this after Mr. Kim is done. This has nothing to do	1	associations because there may be you may be forced to
2	with the witness in the box. But I am going to reserve on	2	associate with people who have backgrounds that you don't
3	this issue. I don't know yet what, if anything, I'm going to	3	like. Correct?
4	do about it. But you-all make sure that that doesn't happen	4	A. Or that I don't know.
5	again, including with him, but we're going to have to talk	5	Q. Or that you don't know.
6	about it.	6	A. Yeah.
7	So one of you is going to have to gather some	7	Q . You also raised the issue of association with people in a
8	information from the potential witness as to when he came in	8	political party who may be actively working against you,
9	and what he may have heard, and then I'll hear from defense	9	correct?
10	counsel.	10	A. Other candidates in other offices that are you know,
11	But for now, let's table it. We'll deal with	11	that do not support my candidacy.
12	cross-exam with Mr. Kim, and then maybe we take a break and	12	Q. Nonetheless, you continue to seek the endorsement of
13	just I guess maybe after this when was he expected to	13	political parties, correct?
14	testify?	14	A. I continue to I continue to seek out these conventions
15	MR. KOMUVES: So we told him to come at 3	15	because I do not want my competitors to be able to gain that
16	MR. PUGACH: So he was going to come for 3:00, but I	16	type of advantage, correct.
17	don't know if he was driving from Pennsylvania.	17	Q. And you also sought the endorsement of the political
18	THE COURT: All right. So let's figure that out. I	18	committees in each and every county in the state of New
19	don't want Mr. Kim sitting here for no reason. Let's go.	19	Jersey, right?
20	(Sidebar was concluded at 3:41 p.m.)	20	A. In each and every I mean, in terms of the ones that
21	(Open court.)	21	have conventions, correct, yeah.
22	THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed with	22	Q. You sought the endorsement for those that don't have
23	cross-examination. And, Counsel, just make sure you put your	23	conventions, correct?
24	name in the record, too, just for my court reporter, just so	24	A. don't no. Not necessarily, no.
25	she knows, like, who is speaking when, folks.	25	• Well, did you not seek the endorsement of a county chair
	United States District Court	G	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	<u>,0~</u>	District of New Jersey
	202	N .	204
1	202	1	204
1	Mr. Tambussi, are you going?	1	on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't
2	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting.	1 2 3	on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention?
	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were	1 2 3	on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county
2 3 4	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when.	3 4	on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my
2 3 4 5	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor.	3 4 5	on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them.
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you.	3 4 5 6	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:)	3 4 5 6 7	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the	3 4 5 6 7 8	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q . Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q . Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q . Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, correct? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden County Democratic Committee even though you knew that that chairman had endorsed Tammy Murphy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q . Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden County Democratic Committee even though you knew that that chairman had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I haven't had a conversation with the Chairman of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden County Democratic Committee even though you knew that that chairman had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I haven't had a conversation with the Chairman of the Camden County Democratic Association.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q . Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden County Democratic Committee even though you knew that that chairman had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I haven't had a conversation with the Chairman of the Camden County Democratic Association. Q. How about you or your representatives? Did you not seek
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. You agree, do you not, that political parties have a 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden County Democratic Committee even though you knew that that chairman had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I haven't had a conversation with the Chairman of the Camden County Democratic Association. Q. How about you or your representatives? Did you not seek the endorsement of the Camden County chair even though you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. You agree, do you not, that political parties have a right to associate with whom they choose? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden County Democratic Committee even though you knew that that chairman had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I haven't had a conversation with the Chairman of the Camden County Democratic Association. Q. How about you or your representatives? Did you not seek the endorsement of the Camden County not seek the endorsed Tammy Murphy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. You agree, do you not, that political parties have a right to associate with whom they choose? MR. KOMUVES: Same objection. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden County Democratic Committee even though you knew that that chairman had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I haven't had a conversation with the Chairman of the Camden County Democratic Association. Q. How about you or your representatives? Did you not seek the endorsement of the Camden County chair even though you knew that that chair endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I do not recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. You agree, do you not, that political parties have a right to associate with whom they choose? MR. KOMUVES: Same objection. THE COURT: I'll sustain it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden County Democratic Committee even though you knew that that chairman had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I haven't had a conversation with the Chairman of the Camden County Democratic Association. Q. How about you or your representatives? Did you not seek the endorsement of the Camden County chair even though you knew that that chair endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I do not recall. Q. You do not recall?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Tambussi, are you going? MR. TAMBUSSI: I'm starting. THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if you were starting. Make sure she knows which lawyer is speaking when. MR. TAMBUSSI: I will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAMBUSSI:) Q. Congressman Kim, my name is Bill Tambussi. I'm from the Brown & Connery law firm, and I represent the Camden County Democratic Committee. You said a couple of things in your direct examination with regard to association: association with political parties, association with different groups. You agree that the political parties themselves have a constitutional right to associate with whom they choose, correct? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. You agree, do you not, that political parties have a right to associate with whom they choose? MR. KOMUVES: Same objection. THE COURT: I'll sustain it. BY MR. TAMBUSSI:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 on a committee that doesn't or a committee that doesn't have a convention? A. I mean, the number of those the number of those county chairs, I mean, some of them already had endorsed my competitor prior to me even having a conversation with them. Q. But nonetheless, you sought their endorsement anyway, correct? A. I mean, not every single one. Q. How about the one in Camden? Did you seek the one in Camden's endorsement, even though you knew that he had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. Did I seek I'm sorry. Say that again? Q. Sure. Did you seek the endorsement of the chair of the Camden County Democratic Committee even though you knew that that chairman had endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I haven't had a conversation with the Chairman of the Camden County Democratic Association. Q. How about you or your representatives? Did you not seek the endorsement of the Camden County chair even though you knew that that chair endorsed Tammy Murphy? A. I do not recall. Q. You do not recall? A. I so I don't know what you're referring to. Yeah, I

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 146 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	recall was that there was a meeting just a couple days ago	1	page 17, paragraph 50: When counties in New Jersey organize
2	that was going to talk about the Senate race, and I had asked	2	primary ballots based on bracketing, candidates who bracket
3	whether or not I would be permitted to attend that meeting.	3	with a candidate vying for the pivot point position are the
4	Q. Did you contact did you send that contact to the	4	only ones who can end up on the leftmost column or top row of
5	· · · ·	5	the ballot.
6	Camden County Democratic committee seeking their endorsement? A. we contacted to be able to attend the meeting.	6	That's not accurate in the Senate here, is it?
7	5	7	
	Q. Now, you said that in this case that you reviewed a	8	
8	report from a Dr. Pasek, correct?	-	so that is still correct.
9	 A. Correct. Diduce any investigation of the second backward backwa	9	 Q. Well, isn't the draw for the Senate candidate statutory?
10	Q. Did you review the report before it was actually	10	A. Yes.
11 12	submitted as part of the record in this case?	11	Q. And you know and you knew, at the time you filed this
	A. No. Before it was I mean, I I saw it in its final	12	lawsuit, you would have a chance you would have the
13	form. I did not see it in any draft form, if that's what	13	opportunity and the same opportunity as any candidate running
14	you're asking.	14 15	for Senate to have the primacy position, the first position,
15	Q. Well, once it was in its final form, you read it,		correct?
16	correct?	16	 A. That's correct. A. Now your lowevitte shout ballet structure correct?
17	 A. That's correct. And use modify before use submitted it to the Court of 	17	Q. Now, your lawsuit's about ballot structure, correct?
18 19	Q. And you read it before you submitted it to the Court as	18 19	A. Yes.
	part of this case, correct?	20	Q. So why did you file the lawsuit based on the fact that
20 21	 A. Correct. Because it helped inform your decision as to whether or 	20	the line in Passaic was awarded to someone else?
21	Q. Because it helped inform your decision as to whether or	21	A. Well, I filed that because that was the first instance of
	not you were going to go forward with this case. Wasn't that	22	real harm.
23 24	your testimony?	23	 Q. The first instance of you losing an endorsement? A. Of heaving an educroe county line, correct
24 25	 A. That's correct. And you would expect that Dr. Decels would have truthful 	24 25	 A. Correct. Of having an adverse county-line, correct. C. That didn't have anything to do with ballet position.
25	Q. And you would expect that Dr. Pasek would have truthful	25	Q . That didn't have anything to do with ballot position,
	United States District Court	-0	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey 206	6	District of New Jersey 208
1	200	1	
	statements accurate statements in this report correct?	1	
	statements, accurate statements in this report, correct?	1	correct?
2	A. That's correct.	1 2 3	correct? A. No.
	A. That's correct.Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this	1 2 3 4	correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court
2 3 4	A. That's correct.Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct?	4	correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying
2 3 4 5	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. 	4 5	correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that?
2 3 4 5 6	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this 	4 5 6	correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be 	4 5 6 7	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of chat this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot 	4 5 6 7 8	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? 	4 5 6 7 8 9	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When counties in New Jersey organize primary ballots based on 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When counties in New Jersey organize primary ballots based on bracketing, candidates who bracket with a candidate vying for 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When counties in New Jersey organize primary ballots based on bracketing, candidates who bracket with a candidate vying for pivot position, pivot point position are the only ones who can end up on the leftmost top or top row column of the ballot." 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. Congressman, if you had a choice, would you rather run opposed or unopposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review or that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When counties in New Jersey organize primary ballots based on bracketing, candidates who bracket with a candidate vying for pivot position, pivot point position are the only ones who can 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. Congressman, if you had a choice, would you rather run
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When counties in New Jersey organize primary ballots based on bracketing, candidates who bracket with a candidate vying for pivot position, pivot point position are the only ones who can end up on the leftmost top or top row column of the ballot." That's not accurate, is it, in the case of a Senate race? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. Congressman, if you had a choice, would you rather run opposed or unopposed? A. If I had my choice? Well, that's not my choice to be had.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When counties in New Jersey organize primary ballots based on bracketing, candidates who bracket with a candidate vying for pivot position, pivot point position are the only ones who can end up on the leftmost top or top row column of the ballot." That's not accurate, is it, in the case of a Senate race? A. Can you say it one more time? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. Congressman, if you had a choice, would you rather run opposed or unopposed? A. If I had my choice? Well, that's not my choice to be had.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to have the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When counties in New Jersey organize primary ballots based on bracketing, candidates who bracket with a candidate vying for pivot position, pivot point position are the only ones who can end up on the leftmost top or top row column of the ballot." That's not accurate, is it, in the case of a Senate race? A. Can you say it one more time? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. Congressman, if you had a choice, would you rather run opposed or unopposed? A. If I had my choice? Well, that's not my choice to be had. Q. My question is, if you had the choice, would you rather
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to hake the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When counties in New Jersey organize primary ballots based on bracketing, candidates who bracket with a candidate vying for pivot position, pivot point position are the only ones who can end up on the leftmost top or top row column of the ballot." That's not accurate, is it, in the case of a Senate race? A. Can you say it one more time? Q. Sure. I'll read it again. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. Congressman, if you had a choice, would you rather run opposed or unopposed? A. If I had my choice? Well, that's not my choice to be had. Q. My question is, if you had the choice, would you rather run opposed or unopposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct. Q. Right. And, in fact, you said you researched this specific election before you filed this lawsuit, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you knew, did you not, in the review of that this specific election involving the Senate race, that you would be selected and have the opportunity to hake the first ballot position through through a draw by county clerk, correct? A. In different counties, correct. Q. Including Camden County, correct? A. Correct. Q. So your opportunity was to have the first ballot position in Camden County, correct? A. There's a possibility. Q. All right. Now, Dr. Pasek in his report says, "When counties in New Jersey organize primary ballots based on bracketing, candidates who bracket with a candidate vying for pivot position, pivot point position are the only ones who can end up on the leftmost top or top row column of the ballot." That's not accurate, is it, in the case of a Senate race? A. Can you say it one more time? Q. Sure. I'll read it again. When counties in New Jersey this is Dr. Pasek, 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 correct? A. No. Q. And you said that you wanted to be fair to the Court before you filed the lawsuit, right? Do you recall saying that? A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. Say yes or no. And if that were the case, why is it that you only sued the county clerks and not any of your opponents, not any of the county political parties, any interested party on the ballot? Why didn't you include them in this lawsuit so that they could be heard here in this Court as to your allegations? MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Seeks a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TAMBUSSI: Q. Congressman, if you had a choice, would you rather run opposed or unopposed? A. If I had my choice? Well, that's not my choice to be had. Q. My question is, if you had the choice, would you rather run opposed or unopposed?

	Case: 24-1593 Document: <u>10</u> F	Page	e: 147 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	209	1	211
2	look, I whenever I seek office I would like to win. And so, you know, I've I guess I would say that, if I was	2	number is probably at around seven or eight of the counties I have not been successful in, but I don't know the exact number
3	running unopposed, it would be a greater guarantee that I can	3	right off the top of my head.
4	win.	4	Q. And you understand that your decision to seek the
5		5	
6	Q. All right. Now, you understand that, if you're endorsed by a chair or a political committee, that does not guarantee	6	endorsement is something that you do freely, correct? A. Yes.
7	that you'll win the votes all the votes in that particular	7	MR. TAMBUSSI: That's all I have, Judge.
8	county, correct?	8	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
9	A. That's correct.	9	There may be others. You can use the podium. Make
10	Q. And when you talked about, in particular, I think you	10	sure you identify yourself so that we have a clear record of
11	raised Senator or Congressman Pallone with regard to people	11	it in the transcript.
12	who are actively campaigning against you and the like.	12	MR. SPIRO: So my name is Jason Spiro, appearing for
13	So you have problems where you're bracketed with other	13	the Monmouth County Clerk.
14	candidates, correct?	14	(CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SPIRO:)
15	A. That's right, the association there, yes.	15	Q. Congressman Kim, you testified before that you announced
16	Q. And yet you still decided to freely associate with those	16	your candidacy for the Senate in September; is that right?
17	people, correct?	17	A. That's right.
18	A. Well, I'm being required to associate with those	18	Q. You made the point that, before you announced your
19	candidates because of the county organization endorsements.	19	candidacy, you had publicly raised concerns about ballot
20	Q. Didn't you sign in every county in which you got the	20	bracketing; is that right?
21	county endorsement a consent a consent that's filed with	21	A. That's correct.
22	the clerk, a consent to bracket with all the other candidates?	22	Q. When you announced your candidacy on September 23rd, did
23	A. That is part of the process, I yeah. That comes	23	you continue to have those concerns?
24	later.	24	A. A still do.
25	Q. Yes or no, did you sign a consent to bracket in each of	25	Q . So those concerns never went away? They they
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	d C	District of New Jersey
	210		212
1	the counties where you have an endorsement?	1	continued throughout the duration of your campaign; is that
2	A. I have not yet.	2	right?
3	Q. You have not. In what counties have you not signed a	3	A. That's correct.
4	consent to bracket?	4	Q. And in September, you also made some statements to the
5	A. I have to check. Those the deadline for that is not	5	press where you said that you would work within New Jersey's
6	yet here.	6	election system and seek county endorsements.
7	Q . In the counties where you received the endorsement, is it	7	Do you recall that?
8	your testimony that you haven't signed a consent to bracket in	8	A. Yes.
9	a number of those counties?	9	Q. Not really a statement of urgency for pursuing claims for
10	A. Well, what I was saying that the deadline to sign	10	constitutional rights, is it?
11	those is not yet here, so I do not think that I've I recall	11	A. Well, look, one can seek reforms to assist them while
12 13	signing those yet.	12 13	still having to work within it.
14	Q. Do you have any specific recollection of signing any consents to bracket?	14	Q. But was there any urgency at that time that you would pursue those constitutional rights?
15	A. I have in past elections.	15	 A. At that time there were no other candidates in the race.
16	Q. How about in this election?	16	Q. You were pursuing Senator Menendez's seat at that time;
17	 A. I don't I don't recall having signed it yet. 	17	is that right?
18	Q. In how many counties have you received endorsements?	18	A. Correct.
19	 A. I have received endorsements in nine counties nine 	19	Q. So in a race where there were you were unsure whether
20	conventions that I've been successful in.	20	there were candidates, you didn't feel the need to pursue the
21	Q. And how many counties have you not received the	21	experts the four to seven experts that you have in this
22	endorsement in?	22	case and prepare for the eventuality that there might be a
23	A. Well, we have 21 counties, so	23	candidate who opposed you.
24	Q . Well, some haven't decided yet, right?	24	Is that your testimony?
25	A. Correct. Some haven't decided just yet, so I think the	25	A. Well, look, as I mentioned in my testimony, right from
	United States District Court		United States District Court
1	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rade	: 148	Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	213	lage	5. 1 10	215
1	the outset, I have to start up a campaign. I didn't even have	1	the county	/-line.
2	a campaign manager, you know. I didn't have staff. I had	2	A. Fror	n a number of the counties.
3	never run statewide before, and I still have a day job.	3	Q . You	were seeking the advantages of the ballot bracket; is
4	So, you know, there's a lot that we were trying to work	4	that right?	
5	on at that time. So my main priority early on was about	5		, I was actually seeking to prevent a competitor from
6	getting the campaign up and running.	6		hose advantages.
7	Q. You made a strategic choice to focus your resources and	7		ng that time, did you do anything to in October to
8	your and your activities on pursuing your campaign, not	8		ur rights to constitutional claims?
9	these claims; is that right?	9		, at that time, as I said, there were no other
10	A. Well, that is yes. You need to have a campaign if you	10		ors in the race. There was no formal injury or harm
11	want to run for office, yes.	11		me at that point. So no, I did not I did not
12	Q. You formally you testified you formally entered the	12	•	t that time.
13	race in November; is that right?	13		ovember, did you do anything to pursue your claims?
14	A. No. I formally enter the race on September 23rd.	14		, I've mentioned at the end of November I reached ou
15	Q. You you were an official candidate as of as of	15		d my senior staff reach out to attorneys to assess
16	November; is that right?	16	•	ential options there are for me to take, should I
17	A. I was an official candidate as of September 23rd.	17		o move forward.
18	Q. Okay. You filed the paperwork to become a candidate for	18		there was there a formal candidate in the race at
19	U.S. Senate in November; is that right?	19	that time?	
20	A. On September 23rd.	20		e was.
21	Q. Okay. Sorry. Go ahead.	21		is that candidate?
22	A. Yeah.	22		was Tammy Murphy.
23 24	Q. I'll move on.	23	\cap	. So at that time you felt like there was a
24 25	When you were, as of September, an official candidate,	24 25	1	constitutional harm because you were going to be
25	you knew that the ballot would be a bracket ballot at that United States District Court	25	appearing	on a ballot that you believed to be United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	_0	^c	District of New Jersey
		<u> </u>		District of New Jersey
	214	N.		216
1	time: is that right?	1	unconstitu	tional: is that right?
1	time; is that right?	1		tional; is that right?
1 2 3		1 2 3	A. That	tional; is that right? : she had jumped into the race and received the
2	time; is that right?A. That was my expectation in 19 counties.Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is	-	A. That endorsen	tional; is that right? : she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes.
2	time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties.	3	A. ThatendorsenQ. So ye	tional; is that right? : she had jumped into the race and received the
2 3 4	time; is that right?A. That was my expectation in 19 counties.Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right?	3 4	 A. That endorsen Q. So ya another ca 	itional; is that right? : she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. ou knew that you were going to be in a race against
2 3 4 5	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. 	3 4 5	 A. That endorsen Q. So ye another ca subject to 	itional; is that right? : she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. ou knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be
2 3 4 5 6	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County 	3 4 5 6	 A. That endorsen Q. So yeanother car subject to A. That 	itional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. ou knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? 	3 4 5 6 7	 A. That endorsen Q. So ya another ca subject to A. That Q. And 	itional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. ou knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? s was a concern.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well 	itional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. bu knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That endorsen Q. So yea another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys 	itional; is that right? It she had jumped into the race and received the ments of a number of county chairs, yes. Sou knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? It was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? It reached on out to yes. We reached on out to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That endorsen Q. So ya another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available 	itional; is that right? It she had jumped into the race and received the ments of a number of county chairs, yes. Sou knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? It was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? It reached on out to yes. We reached on out to as to be able to understand what what options were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When 	tional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the hents of a number of county chairs, yes. bu knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? s was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to s to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. That endorsen Q. So yea another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the 	itional; is that right? is she had jumped into the race and received the ments of a number of county chairs, yes. bu knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? is was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to is to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That endorsen Q. So yea another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the 	tional; is that right? Is she had jumped into the race and received the ments of a number of county chairs, yes. Sou knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? Is was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to a to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ese claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the A. What attorneys 	tional; is that right? Is she had jumped into the race and received the ments of a number of county chairs, yes. Sou knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? Is was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to as to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ese claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the A. What attorneys Q. Did y 	tional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. bu knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to s to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ese claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with and a start of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. Q. And you chose to campaign in all 19 counties, for county 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That endorsen Q. Soly another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the A. What attorneys Q. Did y A. Yes. Q. When 	tional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the hents of a number of county chairs, yes. bu knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to s to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to see claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with a? rou sign an engagement letter with any law firms? I eventually did. In did you do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. Q. And you chose to campaign in all 19 counties, for county endorsements and for the county-line; is that right? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That another can be another can be a	tional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. bu knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to s to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ese claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with s? you sign an engagement letter with any law firms? I eventually did. In did you do that? nning of January.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. Q. And you chose to campaign in all 19 counties, for county endorsements and for the county-line; is that right? A. I was campaigning in all 21 counties, yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the A. What attorneys Q. Did y A. Yes. Q. When A. Beging Q. Your 	tional; is that right? is she had jumped into the race and received the ments of a number of county chairs, yes. bu knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? is was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to is to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ese claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with a? rou sign an engagement letter with any law firms? I eventually did. In did you do that? Inning of January. waited a month and a half to sign an engagement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. Q. And you chose to campaign in all 19 counties, for county endorsements and for the county-line; is that right? A. I was campaigning in all 21 counties, yes. Q. You were campaigning for the county-line. That was your 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the A. What attorneys Q. Did y A. Yes. Q. When A. Beging Q. Your 	tional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. bu knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to s to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ese claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with s? you sign an engagement letter with any law firms? I eventually did. In did you do that? nning of January.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passale County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. Q. And you chose to campaign in all 19 counties, for county endorsements and for the county-line; is that right? A. I was campaigning in all 21 counties, yes. Q. You were campaigning for the county-line. That was your testimony, right? A. I was yes. I was pursuing I was campaigning in all counties. As mentioned earlier, I did not formally seek 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. Whee pursue that A. What attorneys Q. Did y A. Yes. Q. Whee A. Beging Q. You whee Ietter from A. I firm 	tional; is that right? Is she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. The wave that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? Is was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to s to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ase claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with s? You sign an engagement letter with any law firms? I eventually did. In did you do that? Inning of January. waited a month and a half to sign an engagement in when you first had concerns? It met with an attorney myself sometime early
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. Q. And you chose to campaign in all 19 counties, for county endorsements and for the county-line; is that right? A. I was campaigning in all 21 counties, yes. Q. You were campaigning for the county-line. That was your testimony, right? A. I was yes. I was pursuing I was campaigning in all 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. Whee pursue that A. What attorneys Q. Did y A. Yes. Q. Whee A. Beging Q. You whee Ietter from A. I firm 	tional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. ou knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to s to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to see claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with s? rou sign an engagement letter with any law firms? I eventually did. In did you do that? Inning of January. waited a month and a half to sign an engagement in when you first had concerns?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. Q. And you chose to campaign in all 19 counties, for county endorsements and for the county-line; is that right? A. I was campaigning in all 21 counties, yes. Q. You were campaigning for the county-line. That was your testimony, right? A. I was yes. I was pursuing I was campaigning in all counties. As mentioned earlier, I did not formally seek endorsement in every single county for county lines, but I was moving in that direction. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the A. What attorneys Q. Did y A. Yes. Q. When A. Beging Q. Your letter from A. I first December never file 	tional; is that right? Is she had jumped into the race and received the ments of a number of county chairs, yes. Is u knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? Is was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to as to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ese claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with arrow sign an engagement letter with any law firms? I eventually did. In did you do that? Inning of January. waited a month and a half to sign an engagement at when you first had concerns? Ist met with an attorney myself sometime early r after my staff initially reached out. So I have ed a lawsuit before, never taken this action before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. Q. And you chose to campaign in all 19 counties, for county endorsements and for the county-line; is that right? A. I was campaigning in all 21 counties, yes. Q. You were campaigning for the county-line. That was your testimony, right? A. I was yes. I was pursuing I was campaigning in all counties. As mentioned earlier, I did not formally seek endorsement in every single county for county lines, but I was moving in that direction. Q. And you did seek endorsements from the county parties for 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the A. What attorneys Q. Did y A. Yes. Q. When A. Beging Q. Your letter from A. I first December never file 	tional; is that right? she had jumped into the race and received the nents of a number of county chairs, yes. bu knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to s to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ase claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with s? rou sign an engagement letter with any law firms? I eventually did. In did you do that? nning of January. waited a month and a half to sign an engagement in when you first had concerns? st met with an attorney myself sometime early r after my staff initially reached out. So I have ed a lawsuit before, never taken this action before. ted to make sure that I was doing my due diligence in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 time; is that right? A. That was my expectation in 19 counties. Q. And your claim in this case is that the ballot bracket is itself unconstitutional; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And this isn't just a case against the Passaic County Clerk, right? A. No. This is a by nature what you see. I mean, look, there are 19 counties that use this system. Q. You're pursuing a claim against the constitutionality of the bracket used in all those 19 counties, right? A. Correct. Q. And which you knew, that they would it would be used in all those counties when you entered the race, right? A. Yes. Q. And you chose to campaign in all 19 counties, for county endorsements and for the county-line; is that right? A. I was campaigning in all 21 counties, yes. Q. You were campaigning for the county-line. That was your testimony, right? A. I was yes. I was pursuing I was campaigning in all counties. As mentioned earlier, I did not formally seek endorsement in every single county for county lines, but I was moving in that direction. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That endorsen Q. So y another cases subject to A. That Q. And A. Well attorneys available Q. When pursue the A. What attorneys Q. Did y A. Yes. Q. When A. Beging Q. Your letter from A. I first December never file 	tional; is that right? Is she had jumped into the race and received the ments of a number of county chairs, yes. Is u knew that you were going to be in a race against andidate at that time that you believed would be an unconstitutional ballot; is that right? Is was a concern. did you act with urgency at that time? I, I reached on out to yes. We reached on out to as to be able to understand what what options were for us to pursue. In did you first formally engage with an attorney to ese claims? It do you mean like, in terms of meeting with arrow sign an engagement letter with any law firms? I eventually did. In did you do that? Inning of January. waited a month and a half to sign an engagement at when you first had concerns? Ist met with an attorney myself sometime early r after my staff initially reached out. So I have ed a lawsuit before, never taken this action before.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	ge: 149 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
_	217		219
1	terms of understanding what that process would entail, what	1	
2	kind of research was necessary to be able to reach the kind of	2	2 Q. And in that case, are there do you know if there's
3	high threshold burden that I mentioned earlier about what this	3	
4	Court would need to see to potentially be successful.	4	4 ballot?
5	So that's what we were pursuing at that time.	5	5 A. There is.
6	Q. You wanted to meet your own high threshold burden	6	6 Q. Do you believe that your constitutional rights were
7	before	7	7 violated in connection with the ballot for the 2020 Democratic
8	A. It's not my own high threshold	8	8 primary?
9	Q. If I could just finish the question.	9	9 MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for a legal
10	A. Okay. Go ahead.	10	0 conclusion.
11	Q. I'll rephrase based on what I heard.	11	1 MR. SPIRO: It's the same question as to why he's
12	You wanted to meet a threshold burden that came from	12	2 bringing this case.
13	where?	13	3 I don't think it's a legal conclusion.
14	A. That came from an understanding of, as I talked to	14	4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I was looking at the
15	attorneys about what we thought we needed to to be able	15	5 question. My little screen here repeats what you all say back
16	to prove or show in order to be successful, given just the	16	6 to me.
17	high bar that a preliminary injunction requires.	17	7 Sorry. What was the nature of the objection, Counsel?
18	Q. And what diligence did you do personally between November	18	8 MR. KOMUVES: It calls for a legal conclusion.
19	and January 3rd to help meet your burden?	19	9 THE COURT: Well, it's basically asking him, do you
20	A. So to help meet the burden?	20	0 believe that that case has a similar constitutional claim than
21	Q. Yeah.	21	1 the one that you're bringing in this case because he said he
22	A. Well, that was when I engaged with attorneys, talked to	22	2 was aware of it.
23	them about what research and what type of expert information	23	3 So I'm going to allow the question.
24	and submissions would be necessary, and to see if whether or	24	4 MR. KOMUVES: If that's the question, then I have no
25	not we'd be able to find experts that could be able to provide	25	5 objection.
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	218		220
1	that type of information.	1	1 THE COURT: Phrase it the way I just asked it. You
2	Q. Now, you've previously you testified before you ran	2	5
3	Q. Now, you've previously you testified before you ran three times for office before this; is that right?	3	3 (Laughter.)
3 4	three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right.	3 4	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly.
3 4 5	three times for office before this; is that right?A. Yeah. That's right.Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary	3 4 5	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we
3 4 5 6	three times for office before this; is that right?A. Yeah. That's right.Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right?	3 4 5 6	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words.
3 4 5 6 7	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? 	3 4 5 6 7	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO:
3 4 5 6 7 8	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't neld in December. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't neld in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 0 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 9 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 0 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 9 Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 9 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in nature.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 1 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in 1 nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 1 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in 3 nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? MR. SPIRO: I'm sorry. 2020 primary election. I'll 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 9 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a 5 basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic 6 A. You're asking about my Democratic primary in 2020?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 3 (Laughter.) MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 1 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in nature. Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic A. You're asking about my Democratic primary in 2020? 7 Q. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? MR. SPIRO: I'm sorry. 2020 primary election. I'll ask the question again. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. 5 THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 1 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in 1 nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a 5 basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic 6 A. I believe I ran unopposed.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? MR. SPIRO: I'm sorry. 2020 primary election. I'll ask the question again. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 3 (Laughter.) 4 MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 8 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. So the first do you believe that there's a similar 9 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in 1 nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a 5 basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic 6 A. You're asking about my Democratic primary in 2020? 7 Q. Yes. 8 A. I believe I ran unopposed. 9 Q. Do you believe in do you believe that other candidates
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? MR. SPIRO: I'm sorry. 2020 primary election. I'll ask the question again. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. You appeared on the ballot for the 2020 Democratic 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 3 (Laughter.) MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 9 Well, there may be two questions there. So the first do you believe that there's a similar 1 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in 3 nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a 5 basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic 6 A. You're asking about my Democratic primary in 2020? 7 Q. Yes. 8 A. I believe I ran unopposed. 9 Q. Do you believe in do you believe that other candidates 0 had constitutional claims in connection
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? MR. SPIRO: I'm sorry. 2020 primary election. I'll ask the question again. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. You appeared on the ballot for the 2020 Democratic primary election; is that right? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 3 (Laughter.) MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Well, there may be two questions there. So the first do you believe that there's a similar 1 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in 1 nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a 5 basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic 6 A. You're asking about my Democratic primary in 2020? 7 Q. Yes. 8 A. I believe I ran unopposed. 9 Q. Do you believe in do you believe that other candidates had constitutional claims in connection THE COURT: Sustained.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? MR. SPIRO: I'm sorry. 2020 primary election. I'll ask the question again. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. You appeared on the ballot for the 2020 Democratic primary election; is that right? A. 2020, yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	 3 (Laughter.) MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 9 Well, there may be two questions there. So the first do you believe that there's a similar 1 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in 1 nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a 5 basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic 6 A. I believe I ran unopposed. 9 Q. Do you believe in do you believe that other candidates 1 had constitutional claims in connection 1 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. KOMUVES: Thank you, Your Honor.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? MR. SPIRO: I'm sorry. 2020 primary election. I'll ask the question again. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. You appeared on the ballot for the 2020 Democratic primary election; is that right? A. 2020, yes. Q. And are you familiar with the <i>Conforti v. Hanlon</i> case? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 3 (Laughter.) MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Well, there may be two questions there. So the first do you believe that there's a similar 1 claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in 1 nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a 5 basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic 6 A. You're asking about my Democratic primary in 2020? 7 Q. Yes. 8 A. I believe I ran unopposed. 9 Q. Do you believe in do you believe that other candidates had constitutional claims in connection THE COURT: Sustained. MR. KOMUVES: Thank you, Your Honor. (Laughter.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? MR. SPIRO: I'm sorry. 2020 primary election. I'll ask the question again. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. You appeared on the ballot for the 2020 Democratic primary election; is that right? A. 2020, yes. Q. And are you familiar with the <i>Confortl v. Hanlon</i> case? A. I am. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 3 (Laughter.) MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. 7 BY MR. SPIRO: 9 Q. Well, there may be two questions there. 9 So the first do you believe that there's a similar 1 claim in the <i>Confort/</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? 2 A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in 1 nature. 4 Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a 5 basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic 6 A. You're asking about my Democratic primary in 2020? 7 Q. Yes. 8 A. I believe I ran unopposed. 9 Q. Do you believe in do you believe that other candidates had constitutional claims in connection THE COURT: Sustained. MR. KOMUVES: Thank you, Your Honor. (Laughter.) BY MR. SPIRO:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 three times for office before this; is that right? A. Yeah. That's right. Q. You appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? A. The I'm sorry. What? MR. KOMUVES: Primary's aren't held in December. THE COURT: Is there an objection? Because you've got to object, state your basis, and then I'll resolve it. MR. KOMUVES: Objection. I don't think primary elections are held in December any year. MR. SPIRO: Did I say December? THE COURT: You said, you appeared on the ballot for the December 2020 primary election; is that right? MR. SPIRO: I'm sorry. 2020 primary election. I'll ask the question again. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. You appeared on the ballot for the 2020 Democratic primary election; is that right? A. 2020, yes. Q. And are you familiar with the <i>Conforti v. Hanlon</i> case? A. I am. Q. Same counsel that's representing you in this case are 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 3 (Laughter.) MR. SPIRO: I may not get it exactly. THE COURT: All right. Paraphrase it, but I think we 6 can move on if you change some of those words. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Well, there may be two questions there. So the first do you believe that there's a similar Claim in the <i>Conforti</i> case to the claim that you're making in 1 this case? A. About yeah. I mean, I think that they're similar in nature. Q. Do you believe that you have a legal claim that you had a basis to pursue in connection with the 2020 Democratic A. You're asking about my Democratic primary in 2020? Q. Yes. A. I believe I ran unopposed. Q. Do you believe in do you believe that other candidates had constitutional claims in connection THE COURT: Sustained. MR. KOMUVES: Thank you, Your Honor. (Laughter.) BY MR. SPIRO:

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 150 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	2022; is that right?	1	member of Congress, so I think there was an understanding that
2	A. Correct.	2	I would be very competitive for the county lines there.
3	Q. Now, you didn't file a constitutional challenge seeking	3	But, no, I had no one informed me that I would
4	to change the ballot before you ran in that election; is that	4	definitely have the county lines leading up to that election,
5	right?	5	though.
6	A. That's correct. I did not.	6	Q. Are you aware, before filing suit in this case, of your
7	Q. At the time of that primary election, <i>Conforti</i> was also	7	counsel doing anything to reach out to the defendants to
8	still pending before this Court; is that right?	8	discuss the potential claims that you have in this case?
9	 A. I believe so. I don't remember the exact timeline. 	9	A. Sorry. If you can say that one more time? If can you
10	Q. Do you have a constitutional claim in connection with the	10	say that one more time, just repeat the question?
11	ballot in the 2022 primary election?	11	Q. Are you aware of your counsel reaching out to defendants
12	A. Do I think I had a constitutional claim?	12	at any time before you filed this suit to discuss your
13	Q. Yes.	13	constitutional claims in this case?
14	A. Well, look, if	14	A. The defendants here in the court?
15	MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Speculative. Calls for	15	Q. Yes.
16	legal conclusion.	16	A. Not that I'm aware of it.
17	THE COURT: I was going to say asked and answered.	17	Q. Did you ever reach out to defendants to raise your
18	Didn't you just ask those questions and he said he ran	18	constitutional claims in this case before you filed suit?
19	unopposed? That was 2020.	19	A. Well, I have certainly been speaking about this publicly
20	MR. SPIRO: This is 2022.	20	raising my concerns, and so I think my position is very well
21	THE COURT: Oh, we're going through every one. Okay.	21	known right now even prior to when this lawsuit was filed.
22	MR. SPIRO: Well	22	Q. Did you ever publically raise constitutional concerns?
23	THE COURT: Ask the question again. I'm sorry.	23	A. I don't really I'm not really sure exactly what
24	Phrase it the way you did, and then I'll	24	threshold that is.
25	BY MR. SPIRO:	25	As I said, I've raised publicly concerns about this
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	JC Y	District of New Jersey
1	Q. Do you believe you have a basis to bring a claim in	1	224 system that we have, and I've deemed it I've said it
2	connection with the 2022 primary election?	2	publicly that it's unfair. So I'm not sure if that meets the
3	THE COURT: I'll allow it.	3	threshold of what you're asking for.
4	So objection overruled, if that's the question.	4	Q. Did you ever did you ever refer to bracket balloting
5	Mr. Kim, you can answer.	5	as unconstitutional publicly?
6	THE WITNESS: My understanding of meeting a claim is	6	A. I may have. I'm not positive of the exact wording that
7	that there was injury done upon me, a real non-speculative	7	I've used.
8	injury, which I did not sustain in 2022 like i did in 2024.	8	Q. Do you ever recall using that wording?
9	BY MR. SPIRO:	9	A. Of unconstitutional?
10	Q . And what's your reason for thinking that you didn't	10	Q . Yes.
11	sustain in 2022?	11	A. Usually I say the words of unfair, you know, things of
12	A. Well, for instance, the reason why I brought up the	12	that nature. Those are usually the types of words I more
13	timing of this case is, you know, as I mentioned on February	13	associate with it.
14	10th, the Passaic county-line adverse to me, right that's	14	Q. Did you ever do anything to put defendant on counsel
15	the injury we're referring to this time around I did not	15	(sic) that you were planning to bring this case prior to
16	have an injury of that nature in 2022. I did not have an	16	filing suit?
17	adverse county-line against me in 2022, so I did not have an	17	A. You mean did I ever let them know that I might be filing
18	injury that I could point to to be able to bring a lawsuit	18	suit? Is that what you're asking?
19 20	about.	19 20	Q. Yes.
20 21	Q. Before before you ran, did you believe that did you know that you would have the county-line in all all of your	20 21	A. No, I did not.Q. Now, in February of 2024, you did write a letter to the
21	districts?	21	county clerks; is that right?
<u> </u>	A. Sorry, in 2022?	23	A. I did. I did write a letter to county clerks and county
		1 - 0	A raid. I and write a letter to county clerks and county
23	5.	24	chairs: that's correct.
	Q . Yes.	24 25	chairs; that's correct. Q. And let's start with the county clerks' letter. What was
23 24	 Q. Yes. A. I I had I was a sitting incumbent, a two-term 		Q . And let's start with the county clerks' letter. What was
23 24	Q . Yes.		

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	- P a	age: ´	151 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
4	225		4	227
1	the purpose of writing that letter to the county clerks?		-	ent to the county clerks, which has been submitted as part of
2	A. The purpose of the letter was to just raise our reques	τ		e briefing in this case.
3 ⊿	that we conduct using office-block ballots.		3 4	THE COURT: Are you going to mark it as D something?
4	Q. Why did you wait five months to send that letter until		_	MR. SPIRO: It might be D-1. I don't know if we've
5 6	after you entered the race?		5 na	ad an
_	A. In terms of the timing of that letter? I think, at that		0 7	THE COURT: Have you guys had an exhibit yet?
7 8	point, that's when I think that was just before the		8	MR. PARIKH: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: You have not?
9	convention season was beginning. So I thought it would be		9	MR. PARIKH: We have not.
9 10	something that would help the conversation. And that's w		9 10	
11	people were talking about the ballots, and we were having	′ I.	10	THE COURT: All right. There we go. D-1.
12	these conventions, so I thought that could be something t		12	(Defendant's Exhibit 1 in evidence.)
12	would help raise that issue and try to see if there's a way			MR. KOMUVES: Could I get the associated docket
14	that we could try to get office-block ballots in the 19 counties that do not have them.		13 nu 14	umber with that?
15			14	THE COURT: Yeah. What's the ECF number? MR. SPIRO: It might have appeared as just a link in
16	Q. Why didn't you send that letter in September, though, or October?			e footnote in one of the briefs. I'm not sure if it if
17				if there's an actual exhibit that was presented.
18	A. Again, September and October, there were no other declared candidates in the race.			arissa, do you know?
19	Q. You could have started the conversation in September or		10 M	MS. DeANNA: No.
20	October?		20	THE COURT: This is a link to a brief from one of the
20				efense counsel?
22	5		21 ue	MR_SPIRO: I would say it's appeared in two briefs.
23	the campaign, hiring staff. There were a lot of different components to a campaign getting started up.			's also in the reply brief.
24	Q. And in December you hired experts for this case; is that		23 n	THE COURT: All right. Can you at least give him
25	right?			here you have it, where's the link?
20	United States District Court			United States District Court
	District of New Jersey			District of New Jersey
	226	A.	<u>) - </u>	2228
1	 A. I talked with counsel about about what kind of expl 	erts	1	MR. SPIRO: Page 30 of the reply brief, from memory,
2	we could bring on board and start those conversations.	0	_	where they address it. We do have copies.
3	Q. And experts had started to do work for your counsel Q .		3	THE COURT: All right. Let's get a copy over to
4	December; is that right?		4 pl	aintiffs' counsel.
5	A. I do not know when they started.		5	MR. SPIRO: This is an argument that they raised, not
6	Q. You know they started by January, right?		6 us	s, just for reference.
7	A. I certainly know that I I brought on board counsel	in	7	THE COURT: Is this in your papers from the
8	January, yes.		8 pla	aintiffs? Is this in your brief?
9	Q. When did you first see the survey results from Dr. Pasek?		9	MS. BROMBERG: I don't know what he's referring to.
10	A. Probably I don't remember the the exact day, bu	t I 1	10	THE COURT: All right. Never mind. Let's continue.
11	imagine it was probably on February 14th or after.	1	11 Th	ney got a copy.
12	Q. Did you think it would be helpful at any time to let	1	12	MR. SPIRO: It's in my brief.
13	defendants know that you had expert consultants who had	1	13	THE COURT: They got a copy. It's in your brief
14	provided analysis that you believe was relevant to the ballots	1	14 ar	nyway, but let's go.
15	in this election?	1	15	MR. SPIRO: We're just waiting for it to go on the
16	A. Yes, which is why we submitted them when we filed s	suit. 1	16 sc	reen. I can bring up a copy, too.
17	Q. If you wanted to start the conversation before that,	1	17	THE COURT: You have to ask to approach.
18	would it have been helpful to bring that to their attention	1	18	MR. SPIRO: May I approach?
19	before you filed suit?	1	19	THE COURT: Now you may.
20	A. Well, look, as I said, you know, we we tried to have	. 2	20	I don't want people going up and back to the
21	opportunities for those conversations per the letter and of	her 2	21 wi	itnesses without them knowing you are coming.
22	things. No conversations were had. No no response wa	s 2	22	All right. So the witness has it. You guys have it.
23	ever given to us from those letters or any of the other	2	23 If	you can get it up on the screen, great. If not, why don't
24	outreach.	2	24 yc	ou ask Mr. Kim whatever question you want to ask him.
25	Q. I'd like to introduce the February 8th letter that you	2	25	MR. SPIRO: Can I bring a copy for you as well?
	United States District Court			United States District Court
	District of New Jersey			District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 E	ocument: <u>10</u>	Rage	: 152	Date Filed: 04/01/2024	
1	THE COURT: Sure.	229	1	Q . You	231 were asking the clerks to change their interpretation	
2	BY MR. SPIRO:		2		v Jersey election laws; is that right?	
3	Q. Mr. Kim, I think you testified before that, w	ihen vou sent	3		nk what we're doing here is requesting yeah,	
4	this letter, you wanted to start a conversation wi		4		juesting that they utilize an office-block-design	
5	the county clerks; is that right?		5		hat's right.	
6	A. Well, yes. It's me and two other candi	dates for Senate.	6		ey responded and started that conversation, were you	
7	We thought that this would be potentially a		7		to continue with this lawsuit?	
8	to get a response.		8	-	, it depended on how that conversation went.	
9	Q . So you respectfully requested that the clerk	ks utilize an	9		also mentioned that you sent a letter to the party	
10	office-block design ballot for mail and in-person	balloting	10	was it the	party chair that you mentioned?	
11	for all competitive elections.		11	A. The	county chairs, correct.	
12	Am I reading that right?		12	Q. Wha	t was the purpose of that letter?	
13	A. Yes.		13	A. Just	to again let them know of our desire that, you know,	
14	Q. Again, not really suggesting urgency in see	king for the	14	three of	he four candidates we had a desire for utilizing	
15	clerks to ask, are you?		15	an office	block ballot.	
16	A. I mean, when you have 75 percent of t	he Senate candidates	s 16	Q. Have	you reached out to the to this group before this?	
17	that are running send a letter, you know, I	think that that	17	A. To t	hese other candidates?	
18	shows that, you know, this is something that	at we thought it was	18		MR. KOMUVES: Objection. This group, are we talking	
19	important.		19	about cler	ks?	
20	Q. You didn't mention violation of constitution	al rights in	20		THE COURT: All right. Sustained. Just clarify who	
21	this letter, did you?		21	you're ref	erring to when you ask him about a group.	
22	A. I do not see that in there.		22	BY MR. SF		
23	Q . Did you mention the prospect of filing a law	/suit?	23	\cap	you reach out to the county party chairs?	
24	A. No.		24	1V	the county chairs.	
25	Q. And what you did ask them was to use offic	ce-block-design	25	N°	MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, I've got to object on the	
	United States District Court		C.	23	United States District Court	
	District of New Jersey	220	<u> </u>		District of New Jersey	
1	ballots. And is it your understanding that the cle	230	1	basis of re	levance here. I mean, this is	
2	do that under the law as it exists today?		2		THE COURT: Overruled. And repeat the question, and	
3	A. Well, we do have two clerks yeah, tw	vo counties that	3		t it out. All right?	
4	already use office-block ballots.		4	-	ahead.	
5	Q . So do you have a view that those two clerk	s are operating	5	BY MR. SF		
6	under the law as it exists today?		6		you had you reached out to the county party chairs	
7	A. Well	C.	7	before ser	iding the February letter that you referred to	
8	MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for le	gal conclusion.	8	before?		
9	THE COURT: Sustained.		9	A. Iha	d talked with a number of them about my concerns, b	bu.
10	BY MR. SPIRO:		10	I haven't	talked I haven't sent out a letter en masse to	
11	Q. You are aware, are you not, that the clerks	have taken	11	all of the	m.	
12	the position, including in Conforti, that they're re	quired to	12	Q. This	is your first formal approach to the county party	
13	follow the election laws and permit balloting?		13	chairs; is	that right?	
14	Are you aware of that?		14	A. Firs	t formal approach with the other candidates, correct.	
15	A. I've I've heard that.		15		MR. SPIRO: What is the technology? Are we still	
16	Q . So you were asking for them to follow a ne	W	16	I'd like to	introduce this February 8th letter to the county	
17	interpretation of the law that was inconsistent w	th the	17	party chai	rs as well.	
18	interpretation for 80 years?		18		THE COURT: Where is this found?	
19	MR. KOMUVES: Objection. Calls for a	legal	19		MR. SPIRO: This is found in the same place as the	
20	conclusion.		20	other.		
21	THE COURT: That's a different questio		21		THE COURT: All right. So, plaintiffs' counsel, you	
22	to overruled. That's not what he asked him th	iere.	22		this link to this letter?	
23	Go ahead. You can answer it, Mr. Kim.	un time 2	23		MS. BROMBERG: We are not aware of it.	
24 25	THE WITNESS: Can you say it one mo	re time?	24		MR. PUGACH: I don't believe that that we referred	
25	BY MR. SPIRO:		25	to that on	e. If counsel tells me I'm wrong and shows me, then	
	United States District Court District of New Jersey				United States District Court District of New Jersey	

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pade	e: 153 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	233	~g	235
1	that	1	afield. We were asking about
2	THE COURT: Yeah. Why don't you tell us where it's	2	THE COURT: Sidebar.
3	coming from as opposed to like a mystery?	3	(Sidebar begins at 4:22 p.m.)
4	MR. SPIRO: I might I might be wrong about where	4	THE COURT: Your objection is on what, on relevance?
5	it is, but I will say it was raised for the first time in	5	MR. KOMUVES: Yes.
6	testimony by Mr. Kim.	6	THE COURT: The time frame, isn't this all going to
7	So I'm just bringing up a document that he introduced	7	time frame? What's the relevance of this line of questioning?
8	in the first instance.	8	MR. SPIRO: That's what it's going to. There's a
9	THE COURT: All right. Does plaintiffs' counsel have	9	number of things he could have done if he felt there's
10	a copy of it?	10	urgency
11	MR. SPIRO: We'll bring the copies	11	THE COURT: I'm not saying I necessarily agree with
12	THE COURT: Let's do that. Do you have another copy	12	it or not. But I think, as far as what their position is and
13	for me?	13	what they're examining Mr. Kim on, it's relevant to the
14	MR. PARIKH: Judge, while we're doing that, I think	14	inquiry because they're questioning about him when he knew
15	there's something wrong with the overall system.	15	certain things and when he could have filed a lawsuit. All
16	THE COURT: All right. We can take a break if we	16	these questions go to that.
17	need to. If you want to approach Mr. Kim to provide him that	17	So I'm not going to actually agree with either of you
18	document?	18	on where you're going with it, but it's relevant to the
19	MR. SPIRO: Yes.	19	inquiry, and that's the line of questioning. I mean, I
20	THE COURT: You may.	20	presume we're almost done here.
21	MR. SPIRO: I don't I don't expect to have too	21	MR. SPIRO: Yeah.
22	many more exhibits.	22	THE COURT: So what other objections?
23 24	THE COURT: All right. What about me? Let's continue.	23 24	MR. PARIKH: With MR. GENOVA: Whether or not
25	BY MR. SPIRO:	24 25	THE COURT: Hey, guys, when you're in the gallery and
25	United States District Court	23	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	~C	District of New Jersey
	234	₽–	236
1	Q. Mr. Kim, when you sent this letter, you were also seeking	1	I'm in sidebar, keep quiet or leave the courtroom or I'm going
2	to gain support for changing the bracket ballot for the 2024	2	to instruct the CSOs to tell you to get out of here. Do we
3	Democratic primary; is that right?	3	understand each other? Thank you.
4	A. I wanted to try to increase support for an office-block	4	MR. GENOVA: My point, Your Honor, was whether or not
5	ballot, correct.	5	a party is dilatory in addition to the time. So the course of
6	Q. And again and you can take a second to review the	6	conduct
7	letter. You're asking these Democratic party chairs to reach	7	THE COURT: It's relevant to that inquiry, so I'm
8	out to the county clerks for that purpose?	8	going to allow it.
9	A. Encourage them to do so, correct.	9	I don't think you have that many more questions left, I
10	Q. And you also asked them to reach out to legislators; is	10	presume no, but relevance is a pretty broad standard, guys. I
11	that right?	11	mean, if you really want to have the objection, we have 19
12	A. That's right.	12	people standing here now, but I'm going to allow the inquiry,
13	Q. And the county clerks, as you knew at this time, would	13	and we're almost done.
14	have to change their interpretation of New Jersey state	14	All right. Let's go back.
4 -			
15	election law in order to respond to a request like this; is	15	(Sidebar was concluded at 4:24 p.m.)
16	election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right?	16	(Open court.)
16 17	election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right? A. Yeah.	16 17	(Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the
16 17 18	election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right?A. Yeah.Q. But the legislature actually could have done something if	16 17 18	(Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the question. So if you want to repeat it, feel free.
16 17 18 19	 election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. But the legislature actually could have done something if people reached out to the legislators; is that right? 	16 17 18 19	(Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the question. So if you want to repeat it, feel free. BY MR. SPIRO:
16 17 18 19 20	 election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. But the legislature actually could have done something if people reached out to the legislators; is that right? A. You said that the legislators could have yes. 	16 17 18 19 20	(Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the question. So if you want to repeat it, feel free. BY MR. SPIRO: Q . So the question was, did you take any steps to reach out
16 17 18 19 20 21	 election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. But the legislature actually could have done something if people reached out to the legislators; is that right? A. You said that the legislators could have yes. Q. Have you reached out to any legislators, state 	16 17 18 19 20 21	(Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the question. So if you want to repeat it, feel free. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. So the question was, did you take any steps to reach out to state legislators about the laws the bracketing laws
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. But the legislature actually could have done something if people reached out to the legislators; is that right? A. You said that the legislators could have yes. Q. Have you reached out to any legislators, state legislators to see about amending the statute, the New Jersey 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the question. So if you want to repeat it, feel free. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. So the question was, did you take any steps to reach out to state legislators about the laws the bracketing laws under Title 19?
16 17 18 19 20 21	 election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. But the legislature actually could have done something if people reached out to the legislators; is that right? A. You said that the legislators could have yes. Q. Have you reached out to any legislators, state 	16 17 18 19 20 21	(Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the question. So if you want to repeat it, feel free. BY MR. SPIRO: Q . So the question was, did you take any steps to reach out to state legislators about the laws the bracketing laws
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. But the legislature actually could have done something if people reached out to the legislators; is that right? A. You said that the legislators could have yes. Q. Have you reached out to any legislators, state legislators to see about amending the statute, the New Jersey election laws, that you're seeking to challenge in this case? 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 (Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the question. So if you want to repeat it, feel free. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. So the question was, did you take any steps to reach out to state legislators about the laws the bracketing laws under Title 19? A. So at that point only two legislators that I'm aware of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. But the legislature actually could have done something if people reached out to the legislators; is that right? A. You said that the legislators could have yes. Q. Have you reached out to any legislators, state legislators to see about amending the statute, the New Jersey election laws, that you're seeking to challenge in this case? THE COURT: Hold on. 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 (Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the question. So if you want to repeat it, feel free. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. So the question was, did you take any steps to reach out to state legislators about the laws the bracketing laws under Title 19? A. So at that point only two legislators that I'm aware of in the New Jersey Assembly or Senate were supportive of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 election law in order to respond to a request like this; is that right? A. Yeah. Q. But the legislature actually could have done something if people reached out to the legislators; is that right? A. You said that the legislators could have yes. Q. Have you reached out to any legislators, state legislators to see about amending the statute, the New Jersey election laws, that you're seeking to challenge in this case? THE COURT: Hold on. MR. KOMUVES: I object. This is getting a little 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 (Open court.) THE COURT: Mr. Spiro, I'm going to allow the question. So if you want to repeat it, feel free. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. So the question was, did you take any steps to reach out to state legislators about the laws the bracketing laws under Title 19? A. So at that point only two legislators that I'm aware of in the New Jersey Assembly or Senate were supportive of changes to the ballot line. So it was something that was

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 154 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	237	•	239
1	talked about very to a great extent, but there was you	1	THE COURT: Do you have much more left, Counsel?
2	know, there were only two legislators in New Jersey that were	2	MR. SPIRO: No, Your Honor.
3	supportive of any types of changes.	3	THE COURT: All right.
4	Q. So not an approach that you pursued at all, it sounds	4	MR. SPIRO: The last line of a few questions.
5	like.	5	THE COURT: All right.
6	 A. It was not an approach that would have been successful. A. It was not an approach that would have been successful. 	6	BY MR. SPIRO:
7	Q. Just to clarify your testimony on constitutional harm	7	Q. I think you testified before that, in this case, there is
8	or, actually, let me strike that.	8	no primacy effect as it relates to you; is that correct?
9	Clarify your testimony on the injury that you're	9 10	 As it relates to the Senate race. As d there is a surger of hellet Siberies is that right?
10	alleging. What is the injury that you're alleging in this	10	Q. And there's no concern of ballot Siberia; is that right?
11	case?	11	 A. Regarding the Senate race. The only operating that works we initial in a selected to the
12	A. Well, the injury that I'm alleging here is that	12 12	Q. The only concern that you're raising is relates to the
13	that that I am not being given fair opportunity to be able	13	bracketing on the ballot; is that right?
14	to appear on the ballot in a way that can where I can be	14	 A. Yeah, it's a very significant concern. And just because a condicate receives the county line.
15	successful in terms of of in all the different counties	15	Q. And just because a candidate receives the county-line
16 17	that are out there. That there's significant there's significant advantage giving to a competitor of mine in a far	16 17	doesn't mean that the candidate's opponents they can bracket too; is that right?
17	significant advantage giving to a competitor of mine in a far	17	
10	larger part of New Jersey. That's something that doesn't give	10	 A. So yes, yes, yes, correct. They could bracket, too. Q. And if you have two candidates that have, you know, full
20	me a fair shot to be able to pursue this election.Q. And that's an injury caused by the design of the ballot;	20	brackets appearing on columns next to each other, do you feel
20	is that right?	20	like there's any constitutional harm in that circumstance?
22	A. That's right.	22	A. Well, I think you're glossing over the significant effort
23	Q. And you also talked before about forced association; is	23	that is put in to have to create full brackets in 19 counties.
24	that right?	24	I mean, like, the amount of time that I would have to spend to
25	A. I talked about the challenges, yeah, that come with	25	reach out to candidates in every single municipality, every
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	\sim	District of New Jersey
	238	2	240
1	association. Yes.	1	single county, I mean, it would be a full-time job and then
2	Q. And when did you start to feel those challenges with	2	some just to be able to do that.
3	forced association in connection with the 2024 primary	3	So it's not like there's not just, like, a standing
4	election?	4	bracket of people I can just join up with. And even still,
5	election? A. With the forced association?	5	again, I have to vet, figure out policy position. So I want
6	Q. Yeah.	6	to make sure that you're not just glossing over and making it
7	A. So yes. I had concerns about that leading up to I	7	seem like that's a super easy thing to do, nor is it
8	mean, you know, I guess I'd say I had these kind of concerns	8	necessarily something that I would want to do.
9	starting with when I approached counsel.	9	Q. So I'm not testifying or glossing. I think I asked a
10	Q. And the concerns were that you were in a situation where	10	much more simple question.
11	you felt like you needed to campaign for endorsements and	11	A. Well, you were saying about comparing if I had the
12	associate with candidates whose views you may or may not	12	ability to create a bracket. Well, then I would have to
13	share; is that right?	13	create find someone who is also running for the president
14	A. That's part of it, yeah. As mentioned, you know, my	14 15	of the United States. You know, Joe Biden is at the top of
15 16	focus on September 23rd was to run for the Senate race, you	15 16	the county-line for the main county endorsements, right? He
17	know. And if I had my way, you know, I could just focus on that race. But our system is such that, again, if I do that,	17	is the sitting president of the United States.
18	I will give significant advantage to a competitor if I don't	18	Do you think that I can create a separate county bracket that is going to have someone at the top of that that
19	participate in the convention.	19	is going to have the kind of name recognition and
20	So I was being forced to participate in this system in	20	understanding from the voters as Joe Biden? Like, there's
21	that kind of way.	21	just no comparison there.
22	Q. And part of the reason you reached out to to counsel	22	So, yes, I could create a line, and I could find
23	in November was because you were feeling those pressures of	23	someone to run for president of the United States, but it's
24	association that you've raised in this case; is that right?	24	not the sitting president of the United States.
25	A. That's part of it.	25	Q. But in the circumstance I described on the ballot, where
	United States District Court		United States District Court
1	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 155 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	241		243
1	you have two candidates or both have brackets associated with	1	the official awarding of a county-line that that is where
2	them and columns next to each other, is there any	2	the threshold was for a real and nonspeculative injury and
3	constitutional harm there?	3	harm. BY MR. SPIRO:
	A. Sorry. Constitutional harm in		
5	THE COURT: That's where you want to stand up.	5	Q. Even though at that point you could still bracket with a
6	MR. KOMUVES: Objection.	6	full slate of candidates?
7	THE COURT: Sustained.	7	THE COURT: This has all been asked and answered now.
8	(Laughter.)	8	So is there anything more? There's no jury. They're
9	THE COURT: He's not the lawyer. You've got to stop	9	confusing you, I think. So you've got your plan for the jury
10	asking him those questions. And if that's all you have, let's	10	box, but it's just me.
11	move this on because we have a lot of witnesses. You have one	11	MR. SPIRO: I wasn't even looking at them and
12 13	day, folks. I'm telling you, when I said today's the day, I	12 13	smiling.
	don't think you understood that I meant it. So you will be		THE COURT: All right.
14 15	here until we're done.	14 15	Really? We're going to do redirect?
16	MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, this is going very directly	16	MR. KOMUVES: Just a couple.
17	to	17	MR. PARIKH: You know, before redirect, I just want
17	THE COURT: All right. Well, I've already sustained that objection, so go directly to the next question. But you	17	to talk to counsel for one second so we can avoid some questions of Mr. Kim.
19		19	THE COURT: Sure.
20	said you have a few left, and I'll allow you, as long as	20	
20	they're not objectionable, but then we're proceeding. We're going to move on.	20	(Brief pause) MR. PAPIKH: Your Honor, counsel has stipulated to
22	BY MR. SPIRO:	22	the Defendant's Exhibit 2, which is a compiled document that
23	Q. So when you launched the county-line in Passaic, is it	23	is 69 pages long that is representative of the privilege logs
24	your testimony that you determined that you had no opportunity	24	that plaintiffs' counsel produced.
25	to put together a bracket that could be competitive in	25	MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, I think we're on Defense 3,
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	_C	District of New Jersey
	242	P	244
1	Passaic County?	1	I think.
2	A. No. What I was saying is that that the county had	2	MR. PARIKH: I'm sorry. Defense Exhibit 3.
3	had given the endorsement to a competitor of mine. So that's	3	THE COURT: All right. Defense Exhibit 3 is
4	what was that sparked it.	4	basically a privilege log.
5	Q. But you could have bracketed in Passaic County and	5	MR. PARIKH: Correct, Your Honor. If I may hand that
6	appeared next to that same candidate in the first column with	6	to Your Honor's clerk.
7	the full bracket; is that not true?	7	THE COURT: Thank you. Yes, you may.
8	A. Theoretically, I could put together and find a candidate	8	(Defendant's Exhibit 3 in evidence.)
9	to run for president of the United States, yes.	9	THE COURT: We're back on redirect?
10	Q . But you feel at that point you had suffered a concrete	10	MR. KOMUVES: Yes.
11	harm. Is that your testimony, that was different than the	11	THE COURT: All right. Counsel, when you're ready to
12	harm that you experienced in November? Is that your	12	proceed, go ahead.
13	testimony?	13	(REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:)
14	MS. BROMBERG: Your Honor, this is objection.	14	Q. Congressman, real quick, one of the issues that's raised
15	This is speculative. It's also a compounded question.	15	in this complaint is primacy, correct?
16	THE COURT: Hold on. Let me just look at it.	16	 A. Right. And which plaintiffe in the complaint does primary.
17 18	MS. BROMBERG: Whether or not he can	17 18	Q. And which plaintiffs in the complaint does primacy affect?
19	THE COURT: Wait. Just let me read it. No, I'll allow it.	19	A. The primacy would affect the candidates for the House of
20	Mr. Kim, here's the question. "But you feel at that	20	Representatives.
21	point you had suffered a concrete harm. Is that your	20	Q. Okay. And you've you've heard of the concept of the
22	testimony, that was different than the harm that you	22	weight of the line?
23	experienced in November? Is that your testimony?"	23	A. The weight of the line?
24	That's the question. You can answer it.	24	Q. Yes.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So, look, yes. I do think that	25	A. Yes.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 156 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	245		247
1	Q. Can you just well, let me ask you this: Which	1	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
2	candidates which of the plaintiffs does the weight of the	2	THE COURT: You can go back to counsel table.
3	line issues affect?	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
4	A. The weight of the the weight of the line, you know,	4	THE COURT: Folks, who's next? Let's go. Guys?
5	that would affect those that are not in the brackets, so the	5	MR. NATALE: Your Honor, we agreed to skip and have
6	candidates that do not bracket.	6	the witness Dave Passante
7	Q . So potentially all three candidates, all three	7	THE COURT: However you guys want to do it, but let's
8	plaintiffs?	8	keep it moving. I mean, these folks should be ready, and you
9	A. Correct.	9	should be bringing in witnesses, so
10	Q . All right. And the associational rights claim, does that	10	MR. PARIKH: I will note for the record, Judge, I
11	also affect all three plaintiffs?	11	provided counsel with a copy of Defendant's Exhibit 3.
12	A. Correct. That does.	12	THE COURT: All right. Do we still have to address
13	Q. Okay. Just going back to your point about bracketing,	13	that witness issue with one of the plaintiffs' experts at some
14	just so I understand, this is clear, you're saying or in	14	point?
15	answer to their question, if you wanted to bracket in another	15	MR. PARIKH: We do, Your Honor.
16	county, who would you have to identify? You mentioned	16	THE COURT: All right. We'll deal with that when
17	president, but who else would you have to identify?	17	that witness is ready to testify, but my understanding is all
18	A. I mean, you would have to identify so many different	18	the plaintiffs' experts for now are simply going to say on
19	races. I mean, it's president, and there's Senate. Then	19	direct that they stand by their report.
20	there's House of Representatives. Then there's, you know,	20	And we're going to go into cross-examination as opposed
21	county commissioners and sheriffs. Every single municipality	21	to a redundant direct examination, which is basically
22	that has its own people running for municipal, you know,	22	regurgitating the report that's already been submitted to the
23	township council or this and that.	23	Court.
24	Just the sheer volume of people if I were to try to	24	Is that accurate?
25	build a full bracket, I mean, it would be I can't imagine	25	MR. PARIKH: That's correct, Your Honor.
	United States District Court	6	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	6	District of New Jersey
	246		248
1	that it's even possible, especially for a statewide race, you	1	THE COURT: Okay. So that will streamline it a bit.
2	know, 19 counties.	2	Do you have something?
3	Q. So the record is clear, you're saying you'd have to	3	MR. PUGACH: That is 99.9 percent accurate,
4	identify candidates for every municipal office in every	4 5	Your Honor.
6	partisan municipal office in every municipality of that county in order to build a comparable bracket?	6	THE COURT: What is the .1 that I missed?
7		7	MR. PUGACH: The .1 is if there is a slight error in
8	A. Yeah, and then I'd have to do that in every single county.	8	something, we wanted to make sure that it didn't deceive the Court that was discovered afterwards so we would ask one
9	MR. KOMUVES: Nothing further.	9	question to clarify.
10	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.	10	THE COURT: Well, I appreciate that, and that, of
11	MR. FLORIO: Can I ask one question, please?	11	course, you'll have the liberty to do.
12	Literally one question.	12	All right. Are we still waiting on the witness?
13	THE COURT: All right. Put your name on the record,	13	(Brief pause.)
14	and who you represent, so we don't get confused in the	14	THE COURT: When is the issue with sequestration
15	transcript. Nobody else is going to get a onsie? This is it?	15	coming up? When do I have to deal with that? Not for a
16	MR. FLORIO: Edward J. Florio, counsel for the Hudson	16	while?
17	County clerk, Junior Maldonado.	17	MR. PUGACH: Not for a while.
18	(CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FLORIO:)	18	THE COURT: Have you at least done your due diligence
19	Q. Congressman, isn't it true that there are candidates for	19	on when the witness arrived and what the witness listened to?
20	offices all over New Jersey reaching out to you to bracket	20	MS. BROMBERG: Yes. So my understanding, Your Honor,
21	with you? Isn't that true?	21	is that he listened to the this is the witness about voting
22	A. There have been a few candidates that have reached out to	22	machines in New Jersey. He's, like, the main New Jersey
23	me.	23	voting machines guy. He attended the last ten minutes of Mr.
24	THE COURT: All right. That's all?	24	Macias on Zoom where Macias talked about step 1 and step 2.
25	Mr. Kim, you're excused. Thank you for your time.	25	It was the end of the I believe it was the redirect,
	United States District Court	1	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 157 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	249 and then we went then I think we went on break, but he was	1	251 (DAVI D PASSANTE, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS
2	here for Representative Kim's testimony.	2	FOLLOWS:)
3		3	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and
4	THE COURT: All right. What is your position?	4	the spelling of your last name for the record.
4 5	MR. PARIKH: Our position is that it's a blatant	5	
6	violation of the sequestration order, and his testimony should be stricken.	6	THE WITNESS: David Passante, P-A-S-S-A-N-T-E.
7		7	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Passante, you can have a seat.
8	THE COURT: Why? So let me ask you this: Why can't you just raise it on cross-examination? Did he have access to	8	And, Counsel, whenever you're ready.
9	the report? The report's marked confidential, right?	9	MR. NATALE: Thank you.
10	MR. PARIKH: The reports are not confidential.	10	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:)
11	THE COURT: Mr. Macias didn't say anything that's	11	Q. Mr. Passante, good afternoon.
12	really a shock to anybody, right? He's got an expert report	12	MR. KOMUVES: Sorry. Your Honor, Congressman Kim has
13	that he's regurgitating on direct and cross-examination.	13	another function to go to, so he'd like the Court's permission
14	So what's the real prejudice to you that he sat here	14	to leave.
15	for ten minutes that you can't address on cross-examination?	15	THE COURT: He's no longer a witness. He's a party
16	MR. PARIKH: So I think the prejudice, Your Honor,	16	to the case, but he doesn't have to be here, so if he needs to
17	ultimately is that we now have a witness, unlike any of the	17	be excused, that's fine by me.
18	witnesses on our side, who has an understanding of what the	18	Any objections from the defense?
19	role of questioning is, how the lawyers are approaching the	19	MR. PARIKH: No objection.
20	case, what the strategy is.	20	THE COURT: All right.
21	THE COURT: This was in the last ten minutes of	21	MR. KOMUVES: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	Mr. Macias?	22	THE COURT: So Mr. Kim is free to go.
23	MR. PARIKH: Yeah, and Mr. Kim.	23	Let's start the direct examination here.
24	THE COURT: Look, I'll take that into consideration,	24	BY MR. WATALE:
25	but I'm not going to strike the witness in its entirety. He's	25	Q. Mr. Passante, I know you just mentioned your name for the
	United States District Court	0	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	d'	District of New Jersey
	250		252
1	got a report that's already been submitted, so I'm not so sure	1	court reporter, but could you introduce yourself again?
2	how much extra the plaintiffs are getting by this, other than	2	A. David Passante, Royal Printing Service.
3	I directed them to bring witnesses to testify for purposes of	3	Q. Okay. And, Mr. Passante, what do you do for a living?
4	this hearing because I didn't know if there would be	4	A. I own Royal Printing Service with my brother, Kevin.
5	conflicting testimony and I'd have to make credibility	5	Q. Can you tell us a little bit about your job duties and
6	decisions.	6	responsibilities as owner of Royal Printing Services?
7	So what I'm going to say is just nip this in the bud	7	A. We are a commercial printing company. We do a lot of
8	now so we're not spending time on it. This witness is going	8	government work, municipal work. One of the things that we
9		•	
40	to testify. You are absolutely able to explore everything	9	specialize in is printing of ballots.
10	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether	10	specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with
11	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent.	10 11	specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing
11 12	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with	10 11 12	specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the
11 12 13	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but	10 11 12 13	specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey.
11 12 13 14	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already	10 11 12 13 14	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service?
11 12 13 14 15	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in	10 11 12 13 14 15	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I
11 12 13 14	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in advance of him walking into the courtroom, you know,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old.
11 12 13 14 15 16	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in	10 11 12 13 14 15	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in advance of him walking into the courtroom, you know, potentially by accident earlier this afternoon.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old. Q. You say your father had you there. Is it a family
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in advance of him walking into the courtroom, you know, potentially by accident earlier this afternoon. So that's my ruling there.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old. Q. You say your father had you there. Is it a family business?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in advance of him walking into the courtroom, you know, potentially by accident earlier this afternoon. So that's my ruling there. Do we have the witness now? What am I waiting for?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old. Q. You say your father had you there. Is it a family business? A. Yes, sir, grandfather, father, myself, and my brother.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in advance of him walking into the courtroom, you know, potentially by accident earlier this afternoon. So that's my ruling there. Do we have the witness now? What am I waiting for? Mr. Passante?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old. Q. You say your father had you there. Is it a family business? A. Yes, sir, grandfather, father, myself, and my brother. Q. And where is it located?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in advance of him walking into the courtroom, you know, potentially by accident earlier this afternoon. So that's my ruling there. Do we have the witness now? What am I waiting for? Mr. Passante? Come on down.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old. Q. You say your father had you there. Is it a family business? A. Yes, sir, grandfather, father, myself, and my brother. Q. And where is it located? A. We're in West New York, New Jersey.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in advance of him walking into the courtroom, you know, potentially by accident earlier this afternoon. So that's my ruling there. Do we have the witness now? What am I waiting for? Mr. Passante? Come on down. MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Your Honor.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old. Q. You say your father had you there. Is it a family business? A. Yes, sir, grandfather, father, myself, and my brother. Q. And where is it located? A. We're in West New York, New Jersey. Q. How many employees do you have?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in advance of him walking into the courtroom, you know, potentially by accident earlier this afternoon. So that's my ruling there. Do we have the witness now? What am I waiting for? Mr. Passante? Come on down. MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: If you don't mind, as you come up to the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old. Q. You say your father had you there. Is it a family business? A. Yes, sir, grandfather, father, myself, and my brother. Q. And where is it located? A. We're in West New York, New Jersey. Q. How many employees do you have? A. We have 60 employees.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that he did in violation of the sequestration order, whether that was intentional or negligent. You can talk about what he may have overheard with Mr. Macias, and I will take all that into consideration, but I'm not striking the witness in his entirety when he's already produced an expert report to all of you and the Court in advance of him walking into the courtroom, you know, potentially by accident earlier this afternoon. So that's my ruling there. Do we have the witness now? What am I waiting for? Mr. Passante? Come on down. MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: If you don't mind, as you come up to the witness box, my courtroom deputy is just going to swear you	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 specialize in is printing of ballots. We've been printing ballots since 1984, started with municipal ballots, and then in 1994, we started printing county ballots. Presently we print for 11 counties in the state of New Jersey. Q. And how long have you worked for Royal Printing Service? A. Since 1988 full time, but my father had me there when I was about 14 years old. Q. You say your father had you there. Is it a family business? A. Yes, sir, grandfather, father, myself, and my brother. Q. And where is it located? A. We're in West New York, New Jersey. Q. How many employees do you have? A. We have 60 employees. Q. And is part of that workforce union?

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	7ag	e: 158 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
4	253		255
1	that my dad was always proud of was that every person who	1	our print shop by our staff.
2	worked for us was supplied benefits and had a union job.	2	Q. Okay. So when you have these meetings with the county
4	Q. Excellent. So you mentioned that you've been printing county ballots since 1994; is that correct?	3	clerks that you said would happen around the April 4th date,
5	A. Yes, sir.	5	are you starting from scratch in designing the ballots? A. Yes and no. I mean, each year presents new challenges.
6	Q. Okay. And currently how many different counties do you	6	 A. Yes and no. I mean, each year presents new challenges, new candidates. But because of familiarity and having worked
7	print county ballots for?	7	with the different clerks and knowing how they like their
8	A. 11.	8	ballots laid out, it's a quicker process because we we
9	Q. Okay. And of those 11 how many use the bracketing	9	understand what each clerk favors, and so we can sit down and
10	ballot?	10	basically say, okay, in Hudson County, I know they like a
11	A. One. Oh, the bracketed ballot. Excuse me.	11	landscape ballot and so we're going to, you know, discuss how
12	(Off the record.)	12	that's going to go. And say if maybe they do a portrait style
13	THE WITNESS: Out of the 11 counties, ten used the	13	ballot and so we discuss that with the clerk.
14	bracketing.	14	Q. And you prepared a certification for this case, correct?
15	BY MR. NATALE:	15	A. Yes, I did.
16	Q. Okay.	16	Q. Now, in your certification you used the word "shell
17	Now, can you explain your process for prepping ballots	17	ballot."
18	for an election in a normal year irrespective of this lawsuit?	18	A. Correct.
19	A. So usually what we do is we prepare with each county	19	Q. Can you describe what you mean by that?
20	clerk based on how they want to lay out their ballot.	20	A. So a shell is what we call a template or a master for the
21	One thing I found over the years is that every county	21	ballot. What we try to do when we lay out a ballot is we
22	clerk does things a little bit differently. So we'll go, and	22	start with for anybody that's familiar with what a pyramid
23	we'll meet with the clerks.	23	is, we start with the basic candidates that are running. And
24	We'll go over with them the possible candidates that	24	this year it's a congressional situation because the Senate
25	are going to be on the ballot and the different ways that they	25	candidates who are running are running statewide.
	United States District Court	4	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	60	District of New Jersey
	254		256
1	could lay out their ballot, what the options are as far as who	1	So each county has different congressional candidates,
2	brackets together, who can possibly be in a draw, who would go	2	so we try to lay out the ballot based on starting there. So
3	in one column, so forth and so on. Q. And for this election year have you already held those	3	in Bergen County, for instance, they have three congressional
4 5	meetings?	4 5	districts, and so we'll lay out three masters of the ballot, and we'll start that will be our first initial, you know,
6	A. Not as of yet.	6	template, master, shell, whatever word we want to use for
7	Q. Okay. And for this election year, what's the next	7	that.
8	deadline that you're looking for?	8	Q. And based on these 30 years that you've been doing this
9	A. The first deadline we work off is the date of the ballot	9	for county governments, at this point do you have shells for
10	drawing, which is April 4th, so we try to set up the meetings	10	each one of your clients?
11	with the clerks that week because we we don't want to we	11	A. We have a style that we know that they like, so yes.
12	want to wait until we can be as close as possible, that all of	12	Q. Okay.
13	the candidates are confirmed.	13	And if nothing changes about the design of the ballot,
14	You know, a lot of times there's unofficial, and so we	14	are you confident that you're going to be able to hit the
15	really don't want to discuss things that aren't official.	15	applicable state deadlines?
16	So, you know, the closer we get to the ballot drawing	16	A. Yes. We have to every year. We make sure that we do.
17	is when we try to have these meetings.	17	Q. Now, you mentioned that you have 60 employees, correct?
18	Q. And after that April 4th deadline, what's the next big	18	A. Yes, sir.
19	deadline on your calendar?	19	Q. Okay. And you represent these county governments, but
20	A. That would be April 20th, which is the day that	20	these are not your only clients, correct?
21	vote-by-mail ballots are supposed to be commenced mailing.	21	A. Correct.
22	Q . Now, do you assist in the design of the ballots as well?	22	Q . How what percentage of operations do you think it
23	A. Yes, we do.	23	would take in order to meet the deadlines if nothing changes
24	Q. Okay. And is that something that you've done since 1994?	24	about the ballot?
25	A. Yes. All of the design work for the ballots is done in	25	A. 40, 50 percent.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	1	District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rade	: 159 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	257		259
1	Q. Now, the other 50 to 60 percent of operations, are they	1	you've described with the county clerks take just as long or
2	just doing nothing until April 20th?	2	longer?
3	A. No. Absolutely not. We have commercial clients that we	3	A. I feel it will take longer.
4	work with, too.	4	Q. Okay. And do you think that the process of proofing and
5	Q. Okay. So is your business currently at or near a hundred	5 6	ensuring accuracy of the ballots from your perspective will
6	percent capacity?	7	also take longer? A. Yes.
8	A. Presently right now, today, March 18th?Q. Yes.	8	
9	A. No.	9	Q. Okay. And have you estimated how long you believe it would take for you to undergo this process if the Court orders
10	Q. Will it be once the ballot draw is done?	10	the change in the ballot design?
11	A. Yes.	11	 A. I believe it's three to four weeks.
12	Q. Okay. What would happen to that schedule that you just	12	Q. Okay. And that's three to four weeks from the moment you
13	laid out if the ballot design changes?	13	know that the new design is coming, correct?
14	A. Chaos.	14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Okay. Can you elaborate a little bit on what you mean?	15	Q. Okay. And you mentioned that the print deadline for
16	A. It's you know, we're it would really turn our world	16	paper ballots is April 20th, correct?
17	upside down. Okay? Because it's putting us into a situation	17	A. Yes. We have to commence mailing on April 20th.
18	of unfamiliarity on the printing side, on the clerk side, with	18	Q. Okay. Can you walk through with me what will happen in
19	the voting machine companies, just all across the board.	19	those three to four weeks if the Court orders a change in
20	As I said to you previously, you know, we're familiar	20	ballot design?
21	with what our clerks like and how they want things done	21	A. So, as I said, the first thing would be immediate
22	presently. So if said happens, what we would do is we would	22	meetings with the different county clerks.
23	have to go back, again, and meet with the clerks and find out	23	Secondly would then be layout and design with our
24	how they wanted their new ballot done. Do you want it done in	24	staff.
25	a portrait style? Do you want it done in a landscape style?	25	Third would be back to the clerks to make sure that
	United States District Court	2	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0.	District of New Jersey
	258	, and the second s	260
1			
2	Do you want your candidates one above the other? Do you want	1	they approved of, you know, what the layout would be.
2	them side by side? You know, different types of things.	2	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them
23	them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back		Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At
3 4	them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a	2 3 4	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies
3 4 5	them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send	2 3 4 5	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we
3 4 5 6	them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine	2 3 4 5 6	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish
3 4 5 6 7	them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that	2 3 4 5 6 7	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts.
3 4 5 6 7 8	them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed
3 4 5 6 7	them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a shell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a shell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And do you currently have any masters, templates, or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by the clerks. Then we print them. Then they go to the mail house. From the mail house it's a very arduous task as well.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a shell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And do you currently have any masters, templates, or shells for presidential election years for the ten counties 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by the clerks. Then we print them. Then they go to the mail house. From the mail house it's a very arduous task as well. They have multiple items that have to be inserted into the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And do you currently have any masters, templates, or shells for presidential election years for the ten counties that use the bracketing system that are unbracketed? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by the clerks. Then we print them. Then they go to the mail house. From the mail house it's a very arduous task as well. They have multiple items that have to be inserted into the envelopes for mailing, and then the other thing is, because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And do you currently have any masters, templates, or shells for presidential election years for the ten counties that use the bracketing system that are unbracketed? A. Yes. For the current system that we work with, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by the clerks. Then we print them. Then they go to the mail house. From the mail house it's a very arduous task as well. They have multiple items that have to be inserted into the envelopes for mailing, and then the other thing is, because the ballots have to be counted by district, they have to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And do you currently have any masters, templates, or shells for presidential election years for the ten counties that use the bracketing system that are unbracketed? A. Yes. For the current system that we work with, yes. Q. No. I'm asking: Do you have any masters or shells for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by the clerks. Then we print them. Then they go to the mail house. From the mail house it's a very arduous task as well. They have multiple items that have to be inserted into the envelopes for mailing, and then the other thing is, because the ballots have to be counted by district, they have to hand-match each ballot with each district before they mail
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And do you currently have any masters, templates, or shells for presidential election years for the ten counties that use the bracketing system that are unbracketed? A. Yes. For the current system that we work with, yes. Q. No. I'm asking: Do you have any masters or shells for an unbracketed system? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by the clerks. Then we print them. Then they go to the mail house. From the mail house it's a very arduous task as well. They have multiple items that have to be inserted into the envelopes for mailing, and then the other thing is, because the ballots have to be counted by district, they have to hand-match each ballot with each district before they mail them.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And do you currently have any masters, templates, or shells for presidential election years for the ten counties that use the bracketing system that are unbracketed? A. Yes. For the current system that we work with, yes. Q. No. I'm asking: Do you have any masters or shells for an unbracketed system? A. No. No, we don't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by the clerks. Then we print them. Then they go to the mail house. From the mail house it's a very arduous task as well. They have multiple items that have to be inserted into the envelopes for mailing, and then the other thing is, because the ballots have to be counted by district, they have to hand-match each ballot with each district before they mail them. So it's a considerable amount of work. People don't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And do you currently have any masters, templates, or shells for presidential election years for the ten counties that use the bracketing system that are unbracketed? A. Yes. For the current system that we work with, yes. Q. No. I'm asking: Do you have any masters or shells for an unbracketed system? A. No. No, we don't. Q. So if this change comes, then will the process that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by the clerks. Then we print them. Then they go to the mail house. From the mail house it's a very arduous task as well. They have multiple items that have to be inserted into the envelopes for mailing, and then the other thing is, because the ballots have to be counted by district, they have to hand-match each ballot with each district before they mail them. So it's a considerable amount of work. People don't realize how much is involved.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 them side by side? You know, different types of things. Then what we would do is, from that, we would go back and meet with our staff, come up with a layout, a snell, a master, and then the next thing we would do is we would send it to either Dominion or ES&S, who are the two voting machine companies, because we wouldn't want to print something that we're not familiar with unless they can confirm to us that, yes, this layout is going to work. Q. When you talk about masters, shells, templates we've used a few words here are they specific to the type of election year that it is? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So this year you'll be using masters and templates for presidential election years, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And do you currently have any masters, templates, or shells for presidential election years for the ten counties that use the bracketing system that are unbracketed? A. Yes. For the current system that we work with, yes. Q. No. I'm asking: Do you have any masters or shells for an unbracketed system? A. No. No, we don't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Fourth, then, would be to immediately try to send them to the two voting machine companies, Dominion and ES&S. At that point, we would have to wait for those two companies to tell us yes, you know, everything is good to go. Once we got the approval on the layout, then we would finish finalizing the layouts. About a year and a half ago, New Jersey changed excuse me the vote-by-mail process where all vote-by-mail ballots and machine ballots now have to be tallied by district, down to the district level. For a company like ours who works with the 11 counties, we need to produce roughly in the area of about 3,200 ballots. So what would need to be done then is those 3,200 ballots would have to be designed. Then they get approved by the clerks. Then we print them. Then they go to the mail house. From the mail house it's a very arduous task as well. They have multiple items that have to be inserted into the envelopes for mailing, and then the other thing is, because the ballots have to be counted by district, they have to hand-match each ballot with each district before they mail them. So it's a considerable amount of work. People don't

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 160 Date Filed: 04/01/2024	
	261 Color 21 1000 Document 10 1			263
1	Q. Now, you mentioned, within that three- to four-week	1	BY MR. NATALE:	
2	process, that at some point you're going to send the ballots	2	Q. Would any amount of time that the voting machine	
3	to the voting machine companies; is that correct?	3	companies delay in approving the new ballots would that	
4	A. A hundred percent.	4	also delay you?	
5	Q. Now, do you do that in a normal election year?	5	A. Yes.	
6	A. We do, but there's a little bit of a difference.	6	Q. Okay. Now, we've talked about an April 20th deadline. I	
7	Q. Okay. What's the difference?	7	want to seek some clarity on that.	
8	A. So because we're familiar with what we do now, we're very	8	That April 20th deadline, is that for you to start	
9	confident that what we do now is prepared properly.	9	printing?	
10	So, yes, we send them to the voting machine companies,	10	A. No. That's to commence mailing.	
11	but we pretty much move ahead with them because we know we	11	Q. Okay. And is that a legal requirement?	
12	have a lot of confidence in our own ability that everything is	12	A. Yes, it is state statute.	
13	set up properly and we're not going to have a problem.	13	Q . There's been some discussion in this case about a former	
14	In a situation where we would have something	14	election case that you might recall when Frank Lautenberg was	
15	drastically new that we never dealt with before, I know I	15	running and his name had to be replaced on a ballot.	
16	wouldn't and I'm sure my brother wouldn't feel comfortable	16	Do you recall that case?	
17	sending anything to print before the voting machine companies	17	A. I do.	
18	gave us their approval that, you know, yes, this is going to	18	Q. Were you guys printing county ballots at that time?	
19	work.	19	A. Yes.	
20	Q . Is part of the reason you typically feel comfortable with	20	Q . Is from your perspective, as a printing owner who is	
21	the voting machine companies is because they already approved	21	responsible for printing these ballots, is it comparable to	
22	masters and shells that you use?	22	swapping one name to what would happen if this case is	
23	A. Yes.	23	granted?	
24	Q. Okay. That three- to four-week estimate, is that	24	A. No. A different situation.	
25	contingent on a certain turnaround time by the voting machine	25	Q. Okay.	
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court	
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey	
	262	Y .		264
1	companies?	1	Mr. Passante, last question. Since I brought you here	
2	A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an	2	today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you	
-	A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner.		today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like?	
2 3 4	A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner.Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that	2 3 4	today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No.	
2 3 4 5	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the 	2 3 4 5	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do 	
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? 	
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he THE COURT: I guess it would slow him down by the two 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do we have cross? Are we done with the defense here, folks? 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he THE COURT: I guess it would slow him down by the two weeks. Whatever you say in your hypothetical. No? I mean, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do we have cross? Are we done with the defense here, folks? MR. KOMUVES: We have cross. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he THE COURT: I guess it would slow him down by the two weeks. Whatever you say in your hypothetical. No? I mean, that's kind of a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do we have cross? Are we done with the defense here, folks? MR. KOMUVES: We have cross. THE COURT: Cross-examination.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he THE COURT: I guess it would slow him down by the two weeks. Whatever you say in your hypothetical. No? I mean, that's kind of a MR. NATALE: Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do we have cross? Are we done with the defense here, folks? MR. KOMUVES: We have cross. THE COURT: Cross-examination. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY KOMUVES:)	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he THE COURT: I guess it would slow him down by the two weeks. Whatever you say in your hypothetical. No? I mean, that's kind of a MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: I'll overrule. He can ask it. But, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do we have cross? Are we done with the defense here, folks? MR. KOMUVES: We have cross. THE COURT: Cross-examination. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY KOMUVES:) Q. Mr. Passante, good afternoon. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: I guess it would slow him down by the two weeks. Whatever you say in your hypothetical. No? I mean, that's kind of a MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: I'll overrule. He can ask it. But, I mean, I don't know how much 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do we have cross? Are we done with the defense here, folks? MR. KOMUVES: We have cross. THE COURT: Cross-examination. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY KOMUVES:) Q. Mr. Passante, good afternoon. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he THE COURT: I guess it would slow him down by the two weeks. Whatever you say in your hypothetical. No? I mean, that's kind of a MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: I'll overrule. He can ask it. But, I mean, I don't know how much MR. NATALE: Actually, Your Honor, I'll attempt to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do we have cross? Are we done with the defense here, folks? MR. KOMUVES: We have cross. THE COURT: Cross-examination. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY KOMUVES:) Q. Mr. Passante, good afternoon. A. How are you? Q. How many so how many counties, again, is this that you 	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he THE COURT: I guess it would slow him down by the two weeks. Whatever you say in your hypothetical. No? I mean, that's kind of a MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: I'll overrule. He can ask it. But, I mean, I don't know how much MR. NATALE: Actually, Your Honor, I'll attempt to move on. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do we have cross? Are we done with the defense here, folks? MR. KOMUVES: We have cross. THE COURT: Cross-examination. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY KOMUVES:) Q. Mr. Passante, good afternoon. A. How many so how many counties, again, is this that you have contracts with? 	1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Assuming they're going to get back to us in an expeditious manner. Q. So if the voting machine companies were going to say that they would need two weeks or so to test the machines and the ballots, that would also slow you down, correct? A. Yes, that's MR. KOMUVES: Objection. That's nypothetical. There's no foundation for what the voting machine companies might or might not take. MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I'm asking about variables in his time line. THE COURT: Sorry, what's the question again? MR. NATALE: It was, if the voting machine companies needed two weeks to test his ballots as opposed to turning them around quickly, would it slow him down as well, what he THE COURT: I guess it would slow him down by the two weeks. Whatever you say in your hypothetical. No? I mean, that's kind of a MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: I'll overrule. He can ask it. But, I mean, I don't know how much MR. NATALE: Actually, Your Honor, I'll attempt to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 today, I want to make something clear. Does it matter to you what the ballots look like? A. No. Q. Are you only here to testify what it would take you to do your job properly? A. That's correct. THE COURT: Is that the end of the line of questioning? MR. NATALE: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You might want to let me know. I thought you just like gave up. MR. NATALE: No, no further question, Your Honor. THE COURT: You walked away. I'm like, I'd love to do that, too, but I'm still sitting here. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Do you have additional do we have cross? Are we done with the defense here, folks? MR. KOMUVES: We have cross. THE COURT: Cross-examination. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY KOMUVES:) Q. Mr. Passante, good afternoon. A. How are you? Q. How many so how many counties, again, is this that you 	1

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 161 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	265		267
1	A. 11.	1	correct?
2	Q. Okay. And what kind of revenue does your company earn	2	A. No, primary and general.
3	from these 11 contracts?	3	Q. Oh, you said you you said you print for Atlantic
4	MR. NATALE: Objection.	4	County, right?
5	THE COURT: What's the basis overruled. You say	5	A. Yes.
6	he's just here, and he's got no bias and no dog in this fight?	6	Q. Did you print for Atlantic County in 2023?
7	He asks one question about how much money he makes, and	1	A. Yes.
8	then doing this for these 9 or 11 counties, and he can't	8	MR. KOMUVES: Request to approach, Your Honor.
9	ask it?	9	THE COURT: You may. What is it, though? What are
10	Go ahead.	10	you approaching
11	BY MR. KOMUVES:	11	MR. KOMUVES: I'm sorry. This is the Andrew Appel
12	Q. How much revenue does your company earn from these	12	report, D-95, the number?
13	11 contracts?	13	MR. PUGACH: P-10.
14	A. Honestly, I couldn't give you an exact answer, but	14	THE COURT: All right.
15	Q . I'll take an estimate.	15	BY MR. KOMUVES:
16	A. 6 million.	16	Q . So this is, Mr. Passante, a ballot you printed on behalf
17	Q. Per year?	17	of the Atlantic County?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. Just let me put my glasses on.
19	Q. Okay. And as a family businessman, you recognize it's	19	THE COURT: Give him a minute.
20	important to keep your clients happy, right?	20	(Brief pause.)
21	A. Absolutely.	21	BY MR. KOMUVES:
22	Q. In fact, you told us before that what your clients like,	22	Q. Do you recognize that?
23	I think was your verbiage, in terms of ballot design is	23	A. Yes.
24	important, correct?	24	THE COURT: Counsel, back up. You can cross-examine
25	A. Correct.	25	him from the table.
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	266		268
1	Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours	1	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly.
1 2	Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit.	1	
23	Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block	1 2 3	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot?
2 3 4	Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct?	4	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. 	4	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: 	4 5 6	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this 	4 5 6 7	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? 	4 5 6 7 8	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? 	4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Okay. So THE COURT: Mr. Passante, wait for the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. A. I didn't say that he's asking, all right? I get it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Chaswer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you against it. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Okay. So THE COURT: Mr. Passante, wait for the question. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE WITNESS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you against it. Q. Okay. And so so okay. So you're aware the clerks 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Okay. So THE COURT: Mr. Passante, wait for the question. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: Listen to the question, respond to it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you against it. Q. Okay. And so so okay. So you're aware the clerks generally are are are against this. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: Listen to the question, respond to it, and also keep that mic away because I think you're going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you against it. Q. Okay. And so so okay. So you're aware the clerks generally are are are against this. All right. Let me ask you something. You told us 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Okay. So THE COURT: Mr. Passante, wait for the question. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: Listen to the question, respond to it, and also keep that mic away because I think you're going to blow Megan's eardrum out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you against it. Q. Okay. And so so okay. So you're aware the clerks generally are are are against this. All right. Let me ask you something. You told us before that ten county I think you said ten counties use 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Okay. So THE COURT: Mr. Passante, wait for the question. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: Listen to the question, respond to it, and also keep that mic away because I think you're going to blow Megan's eardrum out. So I promise you, counsel can hear you from where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you against it. Q. Okay. And so so okay. So you're aware the clerks generally are are are against this. All right. Let me ask you something. You told us before that ten county I think you said ten counties use the bracketing ballot. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE COURT: Mr. Passante, wait for the question. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, and also keep that mic away because I think you're going to blow Megan's eardrum out. So I promise you, counsel can hear you from where you're sitting. The mics will pick you up. They're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you against it. Q. Okay. And so so okay. So you're aware the clerks generally are are are against this. All right. Let me ask you something. You told us before that ten county I think you said ten counties use the bracketing ballot. A. Correct. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE COURT: Mr. Passante, wait for the question. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE COURT: Listen to the question, respond to it, and also keep that mic away because I think you're going to blow Megan's eardrum out. So I promise you, counsel can hear you from where you're sitting. The mics will pick you up. They're sensitive. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I 'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you against it. Q. Okay. And so so okay. So you're aware the clerks generally are are are against this. All right. Let me ask you something. You told us before that ten county I think you said ten counties use the bracketing ballot. A. Correct. Q. Right. Now, that's in regard to primary elections only, 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, 1 do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: Listen to the question, respond to it, and also keep that mic away because I think you're going to blow Megan's eardrum out. So I promise you, counsel can hear you from where you're sitting. The mics will pick you up. They're sensitive. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Got it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Right. And you understand that these clients of yours they oppose the relief the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit. They don't they oppose the a mandate of office-block ballots, correct? MR. PARIKH: Objection. Lacks foundation. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Do you understand what your client's position is in this lawsuit regarding plaintiffs' requests? A. Meaning whether they're for or against it? Q. Yes. A. I haven't talked to my clients about whether they're for or against it, to be honest with you. Q. So you personally don't know the legal positions or arguments they've made, whether they're for or against this, right? A. I'm aware that most of the clerks are against it, but I have not spoken to them personally to say, Ms. Rajapi, are you against it. Q. Okay. And so so okay. So you're aware the clerks generally are are are against this. All right. Let me ask you something. You told us before that ten county I think you said ten counties use the bracketing ballot. A. Correct. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MR. KOMUVES: Certainly. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. So you recognize that Atlantic County ballot? A. Yes, I do. Q. And it does, in fact, have office-block components to that, doesn't it? A. It has bracketed columns, sir, Democrats/Republicans. Q. What about the school board race? A. School board race is a totally different election. Q. The ballot that's before you, are you telling me that the school board election that's on that ballot was not run November 7, 2023? A. I didn't say that. I say it's a totally different election. Answer the question that he's asking, all right? I get it, but THE COURT: Listen to the question, respond to it, and also keep that mic away because I think you're going to blow Megan's eardrum out. So I promise you, counsel can hear you from where you're sitting. The mics will pick you up. They're sensitive. Go ahead.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	age	e: 162 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	THE COURT: Go ahead.	1	A. Which page?
2	BY MR. KOMUVES:	2	Q. Page 3.
3	Q. So I know you say it's a totally separate election, but	3	A. Page 3. Yes.
4	it's held on the same day, correct?	4	Q. You print for Hudson County, correct?
5	A. Correct.	5	A. Yes, we do.
6	Q. Same jurisdiction, same voters?	6	Q. Is that ballot on page 3 something your company printed?
7	A. Correct.	7	A. Yes, it is.
8	Q. Same in all respects?	8	
	-	9	
9	A. Correct.		not?
10	Q. And it is presented on here as an office in the	10	A. School board, yes.
11	office-block format, is it not?	11	Q. Okay.
12	A. Yes, it is.	12	MR. KOMUVES: Approach one more time, Your Honor.
13	Q. Okay. Turn to Exhibit C, please, of that document.	13	THE COURT: You may.
14	A. Uh-huh.	14	MR. KOMUVES: These are reprints of Exhibits G and H
15	Q. Exhibit C of this document let me ask you: Did you	15	to my certification. We will attempt
16	print this out?	16	THE COURT: What are they going to be called now?
17	A. Yes, we did.	17	MR. PUGACH: I think it's all P-2.
18	Q. Is there an office-block ballot office-block race on	18	THE COURT: It's all P-2?
19	that ballot?	19	MR. PUGACH: It's all P-2.
20	A. Are you are you referring to the school board?	20	THE COURT: All right. Just so counsel is all aware.
21	Q. Iam.	21	BY MR. KOMUVES:
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. Yes. So this is a slightly different format than exactly
23	Q. Okay.	23	what's in there.
24	MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor?	24	But, Mr. Passante, you print ballots for for Mercer
25	THE COURT: You may.	25	County, correct?
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	270		272
1	MR. KOMUVES: This is document 94-1. This was filed	1	A. Years.
2	and submitted through the testimony of Mr. Macias.	2	Q. You printed those ballots?
3	BY MR. KOMUVES:	3	A. Yes.
4	Q. He told us before that you print for Cumberland County,	4	Q. Okay. Now the November ballot, that includes a
5	correct?	5	combination of a grid for the partisan races, and it also
6	A. Yes, we do.	6	includes office block office blocks for multiple municipal
7	Q. Would you look at the last page of that product?	7	races, correct?
8	MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, can I ask, if counsel	8	A. Uh-huh.
9	doesn't have copies for all of us, that maybe they pull it up	9	Q. And the last ballot, the runoff
10	on the screen, if they have it available.	10	A. Yes.
11	THE COURT: They're working on it.	11	Q. that, in fact, is a ballot that the only thing on it
12	MR. PARIKH: Thank you.	12	is an office-block race, correct?
13	THE COURT: Also, Counsel, if you have a microphone	13	A. Correct.
14	near you, make sure you have it somewhere near you while	14	Q. Okay. So when you said that you don't print office-block
15	you're conducting the cross, all right?	15	ballots for all for ten of your eleven clients, you do, in
16	MR. KOMUVES: Yes, sir.	16	fact, as it turns out, print them for some, correct?
17	THE COURT: Just so that way folks can hear.	17	A. School board ballots.
18	BY MR. KOMUVES:	18	Q. You print school board ballots
19	Q. So sorry. Mr. Passante, this is a ballot you printed for	19	A. Yes.
20	Cumberland County?	20	Q in office-block format?
20	A. Yes, sir.	20	A. It's it's it's a different ballot.
22	Q. And you see a school board race on there presented in	22	Q. I agree with you. That's a different ballot. That's for
23	office-block format, correct?	23 24	an election, but it is office-block format, is it not?
24	A. Yes, I do.	24	A. Correct.
25	Q. Okay. Turn to page 3 of that packet, please.	25	Q. All right. Do you do any of those ballots for main
	United States District Court		United States District Court
1	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 163 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	municipal elections in your customer counties?	1	absolutely. You know, bracketing, et cetera.
2	A. No, we do not.	2	Q. Right. But notwithstanding that, there's still a lot of
3	Q. Okay. All right. And I think your testimony correct	3	variability.
4	me if I'm wrong here, but you said you haven't had your annual	4	A. Correct.
5	meetings with the clerks to prepare for the primary elections	5	 Q. Okay. And, in fact, if that variability didn't exist,
6	yet?	6	that would make your company's job a lot easier, right?
7	A. No, not yet.	7	 A. Meaning if there was just one standard statewide ballot?
8	Q. In fact, that wouldn't happen until at least March 25th,	8	Q. Well, one standard set of rules for for ballots, I
9	right?	9	guess.
10	A. Usually usually the week of the drawing.	10	A. Well, I mean, the rules are the same. It's the personal
11	Q. Week of the drawing. Okay. Before the drawing or after	11	preferences of the clerks of what they feel is clear for their
12	the drawing?	12	voters.
13	A. The week of the drawing, before the drawing.	13	Q. Okay. And then, regardless of what this Court orders,
14	Q. Okay.	14	once you have meetings with the clerks, your staff has done
15	MS. BROMBERG: The first week of April.	15	the layout and design, you said you've got to send these out
16	MR. KOMUVES: The first week of April.	16	to the voting machine companies.
17	BY MR. KOMUVES:	17	You have to answer verbally.
18	Q. All right. And regardless of what this Court orders, you	18	A. Correct. Yes.
19	would still have those meetings with the clerks, correct?	19	Q. Is that a choice of your companies, or is that an
20	A. Yes.	20	instruction of the clerks, or is that well, let me ask
21	Q. All right. And regardless of what happens with this	21	let me withdraw that.
22	Court, you would still be preparing, you said I mean,	22	Why do you do that? Why do you send them out to the
23	there's number and numbers in your certification.	23	voting machine companies?
24	But regardless of what this Court does, the number of	24	A. Because the voting machine companies in recent years are
25	different ballots that your company prepares, designs, and	25	new machines. Okay. And what happens is each machine has
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	274		276
1	prints, that wouldn't change, correct?	1	276 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And
1 2		1	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And
	prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately	_	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And
2 3 4	prints, that wouldn't change, correct?	2 3 4	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works
2 3 4 5	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? 	2 3 4 5	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines.
2 3 4 5 6	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. 	2 3 4 5 6	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies
2 3 4 5 6 7	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out 	2 3 4 5 6 7	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows they, meaning the clerks, like. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry. When your staff is designing these ballots, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows they, meaning the clerks, like. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry. When your staff is designing these ballots, what software exactly are you using?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows they, meaning the clerks, like. So you're telling us, if I'm understanding, that there's a lot of variability between counties? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry. When your staff is designing these ballots, what software exactly are you using? A. So we use our own Mac-based programs. The program is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows they, meaning the clerks, like. So you're telling us, if I'm understanding, that there's a lot of variability between counties? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry. When your staff is designing these ballots, what software exactly are you using? A. So we use our own Mac-based programs. The program is called InDesign. Okay. And then what happens but also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows they, meaning the clerks, like. So you're telling us, if I'm understanding, that there's a lot of variability between counties? A. Yes. Q. There's not really a uniform ballot? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry. When your staff is designing these ballots, what software exactly are you using? A. So we use our own Mac-based programs. The program is called InDesign. Okay. And then what happens but also with one of the voting machine companies, ES&S, they have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows they, meaning the clerks, like. So you're telling us, if I'm understanding, that there's a lot of variability between counties? A. Yes. Q. There's not really a uniform ballot? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry. When your staff is designing these ballots, what software exactly are you using? A. So we use our own Mac-based programs. The program is called InDesign. Okay. And then what happens but also with one of the voting machine companies, ES&S, they have their own software that we use their software to design the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows they, meaning the clerks, like. So you're telling us, if I'm understanding, that there's a lot of variability between counties? A. Yes. Q. There's not really a uniform ballot? A. No. Q. Are there uniform rules for how to design ballots? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry. When your staff is designing these ballots, what software exactly are you using? A. So we use our own Mac-based programs. The program is called InDesign. Okay. And then what happens but also with one of the voting machine companies, ES&S, they have their own software that we use their software to design the ballots as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows they, meaning the clerks, like. So you're telling us, if I'm understanding, that there's a lot of variability between counties? A. Yes. Q. There's not really a uniform ballot? A. No. Q. Are there uniform rules for how to design ballots? A. Could you repeat that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry. When your staff is designing these ballots, what software exactly are you using? A. So we use our own Mac-based programs. The program is called InDesign. Okay. And then what happens but also with one of the voting machine companies, ES&S, they have their own software that we use their software to design the ballots as well. So it depends which voting machine company we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 prints, that wouldn't change, correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And the number of ballots that's ultimately printed for mail voters, those are circumstances beyond your control, correct? I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. The number of mail ballots that your company sends out depend on the number of mail ballots that are requested by voters, right? A. Correct. Q. Not by anything this Court might or might not order? A. No. Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking before about your template or master or shell ballots, you said this you described these as, I think, a style that your company knows they, meaning the clerks, like. So you're telling us, if I'm understanding, that there's a lot of variability between counties? A. Yes. Q. There's not really a uniform ballot? A. No. Q. Are there uniform rules for how to design ballots? Q. Are there uniform rules on how to design ballots? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 they have a set of grids, okay, that need to be followed. And what we do with the layout is we make sure that it works within the parameters of what the voting machine company has so that their counting tabulators are able to count the machines. So we always send PDFs to the voting machine companies of all the ballots, and then what we do is they have us print what they call test decks which they then run to program their machine. But being that this would be a new system for us, we absolutely would want to go to the voting machine companies and have them confirm that, yes, the way we're laying out this ballot is something that is able to be tabulated and is going to work within the parameters of their machine. Q. There's been well, sorry. When your staff is designing these ballots, what software exactly are you using? A. So we use our own Mac-based programs. The program is called InDesign. Okay. And then what happens but also with one of the voting machine companies, ES&S, they have their own software that we use their software to design the ballots as well. So it depends which voting machine company we're working with.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 164 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	277		279
1	A. Correct.	1	Q. general election ballots that include office-block
2	Q. And then what's the other one, Dominion?	2	races. They're not all office block, I understand that.
3	A. Dominion, correct.	3	A. Right.
4	Q. And that's where you're using this Mac-based program.	4	Q. There's a combination.
5	A. That's right.	5	But I am trying to understand why you're telling me
6	Q. Okay. And there has been some testimony that the ES&S	6	that you're not printing office block when I've just shown you
7	software can accommodate a variety of ballot design styles.	7	those ballots?
8	Do you think that's incorrect?	8	MR. PARIKH: Objection. Mischaracterizes his
9	A. I would say to you that the ES&S system is more difficult	9 10	testimony.
10	design-wise than the Dominion system is.		THE COURT: All right. Overruled. I want to hear
11	Q. Okay. So it's more difficult in every election every	11 12	the answer. And if it's mischaracterized, then the witness
12 13	year, right?	12	will correct it.
14	A. The software is not as user friendly as the InDesign that we use for Dominion.	14	THE WITNESS: So the the we're not as I said
15	Q. Okay. But after you get past this user-friendliness	14	to you, they're all new machines, okay. And we're not 100 percent sure what the capabilities of these machines are or
16	issues, would it be fair to say that the ES&S software can	16	what they're not without running them past Dominion, okay. I
17	design office-block ballots?	17	mean, past ES&S.
18	MR. NATALE: Objection to the form of the question.	18	So if you want me to say to you, yes, 100 percent sure
19	THE COURT: Who said that? You have to stand. She	19	that I'm sure, I'm not going to say that to you because I
20	has to know who's speaking.	20	would want to run it past Dominion I mean, ES&S first and
21	Do you want to rephrase, Counsel?	20	make sure that they tell me, yes, that it works.
22	Do we have a lot left here?	22	BY MR. KOMUVES:
23	MR. KOMUVES: No.	23	Q. All right. Those ballots that I showed you, at least the
24	THE COURT: All right. Because I have a question for	24	ES&S ones, did ES&S raise any objections to the work that your
25	Mr. Passante, but I'll wait until the end.	25	company and your staff of union workers did in designing them?
_•	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	Ň	District of New Jersey
	278	k	280
1	All right. Now I'll keep you in suspense as to what	1	MR. NATALE: Objection. I don't think the record is
2	that question is.	2	clear which one of those ballots are ES&S, if any.
3	MR. KOMUVES: Yeah. So I apologize; could I have the	3	BY MR. KOMUVES:
4	question read?	4	Q. Do you know, Mr. Passante, which of those ballots are
5	THE COURT: "Okay. But after you get past this user	5	ES&S?
6	friendliness issues, would it be fair to say that the ES&S	6	THE COURT: Rephrase.
7	software can design office-block ballots?"	7	THE WITNESS: Give me a minute. I'll look at them
8	BY MR. KOMUVES:	8	again.
9	Q. You've testified that the ES&S software you don't find	9	THE COURT: Do you have a lot left, Counsel,
10	particularly user friendly.	10	because
11	Notwithstanding that, can the ES&S software design	11	MR. KOMUVES: No.
12	office-block ballots?	12	THE COURT: All right.
13	A. I don't know.	13	Is there any redirect?
14	Q. I've shown you a number of ballots before that have	14	MR. NATALE: Your Honor, I know you've heard this
15	office-block races.	15	before, but I have three questions.
16	Why did you just tell me you don't know?	16	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to count those.
17	MR. PARIKH: Objection, Your Honor.	17	THE WITNESS: So the only one would be Hudson County
18	Never mind. Withdrawn.	18	BY MR. KOMUVES:
19	THE COURT: I didn't have to do anything there.	19	Q. The only one would be Hudson County Clerk?
20	THE WITNESS: Mercer County is a Dominion company.	20	A. Hudson County Clerk, correct.
21	BY MR. KOMUVES:	21	Q. What I'm asking, though, is, when you submitted those
22	Q. I'm trying to understand. I thought I had shown to your	22	ballots to ES&S for their review
23	satisfaction that there are certain ballots that your company	23	A. Uh-huh.
24	prints	24	Q. did they raise any concerns or objections?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. No.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	1	District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 165 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
4	281	-	283
1 2	Q. Did ES&S ever raise concerns or objections with any of	1	county for this election. Do you say yes or no?
2 3	the ballots that I've shown you up there?		That's my first question. THE WITNESS: Yes.
3 4	A. No, there's only the Hudson County one, and I just said	4	
_	no.	5	THE COURT: And you find a way to do it, correct?
5	Q. That's the only ES&S one?	6	THE WITNESS: One hundred percent, yes.
6 7	 A. Correct. O Olicity Did Dominical ratios and concerns with the ballete. 	_	THE COURT: Now I'm good. You're permanently
7 8	Q. Okay. Did Dominion raise any concerns with the ballots	7 8	excused. Thank you for your time.
9	that I've put before you? A. No.	9	MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, may I redirect on that? THE COURT: No. Next witness.
9 10	A. No. Q. All right.	10	MR. PARIKH: Note my objection to not being able to
11		11	redirect on the question.
12	MR. KOMUVES: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Three questions?	12	THE COURT: I'll note it. Objection noted.
13	MR. NATALE: Three questions, Your Honor.	13	MR. KOMUVES: Andrew Appel.
14	THE COURT: And nobody else has any questions?	14	THE COURT: You're excused, Mr. Passante.
15	All right. Go ahead.	15	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
16	(CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:)	16	(Witness is excused.)
17	Q. Mr. Passante, do you get paid any less based on the	17	THE COURT: Do you know who the next witness is?
18	design of the ballot?	18	MR. KOMUVES: Andrew Appel.
19	A. No.	19	THE COURT: All right. In about ten minutes, I want
20	Q. Okay. Is a 2023 legislative race master any use to you	20	to give a break to court staff and whoever else needs one. So
21	in this election?	21	do you want to take that break now while we're waiting for
22	A. No.	22	this witness so that we can keep moving, or do you want to do
23	Q. Is a school board portion of a prior ballot any use to	23	a little bit of direct exam?
24	you in this election?	24	How long is this going to be?
25	A. In the primary election?	25	MR. KOMUVES: So this is one of the experts where I'm
_•	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	~Č	District of New Jersey
	282	\$ `	284
1	 Q. Yes. A. No. MR. NATALE: No further questions. THE COURT: All right. 	1	just going to ask them to attest to their
2	A. No.	2	THE COURT: All right. Let's do that.
3	MR. NATALE: No further questions.	3	MR. KOMUVES: This is also the witness with the
4	THE COURT: All right.	4	sequestration issue.
5	Mr. Passante, I want to dismiss you, but I have a	5	THE COURT: I've already addressed that issue. So
6	question for you.	6	why don't you just do your brief direct, and then we're
7	All right. So the attorneys have all been couching	7	probably going to take a break, folks, for at least my staff,
8	this in I'll paraphrase if I order a change in the	8	and maybe you-all need one too, and then you can
9	ballot, to an office ballot, what would happen? I think your	9	cross-examine.
10	words were "chaos." Correct?	10	(Brief pause.)
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11	MR. PARIKH: Judge, while we're waiting for this
12	THE COURT: So erase me from the equation and erase	12	witness, can I make a record?
13	this entire courtroom. One of the county clerks, they decide	13	THE COURT: Sure. Well, do you want to do it now or
14	their preference is office ballot, and they come to you and	14	after the witness?
15	your company and say, This is how we want it done. You tell	15	MR. PARIKH: I can do it now.
16	them No, get another vendor?	16	THE COURT: Because I didn't allow you a re-redirect?
17	THE WITNESS: No.	17	MR. PARIKH: That's correct, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: It would be chaos or would you find a way	18	THE COURT: All right.
19	to do it?	19	MR. PARIKH: Which which I which I understand,
20	Do you see the difference between my question and the	20	Your Honor. But I think the key component in terms of
21	one that these guys have been asking?	21	redirect on that, Your Honor, is not about whether he would do
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.	22	it or not but about when the clerk in your hypothetical would
23	THE COURT: So what do you tell your client? What do	23	have asked him.
24	you tell the county clerk when he or she says, We want this	24	And that to me was the relevant question, now that the
25	done. We made a decision that we prefer this ballot in this	25	witness is not here, and that is the reason
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	1	District of New Jersey

1 0. Which is about what Tim going to get to. 2 MR_DARLEH: - to redired. 2 3 THE COURT: 10 mix the context was clear. If I 4 4 order It, it's cloars. If the context was clear. If I 5 4 order It, it's cloars. If the context was clear in the question. The's 6 5 hell mid a way one. The sign for the context was clear in the question. The's 6 6 Hind way was or on is up to you. 8 7 Output def, whereas 1 said of the Court of deel theat t 10 10 my question and yours? 10 11 The COURT: Voir Your definitions and informer. 11 13 deem tails deal way inc. 1 do think that's a big difference. 11 14 The MANLEY. Can I highlight one difference between 11 The Way was deal of the way one. 14 The MANLEY. Can I highlight one difference between 11 The Way was deal of the way one. 15 The Wine Sis Mark 24 and the way one ready. 11 The Way was deal of the way one. 15 The Wine Sis Mark 24 and the way one ready. 11 The COURT: Clear Weedee was one ready. <th></th> <th>Case: 24-1593 Document: 10</th> <th>Page</th> <th>e: 166 Date Filed: 04/01/2024</th>		Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 166 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
2 MR_PARIGKL to redirect. 2 And you then submitted a regort to us March 24 entitled 3 The COURT: 1 thick the context was clear in the question. That's for the answer from the witness. Whether you guns like that answer from the witness. Whether you guns like that answer from the witness. Whether you guns like that answer from the witness. Whether you guns like that answer from the witness. Whether you guns like that answer from the witness. Whether you guns like that answer from the witness. Whether you guns like that answer from the witness. Whether you guns like that that scoret: 3 An 'to be a bask. If 'to our with a from one of formation between that it 'to our question. That's gunt. Whether you guns like that it 'to controit der, whereas 1 saket that it 'to controit der, whereas lask that the Court of our older that it 'to form you. Job thisk that's big difference. 1 The COURT: You 'neglections are all noted. 16 MR_PARIGKL 'thank you. Judge. 16 The COURT: You 'neglections are all noted. 16 MR_PARIGKL 'thank you. Judge. 16 The COURT: You 'neglections are all noted. 17 Is the witheness ready or on the way? 17 The COURT: You 'neglections are all noted. 18 The SPRIDY COURT CLERK: Paryme remains seated. 16 The COURT: You 'neglections are all noted. 18 The COURT: You 'neglections are all noted. 16 The COURT: You 'neglections are all noted. 19 The COURT: You 'neglections are all noted.	1	285	-	287
3 THE COURT: 1 think the context was clear. If 1 3 Supplemental Expert Report, correct? 4 order 81, if's chem. If the county clear axis him to do it, if's county clear, and the county clear axis him to do it. if's county clear, and the county clear axis him to do it. if's county clear, the county clear axis him to do it. if's county clear, the county clear axis him to do it. if's county clear, the county clear axis him to do it. if's county clear, the county clear, whereas Laked if the Count ordered it that it doesn't call for any one. I do the there axis the dotter cores for a county clear, whereas Laked if the Count ordered it that it doesn't call for any one. I do there axis the dotter cores for a county clear, whereas Laked if the Count ordered it that. 10 MR. KOMUVES: The countucles my direct questioning. 14 That it, the county clear, whereas Laked if the Count ordered it that. 10 It is the withese ready or antibate. 11 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Lage. 15 It is the withese ready or antibate. 13 14 Thank you. Remain seated. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. Lage. 16 THE COURT: Clear, the way apparently. 18 <				
4 A That's correct. 5 hell find a way to get it done. 5 7 the answer from the withess. Whether you gays like that 6 9 MR. NUTALE: Can It highlight one difference between 7 10 may control ond yours? 7 11 Your question, lifet, was clearly phrased for one 1 12 control deriv whereas 1 asked the Court order of the Court order of the Court order of the adjust one the way the courted of the adjust one the way the courted of the Court of the way one. 16 16 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 13 15 HR. NATALE: Court of the way one. 16 16 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 16 16 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 16 16 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 16 17 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 16 18 It is the within sead on one. 16 19 Celef pause. 16 20 ANDEREW Your objections are all noted. 17 21 THE COURT: Your objecon all mote objecon may objectio				
 be'll find a way to get it done. call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and correct? call is everything in that report true and				
6 Libin: the context was clear in the question. That's answer from the witness. Whether you guys like that answer or not is up to you. 6 A. Yes 9 MR. NATALE: Can linghight one difference between 11 Your question. This like, was clearly phrased for one 12 MR. NATALE: Can linghight one difference between 13 MR. NOTIVES: That concludes my direct questioning. 9 MR. NATALE: Can linghight one difference between 11 Your question. The guest that it 12 MR. NOTIVES: That concludes my direct questioning. 11 Your question. The guest that it 13 General the Court Great that it 14 The COURT: it appreciate that it 15 It is the court of the stable of difference. 14 THE COURT: Your physical the Court of the stable of a support. 14 The COURT: Clear the stable of a support. 14 The COURT: That's right. Folks, when you're ready. 15 16 THE COURT: CLEAR: Please state your name and 15 It's court of the with as a new of the excad. 14 The COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to 14 16 THE COURT: That's right. Folks, when you're ready. 15 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to 14 16 THE COURT: That's right. Folks right. Whenever you are ready to 14 The spling of a sub at anne for the record. 14 The COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to 15 16 THE COURT: That's right. Mether			-	
7 0. Okay. 8 answer or not is up to you. 9 MR. KATALE: Can Thighlight one difference between 10 my question and yours? 11 Your question, Tell, was dearly phrased for one 12 county clerk, whereas I asked if the Count ordered it that it 13 doesn't call for any one. 1 do with kith's a bill difference. 14 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 15 MR. RAIKE: Thank you, Judge. 16 THE COURT: COURT: Clerk: Keryone remain seated. 17 Is the witness ready or on the way? 18 MR. RAIKE: Thank you, Judge. 19 (kervel paus.) 10 (mere paus.) 11 THE COURT: Normerce with cross-examination when you guys are ready to go. 19 to saw for your start and with sea banks. Dank You, Market Your Honor. 21 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) 22 THE COURT: Clerk: Keryone remain seated. 23 THE COURT: Clerk: Market Your and the saw and you go are ready to go. 24 THE COURT: Clerk: Market Your and Your Answet Answet Your Answet Answet Your Answet Your Answet Your Answet Your Answet You Answet Answet You Answet You Answet Your Answet You Answet You Answet You Answet You Answet You Answet You You Answ				
8 MR. KOMUVES: That conclusions my direct questioning. 9 MR. NATALE: Can I highlight on difference between 9 THE COURT: I appreciate that. 11 Your question, if your, whereas I asked if the Court ordered it that it 10 Listen. Were going to takke a break. I want to take a 12 county direr, whereas I asked if the Court ordered it that it 11 11 The COURT: I appreciate that. 13 desent call for any one. I do think that's a big difference. 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 13 14		•	_	
9 MR, MATALE: Cas I highlight and difference between my question and yours? 9 THE COURT: Lappediate that: Usten: We're going to take a break. I want to take a Usten: We're going to take a break. I want to take a Usten: We're going to take a break. I want to take a Usten: We're going to take a break. We'll get back on the take a break. We'll get back on the 13 14 Thet COURT: Vour's welcour ordered it that it desrift call for any one. I do take take a break. We'll get back on the 14 Thet COURT: All operation take a break. We'll get back on the 15 14 Thet COURT: Vour's welcome. 16 Thet COURT: Vour's welcome. 15 MR, NONUES: On is way, apparently. 16 Thet COURT: All opt. Takes, when you're ready. 19 Ust willcon A proc. 17 Thet COURT: All opt. Takes out a wear on the willcon Appel, As a presenting the Monnouth County 20 (ANDORY WILSON APPEL, MAYING BEEN DULY SWORN/ATTIMMED. 20 MS. DeAMAR: Good evening, You'r Hono. 21 THE COURT: Marking the main a braisa DeAnna. I'm from 23 23 Spiro Harrison & Nealsa. DeAnna. I'm from 23 23 THE COURT: MAINTION BY MR, KOMUVES: 24 Thet WITNESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, AP P-ELL 25 28 24 Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the 25 Spiro Harrison & Nealsa. DeAnna. I'm from 23 28 3 Questions for you ratame than elaciting a full ditect 25 Spiro Ha			8	
11 Your question, J felt, was dearly phrased for one 11 break for my staff, so why don't we just hold off on cross for 12 county clerk, whereas I asked if the Court ordered it that it 14 the count off or any one. I do think that's a big difference. 14 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 14 That count objections are all noted. 15 MR. PARIH: Thank you, Judge. 15 (A short recess occurred.) 16 THE COURT: Your's welcome. 16 THE COURT: All right. Tolls, when you're ready. 18 MR. KOMUVES: On his way, apprentity. 18 let's commence with ross-examination when you guys are ready 19 (frief pause.) 19 to specifie of your last mane for the record. 20 (ANDREW WILSON APPEL, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORNARTERMED.) 19 to go. 21 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) 19 to go. 22 THE DEVITY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and the specifie of www. dravay 22 MS. DeAMNS: By ame is Marisas DeAnae. I'm form 23 Specified for your asther than eliciting a full direct 24 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Datatic Court 24 THE COURT: You may. 26 A ves. 2	9		9	
11 Your question, J felt, was dearly phrased for one 11 break for my staff, so why don't we just hold off on cross for 12 county clerk, whereas I asked if the Court ordered it that it 14 the count off or any one. I do think that's a big difference. 14 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 14 That count objections are all noted. 15 MR. PARIH: Thank you, Judge. 15 (A short recess occurred.) 16 THE COURT: Your's welcome. 16 THE COURT: All right. Tolls, when you're ready. 18 MR. KOMUVES: On his way, apprentity. 18 let's commence with ross-examination when you guys are ready 19 (frief pause.) 19 to specifie of your last mane for the record. 20 (ANDREW WILSON APPEL, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORNARTERMED.) 19 to go. 21 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) 19 to go. 22 THE DEVITY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and the specifie of www. dravay 22 MS. DeAMNS: By ame is Marisas DeAnae. I'm form 23 Specified for your asther than eliciting a full direct 24 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Datatic Court 24 THE COURT: You may. 26 A ves. 2	10	my question and yours?	10	Listen. We're going to take a break. I want to take a
13 dessrt call for any one. I do think that's a big difference. 13 record and continue. 14 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 14 That K Counters are all noted. 15 M.R. KARKH: Thank you, Judge. 15 (A short recess occurred.) 16 THE COURT: Your welcome. 16 THE COURT: All right. Tolks, when you're ready, when you're ready. 19 (Brief pause.) 10 MS. DeAMNS: Good evening, Your Honor. 21 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) 20 MS. DeAMNS: Good evening, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Stander Wilson Appel, AP-P-F-L. 20 MS. DeAMNS: Good evening. 23 THE WITHESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, AP-P-F-L. 20 MS. DeAMNS: Tomok you are ready to United States Deaving Appel, AP-P-F-L. 24 THE WITHESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, AP-P-F-L. 20 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Deaving Appel, AP-P-F-L. 25 United States Deaving Appel. As a result of the deavy 20 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Deaving Appel. 26 Good aftermoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the deavy 20 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Deaving Appel. 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct	11	Your question, I felt, was clearly phrased for one	11	break for my staff, so why don't we just hold off on cross for
14 THE COURT: Your objections are all noted. 14 Thank you. Remain seated. 15 MR. PARINE: Thank you, Judge. 15 (A short recess occurred.) 16 THE COURT: Your welcome. 16 THE COURT: All right. Folks, when your you's ready. 18 MR. KOMUVES: On his way, apparently. 18 let's commence with cross-examination when you guys are ready. 19 UGHT Pause. 20 MS. DeANNS: Good evening. 20 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and 17 THE COURT: That's right. whenever you are ready to go. 21 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and 23 Spiro Hairrison & Melson, presenting the Momouth Courty 24 THE WITNESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, A-P.P-E-L. 24 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Distric Court 25 Junied of New Jensey 286 1 proceed, feal free. 2 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your 3 Questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 4 Good evening, Orn Mr. Ryan Maclas? 3 A correct? 5 A. Correct? 6 A. Yees. 3	12	county clerk, whereas I asked if the Court ordered it that it	12	ten minutes. Everyone take a break. We'll get back on the
15 MR. PARKH: Thank you, Judge. 15 (A short recess occurred.) 16 THE COURT: You're welcome. 16 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Everyone remain seated. 17 Is the witness ready or on the way? 17 THE COURT: All right. Folks, when you're ready, 18 MR. KOMUVES: On his way, apparently. 18 He's commence with cross-examination when you guys are ready 19 (Brief pause.) 0 MS. DeANNA: My name is Marissa DeAnna. The form 20 (ANDREW WILSON APPEL, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMD, 20 MS. DEANNA: My name is Marissa DeAnna. The form 21 THE COUNT: COURT CLERK: Please state your name and it the specified you is at name for the recod. 21 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Diabric Court Diabrict O New Nersey 24 24 THE WITHESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, AP-P-E-L. 28 24 Clerk: 24 25 Outried States Diabric Court Diabrict O New Nersey 28 7 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Diabric Court Diabrict O New Nersey 28 1 2 Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the surprise. 5 No No 28 3 questions for you rafter than eliciting a full direct 2 <td< th=""><th>13</th><th>doesn't call for any one. I do think that's a big difference.</th><th>13</th><th>record and continue.</th></td<>	13	doesn't call for any one. I do think that's a big difference.	13	record and continue.
16 THE COURT: You're welcome. 16 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Everyone remain seated. 17 Is the winness ready or on the way. 17 THE COURT: All right. Folks, when you're ready. 18 MR. KOMUVES: On his way. apparently. 18 Iet's commence with cross-examination when you guys are ready. 19 (Brief pause). 20 (ANDREW WILSON APPEL, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, 20 MS. DEANNA: My name is Marisse DeAnna. I'm from 22 THE DEVITY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and 23 Spiro Harrison & Nelson, representing the Monmouth County 24 THE WITNESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, A-P-P-E-L. 26 THE COURT: The's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Dekrat Court 26 Questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 26 1 proceed, feel free. 2 MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 (GROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. DEANNA:) 3 (GROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. DEANNA:) 4 examination from you. 3 full bit of a surprise. 6 A. Yos. 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 4 0. Good evening. 7 0. And you beard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 3 A. Corroct. 1 N. Yos. <th>14</th> <th>THE COURT: Your objections are all noted.</th> <th>14</th> <th>Thank you. Remain seated.</th>	14	THE COURT: Your objections are all noted.	14	Thank you. Remain seated.
17 Is the witness ready or on the way? 17 THE COURT: All right: Folks, when you're ready, 18 MR. KOMUVES: On his way, apparently, 18 let's commence with cross-examination when you guys are ready 20 (ANDREW WI ISON APPEL, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, 19 te's commence with cross-examination when you're ready, 21 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) 11 THE COURT: COURT CLERK: Please state your name and 23 the spelling of your last name for the record, 21 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to 24 THE WITNESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, A-P-P-E-L 266 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to 25 United States Distric Court District of New Jarsay 266 26 1 proceed, feel free. 2 3 aguestions for your rabite the of a surgrise, 7 Curriculum vilae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished 3 4 A correct. 3 A. Correct. 3 A. How, You beard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 5 A. Correct. 3 A. How, You approach, Your Honor? 4 C. Good evening, Cruites of his 5 13 A. Correct. 3 A. How, State State State	15	MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge.	15	(A short recess occurred.)
18 MR. KOMUVES: On his way, apparently. (Brief pause.) 18 let's commence with cross-examination when you guys are ready 19 19 (CANDEW WILSON APPEL, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, 20 MS. DeAMAS. 'Good evening, 21 THE OFUNT COURT CLERK: Please state your name and 22 MS. DeAMAS. 'Good evening, 22 THE OFUNT: Good	16	THE COURT: You're welcome.	16	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Everyone remain seated.
19 (Brief pause.) 19 to go. 20 (ANDREW WILSON APPEL, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, 20 MS. DeANNA: Good evening. Your Honor, 21 TESTFIED AS FOLLOWS.) 21 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and 23 the spalling of your last name for the record. 24 THE UTINESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, AP-PE-L. 20 MS. DeANNA: My name is Marissa DeAnna. I'm from 25 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) Unlet States Dataint Court 286 26 1 Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the 286 1 2 stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of 38. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 4 codod vening, Dr. Appel. As a result of the sorpy of war 4 CCOS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) 4 a link to us, correct. 6 A. Yos. 6 A. Yos. 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes? 12 MR.	17	Is the witness ready or on the way?	17	THE COURT: All right. Folks, when you're ready,
 20 (ANDREW WILSON APPEL, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFTIRMED, 17 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) 18 THE DEPLITY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and 18 the spelling of your last name for the record. 19 THE WITNESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, A-P-P-EL. 20 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) 20 United States Diskric Court 20 District of New Jersey 20 0 Court out mather than eliciting a full direct 20 0 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Hone? 11 THE COURT: You may. 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Hone? 11 THE COURT: You may. 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 13 Q. Is that it? 14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 15 A. A Correct? 16 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 21 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 24 A. Yes. 24 Clerk 25 Court out and that is complete and accurate, correct? 26 A. Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 29 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 21 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 22 A. No. 23 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 24 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 24 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 25 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 26 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 26 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 26 A. Yes, which which record: 27 A. No. 28 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 28 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which country uses 29 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 A. No this matter? 20 A. No this matte	18	MR. KOMUVES: On his way, apparently.	18	let's commence with cross-examination when you guys are ready
21 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) 21 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and the spelling of your last name for the record. 22 MS, DeANNA: My name is Marissa DeAnna. I'm from 23 23 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and the spelling of your last name for the record. 22 MS, DeANNA: My name is Marissa DeAnna. I'm from 23 24 THE UTINESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, AP-P-EL. 26 Clerk 25 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) United States District Court District of New Jensey 266 1 Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 2 MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 2 MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 4 examination from you. 2 MS DeANNA: Thank you. 3 Courtrow earlier today. 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 6 A. Yes. 6 A. Yes. 10 MR. KoMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 A. Net Stop 20 minutes of his 15 or 20 minutes of his 15 a lithink he was stastor 20 minutes of 11	19	(Brief pause.)	19	to go.
22 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and 22 MS. DEANNA: My name is Marissa DeAnna. I'm from 23 THE WITNESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, A-P-P-E-L. 23 Spiro Harrison & Nelson, representing the Monmouth County 24 THE WITNESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, A-P-P-E-L. 23 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Distric Court 25 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR, KOMUVES:) 266 THE COURT: That's right. Whenever you are ready to United States Distric Court 26 0. Good aftermoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. 266 1 proceed, feel free. 2 3 Guestions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. 3 Correct. 4 0. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courricology. 6 A. Yes. 7 Curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, crist least furnished a with a copy ery our 7 0. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 8 1 I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 8 A. Correct. 10 A. Yes. 11 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. You		(ANDREW WILSON APPEL, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED,		MS. DEANNA. Good evening, Your Honor.
 23 the spelling of your last name for the record. 24 THE WITNESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, A-P-P-E-L. 25 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) 26 UIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES: 27 UINED States District Court 28 UINED States District of New Jersey 28 Cood afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the 29 stipulations of counsel, Tm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. 3 correct. a link to us, correct? A. Correct. 3 A. Correct. 4 A. Yes. (MUVES): May I approach, Your Honor? 14 A. Yes. (MUVES): 4 A. Yes. 5 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes? 14 A. Yes. 15 A. I think New as 15 to 20 minutes? 16 A. Yes. 17 C. And you beard one of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Yoting 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 21 A. Yes. 22 D by our call that? 23 A. Yes. except for one or two issues about which courty uses 24 A. Yes. 25 A. The matter		TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:)		<u> </u>
24 THE WITNESS: Andrew Wilson Appel, A-P-P-E-L. 24 Clerk 25 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR, KOMUVES:) United States District Court District of New Jersey 286 1 0. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of coursel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 286 1 proceed, feel free. 287 2 a cool of them Jersey 286 1 proceed, feel free. 3 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) 4 examination from you. 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 6 A Ves. 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy el your 7 Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Maclas? 8 a link to us, correct? 8 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 9 9 YMR. KOMUVES: 10 N Subelive it was 15 to 20 minutes? 11 THE COURT: You may. 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 17 17 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 A. I think he w				
25 (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:) United States District Court District of New Jensey 286 1 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. 286 1 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. 286 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. Good evening, Dr. Appel. 1 understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your Good evening, Dr. Appel. 1 understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. 6 First of all, you furnished a link to us, correct? A. Yes. 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? G. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 11 A. Correct. 9 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 11 13 Q. Is that it? 13 14 A Yes. 12 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 14 A Yes. 13 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 16 A. Yes. <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				
United States District Court United States District Court District of New Jersey 286 1 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 286 2 MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 4 4 examination from you. 3 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 6 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your 6 7 curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished 7 8 a link to us, correct. 7 10 MR. KOMUVES: 1 a link to us, correct. 11 THE COURT: You may. 11 A. I conne into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 13 0 O Kay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15				\neg
District of New Jersey District of New Jersey 286 1 0. Good aftermoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 1 proceed, feel free. 2 MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) 4 examination from you. 3 (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) 4 Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroum earlier today. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 8 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 9 8 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 9 10 Y You beard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 17 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 17 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 17 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 18 11 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 16 16 A. Yes. 11 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 16 16 A. Yes. 12 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 </th <th>25</th> <th></th> <th>25</th> <th></th>	25		25	
1 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the 286 1 proceed, feel free. 288 1 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the 1 proceed, feel free. 2 M. S. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 Geode afternoon, Dr. Appel. J understand you were in the 5 COSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) 4 Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. J understand you were in the 5 courtroom earlier today. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 8 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 9 3 A. Correct. 9 A. Correct. 10 M. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 1 A. I came into the courtor om at about 2:30 after driving 1 A. I came into the courtor om at about 2:30 after driving 1 A. I came into the courtor om at about 2:30 after driving 1 A. I came into the courtor om at about 2:30 after driving 1 A. I came into the courtor om at about 2:30 after driving 1 A. I came into the courtor om at about 2:30 after driving 1 A. I came into the courtor om at about 2:30 after driving 1 A. I came into the courtor om at about 2:30 after driving 1 A. I came into the courtor om at about 2:30 after driving 1 A.			6	
1 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the 1 proceed, feel free. 2 MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 3 4 examination from you. 3 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 6 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy er your 6 7 curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished 7 8 a link to us, correct. 6 9 A. Correct. 7 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 11 THE COURT: You may. 11 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 13 Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the 13 Q. Is that it? 10 Q. You beleve it was 15 to 20 minutes? 11 THE COURT: You may. 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 14 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes. 14 those 15 to 20 minutes? 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 A. I think he			e	
2 stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 2 MS. DeANNA: Thank you. 3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 3 (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DEANNA:) 4 examination from you. 3 (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DEANNA:) 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your 6 A. Yes. 7 curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? 6 A. Yes. 9 A. Correct. 0 And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 8 a. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 9 11 THE COURT: You may. 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes. 11 A. Term Harrisburg. 13 A. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 15 A. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 A. I think he was talking ab				
3 questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct 3 (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) 4 examination from you. 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 6 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy or your 6 A. Yes. 7 curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished 7 Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 8 a link to us, correct? 9 A. Correct. 7 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 11 THE COURT: You may. 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 12 from Harrisburg. 13 Q. Is that it? 14 A. Yes. 14 A. Yes. 15 0. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes. 16 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 A. Yes. 16 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And you heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled	1		1	
4 examination from you. 4 Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the 5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your 6 A. Yes. 7 curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished 7 Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 8 a link to us, correct? 8 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 9 A. Correct. 9 testimony. 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 11 THE COURT: You may. 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 12 from Harrisburg. 13 Q. Is that it? 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes. 15 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 A. Yes. 16 programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. 17 Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 18 that accurate?	_	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the		proceed, feel free.
5 So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 5 courtroom earlier today. 6 First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your 6 A. Yes. 7 curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished 7 Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 8 a link to us, correct? 8 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 9 A. Correct. 9 testimony. 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 11 THE COURT: You may. 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 13 Q. Is that it? 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes. 13 Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 13 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 A. Yes. 16 programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 18<	2	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of	2	proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you.
7 curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished 7 Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? 8 a link to us, correct? 8 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his 9 A. Correct. 9 testimony. 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 11 THE COURT: You may. 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 12 from Harrisburg. 13 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 14 A. Yes. 14 A. Yes. 13 Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 14 those 15 to 20 minutes? 15 A. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 16 programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. 17 Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 17 Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 </th <th>23</th> <th>Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct</th> <th>2 3</th> <th>proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:)</th>	23	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct	2 3	proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:)
8 a link to us, correct? 8 A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. 9 A. Correct. 9 testimony. 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 11 THE COURT: You may. 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 11 A. I think H was being discussed during 14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 14 those 15 to 20 minutes? 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. 17 Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And wou also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And were you aware that	2 3 4	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you.	2 3 4	proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the
9 A. Correct. 9 testimony. 10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 11 THE COURT: You may. 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 12 from Harrisburg. 13 Q. Is that it? 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 14 those 15 to 20 minutes? 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. 17 Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 18 that accurate? 19 Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 A. Yes. 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 22 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses 23 Q. Okay. An	2 3 4 5	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. 	2 3 4 5	proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today.
10 MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? 11 THE COURT: You may. 11 A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving 12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 12 from Harrisburg. 13 Q. Is that it? 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 14 those 15 to 20 minutes? 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 A. Yes. 16 programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. 17 Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 17 Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 18 that accurate? 19 Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 A. Yes. 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 A. Yes. 21 in this matter? 22	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your 	2 3 4 5 6	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes.
11THE COURT: You may.11A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving12BY MR. KOMUVES:12from Harrisburg.13Q. Is that it?13Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during14A. Yes, this is my CV.14those 15 to 20 minutes?15Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct?15A. I think he was talking about order of operations in16A. Yes.15A. I think he was talking about order of operations in17Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report17Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is18January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting18that accurate?19Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots.19A. Yes.20Do you recall that?20Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order21A. Yes.20Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with24exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my23Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with24exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my25A. The matter of sequestration or theUnited States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias?
12 BY MR. KOMUVES: 12 from Harrisburg. 13 Q. Is that it? 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 14 those 15 to 20 minutes? 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 A. Yes. 16 programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. 17 Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 17 Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 18 that accurate? 19 Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 A. Yes. 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 23 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses 23 Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with 24 exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my 24 plaintiffs' attorneys? 25 25 supplemental report. United	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his
13 Q. Is that it? 13 Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during 14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 14 those 15 to 20 minutes? 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 A. Yes. 16 programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. 17 Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 17 Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 18 that accurate? 19 Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 A. Yes. 21 in this matter? 22 Q. Is everything in that report true and correct? 22 A. No. 23 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses 23 Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with 24 exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my 25 A. The matter of sequestration or the United States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy or your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony.
14 A. Yes, this is my CV. 14 those 15 to 20 minutes? 15 Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 15 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 16 A. Yes. 15 A. I think he was talking about order of operations in 17 Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 17 Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 18 that accurate? 19 Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 A. Yes. 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 A. Yes. 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 A. Yes. 22 A. No. 23 23 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses 23 Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with 24 exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my 25 A. The matter of sequestration or the United States District Court <th>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</th> <th> Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? </th> <th>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</th> <th> proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? </th>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes?
15Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct?15A.I think he was talking about order of operations in16A. Yes.16programming voting machines with candidates and the layout.17Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report17Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is18January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting18that accurate?19Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots.19A. Yes.20Do you recall that?20Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order21A. Yes.20Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order21A. Yes.20Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with24exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my23Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with25supplemental report.25A. The matter of sequestration or theUnited States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving
16A. Yes.16programming voting machines with candidates and the layout.17Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report17Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is18January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting18that accurate?19Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots.19A. Yes.20Do you recall that?20Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order21A. Yes.20Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order21A. Yes.22A. No.23A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses23Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with24exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my24plaintiffs' attorneys?25supplemental report.25A. The matter of sequestration or the United States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg.
 17 Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 19 Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Is everything in that report true and correct? 23 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses 24 exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my 25 supplemental report. United States District Court 17 Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is 18 that accurate? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 in this matter? 22 A. No. 23 Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with 24 plaintiffs' attorneys? 25 A. The matter of sequestration or the United States District Court 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes?
 18 January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 19 Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. 19 A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Is everything in that report true and correct? 23 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses 24 exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my 25 supplemental report. United States District Court 18 that accurate? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order 21 in this matter? 22 A. No. 23 Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with 24 plaintiffs' attorneys? 25 A. The matter of sequestration or the United States District Court 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in
19Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots.19A. Yes.20Do you recall that?20Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order21A. Yes.20Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order21A. Yes.21in this matter?22Q. Is everything in that report true and correct?22A. No.23A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses23Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with24exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my23Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with25supplemental report.25A. The matter of sequestration or theUnited States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout.
20Do you recall that?20Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order21A. Yes.21in this matter?22Q. Is everything in that report true and correct?22A. No.23A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses23Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with24exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my24Jaintiffs' attorneys?25supplemental report.25A. The matter of sequestration or theUnited States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is
21A. Yes.21in this matter?22Q. Is everything in that report true and correct?22A. No.23A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses23Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with24exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my23Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with25supplemental report.25A. The matter of sequestration or theUnited States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is that accurate?
22Q. Is everything in that report true and correct?22A. No.23A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses23Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with24exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my24plaintiffs' attorneys?25supplemental report.25A. The matter of sequestration or theUnited States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is that accurate? A. Yes.
 23 A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses 24 exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my 25 supplemental report. United States District Court 23 Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with plaintiffs' attorneys? 25 A. The matter of sequestration or the United States District Court 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. Do you recall that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order
24 exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my 25 supplemental report. United States District Court 24 plaintiffs' attorneys? 25 A. The matter of sequestration or the United States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. Do you recall that? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order in this matter?
25 supplemental report. United States District Court 25 A. The matter of sequestration or the United States District Court United States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Is everything in that report true and correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order in this matter? A. No.
United States District Court United States District Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Is everything in that report true and correct? A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DEANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order in this matter? A. No. Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Is everything in that report true and correct? A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DEANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order in this matter? A. No. Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with plaintiffs' attorneys?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Appel. As a result of the stipulations of counsel, I'm just going to have a couple of questions for you rather than eliciting a full direct examination from you. So sorry if this is a little bit of a surprise. First of all, you furnished us with a copy of your curriculum vitae dated March 17th, 2024, or at least furnished a link to us, correct? A. Correct. MR. KOMUVES: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. KOMUVES: Q. Is that it? A. Yes, this is my CV. Q. And that is complete and accurate, correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. Second, Dr. Appel, you prepared a report January 24, 2024, entitled Capability of New Jersey Voting Equipment to Handle Office-Block Ballots. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Is everything in that report true and correct? A. Yes, except for one or two issues about which county uses exactly which voting machine, which I corrected in my supplemental report. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 proceed, feel free. MS. DeANNA: Thank you. (CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DeANNA:) Q. Good evening, Dr. Appel. I understand you were in the courtroom earlier today. A. Yes. Q. And you heard some testimony from Mr. Ryan Macias? A. I think I may have heard the last 15 or 20 minutes of his testimony. Q. You believe it was 15 to 20 minutes? A. I came into the courtroom at about 2:30 after driving from Harrisburg. Q. Okay. And do you recall what was being discussed during those 15 to 20 minutes? A. I think he was talking about order of operations in programming voting machines with candidates and the layout. Q. And you also heard some of Mr. Kim's direct testimony; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And were you aware that there was a sequestration order in this matter? A. No. Q. Okay. And when did you first discuss this matter with plaintiffs' attorneys? A. The matter of sequestration or the

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 167 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	289		291
1	Q. Sorry. This matter generally, this case.	1	MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, that's P-10 was marked by
2	A. In December, Mr. Komuves asked me if I could write a	2	Mr. Komuves, and he introduced it to this witness
3	report about the capabilities of voting machines used in	3	THE COURT: All right. Let's go. P-10.
4	New Jersey.	4	MS. DeANNA: Okay.
5	Q. And did you ever speak to any of the plaintiffs?	5	THE COURT: You guys are running out of time. I'm
6	A. No.	6	telling you.
7	Q. Okay. And did you ever speak to any of the plaintiffs'	7	BY MS. DeANNA:
8	campaign managers?	8	Q. Do you see the paragraph that's headed office-block
9	A. I was not aware of who the plaintiffs were until after my	9	versus row-and-column ballot?
10	expert report was submitted and the case was filed.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. You filed two reports that's correct? an	11	Q. And your first sentence is "For an election in which
12	initial report and a supplemental report?	12	there are several separate contests, such as governor,
13	A. That's right.	13	senator, legislator, mayor, et cetera, each with several
14	Q. And in your initial report, you had mentioned that you	14	candidates, all to be displayed on the same sheet of paper or
15	were discussing elections where there are several separate	15	voting machine screen, there are different ways that the
16	contests with several candidates; is that right?	16	ballot can be laid out."
17	A. I can't recall exactly, but in referring to office-block	17	A. Yes.
18	ballots, it's often the case there are several separate	18	Q. And so would it fair to say that you were opining about
19	contests.	19	contests in which I'm sorry.
20	Q. And were you aware that in the the case at hand was a	20	Let me rephrase: Would it be fair to say that you were
21	case about a primary election?	21	opining about races in which there are several contests with
22	A. I don't recall. As I said, I when I wrote the initial	22	several candidates?
23	report filed January 24th, I was not aware of who the	23	A. In this paragraph, yes.
24	plaintiff might be or that there was even a case.	24	Q. Okay. And in your initial report you opined that sources
25	Q . Okay.	25	suggest that ExpressVote XL machines can accommodate an
	United States District Court	6	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	\sim	District of New Jersey
			292
1	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with	1	office-block ballot; is that correct?
2	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report?	1 2 3	office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes.
23	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may.	1 2 3	office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't
2 3 4	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If	3 4	office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's
2 3 4 5	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet.	3 4 5	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey?
2 3 4 5 6	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet	3 4 5 6	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft?	3 4 5 6 7	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked	3 4 5 6 7 8	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. I don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. I don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report. MR. PUGACH: P-10.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL can support an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report. MR. PUGACH: P-10. THE COURT: So it's already in, P-10.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL can support an office-block ballot; is that accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report. MR. PUGACH: P-10. THE COURT: So it's already in, P-10. MR. KOMUVES: There's two reports, January 24 and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL can support an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. That's accurate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report. MR. PUGACH: P-10. THE COURT: So it's already in, P-10. MR. KOMUVES: There's two reports, January 24 and then	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL can support an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. That's accurate. Q. But the ES&S brochure does not say that it can support an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorty. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. 1 don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report. MR. PUGACH: P-10. THE COURT: So it's already in, P-10. MR. KOMUVES: There's two reports, January 24 and then THE COURT: Which one is this one?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL can support an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. That's accurate. Q. But the ES&S brochure does not say that it can support an office-block ballot; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report. MR. PUGACH: P-10. THE COURT: So it's already in, P-10. MR. KOMUVES: There's two reports, January 24 and then THE COURT: Which one is this one? MS. DeANNA: This is the January 24th.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL can support an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. That's accurate. Q. But the ES&S brochure does not say that it can support an office-block ballot; is that correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report. MR. PUGACH: P-10. THE COURT: So it's already in, P-10. MR. KOMUVES: There's two reports, January 24 and then THE COURT: Which one is this one? MS. DeANNA: This is the January 24th. THE COURT: So what's that number, folks?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL can support an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. That's accurate. Q. But the ES&S brochure does not say that it can support an office-block ballot; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you stated also in your report that the ES&S brochure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. 1 don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report. MR. PUGACH: P-10. THE COURT: So it's already in, P-10. MR. KOMUVES: There's two reports, January 24 and then THE COURT: Which one is this one? MS. DeANNA: This is the January 24th. THE COURT: So what's that number, folks? MR. KOMUVES: P-10.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL can support an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. That's accurate. Q. But the ES&S brochure does not say that it can support an office-block ballot; is that correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. DeANNA: Your Honor, if I may approach with ECF Exhibit ECF 5, the initial report? THE COURT: You may. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, is this I'm sorry. If this is the Appel cert, I'm not sure if it's marked yet. MS. DeANNA: Oh, I'm sorry? Was it not marked yet when we did the draft? MR. PUGACH: That's my bad. i don't think I marked it. THE COURT: All right. So what are we going to call it? MR. PUGACH: P-11 it would be, then. THE COURT: So P-11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 in evidence.) MR. PUGACH: Apologies, Your Honor, my mistake. It's P-10. THE COURT: P-10 is the report. MR. PUGACH: P-10. THE COURT: So it's already in, P-10. MR. KOMUVES: There's two reports, January 24 and then THE COURT: Which one is this one? MS. DeANNA: This is the January 24th. THE COURT: So what's that number, folks?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 office-block ballot; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. As part of your engagement in this case, you didn't physically examine an ExpressVote XL voting machine that's used in New Jersey? A. No, not as part of my work in this case. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the ExpressVote XL voting machine in relation to this matter? A. No. Q. You didn't speak to any superintendent of elections that has experience with the ExpressVote XL voting machines? A. No. Q. And you admit in your initial report that no state currently uses the ExpressVote XL voting machine with an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. I believe that's what I said in my initial report. Q. In your initial report, you made the claim that ES&S's brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL can support an office-block ballot; is that accurate? A. That's accurate. Q. But the ES&S brochure does not say that it can support an office-block ballot; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you stated also in your report that the ES&S brochure suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can support an suggests that the ExpressVote XL machine can suppo

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 168 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	293 office-block ballot because it says that the ExpressVote XL	1	Q. And that was the school board election?
2	machine supports many layout options, including grid style for	2	 A. Right. In addition to the other block that was a grid
3	party voting and rows or columns.	3	style.
4	Wouldn't grid style for party voting or rows or columns	4	Q. I'm sorry. I just didn't hear.
5	correspond more with the bracket ballot style than	5	A. There were two blocks on that ballot. One was a grid
6	office-block style?	6	style, which had multiple offices in the rows and columns
7	A. I think it's possible to do grid styles so that they're	7	format, and the other was a school board, which was a single
8	not bracket style, but, in general, the grid style is the one	8	office block.
9	that I understand supports in this case the notion of the	9	Q. Okay. And, again, in your initial report, you said you
10	county-line, and the office-block does not.	10	had never heard of an office I'm sorry ExpressVote XL
11	Q. Okay. And you also state in your initial report that,	11	machine doing an office-block ballot; is that correct?
12	because the state of Washington approved the $\ensuremath{ExpressVote}\xspace$ XL	12	A. I was unaware at that time of that evidence, that
13	and also uses office-block ballots, that this suggests that	13	ExpressVote XL can support multiple blocks, some of which are
14	ExpressVote XL can accommodate an office-block ballot; is that	14	office blocks, some of which are rows and columns in the grid
15	accurate?	15	style.
16	A. I believe that's accurate, yes.	16	And then in between the time of filing my initial
17	Q. You're not aware, though, of ExpressVote XL ever being	17	report and filing my supplemental report, I came across this
18	used in Washington for an office-block ballot; is that	18	single ballot that indicates this capability of the
19	accurate?	19	ExpressVote XL.
20	A. That's accurate.	20	Q. And would you consider yourself to have expertise in the
21	Q. And then you also filed a supplemental report in this	21	area of voting machines?
22	matter; is that correct?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. But you did not know that ExpressVote XL supposedly could
24	Q. And that was March 12th of 2024?	24	be used to do an office-block ballot?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. I didn't have hard evidence of it at that time; that's
	United States District Court	6	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey 294	Θ	District of New Jersey 296
1	Q. And then suddenly in that report, you say that	1	right.
2	ExpressVote XL was used in Atlantic County for an office-block	2	MS. BROMBERG: Objection, Your Honor, with respect to
3	ballot; is that true?	3	the definition of "office-block ballot" on the ExpressVote XL.
4	A. In Atlantic County, it's a mixed ballot. Part of it is a	4	Are we talking about the office-block layout of a grid
5	grid style with rows and columns, and part of it is a separate	5	template, or are we talking about specifically just an
6	contest that's more in the nature of an office block.	6	office-block ballot?
7	Q. And the one that was in the nature I'm going to use	7	THE COURT: I mean, he already answered the question.
8	your words in the nature of an office-block, that was a	8	If you're going to object, you have to object before he
9	school board election; was it not?	9	speaks, right? So I would reserve that objection for the next
10	A. I believe it was, yes.	10	time she might ask that question, but for now, it's already in
11	Q. And it was one contest, correct?	11	evidence.
12	A. It was one contest.	12	You're not going to revisit.
13	Q. It was not multiple contests?	13	Counsel, you may proceed.
14	A. In an office-block ballot, you would not put multiple	14	Do we have a lot left here?
15	contests into the same block. The whole point of an	15	MS. DeANNA: There's not too much.
16	office-block ballot is that each office is contested in a	16	THE COURT: All right.
17	separate block. That's called an office-block, and you have	17	MS. DeANNA: It's not terrible.
18	multiple office blocks on the ballot.	18	THE COURT: That's good.
19 20	So it would be essentially impossible to have an	19 20	BY MS. DeANNA:
20 21	office-block that's a single block with more than one contest	20 21	Q. And you also claim in your initial report that, to the
21	in it. Q. Well, let me ask a little bit of a different question.	21	extent that ES&S voting equipment supports office-block ballots, the corresponding election management system already
22	There was only one contest on that ballot that you	22	supplied by the vendor to New Jersey counties will also
23	claim was an office-block style, correct?	23	support office-block ballots. Is that correct?
25	A. That's correct.	25	A. Correct.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 169 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	297		299
1	Q. You didn't physically examine the ES&S software, did you?	1	A. No, I did not.
2	A. No. But I know that ES&S submits software for	2	Q. And if an office-block layout for all contests was
3	certification to states such as New Jersey after submitting it	3	possible with ES&S software, you can't opine as to how many
4	to the Election Assistance Commission for a certification.	4	pages that ballot might be, correct?
5	And although New Jersey does not necessarily require EAC	5	A. When you fit the layout you have to fit the candidate
6	certification before deciding to certify election equipment	6	on in the layout on the screen of the machine. And so you
7	for use in New Jersey, the fact that ES&S has submitted this	7	fit, in any state, as many candidates and as many contests
8	to a national agency, the EAC, and that many states rely on	8	as fit on one page, you fit on one page. And if there's more
9	this certification for the election management software that	9	than fit on one page (sic), then you go to the second page.
10	is used in many states this software would be unacceptable	10 11	In general, New Jersey does not have that many offices
12	for use in most states if it could not support an office-block.	12	contested in any given election. So it's usually possible to fit on one page.
13	Q. So, again, in your initial report you were not aware of	13	Q. You've never seen that with an ExpressVote XL machine in
14	anywhere that used ExpressVote XL to do an office-block	14	New Jersey, correct?
15	ballot; is that true?	15	A. I have not.
16	MS. BROMBERG: Objection, Your Honor. Again, this is	16	Q. Or in any other state, correct?
17	a question with regard to how we are defining an office-block	17	A. ExpressVote XL in that way, no.
18	ballot. Are we defining the layout, an	18	Q. Okay. And you also opine as to the Sequoia AVC Advantage
19	office-block-like-layout ballot design, or are we talking	19	equipment's capabilities; is that accurate?
20	about an off-of-the-grid template, or are we talking about a	20	A. Yes.
21	pure office-block template?	21	Q. In your initial report, you opine that you know of no
22	THE COURT: All right. Doctor, when you're	22	reason why Sequoia AVC Advantage could not support an
23	questioned and counsel refers to an "office-block ballot,"	23	office-blcck ballot layout. Accurate?
24	what does that mean to you?	24	A. Right.
25	THE WITNESS: An office-block ballot is one where	25	Q: And then your supplemental report, you point to a Bass
	United States District Court	<	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	d G	District of New Jersey
	298		300
1	each office, each contest, is in a separate block with the	1	River Township ballot; is that correct?
2	candidates ordered in that block and perhaps in a different	2	A. If I recall correctly, it may have been.
3	block, the candidates who are not for example, the primary	3	Q . And, again, this was one contest that had the
4	election, the candidates could be ordered not necessarily in	4	office-block layout; is that correct?
5	the same party order that each contest lists the candidates	5	A. The point of that contest was that the choices you make
6	for that contest only.	6	in voting don't have to be all in the same column, that
7	And on a separate portion of the ballot, whether it's a	7	there's a grid of multiple rows and columns. In this case,
8	paper ballot or on the screen, there is another set of	8	the grid does not have significance of attaching candidates to
9	candidates for that office. And on a separate part of the	9	each other, so it's really just a rectangle in which there's,
10	ballot, there's another block with the candidates for that	10 11	you know, two-by-four or whatever the number is of possible
11 12	office. And then there's no row across or column down that links all the different candidates for different offices. You	12	candidates to vote for, and the voter's allowed to vote for
13	know, just by the layout of the ballot, it's clear that you're	12	any two, if I recall correctly, of those candidates regardless of what row they're in and what column they're in.
	know, just by the layout of the ballot, it's clear that you're	14	or what row they re in and what column they re in.
14	making a different choice in each different office	· • •	So in that case, it behaves more like an office-block
14	making a different choice in each different office. That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's		So in that case, it behaves more like an office-block that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a
15	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's	15	that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a
	-		that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout.
15 16	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's the most common kind of ballot used in the United States.	15 16	that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout.
15 16 17	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's the most common kind of ballot used in the United States. BY MS. DeANNA:	15 16 17	that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout. Q. And it was not multiple contests that that was used for,
15 16 17 18	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's the most common kind of ballot used in the United States. BY MS. DeANNA: Q. And in New Jersey, have you ever seen an ExpressVote XL	15 16 17 18	that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout. Q. And it was not multiple contests that that was used for, correct?
15 16 17 18 19	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's the most common kind of ballot used in the United States. BY MS. DeANNA: Q. And in New Jersey, have you ever seen an ExpressVote XL ballot that had an office-block layout for every contest?	15 16 17 18 19	 that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout. Q. And it was not multiple contests that that was used for, correct? A. That's correct. But it indicates that the voting machine
15 16 17 18 19 20	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's the most common kind of ballot used in the United States. BY MS. DEANNA: Q. And in New Jersey, have you ever seen an ExpressVote XL ballot that had an office-block layout for every contest? A. No, not for every contest, just for some contests.	15 16 17 18 19 20	 that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout. Q. And it was not multiple contests that that was used for, correct? A. That's correct. But it indicates that the voting machine is not restricted in its programming to insist that all the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's the most common kind of ballot used in the United States. BY MS. DeANNA: Q. And in New Jersey, have you ever seen an ExpressVote XL ballot that had an office-block layout for every contest? A. No, not for every contest, just for some contests. Q. Okay. And you've never personally used the ES&S	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout. Q. And it was not multiple contests that that was used for, correct? A. That's correct. But it indicates that the voting machine is not restricted in its programming to insist that all the candidates for a single office be lined up in a particular
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's the most common kind of ballot used in the United States. BY MS. DeANNA: Q. And in New Jersey, have you ever seen an ExpressVote XL ballot that had an office-block layout for every contest? A. No, not for every contest, just for some contests. Q. Okay. And you've never personally used the ES&S software, have you?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout. Q. And it was not multiple contests that that was used for, correct? A. That's correct. But it indicates that the voting machine is not restricted in its programming to insist that all the candidates for a single office be lined up in a particular way, that there's flexibility in programming that machine to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's the most common kind of ballot used in the United States. BY MS. DeANNA: Q. And in New Jersey, have you ever seen an ExpressVote XL ballot that had an office-block layout for every contest? A. No, not for every contest, just for some contests. Q. Okay. And you've never personally used the ES&S software, have you? A. No.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout. Q. And it was not multiple contests that that was used for, correct? A. That's correct. But it indicates that the voting machine is not restricted in its programming to insist that all the candidates for a single office be lined up in a particular way, that there's flexibility in programming that machine to more layouts than have been traditionally used in New Jersey.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	That's what I mean by an office-block ballot. That's the most common kind of ballot used in the United States. BY MS. DeANNA: Q. And in New Jersey, have you ever seen an ExpressVote XL ballot that had an office-block layout for every contest? A. No, not for every contest, just for some contests. Q. Okay. And you've never personally used the ES&S software, have you? A. No. Q. And you didn't speak to anyone at ES&S about the software	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that happens to be laid out in two columns than, like, a county-line or grid ballot layout. Q. And it was not multiple contests that that was used for, correct? A. That's correct. But it indicates that the voting machine is not restricted in its programming to insist that all the candidates for a single office be lined up in a particular way, that there's flexibility in programming that machine to more layouts than have been traditionally used in New Jersey. Q. Well, I mean, did you ever physically examine a Sequoia

		Case: 24-1593	Document: 10 F	Page	:: 17	<u>'0</u>	Date Filed: 04/01/2024	
4	h - U		301					
1		ot formats it can support?		1			e capability of the ExpressVote to flexibly program	
2	Α.	Not for that purpose.		2			locks, some of which are office-blocks and some of	
3 ⊿	Q.	And in relation to your providing you		3		ch are	•	
4		ter, you did not speak to anyone at Sequ	oia; is that	4	Q.		e answer to my question would be no, then, right?	
5		irate?		5	Α.		e time I wrote my first report, that's correct.	
6	Α.	Sequoia is out of business.		6			S. DeANNA: I have no further questions, Your Honor.	
7	Q.	Okay. So the answer to that would be	no.	7			HE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
8	Α.	That would be no.		8			oh.	
9	Q.	Okay.		9			R. PARIKH: Sorry, Judge. I just have a couple of	
10		And you also opine as to Dominion's	capability; is that	10	ques		f Mr. Appel on a different topic.	
11	corre			11			HE COURT: Okay.	
12	Α.	Yes.		12			AMINATION BY MR. PARIKH:)	
13	Q.	And you state that Dominion accommo	lates the office-block	13			opel, how are you?	
14		ot in other states; is that accurate?		14	Α.	Fine.		
15	Α.	Yes.		15	Q.	I knov	v it's been a long day.	
16	Q.	And you did not physically examine a D	ominion machine	16		М	R. PARIKH: Your Honor, may I approach?	
17	used	d in New Jersey. Accurate?		17		Т	HE COURT: You may.	
18	Α.	No.		18	BY M	IR. PAR	IKH:	
19	Q.	And you did not physically examine the	Dominion software	19	Q.	I'm go	ning to show Mr. Appel, I'm going to show you	
20	that	is used in New Jersey. Accurate?		20	what	has be	een marked in evidence as Defendant's Exhibit	
21	Α.	That's correct.		21	Num	ber 3.		
22	Q.	And did you not speak to anyone from	Dominion who	22		I ne	ed you to take a look at that. It's a privilege	
23	prov	vides services to New Jersey counties; is	that correct?	23	log.	~C		
24	Α.	Not in connection with this case.		24	ſ	Jf y	ou can turn to page number 7, Mr. Appel. They are	
25	Q.	Okay. And you did not opine as to whe	ther Dominion would	25	doub	le-side	d pages. Try to save some paper.	
		United States District Court		2	5		United States District Court	
		District of New Jersey		0			District of New Jersey	
			302				304	
1	need	d a certain amount of time to be able to ا	prepare its	1		Are	you there?	
2	softw	ware in New Jersey if there was a change	in the ballot	2	Α.	Yes.		
3	desi	gn, correct?	12-	3	Q.	All rig	ht.	
4	Α.	Dominion wouldn't need to make a	ny change (o the software	4		You	see at the top it says: Plaintiffs' privilege log,	
5	as d	defined as the election program that	runs in the voting	5	Andr	ew App	pel, expert, right?	
6	mac	chine		6	Α.	Yes.		
7	Q.	Did you sorry?		7	Q.	And d	o you recognize what this listing is?	
8	Α.	which is a different question from	n Dominion as a	8	Α.	I can	guess what it is.	
9	prov	vider of election services.		9	Q.	Give ι	is your best guess?	
10	Q.	Well, did you opine as to whether wh	ether Dominion	10	Α.	It see	ems to be a record of communications between me a	nd
11	wou	ld need a certain amount of time to prep	are its software?	11	Mr. I	Komu	ves in December.	
12	Α.	What I opine is that the same softw	vare that runs in the	12	Q.	Right.	So is December 18th, 2023, the first time that	
13	mac	chine can accommodate office-block	layouts without any	13	Mr. k	Komuve	es reached out to you respect to this case?	
14	char	nge at all.		14	Α.	That	sounds about right, yes.	
15	Q.	Okay. And you state in your supplement	ntal report that	15	Q.	That v	vas via email?	
16	votir	ng machines come from manufacturers p	rogrammed with	16	Α.	That	I don't recall, whether it was by email or by phone.	
17	softv	ware that accommodates many ballot de	signs. Correct?	17		Т	HE COURT: Is there an objection? Is there an	
18	Α.	That's correct.		18	objed	ction?	Otherwise don't interrupt, Counsel. Let him	
19	Q.	And you state that no software update	or hardware update	19	conti	inue.		
20	woul	Id be required for any of the machines.	-	20		М	S. BROMBERG: There is an objection. I'll let it	
21	Α.	That's accurate.		21	go, Y	/our Ho		
22	Q.	But when you wrote your initial report,	you didn't even	22	- , .		HE COURT: Withdrawn. Continue.	
23		w that ExpressVote supposedly could be	-	23			R. PARIKH: Thank you.	
24		e-block ballot in New Jersey, right?		24	вү м	IR. PAR	-	
25	A .	I hadn't seen the sample ballots at	that time that	25	Q.		opel, if you can now turn to page 14. This is a	
		United States District Court					United States District Court	
		District of New Jersev					District of New Jersev	

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	: 171 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	305	-	307
1	different listing of emails.	1	MR. PARIKH: Those are only plaintiffs.
2	Do you see that under your name?	2	THE COURT: How many do you have?
3	A. Yes.	3	MR. PARIKH: Three or four.
4	Q. Okay. It shows that a retainer agreement for signature	4	THE COURT: All right. There's no other stipulations
5	was sent to you January 23rd; is that right?	5	or anything where did you guys intend this proposal would
6	A. Yes.	6	get you, that we would just go until midnight?
7	Q. Is that accurate, that a retainer agreement was sent to	7	MR. PARIKH: No, Judge.
8	you on January 23rd?	8	THE COURT: Right. So I mean, look: I'm telling
9 10	 A. Yes, I think so. Did you short an analysis was set in December 20222. 	9	you, when we get to a little bit over an hour from now, it's
10	Q. Did you start preparing your report in December 2023?	10 11	done. Whatever I have, I have.
11 12	 A. Yes. Yes, I did. When we are the set to year in large and the set to year in large	12	So you guys work it out. Maybe you streamline how many
12 13	 Q. Why wasn't a retainer agreement sent to you in January? I don't always insist on a retainer agreement for my 	12	of these plaintiffs' witnesses you have left, and who's
14	A. I don't always insist on a retainer agreement for my	14	testifying for the defense?
15	services, you know, if I have confidence that the attorney	14	MR. PARIKH: So we have Ms. Hanlon, who is the Monmouth County Clerk
16	that's asking for my services is not some sort of fly-by-night	16	
17	lawyer who is going to disappear without paying me.	17	THE COURT: Right.
	Q. And when you were engaged to do this work in December,	18	MR. PARIKH: who is a party to this case.
18 19	were you given a timeline upon which you were going to try to complete a report?	19	THE COURT: Right.
20			MR. PARIKH: We have Noah Dion, Mr. Kim's campaign
20 21	A. Yes. I think Mr. Komuves said that he would like to have	20	manager. That should be 20 minutes at most. And then
22	it as soon as possible by the end of the month. Q. By the end of December?	22	THE COURT: What do you need the campaign manager for when you have the candidate?
22		23	MR. PARIKH: Because the campaign manager has
23 24	A. But that's just my vague recollection.Q. Okay. Thank you.	23	knowledge and information that the candidate would not have
25	MR. PARIKH: No further questions, Your Honor. May I	25	had, Your Honor.
20	United States District Court	100	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey
	306	e^{-}	308
1	approach to get that exhibit back?	1	THE COURT: Would not have had or you asked him and
2	THE COURT: You may.	2	he didn't know?
3	Do we have any redirect, or are we moving on to the	3	MR. PARIKH: No. He would not have had it because he
4	next witness?	4	was not part of those communications directly.
5	MR. PUGACH: Next witness.	5	THE COURT: All right.
6	THE COURT: All right. Doctor, you're excused.	6	MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, this issue relates to the
7	Thank you.	7	issue of timeline
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	8	THE COURT: Okay. Let's go on timeline.
9	THE COURT: How many witnesses do we have left, by	9	MR. KOMUVES: when the privilege log and the
10	the way?	10	questioning
11	MR. PUGACH: Five.	11	THE COURT: How long does it take to get a witness
12	THE COURT: I'm telling you now that, at 7:09, I'm	12	into sequestration into the courtroom? Are they being
13	not breaking my fast on the bench. So when I turn into a	13	notified in advance that they're on deck?
14	pumpkin, we're done. So you have to manage these witnesses	14	MR. PUGACH: Someone just went to get
15	over the next hour, however you want to proceed.	15	THE COURT: Yeah, I know, but it shouldn't be done
16	I think we can get through them if your direct is one	16	like that, right? This is the most like it's got to be
17	question, and then you're deferring to cross.	17	much more seamless than this, right?
18	MR. PUGACH: Your Honor	18	You know who the next witness is, and you know who the
19	THE COURT: But I'm letting you know I'm half machine	19	witness is after that, so someone needs to let that witness
20	and half human. I have to at some point drink water and eat,	20	know, Hey, you're on deck, you know; you can be outside
21	so	21	waiting wherever they need to be.
22	MR. PUGACH: Understood, Your Honor. For three of	22	And then, when this witness is done, they're walking
23	the witnesses, it's other than the one question, it's	23	in. That's how this needs to be done.
24	cross, and for the other two witnesses it's very short.	24	And this is why you guys are at 6 o'clock and still
25	THE COURT: Okay. Then I think we should make it.	25	fumbling around trying to get the witnesses done. So figure
	United States District Court	1	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	1	District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 172 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	it out. Start moving, because around 7:10 I adjourn. Okay.	1	THE WITNESS: Is this for me?
2	By the way, how many of these experts are redundant?	2	THE COURT: I don't know. I didn't put that there.
3	Are any of them ones that you can rely upon the reports only?	3	Kim, is the water for the witness, or is that left over from
4	Because if	4	another witness?
5	MR. PARIKH: That's what we tried to do, Your Honor.	5	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Right. It's for you also, then. You	6	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PUGACH:)
7	guys should be mindful on the defense side that I'm stopping a	7	Q. Good evening, Dr. Rubin.
8	little after 7, so you may want to say, We don't need to	8	A. Hi.
9	cross-examine this witness, because we want to make sure that	9	Q. I just showed you a document that's marked as P-11.
10	we direct this witness.	10	Are you familiar with that document?
11	What I'm not going to do is be here until midnight. So	11	A. Lam.
12	if you guys want to brainstorm that, that's fine.	12	Q. And is that your expert report that you submitted in
13	MR. PARIKH: I'm happy to brainstorm, Your Honor, and	13	connection with this case?
14	I completely understand your concerns, but it is highly	14	A. It is.
15	prejudicial to defendants that the plaintiffs here, who had	15	Q. And at the time that you submitted it, did you believe
16	multiple months to prepare this case we had two weeks	16	that everything was true and accurate in that report?
17	and	17	A. Yes.
18	THE COURT: I've already heard this argument, so	18	Q. And did you have time to look at it in preparation for
19	MR. PARIKH: They have literally wasted the whole	19	testimony today?
20	day. The first examination took multiple hours.	20	A. I did.
21	THE COURT: Cross-examination seemed half wasteful	21	Q. And is there arything that you discovered may or may not
22	too, but I let you guys go through that and didn't cut you	22	be accurate in your original report?
23	guys off.	23	A. So (found one small mistake that doesn't change anything
24	MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor, and we're	24	in my findings, but in my analysis of candidates who were
25	THE COURT: You had more than one you had three	25	endorsed in one county and an opponent was endorsed in a
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	6	District of New Jersey
	310	1.	312
1	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same	1	different county in the same district, I thought that the
2	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness.	2	different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79.
23	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor,		different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change
2 3 4	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties.	2 3 4	different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect?
2 3 4 5	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand.	2 3 4 5	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the
2 3 4 5 6	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're	2 3 4 5 6	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates.
2 3 4 5 6 7	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand right now. Let's go. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir. Q. Just so make sure I get it right, do you prefer Dr. Rubin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand right now. Let's go. (JULIA SASS RUBIN, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir. Q. Just so make sure I get it right, do you prefer Dr. Rubin or Dr. Sass Rubin?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand right now. Let's go. (JULI A SASS RUBI N, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir. Q. Just so make sure I get it right, do you prefer Dr. Rubin or Dr. Sass Rubin? A. Dr. Rubin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand right now. Let's go. (JULIA SASS RUBIN, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir. Q. Just so make sure I get it right, do you prefer Dr. Rubin or Dr. Sass Rubin? A. Dr. Rubin. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand right now. Let's go. (JULIA SASS RUBIN, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and the spelling of your last name for the record. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir. Q. Just so make sure I get it right, do you prefer Dr. Rubin or Dr. Sass Rubin? A. Dr. Rubin. Q. Okay. A. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand right now. Let's go. (JULIA SASS RUBIN, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and the spelling of your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Julia Sass Rubin, R-U-B-I-N.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir. Q. Just so make sure I get it right, do you prefer Dr. Rubin or Dr. Sass Rubin? A. Dr. Rubin. Q. Okay. A. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand right now. Let's go. (JULIA SASS RUBIN, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and the spelling of your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Julia Sass Rubin, R-U-B-I-N. THE COURT: You may be seated. Thank you, sir. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir. Q. Just so make sure I get it right, do you prefer Dr. Rubin or Dr. Sass Rubin? A. Dr. Rubin. Q. Okay. A. Thank you. We are going to try to do this as quickly as possible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand right now. Let's go. (JULIA SASS RUBIN, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and the spelling of your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Julia Sass Rubin, R-U-B-I-N. THE COURT: You may be seated. Thank you, sir. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, I'd like to approach the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir. Q. Just so make sure I get it right, do you prefer Dr. Rubin or Dr. Sass Rubin? A. Dr. Rubin. Q. Okay. A. Thank you. We are going to try to do this as quickly as possible. I know you've been here a long time today, as everybody else
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 different four different lawyers cross-examining the same witness. MR. PARIKH: All of these lawyers here, Your Honor, represent different parties. THE COURT: I understand. MR. PARIKH: They have different interests. They're all constitutional officers that have different obligations. They have different ballots. They have different machines. And so we streamlined it pretty well, I would think, considering that there's 19 parties plus two interested parties in terms of the county clerk. THE COURT: Meanwhile, it's five minutes, and I'm still waiting for the witness. MR. PARIKH: I understand, Your Honor. We're ready. You can take one of the plaintiffs and put them on the stand right now. Let's go. (JULIA SASS RUBIN, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:) THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and the spelling of your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Julia Sass Rubin, R-U-B-I-N. THE COURT: You may be seated. Thank you, sir. MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, I'd like to approach the witness with what is going to be marked as P-11. It's the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 different county in the same district, I thought that the largest margin in the candidates' performance was 79. It's actually 83. So that doesn't change Q. Is that a stronger effect? A. Yeah. It's a stronger effect, and it doesn't change the 38 percent average between the 45 candidates. Q. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. So because the court proceedings are being streamlined, we're actually that report is going to be considered your direct testimony, so I want you to understand that, and I'm going turn you over as a witness to counsel. A. Oh, okay. (CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NATALE:) Q. Good evening, Doctor. A. Good evening, sir. Q. Just so make sure I get it right, do you prefer Dr. Rubin or Dr. Sass Rubin? A. Thank you. Q. Thank you. We are going to try to do this as quickly as possible. I know you've been here a long time today, as everybody else has.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	: 173	Date Filed: 04/01/2024	
4		-		31	5
1 2	but there's just a couple key questions.	1		ay. Understood.	
2	First, is it true that you got your Ph.D. in 2002? A. Yes.	3	witness	s this the first case that you served as an expert	
4	Q. Okay. And is it true that your the focus of your	4	withess	THE COURT: She just answered that.	
5	Ph.D. was the origins of behavior of community development	5		MR. NATALE: I apologize.	
6	venture capital funds?	6		THE WITNESS: Yes. I have been an expert witness	to
7	A. It was.	7	the U.S.	Senate and to other to state Senates but never in	
8	Q. Okay. And is it a fair characterization to say that the	8		case before.	
9	first several years of your career was focused in that topic	9	BY MR.	NATALE:	
10	and related topics?	10	Q . Ha	ve you ever been compensated for any of your	
11	A. Yes.	11	election	related research prior to this case?	
12	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that	12	A. No		
13	MR. PUGACH: Objection.	13	Q . Ok	ay.	
14	I don't want to interrupt counsel, but I just thought	14	I	want to dive into your report. And I'm going to skip	
15	we had stipulated that, as to the qualifications, that was	15	ahead b	ecause we have limited time. And on page 11, we get	to
16	going to be done on papers.	16	a page v	where you take a look at 45 candidates between 2002	and
17	THE COURT: He can ask a few questions, but I	17	2022 fo	the House and Senate where they appear on a line in	n
18	thought there's a motion in limine, right, regarding all	18	one cou	nty but not on a line in another; is that correct?	
19	the experts?	19	A. Ye	S.	
20	MR. NATALE: Yes.	20		d is it fair to say that you aggregate the results of	
21	THE COURT: So why only with this one witness are we	21		d you also report a median and a mean of how much y	ou
22	going through this background like this?	22	• •	d the county-line impacted these races?	
23	MR. NATALE: I asked similar to the last witness	23	(come up with an average and a median, corr	rect.
24 25	similarly just to understand the basis of some of their	24 25	1V	w, is the reason you come up with an average and a	
25	opinions, not at all to United States District Court	25	median	is because you're hoping to eliminate variables that United States District Court	
	District of New Jersey			District of New Jersey	
	314	$\overline{\Theta}$		31	6
1	THE COURT: I'll allow it. Let's not go through the	1	miaht e	kist in individual races?	•
2	whole thing.	2	2	, no. It's just a descriptive statistic that people	
3	MR. NATALE: Not at all, Your Honor.	3		tand. I mean, I also provide a range of 13 to a	it
4	BY MR. NATALE:	4	this po	nt I said 79, but it's actually 83. So it's just a	
5	Q. And in your long academic career, and I have a very long	5	way of	giving people a sense of the data.	
6	CV that shows that, is it accurate to say that you published	6	I	also provide a visual to show where they all alig	ŋn
7	two academic articles on the subject of ballot design and	7	and de	ails about how each race broke out. I'm just try	ing to
8	their impact on elections?	8	provide	as much information as possible.	
9	A. So not exactly. I've published two articles on the	9	Q . Ok	ay. So you don't believe that this approach that you	
10	impact of ballots specifically, and academic journals. I've	10	use isola	ates the impact of the county-line.	
11	also published two policy briefs, and I've published another	11		n not sure I understand what you're asking.	
12	four academic journal articles about the related New Jersey	12		en we look at the median and means that you came u	р
13	politics that discuss the impact of the county-line or the	13		n we be certain that the only thing behind those	
14	political structures around it and another nonacademic article			s the alleged impact of the county-line?	I. 41
15 16	related to that. And also just a dozens of academic and	15		. It would include other variables that come wit	
16 17	nonacademic presentations on this topic.	16 17		ement, which is why I did the follow-up analysis.	
18	Q. Okay. Is this the first case where you've served as an expert witness?	18		ay. And is it true that there are several variables tht impact the candidate's performance in a race?	
19	A. It is for a court, yes.	19	A. Su		
20	Q. Okay. And are you being paid to be here today?	20		d is it true that some of those variables might	
21	A. I am, yes.	21		/ follow the county-line?	
22	Q. Okay. Are you being compensated at a rate of \$400 an	22		s. That's why I did the subsequent analysis.	
23	hour?	23		d would you agree that how well-known a candidate is	in
24	A. I actually have I believe so. I haven't filed	24	a comm	unity would impact their performance in the election?	
25	anything for pay yet.	25	A. Po	tentially, although I didn't find that to be true w	ith
	United States District Court			United States District Court	
	District of New Jersey			District of New Jersev	

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 174 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	317 Senator Lautenberg's race.	1	319 trying to get to the bottom of what exactly was your thought
2	Q. And would you believe that whether or not an individual	2	process when you went into this. So when I ask a direct
3	served in a different elected office in that county might	3	question like "Did you know that," to the best of your
4	impact how they perform when they're running for federal	4	ability, if you could answer yes or no.
5	office in that same county?	5	A. I did not know that she held that office, no.
6	A. Potentially. But, again, that didn't seem to necessarily	6	Q. And did you know that she served in that office
7	hold.	7	immediately prior to running in the Republican primary for
8	Q . Okay.	8	CD-3?
9	A. But, yes, of course it's possible. If you don't test for	9	A. No, sir. I did not.
10	that, you don't know.	10	Q. Do you think that the fact that she was a Burlington
11	Q . Okay. And did you test for that?	11	County freeholder also impacted her performance in Burlington
12	A. No. Because this is a natural experiment. So I was	12	County?
13	looking at how these individuals did in the context of the	13	A. It very well may have, which is why I did 45 contests.
14	same race across 45 instances. So if you had that situation	14	Q. Okay. And so, when you're looking at just this contest,
15	and particular race, you wouldn't see such a consistent	15	which you've highlighted in your report, did you do any
16	pattern. You'd just see it in that race or in a couple	16	statistical analysis that can separate the benefit of her
17	others. But you're seeing the same pattern of being on the	17	being a freeholder and the alleged benefit of the line?
18	county-line having this substantial positive effect on their	18	A. I did not.
19	results across all 45 races.	19	Q. Okay.
20	Q . So what you would need to find to attribute it to another	20	If we go now to the next race that you talk about,
21	variable is another consistent pattern throughout the race,	21	which will be directly after that, you talk about a race that
22	correct?	22	you mentioned, which was Frank Lautenberg and Rob Andrews in
23	A. Potentially.	23	the 2008 Senate Democratic primary.
24	Q . Okay. So I would love to talk about all 45 races;	24	Do you see that?
25	unfortunately, we don't have the time for that. So I'm going	25	A. Yes.
	United States District Court	6	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey 318	, er	District of New Jersey 320
1	to focus on the one that you talk about specifically in your	1	Q . Okay.
2	report.	2	Do you recall or did you know at the time you wrote
3	Do you recall speaking about the 2020 Republican	3	this report that, at the time of this primary, Rob Andrews was
4	primary in the 3rd Congressional District?	4	a sitting congressman?
5	A. Can you point me to the page?	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Yeah. That should be on that same page 11.	6	Q. Okay. And do you know what district he served in at the
7	A. Oh, Gibbs and Richter.	7	time?
8	Q. Yes.	8	A. Yes. He was, I believe, in the 2nd District.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Okay. And do you know what geographic area that covered?
10	Q. Okay. And there you found that Kate Gibbs had the	10	A. Yes. Southern Jersey.
11	county-line in Burlington and David Richter had the	11	Q. Okay. And if I told you that his district had Camden
12	county-line in Ocean, correct?	12	County in it, would you have any reason to disbelieve that?
13	A. Right.	13	A. No.
14	Q. And you found that Kate Gibbs outperformed in Burlington	14	Q. If I told you that his district also had Gloucester
15	as opposed to Ocean, correct?	15	County in it, do you have any reason to disbelieve that?
16	A. Yes.	16	A. No.
17	Q . Okay. Now, when you took a look at the data, did you	17	Q. If I told you that his district had parts of Burlington
18	know that Kate Gibbs was a former Burlington County	18	County, would you have any reason to disbelieve that?
19	freeholder?	19	A. No.
20	A. I wouldn't be surprised in an instance like that, but,	20	Q. Okay. And if you look at the table, is it clear that Rob
21	again, that's one example. The reason I use it is it's a very	21	Andrews performed better in the southern counties than he did
22	simple example. So you have two candidates in two counties,	22	in the northern counties, correct?
23 24	so it's a demonstration of the process I'm using. It's not	23	 A. Right. That's where he was also in the county-line. O Okay. So did you do any statistical analysis to
24 25	meant to be reflective of the 45 races.	24 25	Q. Okay. So did you do any statistical analysis to
25	Q. And I appreciate that explanation, but right now, I'm United States District Court	23	determine whether or not Rob Andrews' performance in the United States District Court
	District of New Jersev		District of New Jersev

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rage	: 17	75 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	321			323
1	southern county how much of it could be attributed to his	1		
2	long-term incumbent incumbency in Congress versus how much	2		dorsements in county-line counties matter more than
3	could be attributed to the county-line?	3		dorsements in non-county-line counties, correct?
4	A. I did not.	4		I meant yes. What I'm saying is that, if you are
5	Q. Okay. And you would agree that that's the same pattern	5		dorsed on the county-line, you outperform in 35 out of 37
6	that's at play in the Gibbs/Richter race, correct?	6		stances.
7	A. I would, but that's why there's 45 races.	7	Q.	
8	Q. Understood.	8		inty endorsements are equal?
9	I'm going to go to the next race, then, that you talk	9		I don't know if I can really speak to that. I haven't
10	about.	10		oked at that.
11	And we can talk about the 2012 Democratic primary for	11	Q.	Okay. So you haven't looked at that.
12	the 9th Congressional District. Okay. And here you have Bill	12		So oh, would you agree that there are two counties
13	Pascrell running and having the endorsement of Passaic County.	13		t don't offer the county-line in New Jersey?
14	Do you recall that?	14	Α.	Correct.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q.	Okay. And would you
16	Q. Do you recall that this was a district that was	16	Α.	At this time.
17	redistricted together?	17	Q.	Okay.
18	A. Yes.	18	-	And would you agree that they are Salem County and
19	Q . Okay. And do you recall that, prior to the redistricting	19		ssex County?
20	together, Bill Pascrell represented a larger portion of	20	Α.	Yes.
21	Passaic than his opponent?	21	Q.	Okay. Prior to writing this report, did you do any
22	A. Yes.	22		earch into the publicly filed campaign finance reports of
23	Q. Okay. And were you aware at the time you did the report	23		e Salem County political parties and the Sussex County
24	that, prior to being a member of Congress, Bill Pascrell was a	24	poli	itical parties?
25	state legislator that covered Passaic?	25	A.	I did not, but they're not the only counties that don't
	United States District Court	A S	28	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	<u></u>		District of New Jersey
	322			324
1	A. I'm not sure if I knew that, no.	1		ve a county-line in this analysis.
2	Q. Okay. Were you aware at the time that you did this	2	Q.	Okay. What other counties?
3	report that Bill Pascrell was also the mayor of Paterson	3	A.	So Warren did not have a county-line prior to 2018, and
4	New Jersey, which was the largest city in Passaic?	4		the 2020 election, Hunterdon, Passaic, and Warren did not
5	 A. Yes, I did know that. Olary and bread off the analysis that would be used. 	5		e a county-line ballot.
6	Q. Okay. And based off the analysis that you did, are you	6	Q.	Did you do anything to take a look at the campaign
7	able to differentiate what how much his past history in	7		blicly filed campaign finance reports of any political
8	Passaic County helped him versus how much the county-line	8	-	ties in any county?
9 10	alleged help him?	9 10	A.	No, I did not.
10 11	 A. No, I did not do that analysis. Okay. And would you agree that this is the same pattern 		Q.	Okay. Would it surprise you that the counties that don't
11	Q. Okay. And would you agree that this is the same pattern	11		e the county-line are also among the weakest fundraisers of
12	that you find in the Lautenberg/Andrews race and in the	12		e county parties in the state?
13	Gibbs/Richter race?	13	A.	Does that include Passaic?
14	 A. So it's four races or three races out of 45. C. Understand, And like Leaded IId has been up to see through 	14	Q.	Well, Passaic, I believe, was one election where they
15 16	Q. Understood. And like I said, I'd be happy to go through	15		n't have a county-line.
16 47	all 45. I could go through more here, but I will rest for the	16 17	Α.	Right, but the results are consistent.
17 19	Court and save for my briefs that there are numerous other		~	Does that include Warren?
18 10	races that include the same consistent pattern.	18	Q.	Correct, yes.
19 20	A. If I may, I think the other thing that's important is the	19	A.	And does that include Hunterdon?
20 21	analysis looking at endorsed candidates who are on the	20	Q.	Yes. Would that surprise you?
21	county-line versus endorsed candidates, which is why as I	21 22	A.	I don't know. I mean, I haven't looked at this data, so eally can't speak to it.
22	montioned before that's why I added that analysis which	1 4 4	ı re	
22 22	mentioned before, that's why I added that analysis, which			
23	really encapsulates what you're trying to get at.	23	Q.	And would it surprise you that many of the county-line
23 24	really encapsulates what you're trying to get at. Q. Okay. So let's talk about that, then.	23 24	Q . coui	And would it surprise you that many of the county-line unties have full-time staff and offices and the counties
23	really encapsulates what you're trying to get at.	23	Q . coui	And would it surprise you that many of the county-line

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	: 176	Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	325			327
1	A. Again, I haven't looked at that.	1	inferent	
2	Q. Would you agree that if a county party endorses you and	2	Q . Oka	ay. I understand that my questions might be
3	they have more money and they have staff and they have	3	frustrati	ng, and I also
4	resources, that it's more beneficial than a county party that	4	A. No	, no, I'm just trying
5	endorses you with none of that?	5	Q. I'm	going to ask this for the court reporter's sake, who
6	A. Potentially.	6	has beer	n here for a long day. If you can let me finish, I
7	MR. PUGACH: Objection. Calling for speculation.	7	will do m	ny best to let you finish as well.
8	MR. NATALE: Asking about what she believes would be	8	A. l'n	n sorry.
9	impactful in the race that she's in on.	9	Q. No	problem.
10	THE COURT: I will allow it.	10	S	o my question, though, was you would characterize your
11	BY MR. NATALE:	11	work as	descriptive, correct?
12	Q. Did you do any research to find whether or not those	12	A. De	scriptive statistics, not descriptive work.
13	issues like you described are consistent themes in the data	13	Q . Oka	ау.
14	that you had?	14	A. The	ere's a difference in statistics between statistics
15	A. I did not look at the individual races per race. I just	15	that are	e inferential and statistics that are descriptive. So
16	looked at the aggregate data.	16	I'm just	trying to be clear.
17	Q. But you testified earlier today that a consistent theme	17	I	t doesn't mean that my work is descriptive, like I'm
18	in the data, other than the county-line, could show an impact	18	just tall	king about how someone dressed. It's still
19	that wasn't the county-line, correct?	19	statistic	cally important.
20	A. Well, there's many alternative explanations, except the	20	Q. The	ere was one other category of races that you talked
21	statistical analysis that someone performed suggests that	21	about th	at I just want to address on the record real quick.
22	that's not the case, but I didn't do that.	22	Y	ou take a look at state legislative incumbents in your
23	This is descriptive statistics only in the aggregate.	23	report	
24	Q. Yeah, so I'm asking specifically about what you	24	А. Үе	5.
25	A. Right.	25	Q 0	lo you recall that?
	United States District Court	0	2	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	•	District of New Jersey
	326			328
1	Q. So I want to repeat the question because my question was	1	A. Yes	5.
2	confirming some of your earlier testimony.	2	Q. And	d despite the one of the subsets that you look at is
3	A. Uh-huh.	3	that the	e were 19 incumbents who got either no county-line
4	Q. And your earlier testimony was that a consistent variable	4	support	or only partial county-line support?
5	throughout these studies could prove to have an impact other	5	A. Ye	5.
6	than just the county-line, correct?	6	Q. And	d you were you illustrate you described in
7	A. Anything is possible, yes.	7	descripti	ve statistics that only 9 out of the 19 incumbents
8	Q. But it would have to be consistent, correct?	8	won reel	ection, correct?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Yes	S.
10	Q. Okay. But you did nothing to find out if any of the	10	Q . Oka	ay. So did you look at all into the publicly filed
11	variables that we discussed today were consistent among the	11	campaig	n finances reports of the ten losing incumbents in
12	data that you	12	those ra	ces?
13	A. No.	13	A. Id	id not.
14	Q. Okay.	14	Q . Oka	ay. So would it surprise you that a consistent
15	A. Because it's a natural experiment, so there was no reason	15	variable	in those ten races are that they all had less money
16	to do that.	16	via their	own accounts or joint campaign accounts than their
17	Q. So you didn't think it was necessary to find out if there	17	opponen	ts in those races?
18	was another variable that was impacting the races other than	18	A. It's	s possible. I mean, I didn't look at that.
19	the	19	Q. And	you would agree that spending money could impact
20	A. I wasn't I wasn't doing inferential statistics. I was	20	performa	ance in an election, correct?
21	doing simple descriptive statistics based on a natural	21	A. Ito	could, yes.
22	demarcation between these candidates.	22	Q. And	are you aware that the state legislative races also
23	Q. And I just want to clarify: You find that your report is	23	have joir	nt campaign committees that service the candidates all
24	descriptive as opposed to	24	over the	state?
25	A. It's descriptive statistics, yes, as opposed to	25	A. Yes	S.
	United States District Court			United States District Court
	District of New Jersev	1		District of New Jersev

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pade	e: 177 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	329	~g	331
1	Q. Are you aware that you wouldn't get the support of those	1	in your expert report you derived from that law review
2	organizations if you didn't have a county-line?	2	article?
3	A. Yes.	3	A. As referred to just now, the actually I I collected
4	Q. Okay. So is it possible too that the influence of those	4	the data again on the state legislative races, so it was
5	organizations spending money, giving resources, giving	5	not it was consistent data, but I had been relying on data
6	infrastructure would also be a consistent variable among these	6	from a report that Francisco Diez for the CWA did for the
7	results?	7	CWA for 2003 through 2019.
8	A. It's possible, yes.	8	And then I had added my data, and I noted that in the
9	Q. But you didn't look for any of that, right?	9	article, so I re-collected all that data and re-analyzed it
10	A. No.	10	for this, and then all the analysis of the endorsement is new.
11	Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that you only had two academic	11	Q. Here's my direct question before we're done: When you
12 13	articles, and I want to preface academic because you're	12 13	were retained on this case, were you retained contingent on a
14	clearly a prolific writer, but you had two law review articles	14	particular outcome of your report?
15	that you were responsible for as it relates to ballot design, correct?	14	A. Absolutely not.Q. Okay. That being said, did you expect to have a
16	A. Yes.	16	different outcome in your report than you did in your law
17	Q. And is it true that you did one of those with multiple	17	review article?
18	authors?	18	A. I didn't know what I would find in terms of the
19	A. Yes.	19	endorsement analysis, because I hadn't done it before, but I
20	Q. And then you did one on your own, correct?	20	knew that I certainly, you know, had the same expected results
21	A. Yes.	21	for the 45 races
22	Q. Okay. Is the one that you did on your own similar to	22	Q. Okay.
23	your expert report in this case?	23	A. But in terms of the additional analysis, I didn't know
24	A. It is similar in parts.	24	what would find.
25	Q. Okay. If I told you that, upon my review, it's hard to	25	Q. And when it comes to those 45 races, you didn't do any
_•	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	Ň	District of New Jersey
	330	\sim	332
1	tell the difference in the first 60 pages of your law review article and your expert report, does that sound A. 60?	1	additional research into incumbency, home county, any of those
2	article and your expert report, does that sound	2	effects
3	A. 60?	3	A. No.
4	Q. 60.	4	MR. PUGACH: Objection. Asked and answered.
5	A. The article is not that long.	5	MR. NATALE: from can I finish?
6	Q. So I'm sorry.	6	THE COURT: I'll let him finish the question.
7	If I told you that the first, I want to say, nine	7	BY MR. NATALE:
8	figures in the law review article were the same as the one in	8	Q. from the time that you did your law review article
9	your expert report, does that sound wrong to you?	9	until the time you did your expert report; is that correct?
10	A. That does sound wrong.	10	A. Yeah. There really wasn't
11	Q. Okay.	11	Q. Is that because you didn't want to come up with a
12	A. There was there was certainly overlap between the two	12	different answer that you didn't
13	reports, but there's also a lot of new information.	13	A. I didn't have time, sir. This really ate up my entire
14	Q. How much overlap would you just say there was?	14	winter break, and also I have a day job.
15	A. The setup of the county-line, you know, how explaining	15	Q. Understood. Thank you. Thank you for your time today.
16	what it is, the analysis of the 45 races is consistent between	16	MR. PARIKH: Judge, I tried to resolve my questions
17	the two reports.	17	via stip, but they haven't agreed, so
18	Q . Okay. Oh, I'm sorry to interrupt.	18	(CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH:)
19	THE COURT: Let her finish.	19	Q. Hi, Ms. Sass Rubin. How are you?
20	THE WITNESS: And then there's there's additional	20	MR. PUGACH: What was
21	data on the legislative races, and then there's a whole new	21	MR. PARIKH: She changed the language. It doesn't
22	analysis looking at candidates who were endorsed or endorsed	22	work.
23 24	and on the county-line.	23	THE COURT: Just ask her the questions. I don't have
24 25	BY MR. NATALE:	24	time for it. Let's move.
25	Q. But is it fair to say that a lot of the data that you use	25	BY MR. PARIKH:
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	1	District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 178 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	333 Q. Ms. Sass Rubin, you just mentioned you were engaged prior	1	335 MR. PARIKH: We'd like to call Ms. Hanlon out of
2		2	
3	to for this matter by counsel in mid-December 2023; is that	3	turn. I mean, we spent the whole entire day here with the
4	correct? A. I did not mention that at all. no.	4	plaintiffs' witnesses going slowly, et cetera.
5		5	THE COURT: Well, you're all going slowly. So it's a
6	Q. You said you were working on this through your December break; is that right?	6	plague on both your houses.
7		7	But is there any objection from plaintiffs' counsel to
8	 A. I was working on it over winter break, correct. Q. So when were you contacted by plaintiffs' counsel 	8	have Ms. Hanlon testify out of order?
9		9	MR. PUGACH: I mean, the witness is here, other than
10	regarding this matter in December?	10	
11	 A. In December sometime I think it was like the 15th, 1/the 10th compatibles like that the first time. 	11	THE COURT: I just want to know if there's an
12	16th, 18th, something like that, the first time.Q. And did counsel advise that they wanted you to do your	12	objection. I'm going to be very quick about it. It's
13	Q. And did counsel advise that they wanted you to do your report and analysis for a litigation?	13	overruled. But do you want to object to it or not? MR. PUGACH: No objection.
14	 A. Actually, initially they just said it was a possibility, 	14	THE COURT: All right. So let's go. Ms. Hanlon will
15	and they wanted to know if I would be willing to do it. There	15	testify, and we'll go out of order, and you can sequester your
16	wasn't actually a commitment right away.	16	witness again and have them sit outside.
17	Q. There was not a commitment for what, ma'am?	17	MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	 A. There was no contract, and there was no commitment to 	18	THE COURT: You're welcome. Let's keep things
19	actually move forward. It was just like was I available and	19	moving.
20	would I be willing to do this.	20	I don't mind going out of order, but let's keep things
21	Q . And have you been engaged as an expert on this subject	21	moving. But I think It's a fair request.
22	matter in any other matter, in any other case?	22	In spite of my better judgment, it's very possible
23	A. Not on this subject matter, no. I've never testified in	23	we'll have to go past the 7:10 time that I mentioned, but
24	a court case before.	24	let's try & keep things moving.
25	Q. And I understood the testimony part, but have you been	25	I am going to have my courtroom deputy swear you in,
	United States District Court	0	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	d'	District of New Jersey
	334		336
1	engaged by counsel for the <i>Forte</i> case or any of the other	1	but then you can come into the box or whatever is most
2	ballot cases	2	comfortable for you. Okay.
3	A. I have not.	3	(CHRISTINE HANLON, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED
4	MR. NATALE: Thank you, Judge.	4	AS FOLLOWS:)
5	THE COURT: Is there anything further from the	5	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and
6	defense?	6	the spelling of your last name for the record.
7	MR. PARIKH: No, Your Honor.	7	THE WITNESS: Christine Hanlon. H-A-N-L-O-N.
8	THE COURT: For the plaintiff?	8	THE COURT: Good morning or good afternoon. I
9	MR. PUGACH: Extremely brief, Your Honor.	9	think we're in the evening now, so we're not evening now.
10	(REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PUGACH:)	10	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, do you mind if I keep my
11	Q. You mentioned before it was a 45 contest when the	11	water with me?
12	candidate well, when the candidate was on the line versus	12	THE COURT: You can keep it with you at all times.
13	when their opponent was on the line was in the range of 13 to	13	And once you're comfortable, they will begin direct
14 15	83? • Voc	14 15	examination.
16	A. Yes.Q. In terms of 83 percent; is that correct?	16	THE WITNESS: Thank you. (DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SPIRO:)
17	 A. Yes. 	17	Q. Ms. Hanlon, what is your current position with Monmouth
18	Q. Are those both positive numbers?	18	County?
19	 A. Yes. There were no instances in which the candidate did 	19	A. I'm the county clerk.
20	worse on the county-line than when their opponent was on the	20	Q. How long have you held that position?
21	county-line.	21	A. Since 2015.
22	MR. PUGACH: No further questions.	22	Q. And how did you become the Monmouth County clerk?
23	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Doctor. You're	23	 A. I ran for office and was fortunately elected.
	excused. Thank you for your time.	24	Q. And please describe your responsibilities as Monmouth
24		1	-
	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	25	County clerk.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you. United States District Court	25	County clerk. United States District Court
24		25	

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 179 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	337		339
1	A. In Monmouth County, I am the keeper of the records and,	1	A. 53.
2	first and foremost, the keeper of the property records	2	Q . And what are the ways that voters can cast votes in
3	relating to every transaction in the county basically relating	3	New Jersey?
4	to property.	4	A. New Jersey has several ways of voting currently. The law
5	I oversee the Monmouth County archives. I oversee the	5	has changed recently to allow for different types of voting,
6	County Office of Records Management. I have two passport	6	but basically voters can go to the in that geographic
7	offices, and also I am the clerk of elections for the county	7	polling location in that district to cast their vote on
8	of Monmouth.	8	Election Day. In 2021, with the implementation of early
9	Q. And what is your function as the clerk of elections?	9	voting we have an early voting period where voters can go
10	A. So the clerk of elections is responsible for a few	10	to any one of up to ten, I think, voting locations within the
11	distinct duties relating to the election process. There are	11	county, and they have to be able to obtain the ballot for
12	two other offices that also have a hand in the election	12	their specific election districts, whichever polling location
13	process.	13	they go to.
14 15	The county clerk is responsible for preparation of	14	Voters can also cast their vote on a mail-in ballot,
	ballots for most elections within the county, accepting	15	and we also have other types. We have emergency and
16 17	candidate petitions for certain offices, accepting and	16 17	provisional ballots depending upon the circumstance.
18	reviewing mail-in ballot applications, and also issuing mail-in ballots.	18	Q. And you mentioned early voting and legislation that was
19		19	passed to implement that. Did that require any changes for
20	Q. What are the other two offices that you mentioned that have responsibility for elections in Monmouth County?	20	Monmouth County after the early voting legislation? A. Yes. With the implementation of early voting,
20	A. There is the superintendent of elections office, which is	20	Monmouth County, I believe many of the counties it kind of
22	responsible actually, the superintendent in Monmouth County	22	ushered in a massive change to the election process because
23	is also the commissioner of registration. And that entity	23	the current apparatus that the county had, with respect to
24	handles the voter registration file, the machine voting	24	machine ballots, had didn't work really anymore.
25	machines, and the poll books.	25	So with early voting, the county, and Monmouth County
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	<u> </u>	District of New Jersey
	338	P^{-}	340
1	And then there is the board of elections. I think you	1	specifically, had to invest in voting machines for the polling
2	asked me about that one, too. The board of elections is a	2	locations that would accommodate any voter who walked into
3	separate and distinct office as well, and that entity is	3	that location because, prior to that, we did not have the
4	responsible for counting of all of the mail-in ballots or	4	capability to do that.
5	other paper ballots, responsible for poll workers, polling	5	And, in addition to that, the state mandated that the
6	locations, training of poll workers, redistricting of election	6	whole election process go from paper poll books to electronic
7	districts, and hopefully I didn't forget anything else. But	7	poll books. So this was a massive change for Monmouth County,
8	if it comes to mind, I'll let you know.	8	a huge financial investment.
9	Q. And what authority, if any, do you have over the	9	But, because of this decision, Monmouth County decided
10	responsibilities of those other two offices?	10	to replace its entire fleet of voting machines, not just
11	A. None.	11	purchase machines that could accommodate those early voting
12	Q. Approximately how many registered voters are there in	12	locations. So that was a purchase of, I think, about a
13	Monmouth County?	13	thousand new voting machines.
14	A. We have over 490,000 registered voters.	14	But also it impacted the mail-in ballot equipment that
15	Q. And how are voting locations geographically divided in	15	we had. So our board of elections purchased new mail-in
16	Monmouth County?	16	ballot scanners, and then my office because I handle the
17	A. So everywhere in New Jersey, actually it's not just	17	preparation of the ballots and tabulation of results, my
18	Monmouth County the county is divided into election	18	office had to go to a whole new system that was basically
19	districts. And these election districts are geographic areas	19	mandated once the other two offices purchased new equipment.
20	within each municipality where it's basically a geographic	20	So my office has to license a software program to be
21	area where voters are it they must go vote in a certain	21	able to accommodate the new voting machines and the new
22	location within that geographic location, and in Monmouth	22	scanners for mail-in ballots.
23	County, we have 474 of these election districts.	23 24	Q. And what county office was responsible for implementing
24 25	Q. And those 474 election districts are spread across how	24	these changes, except for what you described in your office,
23	many municipalities?	25	the changes to the machines and the polling books?
	United States District Court	1	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey

-	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pane	e: 180 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	Case. 24-1000 Document. 10 1 341	aye	343
1	A. So I can't change voting machines, so that would have	1	fashion. That was something that ES&S had to sort of
2	been the superintendent of elections office who determined	2	customize for Monmouth County. We may be the only county that
3	which voting machines that they were going to to purchase,	3	does that.
4	along with the county commissioners, because it was a huge	4	Q. And what was the cost to Monmouth County of making these
5 6	financial investment. So they had to purchase this equipment.	5	changes, machines and everything you've just described?
7	And then the board of elections made the determination	6	A. Over twelve and a half million dollars and counting
8	to change their scanners that they had from the Dominion system to ES&S scanners. And on the machine side of things,	8	because of the licensing and everything, and it changes every year.
9	the superintendent went from Dominion machines to the ES&S	9	Q. And then is there a timeline that officials follow for
10	ExpressVote XL machines.	10	this 2024 primary election?
11	Q. And what were some of your considerations as the county	11	A. Yes. There is a statutory timeline both for New Jersey
12	clerk when you were implementing these changes and purchasing	12	and for the federal federal law because of people who are
13	these new machines?	13	overseas or members of the military. Those are statutory
14	A. So it was very important to me that the system be totally	14	requirements.
15	integrated because we have to report results in a very short	15	Q. And can you walk us through some of the key dates that
16	period of time. I didn't want the superintendent to have one	16	are statutorily required for the primary election?
17	system and the board of elections to have another system and	17	A. Yes. So the first date well, March 25th, all of the
18	then I would have to be working with both systems and both	18	candidates statutorily will be filing their petitions, and
19	software.	19	that is sort of the last date that the municipal clerks and
20	It was also important to me, because of the the way	20	the different government entities have to share information as
21	we lay out our ballots, that the machines be able to	21	to what offices will be on the ballot.
22 23	accommodate the layout of the ballots that we've had for many	22 23	Subsequent to that, we have 48 hours later, the
23 24	years. I did not make the decision but expressed my concerns	23 24	individuals who are going to be on the ballot can make requests for bracketing.
24 25	to both of those offices that everything be integrated and compatible because we have requirements about reporting and,	24	By April 4th is the date that we conduct the ballot
20	United States District Court	20	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	\sim	District of New Jersey
	342	\sim	344
1	you know, getting the election results done in a timely	1	
	you know, getting the election results done in a timery	-	draw to determine where people go on the ballot.
2	fashion.	2	draw to determine where people go on the ballot. And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready
2 3			
	fashion.	2	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready
3 4 5	fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described?	2 3 4 5	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing.
3 4 5 6	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use 	2 3 4 5 6	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters.
3 4 5 6 7	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an
3 4 5 6 7 8	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. Q. Was there any customization of the system to meet those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission, Counsel?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. Q. Was there any customization of the system to meet those reporting requirements? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission, Counsel? MR. SPIRO: It's not.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. Q. Was there any customization of the system to meet those reporting requirements? A. Well, first and foremost, it needed to be able to accommodate the ballot format that we normally use. In addition to that, there was some customization and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission, Counsel? MR. SPIRO: It's not. THE COURT: Are you moving to admit that? MR. SPIRO: We'd like we are moving to admit that. THE COURT: Is there any objection to the document?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. Q. Was there any customization of the system to meet those reporting requirements? A. Well, first and foremost, it needed to be able to accommodate the ballot format that we normally use. In addition to that, there was some customization and software updates relating to Monmouth County because we have a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission, Counsel? MR. SPIRO: It's not. THE COURT: Are you moving to admit that? MR. SPIRO: We'd like we are moving to admit that. THE COURT: Is there any objection to the document? MR. PUGACH: No objection.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. Q. Was there any customization of the system to meet those reporting requirements? A. Well, first and foremost, it needed to be able to accommodate the ballot format that we normally use. In addition to that, there was some customization and software updates relating to Monmouth County because we have a system where we it's called regional results where every 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission, Counsel? MR. SPIRO: It's not. THE COURT: Are you moving to admit that? MR. SPIRO: We'd like we are moving to admit that. THE COURT: Is there any objection to the document? MR. PUGACH: No objection. THE COURT: All right. So admitted.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. Q. Was there any customization of the system to meet those reporting requirements? A. Well, first and foremost, it needed to be able to accommodate the ballot format that we normally use. In addition to that, there was some customization and software updates relating to Monmouth County because we have a system where we it's called regional results where every one of our municipal clerks has a laptop throughout the county 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission, Counsel? MR. SPIRO: It's not. THE COURT: Are you moving to admit that? MR. SPIRO: We'd like we are moving to admit that. THE COURT: Is there any objection to the document? MR. PUGACH: No objection. THE COURT: All right. So admitted. MR. SPIRO: Can I pass this up?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. Q. Was there any customization of the system to meet those reporting requirements? A. Well, first and foremost, it needed to be able to accommodate the ballot format that we normally use. In addition to that, there was some customization and software updates relating to Monmouth County because we have a system where we it's called regional results where every one of our municipal clerks has a laptop throughout the county where they are able to transmit the results to my office in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission, Counsel? MR. SPIRO: It's not. THE COURT: Are you moving to admit that? MR. SPIRO: We'd like we are moving to admit that. THE COURT: Is there any objection to the document? MR. PUGACH: No objection. THE COURT: All right. So admitted. MR. SPIRO: Can I pass this up? THE COURT: You may.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. Q. Was there any customization of the system to meet those reporting requirements? A. Well, first and foremost, it needed to be able to accommodate the ballot format that we normally use. In addition to that, there was some customization and software updates relating to Monmouth County because we have a system where we it's called regional results where every one of our municipal clerks has a laptop throughout the county where they are able to transmit the results to my office in Freehold so that we can collect the results in a timely 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission, Counsel? MR. SPIRO: It's not. THE COURT: Are you moving to admit that? MR. SPIRO: We'd like we are moving to admit that. THE COURT: Is there any objection to the document? MR. PUGACH: No objection. THE COURT: All right. So admitted. MR. SPIRO: Can I pass this up? THE COURT: You may. THE WITNESS: Is that for me?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 fashion. Q. And after the machines were purchased, did it require more work to integrate the machines the way you just described? A. Well, we have done a lot of training to be able to use these machines. It involved training of not only our vendor, who handles or assists with the preparation of our ballots, but also my staff, the superintendent of elections staff, the board of elections staff, all of that training that we had to do to accommodate this, in addition to training the 2,000 poll workers that the board of elections had to train, and the municipal clerks of the 53 municipalities, who are the senior election official in every one of these municipalities, so that everybody was able to utilize this new system. Q. Was there any customization of the system to meet those reporting requirements? A. Well, first and foremost, it needed to be able to accommodate the ballot format that we normally use. In addition to that, there was some customization and software updates relating to Monmouth County because we have a system where we it's called regional results where every one of our municipal clerks has a laptop throughout the county where they are able to transmit the results to my office in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And then April 5th, we have to have our ballots ready for printing. And then April 20th is the date that we must send out mail-in ballots pursuant to state and federal law to mail-in ballot voters and overseas and military voters. MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce an exhibit which has not been previously introduced but was disclosed to Plaintiffs. It would be Defendants' Exhibit 4. THE COURT: And what is it, just so I know what it is? MR. SPIRO: It's a 2024 primary election timeline. THE COURT: Okay. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Is that part of any previous submission, Counsel? MR. SPIRO: It's not. THE COURT: Are you moving to admit that? MR. SPIRO: We'd like we are moving to admit that. THE COURT: Is there any objection to the document? MR. PUGACH: No objection. THE COURT: All right. So admitted. MR. SPIRO: Can I pass this up? THE COURT: You may.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 181 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
4	345	1	347
1	THE COURT: I have three. You have zero.		We will have a county commissioner election and up to
3	MR. PARIKH: Judge, if I may approach to take one of those back from you?	2	five, potentially, municipal elections in each of the
4	THE COURT: I was going to give it to my law clerk.	4	municipalities. We just don't know what those are yet. And we have county committee elections in each of the
5	MR. PARIKH: I'd be happy to get a copy to your law	5	474 election districts times two because of the everything
6	clerk.	6	will be times two for the Republicans and Democrats, and those
7	THE COURT: No, that's all right. Everybody's got	7	ballots are prepared separately.
8	one.	8	Q. And what's the county committee position you just
9	BY MR. SPIRO:	9	mentioned?
10	Q. Can you please tell us what this document is?	10	A. In all of the election districts within the state of New
11	A. This is the state timeline for the primary election, and	11	Jersey, there are members of representatives to the
12	it basically is just a recitation of the key dates that are	12	Republican and the Democratic parties, and it's two
13	pulled out of New Jersey law so that, you know, the clerks,	13	individuals per election district.
14	election officials, voters, everybody has these at their	14	So in Monmouth County, we have 474 election districts
15	fingertips if they need them.	15	times two individuals and Republicans and Democrats, and
16	Q. Is this something that can be publicly found?	16	these people are the official representatives to the parties,
17	A. Yes. It's on my website, Monmouthcountyvotes.com, and	17	to the political parties from their districts.
18	it's on probably most of the clerk websites and the	18	And this year Monmouth County, we have the county
19	division-of-elections website.	19	committee election.
20	Q . I believe the last date that you mentioned this may be	20	Q. And that adds up to approximately how many ballots that
21	a helpful reference was April 5th as the deadline for	21	you have to unique ballots you have to prepare for the
22	prepping official primary ballots.	22	election?
23	Are there any key deadlines that you'd like to	23	A. It's about I think it's about 958. I'm a little tired
24	highlight for the Court?	24	right now, but 958 and up to potentially 2,000 candidates that
25	A. There's a lot of deadlines on here.	25	have to be placed on the ballot.
	United States District Court	6	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey 346	, O~	District of New Jersey 348
1		1	
1	Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize.	1	Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just
_	Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize.		
2	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th. 	2	Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory
2	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th. April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's 	2	Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots?
2 3 4	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection 	2 3 4	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about
2 3 4 5	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I 	2 3 4 5	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th. April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming us? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that deadline. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know, other information that needs to appear on the ballot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that deadline. Q. Did you say that deadline? I missed it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know, other information that needs to appear on the ballot, instructions, things like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that deadline. Q. Did you say that deadline? I missed it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know, other information that needs to appear on the ballot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming us? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that deadline. Q. Did you say that deadline? I missed it. A. That was the 20th also of April. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know, other information that needs to appear on the ballot, instructions, things like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that deadline. Q. Did you say that deadline? I missed it. A. That was the 20th also of April. Q. And for this year's primary election, what are the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know, other information that needs to appear on the ballot, instructions, things like that. And also New Jersey law provides a framework by which the candidates appear within those columns and rows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th. April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that deadline. Q. Did you say that deadline? I missed it. A. That was the 20th also of April. Q. And for this year's primary election, what are the offices that will be on the ballot in Monmouth County? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know, other information that needs to appear on the ballot, instructions, things like that. And also New Jersey law provides a framework by which the candidates appear within those columns and rows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th. April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that deadline. Q. Did you say that deadline? I missed it. A. That was the 20th also of April. Q. And for this year's primary election, what are the offices that will be on the ballot in Monmouth County? A. In Monmouth County, we will have the president and the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know, other information that needs to appear on the ballot, instructions, things like that. And also New Jersey law provides a framework by which the candidates appear within those columns and rows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th, April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that deadline. Q. Did you say that deadline? I missed it. A. That was the 20th also of April. Q. And for this year's primary election, what are the offices that will be on the ballot in Monmouth County? A. In Monmouth County, we will have the president and the statewide delegates, the congressional delegates as well. We 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know, other information that needs to appear on the ballot, instructions, things like that. And also New Jersey law provides a framework by which the candidates appear within those columns and rows. Q. And then you mentioned that a framework is part of that kind of associational rules that you follow for the ballot format?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. I made it more confusing. I apologize. A. That's okay. I think I mentioned April 4th, April 5th. April 20th. We can keep going if you'd like, or if there's something that, you know, you want to refresh my recollection with, I Q. You mentioned you testified before about early voting. Is that is that a deadline that's coming up? A. Yes, yes, in May. It begins on May 29th and runs through June 2nd for the primary election and then, of course, Election Day, June 4th. Q. You mentioned state election dates, but you also mentioned federal deadlines. Are there any federal deadlines that we should be aware of? A. Yes, the federal deadline relating to the mailing of ballots to military and overseas voters. We then have to report to the Department of Justice that we complied with that deadline. Q. Did you say that deadline? I missed it. A. That was the 20th also of April. Q. And for this year's primary election, what are the offices that will be on the ballot in Monmouth County? A. In Monmouth County, we will have the president and the statewide delegates, the congressional delegates as well. We will have United States Senate. We will have three 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And then, other than the ballot deadlines that we've just been discussing, what are other types of statutory requirements that exist for preparation of the ballots? A. So Title 19 is our guideline with respect to just about everything we do for elections, unless there's case law that modifies that in some way. But there are various statutes that guide the preparation of the ballot, whether it's the general election ballot or the primary ballot. Q. What are the types of things that are dictated relating to the design and formatting of the ballot? A. Well, the statute that pertains to the primary election ballot speaks to columns and rows. So in terms of the ballot layout, the statute requires columns and rows. In addition to that, there's different language that needs to be included on the ballot office titles, you know, other information that needs to appear on the ballot, instructions, things like that. And also New Jersey law provides a framework by which the candidates appear within those columns and rows. Q. And then you mentioned that a framework is part of that kind of associational rules that you follow for the ballot format? A. Well, yes. There's there's basically a statute

		Page	e: 182 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	349 there are statutes relating to bracketing and separately	1	351Q. And that's for is that for any election that the
2		2	
3	grouping.	3	Monmouth County's conducted that you've overseen?
4	 Q. Can you please explain what you mean by bracketing? So bracketing the bracketing statute provides that 	4	A. We use the column or row grid pattern, and we do it the
_	A. So bracketing the bracketing statute provides that	_	same way in the general election as we do in the primary
5 6	various candidates can opt to appear in the same column or row	5 6	election. It's in this column-and-row format.
	of the ballot.	_	Q. And did you hear the testimony of plaintiffs' expert,
7	So the statute that guides this speaks specifically to	7	Mr. Macias, where he referred to the Long Branch ballot from
8	the ability of the county candidate, a candidate that can file	8	May of 2022?
9	a joint jointly countywide petition, which is a county	9	A. Yes.
10 11	generally speaking, a county commissioner candidate.	10 11	MR. SPIRO: I'd like to introduce that.
12	And it provides that that those candidates the	12	(Brief pause.)
12	campaign manager of those candidates can allow individuals to	13	MS. BROMBERG: P-2.
	appear on the ballot in the same column or row as those	14	MR. SPIRO: Okay.
14	individuals.	14	MS. BROMBERG: I think it's 2. The report is 3.
15	Q. You mentioned a drawing. Can you explain how the drawing	-	MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
16	would work in connection with the 2024 primary election?	16 17	THE COURT: You may.
17	A. So the 2024 primary election is the U.S. Senate. There		MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, just for clarity, it's PX
18	is a U.S. Senate election on the ballot. So there are various	18	it's Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3.
19	statutes that have to be harmonized in relationship to the	19	THE COURT: P-3.
20	U.S. Senate race being on the ballot.	20	MR. PARIKH. Correct.
21	There's a statute that dictates how the ballot draw	21	MR. SPIRO: So this has already been introduced into
22 23	takes place. It has to take place on April 4th. I believe	22 23	evidence, Your Honor.
23 24	it's 3:00 p.m., and it has to be open to the public and based	23 24	BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Ms. Hanlon, did you hear plaintiffs' expert testify that
24 25	on the statutory guidelines and case law as well.	24	Q. Ms. Hanlon, did you hear plaintiffs' expert testify that this is an office-block ballot?
25	In a U.S. Senate election, the candidates for United States District Court	25	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey
			District of New Dersey
	-	k -	352
1	350		352 A. L L beard his testimony. L don't recall if he said it
1	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on	1	A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it
1 2 3	350	1 2 3	
2	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the	2	A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block
23	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning.	23	A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot.
2 3 4	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a	2 3 4	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first.
2 3 4 5	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the	2 3 4 5	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot?
2 3 4 5 6	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not.
2 3 4 5 6 7	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure THE COURT: Sustained.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot? A. Well, this is a very different election because it is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SPIRO:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot? A. Well, this is a very different election because it is a nonpartisan municipal election. It's not a primary election
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County sorry. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot? A. Well, this is a very different election because it is a nonpartisan municipal election. It's not a primary election where you have certain statutes and specific statutes that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County sorry. THE COURT: Go ahead. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot? A. Well, this is a very different election because it is a nonpartisan municipal election. It's not a primary election where you have certain statutes and specific statutes that you're dealing with, and this has very few candidates on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County sorry. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. SPIRO: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot? A. Well, this is a very different election because it is a nonpartisan municipal election. It's not a primary election where you have certain statutes and specific statutes that you're dealing with, and this has very few candidates on the ballot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County sorry. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County using an office-block 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot? A. Well, this is a very different election because it is a nonpartisan municipal election. It's not a primary election where you have certain statutes and specific statutes that you're dealing with, and this has very few candidates on the ballot. So I wouldn't compare one with the other.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County sorry. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County using an office-block ballot for any presidential primary election? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot? A. Well, this is a very different election because it is a nonpartisan municipal election. It's not a primary election where you have certain statutes and specific statutes that you're dealing with, and this has very few candidates on the ballot. Q. I wouldn't compare one with the other. Q. You testified before about the ballot draw. What happens
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be on cards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County sorry. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County using an office-block ballot for any presidential primary election? A. No primary election. No. We are not we have not done 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot? A. Well, this is a very different election because it is a nonpartisan municipal election. It's not a primary election where you have certain statutes and specific statutes that you're dealing with, and this has very few candidates on the ballot. So I wouldn't compare one with the other. Q. You testified before about the ballot draw. What happens after the ballot draw?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 350 U.S. Senate would be placed in a box. Their names would be encards, be placed in a box, you know. It's not done like the alphabetical way that we heard this morning. The candidate names for U.S. Senate are placed in a box. The box is shaken, and names are randomly drawn from the box. And the first candidate drawn gets column 1, and the second candidate drawn gets column 2, and so on and so forth. If any one of those Senate candidates are bracketed with other candidates, the bracket would follow the order that the Senate candidates were drawn, and then, after that, we would draw any other candidates who hadn't been drawn already. Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County ever using a block-and-row ballot for any presidential primary election? A. I'm not sure what that means. MR. KOMUVES: I'm not sure THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County sorry. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. SPIRO: Q. Are you aware of Monmouth County using an office-block ballot for any presidential primary election? A. No primary election. No. We are not we have not done that. We have always, to my experience, used columns or rows 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I I heard his testimony. I don't recall if he said it looks like an office-block ballot or if it is an office-block ballot. Q. We'll start with the first. Is this an office-block ballot? A. In my opinion, it is not. Q. And why is that? A. Well, these candidates are bracketed, and you have their competitors first of all, they're in columns and rows, and their competitors, who are potential write-ins, are in the next column over. So I don't see this as what I have heard to be an office-block ballot. Q. Is this type of ballot comparable to a primary election ballot? A. Well, this is a very different election because it is a nonpartisan municipal election. It's not a primary election where you have certain statutes and specific statutes that you're dealing with, and this has very few candidates on the ballot. Q. You testified before about the ballot draw. What happens after the ballot draw? A. So after the ballot draw, we have at that point we

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pade	e: 183 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	353		355
1	ready to print. We are we have, over the course of that	1	going to work.
2	week prior to the ballot draw, been sending our ballot printer	2	In addition to that, we print out a set to get to our
3	information on candidates, on offices.	3	board of elections so that they can review these because I
4	And that information is being placed into the ballots	4	don't count the ballots. The board of elections is
5	format that we have designed over the past several years.	5	responsible for counting the ballots.
6	In addition to that, my team has been entering the	6	And then they are taking that set and ensuring that
7	up to 2,000 names into Excel spreadsheets along with lots of	7	everything is programmed properly because it's over 50,000
8	other information that goes into that, you know, addresses,	8	ballots that could potentially be coming back, maybe more than
9	email addresses.	9	that, this year. I'm not quite sure. So that all of that is
10	And we are gathering up all this information and	10	tested.
11	getting it to the ballot printer over the course of that time	11	And then we are prepping our envelopes. My team is
12	period after all of the petitions are filed.	12	still processing mail-in ballot applications. And once all of
13	Once we do the ballot draw, we're at that point of	13	the testing take place, then we go to printing these ballots
14	finalizing information and where things go on the ballot as it	14	and inserting them into the envelopes so that they will be
15	relates to the mail-in ballot.	15	ready to get out the door, begin the process, on April 20th.
16	So I just want to be specific about which type of	16	Q. And then, apart from the mail-in ballots, when are the
17	ballot we're talking about.	17	machine ballots prepared?
18	Q. Can you describe for the Court the interaction between	18	A. So as soon as we get the test to ES&S, we are already in
19	the mail-in ballot and machine ballots?	19	the process of preparing the machine ballot. The format for
20	A. So we we first work to ensure that we have the mail-in	20	our machine primary ballot is already designed. What we're
21	ballot ready to go because of the statutory deadlines.	21	then doing is entering information into the system so that the
22	Everything we do with respect to the mail-in ballot is part of	22	names and the offices can appear where they're supposed to be
23	this integrated Electionware software system. That is also	23	on the machine ballot.
24 25	the foundation for the machines.	24	So it's just an ongoing process. As soon as we're
25	There are decisions that we make with respect to the	25	ready to go with the mail-in ballots, we're working on the
	United States District Court	6	United States District Court District of New Jersey
	District of New Jersey	Ŭ,	•
	354	7	.356
1	354 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right	1	356 machine ballots.
1 2	354 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently	1	
	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right		machine ballots.
2	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently	2	machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots?
2 3	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have.	2 3	machine ballots.Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots?A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's
2 3 4	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our	2 3 4	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There
2 3 4 5	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that	2 3 4 5	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not
2 3 4 5 6	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and	2 3 4 5 6	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The
2 3 4 5 6 7	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several days as to all of those 2,000 names, the office where things 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that information onto the thousand flash drives, about a thousand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several days as to all of those 2,000 names, the office where things are going, the correct office titles. That takes my team 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that information onto the thousand flash drives, about a thousand flash drives, so that the flash drives contain all that data.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several days as to all of those 2,000 names, the office where things are going, the correct office titles. That takes my team several days of back-and-forth in terms of the proofreading 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that information onto the thousand flash drives, about a thousand flash drives, so that the flash drives contain all that data. And then it is given to our superintendent of elections office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several days as to all of those 2,000 names, the office where things are going, the correct office titles. That takes my team several days of back-and-forth in terms of the proofreading process. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that information onto the thousand flash drives, about a thousand flash drives, so that the flash drives contain all that data. And then it is given to our superintendent of elections office so that they can upload that data to the voting machines that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several days as to all of those 2,000 names, the office where things are going, the correct office titles. That takes my team several days of back-and-forth in terms of the proofreading process. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that information onto the thousand flash drives, about a thousand flash drives, so that the flash drives contain all that data. And then it is given to our superintendent of elections office so that they can upload that data to the voting machines that the superintendent has custody and control over. And then they do their their logic and accuracy testing that they do, and then ultimately those machines get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several days as to all of those 2,000 names, the office where things are going, the correct office titles. That takes my team several days of back-and-forth in terms of the proofreading process. When we have the final sign-off on the mail-in ballot that, you know, there are no other mistakes, everything is in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that information onto the thousand flash drives, about a thousand flash drives, so that the flash drives contain all that data. And then it is given to our superintendent of elections office so that they can upload that data to the voting machines that the superintendent has custody and control over. And then they do their their logic and accuracy testing that they do, and then ultimately those machines get sent out into the early voting locations and, after that, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several days as to all of those 2,000 names, the office where things are going, the correct office titles. That takes my team several days of back-and-forth in terms of the proofreading process. When we have the final sign-off on the mail-in ballot that, you know, there are no other mistakes, everything is in the right place, my printer prints a test deck that goes to ES&S, which is our, you know, election system. And ES&S takes the 958 ballots, and they test every single one of those races 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that information onto the thousand flash drives, about a thousand flash drives, so that the flash drives contain all that data. And then it is given to our superintendent of elections office so that they can upload that data to the voting machines that the superintendent has custody and control over. And then they do their their logic and accuracy testing that they do, and then ultimately those machines get sent out into the early voting locations and, after that, the Election Day polling locations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several days as to all of those 2,000 names, the office where things are going, the correct office titles. That takes my team several days of back-and-forth in terms of the proofreading process. When we have the final sign-off on the mail-in ballot that, you know, there are no other mistakes, everything is in the right place, my printer prints a test deck that goes to ES&S, which is our, you know, election system. And ES&S takes the 958 ballots, and they test every single one of those races on the system that we have to ensure that these are they're 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that information onto the thousand flash drives, about a thousand flash drives, so that the flash drives contain all that data. And then it is given to our superintendent of elections office so that they can upload that data to the voting machines that the superintendent has custody and control over. And then they do their their logic and accuracy testing that they do, and then ultimately those machines get sent out into the early voting locations and, after that, the Election Day polling locations. Q. And when do the machines need to be ready by?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 mail-in ballot that impact what happens on the machine. Right now, we are programmed for the ballot styles that we currently have. We are limited in terms of what can be contained on our mail-in ballot and the size of the mail-in ballot because that impacts the voting machine as well because there are sizes and constraints on the voting machine. And the size of the paper trail that is on the voting machine is impacted by the size of the mail-in ballot because things are interconnected. Q. And what else does your office need to do before the ballots are actually mailed out on April 20th? A. So there's a long process of proofing, proofreading the ballots that are going to be mailed out to the voters. We have a back-and-forth with our ballot printer over several days as to all of those 2,000 names, the office where things are going, the correct office titles. That takes my team several days of back-and-forth in terms of the proofreading process. When we have the final sign-off on the mail-in ballot that, you know, there are no other mistakes, everything is in the right place, my printer prints a test deck that goes to ES&S, which is our, you know, election system. And ES&S takes the 958 ballots, and they test every single one of those races 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 machine ballots. Q. And is there testing for the machine ballots? A. Yes. There's testing that's done by ES&S, and there's testing that's done by the superintendent of elections. There was a reference to logic and accuracy testing. That's not what the county clerks do. From my end, I don't do that. The superintendent of elections do that. But once all the data has been entered into the Electionware system, encoded properly with the codes that ES&S has given to my team so that everything appears where it's supposed to be and the graphic design has been done for the machine, then the system is sent to ES&S for them to test and ensure that everything is in the right place and it's working properly. Then we start the downloading process of all that information onto the thousand flash drives, about a thousand flash drives, so that the flash drives contain all that data. And then it is given to our superintendent of elections office so that they can upload that data to the voting machines that the superintendent has custody and control over. And then they do their their logic and accuracy testing that they do, and then ultimately those machines get sent out into the early voting locations and, after that, the Election Day polling locations.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rage	: 184	Date Filed: 04/01/2024
4	357	1	*	359
1 2	A. Typically we try to have the machines ready on or about	1		d of elections because they their scanners are
3	May 22nd because there's testing that needs to be done, and they need to be you know, it takes time to get the machines	3		med for the ballots that we have today, the format that nave today.
4	out to the polling locations before May 29th, I believe, is	4		how would the change to the ballot impact your
5	the date of early voting. And there needs to be time for the	5		like your printer?
6	public to inspect the numbers. There's statutory requirements	6		ny ballot printer we would have to undertake an
7	about that.	7		of how these races would be laid out on a ballot,
8	Q. Are there other ballots that your office is preparing	8	5	it would be one page, two pages, three pages, in order
9	during this time?	9	to get all	of these different offices onto the ballot. And
10	A. Yes. In the meantime, we're also preparing provisional	10		urn, impacts what goes on in the machine because of
11	ballots that need to be scanned and emergency ballots. We	11	this vote	r card, you know, this card that goes into the voting
12	have to have provisional and emergency for each of the 948	12	machine	that ultimately ends up being the paper trail.
13	-58 contest you know, the different ballot styles that we	13	Because	if they are not compatible, it leads to problems at
14	have.	14	the polls	
15	Q. Is there a time when a sample ballot needs to be	15	W	ith respect to changing the format on the primary
16	prepared?	16	ballot, th	at would take us some time to figure out where
17	A. Yes. The sample ballot is also being prepared thank	17	things w	ould go, a completely new layout, how it would fit,
18	you for refreshing my recollection with that. We have to send	18	and then	a determination as to whether the scanners that the
19	out a sample ballot to the voters. I believe it's three days	19		elections has can be reprogrammed to accommodate the
20	prior to the early voting period.	20		ot design
21	So a sample ballot also needs to be prepared, and	21		eparate and apart from that, with respect to changing
22	there's statutory requirements on what goes on that as well.	22		n on the voting machine, my vendor, my ballot
23 24	Q. So now that you've addressed, I think, kind of a lot of	23 24		aid that is unchartered territory.
24 25	the moving components, how would changes to the ballot design impact the ES&S election system?	24	-12	MR. KOMUVES: Objection. THE WITNESS: They did not know what to do.
23	United States District Court	20	P	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey			District of New Jersey
	358	<u>40-</u>		360
1	A. So I've been working to talk to my vendor, talk to my	1		MR. KOMUVES: She's talking about what her ballot
2	people about the changes that would need to take place, and	2	printer to	
3	there was a lot of testimony this morning about, you know,	3		THE COURT: Sustained. I mean, sustained.
4	reentering the data no matter what.	4	Iť	s okay. It's not your fault. It's a legal issue,
5	The issue, though, is what happens prior to that. And	5	but sustai	ned.
6	for our primary election ballot, if I would have to change it,	6		MR. SPIRO: We had said before that hearsay in
7	there is a design process that would need to be undertaken to	7	prelimina	ry injunctions and it has formed her impression,
8	determine where things go, whether the equipment and software	8	which I th	ink would be an exception to hearsay.
9	that we have could accommodate changes to the ballots that we	9		THE COURT: Let me hear the whole answer. What's the
10	have right now.	10	question a	again?
11	And my apologies. It's late. I lost track of your	11	BY MR. SI	
12	question. I feel like I was going in another direction, so if	12		nk it
13	you could remind me of the question.	13		were asking about the changes, and I said that my
14 15	Q. No, I think you covered it.	14	-	inter, who designs the ballot for my voting machine,
15 16	THE COURT: It's late.	15		would be uncharted territory, that he would need
16 17	MR. SPIRO: It's late. And in the interest of	16 17	-	to do that.
18	brevity, I think you covered it well. BY MR. SPIRO:	18		THE COURT: I'll allow that answer only because I've earsay earlier against the defense. I don't see why
19	Q. And in the same vein, how would changes to the ballot	19		to it against the plaintiffs' counsel also.
20	impact other election offices in Monmouth County?	20		b, no, your answer is appropriate and will be
21	 A. Well, because we are three different election offices, 	21		d by the Court.
22	any changes that are made affect the superintendent of	22		MR. SPIRO: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	elections office, who is in charge of the machines; it would	23	BY MR. SI	
24	affect the training of poll workers relating to the machines,	24		heard testimony before about how an office-block
25	the training of our municipal clerks; and it would also impact	25	ballot s	trike that, please.
	United States District Court			United States District Court
	District of New Jersey			District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10 F	Page	e: 185 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	361 You heard testimony before about how a grid ballot	1	363 this would be of grave concern to be able to get this done in
2	could be made to look like an office-block ballot, right? And	2	the very short time frame that we have.
3	part of that testimony was that perhaps there could be	3	BY MR. SPIRO:
4	different blocks on the ballot itself.	4	Q. Do you have any concerns about how the statute would
5	Do you recall that?	5	operate if the bracketing were removed as a component from the
6	A. Yes.	6	statute?
7	Q. And have you given consideration for the 2024 primary	7	A. Yes, I do. Because I think that as county clerks, a
8	election about how many blocks would need to appear on the	8	great deal of what we do is we have to follow the statute. So
9	ballot, if it could even be done, in order to accomplish the	9	there are things that we wouldn't know what to do. We would
10	number of offices that need to be shown to voters?	10	need some kind of framework to work within so that we could
11	A. Just give me a moment.	11	determine what we do next.
12	Depending upon the municipal races, it could be up to	12	And just like, for example, if my if
13	ten depending upon what is going on with the municipal races.	13	Monmouth County's voting machines were unable to be used, I
14	I think I counted that right.	14	would need some authority somewhere to say to me, here's what
15	Q. Do you have any concerns about attempting to put ten	15	you do. It has to be Court order, has to be executive order,
16	up to ten blocks on a ballot?	16	it has to be a statute saying, In the event that you cannot
17	A. Yes. I don't know whether that can fit on the ballots	17	use those, here's what you do. So like in 2020 when we were
18	that we have today. As there was testimony earlier, there is	18	having the COVID-19 crisis and we couldn't you know, the
19	a limit by the plaintiff's expert, there's a limited number	19	state decided that we were going to go a different process, it
20	of grids on this system, and you would have to factor in how	20	was an executive order that said, County clerks, you're just
21	many of these office blocks you could fit on the ballot and in	21	sending out all mail-in ballots, but that wasn't something
22	what order and how would that appear.	22	that we could decide to do.
23	You know, there's a consideration as to what that would	23	So having the framework of what we do is very
24	look like.	24	important.
25	Q. If there if the Court were to rule that office-block	25	In addition to that, conducting the ballot draw. You
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	Θ^{\sim}	District of New Jersey
1	balloting is a constitutional requirement, what are some of	1	364 know, we would need some kind of guidance as to how to do
2	the concerns that you might have with attempting to administer	2	that. If I have to draw every race separately, I could be
3	an election in that circumstance?	3	doing 1,300 of those ballot draws. And if I have to do that
4	A. Well, to a large degree, I have to rely on our election	4	from 3:30 p.m., the day before the ballots have to go to
5	system company that has filed affidavits in this case about	5	print, and it has to be open to the public, you know, I need
6	the inability to do certain things by the primary election.	6	some framework to say, okay, here's what you have to do. This
7	It's their equipment. It's their software. It's proprietary	7	is how you accomplish this.
8	software that I cannot make changes to.	8	There are statutes that guide what we do, and there's a
9	So understanding what they are saying and I had a	9	framework that we work within.
10	conversation with them myself, and I'm going to ask the judge	10	THE COURT: How many questions do you have left?
11	before I say what what	11	MR. SPIRO: Five or less.
12	THE COURT: Go ahead. You can get it out.	12	THE COURT: All right. Let's get to it.
13	THE WITNESS: Well, when I asked my representative	13	BY MR. SPIRO:
14	from ES&S, he said I said, Could you do this right now?	14	Q. If you were if the ballot was declared
15	And he said, No, that would be bad. That was my that was	15	unconstitutional, and it wasn't feasible to do machine ballots
16	my representative even before these affidavits came into play.	16	in the time frame provided by statute, have you given
17	And in addition to that, when I'm relying on my ballot	17	consideration to the possibility of shifting to paper ballots?
18	vendor, who is the one who is helping me create what that	18	A. So it depends on what kind of paper ballots. So there
19	machine looks like and what the ballots look like and my	19	would need to be some kind of directive, you know, from my
20	I'm sorry my printing vendor that that is uncharted	20	either the Court or an executive order or something like that
21	territory, and my staff is not capable of making these changes	21	or law change that says we're going all mail-in ballots.
22	because my staff has been trained on a certain type of format.	22	And that's assuming that ES&S can reprogram our
23 24	My staff knows certain codes to plug in play into the format	23 24	scanners to accommodate all mail-in ballots, but I just can't
24 25	that we have right now.	24 25	make a decision to do that. If we don't have that, I think
20	So I have to rely on the information I have to say that United States District Court	20	that we would have to do paper and potentially hand-counting United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey
1	District OF NEW JEISEY	1	District Of INEW JEISEY

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rage	: 186	Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	365			367
1	paper.	1		THE COURT: What would be so then what would you
2	Q. How would that work with early voting?	2	want ne	xt, Kim's 30(b)(6)?
3	A. For early voting, we would need to have enough paper	3		MR. PARIKH: No. Well, they designated Mr. Kim as
4	ballots to accommodate the 950 I forgot, how many it	4		. I think his campaign manager would be the next one.
5	was 958 sorry, I'm getting tired; I think that was the	5		to confer with everybody, but I think that would be
6	number ballot styles because we have Republicans and	6	the	
7	Democrats, primary.	7		THE COURT: Is he here?
8	We have the county committee elections, so we have to	8		MR. PARIKH: He should be here sequestered somewhere.
9	have all those ballot styles in each of the polling locations,	9		THE COURT: All right. So then why don't we do that:
10	which in Monmouth County is ten, and then we would have to	10		the cross. Hopefully, we can get through that, have
11 12	have enough of those to accommodate whoever walked in the	11 12		ness, but then we have to adjourn for the day.
12 13	door.	12		CSOs have to leave. Building has to close down. So be
	So I have nothing to compare that to because we haven't			I to have the campaign manager on deck. Whenever one
14 15	had a presidential primary election with early voting. I only	14 15	,	not doing something, go out there and make sure that
16	know that, during early voting for our general election, you	16	unings ai	re set up while Ms. Hanlon is on the witness stand.
17	know, the number varies. Our max, I think, was about 33,000 voters that came in to vote early.	17	Your Ho	MR. PUGACH: Your Honor, that's just been arranged,
18	5	18		THE COURT: Okay. Great. So let's start with the
19	But even if we did that, even if I had paper there, there's a framework that I would need. What happens with that		crocc th	en. Let's continue.
20		20	cross, tri	MR. PUGACE: Your Honor, we also wanted to just make
21	paper once the voter is voting on that paper if I'm not using the machines.	21	sure that	t our expert reports are already in evidence.
22	What is the custody and control? What are the	22	Sule tha	THE COURT: All the experts are in, so, to the extent
23	envelopes? What are the what are the things that I'm using	23	another	expert is not testifying on direct, you have the
24	to ensure that the ballots are protected and secure and able	24	report in	
25	to be ultimately counted for the election?	25	1	The reason why I compelled their testimony was to
_•	United States District Court		R.	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	CX		District of New Jersey
	366	\$ P -		368
1	Q. I know you described a number of different variables that	1	really give	ve some advantage to the defense to have the ability
2	we don't know, but have you given thought to what the	2		st cross examine some of these witnesses.
3	estimated cost would be to implement a ballot change at this	3	9	So I have given that opportunity, but let's have that
4	point in your process?	4	witness	on deck, and let's get the cross-examination started
5	A. No. I'm sorry. I have not.	5	right this	s second.
6	MR. SPIRO: I have no further questions	6		MR. GENOVA: On the issue of you and I talked
7	THE COURT: Thank you.	7	about th	is
8	Is there any cross?	8		MR. PARIKH: Please.
9	MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor.	9		MR. GENOVA: On the issue of the experts, Your Honor,
10	THE COURT: How long is it? Because I want to see	10	we have	n't had any discovery with them. We understand here
11	before we get to cross from the defense side, who's the next	11		THE COURT: The building is closing. So you're
12	witness, the 30(b)(6) witness?	12	wasting	time now with the witness that's on cross-examination.
13	I want to squeeze one more in, and then we're likely	13	So this is	s all a waste of time.
14	done.	14		MR. GENOVA: I'm just asking whether a letter with
15	So who do you want to speak with since pretty much	15	respect i	n lieu
16	these witnesses were compelled for the benefit of the defense,	16		THE COURT: Let's talk about that after we get the
17	not the plaintiff?	17	witnesse	s done. Right? I mean, it doesn't need to be done
18	So if you have a choice of one more witness, who would	18	this seco	nd. I can hold you guys a little longer, but we have
19	that be?	19	other fol	ks here that we need to get
20	MR. PARIKH: I think	20		MR. PARIKH: What I was going to say, Your Honor, is
21	MR. GOLDBERG: Well, I would indicate that this is	21		y have put in six or seven expert reports to
22	Howard Goldberg, Camden County.	22		ct everything that Ms. Hanlon said.
23	The testimony just given is essentially the same as	23		So do they even need the opportunity to cross her right
24	that Deputy Clerk John Schmidt would give, so we would	24		an that hold, and they can rely upon these reports that
25	stipulate to that. We don't need to call them.	25	Your Hoi	nor has already accepted, and we can get to the actual
	United States District Court			United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	1		District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Pad	e: 187 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
	369		371
1	fact witnesses to get to the issues of undue delay,	1	A. Was I in the column that was endorsed by the
2	irreparable harm, and other issues that have not been	2	Monmouth County Republican organization?
3	testified to?	3	Q. Yes.
4	THE COURT: How long is the cross-examination for	4	A. Yes.
5	Ms. Hanlon?	5	 Q. And featured together in block on on the ballot?
6	MR. KOMUVES: Your Honor, it's not going to be	7	A. We were in a column.Q. In a column. Okay.
8	insubstantial. I mean, her testimony was pretty long. THE COURT: Yeah, but I agree with Mr. Parikh, Right?	8	And your term is up next year, right?
9	You've had the benefit of all your expert reports in, right?	9	And your term is up next year, right? A. Yes.
10	I provided and compelled these witnesses, so the defense has	10	Q. And when you run for reelection you're hoping to get the
11	some opportunity to respond.	11	benefit of the line again, aren't you?
12	So how much more can I do? This cannot be a	12	MR. GENOVA: Your Honor, objection. She's already
13	situation I hate to tell you this, but I feel like I'm	13	testified she ran for office. This is I mean, it's
14	giving a lesson to a bunch of teenagers.	14	cumulative. It's unnecessary.
15	You can't have the court for as long as you want. That	15	THE COURT: I'm going to give you about five more
16	is not what today was about. You guys actually provided a	16	questions. You can use them on these; I don't mind. But you
17	joint proposed hearing agenda that was complete nonsense.	17	are not going to get to the crux of what you are trying to get
18	If I looked at what you actually wrote in there and	18	to, because I just told you I was going to limit your cross.
19	said, We will get all this done today, that was not true, and	19	MR. KOMUVES: Judge, at this point
20	that comes from both sides.	20	BY MR. KOMUVES:
21	So now I have to put my foot down and say, Where do you	21	Q. Do you have your certification there?
22	want to end this?	22	A. No.
23	I'm going to defer to the defense because you've had	23	MR. KOMUVES: May I approach?
24	the benefit of filing the verified complaint. You had the	24	THE COURT: You may.
25	benefit of the expert reports being provided to the Court.	25	BY MR. KOMUVES:
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	6	District of New Jersey
	370		372
1	The defense has had limited time to respond.	1	Q. This is the certification. It bears document number ECF
2	And although I didn't have to compel these witness, I	2	61-2.
3	did, and I did that to equal the playing field. So if you want to ask a few questions of Ms. Hanlon,	3	Ms. Hanlon, in that certification, paragraph 26, you wrote you are not personally aware of the ES&S Express Vote XL
4	I'll allow you that, but that's all you're getting.	4 5	machines or accompanying elections management software being
6	Then we're going to get the campaign manager on. We're	6	used with an office-block balloting format in any county in
7	going to do that testimony, and then we are adjourning.	7	the state.
8	So decide what few questions you want to ask	8	Do you stand by that statement today?
9	Ms. Hanlon, but you're not getting to take up the rest of the	9	A. Yes, but with a qualification.
10	day with this witness and prejudice the defense from getting	10	Q. Please share that.
11	that one last witness where they're going to try to address	11	A. I stand by that statement because I am not aware of the
12	some of the issues with respect to the preliminary injunction	12	ExpressXL in the bubble format that you have been talking
13	request.	13	about and people have been talking about today.
14	So let's get to it	14	Q. All right. We
15	(CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOMUVES:)	15	A. The columns and rows are the format of the Express XL.
16	Q. Ms. Hanlon, on the primary election. You were the	16	If somewhere it can be made to look like something, that's a
17	county clerk in 2015 and 2020?	17	different question.
18	A. Yeah.	18	THE COURT: Ms. Hanlon, is that your certification?
19	Q. Were you was your name listed on the county-line in	19	THE WITNESS: So I'm not aware
20	those two elections?	20	THE COURT: Is that your certification
21	A. I don't usually use that term.	21	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
22	Q. Do you know what it means?	22	THE COURT: Do you stand by your certification
23	 A. I know what you're thinking it means. 	23	THE WITNESS: I do.
24	Q. Okay. Based on what I think it means, were you on the	24	THE COURT: or not?
25	county-line	25	THE WITNESS: I do.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
1	District of New Jersey	1	District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 188 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	373	1	375
2	THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Okay.	2	election. My print vendor said, Uncharted territory and my staff cannot do that. Because you have so many races, you
3	THE COURT: There it is. So you stand by every	3	can't just look at one.
4	paragraph in your certification?	4	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Hanlon. You're excused.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	5	Counsel, get the next. You've got about 20 minutes
6	THE COURT: Counsel, what's the next question?	6	before we have to shut down the building.
7	BY MR. KOMUVES:	7	(Brief pause.)
8	Q. Are you familiar with the you've seen the Long Branch	8	THE COURT: Counsel, you've got 15 minutes with the
9	ballot from May of 2022, right? Just I want to understand.	9	witness. Anything else will be supplemented in writing. The
10	Your contention is that's not office-block?	10	building's closing. You guys are getting dismissed. And the
11	A. Right.	11	fact that nobody's listening, this is where we're at. So
12	Q. Right. But your your systems could design mail for	12	you've got 15 minutes with the witness. You want to
13	election ballots looking like that today, could they not?	13	supplement something in writing, both parties can use a few
14	A. This is a contested election with columns and rows.	14	pages to do that. We're done.
15	Depending upon the number of columns and rows that would be	15	(NOAH DION, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN/AFFIRMED, TESTIFIED AS
16	a determining factor.	16	FOLLOWS:)
17	Q. Could you design an election ballot today that has the	17	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Please state your name and
18	candidates in a column with the name and the office term and	18	the spelling of your last name for the record.
19	other information directly to its left, the way the	19	THE WITNESS: Noah Dion, D-I-O-N.
20	Long Branch ballot	20	MR. PARIKH: Judge, may I approach?
21	A. For the for the primary I'm sorry. For the machine	21	THE COURT: You may. You have 15 minutes.
22	ballot?	22	MR. PARIKH: I understand, Judge.
23	Q . Well, let's start with that, yeah.	23	(DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PARIKH:)
24	A. I can't say that right now, because I'm basing my	24	Q. Tam going to give you what has been marked as
25	knowledge on what I stated earlier, what my printing vendor is	25	Defendants' Exhibit 3. Hold on to that for a minute.
	United States District Court	~	United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
	374	R.	376
1	saying, what ES&S is saying, what my staff is saying.	1	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what
2	Q. Just to be clear, your printer has not ruled out the	2	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now?
-	possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the	23	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey.
2 3 4	possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct?	2 3 4	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job?
2 3 4 5	possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or	2 3 4 5	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th.
2 3 4 5 6	possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what?	2 3 4 5 6	 Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 nunicipal for the primary election? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 nunicipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 nunicipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can that that can be designed for machine ballots today by your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line. And the discussion was, you know as we were getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can that that can be designed for machine ballots today by your software? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line. And the discussion was, you know as we were getting into things, the congressman had come out against it, and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 nunicipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can that that can be designed for machine ballots today by your software? A. Not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line. And the discussion was, you know as we were getting into things, the congressman had come out against it, and we were getting into a competitive primary situation, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can that that can be designed for machine ballots today by your software? A. Not. MR. PARIKH: Objection. It's argument. It's been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line. And the discussion was, you know as we were getting into things, the congressman had come out against it, and we were getting into a competitive primary situation, and the line looked to loom large, and we were talking about, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 nunicipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can that that can be designed for machine ballots today by your software? A. Not. MR. PARIKH: Objection. It's argument. It's been asked and answered now four times. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line. And the discussion was, you know as we were getting into things, the congressman had come out against it, and we were getting into a competitive primary situation, and the line looked to loom large, and we were talking about, you know, how do we support this suit and how do we get involved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can that that can be designed for machine ballots today by your software? A. Not. MR. PARIKH: Objection. It's argument. It's been asked and answered now four times. THE COURT: Let me just hear the answer because it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line. And the discussion was, you know as we were getting into things, the congressman had come out against it, and we were getting into a competitive primary situation, and the line looked to loom large, and we were talking about, you know, how do we support this suit and how do we get involved. Q. And why did you reach out well, let me ask this first:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can that that can be designed for machine ballots today by your software? A. Not. MR. PARIKH: Objection. It's argument. It's been asked and answered now four times. THE COURT: Let me just hear the answer because it's going to take longer to deal with your objections. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line. And the discussion was, you know as we were getting into things, the congressman had come out against it, and we were getting into a competitive primary situation, and the line looked to loom large, and we were talking about, you know, how do we support this suit and how do we get involved. Q. And why did you reach out well, let me ask this first: Did you reach out to any lawyer other lawyers before you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 municipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can that that can be designed for machine ballots today by your software? A. Not. MR. PARIKH: Objection. It's argument. It's been asked and answered now four times. THE COURT: Let me just hear the answer because it's going to take longer to deal with your objections. So overruled. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line. And the discussion was, you know as we were getting into things, the congressman had come out against it, and we were getting into a competitive primary situation, and the line looked to loom large, and we were talking about, you know, how do we support this suit and how do we get involved. Q. And why did you reach out well, let me ask this first: Did you reach out to any lawyer other lawyers before you reached out to the lawyers that are here today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 possibility of having a ballot that looks like this in the primary election, correct? A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're saying. This or what? Q. Has your printer ruled out the possibility of having a ballot that looks like the Long Branch 2022 nunicipal for the primary election? A. One does not equal the other. Q. The 2022 election has been the 2022 Long Branch ballot has been referred to as an office-block ballot. A. Not by me. Q. I understand that. My question is a ballot that looks like this, can that that can be designed for machine ballots today by your software? A. Not. MR. PARIKH: Objection. It's argument. It's been asked and answered now four times. THE COURT: Let me just hear the answer because it's going to take longer to deal with your objections. So overruled. THE WITNESS: My answer is the same as it was before. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Can you just tell the judge what your role is and what your job is right now? A. I am the campaign manager for Andy Kim for New Jersey. Q. And when did you get hired for that job? A. I started on October 13th. Q. And at some point in late November, you reached out to counsel with respect to wanting to have a conversation regarding the county-line; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And before you had the conversations with counsel, what was the conversations amongst the campaign with respect the county-line? A. You know, I was not familiar with New Jersey, so I was learning and hearing about other cases going forward and was knew there was a case to take on the county-line. And the discussion was, you know as we were getting into things, the congressman had come out against it, and we were getting into a competitive primary situation, and the line looked to loom large, and we were talking about, you know, how do we support this suit and how do we get involved. Q. And why did you reach out well, let me ask this first: Did you reach out to any lawyer other lawyers before you reached out to the lawyers that are here today? A. No.

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Rage	e: 189 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
4	377		379
1 2	A. I remember finding the On The Line website, and they were	1	Do you recall talking or hearing about experts being
2 3	listed as counsel.	2	hired for the case?
4	Q. So they seemed to have the most information and knowledge regarding the issue, correct?	4	A. I don't recall hearing that experts were hired. I recall a conversation about experts, about what type of experts we
5	A. Correct.	5	would need.
6	Q. Okay. And so you had a Zoom meeting with them on or	6	Q. So why don't we turn to page 21, please. We're going to
7	about November 30th; is that right?	7	go backwards, okay.
8	A. That sounds correct.	8	So at the bottom of that page, if we start moving up,
9	Q. Okay. Why don't you take a look at that packet I gave	9	it looks like it goes oldest date at the bottom to most recent
10	you. It's double-sided, but why don't we look at page why	10	date near the top.
11	don't we start with page 1, actually, right on the front.	11	And it shows a series of emails between you and counsel
12	So at the very bottom it says November 30th, 2023,	12	regarding meetings, et cetera. Correct?
13	meeting recap, right?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. Yep.	14	Q. Okay. Now, already in evidence is the fact that multiple
15	Q. Was Congressman Kim on that call?	15	experts were hired around December 18th, so would that
16	A. I don't believe so.	16	MS. BROMBERG: Objection, Your Honor.
17	Q. Okay. So now it looks like do you recall the next	17	MR. KOMUVES: Misstates testimony.
18	time you spoke with counsel?	18	THE COURT: Sorry. Repeat it.
19	A. I do not.	19	MR. PARIKH: I said, Your Honor, that already in
20	Q. All right. Why don't we turn to page 16, please. Right	20	evidence is testimony that experts were hired on or around
21	above your name on that page.	21	December 18th,
22	Do you see your name on that page?	22	MR. KOMUVES: Misstates the record.
23	A. Yes.	23	MR. PARIKH: Okay. I'll rephrase.
24 25	Q. It says, Invitation, New Jersey county-line conversation,	24	THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not so sure that was the
25	Friday, December 8th, 2023, Noon to 1:00 p.m. Eastern time, United States District Court	25	testimony either. I don't have a transcript in front of me, United States District Court
	District of New Jersey		District of New Jersey
	378	, e-	380
1	correct?	1	but I'm not sure they said they hired anyone on December '18.
2	A. That's correct.	2	MR. PARIKH: They are correct, Your Honor.
3	Q. All right. And so was there a call on December 8th	3	THE COURT: All right.
4	regarding the county-line?	4	BY MR. PARIKH:
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. After your meeting on December 15th, it appears that
6	Q. And right above that, it looks like there was another	6	counsel contacted a series of experts on or around December
7	weekly call the next Friday; is that right?	7	18th.
8	A. I wouldn't categorize it as a weekly call, but yes, there	8	Does that timeline seem to make sense to you?
9	was a call on the 15th.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And on that December 15th call, Congressman Kim was on	10	Q. Now, if we continue to move up, and we go to page 20,
11	there as well, correct?	11	which brings us through New Year's, it looks like on
12	 A. I believe actually, I don't remember. Co these ensuits that usely backing at a structure to a structure. 	12	January 3rd you received some emails about quick update
13 14	Q. So these emails that you're looking at actually, I think from Congregomen Kim. You can go to page 15, the prior	13 14	regarding experts.
14 15	think, from Congressman Kim. You can go to page 15, the prior page. You can see that his name is up there.	14	Do you see that? A. Is this to me or from me?
16	Does that help refresh your memory as to whether he was	16	Q. This would be this would be to you from one of the
17	on those calls?	17	counsel that are here at the table to my right.
18	A. Yeah. It would seem that he was on those calls.	18	A. Okay. So these are the my emails the emails that
19	Q. Okay. Now, it was on December 15th that the campaign	19	were okay that were addressed to me. Okay.
20	agreed or decided to proceed with pursuing this case,	20	Q. Well, some are to you; some are from you. But yes, all
21	correct?	21	involve you in this list.
22	A. I don't remember the date.	22	A. Got it. Re: Quick update, experts, yes.
23	Q. Well, right above that, if you look if you continue to	23	Q. Okay. And so it made sense to you that you understood
24	look. I'm sorry. I'm getting a different page now. This is	24	that experts had been engaged and were working on reports as
25	the way this was produced.	25	of January 23rd, 2024?
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	1	District of New Jersey

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	age	e: 190 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	A. I knew that we were that counsel was talking to	1	fact that, you know, the issue here was that it's about the
-	experts. I don't think we that's that's what I would	2	ballot design.
•	say.	3	Is that your understanding of the reason that the
4	Q. All right. And you understood at that time that this was	4	campaign is here in court is to change the ballot design?
	heading towards litigation, correct?	5	A. Yes.
6	A. We had not made, in my summation, a final decision,	6	Q. Okay. And it has less to do with what endorsements the
7	because there needed to be other pieces brought together. So	7	Congressman has or has not received from either county
-	I think that we knew we were getting serious. We signed a	8	political organizations or others, correct?
9	letter of retainer.	9	A. Correct.
	Q . So let's go up a little bit more. It looks like on June	10	Q. You understood, being somebody that wasn't from
11	3rd, Mr. Komuves sent an invitation to you. It says, video	11	New Jersey, that when you came here, you understood that
	conference regarding litigation status for Friday, January	12	the ballot design in New Jersey was unique; is that right?
13	5th, 2024.	13	A. I learned it very quickly.
14	Does that refresh your memory as to what that there	14	Q. And that was back in September, October once you got on
15	was a discussion about litigation status in January of 2024?	15	board?
16	A. Okay. Yeah. I mean, we were talking about it.	16	A. Yes.
17	Q. As of June 5th, 2024, there was an understanding that	17	Q. So wasn't the house on fire, so to speak, back in
18	Andy Kim for New Jersey was going to pursue litigation related	18	November once a a competitor entered the campaign and you
19	to the county-line, correct?	19	understood that the ballot design could have an issue on the
20	A. No.	20	campaign?
21	MR. KOMUVES: Misstates the testimony. Objection.	21	A. We understood that it was going to be formidable, that
22	THE COURT: He's answering the question. Let the	22	people were lining up in a way that did not appear to be
23	witness answer it.	23	balanced in any way with the first lady and I think we were
24	THE WITNESS: No. There was a discussion about	24	looking ahead to what we could do to make sure that we we
25	what what whether there would be litigation, and I don't	25	put all of our best efforts into into securing those lines.
	United States District Court		United States District Court
	District of New Jersey	Θ	District of New Jersey
	382		384
1	think anything was finalized until a later date. BY MR. PARIKH:	1	I mean, we've obviously won nine now, and that was a
3	Q. Now, there's also a December 1st federal election	23	purposeful effort on our part to make sure that we competed in places where we could.
-		4	But, yeah, it seems unfair. It seemed wrong that we
5	commission deadline, correct? A. Oh, for filing for D. Einanco?	-	But, year, it seems analis. It seemed wong that we
		5	were being essentially shut out of the process without even
6	Q. Finance?	5 6	were being essentially shut out of the process without even being given an opportunity.
6 7	Q. Finance:		being given an opportunity.
_	Q. Finance:	6	being given an opportunity.
7	A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last	6 7	being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November,
7 8 9	A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds.	6 7 8	being given an opportunity.Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct?
7 8 9	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, 	6 7 8 9	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah.
7 8 9 10	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, 	6 7 8 9 10	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign
7 8 9 10 11	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? 	6 7 8 9 10 11	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? A. No. The report actually has not been that report was 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect THE COURT: You only have a few minutes left. Use
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? A. No. The report actually has not been that report was filed, but no. 	6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect THE COURT: You only have a few minutes left. Use last three minutes wisely.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? A. No. The report actually has not been that report was filed, but no. Q. Okay. Was that done strategically, to hide the fact that 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect THE COURT: You only have a few minutes left. Use last three minutes wisely. MR. PARIKH: Understood. Appreciate it.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? A. No. The report actually has not been that report was filed, but no. Q. Okay. Was that done strategically, to hide the fact that this litigation makes it possible? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect THE COURT: You only have a few minutes left. Use last three minutes wisely. MR. PARIKH: Understood. Appreciate it. BY MR. PARIKH:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? A. No. The report actually has not been that report was filed, but no. Q. Okay. Was that done strategically, to hide the fact that this litigation makes it possible? A. No. I believe we were going back and forth over the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect THE COURT: You only have a few minutes left. Use last three minutes wisely. MR. PARIKH: Understood. Appreciate it. BY MR. PARIKH: Q. The effect of the ballot is pretty significant. How long
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? A. No. The report actually has not been that report was filed, but no. Q. Okay. Was that done strategically, to hide the fact that this litigation makes it possible? A. No. I believe we were going back and forth over the break. I think it was just the date that made sense. That 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect THE COURT: You only have a few minutes left. Use last three minutes wisely. MR. PARIKH: Understood. Appreciate it. BY MR. PARIKH: Q. The effect of the ballot is pretty significant. How long have you been in campaigns?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? A. No. The report actually has not been that report was filed, but no. Q. Okay. Was that done strategically, to hide the fact that this litigation makes it possible? A. No. I believe we were going back and forth over the break. I think it was just the date that made sense. That was my understanding of it, but 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect THE COURT: You only have a few minutes left. Use last three minutes wisely. MR. PARIKH: Understood. Appreciate it. BY MR. PARIKH: Q. The effect of the ballot is pretty significant. How long have you been in campaigns? A. My first was in 2010.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? A. No. The report actually has not been that report was filed, but no. Q. Okay. Was that done strategically, to hide the fact that this litigation makes it possible? A. No. I believe we were going back and forth over the break. I think it was just the date that made sense. That was my understanding of it, but Q. Understood. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect THE COURT: You only have a few minutes left. Use last three minutes wisely. MR. PARIKH: Understood. Appreciate it. BY MR. PARIKH: Q. The effect of the ballot is pretty significant. How long have you been in campaigns?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Well, you didn't have to file that day. That is the last day to raise funds. Q. Right. And so the filing that happens after that day, you have to report everything up through December 31st, correct? A. Correct. Q. And was anything related to this litigation incurred or paid for in advance of December 31st? A. No. Q. So none of it appeared on the reports, correct? A. No. The report actually has not been that report was filed, but no. Q. Okay. Was that done strategically, to hide the fact that this litigation makes it possible? A. No. I believe we were going back and forth over the break. I think it was just the date that made sense. That was my understanding of it, but 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 being given an opportunity. Q. And that was even with the call to counsel in November, the calls the weekly calls in December, correct? A. To talk about what our options were, yeah. Q. And so the campaign understood, and you as the campaign manager understood, in January of 2024, that, in order to effectuate the change to the ballot design that you wanted, a lawsuit would have to be filed, correct? A. I yes. Q. Moving to something a little bit different, a lot of experts have testified about the effect THE COURT: You only have a few minutes left. Use last three minutes wisely. MR. PARIKH: Understood. Appreciate it. BY MR. PARIKH: Q. The effect of the ballot is pretty significant. How long have you been in campaigns? A. My first was in 2010. Q. So for 14 years, correct?

		Case: 24-1593 Document: 10	Page	e: 191 Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	Q. All ov	er the country?	1	387 Plaintiffs' counsel, you have one or two questions. Do
2		er the country?	2	you need them? Are we able to excuse this witness?
-	A. Yep.O. Yeu.u.	nderstand right as a compaign loader new and as	3	
3 ⊿		nderstand, right, as a campaign leader now and as	4	MR. PUGACH: We don't have any questions, Your Honor.
4	-	that's worked a campaign that campaigns are not just		THE COURT: Sir, you are excused. Thank you for your
5		ot design, correct?	5	time.
6		re about a lot of factors.	6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7		ct. It's about how much money you can raise?	7	(Witness excused.)
8	A. Uh-h		8	THE COURT: Folks, you've got Friday deadlines. You
9		ner you're an incumbent, correct?	9	have Monday deadlines to the extent there are some unresolved
10	A. Uh-h		10	issues. I've already given defense the ability to file a
11		ave to say yes or no for the court reporter.	11	letter on the docket.
12		Sorry.	12	Do not file 19 letters. You guys should coordinate,
13		or how many volunteers you can get, correct?	13	consolidate. I want to get everybody out of here. I
14	A. Yes.		14	appreciate your time and your hard work today. This matter is
15		the enthusiasm is for your candidate, whether	15	adjourned.
16	•	incumbent, those are all factors that go into how	16	MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, before we leave, I would
17		a candidate can be at an election, correct?	17	like to enter or propose to the Court a summation presentation
18	5	are the factors, yes.	18	that we're going to provide to the Court that walks through
19 00		here are a multitude of other factors as well,	19	the pathways of facts that are entered into evidence here
20	correct?		20	today as to why it is that this application is barred by
21		Although, I will point out that in no other state	21	<i>Purcell</i> and why it is that it is impossible without
22		orked where the ballot was designed in this way.	22	significant threat to the voters of the state of New Jersey to
23		stood. And I'm not saying that the ballot	23	enter the relief that the plaintiffs are seeking on the
24		in other states that you've worked in, it's	24	timeline that they themselves delayed.
25	really good	I in a block design ballot to be the first candidate	25	THE COURT: Is that closing summation?
		United States District Court	~	United States District Court
		District of New Jersey	0	District of New Jersey
		386		388
1				
2	at the top,		1	MR. PARIKH: I can give you the slides and I'm happy
2	A. Itis	I don't know.	2	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or
3	A. It isQ. Okay.	I don't know.	23	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem.
3 4	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah 	I don't know.	2 3 4	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection?
3 4 5	A. It isQ. Okay.A. YeahQ. All rig	I don't know. ht.	2 3 4 5	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor.
3 4 5 6	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief,	2 3 4 5 6	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one?
3 4 5 6 7	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r.	2 3 4 5 6 7	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not.
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PA 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last c 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: rouple questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: rouple questions. understood that the primary election process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAH Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? hk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: rouple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? hk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? hk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAH Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? hk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? hk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisin 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? nk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ? ag money for the election?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisin A. Sure. 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? hk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing remarks from one side and not the other.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisin A. Sure. Q. Buildi 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? hk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ? ng money for the election? mg an operation, building volunteers. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing remarks from one side and not the other. MR. PARIKH: If Your Honor indulges us for ten
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisinn A. Surean Q. Builditii election 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? hk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing remarks from one side and not the other. MR. PARIKH: If Your Honor indulges us for ten minutes, I'm happy to keep mine to five minutes
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisinn A. Sure. Q. Buildi election correct? 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? nk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ? ng money for the election? mg an operation, building volunteers. The we're in the middle of the election right now,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing remarks from one side and not the other. MR. PARIKH: If Your Honor indulges us for ten minutes, I'm happy to keep mine to five minutes THE COURT: No, I can't do that. We have security
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisin A. Sure. Q. Buildi election correct? A. Correct 	I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing remarks from one side and not the other. MR. PARIKH: If Your Honor indulges us for ten minutes, I'm happy to keep mine to five minutes THE COURT: No, I can't do that. We have security officers that have to shut down the building. We have to make
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisinn A. Sure. Q. Buildi election	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? mk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ? ng an operation, building volunteers. The we're in the middle of the election right now, ect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing remarks from one side and not the other. MR. PARIKH: If Your Honor indulges us for ten minutes, I'm happy to keep mine to five minutes THE COURT: No, I can't do that. We have security officers that have to shut down the building. We have to make sure that people safely get out of the federal courthouse.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisinn A. Surea Q. Buildi election correct? A. Correct Q. Okay. M 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: rouple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? nk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ? ng an operation, building volunteers. The we're in the middle of the election right now, ect. R. PARIKH: Judge, I have no further questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing remarks from one side and not the other. MR. PARIKH: If Your Honor indulges us for ten minutes, I'm happy to keep mine to five minutes THE COURT: No, I can't do that. We have security officers that have to shut down the building. We have to make sure that people safely get out of the federal courthouse. So if it was just up to me, I would stay, but it's not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisinn A. Surea Q. Buildi election correct? A. Correct Q. Okay. M 	 I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: souple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? nk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ? . ng money for the election? ng an operation, building volunteers. The we're in the middle of the election right now, ect. R. PARIKH: Judge, I have no further questions. HE COURT: I appreciate that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing remarks from one side and not the other. MR. PARIKH: If Your Honor indulges us for ten minutes, I'm happy to keep mine to five minutes THE COURT: No, I can't do that. We have security officers that have to shut down the building. We have to make sure that people safely get out of the federal courthouse. So if it was just up to me, I would stay, but it's not up to me. There are security issues.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. It is Q. Okay. A. Yeah Q. All rig M Your Hono BY MR. PAI Q. Last of You essentially A. I thir convention Q. Petition in January A. Yeah Q. Raisinn A. Surea Q. Buildi election correct? A. Correct Q. Okay. M 	I don't know. ht. R. PARIKH: I'll try to make it very brief, r. RIKH: rouple questions. understood that the primary election process kicked off in January, right? nk it yeah. I mean, like the well, the first on was in early February. ons were out. You were getting petition signatures ? ng an operation, building volunteers. The we're in the middle of the election right now, ect. R. PARIKH: Judge, I have no further questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to give them to counsel, and Your Honor can consider them or not as you deem. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. KOMUVES: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's not evidence. Do you have one? MR. KOMUVES: We do not. MR. PARIKH: I can THE COURT: Wasn't part of your proposal of closing remarks that I reserved depending on whether we had time? MR. KOMUVES: Yes. THE COURT: So were you going to present some kind of closing remarks? MR. KOMUVES: It didn't seem based on Your Honor's rulings that that was going to be happening. MS. BROMBERG: We are prepared to. THE COURT: I reserved. I'm not going take closing remarks from one side and not the other. MR. PARIKH: If Your Honor indulges us for ten minutes, I'm happy to keep mine to five minutes THE COURT: No, I can't do that. We have security officers that have to shut down the building. We have to make sure that people safely get out of the federal courthouse. So if it was just up to me, I would stay, but it's not

	Case: 24-1593 Document: 10		Date Filed: 04/01/2024
1	389 I reserved and I also told the parties that I was not		
2	having closing remarks if we didn't have time. We don't have		
3	time, so I reject that proposal. We are adjourned.		
4	Everyone be well. I appreciate the hard work of		
5	counsel today.		
6	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise.		
7	(Court concludes at 7:45 p.m.)		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			DOCKET.COM
20			ON
21			X.
22			
23			°C,
24		1	\diamond
25	United Octors District Octors	No.	
	United States District Court District of New Jersey	CP-1	
	390		
1	FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	R		
4	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from		
5	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.		
6			
7			
8	I R		
9			
10			
11	/S/ Megan McKay-Soule, RDR, CRR March 20, 2024 Co	urt	
12	Reporter Date		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24 25			
20	United States District Court		
	District of New Jersey		

\$	117:11, 140:14,	236:22, 239:23,	370:17	25 [1] - 71:2
φ	150:6, 151:6,	246:2, 310:10,	2021 [1] - 339:8	250 [3] - 2:24, 4:9,
\$400 [1] - 314:22	252:12, 253:8,	328:3, 328:7, 348:4,	2022 [18] - 84:3,	4:10
+····[·] •····	253:9, 253:13,	387:12	138:21, 142:19,	25th [2] - 273:8,
•	260:12, 265:1,	1900s [1] - 31:14	221:1, 221:11,	343:17
	265:3, 265:8,	192 [1] - 4:25	221:20, 222:2,	26 [1] - 372:3
'18 [1] - 380:1	265:13, 315:15,	1984 [1] - 252:10	222:8, 222:11,	263 [1] - 4:10
	318:6	1988 [1] - 252:15	222:16, 222:17,	280 [1] - 4:11
1	110 [2] - 4:23, 4:23	1994 [3] - 252:11,	222:23, 315:17,	284 [1] - 4:11
-	112 [1] - 4:24	253:4, 254:24	351:8, 373:9, 374:8,	285 [1] - 4:12
/S [1] - 390:11	115-1 [1] - 100:22	19:49-2 [1] - 42:25	374:11	287 [1] - 4:12
	11:59 [1] - 119:17	1:00 [1] - 377:25	2023 [14] - 103:10,	289 [1] - 5:4
0	122 [1] - 4:4	1:09 [1] - 121:5	103:14, 138:20,	29th [3] - 15:3, 346:8,
	125 [1] - 2:21	1:44 [1] - 121:5	142:20, 142:21,	357:4
07071 [1] - 2:21	12:00 [2] - 19:22,	1st [4] - 32:17, 33:5,	167:11, 267:6,	2:30 [1] - 288:11
07094 [1] - 3:6	67:20	38:23, 382:3	268:12, 281:20,	2nd [3] - 3:12, 320:8,
07095 [1] - 2:11	12:30 [3] - 19:22,		304:12, 305:10,	346:9
07102 [1] - 2:15	67:19, 67:22	2	333:2, 377:12,	
07652 [1] - 2:24	12th [1] - 293:24		377:25	3
07701 [1] - 2:7	13 [2] - 316:3, 334:13	2 [27] - 4:21, 62:14,	2024 [27] - 1:14, 6:3,	
07866 [1] - 3:24	139 [1] - 111:17	63:4, 81:8, 81:10,	14:11, 15:4, 32:2,	3 [23] - 4:21, 5:4,
08002 [1] - 3:3	13th [1] - 376:5	82:3, 82:4, 82:25,	105:17, 109:7,	33:17, 35:11, 62:14,
08102 [1] - 3:9	14 [3] - 252:16,	83:13, 89:12, 91:18,	118:12, 127:1,	63:4, 100:21,
08108 [1] - 2:18	304:25, 384:24	91:20, 93:21, 93:22,	222:8, 224:21,	100:23, 101:7,
08608 [1] - 1:14	14th [10] - 32:17, 33:5,	94:1, 94:6, 101:7,	234:2, 238:3, 286:7,	201:15, 243:25,
08753 [1] - 3:21	38:23, 192:2,	102:1, 102:19,	286:18, 293:24,	244:2, 244:3, 244:8,
08830 [1] - 3:18	192:15, 192:23,	102:21, 103:3,	343:10, 344:12,	247:11, 270:25,
08873 [1] - 1:20	193:2, 194:5,	103:19, 113:1,	349:16, 349:17, 361:7, 380:25,	271:2, 271:3, 271:6,
08901 [1] - 3:13	195:14, 226:11	243:22, 248:24	381:13, 381:15,	303:21, 351:14,
4	15 [9] - 62:3, 67:17,	350:7, 351:14	381:17, 384:11,	351:18, 375:25
1	288:8, 288:10,	2,000 [4] - 342:11, 347:24, 353:7,	390:11	3,200 [2] - 260:13,
1 [36] - 4:20, 35:1,	288:14, 375:8,	354:16 354:16	20th [14] - 38:5, 38:9,	260:14
35:10, 62:14, 63:4,	375:12, 375:21,	20 77 - 288:8, 288:10,	51:3, 254:20, 257:2,	3/25 [1] - 119:16
63:12, 63:14, 63:15,	378:14		259:16, 259:17,	30 [7] - 20:2, 69:8,
00.12, 00.11, 00.10,				
77.4 79.11 81.8	150 [1] - 3:23	288:14, 307:20, 375:5, 380:10	263:6. 263:8. 344:4.	69:10, 120:24, 153:6, 228:1, 256:8
77:4, 79:11, 81:8, 81:10, 81:14, 82:22,	152 [1] - 4:5	375:5, 380:10,	263:6, 263:8, 344:4, 346:3, 346:19,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8
81:10, 81:14, 82:22,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5	375:5, 380:10, 390:11	346:3, 346:19,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12,
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6,		153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2
81:10, 81:14, 82:22,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 332,10,	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 332,10, 378:9, 378:10,	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] -
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 332.10, 378:9, 376:10, 378:19, 380:5	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 332, 10, 378:9, 378:10, 378:19, 380:5 16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3,	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 333,10, 378:9, 378:10, 378:19, 380:5 16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3, 377:20	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6 302 [1] - 4:13
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 333,10, 378:9, 378:10, 378:19, 380:5 16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3, 377:20 164 [1] - 4:6	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6 302 [1] - 4:13 309 [1] - 4:13
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 333,10, 378:9, 378:10, 378:19, 380:5 16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3, 377:20 164 [1] - 4:6 165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6 302 [1] - 4:13 309 [1] - 4:13 30th [2] - 377:7,
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 333,10, 378:9, 378:10, 378:19, 380:5 16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3, 377:20 164 [1] - 4:6 165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24 16th [1] - 333:11	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6 302 [1] - 4:13 309 [1] - 4:13 30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6,	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 332, 10, 378:9, 378:10, 378:19, 380:5 16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3, 377:20 164 [1] - 4:6 165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24 16th [1] - 333:11 17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6 302 [1] - 4:13 309 [1] - 4:13 30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12 310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21,
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 5:4, 290:14	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 332, 10, 378:9, 378:10, 378:19, 380:5 16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3, 377:20 164 [1] - 4:6 165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24 16th [1] - 333:11 17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1, 207:1	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6 302 [1] - 4:13 309 [1] - 4:13 30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12 310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 332, 10, 378:9, 378:10, 378:19, 380:5 16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3, 377:20 164 [1] - 4:6 165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24 16th [1] - 333:11 17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1, 207:1 17th [1] - 286:7	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6 302 [1] - 4:13 309 [1] - 4:13 30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12 310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14 311 [1] - 4:14
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 5:4, 290:14 100 [2] - 279:14, 279:18	152 [1] - 4:5 156 [1] - 4:5 158 [1] - 4:6 15th [5] - 332, 10, 378:9, 378:10, 378:19, 380:5 16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3, 377:20 164 [1] - 4:6 165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24 16th [1] - 333:11 17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1, 207:1 17th [1] - 286:7 18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6 302 [1] - 4:13 309 [1] - 4:13 30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12 310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 5:4, 290:14 100 [2] - 279:14, 279:18 10036-7424 [1] - 2:3	152 [1] - 4:5 $156 [1] - 4:5$ $158 [1] - 4:6$ $15th [5] - 332, 10,$ $378:9, 378:10,$ $378:9, 378:10,$ $378:19, 380:5$ $16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3,$ $377:20$ $164 [1] - 4:6$ $165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24$ $16th [1] - 333:11$ $17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,$ $207:1$ $17th [1] - 286:7$ $18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2$ $18-plus [1] - 73:12$	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7, 324:3	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5, 305:8, 380:25	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2 30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4 30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24 300 [1] - 3:6 302 [1] - 4:13 309 [1] - 4:13 30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12 310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14 311 [1] - 4:14 31st [2] - 382:10,
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 5:4, 290:14 100 [2] - 279:14, 279:18 10036-7424 [1] - 2:3 103 [1] - 4:22	152 [1] - 4:5 $156 [1] - 4:5$ $158 [1] - 4:6$ $15th [5] - 332, 10,$ $378:9, 378:10,$ $378:9, 378:10,$ $378:19, 380:5$ $16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3,$ $377:20$ $164 [1] - 4:6$ $165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24$ $16th [1] - 333:11$ $17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,$ $207:1$ $17th [1] - 286:7$ $18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2$ $18 - plus [1] - 73:12$ $18th [6] - 257:7,$	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7, 324:3 2019 [5] - 132:2,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5, 305:8, 380:25 24 [3] - 286:18, 287:2,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 $30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2$ $30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4$ $30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24$ $300 [1] - 3:6$ $302 [1] - 4:13$ $309 [1] - 4:13$ $30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12$ $310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14$ $311 [1] - 4:14$ $31st [2] - 382:10, 382:14$
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 5:4, 290:14 100 [2] - 279:14, 279:18 10036-7424 [1] - 2:3 103 [1] - 4:22 10:30 [1] - 23:4	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{152 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:6} \\ \textbf{15th [5] - 332, 10,} \\ \textbf{378:9, 378:10,} \\ \textbf{377:20} \\ \textbf{164 [1] - 99:24, 101:3,} \\ \textbf{377:20} \\ \textbf{164 [1] - 4:6} \\ \textbf{165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24} \\ \textbf{16th [1] - 333:11} \\ \textbf{17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,} \\ \textbf{207:1} \\ \textbf{17th [1] - 286:7} \\ \textbf{18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2} \\ \textbf{18-plus [1] - 73:12} \\ \textbf{18th [6] - 257:7,} \\ \textbf{304:12, 333:11,} \\ \end{array}$	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 331:7 2010 [1] - 34:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7, 324:3 2019 [5] - 132:2, 132:17, 132:19,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5, 305:8, 380:25 24 [3] - 286:18, 287:2, 290:20	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 $30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2$ $30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4$ $30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24$ $300 [1] - 3:6$ $302 [1] - 4:13$ $309 [1] - 4:13$ $30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12$ $310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14$ $311 [1] - 4:14$ $31st [2] - 382:10, 382:14$ $32 [1] - 2:3$
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 279:14, 279:18 10036-7424 [1] - 2:3 103 [1] - 4:22 10:30 [1] - 23:4 10:37 [3] - 1:15, 6:3,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{152 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:6} \\ \textbf{15th [5] - 332, 10,} \\ \textbf{378:9, 378:10,} \\ \textbf{377:20} \\ \textbf{164 [1] - 4:6} \\ \textbf{165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24} \\ \textbf{16th [1] - 333:11} \\ \textbf{17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,} \\ \textbf{207:1} \\ \textbf{17th [1] - 286:7} \\ \textbf{18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2} \\ \textbf{18 plus [1] - 73:12} \\ \textbf{18th [6] - 257:7,} \\ \textbf{304:12, 333:11,} \\ \textbf{379:15, 379:21,} \\ \end{array}$	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7, 324:3 2019 [5] - 132:2, 132:17, 132:19, 166:8, 331:7	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5, 305:8, 380:25 24 [3] - 286:18, 287:2, 290:20 24-1098 [1] - 6:8	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 $30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2$ $30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4$ $30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24$ $300 [1] - 3:6$ $302 [1] - 4:13$ $309 [1] - 4:13$ $30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12$ $310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14$ $311 [1] - 4:14$ $31st [2] - 382:10, 382:14$ $32 [1] - 2:3$ $33 [3] - 38:11, 38:12, 178:9$
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 5:4, 290:14 100 [2] - 279:14, 279:18 10036-7424 [1] - 2:3 103 [1] - 4:22 10:30 [1] - 23:4 10:37 [3] - 1:15, 6:3, 23:4	152 [1] - 4:5 $156 [1] - 4:5$ $158 [1] - 4:6$ $15th [5] - 332, 10,$ $378:9, 378:10,$ $378:9, 378:10,$ $378:9, 378:10,$ $377:20$ $164 [1] - 4:6$ $165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24$ $16th [1] - 333:11$ $17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,$ $207:1$ $17th [1] - 286:7$ $18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2$ $18 - plus [1] - 73:12$ $18th [6] - 257:7,$ $304:12, 333:11,$ $379:15, 379:21,$ $380:7$	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 331:7 2018 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7, 324:3 2019 [5] - 132:2, 132:17, 132:19, 166:8, 331:7 2020 [15] - 84:2, 218:5,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5, 305:8, 380:25 24 [3] - 286:18, 287:2, 290:20 24-1098 [1] - 6:8 242 [1] - 5:4	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 $30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2$ $30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4$ $30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24$ $300 [1] - 3:6$ $302 [1] - 4:13$ $309 [1] - 4:13$ $30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12$ $310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14$ $311 [1] - 4:14$ $31st [2] - 382:10, 382:14$ $32 [1] - 2:3$ $33 [3] - 38:11, 38:12, 38:12$
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 279:14, 279:18 10036-7424 [1] - 2:3 103 [1] - 4:22 10:30 [1] - 23:4 10:37 [3] - 1:15, 6:3, 23:4 10th [5] - 190:10,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{152 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:6} \\ \textbf{15th [5] - 332, 10,} \\ \textbf{378:9, 378:10,} \\ \textbf{377:20} \\ \textbf{164 [1] - 4:6} \\ \textbf{165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24} \\ \textbf{16th [1] - 333:11} \\ \textbf{17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,} \\ \textbf{207:1} \\ \textbf{17th [1] - 286:7} \\ \textbf{18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2} \\ \textbf{18 plus [1] - 73:12} \\ \textbf{18th [6] - 257:7,} \\ \textbf{304:12, 333:11,} \\ \textbf{379:15, 379:21,} \\ \end{array}$	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 331:7 2018 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7, 324:3 2019 [5] - 132:2, 132:17, 132:19, 166:8, 331:7 2020 [15] - 84:2, 218:5, 218:15, 218:16,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5, 305:8, 380:25 24 [3] - 286:18, 287:2, 290:20 24-1098 [1] - 6:8 242 [1] - 5:4 243 [1] - 4:8	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 $30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2$ $30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4$ $30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24$ $300 [1] - 3:6$ $302 [1] - 4:13$ $301 [2] - 377:7, 377:12$ $310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14$ $311 [1] - 4:14$ $31st [2] - 382:10, 382:14$ $32 [1] - 2:3$ $33 [3] - 38:11, 38:12, 178:9$ $33,000 [1] - 365:16$
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 279:14, 279:18 10036-7424 [1] - 2:3 103 [1] - 4:22 10:30 [1] - 23:4 10:37 [3] - 1:15, 6:3, 23:4 10th [5] - 190:10, 190:12, 190:14,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{152 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{15k [5] - 332, 10,} \\ \textbf{378:9, 378:10,} \\ \textbf{377:20} \\ \textbf{164 [1] - 99:24, 101:3,} \\ \textbf{377:20} \\ \textbf{164 [1] - 4:6} \\ \textbf{165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24} \\ \textbf{16th [1] - 333:11} \\ \textbf{17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,} \\ \textbf{207:1} \\ \textbf{17th [1] - 286:7} \\ \textbf{18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2} \\ \textbf{18 - plus [1] - 73:12} \\ \textbf{18th [6] - 257:7,} \\ \textbf{304:12, 333:11,} \\ \textbf{379:15, 379:21,} \\ \textbf{380:7} \\ \textbf{19 [18] - 12:10, 41:10,} \\ \end{array}$	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7, 324:3 2019 [5] - 132:2, 132:17, 132:19, 166:8, 331:7 2020 [15] - 84:2, 218:5, 218:15, 218:16, 218:19, 218:21,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5, 305:8, 380:25 24 [3] - 286:18, 287:2, 290:20 24-1098 [1] - 6:8 242 [1] - 5:4 243 [1] - 4:8 245 [1] - 4:9	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 $30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2$ $30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4$ $30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24$ $300 [1] - 3:6$ $302 [1] - 4:13$ $309 [1] - 4:13$ $30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12$ $310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14$ $31t [1] - 4:14$ $31st [2] - 382:10, 382:14$ $32 [1] - 2:3$ $33 [3] - 38:11, 38:12, 178:9$ $33,000 [1] - 365:16$ $331 [1] - 4:15$
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 5:4, 290:14 100 [2] - 279:14, 279:18 10036-7424 [1] - 2:3 103 [1] - 4:22 10:30 [1] - 23:4 10:37 [3] - 1:15, 6:3, 23:4 10th [5] - 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 196:11, 222:14	152 [1] - 4:5 $156 [1] - 4:5$ $158 [1] - 4:6$ $15th [5] - 332, 10,$ $378:9, 378:10,$ $378:9, 378:10,$ $378:19, 380:5$ $16 [3] - 99:24, 101:3,$ $377:20$ $164 [1] - 4:6$ $165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24$ $16th [1] - 333:11$ $17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,$ $207:1$ $17th [1] - 286:7$ $18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2$ $18 - plus [1] - 73:12$ $18th [6] - 257:7,$ $304:12, 333:11,$ $379:15, 379:21,$ $380:7$ $19 [18] - 12:10, 41:10,$ $108:5, 181:12,$ $214:2, 214:9,$	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7, 324:3 2019 [5] - 132:2, 132:17, 132:19, 166:8, 331:7 2020 [15] - 84:2, 218:5, 218:15, 218:16, 218:19, 218:21, 219:3, 219:7, 220:15, 220:16, 221:19, 318:3,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5, 305:8, 380:25 24 [3] - 286:18, 287:2, 290:20 24-1098 [1] - 6:8 242 [1] - 5:4 243 [1] - 4:8 245 [1] - 4:9 24th [2] - 289:23,	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 $30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2$ $30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4$ $30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24$ $300 [1] - 3:6$ $302 [1] - 4:13$ $309 [1] - 4:13$ $30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12$ $310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14$ $311 [1] - 4:14$ $31st [2] - 382:10, 382:14$ $32 [1] - 2:3$ $33 [3] - 38:11, 38:12, 178:9$ $33,000 [1] - 365:16$ $331 [1] - 4:15$ $333 [1] - 4:15$
81:10, 81:14, 82:22, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 87:3, 89:3, 89:11, 101:2, 101:5, 101:7, 102:4, 119:19, 120:2, 152:19, 153:24, 155:21, 166:21, 227:11, 248:5, 248:6, 248:24, 350:6, 377:11 1,300 [1] - 364:3 10 [2] - 279:14, 279:18 10036-7424 [1] - 2:3 103 [1] - 4:22 10:30 [1] - 23:4 10:37 [3] - 1:15, 6:3, 23:4 10th [5] - 190:10, 190:12, 190:14,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{152 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:5} \\ \textbf{156 [1] - 4:6} \\ \textbf{15th [5] - 332, 10,} \\ \textbf{378:9, 378:10,} \\ \textbf{378:9, 378:10,} \\ \textbf{378:9, 378:10,} \\ \textbf{378:9, 378:10,} \\ \textbf{377:20} \\ \textbf{164 [1] - 99:24, 101:3,} \\ \textbf{377:20} \\ \textbf{164 [1] - 4:6} \\ \textbf{165 [2] - 4:7, 4:24} \\ \textbf{16th [1] - 333:11} \\ \textbf{17 [3] - 14:11, 102:1,} \\ \textbf{207:1} \\ \textbf{17th [1] - 286:7} \\ \textbf{18 [2] - 1:14, 6:2} \\ \textbf{18 - plus [1] - 73:12} \\ \textbf{18th [6] - 257:7,} \\ \textbf{304:12, 333:11,} \\ \textbf{379:15, 379:21,} \\ \textbf{380:7} \\ \textbf{19 [18] - 12:10, 41:10,} \\ \textbf{108:5, 181:12,} \\ \end{array}$	375:5, 380:10, 390:11 200 [3] - 2:7, 3:6, 57:14 2002 [2] - 313:2, 315:16 2003 [1] - 331:7 2008 [1] - 319:23 201 [1] - 4:7 2010 [1] - 384:23 2012 [1] - 321:11 2015 [2] - 336:21, 370:17 2018 [3] - 84:2, 166:7, 324:3 2019 [5] - 132:2, 132:17, 132:19, 166:8, 331:7 2020 [15] - 84:2, 218:5, 218:15, 218:16, 218:19, 218:21, 219:3, 219:7, 220:15, 220:16,	346:3, 346:19, 354:12, 355:15 21 [5] - 37:20, 174:17, 210:23, 214:18, 379:6 210 [1] - 4:8 212 [1] - 3:20 220 [1] - 1:19 22nd [2] - 168:3, 357:2 23rd [13] - 167:10, 167:14, 167:18, 174:6, 185:16, 211:22, 213:14, 213:17, 213:20, 238:15, 305:5, 305:8, 380:25 24 [3] - 286:18, 287:2, 290:20 24-1098 [1] - 6:8 242 [1] - 5:4 243 [1] - 4:8 245 [1] - 4:9	153:6, 228:1, 256:8 $30(b)(6 [2] - 366:12, 367:2$ $30(b)(6) [1] - 367:4$ $30-minute [2] - 120:15, 120:24$ $300 [1] - 3:6$ $302 [1] - 4:13$ $309 [1] - 4:13$ $30th [2] - 377:7, 377:12$ $310 [3] - 2:7, 2:21, 4:14$ $311 [1] - 4:14$ $31st [2] - 382:10, 382:14$ $32 [1] - 2:3$ $33 [3] - 38:11, 38:12, 178:9$ $33,000 [1] - 365:16$ $331 [1] - 4:15$ $335 [2] - 4:16, 4:16$

360 [1] - 2:18	F	120:2, 268:12,	169:11, 170:9,	academicians [1] -
369 [1] - 4:17	5	303:24, 309:8	240:12, 261:12,	47:21
37 [1] - 323:5	5 [8] - 4:23, 98:10,	71 [1] - 4:3	319:4, 349:8, 368:1,	accept [3] - 171:21,
374 [1] - 4:17	101:8, 111:11,	72 [1] - 4:4	387:10	172:1, 185:1
375 [1] - 4:18	111:15, 111:18,	75 [2] - 3:12, 229:16	able [82] - 26:23, 44:4,	acceptable [1] - 89:18
38 [1] - 312:6	111:21, 290:2	79 [2] - 312:2, 316:4	48:12, 53:25, 71:11,	accepted [2] - 58:24,
3:00 [2] - 201:16,	5.0 [1] - 98:11	7:09 [1] - 306:12	95:1, 100:18,	368:25
349:23	5.15 [3] - 75:22, 98:8,	7:10 [2] - 309:1,	109:14, 115:11,	accepting [2] -
3:24-cv-01098-ZNQ-	138:10	335:23	116:11, 117:14,	337:15, 337:16
TJB [1] - 1:5	5.17 [1] - 98:11	7:45 [1] - 389:7	117:19, 122:8,	access [6] - 137:5,
3:30 [2] - 194:10,	5.5 [1] - 98:11	7th [1] - 103:10	137:11, 169:11,	137:11, 137:13,
364:4	5.X [1] - 98:10		169:24, 169:25,	137:17, 137:21,
3:35 [1] - 198:5	50 [6] - 125:17,	8	170:1, 170:10,	249:8
3:41 [1] - 201:20	177:15, 207:1,	•	171:12, 173:20,	accessible [2] - 76:14,
3rd [6] - 166:5, 166:8,	256:25, 257:1	8 [4] - 4:24, 101:8,	174:16, 175:14,	80:13
217:19, 318:4,	50,000 [1] - 355:7	113:25, 166:23	176:22, 177:13,	accident [1] - 250:17
380:12, 381:11	500 [1] - 3:3	80 [2] - 125:18, 230:18	177:19, 178:14,	accommodate [20] -
	520 [1] - 3:9	83 [4] - 312:3, 316:4,	180:14, 180:16,	19:24, 20:6, 33:25,
4	53 [2] - 339:1, 342:13	334:14, 334:16	180:17, 180:20,	52:20, 52:21, 277:7,
· .	555 [1] - 3:17	856 [1] - 1:22	181:11, 181:16,	291:25, 293:14,
4 [11] - 4:22, 5:5,	57-1 [1] - 111:10	88 [1] - 4:20	182:22, 185:3,	302:13, 340:2,
62:14, 63:4, 63:9,	576-7094 [1] - 1:22	8th [4] - 226:25,	185:9, 189.16,	340:11, 340:21,
63:12, 101:8,	58 [1] - 357:13	232:16, 377:25,	189:21, 189:23,	341:22, 342:11,
104:17, 104:20,	5th [6] - 24:8, 344:2,	378:3	190.1, 190:21,	342:19, 358:9,
344:9, 344:14	345:21, 346:2,		190:22, 191:3,	359:19, 364:23,
40 [1] - 256:25	381:13, 381:17	9 🔬	192:24, 192:25,	365:4, 365:11
402 [1] - 1:14	5W [2] - 24:8, 30:4		195:7, 195:10,	accommodates [2] -
410 [1] - 1:19		9 [5] - 4:25, 101:8,	196:1, 196:9, 203:15, 205:6,	301:13, 302:17
43 [1] - 2:3	6	166:21, 265:8, 328:7	203.15, 205.6, 216:10, 217:2,	accompanying [1] -
43rd [1] - 2:3		90 [1] - 2:10	217:15, 217:25,	372:5
440 [1] - 3:17	6 [7] - 4:23, 101:8,	900 [1] - 2:10	222:18, 237:13,	accomplish [3] - 52:9,
45 [17] - 38:6, 69:9,	111:16, 111:19,	91 [1] 4:21	237:19, 240:2,	361:9, 364:7
69:10, 312:6,	112:11, 265:16,	94- 1 [1] - 270:1	250:9, 256:14,	accomplished [1] -
315:16, 317:14,	308:24	949 [1] - 357:12	276:4, 276:13,	52:25
317:19, 317:24,	6,000 [1] - 40:2	95 [3] - 86:10, 87:7,	283:10, 302:1,	according [1] - 36:19
318:24, 319:13,	6.2.0.0 [1] - 75:21	89:5	322:7, 339:11,	account [1] - 177:15
321:7, 322:14,	6.3.0.0 [1] - 75:20	95-1 [1] - 103:20	340:21, 341:21,	accounts [3] - 178:9,
322:16, 330:16,	60 [8] - 15:22, 178:12,	950 [1] - 365:4	342:6, 342:15,	328:16
331:21, 331:25,	252:23, 256.17,	951 [1] - 91:19	342:18, 342:24,	accuracy [27] -
334:11	257:1, 330:1, 330:3,	958 [4] - 347:23,	363:1, 365:24, 387:2	100:13, 100:16,
457 [1] - 3:3	330:4	347:24, 354:24, 365:5	abolishing [1] - 42:12	122:20, 133:13, 134:5, 134:14,
46 [2] - 104:4, 104:18	61 [1] - 2:24	365:5	above-entitled [1] -	134:5, 134:14, 134:17, 134:21,
474 [4] - 338:23,	61-2 [1] - 372:2	99 [1] - 4:21	390:5	134:25, 135:4,
338:24, 347:5, 347:14	6200 [9] - 85:4, 85:22,	99.9 [1] - 248:3	abroad [2] - 22:15,	135:14, 135:25,
347:14 48 (1) - 343:22	85:23, 98:4, 105:2,	9:00 [1] - 156:22 9th [1] - 321:12	38:6	136:22, 138:24,
48 [1] - 343:22 49 [2] - 38:18, 38:21	107:1, 107:17,	9th [1] - 321:12	absent [1] - 122:1	139:15, 139:18,
49 [3] - 38:18, 38:21, 180:12	138:6, 138:21	٨	absolute [1] - 42:6	139:22, 141:25,
490,000 [1] - 338:14	63 [1] - 85:4	Α	absolutely [12] - 22:5,	142:5, 142:19,
490,000 [1] - 338.14 494 [1] - 2:15	6300 [8] - 85:22, 85:23, 98:4, 105:3,	A-P-P-E-L [1] - 285:24	22:7, 39:20, 71:6,	143:6, 144:10,
4:22 [1] - 235:3	85:23, 98:4, 105:3, 107:1, 107:18,	a.m [4] - 1:15, 6:3,	89:20, 173:14,	145:22, 147:1,
4:22 [1] - 236:15	138:6, 138:20	23:4	250:9, 257:3,	259:5, 356:5, 356:21
4.24 [1] - 230.13 4th [11] - 37:12, 37:13,	65-1 [1] - 113:11	abbreviate [1] - 91:1	265:21, 275:1,	accurate [31] - 108:12,
37:19, 38:8, 254:10,	69 [1] - 243:23	abbreviated [1] -	276:11, 331:14	135:5, 139:12,
254:18, 255:3,	JU [1] 270.20	90:24	abundance [1] - 30:15	145:19, 147:17,
343:25, 346:2,	7	ability [19] - 84:7,	academic [11] - 25:15,	206:1, 206:21,
346:10, 349:22	1	84:18, 84:21, 84:25,	25:17, 44:7, 314:5,	207:6, 247:24,
,	7 [10] - 4:24, 101:8,	94:4, 94:13, 95:24,	314:7, 314:10,	248:3, 286:15,
	112:4, 113:9,	96:18, 117:5,	314:12, 314:15,	288:18, 292:15,
	113:12, 113:25,	140:13, 143:18,	329:11, 329:12	292:19, 292:20,

293:15, 293:16,	50:3, 58:17, 69:22,	179:17, 179:22,	58:19, 205:1, 260:8	300:11
293:19, 293:20,	71:1, 78:8, 85:8,	180:8, 185:8,	agree [25] - 13:6, 71:6,	almost [5] - 43:19,
299:19, 299:23,	97:11, 122:2,	190:24, 203:16,	85:17, 88:4, 105:18,	46:3, 161:18,
301:5, 301:14,	150:15, 201:1,	237:17, 238:18,	105:25, 106:15,	235:20, 236:13
301:17, 301:20,	228:2, 247:12,	368:1	109:1, 109:5,	alone [2] - 57:23,
302:20, 302:21,	249:15, 327:21,	advantages [8] -	112:15, 112:18,	106:6
305:7, 311:16,	370:11	32:10, 172:1, 172:7,	150:10, 202:14,	alongside [6] - 97:25,
311:22, 314:6	addressed [7] - 13:2,	177:22, 180:9,	202:20, 235:11,	109:1, 109:20,
accurately [1] - 146:7	34:7, 44:25, 63:13,	185:4, 215:3, 215:6	235:17, 272:22,	110:12, 125:14,
achieve [5] - 168:24,	284:5, 357:23,	adverse [7] - 173:23,	316:23, 321:5,	154:6
169:12, 172:4,	380:19	185:9, 190:12,	322:11, 323:12,	alpha [1] - 155:15
190:1, 195:5	addresses [2] - 353:8,	196:12, 207:24,	323:18, 325:2,	alphabet [5] - 154:23,
act [4] - 13:22, 43:4,	353:9	222:14, 222:17	328:19, 369:8	154:24, 154:25,
43:20, 216:8	addressing [5] - 11:9,	adversely [1] - 171:24	agreed [8] - 69:8,	155:4, 155:15
Act [1] - 74:3	34:9, 47:14, 78:5	advise [3] - 22:2,	69:10, 75:4, 104:15,	alphabetical [1] -
acting [1] - 73:17	adds [1] - 347:20	110:4, 333:12	122:11, 247:5,	350:3
ACTION [1] - 1:4	adhered [1] - 131:17	advised [1] - 130:9	332:17, 378:20	alternative [2] - 58:23,
action [6] - 55:13,	adjourn [2] - 309:1,	advisement [1] -	agreement [5] - 122:4,	325:20
109:24, 110:5,	367:11	92:20	305:4, 305:7,	alternatively [1] -
133:17, 195:25,	adjourned [2] -	advising [1] - 74:8	305:12, 305:13	17:18
216:24	387:15, 389:3	advocacy [1] - 175:17	agrees [1] - 114:24	alternatives [1] - 34:1
actions [1] - 195:21	adjourning [1] - 370:7	advocate [1] - 30:19	ahead [22] - 13:13,	amenable [1] - 71:20
actively [6] - 182:6,	adjust [2] - 83:21,	affect [12] - 168:14,	97:12, 99:11, 129:9,	amending [1] - 234:22
182:10, 183:2,	110:4	169:23, 171:5,	133:3, 174:20,	Amendment [1] -
184:15, 203:8,	adjusted [1] - 144:19	171:24, 172:10,	187:18, 194:12,	38:23
209:12	adjustments [6] -	244:18, 244:19,	213:21, 217:10,	Amendments [1] -
activities [1] - 213:8	11:4, 69:5, 116:17,	245:3, 245:5,	230:23, 232:4,	32:17
acts [5] - 11:24, 20:17,	116:25, 117:1	245:11, 358:22,	244:12, 261:11,	America [1] - 74:2
32:2, 76:23	administer [3] -	358:24	265:10, 268:24,	American [1] - 174:2
actual [6] - 35:11,	128:24, 129:1, 362:2	affected [1]	269:1, 281:15,	Americans [1] - 38:6
190:11, 192:14,	administering [5] -	affecting (1) - 164:12	315:15, 350:19,	amicus [3] - 14:21,
196:14, 227:17,	28:2, 41:15, 46:12,	affects (1) - 168:15	362:12, 383:24	16:15, 33:11
368:25	113:17, 157:9	affidavit [6] - 104:3,	aided [1] - 1:24	amount [16] - 13:9,
add [3] - 110:19,	administration [3] -	104:17, 114:20,	al [4] - 1:8, 1:12, 6:7,	117:16, 117:21,
110:22, 110:25	73:14, 74:9, 74:24	114:22, 114:25,	6:8	145:21, 146:6,
added [3] - 27:3,	Administration [1] -	115:10	align [1] - 316:6	149:4, 151:20,
322:22, 331:8	3:12	affidavits [4] - 36:22,	aligned [1] - 151:9	160:6, 160:9, 161:7,
adding [1] - 116:18	administrative 11 -	88:16, 362:5, 362:16	all-hazards [1] -	161:25, 239:24,
addition [11] - 46:6,	46:9	affirmed [1] - 112:12	127:13	260:24, 263:2,
236:5, 295:2, 340:5,	administratively [1] -	afforded [1] - 185:3	allegations [2] -	302:1, 302:11
342:11, 342:20,	42:14	affords [1] - 61:2	27:25, 208:15	analysis [22] - 64:16,
348:15, 353:6,	administrators [1] -	afield [1] - 235:1	alleged [6] - 24:25,	191:5, 193:1,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
355:2, 362:17,	157:14	afternoon [18] - 18:1.	44:19, 63:10,	
355:2, 362:17, 363:25	157:14 admit [4] - 27:16,	afternoon [18] - 18:1, 20:4, 22:20, 22:21,	44:19, 63:10, 316:14, 319:17,	226:14, 311:24,
		20:4, 22:20, 22:21,		226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22,
363:25	admit [4] - 27:16,	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20,	316:14, 319:17,	226:14, 311:24,
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18,	20:4, 22:20, 22:21,	316:14, 319:17, 322:9	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24,
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2,	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10,
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5,	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7,	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging _[3] - 237:10, 237:12	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22,
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20,	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9,	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21,
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17,	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22,
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23, 119:4, 119:5, 123:2,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22 advance [6] - 13:2,	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17, 251:11, 264:22,	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14, 105:25, 116:2,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22, 331:10, 331:19,
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23, 119:4, 119:5, 123:2, 146:1, 149:1,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22 advance [6] - 13:2, 48:5, 69:19, 250:16,	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17, 251:11, 264:22, 286:1, 336:8	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14, 105:25, 116:2, 118:3, 157:21,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22, 331:10, 331:19, 331:23, 333:13,
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23, 119:4, 119:5, 123:2, 146:1, 149:1, 150:23, 152:1,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22 advance [6] - 13:2, 48:5, 69:19, 250:16, 308:13, 382:14	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17, 251:11, 264:22, 286:1, 336:8 afterwards [1] - 248:8	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14, 105:25, 116:2, 118:3, 157:21, 180:13, 219:23,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22, 331:10, 331:19, 331:23, 333:13, 359:7
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23, 119:4, 119:5, 123:2, 146:1, 149:1, 150:23, 152:1, 152:22, 160:13,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22 advance [6] - 13:2, 48:5, 69:19, 250:16, 308:13, 382:14 advanced [2] - 55:2, 55:10	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17, 251:11, 264:22, 286:1, 336:8 afterwards [1] - 248:8 agency [3] - 74:1, 125:9, 297:8	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14, 105:25, 116:2, 118:3, 157:21, 180:13, 219:23, 222:3, 236:8,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22, 331:10, 331:19, 331:23, 333:13, 359:7 analyze [1] - 192:24 analyzed [1] - 331:9
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23, 119:4, 119:5, 123:2, 146:1, 149:1, 150:23, 152:1, 152:22, 160:13, 160:17, 160:20,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22 advance [6] - 13:2, 48:5, 69:19, 250:16, 308:13, 382:14 advanced [2] - 55:2,	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17, 251:11, 264:22, 286:1, 336:8 afterwards [1] - 248:8 agency [3] - 74:1, 125:9, 297:8 agenda [1] - 369:17	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14, 105:25, 116:2, 118:3, 157:21, 180:13, 219:23, 222:3, 236:8, 236:12, 236:17,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22, 331:10, 331:19, 331:23, 333:13, 359:7 analyze [1] - 192:24
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23, 119:4, 119:5, 123:2, 146:1, 149:1, 150:23, 152:1, 152:22, 160:13, 160:17, 160:20, 163:4, 264:17,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22 advance [6] - 13:2, 48:5, 69:19, 250:16, 308:13, 382:14 advanced [2] - 55:2, 55:10 Advantage [3] -	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17, 251:11, 264:22, 286:1, 336:8 afterwards [1] - 248:8 agency [3] - 74:1, 125:9, 297:8 agenda [1] - 369:17 aggregate [4] - 76:4,	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14, 105:25, 116:2, 118:3, 157:21, 180:13, 219:23, 222:3, 236:8, 236:12, 236:17, 241:19, 242:19,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22, 331:10, 331:19, 331:23, 333:13, 359:7 analyze [1] - 192:24 analyzed [1] - 331:9 Anderson [2] - 32:17, 44:17
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23, 119:4, 119:5, 123:2, 146:1, 149:1, 150:23, 152:1, 152:22, 160:13, 160:17, 160:20, 163:4, 264:17, 330:20, 331:23,	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22 advance [6] - 13:2, 48:5, 69:19, 250:16, 308:13, 382:14 advanced [2] - 55:2, 55:10 Advantage [3] - 299:18, 299:22,	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17, 251:11, 264:22, 286:1, 336:8 afterwards [1] - 248:8 agency [3] - 74:1, 125:9, 297:8 agenda [1] - 369:17	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14, 105:25, 116:2, 118:3, 157:21, 180:13, 219:23, 222:3, 236:8, 236:12, 236:17, 241:19, 242:19, 284:16, 314:1,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22, 331:10, 331:19, 331:23, 333:13, 359:7 analyze [1] - 192:24 analyzed [1] - 331:9 Anderson [2] - 32:17,
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23, 119:4, 119:5, 123:2, 146:1, 149:1, 150:23, 152:1, 152:22, 160:13, 160:17, 160:20, 163:4, 264:17, 330:20, 331:23, 332:1	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22 advance [6] - 13:2, 48:5, 69:19, 250:16, 308:13, 382:14 advanced [2] - 55:2, 55:10 Advantage [3] - 299:18, 299:22, 300:25 advantage [13] -	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17, 251:11, 264:22, 286:1, 336:8 afterwards [1] - 248:8 agency [3] - 74:1, 125:9, 297:8 agenda [1] - 369:17 aggregate [4] - 76:4, 315:20, 325:16,	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14, 105:25, 116:2, 118:3, 157:21, 180:13, 219:23, 222:3, 236:8, 236:12, 236:17, 241:19, 242:19, 284:16, 314:1, 325:10, 339:5, 349:12, 360:17, 370:5	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22, 331:10, 331:19, 331:23, 333:13, 359:7 analyze [1] - 192:24 analyzed [1] - 331:9 Anderson [2] - 32:17, 44:17 Anderson-Burdick [1]
363:25 additional [28] - 11:1, 21:15, 21:19, 27:7, 70:15, 70:19, 100:6, 100:7, 113:5, 117:13, 118:23, 119:4, 119:5, 123:2, 146:1, 149:1, 150:23, 152:1, 152:22, 160:13, 160:17, 160:20, 163:4, 264:17, 330:20, 331:23, 332:1 additionally [1] - 76:6	admit [4] - 27:16, 292:13, 344:18, 344:19 admitted [6] - 87:5, 87:6, 88:5, 88:20, 120:3, 344:22 advance [6] - 13:2, 48:5, 69:19, 250:16, 308:13, 382:14 advanced [2] - 55:2, 55:10 Advantage [3] - 299:18, 299:22, 300:25	20:4, 22:20, 22:21, 28:11, 123:20, 129:8, 133:7, 156:21, 166:2, 200:5, 200:9, 200:18, 250:17, 251:11, 264:22, 286:1, 336:8 afterwards [1] - 248:8 agency [3] - 74:1, 125:9, 297:8 agenda [1] - 369:17 aggregate [4] - 76:4, 315:20, 325:16, 325:23	316:14, 319:17, 322:9 alleging [3] - 237:10, 237:12 allow [23] - 58:12, 83:16, 90:2, 91:14, 105:25, 116:2, 118:3, 157:21, 180:13, 219:23, 222:3, 236:8, 236:12, 236:17, 241:19, 242:19, 284:16, 314:1, 325:10, 339:5, 349:12, 360:17,	226:14, 311:24, 316:16, 316:22, 319:16, 320:24, 322:6, 322:10, 322:20, 322:22, 324:1, 325:21, 330:16, 330:22, 331:10, 331:19, 331:23, 333:13, 359:7 analyze [1] - 192:24 analyzed [1] - 331:9 Anderson [2] - 32:17, 44:17 Anderson-Burdick [1] - 32:17

Andrew [6] - 165:20,	346:1	127:13, 136:10,	arguments [2] - 42:2,	40:13, 60:19,
267:11, 283:13,	apparatus [1] - 339:23	193:5, 228:17,	266:14	160:17, 170:17,
283:18, 285:24,	appear [13] - 16:14,	228:18, 232:12,	arranged [1] - 367:16	170:23, 171:1,
304:5	•• • •	232:14, 233:17,	-	181:24, 182:2,
	97:22, 144:23,	237:4, 237:6, 267:8,	arrangement [1] -	
Andrews [3] - 319:22,	237:14, 315:17,		170:20	182:16, 183:24,
320:3, 320:21	348:17, 348:20,	269:24, 271:12,	arrival [1] - 199:18	227:12, 241:1
Andrews' [1] - 320:25	349:5, 349:13,	286:10, 290:1,	arrived [1] - 248:19	ASSOCIATES [1] - 3:2
Andy [5] - 22:18, 33:7,	355:22, 361:8,	303:16, 306:1,	Article [3] - 33:17,	Associates [1] - 8:6
165:13, 376:3,	361:22, 383:22	310:23, 310:25,	35:10, 35:11	associating [1] -
381:18	appearance [2] - 9:17,	316:9, 345:2,	article [8] - 314:14,	65:23
ANDY [4] - 1:3, 1:3,	199:9	351:15, 371:23,	330:2, 330:5, 330:8,	Association [1] -
4:6, 165:16	appearances [1] -	375:20	331:2, 331:9,	204:18
Angelo [2] - 7:9, 8:18	6:11	approached [1] -	331:17, 332:8	association [14] -
ANGELO [1] - 2:13	appeared [7] - 218:5,	238:9	articles [5] - 314:7,	60:15, 60:25, 65:24,
Ann [2] - 3:7, 96:9	218:14, 218:19,	approaching [3] -	314:9, 314:12,	183:6, 202:12,
announced [3] -	227:15, 227:22,	193:7, 249:19,	329:12, 329:13	202:13, 203:7,
211:15, 211:18,	242:6, 382:16	267:10	articulated [1] - 89:12	209:15, 237:23,
211:22	appearing [3] -	appropriate [6] - 11:8,	AS [7] - 72:24, 165:16,	238:1, 238:3, 238:5,
annual [1] - 273:4	211:12, 215:25,	13:8, 37:19, 49:4,	251:1, 285:21,	238:24
answer [48] - 39:21,	239:20	69:17, 360:20	310:18, 336:4	associational [5] -
42:18, 53:10, 77:17,	APPEL [2] - 4:11,	appropriately [2] -	375:15	43:11, 44:3, 52:22,
80:7, 84:14, 90:2,	285:20	88:12, 140:6	ascertain [2] - 113:22,	245:10, 348:22
95:1, 95:3, 95:21,	Appel [19] - 34:20,	approval [2] - 260:6,	115;5	associations [2] -
95:22, 100:5, 108:7,	198:1, 198:11,	261:18	ascribing [1] - 147:6	143:2, 203:1
109:16, 115:11,	198:18, 200:14,	approved [6] - 86:1,	aside [2] - 16:12, 65:6	assume [4] - 14:3,
116:9, 118:5, 119:2,	267:11, 283:13,	86:6, 260:1, 260:15,	aspect [3] - 80:20,	69:13, 105:7, 127:2
129:18, 130:3,	283:18, 285:24,	261:21, 293:12	176:25, 196:17	assumed [1] - 105:1
134:9, 141:7,	286:1, 286:17,	approving [1] - 263:3	Assembly [1] - 236:24	assuming [4] -
141:18, 158:19,	288:4, 290:5,	April [27] - 37:12	assert [2] - 44:12,	106:19, 179:13,
159:7, 162:15,	303:10, 303:13,	37:13, 38:5, 38:8,	151:3	262:2, 364:22
163:1, 163:5, 222:5,	303:19, 303:24,	254:10, 254:18,	assertion [3] - 106:15,	ate [1] - 332:13
230:23, 242:24,	304:5, 304:25	254:20, 255:3,	152:13, 152:14	Atlantic [7] - 7:11,
245:15, 265:14,	applicable [4] - 33:4,	257:2, 259:16,	asses [1] - 44:8	267:3, 267:6,
268:16, 275:17,	107:13, 116:18,	259:17, 263:6,	assess [2] - 16:9,	267:17, 268:3,
279:11, 285:7,	256:15	263:8, 273:15,	215:15	294:2, 294:4
285:8, 301:7, 303:4,	application [8] -	273:16, 343:25,	assessed [1] - 148:5	attached [3] - 92:2,
319:4, 332:12,	46:25, 47:1, 49:17,	344:2, 344:4,		191:16, 191:23
360:9, 360:17,	49:22, 78:19	345:21, 346:2,	assessment [1] - 15:23	attaching [1] - 300:8
360:20, 374:21,	130:10, 152:10,	346:3, 346:19,		attack [1] - 44:7
374:24, 381:23	387:20	349:22, 354:12,	assist [2] - 212:11,	attempt [3] - 16:14,
answered [8] -	applications [5] -	355:15	254:22	262:24, 271:15
129:25, 136:13,	24:25, 78:19, 81:5,	archives [1] - 337:5	Assistance [9] -	attempting [3] - 49:6,
221:17, 243:7,	337:17, 355:12	arduous [1] - 260:18	73:16, 73:19, 85:24,	361:15, 362:2
296:7, 315:4, 332:4,	applied [1] - 106:20	area [9] - 70:7, 71:4,	128:10, 128:18,	attend [3] - 142:14,
374:20	applies [4] - 43:7,	74:18, 75:7, 260:13,	131:5, 162:11,	205:3, 205:6
answering [1] -	62:10, 108:22, 137:9	295:21, 320:9,	163:5, 297:4	attended [1] - 248:23
381:22	apply [3] - 63:11,	338:21	ASSISTANT [1] - 3:8	
answers [2] - 124:18,	81:10, 84:24	areas [3] - 25:21, 84:5,	Assistant [1] - 8:2	attention [2] - 186:22,
158:5	appreciate [17] -	338:19	assisted [1] - 139:13	226:18
anticipate [1] - 41:4	10:21, 14:6, 59:4,	argue [3] - 23:18,	assists [1] - 342:8	attentive [1] - 187:23
anyhow [1] - 116:20	66:22, 67:3, 85:11,	32:22, 38:5	associate [21] - 60:11,	attest [2] - 111:22,
anyway [7] - 37:1,	122:24, 122:25,	arguing [2] - 57:16,	60:14, 60:16, 61:9,	284:1
37:5, 68:1, 70:24,	124:1, 185:11,	57:17	61:15, 65:23, 66:15,	attested [3] - 85:4,
157:1, 204:6, 228:14	248:10, 287:9,	argument [16] - 24:8,	82:14, 171:6, 183:7,	111:24, 148:24
apart [2] - 355:16,	318:25, 384:19,	30:7, 44:5, 53:8,	183:13, 184:10,	attests [1] - 112:7
359:21	386:25, 387:14,	55:25, 57:10, 57:12,	184:11, 184:13,	attorney [22] - 14:10,
	389:4	61:5, 64:8, 172:18,	202:15, 202:21,	14:18, 15:1, 15:4,
apologies [2] -	appreciating [1] - 85:9	172:19, 196:1,	203:2, 209:16,	15:17, 15:19, 15:22,
290:15, 358:11 apologize [5] - 96:20,	approach [27] - 28:24,	196:3, 228:5,	209:18, 224:13,	16:8, 16:23, 17:1,
197:7, 278:3, 315:5,	29:24, 121:2,	309:18, 374:19	238:12	17:10, 17:22, 21:20,
191.1, 210.0, 310.0,			associated [12] -	32:21, 32:25, 53:8,

88:7, 156:4, 188:12,	293:17, 297:13,	101:13, 101:15,	161:20, 161:25,	298:13, 298:15,
216:12, 216:22,	321:23, 322:2,	101:17, 102:6,	167:15, 168:14,	298:15, 298:15, 298:19,
305:14	328:22, 329:1,	102:20, 103:7,	168:18, 168:19,	299:4, 299:23,
attorney-client [1] -	346:13, 350:12,	103:10, 103:11,	170:21, 172:13,	300:1, 300:16,
188:12	350:18, 350:21,	103:13, 103:15,	172:21, 173:9,	301:1, 301:14,
attorneys [10] -	372:4, 372:11,	103:17, 105:5,	173:11, 176:2,	302:2, 302:17,
159:13, 188:24,	372:19	105:8, 105:9,	176:3, 180:7,	302:24, 314:7,
189:11, 215:15,	012110	105:10, 105:11,	180:13, 181:21,	324:5, 329:14,
216:10, 216:15,	В	105:19, 106:4,	206:8, 206:13,	334:2, 337:17,
217:15, 217:22,		106:5, 106:7,	206:20, 207:5,	339:11, 339:14,
282:7, 288:24	BA [1] - 193:20	106:10, 106:11,	207:17, 207:25,	340:14, 340:16,
attribute [1] - 317:20	back-and-forth [2] -	107:10, 108:13,	208:13, 211:19,	342:19, 343:21,
attributed [2] - 321:1,	354:15, 354:18	108:18, 108:19,	213:25, 214:3,	343:23, 343:25,
321:3	backdoor [1] - 15:12	108:23, 109:19,	215:3, 215:25,	344:1, 344:6,
audits [2] - 131:16,	background [11] -	109:25, 110:5,	216:6, 218:5,	346:21, 347:25,
132:24	17:25, 25:16, 70:16,	110:7, 110:10,	218:14, 218:19,	348:1, 348:8, 348:9,
author [3] - 115:18,	73:8, 73:24, 75:5,	110:13, 110:16,	219:4, 219:7, 221:4,	348:11, 348:13,
142:12, 142:15	124:15, 171:3,	110:17, 110:24,	221:11, 229:10,	348:16, 348:17,
authorities [1] -	183:23, 312:25,	112:1, 112:5, 112:8,	231:5, 231:15,	348:22, 348:25,
132:20	313:22	112:13, 112:14,	234:2, 234:5,	349:6, 349:13,
authority [3] - 137:8,	backgrounds [2] -	112:16, 114:2,	236:25, 237:14,	349:18, 349:20,
338:9, 363:14	171:2, 203:2	114:5, 114:9,	237:20, 233:10,	349:21, 350:13,
authorized [1] - 192:4	backwards [1] - 379:7	114:14, 115:11,	239:13, 240:25,	350:22, 351:7,
authors [1] - 329:18	backyard [1] - 54:3	115:17, 115:22,	253.10, 253:11,	351:25, 352:2,
automatically [1] -	bad [2] - 290:8, 362:15	115:25, 116:11,	253:20, 253:25,	352:3, 352:5,
116:24	balanced [1] - 383:23	116:12, 116:14,	254:1, 254:9,	352:13, 352:14,
available [6] - 67:9,	balances [1] - 63:17	116:24, 117:2,	254:16, 255:11,	352:15, 352:20,
188:23, 188:25,	balancing [4] - 18:11,	117:15, 117:20,	255:13, 255:17,	352:22, 352:23,
216:11, 270:10,	32:23, 44:8, 63:16	117:21, 117:24.	255:21, 256:2,	352:24, 353:2,
333:19	ballot [484] - 12:25,	125:24, 133:20,	256:4, 256:13,	353:11, 353:13,
AVC [3] - 299:18,	17:19, 32:11, 33:14,	133:24, 134:4,	256:24, 257:10,	353:14, 353:15,
299:22, 300:25	33:23, 34:17, 34:21,	134:15, 135:1,	257:13, 257:24,	353:17, 353:19,
Ave [1] - 1:19	36:17, 36:19, 36:23,	135:2, 135:6, 135:8,	259:10, 259:20, 260:22, 263:15	353:21, 353:22, 354:1, 354:2, 354:5
Avenue [3] - 2:18,	37:2, 37:16, 37:17,	130:3, 136:14, 136:15, 136:18,	260:22, 263:15, 265:23, 266:23,	354:1, 354:2, 354:5, 354:9, 354:15,
2:21, 3:20	38:24, 38:25, 39:2,	138:5, 138:7,	267:16, 268:3,	354:20, 355:12,
average [3] - 312:6,	40:10, 40:11, 40:24,	138:13, 138:16,	268:10, 268:11,	355:19, 355:20,
315:23, 315:24	47:21, 52:21, 55.22,	140:11, 140:12,	269:18, 269:19,	355:23, 357:13,
avoid [4] - 55:25,	56:12, 56:21, 57:6, 57:7, 57:8, 57:14,	141:24, 142:4,	270:19, 271:6,	357:15, 357:17,
72:16, 171:22,	58:7, 58:8, 60:21,	144:19, 144:22,	271:8, 272:4, 272:9,	357:19, 357:21,
243:17		144:24, 145:6,	272:11, 272:21,	357:24, 358:6,
awarded [8] - 33:15,	61:3, 55:10, 61:12, 61:17, 61:19, 61:20,	145:10, 145:14,	272:22, 274:20,	358:19, 359:4,
169:5, 171:17,	61:22, 61:24, 63:2,	145:17, 145:19,	275:7, 276:13,	359:6, 359:7, 359:9,
181:24, 182:4,	64:19, 64:21, 65:13,	145:23, 145:25,	277:7, 281:18,	359:16, 359:20,
183:12, 207:20	65:17, 65:20, 76:15,	147:11, 147:24,	281:23, 282:9,	359:22, 360:1,
awarding [5] - 177:3,	76:17, 76:18, 76:20,	147:25, 148:2,	282:14, 282:25,	360:14, 360:25,
186:16, 190:11, 196:11, 243:1	76:21, 76:22, 76:24,	148:8, 148:18,	291:9, 291:16,	361:1, 361:2, 361:4,
196:11, 243:1	78:23, 78:25, 79:1,	149:2, 149:5, 149:6,	292:1, 292:15,	361:9, 361:16,
aware [39] - 14:10, 19:15, 21:13, 48:23,	79:2, 79:4, 79:6,	150:1, 150:25,	292:19, 292:22,	361:21, 362:17,
19.13, 21.13, 46.23, 111:12, 157:16,	79:7, 79:9, 80:14,	151:10, 151:13,	293:1, 293:5,	363:25, 364:3,
158:12, 158:17,	81:9, 81:20, 81:21,	152:2, 152:9,	293:14, 293:18,	364:14, 365:6,
171:2, 199:18,	82:6, 82:8, 82:10,	152:11, 152:25,	294:3, 294:4,	365:9, 366:3, 371:5,
200:6, 219:22,	84:8, 84:19, 84:21,	153:20, 153:23,	294:14, 294:16,	373:9, 373:17,
223:6, 223:11,	94:5, 94:11, 94:14,	154:7, 154:15,	294:18, 294:23,	373:20, 373:22,
223:16, 230:11,	95:9, 95:25, 96:3,	154:19, 155:18,	295:5, 295:11,	374:3, 374:8,
230:14, 232:23,	96:10, 96:11, 96:15,	155:20, 158:23,	295:18, 295:24,	374:11, 374:12,
236:23, 266:16,	96:16, 96:23, 97:21,	159:22, 160:1,	296:3, 296:6,	374:15, 383:2,
266:19, 271:20,	98:14, 98:23, 99:15,	160:2, 160:3, 160:5, 160:11, 160:15	297:15, 297:18, 207:10, 207:23	383:4, 383:12, 383:10, 384:12
288:20, 289:9,	99:18, 99:21, 101:3,	160:11, 160:15, 160:18, 160:24	297:19, 297:23, 207:25, 208:7	383:19, 384:12, 384:21, 385:5
289:20, 289:23,	101:6, 101:9,	160:18, 160:24, 161:5, 161:12,	297:25, 298:7, 298:8, 298:10,	384:21, 385:5, 385:22, 385:23,
		101.3, 101.12,	230.0, 230.10,	JUJ.22, JOJ.23,

385:25	278:14, 278:23,	112:22, 112:23,	129:23, 135:6,	274:4
ballot-marking [4] -	279:1, 279:7,	115:6, 115:25,	136:16, 136:19,	bias [1] - 265:6
76:15, 76:21, 76:24,	279:23, 280:2,	116:12, 117:20,	137:8, 156:9,	biased [1] - 66:24
80:14	280:4, 280:22,	117:21, 135:20,	156:13, 156:14,	Biden [2] - 240:14,
ballot-proofing [1] -	281:2, 281:7,	151:7, 151:23,	157:6, 182:11,	240:20
142:4	289:18, 293:13,	154:22, 155:1,	267:16	big [5] - 61:5, 63:10,
ballot-style [1] - 105:5	296:22, 296:24,	155:5, 158:25,	behaves [1] - 300:14	195:15, 254:18,
balloting [5] - 224:4,	302:25, 310:8,	161:8, 173:15,	behavior [1] - 313:5	285:13
229:10, 230:13,	314:10, 337:15,	173:25, 176:19,	behind [3] - 14:23,	Bill [5] - 202:8,
362:1, 372:6	337:18, 338:4,	179:18, 195:15,	61:12, 316:13	321:12, 321:20,
ballots [182] - 31:13,	338:5, 339:16,	206:17, 207:2,	belabor [3] - 19:9,	321:24, 322:3
31:22, 35:1, 37:7,	339:24, 340:17,	207:19, 217:11,	53:9, 55:14	binder [1] - 28:24
37:8, 37:24, 38:5,	340:22, 341:21,	253:20, 256:2,	belatedly [2] - 26:22,	binding [1] - 25:24
38:18, 38:20, 38:21,	341:22, 342:8,	256:8, 276:18,	28:6	bit [29] - 9:16, 19:4,
43:12, 43:14, 58:4,	344:2, 344:5,	277:4, 281:17,	believes [1] - 325:8	• • • •
65:8, 65:16, 76:3,	345:22, 346:15,	322:6, 326:21,	Bell [1] - 9:19	32:20, 37:11, 73:8,
77:10, 78:16, 84:24,	347:7, 347:20,	349:23, 370:24,		77:5, 79:19, 81:14,
85:1, 92:5, 92:7,	347:21, 348:3,	388:14	BELL [4] - 3:22, 3:23, 9:18	103:8, 116:15, 124:15, 148:11
92:11, 94:19, 95:6,	352:25, 353:4,	basic [1] - 255:23		124:15, 148:11, 152:3, 167:17
92.11, 94.19, 95.0, 96:18, 97:18,	353:19, 354:12,	basing [1] - 373:24	below [2] - 30:20, 63:6	152:3, 167:17, 172:7, 174:5
102:14, 103:12,	354:14, 354:24,	basis [10] - 94:24,	bench [2] - 23:7	172:7, 174:5,
102.14, 103.12, 103:16, 110:8,	355:4, 355:5, 355:8,	133:22, 143:16,	306:13	185:12, 187:25, 248:1, 252:5,
112:7, 115:2, 115:6,	355:13, 355:16,	178:15, 218:10,	beneficia [1] - 325:4	
116:7, 117:7,	355:17, 355:25,	220:15, 222:1,	beneficiaries [1] -	253:22, 257:15,
117:18, 117:24,	356:1, 356:2, 357:8,	232:1, 265:5, 313:24	32 10	261:6, 283:23,
118:12, 133:13,	357:11, 358:9,	Bass [1] - 299:25	bonefit [12] - 44:15,	286:5, 294:22,
133:16, 136:16,	359:2, 361:17,	Bayard [1] - 3:12	64:9, 178:17,	307:9, 381:10, 384:15
139:2, 144:18,	362:19, 363:21,	bearer [1] - 60:19	178:18, 185:19,	
145:1, 146:2, 146:5,	364:4, 364:15,	bearers [1] - 63:19	319:16, 319:17,	bite [1] - 156:23 blame [1] - 19:9
146:7, 154:1, 159:1,	364:17, 364:18,	bearing [2] - 22:7,	366:16, 369:9,	
168:15, 180:6,	364:21, 364:23,	64:10	369:24, 369:25,	blank [3] - 82:7, 82:8,
180:23, 181:7,	365:4, 365:24,	bears [1] - 372:1	371:11	82:9
184:5, 192:9,	373:13, 374:16	became [1] - 189:24	benefits [2] - 177:17,	blatant [1] - 249:4
206:17, 207:2,	Ballots [1] - 286:19	become [3] - 167:23,	253:2	blind [1] - 52:14
			benefitting [3] - 64:14,	
ZZD ZZD U		\sim		Block [1] - 286:19
225:3, 225:10, 225:13, 226:14	bang [1] - 156:20	213:18, 336:22	64:18	block [138] - 26:3,
225:13, 226:14,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13.	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 337:20	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 337:20 barristers [2] - 41:10,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 337:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 337:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 337:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8, 271:24, 272:2,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9, 101:14, 101:17,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8 behalf [37] - 6:13,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5, 217:18, 274:18,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19, 153:20, 180:12,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8, 271:24, 272:2, 272:15, 272:17,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9, 101:14, 101:17, 101:19, 102:3,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8 behalf [37] - 6:13, 6:17, 6:22, 7:10,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5, 217:18, 274:18, 282:20, 285:9,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19, 153:20, 180:12, 181:7, 181:10,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8, 271:24, 272:2, 272:15, 272:17, 272:18, 272:25,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9, 101:14, 101:17, 101:19, 102:3, 102:6, 102:24,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8 behalf [37] - 6:13, 6:17, 6:22, 7:10, 7:19, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5, 217:18, 274:18, 282:20, 285:9, 295:16, 304:10,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19, 153:20, 180:12, 181:7, 181:10, 181:20, 225:3,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8, 271:24, 272:2, 272:15, 272:17, 272:18, 272:25, 273:25, 274:3,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9, 101:14, 101:17, 101:19, 102:3, 102:6, 102:24, 102:25, 103:24,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8 behalf [37] - 6:13, 6:17, 6:22, 7:10, 7:19, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9, 8:14, 8:18, 8:22,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5, 217:18, 274:18, 282:20, 285:9, 295:16, 304:10, 312:6, 315:16,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19, 153:20, 180:12, 181:7, 181:10, 181:20, 225:3, 225:13, 229:10,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8, 271:24, 272:2, 272:15, 272:17, 272:18, 272:25, 273:25, 274:3, 274:7, 274:8,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9, 101:14, 101:17, 101:19, 102:3, 102:6, 102:24, 105:5, 106:4,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8 behalf [37] - 6:13, 6:17, 6:22, 7:10, 7:19, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9, 8:14, 8:18, 8:22, 8:23, 9:1, 9:4, 9:9,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5, 217:18, 274:18, 282:20, 285:9, 295:16, 304:10, 312:6, 315:16, 326:22, 327:14,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19, 153:20, 180:12, 181:7, 181:10, 181:20, 225:3, 225:13, 229:10, 229:25, 230:4,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8, 271:24, 272:2, 272:15, 272:17, 272:18, 272:25, 273:25, 274:3, 274:7, 274:8, 274:14, 274:22,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9, 101:14, 101:17, 101:19, 102:3, 102:6, 102:24, 102:25, 103:24, 105:5, 106:4, 106:10, 106:11,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8 behalf [37] - 6:13, 6:17, 6:22, 7:10, 7:19, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9, 8:14, 8:18, 8:22, 8:23, 9:1, 9:4, 9:9, 9:11, 9:13, 9:19,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5, 217:18, 274:18, 282:20, 285:9, 295:16, 304:10, 312:6, 315:16, 326:22, 327:14, 330:12, 330:16,	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19, 153:20, 180:12, 181:7, 181:10, 181:20, 225:3, 225:13, 229:10, 229:25, 230:4, 231:4, 231:15,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8, 271:24, 272:2, 272:15, 272:17, 272:18, 272:25, 273:25, 274:3, 274:7, 274:8, 274:14, 274:22, 274:24, 275:8,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9, 101:14, 101:17, 101:19, 102:3, 102:6, 102:24, 105:5, 106:4, 106:10, 106:11, 106:22, 108:23,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8 behalf [37] - 6:13, 6:17, 6:22, 7:10, 7:19, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9, 8:14, 8:18, 8:22, 8:23, 9:1, 9:4, 9:9, 9:11, 9:13, 9:19, 9:24, 10:2, 10:6,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5, 217:18, 274:18, 282:20, 285:9, 295:16, 304:10, 312:6, 315:16, 326:22, 327:14, 330:12, 330:16, 353:18, 379:11	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19, 153:20, 180:12, 181:7, 181:10, 181:20, 225:3, 225:13, 229:10, 229:25, 230:4, 231:4, 231:15, 234:4, 266:3, 268:5,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8, 271:24, 272:2, 272:15, 272:17, 272:18, 272:25, 273:25, 274:3, 274:7, 274:8, 274:14, 274:22, 274:24, 275:8, 276:7, 276:16,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9, 101:14, 101:17, 101:19, 102:3, 102:6, 102:24, 105:5, 106:4, 106:10, 106:11, 106:22, 108:23, 109:19, 110:10,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8 behalf [37] - 6:13, 6:17, 6:22, 7:10, 7:19, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9, 8:14, 8:18, 8:22, 8:23, 9:1, 9:4, 9:9, 9:11, 9:13, 9:19, 9:24, 10:2, 10:6, 10:13, 30:19, 59:21,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5, 217:18, 274:18, 282:20, 285:9, 295:16, 304:10, 312:6, 315:16, 326:22, 327:14, 330:12, 330:16, 353:18, 379:11 bevy [2] - 41:10, 51:3	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19, 153:20, 180:12, 181:7, 181:10, 181:20, 225:3, 225:13, 229:10, 229:25, 230:4, 231:4, 231:15, 234:4, 266:3, 268:5, 269:11, 269:18,
225:13, 226:14, 230:1, 230:4, 252:9, 252:10, 252:11, 252:12, 253:4, 253:7, 253:17, 254:21, 254:22, 254:25, 255:4, 255:8, 259:5, 259:16, 260:10, 260:13, 260:15, 260:21, 261:2, 262:6, 262:15, 263:3, 263:18, 263:21, 264:3, 266:4, 271:8, 271:24, 272:2, 272:15, 272:17, 272:18, 272:25, 273:25, 274:3, 274:7, 274:8, 274:14, 274:22, 274:24, 275:8, 276:7, 276:16, 276:22, 277:17,	bang [1] - 156:20 Bank [1] - 2:7 bar [3] - 29:10, 35:13, 217:17 barred [1] - 387:20 barristers [2] - 41:10, 51:3 BARRY [1] - 3:19 Barry [1] - 8:21 base [1] - 153:20 based [72] - 29:12, 44:5, 47:14, 57:23, 64:23, 75:16, 83:24, 94:3, 94:5, 94:10, 94:11, 96:3, 97:15, 97:18, 101:3, 101:5, 101:7, 101:9, 101:14, 101:17, 101:19, 102:3, 102:6, 102:24, 105:5, 106:4, 106:10, 106:11, 106:22, 108:23,	213:18, 336:22 becomes [4] - 44:8, 155:4, 169:25, 172:25 BEEN [7] - 72:24, 165:16, 251:1, 285:20, 310:17, 336:3, 375:15 began [1] - 188:21 begin [5] - 110:14, 120:15, 192:4, 336:13, 355:15 beginning [5] - 6:11, 16:12, 134:9, 216:19, 225:8 begins [3] - 198:5, 235:3, 346:8 behalf [37] - 6:13, 6:17, 6:22, 7:10, 7:19, 8:3, 8:6, 8:9, 8:14, 8:18, 8:22, 8:23, 9:1, 9:4, 9:9, 9:11, 9:13, 9:19, 9:24, 10:2, 10:6,	64:18 Benjamin [2] - 104:4, 104:17 Benson [1] - 8:21 BENSON [1] - 3:19 Bergen [3] - 2:25, 9:24, 256:3 best [9] - 7:7, 53:2, 60:19, 128:16, 131:23, 304:9, 319:3, 327:7, 383:25 better [5] - 15:15, 67:23, 141:14, 320:21, 335:22 between [20] - 19:22, 46:4, 60:22, 76:21, 186:8, 191:5, 217:18, 274:18, 282:20, 285:9, 295:16, 304:10, 312:6, 315:16, 326:22, 327:14, 330:12, 330:16, 353:18, 379:11	block [138] - 26:3, 35:1, 92:12, 92:19, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 93:14, 93:18, 94:7, 94:8, 94:9, 94:12, 94:20, 95:6, 95:10, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18, 100:1, 101:13, 101:15, 103:3, 103:5, 103:22, 103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24, 112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11, 117:6, 118:13, 144:19, 153:20, 180:12, 181:7, 181:10, 181:20, 225:3, 225:13, 229:10, 229:25, 230:4, 231:4, 231:15, 234:4, 266:3, 268:5,

272:12, 272:14,	359:19, 383:15	Brad [1] - 6:22	226:2, 226:18,	129:10, 133:3,
272:12, 272:14, 272:20, 272:23,	boards [1] - 158:17	brains [3] - 76:2, 77:9,	228:16, 228:25,	129.10, 133.3, 141:2, 143:15,
277:17, 278:7,	bodies [1] - 74:11		233:11, 250:3	143:17, 147:2,
278:12, 278:15,		78:1		147:5, 147:9,
	Bohn [1] - 10:5	brainstorm [2] -	bringing [7] - 25:6,	
279:1, 279:2, 279:6,	BOHN [2] - 3:5, 10:4	309:12, 309:13	53:17, 82:12,	149:21, 149:24,
289:17, 291:8,	bond [10] - 11:6, 11:7,	branch [1] - 166:15	219:12, 219:21,	150:15, 153:10,
292:1, 292:15,	11:9, 11:10, 12:7,	Branch [5] - 351:7,	233:7, 247:9	157:20, 166:22,
292:19, 292:22,	12:11, 12:16, 13:7,	373:8, 373:20,	brings [2] - 76:3,	200:22, 228:9,
293:1, 293:6,	13:17	374:8, 374:11	380:11	232:23, 242:14,
293:10, 293:13,	bonds [1] - 13:1	Bravo [1] - 193:9	broad [1] - 236:10	242:17, 248:20,
293:14, 293:18,	books [4] - 337:25,	break [42] - 19:21,	Broad [1] - 2:15	273:15, 296:2,
294:2, 294:6, 294:8,	340:6, 340:7, 340:25	20:1, 20:4, 20:6,	Broadway [1] - 121:18	297:16, 304:20,
294:14, 294:15,	booth [1] - 57:6	20:9, 22:20, 67:17,	brochure [3] - 292:18,	351:12, 351:14,
294:16, 294:17,	BOREK [2] - 2:14,	67:19, 68:7, 68:10,	292:21, 292:24	379:16, 388:16
294:20, 294:24,	9:10	68:16, 97:10,	broke [2] - 141:17,	Bromberg [1] - 87:18
295:2, 295:8,	Borek [1] - 9:10	120:14, 120:15,	316:7	brother [3] - 252:4,
295:11, 295:24,	bother [2] - 15:10,	120:19, 120:24,	Bromberg [14] - 6:13,	252:19, 261:16
296:3, 296:4, 296:6,	121:20	123:1, 124:3, 124:4,	6:17, 28:8, 71:19,	brought [14] - 27:15,
296:21, 296:24,	bottom [6] - 92:16,	128:18, 156:5,	77:20, 80:5, 86:25,	35:7, 35:8, 35:23,
297:12, 297:14,	154:10, 319:1,	190:5, 194:9, 197:5,	95:15, 97:12, 99:11,	49:19, 51:1, 56:9,
297:17, 297:19,	377:12, 379:8, 379:9	197:6, 197:10,	108:7, 109:21	196:3, 196:4,
297:21, 297:23,	box [15] - 65:8, 65:13,	197:16, 201:12,	114:20	222:12, 226:7,
297:25, 298:1,	65:15, 65:16,	233:16, 249:1,	BROMBERG [126] -	264:1, 381:7
298:2, 298:3,	165:14, 201:2,	283:20, 283:21,	2:2 2:2, 4:4, 6:13,	Brown [1] - 202:9
298:10, 298:15,	243:10, 250:24,	284:7, 287:10,	5:16, 6:20, 22:11,	BROWN [1] - 2:17
298:19, 299:2,	, ,	287:11, 287:12,	22:14, 22:17, 22:23,	Brunswick [1] - 3:13
299:23, 300:4,	336:1, 350:1, 350:2,	332:14, 333:6,	23:2, 23:22, 24:1,	bubble [7] - 94:10,
300:14, 301:13,	350:5, 350:6	333:7, 382:22	26:18, 26:20, 27:4,	94:11, 112:8,
302:13, 302:24,	bracket [34] - 43:10,	breaking [2] - 20.3,	27:10, 27:13, 27:17,	112:13, 112:15,
350:13, 350:21,	43:12, 43:17, 44:4,	306:13	28:12, 67:16, 67:25,	112:19, 372:12
351:25, 352:2,	64:3, 206:18, 207:2,		68:5, 69:20, 70:12,	bubble-based [3] -
352:5, 352:13,	209:22, 209:25,	BRETT [1] - 1:18		94:10, 94:11, 112:19
360:24, 361:2,	210:4, 210:8,	brevity (1) - 358:17	71:25, 72:4, 72:7,	
361:25, 371:5,	210:14, 213:25,	BRIAN [1] - 2:5	72:9, 72:11, 73:4,	bubble-style [1] -
372:6, 373:10,	214:3, 214:11,	Brian [2] - 9:3, 157:5	73:6, 74:20, 74:23,	112:13
374:12, 385:25	215:3, 224:4, 234:2,	Brief [11] - 72:6,	75:9, 75:13, 77:21,	bud [1] - 250:7
block-and-row [1] -	239:17, 239:18,	86:13, 91:22, 193:4,	77:22, 78:3, 78:7,	build [6] - 174:17,
350:13	240:4, 240:12,	243:20, 248:13,	78:11, 78:13, 79:14,	175:12, 176:22,
block-ballot [1] -	240:18, 241:25,	267:20, 284:10,	80:6, 83:11, 83:20,	182:23, 245:25,
144:19	242:7, 243:5, 245:6,	285:19, 351:11,	84:15, 85:20, 86:14,	246:6
blocks [11] - 272:6,	245:15, 245:25,	375:7	86:15, 87:2, 87:6,	building [7] - 225:21,
294:18, 295:5,	246.5, 246:20,	brief [14] - 12:1, 14:21,	89:2, 89:4, 89:7,	367:12, 368:11,
294.18, 295.5, 295:13, 295:14,	293:5, 293:8, 350:9	26:5, 29:18, 60:9,	90:4, 90:6, 90:23,	375:6, 386:19,
295.13, 295.14, 303:2, 361:4, 361:8,	bracketed [12] - 60:19,	227:20, 227:23,	91:3, 91:7, 91:15,	388:22
	63:5, 63:8, 63:9,	228:1, 228:8,	91:16, 91:21, 91:23,	Building [2] - 1:13,
361:16, 361:21	63:11, 63:22,	228:12, 228:13,	92:24, 95:7, 96:4,	3:12
blow [1] - 268:21	209:13, 242:5,	284:6, 334:9, 386:6	97:13, 97:14, 99:12,	building's [1] - 375:10
BMV [1] - 80:14	253:11, 268:7,	briefing [7] - 20:13,	100:24, 104:16,	bulk [1] - 127:20
board [28] - 195:12,	350:8, 352:8	20:16, 20:21, 26:6,	104:22, 106:14,	bunch [3] - 58:7, 58:8,
226:2, 226:7,	bracketing [19] - 43:3,	28:1, 96:25, 227:2	108:10, 109:11,	369:14
257:19, 268:8,	96:23, 206:18,	briefly [4] - 19:18,	109:22, 109:23,	burden [19] - 12:23,
268:9, 268:11,	207:2, 211:20,	30:13, 121:8, 185:12	111:4, 111:7,	21:12, 21:14, 44:13,
269:20, 270:22,	236:21, 239:13,	briefs [6] - 24:24,	111:20, 113:13,	44:16, 44:19, 46:16,
271:10, 272:17,	245:13, 253:9,	25:2, 227:16,	114:22, 115:1,	47:20, 53:11, 53:14,
272:18, 281:23,	253:14, 258:20,	227:22, 314:11,	115:12, 115:20,	189:22, 191:10,
294:9, 295:1, 295:7,	266:23, 275:1,	322:17	117:3, 118:10,	217:3, 217:6,
338:1, 338:2,	343:24, 349:1,	bring [18] - 25:10,	118:15, 119:8,	217:12, 217:19,
340:15, 341:6,	349:3, 349:4, 363:5	38:17, 46:24, 46:25,	119:12, 119:15,	217:20
341:17, 342:10,	brackets [5] - 239:20,	49:1, 49:18, 49:22,	119:22, 120:1,	burdens [1] - 32:18
342:12, 355:3,	239:23, 241:1,	56:10, 222:1,	120:9, 120:13,	Burdick [1] - 32:17
355:4, 359:1,	245:5, 254:2	222:18, 224:15,	123:10, 129:6,	Burlington [8] - 8:7,
		, -,		U (c) U (c)

8:18, 318:11,	233:25, 236:19,	182:22, 184:1,	307:22, 307:24,	258:1, 288:16,
318:14, 318:18,	239:6, 241:22,	185:10, 186:7,	316:23, 334:12,	289:16, 291:14,
319:10, 319:11,	243:4, 244:13,	189:3, 191:23,	334:19, 337:16,	291:22, 298:2,
320:17	246:18, 251:10,	212:1, 213:1, 213:2,	349:8, 349:10,	298:3, 298:4, 298:5,
burn [2] - 12:5, 21:1	251:24, 253:15,	213:6, 213:8,	350:4, 350:6, 350:7,	298:9, 298:10,
Burns [4] - 7:9, 7:16,	263:1, 264:21,	213:10, 214:16,	385:15, 385:17,	298:12, 299:7,
9:10, 10:12	265:11, 266:6,	225:22, 225:23,	385:25	300:8, 300:11,
BURNS [1] - 2:12	267:15, 267:21,	238:11, 289:8,	candidate's [3] -	300:12, 300:21,
business [11] -	268:2, 269:2, 270:3,	307:19, 307:21,	60:23, 239:16,	311:24, 312:6,
117:13, 136:6,	270:18, 271:21,	307:23, 323:22,	316:18	315:16, 318:22,
136:24, 146:18,	273:17, 278:8,	324:6, 324:7,	candidates [159] -	322:20, 322:21,
153:2, 153:7,	278:21, 279:22,	328:11, 328:16,	31:21, 32:18, 33:7,	326:22, 328:23,
160:23, 161:2,	280:3, 280:18,	328:23, 349:12,	33:13, 36:24, 38:25,	330:22, 343:18,
252:18, 257:5, 301:6	281:16, 285:25,	367:4, 367:13,	41:22, 41:24, 42:17,	347:24, 348:20,
businessman [1] -	286:12, 288:3,	370:6, 376:3,	43:4, 43:5, 43:20,	348:25, 349:5,
265:19	291:7, 296:19,	376:11, 378:19,	43:21, 45:10, 45:12,	349:11, 349:12,
busy [2] - 175:8, 175:9	298:17, 303:12,	383:4, 383:18,	50:3, 60:16, 60:23,	349:25, 350:8,
but [2] - 19:4, 382:23	303:18, 304:24,	383:20, 384:10,	61:9, 62:13, 63:4,	350:9, 350:10,
buttons [1] - 95:16	311:6, 312:13,	385:3, 385:4	63:5, 63:6, 63:8,	350:11, 352:8,
BY [170] - 1:18, 2:2,	314:4, 315:9,	campaigning [6] -	63:11, 64:25, 65:9,	352:19, 353:3,
2:5, 2:9, 2:13, 2:17,	325:11, 330:24,	179:1, 182:10,	65:11, 66:16, 78:24,	373:18
2:20, 2:23, 3:2, 3:5,	332:7, 332:18,	209:12, 214:18,	82:15, 82:23, 83:18,	candidates' [1] -
3:11, 3:15, 3:23, 4:4,	332:25, 334:10,	214:19, 214:21	84:2, 54:4, 96:11,	312:2
4:4, 4:5, 4:5, 4:6,	336:16, 345:9, 350:17, 350:20	campaigns [5] - 32:6,	97:22, 97:24, 99:19,	cannot [9] - 41:1,
4:7, 4:7, 4:8, 4:8,	350:17, 350:20,	179:2, 179:3,	99:20, 99:22, 99:24,	105:17, 112:15,
4:9, 4:10, 4:10, 4:11,	351:23, 358:18,	384:22, 385:4	0100:7, 108:25,	112:24, 151:7,
4:12, 4:12, 4:13,	360:11, 360:23, 363:3, 364:13,	Campos [1] - 179:6	110:11, 110:20, 110:23, 111:2,	362:8, 363:16,
4:14, 4:14, 4:15,	370:15, 371:20,	candidacy [17] -	116:23, 152:22,	369:12, 375:2 capabilities [5] -
4:15, 4:16, 4:17,	371:25, 373:7,	167:7, 167:9, 167:10, 167:25,	154:6, 154:14,	144:12, 163:20,
4:18, 73:6, 75:13,	375:23, 380:4,	168:1, 169:3, 169:4,	154:20, 155:9,	279:15, 289:3,
77:22, 78:13, 79:14,	010.20, 000.1,	100.1, 105 5, 105.4,	104.20, 100.0,	273.13, 203.3,
	382.2 384.20 386.8	171.21 172.6	155.22 160.6	
80:6, 83:11, 83:20,	382:2, 384:20, 386:8	171:24. 172:6, 175:10, 175:23	155:22, 160:6, 160:22 161:6	299:19
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15,		175:10, 175:23,	160:22, 161:6,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16,	382:2, 384:20, 386:8	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21,
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7,		175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10,
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12,	с	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22,	C calculus [1] - 65:6	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10,
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]-	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9 capacity [8] - 1:3, 1:8,
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9 capacity [8] - 1:3, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24,
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9 capacity [8] - 1:3, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9 capacity [8] - 1:3, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital [1] - 313:6
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9 capacity [8] - 1:3, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital [1] - 313:6 Cappuzo [1] - 10:5
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9 capacity [8] - 1:3, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital [1] - 313:6 Cappuzo [1] - 10:5 CAPPUZZO [1] - 3:5
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9 capacity [8] - 1:3, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital [1] - 313:6 Cappuzo [1] - 10:5 CAPPUZZO [1] - 3:5 captured [1] - 90:3
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3, 1:8$, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 350:2$ care $[8] - 42:7, 42:9$,
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3, 1:8$, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 350:2$ care $[8] - 42:7, 42:9$, 42:10, 43:15, 64:13,
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9 capacity [8] - 1:3, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital [1] - 313:6 Cappuzo [1] - 10:5 CAPPUZZO [1] - 3:5 captured [1] - 90:3 card [2] - 359:11 cards [1] - 350:2 care [8] - 42:7, 42:9, 42:10, 43:15, 64:13, 67:13, 200:16
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24, 188:19, 193:22,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18, 204:20, 205:5, 206:11, 206:14,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13, 182:20, 183:19,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16, 231:14, 231:17,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3, 1:8$, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 350:2$ care $[8] - 42:7, 42:9,$ 42:10, 43:15, 64:13, 67:13, 200:16 career $[2] - 313:9,$
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24, 188:19, 193:22, 194:15, 202:7,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18, 204:20, 205:5, 206:11, 206:14, 320:11, 366:22	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13, 182:20, 183:19, 186:19, 206:18,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16, 231:14, 231:17, 232:14, 238:12,	299:19 Capability [1] - 286:18 capability [5] - 163:21, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable [2] - 107:18, 362:21 capacities [1] - 46:9 capacity [8] - 1:3, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital [1] - 313:6 Cappuzo [1] - 10:5 CAPPUZZO [1] - 3:5 captured [1] - 90:3 card [2] - 359:11 cards [1] - 350:2 care [8] - 42:7, 42:9, 42:10, 43:15, 64:13, 67:13, 200:16 career [2] - 313:9, 314:5
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24, 188:19, 193:22, 194:15, 202:7, 202:19, 202:24,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18, 204:20, 205:5, 206:11, 206:14, 320:11, 366:22 Camden's [1] - 204:10	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13, 182:20, 183:19, 186:19, 206:18, 207:3, 207:7, 207:9,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16, 231:14, 231:17, 232:14, 238:12, 239:19, 239:25,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3$, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 350:2$ care $[8] - 42:7, 42:9,$ 42:10, 43:15, 64:13, 67:13, 200:16 career $[2] - 313:9,$ 314:5 CAROLYN $[2] - 1:4,$
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24, 188:19, 193:22, 194:15, 202:7, 202:19, 202:24, 208:18, 211:14,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18, 204:20, 205:5, 206:11, 206:14, 320:11, 366:22 Camden's [1] - 204:10 Campaign [1] - 169:10	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13, 182:20, 183:19, 186:19, 206:18, 207:3, 207:7, 207:9, 207:13, 212:23,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16, 231:14, 231:17, 232:14, 238:12, 239:19, 239:25, 241:1, 243:6,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3$, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 350:2$ care $[8] - 42:7, 42:9,$ 42:10, 43:15, 64:13, 67:13, 200:16 career $[2] - 313:9,$ 314:5 CAROLYN $[2] - 1:4,$ 1:5
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24, 188:19, 193:22, 194:15, 202:7, 202:19, 202:24, 208:18, 211:14, 218:18, 220:7,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18, 204:20, 205:5, 206:11, 206:14, 320:11, 366:22 Camden's [1] - 204:10 Campaign [1] - 169:10 campaign [49] - 170:2,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13, 182:20, 183:19, 186:19, 206:18, 207:3, 207:7, 207:9, 207:13, 212:23, 213:15, 213:17,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16, 231:14, 231:17, 232:14, 238:12, 239:19, 239:25,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3$, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 350:2$ care $[8] - 42:7, 42:9,$ 42:10, 43:15, 64:13, 67:13, 200:16 career $[2] - 313:9,$ 314:5 CAROLYN $[2] - 1:4,$ 1:5 Carolyn $[1] - 33:8$
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24, 188:19, 193:22, 194:15, 202:7, 202:19, 202:24, 208:18, 211:14, 218:18, 220:7, 220:24, 221:25,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18, 204:20, 205:5, 206:11, 206:14, 320:11, 366:22 Camden's [1] - 204:10 Campaign [1] - 169:10 campaign [49] - 170:2, 174:12, 174:15,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13, 182:20, 183:19, 186:19, 206:18, 207:3, 207:7, 207:9, 207:13, 212:23, 213:15, 213:17, 213:18, 213:24,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16, 231:14, 231:17, 232:14, 238:12, 239:19, 239:25, 241:1, 243:6, 244:19, 245:2,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3$, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 350:2$ care $[8] - 42:7, 42:9,$ 42:10, 43:15, 64:13, 67:13, 200:16 career $[2] - 313:9,$ 314:5 CAROLYN $[2] - 1:4,$ 1:5 Carolyn $[1] - 33:8$ carrying $[1] - 81:21$
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24, 188:19, 193:22, 194:15, 202:7, 202:19, 202:24, 208:18, 211:14, 218:18, 220:7, 200:24, 221:25, 222:9, 229:2,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18, 204:20, 205:5, 206:11, 206:14, 320:11, 366:22 Camden's [1] - 204:10 Campaign [1] - 169:10 campaign [49] - 170:2,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13, 182:20, 183:19, 186:19, 206:18, 207:3, 207:7, 207:9, 207:13, 212:23, 213:15, 213:17,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16, 231:14, 231:17, 232:14, 238:12, 239:19, 239:25, 241:1, 243:6, 244:19, 245:2, 245:6, 245:7, 246:4,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3$, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 359:11$ carde $[1] - 359:11$ carde $[2] - 359:11$ carde $[2] - 359:11$ carde $[2] - 359:11$ carde $[2] - 313:9$, 314:5 CAROLYN $[2] - 1:4$, 1:5 Carolyn $[1] - 33:8$ carrying $[1] - 81:21$ case $[131] - 11:22$,
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24, 188:19, 193:22, 194:15, 202:7, 202:19, 202:24, 208:18, 211:14, 218:18, 220:7, 220:24, 221:25, 222:9, 229:2, 230:10, 230:25,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18, 204:20, 205:5, 206:11, 206:14, 320:11, 366:22 Camden's [1] - 204:10 Campaign [1] - 169:10 campaign [49] - 170:2, 174:12, 174:15, 174:20, 176:20,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13, 182:20, 183:19, 186:19, 206:18, 207:3, 207:7, 207:9, 207:13, 212:23, 213:15, 213:17, 213:18, 213:24, 215:18, 215:21,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16, 231:14, 231:17, 232:14, 238:12, 239:19, 239:25, 241:1, 243:6, 244:19, 246:22,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3$, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 350:2$ care $[8] - 42:7, 42:9,$ 42:10, 43:15, 64:13, 67:13, 200:16 career $[2] - 313:9,$ 314:5 CAROLYN $[2] - 1:4,$ 1:5 Carolyn $[1] - 81:21$ case $[131] - 11:22,$ 13:4, 14:13, 14:19,
80:6, 83:11, 83:20, 84:15, 85:20, 86:15, 89:7, 90:6, 91:16, 91:23, 92:24, 95:7, 96:4, 97:14, 99:12, 100:24, 104:22, 106:14, 108:10, 109:11, 109:23, 111:7, 111:20, 113:13, 115:20, 117:3, 118:10, 123:19, 130:2, 133:9, 141:16, 141:21, 144:1, 148:9, 150:20, 153:18, 155:17, 157:7, 158:11, 159:9, 163:8, 164:22, 166:1, 166:25, 187:24, 188:19, 193:22, 194:15, 202:7, 202:19, 202:24, 208:18, 211:14, 218:18, 220:7, 200:24, 221:25, 222:9, 229:2,	C calculus [1] - 65:6 calendar [1] - 254:19 caliber [1] - 132:25 California [3]- 131:20, 131:22, 147:23 CAMDEN [1] - 3:8 Camden [26] - 2:19, 3:9, 3:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 61:2, 62:12, 62:24, 62:25, 63:5, 93:3, 93:4, 102:20, 103:7, 103:14, 202:9, 204:9, 204:14, 204:18, 204:20, 205:5, 206:11, 206:14, 320:11, 366:22 Camden's [1] - 204:10 Campaign [1] - 169:10 campaign [49] - 170:2, 174:12, 174:15, 174:20, 176:20, 176:21, 178:1,	175:10, 175:23, 176:11, 185:16, 203:11, 211:16, 211:19, 211:22 candidate [71] - 1:3, 37:23, 56:4, 56:10, 56:11, 61:11, 61:15, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:9, 64:13, 64:18, 65:18, 65:19, 81:18, 84:5, 99:25, 100:14, 153:21, 154:18, 155:7, 167:12, 167:21, 167:23, 168:9, 169:19, 169:22, 172:24, 173:25, 174:11, 178:5, 179:14, 179:19, 182:13, 182:20, 183:19, 186:19, 206:18, 207:3, 207:7, 207:9, 207:13, 212:23, 213:15, 213:17, 213:18, 213:24, 215:18, 215:21, 216:5, 239:15,	160:22, 161:6, 161:10, 161:14, 161:16, 170:17, 170:23, 171:5, 173:5, 173:10, 173:13, 173:18, 181:22, 181:24, 182:2, 182:4, 182:5, 183:7, 183:9, 183:10, 183:17, 183:21, 183:25, 184:3, 184:19, 185:22, 185:25, 203:10, 206:18, 207:2, 209:14, 209:19, 209:22, 212:15, 212:20, 220:19, 225:18, 229:6, 229:16, 231:14, 231:17, 232:14, 238:12, 239:19, 239:25, 241:1, 243:6, 244:19, 246:22, 253:24, 254:13,	299:19 Capability $[1] - 286:18$ capability $[5] - 163:21$, 295:18, 301:10, 303:1, 340:4 capable $[2] - 107:18$, 362:21 capacities $[1] - 46:9$ capacity $[8] - 1:3$, 1:8, 1:11, 41:20, 176:24, 185:9, 186:15, 257:6 capital $[1] - 313:6$ Cappuzo $[1] - 10:5$ CAPPUZZO $[1] - 3:5$ captured $[1] - 90:3$ card $[2] - 359:11$ cards $[1] - 350:2$ care $[8] - 42:7, 42:9,$ 42:10, 43:15, 64:13, 67:13, 200:16 career $[2] - 313:9,$ 314:5 CAROLYN $[2] - 1:4,$ 1:5 Carolyn $[1] - 33:8$ carrying $[1] - 81:21$ case $[131] - 11:22,$

16.17 16.10 16.24	aget (3) 220:2 220:7	109:2, 111:8,	216:3, 224:24,	257.12 220.10
16:17, 16:19, 16:24, 17:2, 20:19, 20:24,	cast [3] - 339:2, 339:7,	109.2, 111.8, 111:14,	231:11, 231:23,	257:13, 339:18, 340:24, 340:25,
	339:14			
21:10, 22:8, 23:7,	categorize [1] - 378:8	111:22, 112:11,	231:24, 232:6,	341:12, 343:5,
25:11, 25:12, 25:24,	category [1] - 327:20	112:22, 113:10,	232:13, 232:17,	343:7, 357:24,
33:12, 35:22, 35:23,	caused [2] - 195:20,	113:14, 114:12,	234:7	358:2, 358:9,
37:20, 44:17, 46:22,	237:20	115:10, 127:25,	challenge [4] -	358:19, 358:22,
49:8, 51:4, 51:9,	causing [2] - 30:3,	128:4, 128:7,	183:16, 219:3,	360:13, 362:8,
51:15, 51:18, 51:20,	121:13	128:12, 128:14,	221:3, 234:23	362:21
51:23, 54:7, 54:16,	caution [2] - 30:16,	128:15, 128:17,	challenges [6] -	changing [3] - 234:2,
54:22, 54:24, 54:25,	30:25	128:19, 128:20,	46:11, 46:13, 196:7,	359:15, 359:21
58:11, 58:15, 58:20,	caveat [1] - 13:12	128:21, 128:24,	237:25, 238:2, 255:5	chaos [4] - 257:14,
62:10, 63:7, 63:24,	CCDC [1] - 66:18	129:24, 130:16,	challenging [2] -	282:10, 282:18,
64:6, 66:1, 67:12,	CD-3 [1] - 319:8	130:17, 131:6,	182:11, 184:16	285:4
69:17, 70:10, 71:17,	cell [4] - 100:14,	131:21, 132:20,	chance [6] - 33:18,	characterization [3] -
119:10, 126:16,	100:18, 100:19,	137:10, 137:14,	180:14, 180:19,	96:22, 99:6, 313:8
136:2, 136:6,	111:2	137:16, 138:4,	180:21, 194:13,	characterize [3] -
137:14, 140:23,	cells [3] - 99:20,	138:7, 140:8,	207:12	44:19, 124:22,
161:17, 162:7,	99:25, 100:1	140:15, 141:1,	chances [2] - 186:20,	327:10
182:18, 190:4,	center [1] - 126:20	141:5, 141:11,	186:25	characterized [1] -
191:2, 196:3,	Center [1] - 2:10	144:18, 145:2,	change [43] - 12:25,	179:14
199:14, 205:7,		146:12, 147:10,	20:20, 34:17, 36:17,	charge [1] - 358:23
205:11, 205:19,	central [1] - 76:9	149:7, 149:11,	37:7, 44:16, 57:23,	charged [9] - 30:23,
205:22, 206:21,	cert [3] - 113:22,	151:7, 163:4,	58:2, 63:3, 133:20,	40:23, 41:15, 41:20,
208:10, 212:22,	151:7, 290:5	163:10, 255:14,	135:10, 152:2,	
214:3, 214:6,	certain [23] - 64:22,	255:16, 271:15,		42:21, 43:8, 134:14,
218:22, 218:24,	64:24, 84:10, 88:9,	273:23, 297:3,	153:7, 160:9, 161:7, 162:2, 162:9, 163:7,	134:17, 134:25
218:25, 219:2,	143:8, 145:4, 160:6,	297:4, 297:6, 297:9,)	CHARLES [1] - 2:6
219:12, 219:20,	160:11, 170:5,	371:21, 372:1,	220:6, 221:4, 231:1,	CHASAN [1] - 3:5
219:21, 220:10,	185:21, 235:15,	372:3, 372:18,	234:14, 258:25,	Chasan [1] - 10:5
220:11, 222:13,	261:25, 274:25,	372:20, 372:22,	259:10, 259:19,	cheap [1] - 14:22
223:6, 223:8,	278:23, 302:1,	373:4	274:1, 282:8, 302:2,	check [1] - 210:5
223:13, 223:18,	302:11, 316:13,		302:4, 302:14,	checking [1] - 135:14
	337:16, 338:21,	certifications [4] -	311:23, 312:3,	checks [3] - 134:1,
224:15, 225:24,	352:18, 362:6,	40:25, 88:16,	312:5, 339:22,	135:8, 135:25
227:2, 234:23,	362:22, 362:23	111:13, 140:10	340:7, 341:1, 341:7,	Cherry [2] - 3:3,
237:11, 238:24,	certainly [14] - 17:24, 🗸	certified [11] - 75:20,	358:6, 359:4,	102:20
239:7, 249:20,	170:8, 172:12,	85:5, 85:24, 85:25,	364:21, 366:3,	chief [6] - 18:7, 18:12,
251:16, 255:14,	174:16, 175:3,	86:5, 98:5, 98:20,	383:4, 384:12	18:13, 125:18,
263:13, 263:14,	177:20, 182:24,	105:4, 105:12,	changed [8] - 36:19,	189:3, 189:5
263:16, 263:22,	186:21, 186:24,	147:24, 148:21	132:16, 132:18,	choice [13] - 171:15,
289:1, 289:10,	223:19, 226:7,	certifies [1] - 148:20	159:22, 176:12,	173:1, 173:10,
289:18, 289:20,	268:1, 330:12,	certify [3] - 147:23,	260:8, 332:21, 339:5	173:20, 173:22,
289:21, 289:24,	331:20	297:6, 390:4	changes [55] - 22:21,	208:19, 208:21,
292:3, 292:6, 293:9,	certainty [4] - 94:19,	certifying [1] - 137:8	40:12, 56:12,	208:23, 213:7,
300:7, 300:14,	95:9, 96:14, 185:19	certs [1] - 159:1	105:12, 105:15,	275:19, 298:14,
301:24, 304:13,	CERTIFICATE [1] -	cetera [9] - 82:21,	107:11, 108:14,	366:18
307:17, 309:16,	390:1	88:11, 94:24, 98:11,	129:2, 130:14,	choices [1] - 300:5
311:13, 314:17,		169:16, 275:1,	130:18, 132:25,	choose [12] - 43:5,
315:2, 315:8,	certificate [2] - 113:6,	291:13, 335:3,	133:10, 133:19,	,
315:11, 325:22,	163:12	379:12	133:24, 134:2,	43:12, 43:21, 49:3, 52:23, 58:17
329:23, 331:12,	certification [94] -	chair [8] - 186:9,	134:6, 134:15,	52:23, 58:17, 133:18, 134:10
333:22, 333:24,	23:5, 23:11, 23:21,	188:1, 203:25,	135:11, 135:17,	133:18, 134:10,
334:1, 348:5,	26:21, 27:12, 27:16,	204:14, 204:20,	140:7, 140:19,	184:12, 202:15,
349:24, 362:5,	27:17, 27:21, 27:25,	204:21, 209:6,	141:1, 141:3, 141:4,	202:21, 215:17
376:15, 378:20,	28:5, 28:6, 34:23,	231:10	141:11, 144:8,	chose [5] - 15:17,
379:2	37:15, 40:11, 73:18,	chairman [1] - 204:16	149:4, 152:12,	56:5, 56:10, 183:7,
cases [13] - 20:20,	74:3, 85:16, 85:18,			214:16
32:14, 44:23, 45:1,	85:21, 85:25, 86:3,	Chairman [1] - 204:17	152:21, 152:22,	CHRISTINE [3] - 1:7,
45:8, 45:22, 81:24,	86:9, 89:5, 91:12,	chairs [17] - 42:1,	152:25, 153:5,	4:16, 336:3
154:23, 154:25,	91:13, 92:2, 104:5,	42:5, 177:2, 186:1,	162:5, 163:4,	Christine [5] - 2:8,
155:5, 190:23,	104:18, 105:21,	186:7, 186:13,	236:25, 237:3,	9:4, 156:15, 157:6,
334:2, 376:14	107:12, 108:15,	186:18, 204:4,	256:13, 256:23,	336:7
00 I.L., 01 0. I-T				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

-				
CHRISTOPHER [1] -	53:16, 54:6, 54:20,	clerk's [2] - 139:8,	closer [3] - 50:6,	348:14, 348:20,
3:16	59:17, 69:1, 85:19,	141:24	198:17, 254:16	350:24, 352:9,
Christopher [1] - 10:8	89:21, 97:8, 104:14,	Clerk's [2] - 139:24,	closing [9] - 38:14,	372:15, 373:14,
Chubb [1] - 2:21	131:24, 164:14,	140:6	200:12, 368:11,	373:15
Circuit [1] - 119:18	164:18, 169:3,	Clerks [4] - 2:16,	375:10, 387:25,	combination [3] -
circumstance [5] -	170:12, 171:12,	140:25, 141:10,	388:9, 388:13,	50:20, 272:5, 279:4
55:16, 239:21,	181:5, 186:8, 188:6,	142:24	388:17, 389:2	comfortable [4] -
240:25, 339:16,	189:20, 189:24,	clerks [62] - 7:10,	co [1] - 114:23	261:16, 261:20,
362:3	192:16, 195:14,	16:24, 32:13, 38:11,	co-owner [1] - 114:23	336:2, 336:13
circumstances [2] -	196:19, 211:10,	40:8, 41:11, 43:8,	coalition [1] - 176:22	coming [14] - 73:24,
35:24, 274:4	245:14, 246:3,	43:13, 49:13, 52:19,	codes [2] - 356:9,	115:14, 198:19,
cite [1] - 42:25	264:2, 275:11,	60:22, 110:3, 110:9,	362:23	200:4, 200:5, 200:6,
cited [1] - 49:11	280:2, 285:1, 285:3,	115:23, 134:16,	Colabella [2] - 3:21,	200:8, 200:17,
city [1] - 322:4	285:6, 298:13,	151:6, 151:15,	8:22	228:21, 233:3,
CIVIL [1] - 1:4	320:20, 327:16,	151:21, 208:11,	COLE [1] - 2:23	248:15, 259:13,
Civil [1] - 17:14	374:2	224:22, 224:23,	collaborated [1] - 28:4	346:7, 355:8
claim [17] - 25:23,	clear-cut [1] - 53:16	225:1, 227:1, 229:5,	collateral [3] - 43:23,	commence [3] -
58:21, 113:20,	clearly [6] - 29:12,	229:9, 229:15,	44:1, 44:2	259:17, 263:10,
214:3, 214:10,	53:7, 53:25, 72:12,	230:1, 230:3, 230:5,	colleagues [3] - 14:4,	287:18
219:20, 220:10,	285:11, 329:13	230:11, 231:1,	41:17, 59:2	commenced [1] -
220:14, 221:10,	CLERK [13] - 6:4,	231:19, 234:8,	collect [1] - 342:25	254:21
221:12, 222:1,	72:22, 73:1, 121:7,	234:13, 253:23,	collected [2] - 331:3,	Commencing [1] -
222:6, 245:10,	165:18, 197:19,	254:11, 255:3,	331:9	1:15
292:17, 294:24,	251:3, 285:22,	255:7, 257:21,	collective [2] - 14:1,	comment [1] - 14:8
296:20	287:16, 310:19,	257:23, 259:1,	14.4	commercial [2] -
claimed [3] - 20:25,	336:5, 375:17, 389:6	259:22, 259:25,	colloquially [2] -	252:7, 257:3
21:2, 21:7	Clerk [41] - 1:8, 1:11,	260:16, 266:16,	96:25, 168:16	Commission [8] -
claiming [1] - 43:24	2:11, 2:22, 2:25, 3:7,	266:19, 273:5,	column [48] - 61:4,	73:16, 73:19, 85:24,
claims [19] - 17:15,	3:10, 3:13, 3:18,	273:19, 274:16	62:14, 63:9, 63:12,	128:10, 128:18,
21:23, 33:5, 34:8,	3:21, 3:24, 8:3, 8:7,	275:11, 275:14,	63:14, 63:15, 96:12,	162:11, 163:5, 297:4
35:4, 44:12, 44:16,	8:10, 8:14, 8:19,	275:20, 282.13,	97:22, 99:19, 99:20,	commission [1] -
49:21, 55:3, 147:9,	8:22, 8:24, 9:4, 9:9,	342:13. 342:23,	101:9, 102:6, 102:8,	382:4
212:9, 213:9, 215:8,	9:14, 9:19, 9:24,	343:19, 345:13,	102:24, 102:25,	Commission's [1] -
215:13, 216:13,	10:2, 10:6, 10:9,	356:6, 358:25,	108:25, 109:19,	131:5
220:20, 223:8,	40:19, 96:9, 111:9,	363:7, 363:20	110:11, 110:16,	commissioned [1] -
223:13, 223:18	112:21, 139:14, 140:3, 156:14,	clerks' [3] - 143:5,	153:24, 154:2,	157:8
clarification [7] -	157:6, 211:13,	164:23, 224:25	154:4, 154:9,	commissioner [3] -
108:8, 109:8,	214:7, 280:19,	Clewell [1] - 10:12 CLEWELL [2] - 2:14,	154:11, 155:21,	337:23, 347:1,
109:18, 112:12,	280:20, 287:24,	10:12	181:22, 206:20,	349:10
129:10, 153:19,	307:15.366:24	client [4] - 13:18,	207:4, 242:6, 254:3,	commissioners [2] -
200:2	clerk [39] - 7:20, 57:4,	66:19, 188:12,	291:9, 298:11,	245:21, 341:4
clarify [16] - 77:20,	57:5, 58:1, 117:18,	282:23	300:6, 300:13,	commitment [3] -
80:5, 80:15, 88:3,	125:9, 139:11,	client's [1] - 266:7	349:5, 349:13, 350:6, 350:7, 351:3	333:16, 333:17,
91:8, 112:10, 125:4, 134:24, 130:12	143:14, 144:5,	clients [22] - 16:10,	350:6, 350:7, 351:3, 351:5, 352:11	333:18
134:24, 139:12, 143:22, 146:14,	146:2, 148:17,	30:20, 41:12, 42:10,	351:5, 352:11, 371:1, 371:6, 371:7,	committee [10] - 41:24, 61:12, 204:1,
231:20, 237:7,	150:7, 159:2, 206:9,	47:24, 114:10,	371.1, 371.0, 371.7, 373:18	41.24, 61.12, 204.1, 205:5, 209:6, 347:4,
237:9, 248:9, 326:23	209:22, 244:6,	124:25, 126:8,	column-and-row [1] -	205.5, 209.0, 347.4, 347:8, 347:19, 365:8
clarity [5] - 77:18,	246:17, 253:20,	137:10, 138:8,	351:5	Committee [5] - 2:19,
141:3, 147:5, 263:7,	253:22, 255:9,	139:18, 146:17,	columns [33] - 62:3,	7:24, 169:10,
351:17	255:13, 257:18,	148:17, 150:7,	63:5, 98:1, 99:22,	202:10, 204:15
Clarkson [1] - 1:13	282:24, 284:22,	256:10, 256:20,	99:23, 100:7, 100:9,	committees [3] -
class [1] - 43:7	285:4, 285:12,	257:3, 265:20,	110:18, 110:19,	61:14, 203:18,
clause [4] - 14:14,	310:11, 336:19,	265:22, 266:1,	110:20, 110:21,	328:23
32:16, 33:5, 38:23	336:22, 336:25,	266:11, 272:15	110:22, 110:25,	common [1] - 298:16
clauses [1] - 38:23	337:7, 337:9,	close [10] - 50:1, 50:5,	116:18, 239:20,	commonly [1] - 90:8
clean [2] - 30:8, 57:1	337:10, 337:14,	54:12, 54:13, 54:15,	241:2, 268:7, 293:3,	communication [1] -
clear [43] - 10:23,	341:12, 345:4,	54:17, 54:18,	293:4, 294:5, 295:6,	175:1
20:3, 20:13, 22:1,	345:6, 345:18,	254:12, 367:12	295:14, 300:7,	communications [3] -
24:6, 32:24, 50:12,	370:17	closely [1] - 151:9	300:15, 348:13,	188:8, 304:10, 308:4
. , ,				
L				

			-	
community [3] -	compel [1] - 370:2	component [8] -	230:20	confused [2] - 90:14,
	compelled [3] -	11:11, 12:24,	conclusions [4] -	246:14
127:11, 313:5, 316:24	366:16, 367:25,	11:11, 12:24, 192:17, 192:22,	90:7, 163:19,	confusing [7] - 57:1,
			164:24, 165:3	-
companies [32] -	369:10	284:20, 363:5	conclusory [1] - 94:23	62:4, 107:20,
23:12, 27:24, 133:13, 133:21,	compelling [4] -	components [4] - 80:11, 225:23,	• • •	182:24, 183:5, 243:9, 346:1
	16:23, 52:1, 55:12,	268:5, 357:24	concrete [4] - 190:6,	
134:14, 134:20,	58:10		190:9, 242:10,	confusion [6] - 53:23,
146:18, 147:25, 151:11, 164:10,	compensated [2] -	comport [1] - 89:12 compound [2] - 83:5,	242:21 conduct [11] - 74:3,	56:17, 57:19, 57:24, 184:2
164:12, 165:2,	314:22, 315:10 compete [2] - 32:5,	83:9	81:16, 100:12,	Congress [13] - 33:19,
257:19, 258:7,	32:6			74:2, 166:10,
260:3, 260:4, 261:3,		compounded [1] -	100:16, 132:11,	74.2, 166.10, 166:12, 166:14,
261:10, 261:17,	competed [1] - 384:2	242:15	135:7, 137:11,	, ,
261:21, 262:1,	competitive [4] -	compromised [1] -	158:23, 225:3, 236:6, 343:25	168:12, 168:22, 170:4, 170:13,
262:4, 262:9,	223:2, 229:11, 241:25, 376:18	44:3	conducted [10] -	178:23, 223:1,
262:14, 263:3,		computer [6] - 1:24, 76:16, 76:17, 77:9,	131:16, 134:5,	321:2, 321:24
275:16, 275:19,	competitiveness [1] - 172:15		136:2, 136:5, 139:1,	CONGRESS [1] - 1:5
275:23, 275:24,	competitor [14] - 32:3,	124:9, 124:12 computer-aided [1] -	143:8, 148:8,	Congressional [4] -
276:6, 276:11,	competitor [14] - 32:3, 171:23, 172:5,	1:24	143.8, 148.8, 152:23, 153:4, 351:2	166:5, 169:10,
276:20		concede [1] - 52:11	conducting [5] -	318:4, 321:12
company [46] - 28:2,	178:10, 182:10, 182:20, 185:2		123:4, 132:24,	congressional [7] -
113:23, 114:9,	182:20, 185:2, 185:7, 204:5, 215:5,	concept [1] - 244:21	197:3, 270:15,	169:12, 182:19,
117:8, 124:23,	237:17, 238:18,	concern [13] - 14:17, 88:9, 117:4, 171:7,	363:25	255:24, 256:1,
125:5, 126:6,	242:3, 383:18		confer [3] - 10:22,	256:3, 346:23,
127:24, 129:5,	242.3, 363.16 competitors [5] -	184:1, 196:15, 197:24, 202:25,	69:3, 367:5	230.3, 340.23, 346:25
130:10, 132:12,	179:12, 203:15,	216:7, 239:10,	conference [3] -	Congressman [14] -
133:2, 133:18,	215:10, 352:9,	239:12, 239:14,	15:20, 48:25, 381:12	22:18, 35:6, 166:2,
146:15, 146:24,	352:10	363:1	conferred [2] - 87:25,	182:9, 202:8,
147:6, 147:8,	competitors' [1] -	concerned [1]	156:6	209:11, 211:15,
147:10, 147:16,	192:10	164:21	conferring [2] - 87:24,	246:19, 251:12,
147:21, 148:8,	compiled [1] - 243:22	concerning [3] -	123:1	377:15, 378:10,
148:14, 149:19,	complaining [2] -	183:20, 183:23,	confessed [1] - 18:9	378:14, 382:25,
149:25, 150:6,	55:20, 56:6	199:25	confidence [2] -	383:7
150:10, 151:1,	complaint [18] - 46:3,	concerns [33] - 15:7,	261:12, 305:14	congressman [13] -
151:3, 151:23,	46:4, 46:5, 46:19,	22:4, 22:6, 35:21,	confident [2] - 256:14,	165:13, 169:8,
153:7, 252:7,	47:25, 50:5, 53:17	70:1, 79:17, 79:22,	261:9	170:6, 175:8,
260:11, 265:2,	55:24, 166:18,	171:3, 171:14,	confidential [2] -	178:21, 182:9,
265:12, 271:6,	166:20, 167:4	172:19, 183:4,	249:9, 249:10	188:20, 196:2,
273:25, 274:7,	191:15, 191:16,	184:2, 184:22,	confidently [1] - 144:7	196:24, 208:19,
274:15, 276:3,	193:9, 244:15,	196:10, 211:19,	configuration [2] -	244:14, 320:4,
276:23, 278:20,	244.17, 369:24	211:23, 211:25,	114:6, 133:19	376:17
278:23, 279:25,	complete [5] - 55:11,	216:21, 223:20,	confirm [2] - 258:8,	connection [9] -
282:15, 362:5	115:22, 286:15,	223:22, 223:25,	276:12	219:7, 220:15,
company's [2] -	305:19, 369:17	232:9, 238:7, 238:8,	confirmed [1] - 254:13	220:20, 221:10,
132:13, 275:6	completed [4] - 192:1,	238:10, 280:24,	confirming [1] - 326:2	222:2, 238:3,
company-by-	192:2, 192:23,	281:1, 281:7,	confirms [2] - 31:20	301:24, 311:13,
company [1] - 117:8	194:17	309:14, 341:23,	conflicting [2] - 41:3,	349:16
comparable [4] -	completely [2] -	361:15, 362:2, 363:4	250:5	Connery [1] - 202:9
34:21, 246:6,	309:14, 359:17	conclude [1] - 57:21	Conforti [27] - 16:17,	CONNERY [1] - 2:17
263:21, 352:14	completes [1] - 76:22	concluded [2] -	16:19, 18:9, 42:25,	consent [8] - 51:3,
compare [2] - 352:21,	complexities [1] -	201:20, 236:15	44:11, 45:5, 46:19,	51:4, 209:21,
365:13	40:22	concludes [2] - 287:8,	47:11, 49:8, 49:15,	209:22, 209:25,
compared [2] -	compliance [1] -	389:7	49:20, 50:24, 51:6,	210:4, 210:8
178:16, 180:1	131:14	conclusion [13] -	51:7, 51:14, 51:17,	consented [1] - 51:17
comparing [1] -	complicated [6] -	98:21, 99:13,	54:3, 54:6, 54:24,	consents [1] - 210:14
240:11	98:22, 99:1, 99:14,	108:12, 117:23,	55:2, 55:8, 60:10,	consequence [3] -
comparison [2] -	99:16, 100:1, 100:6	118:11, 202:17,	218:22, 220:10,	53:20, 61:20, 61:21
38:19, 240:21	complications [1] -	208:16, 219:10,	221:7, 230:12	consequences [3] -
compatible [2] -	145:19	219:13, 219:18,	confuse [2] - 56:20,	43:24, 44:1, 44:2
341:25, 359:13	complied [1] - 346:16	221:16, 230:8,	183:4	consider [24] - 14:18,
	-			

15:9, 17:12, 17:18,	construct [3] - 40:24,	261:25, 331:12	176:10, 183:9,	172:3, 173:14,
18:12, 18:18, 21:17,	63:21, 66:14	continually [1] - 41:17	188:25, 189:10,	174:10, 174:24,
21:22, 22:1, 26:12,	constructed [1] -	continuation [1] -	189:11, 190:2,	177:6, 177:25,
26:13, 47:19, 88:15,	64:21	170:8	226:2, 226:21,	178:19, 180:24,
88:16, 88:19, 94:10,	consult [3] - 130:22,	continue [20] - 49:2,	226:22, 376:10,	181:8, 181:9,
106:3, 122:15,	131:1, 131:4	75:8, 89:1, 91:14,	376:11	181:15, 182:18,
127:20, 184:18,	consultants [2] -	92:23, 109:12,	convince [4] - 33:2,	184:5, 184:6,
195:13, 295:20,	125:16, 226:13	92.23, 109.12, 117:14, 203:12,	57:12, 57:15, 170:1	185:24, 186:10,
388:2	consulted [4] -	203:14, 211:23,	convinced [1] - 36:1	186:11, 190:15,
considerable [1] -	127:23, 128:2,	228:10, 231:7,	coordinate [3] -	191:13, 194:19,
260:24	135:7, 139:13	233:24, 287:13,	156:16, 156:24,	198:11, 202:16,
consideration [12] -	consulting [7] - 74:7,	304:19, 304:22,	387:12	203:3, 203:9,
22:7, 64:11, 64:13,	124:23, 125:8,	367:19, 378:23,	coordinating [1] -	203:13, 203:16,
66:15, 70:13,	126:5, 127:21,	380:10	156:19	203:21, 203:23,
168:21, 169:20,	130:5, 146:17	continued [1] - 212:1	coordination [1] -	204:7, 205:8, 205:9,
249:24, 250:13,	contact [2] - 205:4	Continued [2] - 2:1,	148:17	205:16, 205:17,
361:7, 361:23,	contacted [3] - 205:6,	3:1	copies [4] - 92:9,	205:19, 205:20,
364:17	333:8, 380:6	continuing [1] - 10:22	228:2, 233:11, 270:9	205:24, 206:1,
considerations [2] -	contain [1] - 356:17	continuous [1] -	copy [13] - 29:1,	206:2, 206:4, 206:5,
189:15, 341:11	contained [1] - 354:4	131:14	103:11, 193:10,	206:9, 206:10,
considered [3] - 65:6,	contemplation [2] -	contract [1] - 333:18	228:3, 228:11,	206:11, 206:12,
312:9, 360:21	50:17, 50:20	contractor [2] - 78:22,	228:13, 225.16,	206:14, 207:8,
considering [6] -	contend [3] - 55:17,	140:17	228:25, 233:10,	207:15, 207:16,
15:10, 24:19, 68:20,	55:20, 56:13	contracts [3] - 264:25,	233:12, 247:11,	207:17, 207:24,
168:22, 188:5,	contention [3] - 46:23,	265:3, 265:13	285:6, 345:5	208:1, 209:8, 209:9,
310:10	53:24, 373:10	contradict [1] - 368:22	Correct [2] - 324:18,	209:14, 209:17,
consistent [13] -	contents [2] - 122:20,	control [28] - 61:17,	385:7	210:25, 211:5,
317:15, 317:21,	194:7	61:18, 130:24,	correct [281] - 41:6,	211:21, 212:3,
322:18, 324:16,	contest [23] - 81:17,	131:3, 131:7	41:8, 45:5, 45:11,	212:18, 214:12,
325:13, 325:17,	84:1, 97:24, 98:1,	131:10, 131.13,	45:16, 47:9, 54:9,	221:2, 221:6,
326:4, 326:8,	109:19, 110:11,	132:6, 132:8,	55:5, 71:18, 75:1,	224:24, 231:11,
326:11, 328:14,	154:5, 294:6,	132.11, 132:13,	75:2, 78:2, 80:17,	232:14, 234:5,
329:6, 330:16, 331:5	294:11, 294:12,	132:16, 132:22,	90:3, 111:14,	234:9, 239:8,
consolidate [1] -	294:20, 294:23,	133:1, 133:12,	118:20, 120:4,	239:18, 244:5,
387:13	298:1, 298:5, 298:6, 🏑	133:15, 134:1 ,	120:7, 122:12,	244:15, 245:9, 245:12, 247:25,
consolidating [1] -	298:19, 298:20,	134:20, 135:14,	124:20, 125:6,	
19:3	300:3, 300:5,	135:18, 135:21,	125:7, 125:10,	253:4, 255:14, 255:18, 256:17,
constituted [1] - 33:15	319:14, 334:11,	136:10, 136:20,	126:4, 130:20,	256:20, 256:21,
Constitution [1] -	357:13	146:23, 148:3,	130:21, 131:19,	258:15, 258:16,
38:24	contested [4] - 56:16,	274:5, 356:20,	131:25, 132:1,	259:13, 259:14,
Constitutional [1] -	294:10, 299:11,	365:22	132:3, 132:4, 132:6,	259:16, 261:3,
41:13	373:14	controls [1] - 131:15	134:12, 134:23,	262:6, 264:7,
constitutional [33] -	contests [22] - 78:23,	convention [7] -	136:23, 142:12, 142:13, 143:11,	265:24, 265:25,
14:14, 17:15, 21:23, 22:3, 31:24, 44:9,	82:16, 83:18,	177:9, 177:13,	142:13, 143:11, 144:6, 144:15,	266:4, 266:24,
	108:25, 116:22,	182:8, 204:2, 225:8, 238:10, 386:13	144:16, 145:10,	267:1, 269:4, 269:5,
47:20, 53:4, 62:1, 64:11, 65:5, 66:18,	145:20, 160:22,	238:19, 386:13	145:11, 146:12,	269:7, 269:9, 270:5,
202:15, 212:10,	161:11, 161:13, 161:16, 280:16	conventions [9] -	148:22, 151:6,	270:23, 271:4,
212:14, 215:8,	161:16, 289:16, 280:10, 201:12	56:7, 177:10, 178:8, 185:2, 203:14,	151:16, 151:22,	271:25, 272:7,
215:24, 219:6,	289:19, 291:12, 201:10, 201:21	203:21, 203:23,	154:13, 154:16,	272:12, 272:13,
219:20, 220:20,	291:19, 291:21, 294:13, 294:15,	210:20, 225:11	154:17, 159:17,	272:16, 272:24,
221:3, 221:10,	294.13, 294.13, 298:20, 299:2,	conversation [13] -	159:19, 160:7,	273:3, 273:19,
221:12, 223:13,	299:7, 300:17,	25:14, 204:5,	160:18, 160:19,	274:1, 274:5,
223:18, 223:22,	319:13	204:17, 225:9,	162:2, 162:3, 162:7,	274:10, 275:4,
229:20, 237:7,	context [12] - 46:14,	225:19, 226:17,	162:8, 166:19,	275:18, 277:1,
239:21, 241:3,	46:17, 47:15, 55:16,	229:4, 231:6, 231:8,	167:2, 167:3, 167:4,	277:3, 279:12,
241:4, 310:7, 362:1	58:17, 62:9, 65:4,	362:10, 376:7,	167:13, 167:22,	280:20, 281:6,
constitutionality [3] -	192:25, 285:1,	377:24, 379:4	168:9, 168:10,	282:10, 283:4,
17:19, 18:8, 214:10	285:3, 285:6, 317:13	conversations [13] -	169:21, 170:14,	284:17, 286:8,
constraints [1] - 354:7	contingent [2] -	16:10, 175:16,	170:15, 170:19,	286:9, 286:15,
				286:22, 287:3,

287:4, 287:5,	council [1] - 245:23	counted [3] - 260:21,	COUNTY [3] - 3:8,	county [279] - 7:10,
289:11, 292:1,	counsel [95] - 6:10,	361:14, 365:25	3:11, 3:11	7:20, 32:4, 32:5,
292:22, 292:23,	6:11, 11:6, 14:10,	counties [103] - 9:11,	County [148] - 1:8,	32:9, 33:14, 33:15,
293:22, 294:11,	14:16, 14:24, 18:16,	19:4, 39:4, 64:1,	1:11, 2:11, 2:16,	34:1, 39:2, 40:8,
294:24, 294:25,	19:22, 22:2, 24:4,	64:2, 64:3, 64:9,	2:19, 2:22, 2:25, 3:7,	42:1, 42:4, 42:10,
295:11, 296:24,	25:14, 27:12, 28:1,	64:14, 64:15,	3:10, 3:12, 3:13,	43:7, 43:13, 48:1,
296:25, 299:4,	37:21, 46:21, 48:8,	102:10, 102:12,	3:18, 3:21, 3:24,	61:8, 64:10, 64:22,
299:14, 299:16,	50:20, 51:22, 67:4,	102:15, 113:17,	7:10, 7:11, 7:12,	93:8, 93:16, 96:16,
300:1, 300:4,	68:9, 78:4, 88:14,	114:9, 115:3,	7:20, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3,	96:19, 96:23, 98:13,
300:18, 300:19,	104:13, 107:22,	117:11, 117:13,	8:7, 8:10, 8:13, 8:14,	98:21, 98:25, 99:13,
301:11, 301:21,	121:3, 150:8,	145:12, 145:15,	8:18, 8:22, 8:24, 9:1,	99:16, 99:21, 100:3,
301:23, 302:3,	150:18, 153:13,	146:6, 151:17,	9:4, 9:9, 9:13, 9:19,	103:2, 103:21,
302:17, 302:18,	153:15, 156:25,	157:12, 157:16,	9:20, 9:24, 10:2,	105:6, 106:4,
303:5, 315:18,	164:15, 165:23,	157:18, 158:14,	10:6, 10:9, 61:2,	106:12, 106:22,
315:23, 317:22,	187:11, 187:18,	158:21, 158:24,	62:12, 62:24, 62:25,	110:17, 117:18,
318:12, 318:15,	188:8, 188:21,	170:14, 170:24,	63:5, 93:3, 93:4,	117:20, 117:22,
320:22, 321:6,	189:8, 197:1,	174:17, 175:12,	93:12, 93:13, 96:9,	125:9, 128:2, 134:3,
323:3, 323:14,	197:20, 197:22,	177:6, 177:10,	102:20, 103:7,	134:16, 139:19,
325:19, 326:6,	198:15, 201:10,	177:12, 177:15,	103:14, 111:9,	140:9, 141:23,
326:8, 327:11,	218:24, 218:25,	178:2, 178:13,	139:14, 139:24,	143:2, 143:5,
328:8, 328:20,	223:7, 223:11,	178:16, 178:17,	140:2, 140:6,	143:14, 144:5,
329:15, 329:20,	224:14, 226:1,	180:9, 181:6,	140:25, 141:10,	146:2, 148:17,
332:9, 333:3, 333:7,	226:3, 226:7,	181:10, 181:12,	142:24, 156:14,	150:7, 151:6,
334:16, 351:20,	227:21, 228:4,	181:14, 181:23,	157.5, 182:7,	151:15, 151:20,
354:17, 374:4,	232:21, 232:25,	181:25, 182:3,	182:19, 190:12,	152:16, 157:9,
377:4, 377:5, 377:8,	233:9, 238:9,	182:5, 183:11,	202:9, 204:15,	157:11, 157:13,
378:1, 378:2,	238:22, 243:17,	183:18, 184:19,	204:18, 204:20,	158:16, 158:18,
378:11, 378:21,	243:21, 243:24,	185:8, 206:10,	205:5, 206:11,	159:2, 159:3,
379:12, 380:2,	244:11, 246:10,	206:17, 206:25	206:14, 211:13,	167:19, 168:8,
381:5, 381:19,	246:16, 247:2,	207:1, 210:1, 210:3,	214:6, 242:1, 242:5,	168:19, 168:25,
382:4, 382:11, 382:12, 382:16,	247:11, 267:24,	210:7, 210.9,	246:17, 255:10,	169:12, 169:18,
383:8, 383:9, 384:8,	268:22, 270:8, 271:20, 286:2	210:18, 210:19, 210:24, 210:23	256:3, 267:4, 267:6, 267:17, 268:3	170:9, 170:13, 170:16, 170:23,
384:13, 384:24,	271:20, 286:2, 296:13, 297:23,	210:21, 210:23, 211:1, 214:2, 214:9,	267:17, 268:3, 270:4, 270:20,	170.10, 170.23, 171:17,
385:5, 385:9,	312:11, 313:14,	214:11, 214:14,	270.4, 270.20, 271:4, 271:25,	171:21, 172:1,
385:13, 385:17,	333:2, 333:8,	214:16, 214:18,	278:20, 280:17,	172:2, 172:10,
385:20, 386:1,	333:12, 334:1,	214:10, 214:10, 214:10, 214:22, 215:2,	280:19, 280:20,	172:24, 173:3,
386:21, 386:22,	335:6, 360:19,	225:14, 230:3,	281:3, 287:23,	173:6, 173:12,
390:4	373:6, 375:5, 375:8,	237:15, 239:23,	294:2, 294:4,	176:3, 176:6, 177:2,
corrected [1] - 286:24	376:7, 376:10,	246:2, 252:12,	307:15, 318:18,	177:3, 177:5, 177:9,
correctly [7] - 44:21,	377:2 377:18,	253:6, 253:13,	319:11, 319:12,	177:13, 177:18,
46:16, 100:19,	379:11, 380:6,	258:19, 260:12,	320:12, 320:15,	177:19, 178:6,
134:11, 135:3,	380:17, 381:1,	264:24, 265:8,	320:18, 321:13,	178:8, 178:11,
300:2, 300:12	384:7, 387:1, 388:2,	266:22, 273:1,	322:8, 323:18,	178:14, 179:20,
correspond [1] -	389:5	274:18, 296:23,	323:19, 323:23,	179:25, 181:6,
293:5	COUNSEL [2] - 3:8,	301:23, 318:22,	336:18, 336:22,	181:14, 181:21,
correspondence [1] -	3:11	320:21, 320:22,	336:25, 337:1,	182:7, 182:19,
20:15	Counsel [20] - 8:2,	323:2, 323:3,	337:5, 337:6,	182:25, 183:2,
corresponding [1] -	8:13, 17:20, 20:8,	323:12, 323:25,	337:20, 337:22,	183:14, 184:5,
296:22	141:13, 150:3,	324:2, 324:10,	338:13, 338:16,	184:23, 185:17,
corroboration [1] -	159:5, 162:18,	324:24, 339:21	338:18, 338:23,	186:1, 186:7,
191:5	194:8, 194:12,	counting [5] - 276:4,	339:19, 339:21,	186:13, 186:16,
corrupted [1] - 41:14	197:2, 201:23,	338:4, 343:6, 355:5,	339:25, 340:7,	186:17, 187:1,
cost [4] - 40:13, 53:23,	219:17, 239:1,	364:25	340:9, 342:21,	188:1, 190:11,
343:4, 366:3	251:8, 270:13,	country [14] - 39:4,	343:2, 343:4,	196:11, 203:18,
costs [1] - 12:19	277:21, 280:9,	98:3, 98:5, 98:7,	346:21, 346:22,	203:25, 204:3,
couching [1] - 282:7	304:18, 344:16	98:12, 107:3, 109:4,	347:14, 347:18, 350:12, 350:18	206:9, 207:24, 208:11, 208:12
Coughlin [4] - 7:19,	counsel's [1] - 197:8	125:19, 130:17,	350:12, 350:18, 350:21, 358:20	208:11, 208:12, 200:8, 200:10
9:8, 10:1, 10:8	count [3] - 276:4,	152:19, 152:20, 180:18, 184:10	350:21, 358:20, 363:20, 365:10,	209:8, 209:19, 209:20, 209:21,
COUGHLIN [1] - 3:14	280:16, 355:4	180:18, 184:10, 385:1	366:22, 371:2	212:6, 214:16,
		000.1	000.LE, 01 1.L	,

214:17, 214:19,	370:25, 372:6,	couple [11] - 35:9,	COURT [607] - 1:1,	89:25, 90:5, 90:12,
214:23, 214:25,	376:8, 376:12,	124:17, 191:21,	6:4, 6:5, 6:15, 6:19,	90:15, 90:18, 91:1,
215:1, 216:3,	376:15, 377:24,	202:11, 205:1,	6:24, 7:4, 7:14, 7:17,	91:5, 91:14, 92:22,
222:14, 222:17,	378:4, 381:19, 383:7	243:15, 286:2,	7:21, 7:25, 8:4, 8:11,	94:25, 95:11, 95:14,
222:21, 223:2,	County's [2] - 351:2,	303:9, 313:1,	8:16, 9:2, 9:6, 9:15,	95:19, 95:22, 97:6,
223:4, 224:22,	363:13	317:16, 386:9	9:21, 9:25, 10:3,	99:2, 99:7, 99:10,
224:23, 224:25,	county-line [99] -	course [17] - 33:10,	10:10, 10:14, 11:17,	104:15, 104:21,
225:1, 227:1, 229:5,	96:19, 96:23, 98:13,	136:6, 136:24,	12:16, 13:1, 13:13,	105:23, 107:23,
231:11, 231:23,	98:21, 98:25, 99:13,	153:2, 160:23,	13:16, 13:19, 13:25,	108:2, 108:5, 109:9,
231:24, 232:6,	99:16, 99:21, 100:3,	161:2, 178:23,	14:6, 15:25, 16:5,	109:14, 109:21,
232:12, 232:16,	105:6, 106:4,	178:25, 188:15,	16:19, 17:8, 18:2,	111:6, 114:17,
234:8, 234:13,	106:12, 106:22,	188:17, 236:5,	18:5, 18:15, 19:3,	114:19, 114:24,
239:15, 240:1,	117:22, 167:19,	248:11, 317:9,	19:12, 19:14, 19:17,	115:7, 115:9,
240:15, 240:17,	168:19, 168:25,	346:9, 353:1, 353:11	22:12, 22:16, 22:19,	115:13, 115:16,
241:23, 242:2,	169:18, 170:9,	Court [115] - 1:21, 7:1,	22:24, 23:6, 23:20,	116:2, 116:6, 118:2,
243:1, 245:16,	170:13, 170:16,	10:23, 11:2, 11:23,	23:24, 24:2, 24:20,	118:18, 118:23,
245:21, 246:5,	171:10, 171:17,	11:24, 12:20, 12:23,	25:4, 26:1, 26:19,	119:4, 119:14,
246:8, 252:12,	171:21, 172:1,	13:3, 13:24, 14:15,	27:1, 27:6, 27:11,	119:16, 119:24,
253:4, 253:7,	172:2, 172:10,	15:11, 15:24, 17:2,	27:14, 27:20, 28:8,	120:3, 120:7,
253:19, 253:21,	172:24, 173:3,	17:6, 19:11, 19:13,	28:13, 28:15, 28:20,	120:11, 120:14,
255:2, 256:1, 256:9,	173:6, 173:12,	20:17, 21:1, 21:4,	29:3, 29:5, 29:18,	120:18, 120:21,
256:19, 259:1,	176:3, 176:6,	21:16, 21:18, 21:25,	29:22, 29:25, 30:13,	121:4, 121:7, 121:8,
259:22, 263:18,	178:14, 179:20,	22:3, 25:2, 25:3,	31:7, 31:10, 34:5,	122:5, 122:10,
266:22, 282:13,	181:6, 181:21,	26:9, 27:9, 28:24,	34:11, 36:6, 38:1,	122:14, 122:22,
282:24, 283:1,	182:25, 184:5,	31:17, 32:1, 33:6,	39:10, 39:17, 39:23,	122:24, 123:4,
285:4, 285:12,	184:23, 185:17,	34:21, 35:3, 36:2,	40:5, 41:2, 41:7,	123:7, 123:12,
286:23, 293:10,	186:16, 187:1,	37:1, 37:5, 37:22,	42:7, 42:10, 42:16,	123:14, 123:17,
300:16, 310:11,	190:11, 196:11,	37:23, 38:14, 39:1,	42:19, 43:23, 44:23,	129:7, 129:13,
311:25, 312:1,	207:24, 214:17,	39:7, 41:9, 41:12,	44:25, 45:8, 45:13,	129:18, 129:21,
314:13, 315:18,	214:19, 215:1,	44:10, 47:18, 48:12,	45:15, 45:17, 45:22,	133:6, 141:4,
315:22, 316:10,	222:14, 222:17,	52:5, 53:5, 53:7,	47:5, 47:24, 48:4,	143:16, 143:20,
316:14, 316:21,	222:21, 239:15,	53:18, 5?:13, 58:10,	48:15, 49:23, 50:1,	143:25, 147:4,
317:3, 317:5,	240:15, 241:23,	60.12, 60:13, 71:8,	50:10, 51:5, 51:8,	147:7, 147:13,
317:18, 318:11,	243:1, 293:10,	71.15, 78:5, 83:1,	51:12, 53:4, 54:3,	147:18, 149:22,
318:12, 320:23,	300:16, 314:13,	85:8, 87:7, 87:21,	54:7, 54:10, 54:14,	150:3, 150:13,
321:1, 321:3, 322:8,	315:22, 316:10,	88:4, 114:12,	54:20, 55:4, 55:6,	150:17, 153:11,
322:21, 323:2,	316:14, 316:21,	114:16, 124:10,	55:23, 56:19, 56:24,	153:14, 155:11,
323:3, 323:5, 323:7, 323:8, 323:13,	317:18, 318:11,	134:22, 150:22,	57:10, 57:23, 59:4,	155:25, 156:3,
	318:12, 320:23,	152:21, 160:9,	59:6, 59:8, 59:12,	156:11, 156:13, 156:16, 156:20,
324:1, 324:3, 324:5, 324:8, 324:11,	321:3, 322:8,	161:8, 161:15,	59:15, 59:17, 59:23,	157:3, 157:21,
	322:21, 323:2,	161:23, 166:3,	60:2, 60:5, 60:7, 61:8, 61:24, 62:15	
324:12, 324:15, 324:23, 324:25,	323:5, 323:7, 323:13, 324:1,	168:13, 177:22, 180:3, 180:4, 180:5	61:8, 61:24, 62:15, 62:18, 62:21, 64:6,	158:2, 158:6, 158:8, 159:5, 162:17,
325:2, 325:4,	323.13, 324.1, 324:3, 324:5,	180:3, 180:4, 180:5, 181:5, 181:7,	66:3, 66:7, 66:18,	162:21, 162:25,
325:18, 325:19,	324:3, 324:3, 324:11, 324:15,	181:19, 181:20,	66:21, 66:24, 67:3,	163:23, 164:3,
326:6, 328:3, 328:4,	324:23, 324:25,	184:4, 184:23,	67:8, 67:12, 67:15,	164:14, 165:7,
329:2, 330:15,	325:18, 325:19,	188:5, 195:24,	67:19, 68:3, 68:6,	165:11, 165:14,
330:23, 332:1,	326:6, 328:3, 328:4,	205:18, 208:3,	68:15, 68:24, 69:16,	165:18, 165:22,
334:20, 334:21,	329:2, 330:15,	208:15, 217:4,	70:4, 70:17, 70:23,	187:6, 187:8,
336:19, 337:3,	330:23, 334:20,	221:8, 247:23,	71:7, 71:18, 72:1,	187:11, 187:16,
337:7, 337:14,	334:21, 370:19,	248:8, 250:15,	72:10, 72:15, 72:20,	187:18, 188:11,
337:15, 338:18,	370:25, 376:8,	259:9, 259:19,	72:22, 73:1, 74:18,	188:16, 188:18,
339:11, 339:23,	376:12, 376:15,	273:18, 273:22,	74:22, 74:25, 75:3,	193:6, 193:10,
339:25, 340:23,	377:24, 378:4,	273:24, 274:11,	75:12, 77:19, 78:6,	193:14, 193:17,
341:4, 341:11,	381:19	275:13, 285:12,	78:8, 78:12, 79:18,	194:8, 197:2, 197:5,
342:23, 343:2,	county-line-style [3] -	322:17, 345:24,	79:21, 80:3, 83:8,	197:13, 197:15,
347:1, 347:4, 347:8,	96:16, 110:17,	353:18, 360:21,	83:16, 84:13, 85:7,	197:19, 197:20,
347:18, 349:8,	117:20	361:25, 363:15,	85:13, 85:17, 86:21,	198:2, 198:4, 198:6,
349:9, 349:10,	countywide [1] -	364:20, 369:25,	86:23, 87:4, 87:8,	198:10, 198:15,
356:6, 363:7, 365:8,	349:9	387:17, 387:18,	87:16, 88:2, 88:15,	198:17, 198:21,
370:17, 370:19,		389:7, 390:11	88:19, 89:18, 89:22,	199:3, 199:10,

199:19, 200:4,	278:5, 278:19,	367:7, 367:9,	COVID-19 [1] - 363:18	122:16, 123:5,
200:13, 200:16,	279:10, 280:6,	367:18, 367:22,	create [10] - 100:6,	156:18, 164:7,
200:20, 200:25, 201:18, 201:22,	280:9, 280:12, 280:16, 281:12	368:11, 368:16, 360:4, 360:8	100:9, 102:7,	164:15, 197:3, 108:14, 201:23
, ,	280:16, 281:12,	369:4, 369:8,	112:13, 239:23,	198:14, 201:23,
202:3, 202:6,	281:14, 282:4,	371:15, 371:24,	240:12, 240:13,	247:20, 249:8,
202:18, 202:23,	282:12, 282:18,	372:18, 372:20,	240:17, 240:22,	249:13, 249:15,
208:17, 211:8,	282:23, 283:4,	372:22, 372:24,	362:18	264:20, 287:18,
218:9, 218:14,	283:6, 283:9,	373:1, 373:3, 373:6,	created [2] - 55:19	309:21, 368:4,
219:14, 219:19,	283:12, 283:14,	374:21, 375:4,	creating [2] - 152:25,	368:12, 369:4
220:1, 220:5,	283:17, 283:19,	375:8, 375:17,	160:5	CROSS-
220:21, 221:17,	284:2, 284:5,	375:21, 379:18,	creation [1] - 161:5	EXAMINATION [23] -
221:21, 221:23,	284:13, 284:16,	379:24, 380:3,	credibility [1] - 250:5	4:4, 4:5, 4:5, 4:9,
222:3, 227:3, 227:6,	284:18, 285:1,	381:22, 384:17,	crisis [1] - 363:18	4:10, 4:11, 4:12,
227:8, 227:10,	285:3, 285:14,	386:25, 387:4,	criticized [1] - 58:25	4:13, 4:14, 4:15,
227:14, 227:20,	285:16, 285:22,	387:8, 387:25,	CROSS [27] - 1:11,	4:17, 123:19,
227:24, 228:3,	286:11, 287:9,	388:4, 388:6, 388:9,	4:4, 4:5, 4:5, 4:7,	153:18, 157:7,
228:7, 228:10,	287:16, 287:17,	388:12, 388:17,	4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 4:11,	202:7, 211:14,
228:13, 228:17,	287:21, 287:25,	388:21, 389:6, 390:1	4:12, 4:13, 4:14,	246:18, 264:21,
228:19, 229:1,	290:3, 290:10,	court [30] - 6:1, 8:17,	4:15, 4:17, 123:19,	281:16, 288:3,
230:9, 230:21,	290:13, 290:17,	9:16, 11:25, 12:2,	153:18, 157:7	303:12, 332:18,
231:20, 232:2,	290:19, 290:22,	16:11, 20:16, 20:22,	202:7, 211:44	370:15
232:18, 232:21,	290:24, 291:3,	24:24, 28:23, 30:18,	246:18, 264.21,	cross-examine [8] -
233:2, 233:9,	291:5, 296:7,	33:9, 49:12, 73:7,	281:10, 288:3,	48:20, 88:11, 108:6,
233:12, 233:16,	296:16, 296:18,	121:20, 194:10,	303:12, 312:13,	108:8, 156:4,
233:20, 233:23,	297:22, 303:7,	201:21, 201:24,	332:18, 370:15	267:24, 284:9, 309:9
234:24, 235:2,	303:11, 303:17,	223:14, 236:16,	cross [63] - 25:19,	cross-examined [2] -
235:4, 235:6,	304:17, 304:22,	252:1, 283:20,	47:17, 47:22, 48:20,	47:22, 51:21
235:11, 235:22,	306:2, 306:6, 306:9,	312:8, 314:19, 🕠	51:21, 56:23, 68:11,	cross-examining [2] -
235:25, 236:7,	306:12, 306:19,	315:8, 327:5,	68:17, 69:7, 69:9,	108:3, 310:1
236:17, 239:1,	306:25, 307:2,	333:24, 369.15,	69:11, 88:11, 108:2,	CRR [1] - 390:11
239:3, 239:5, 241:5,	307:4, 307:8,	383:4, 385,11	108:3, 108:6, 108:8,	crux [1] - 371:17
241:7, 241:9,	307:16, 307:18,	Court's [6] - 12:15,	120:15, 120:25,	CSOs [3] - 121:13,
241:17, 242:16,	307:21, 308:1,	12.21, 24:14,	121:1, 121:9, 122:8,	236:2, 367:12
242:18, 243:7,	308:5, 308:8,	133:16, 149:18,	122:16, 122:21,	Cumberland [3] -
243:13, 243:19,	308:11, 308:15,	251:13	123:5, 156:1, 156:4,	7:11, 270:4, 270:20
244:3, 244:7, 244:9,	309:6, 309:18,	courthouse [1] -	156:18, 163:25,	cumulative [1] -
244:11, 246:10,	309:21, 309:25,	388:23	164:7, 164:9,	371:14
246:13, 246:24,	310:5, 310:12,	Courthouse [1] - 1:13	164:15, 197:3,	curiae [1] - 33:11
247:2, 247:4, 247:7,	310:19, 310:22,	Courtroom [1] - 24:8	197:23, 198:14,	curious [2] - 55:23,
247:12, 247:16,	310:25, 311:2,	courtroom [24] -	201:12, 201:23,	57:3
248:1, 248:5,	313:17, 313:21,	15:17, 26:19, 29:23,	247:20, 249:8,	current [16] - 56:20,
248:10, 248:14,	314:1, 315:4,	30:2, 30:3, 35:11,	249:13, 249:15,	98:19, 108:16,
248:18, 249:3,	325:10, 330:19,	40:18, 52:25, 72:21,	264:18, 264:19,	111:25, 130:22,
249:7, 249:11,	332:6, 332:23,	121:15, 165:15,	264:20, 267:24,	130:25, 133:22,
249:21, 249:24,	334:5, 334:8,	198:1, 198:9,	270:15, 284:9,	166:3, 168:2,
250:23, 251:3,	334:23, 335:4,	198:25, 199:11,	287:11, 287:18,	191:11, 191:19,
251:6, 251:15,	335:10, 335:14,	200:7, 236:1,	306:17, 306:24,	192:6, 258:21,
251:20, 251:22,	335:18, 336:5,	250:16, 250:24,	309:9, 309:21,	336:17, 339:23
262:13, 262:18,	336:8, 336:12,	282:13, 288:5,	310:1, 366:8,	curriculum [1] - 286:7
262:22, 264:8,	344:10, 344:13,	288:11, 308:12,	366:11, 367:10,	custody [3] - 158:20,
264:11, 264:14,	344:15, 344:18,	335:25	367:19, 368:2,	356:20, 365:22
264:17, 264:20,	344:20, 344:22,	courts [7] - 37:16,	368:4, 368:12,	
265:5, 267:9,	344:24, 345:1,	37:20, 44:17, 44:18,	368:23, 369:4,	customer [1] - 273:1
267:14, 267:19,	345:4, 345:7,	57:9, 58:25, 161:17	371:18	customization [2] -
267:24, 268:15,	350:16, 350:19,	Covello [2] - 3:18,	cross-exam [3] - 69:9,	342:16, 342:20
268:19, 269:1,	351:16, 351:19,	7:20	197:23, 201:12	customize [1] - 343:2
269:25, 270:11,	358:15, 360:3,	coverage [1] - 179:13	cross-examination	cut [9] - 34:5, 53:16,
270:13, 270:17,	360:9, 360:17,	covered [4] - 320:9,	[25] - 25:19, 47:17,	70:2, 70:5, 70:6,
271:13, 271:16,	362:12, 364:10,	321:25, 358:14,	56:23, 69:7, 120:15,	70:8, 71:23, 309:22
271:18, 271:20,	364:12, 366:7,	358:17	120:25, 121:9,	cutting [1] - 91:6
277:19, 277:24,	366:10, 367:1,	000.11	120.20, 121.3,	CV [7] - 70:24, 70:25,

71:21, 75:5, 122:20,	DAVID [3] - 3:15, 4:9,	378:3, 378:10,	Defendant's [7] - 4:21,	161:3, 161:5,
286:14, 314:6	251:1	378:19, 379:15,	5:4, 227:11, 243:22,	161:20, 296:3
CVs [1] - 122:13	Davidson [1] - 1:19	379:21, 380:1,	244:8, 247:11,	degree [4] - 94:18,
CWA [2] - 331:6, 331:7	days [11] - 15:23,	380:5, 380:6, 382:3,	303:20	95:8, 96:13, 362:4
cybersecurity [1] -	38:7, 38:10, 38:11,	382:10, 382:14,	defendants [10] -	Delaware [4] - 97:21,
127:15	38:12, 69:4, 186:6,	384:8	10:13, 14:2, 34:3,	101:21, 101:24,
cycle [6] - 174:20,	205:1, 354:16,	decide [11] - 13:9,	164:16, 223:7,	106:13
191:2, 191:4, 191:7,	354:18, 357:19	15:5, 21:8, 48:12,	223:11, 223:14,	delay [10] - 47:3, 52:2,
191:23, 192:6	DE [4] - 91:19, 104:4,	48:15, 53:3, 161:15,	223:17, 226:13,	55:13, 55:19, 56:2,
101.20, 102.0	104:18, 166:21	161:18, 282:13,	309:15	263:3, 263:4, 369:1
D	DE1-2 [1] - 193:21	363:22, 370:8	Defendants [2] - 1:9,	delayed [4] - 50:24,
B	deadline [20] - 37:12,	decided [10] - 21:4,	2:16	53:17, 56:1, 387:24
D-1 [2] - 227:4, 227:10	37:18, 51:2, 119:16,	21:21, 64:6, 87:20,	Defendants' [4] - 5:5,	delays [1] - 145:18
D-95 [1] - 267:12	210:5, 210:10,	209:16, 210:24,	344:9, 344:14,	delegates [3] - 43:13,
D-I-O-N [1] - 375:19	254:8, 254:9,	210:25, 340:9,	375:25	346:23
D.C [2] - 175:8, 175:9	254:18, 254:19,	363:19, 378:20	defending [1] - 25:25	delete [2] - 110:18,
D.s [1] - 25:15	259:15, 263:6,	decides [1] - 13:3	defense [56] - 7:5,	110:22
dad [1] - 253:1	263:8, 345:21,	deciding [3] - 62:4,	10:18, 11:9, 11:10,	deleted [1] - 110:20
DALE [1] - 1:11	346:7, 346:14,	87:23, 297:6	13:6, 14:15, 14:25,	deleting [1] - 116:17
damage [1] - 43:23	346:17, 346:18,	decision [20] - 16:22,	15:8, 16:7, 16 [.] 9,	demanding [1] - 12:2
DARROW [1] - 86:22	382:4	22:8, 34:16, 36:18,	17:5, 18:21, 24:15,	demands [1] - 38:24
data [38] - 78:23,	deadlines [13] - 37:10,	49:12, 55:8, 58:10,	25:5, 26:3, 27:12,	demarcation [1] -
78:24, 79:1, 79:11,	37:11, 117:6,	66:16, 173:20,	28:1, 28:6, 30:10,	326:22
79:12, 81:8, 81:14,	256:15, 256:23,	174:21, 195:11,	34:11, 36:9, 39:11,	democracy [3] -
81:19, 81:20, 82:3,	345:23, 345:25,	195:15, 205:21,	39:15, 47:7, 55:24,	173:16, 173:19,
82:9, 82:14, 82:22,	346:12, 348:1,	211:4, 282:25,	70:17, 71:20, 75:4,	173:23
83:24, 134:2,	353:21, 387:8, 387:9	340:9, 341:23,	88:13, 88:22, 104:3,	Democracy [5] -
136:15, 161:10,	deal [13] - 12:10, 25:1,	364:24, 381:6	153:13, 153:14,	80:25, 81:4, 85:5,
192:24, 196:17,	29:2, 29:5, 71:22,	decisions [4] - 49.13,	156:1, 156:4, 159:6,	86:4, 138:11
316:5, 318:17,	75:6, 87:23, 129:15,	189:13, 250:6,	164:15, 168:16,	Democratic [24] -
324:21, 325:13,	201:11, 247:16,	353:25	197:1, 197:3, 201:9,	2:19, 7:23, 169:9,
325:16, 325:18,	248:15, 363:8,	deck [5] - 308:13,	227:21, 251:18,	169:10, 169:16,
326:12, 330:21,	374:22	308:20, 354:22,	264:18, 307:13,	177:16, 178:4,
330:25, 331:4,	dealing [1] - 352:19	367:13, 368:4	309:7, 334:6,	178:7, 178:10,
331:5, 331:8, 331:9,	dealt [1] - 261:15	decks [1] - 276:8	360:18, 366:11,	178:13, 202:10,
356:8, 356:17,	Deanna [19] - 2:6,	declaration [2] -	366:16, 368:1,	204:15, 204:18,
356:19, 358:4	4:12, 8:23, 227:19,	91:17, 91:24	369:10, 369:23,	205:5, 218:19,
databases [1] - 135:9	287:20, 287:22,	declare [1] - 167:8	370:1, 370:10, 387:10	219:3, 219:7,
Date [1] - 390:12	288:2, 288:3, 290:1,	declared [10] - 167:10,	387:10	220:15, 220:16,
date [22] - 37:16, 38:1,	290:6, 290:23,	167:21, 167:23,	Defense [3] - 243:25, 244:2, 244:3	234:3, 234:7,
38:3, 38:4, 38:5,	291:4, 291:7, 296:15, 296:17,	174:5, 174:11, 176:2, 185:16,	defense's [2] - 13:7,	319:23, 321:11,
38:8, 143:1, 168:5,	296:15, 296:17, 296:19, 298:17,	176.2, 185.16, 185:18, 225:18,	25:24	347:12
194:4, 254:9, 255:3, 343:17, 343:10	303:6	364:14	defer [6] - 25:2, 58:1,	Democrats [3] - 347:6,
343:17, 343:19, 343:25, 344:4	DEANNA [1] - 8:23	declined [1] - 24:17	69:6, 122:16,	347:15, 365:7
343:25, 344:4, 345:20, 357:5,	deaths [1] - 152:22	DeCotiis [2] - 2:23,	153:12, 369:23	Democrats/
345.20, 357.5, 378:22, 379:9,	debate [1] - 52:11	9:23	deferential [1] - 187:5	Republicans [1] - 268:7
379:10, 382:1,	deceive [1] - 248:7	deem [3] - 69:16,	deferred [1] - 49:12	
382:22	December [34] -	194:21, 388:3	deferring [1] - 306:17	demonstrate [5] - 169:11, 169:25,
dated [2] - 14:11,	167:14, 167:18,	deemed [3] - 88:5,	defers [1] - 43:13	189:17, 189:23, 189:17, 189:23,
286:7	189:10, 216:23,	88:20, 224:1	define [1] - 180:4	191:2
dates [4] - 11:1,	218:5, 218:8,	deeming [1] - 71:24	defined [2] - 33:4,	demonstration [1] -
343:15, 345:12,	218:12, 218:13,	deeply [1] - 184:16	302:5	318:23
346:11	218:15, 225:24,	deer [1] - 199:7	defining [3] - 161:3,	denied [2] - 29:13,
Daubert [4] - 24:25,	226:4, 289:2,	Defendant [12] - 2:8,	297:17, 297:18	44:21
26:6, 28:22, 75:6	304:11, 304:12,	2:11, 2:19, 2:22,	definitely [2] - 115:14,	deny [2] - 13:3, 36:12
Dave [1] - 247:6	305:10, 305:17,	2:25, 3:4, 3:7, 3:10,	223:4	Department [5] -
David [5] - 9:8,	305:22, 333:2,	3:13, 3:18, 3:21,	definition [9] - 37:2,	125:15, 125:21,
113:10, 251:5,	333:5, 333:9,	3:24	114:6, 135:2,	126:13, 173:16,
252:2, 318:11	333:10, 377:25,	defendant [1] - 224:14	136:15, 160:5,	346:16

depended [1] - 231:8	138:5, 138:7,	determinations [2] -	83:19, 98:1, 99:25,	Dion [2] - 307:19,
dependent [4] - 69:12,	140:11, 146:2,	41:4, 64:23	107:8, 111:2,	375:19
140:9, 142:2, 161:13	146:3, 147:11,	determinative [4] -	111:13, 112:1,	DION [2] - 4:17,
	148:18, 150:1,	32:14, 168:25,	113:3, 115:24,	
deposed [2] - 47:22,	150:25, 152:9,	· · · ·	116:6, 116:15,	375:15
48:19	152:25, 167:15,	169:13, 172:25	116:22, 116:23,	DIRECT [16] - 4:4, 4:6,
depositions [2] -	168:14, 168:15,	determine [9] - 26:12,	118:6, 137:2,	4:7, 4:10, 4:12, 4:14,
47:18, 51:18	176:2, 229:10,	58:20, 100:13,		4:16, 4:18, 73:6,
deprive [1] - 58:13	229:25, 231:4,	106:17, 106:24,	143:21, 143:23, 147:20, 151:6,	159:9, 166:1,
depth [1] - 189:24	237:20, 254:22,	320:25, 344:1,	152:18, 156:10,	251:10, 285:25,
Deputy [2] - 8:13,	254:25, 256:13,	358:8, 363:11	157:14, 159:15,	311:6, 336:16,
366:24	257:13, 259:10,	determined [6] - 13:8,	159:16, 160:14,	375:23
DEPUTY [14] - 3:11,	259:13, 259:20,	49:13, 107:1,	170:18, 175:3,	direct [40] - 68:4, 68:5,
6:4, 72:22, 73:1,	259:23, 265:23,	154:21, 241:24,	175:11, 175:12,	68:6, 68:10, 68:16,
121:7, 165:18,	274:22, 274:24,	341:2 determining [3] -	175:16, 176:8,	69:11, 69:14, 70:8,
197:19, 251:3,	275:15, 276:21,		176:10, 176:24,	76:15, 77:4, 88:24,
285:22, 287:16,	277:7, 277:10,	155:18, 300:25, 273:16	177:1, 177:4, 179:7,	102:17, 119:25, 140:5, 140:21
310:19, 336:5,	277:17, 278:7,	373:16	183:11, 185:8,	140:5, 140:21, 141:22, 142:23
375:17, 389:6	278:11, 281:18,	devastating [1] -	189:11, 191:18,	141:22, 142:23, 144:3, 148:13
deputy [5] - 29:23,	297:19, 302:3,	171:19	191:21, 195:19,	144:3, 148:13, 151:21, 163:25
72:21, 165:15,	314:7, 329:14,	develop [2] - 81:20,	202:13, 206:10,	151:21, 163:25, 164:6, 165:23
250:24, 335:25	348:11, 356:11,	142:6	225:22, 230:21,	164:6, 165:23, 202:11, 247:19,
derived [1] - 331:1	357:24, 358:7,	developed [1] - 127:1	237:15, 242:11,	
describe [10] - 40:9,	359:20, 359:22,	development [6] -	242.22, 245:18,	247:21, 249:13, 251:23, 283:23,
40:20, 85:21, 86:3,	373:12, 373:17,	78:25, 107:11,	253:6, 253:25,	
105:19, 114:3,	383:2, 383:4,	108:14, 108:20,	255:7, 256:1, 258:2,	284:6, 286:3, 287:8,
140:7, 255:19,	383:12, 383:19,	109:2, 313:5	259:22, 263:24,	288:17, 306:16, 309:10, 312:10,
336:24, 353:18	384:12, 385:5,	deviations [4] -	268:9, 268:13,	319:2, 331:11,
described [17] - 15:19,	385:25	106:16, 106:23,	271:22, 272:21,	336:13, 367:23
49:3, 81:8, 116:17,	design-and-layout [1]	109:6, 109:18	272:22, 273:25,	directed [3] - 10:23,
151:9, 161:19,	- 152:9	device [15] - 76:10,	291:15, 294:22,	25:1, 250:3
163:16, 175:24,	design-wise [1] -	76:13, 75, 14, 76:15,	298:2, 298:12,	direction [3] - 133:17,
240:25, 259:1,	277:10	76:10, 76:21, 76:24,	298:14, 302:8,	214:24, 358:12
274:15, 325:13,	designated [2] - 74:2,	80:13, 80:14, 81:24,	303:10, 305:1,	directive [1] - 364:19
328:6, 340:24,	367:3	81:25, 96:1, 107:5,	310:1, 310:4, 310:6,	
342:5, 343:5, 366:1	designation [3] - 43:5	113:3, 113:4	310:7, 310:8, 312:1,	directly [14] - 39:22,
describes [2] -	43:21, 69:25	devices [7] - 75:25,	317:3, 331:16,	126:17, 126:18,
107:10, 115:21	designed [11] - 32.11,	79:8, 84:25, 96:15,	332:12, 339:5,	131:12, 132:20,
Description [1] - 4:20	82:18, 101:3, 101:5,	109:3, 138:4, 161:24	343:20, 348:15,	139:16, 139:19,
description [8] -	102:3, 138:25,	DHS [1] - 126:20	352:16, 357:13,	143:2, 167:18,
89:12, 93:24,	260:15.353:5,	dictated [2] - 43:16,	358:21, 359:9,	241:15, 241:18, 308:4, 310:21
105:18, 106:19,	355:20, 374:16,	348:10	361:4, 363:19,	308:4, 319:21, 373:19
113:22, 113:24,	385:22	dictates [1] - 349:21	366:1, 372:17,	373:19 director [1] - 73:17
114:14, 141:3	designing [5] - 77:10,	Diez [1] - 331:6	378:24, 384:15	disagree [8] - 12:12,
descriptions [1] -	78:15, 255:4,	difference [14] -	differentiate [1] -	17:18, 47:6, 109:20,
114:2	276:16, 279:25	27:14, 45:18, 63:11,	322:7	135:18, 135:24,
descriptive [11] -	designs [3] - 273:25,	76:21, 102:4, 186:8,	differently [3] -	164:16, 183:22
316:2, 325:23,	302:17, 360:14	186:12, 261:6,	148:11, 176:4,	disagrees [1] - 164:15
326:21, 326:24,	desire [2] - 231:13,	261:7, 282:20,	253:22	disappear [1] - 305:16
326:25, 327:11,	231:14	285:9, 285:13,	differs [1] - 159:2	disbelieve [3] -
327:12, 327:15,	desk [1] - 124:13	327:14, 330:1	difficult [9] - 10:15,	320:12, 320:15,
327:17, 328:7	despite [4] - 144:14,	differences [1] - 46:4	113:22, 169:25,	320:12, 320:13, 320:18
design [80] - 12:25,	171:14, 179:16,	different [123] - 17:3,	181:4, 182:12,	disclose [1] - 188:7
25:20, 31:12, 38:21, 40:10, 43:13, 47:21	328:2	21:10, 27:11, 37:9, 45:9, 45:23, 45:25	182:21, 183:20,	disclosed [1] - 344:9
40:10, 43:13, 47:21,	detailed [1] - 91:13	45:9, 45:23, 45:25, 54:22, 54:23, 55:1	277:9, 277:11	disconnect [1] - 152:4
52:4, 52:6, 75:24, 76:2, 81:9, 81:20	detailing [1] - 137:20	54:22, 54:23, 55:1,	digit [2] - 32:10,	disconnected [1] -
76:2, 81:9, 81:20, 82:5, 82:6, 82:8,	details [1] - 316:7	55:4, 55:5, 57:7, 57:20, 58:17, 50:7	179:12	81:14
93:22, 94:2, 112:8,	determination [3] -	57:20, 58:17, 59:7, 59:10, 59:18, 76:8	dilatory [1] - 236:5	discovered [2] -
93.22, 94.2, 112.8, 113:25, 115:22,	154:18, 341:6,	59:10, 59:18, 76:8, 77:15, 78:20, 79:25,	diligence [3] - 216:25,	248:8, 311:21
125:24, 133:16,	359:18	80:10, 81:3, 83:18,	217:18, 248:18	discovery [13] - 44:15,
120.2- 1 , 100.10,		00.10, 01.0, 00.10,		GIOUVUI y [13] - 44.10,

17.13 50.04 51.0	1.1 1.16	270.20 221.7	doors (4) 101-10	350.7 350.10
47:13, 50:24, 51:2, 51:14, 51:17, 51:18,	1:1, 1:16 districts [16] - 40:2,	279:20, 281:7, 301:13, 301:16,	doors [1] - 121:18	350:7, 350:10, 350:11
	• • •		double [5] - 32:10,	
51:22, 54:16, 58:19,	78:24, 81:18, 83:19,	301:19, 301:22, 201:25, 202:4	87:22, 179:12,	draws [4] - 37:17,
368:10	222:22, 256:4,	301:25, 302:4,	303:25, 377:10	63:9, 155:18, 364:3
discretion [1] - 120:16	338:7, 338:19,	302:8, 302:10,	double-digit [2] -	DRE [4] - 76:15,
discuss [8] - 138:4,	338:23, 338:24,	341:7, 341:9	32:10, 179:12	76:22, 80:14
223:8, 223:12,	339:12, 347:5,	Dominion's [1] -	double-sided [2] -	dressed [1] - 327:18
254:15, 255:11,	347:10, 347:14,	301:10	303:25, 377:10	drink [1] - 306:20
255:13, 288:23,	347:17	done [110] - 17:13,	double-tapping [1] -	Drive [2] - 2:10, 3:23
314:13	dive [1] - 315:14	18:18, 19:7, 20:17,	87:22	driven [1] - 55:9
discussed [4] - 52:15,	divert [1] - 47:12	21:8, 26:6, 28:18,	down [24] - 12:5, 21:1,	drives [4] - 37:3,
52:16, 288:13,	divided [2] - 338:15,	29:19, 29:20, 36:23,	62:2, 128:18,	356:16, 356:17
326:11	338:18	36:25, 37:4, 37:19,	150:23, 153:23,	driving [2] - 201:17,
discussing [2] -	division [1] - 345:19	39:3, 39:6, 40:1,	154:2, 154:6,	288:11
289:15, 348:2	division-of-elections	40:2, 40:4, 40:7,	170:18, 175:8,	drop [3] - 15:17, 32:5,
discussion [4] -	[1] - 345:19	41:1, 42:14, 52:12,	175:9, 190:5,	173:6
263:13, 376:16,	docket [16] - 6:8,	57:11, 57:17, 57:18,	250:21, 255:9,	dropping [1] - 172:25
381:15, 381:24	86:10, 87:7, 87:11,	57:21, 58:18, 62:25,	257:17, 260:11,	due [7] - 97:10,
discussions [1] -	87:12, 88:6, 89:5,	67:22, 68:6, 68:10,	262:6, 262:16,	152:21, 152:22,
49:23	91:19, 118:21,	68:16, 101:10,	262:18, 298:11,	215:11, 216:25,
dismiss [4] - 44:22,	118:22, 120:6,	116:19, 119:24,	367:12, 369:21,	248:18
54:18, 196:16, 282:5	120:7, 166:21,	124:3, 138:12,	375:6, 358:22	DULY [7] - 72:24,
dismissal [1] - 44:13	193:20, 227:12,	138:16, 138:22,	downloading [1] -	165:16, 251:1,
dismissed [1] -	387:11	139:3, 139:7,	356:15	285:20, 310:17,
375:10	doctor [2] - 297:22,	143:11, 144:8,	downsides [1] -	336:3, 375:15
display [6] - 76:5,	306:6	145:12, 145:15,	170:20	duration [1] - 212:1
82:10, 93:4, 93:13,	Doctor [2] - 312:14,	145:16, 149:8,	downstairs [2] -	during [9] - 110:8,
103:24, 107:15	334:23	153:8, 153:9,	200:23	123:1, 124:4,
displayed [1] - 291:14	document [16] - 82:7,	154:22, 155:25	dozens [2] - 184:18,	156:17, 199:24,
dispositive [1] - 54:17	87:10, 88:6, 89:8,	156:24, 176:1	314:15	215:7, 288:13,
dispositively [1] -	139:17, 233:7,	189:18, 190:24,	Dr [23] - 31:17, 31:18,	357:9, 365:15
45:1	233:18, 243:22,	191:22, 192:7,	34:20, 35:17, 194:1,	duties [4] - 139:10,
dispute [9] - 24:20,	269:13, 269:15,	192:12, 194:13,	194:2, 194:7,	164:24, 252:5,
25:9, 25:17, 56:8,	270:1, 311:9,	196:15, 199:21,	194:22, 194:23,	337:11
151:5, 151:16,	311:10, 344:20,	201:1, 222:7,	195:14, 205:8,	dynamics [2] - 176:12,
151:18, 151:21,	345:10, 372:1	234:18, 235:9,	205:25, 206:16,	195:6
151:24	documentation [5]	235:20, 236:13,	206:25, 226:9,	
disrupt [3] - 52:6,	27:8, 131:9, 132:10,	241:14, 248:18,		
52:7, 56:15			286:1, 286:17,	E
	132:21, 158:25	254:24, 254:25,	286:1, 286:17, 288:4, 311:7, 312:7,	E
disruption [1] - 47:3	132:21, 158:25 documents [8] - 27:1,	257:10, 257:21,		E e-filed [3] - 28:23,
disruption [1] - 47:3 distance [1] - 42:16		257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7,	
distance [1] - 42:16	documents [8] - 27:1,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17,	e-filed [3] - 28:23,
	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] -	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] -	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12 district [15] - 30:18,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 107:6,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11, 357:2, 361:9, 363:1,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11, 352:22, 352:23,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5, 216:22, 339:8,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12 district [15] - 30:18, 169:12, 170:14,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 107:6, 107:7, 130:23,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11, 357:2, 361:9, 363:1, 366:14, 368:17,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11, 352:22, 352:23, 352:24, 353:2,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5, 216:22, 339:8, 339:9, 339:17,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12 district [15] - 30:18, 169:12, 170:14, 260:11, 260:21,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 107:6, 107:7, 130:23, 131:2, 132:15,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11, 357:2, 361:9, 363:1, 366:14, 368:17, 369:19, 375:14,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11, 352:22, 352:23, 352:24, 353:2, 353:13, 363:25,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:25, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5, 216:22, 339:8, 339:9, 339:17, 339:19, 339:20,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12 district [15] - 30:18, 169:12, 170:14, 260:21, 260:21, 260:22, 312:1,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 107:6, 107:7, 130:23, 131:2, 132:15, 136:25, 137:18,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11, 357:2, 361:9, 363:1, 366:14, 368:17, 369:19, 375:14, 382:19	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11, 352:22, 352:23, 352:24, 353:2, 353:13, 363:25, 364:2	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5, 216:22, 339:8, 339:9, 339:17, 339:19, 339:20, 339:25, 340:11,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12 district [15] - 30:18, 169:12, 170:14, 260:21, 260:21, 260:22, 312:1, 320:6, 320:11,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 107:6, 107:7, 130:23, 131:2, 132:15, 136:25, 137:18, 138:10, 138:11,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11, 357:2, 361:9, 363:1, 366:14, 368:17, 369:19, 375:14, 382:19 donors [1] - 169:16	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11, 352:22, 352:23, 352:24, 353:2, 353:13, 363:25, 364:2 drawing [10] - 254:10,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5, 216:22, 339:8, 339:9, 339:17, 339:19, 339:20, 339:25, 340:11, 346:6, 356:23,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12 district [15] - 30:18, 169:12, 170:14, 260:11, 260:21, 260:22, 312:1, 320:6, 320:11, 320:14, 320:17,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 107:6, 107:7, 130:23, 131:2, 132:15, 136:25, 137:18, 138:10, 138:11, 139:4, 159:19,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11, 357:2, 361:9, 363:1, 366:14, 368:17, 369:19, 375:14, 382:19 donors [1] - 169:16 doomed [1] - 32:7	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11, 352:22, 352:23, 352:24, 353:2, 353:13, 363:25, 364:2 drawing [10] - 254:10, 254:16, 273:10,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:25, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5, 216:22, 339:8, 339:9, 339:17, 339:19, 339:20, 339:25, 340:11, 346:6, 356:23, 357:5, 357:20,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12 district [15] - 30:18, 169:12, 170:14, 260:21, 260:21, 260:22, 312:1, 320:6, 320:11, 320:14, 320:17, 321:16, 339:7,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 107:6, 107:7, 130:23, 131:2, 132:15, 136:25, 137:18, 138:10, 138:11, 139:4, 159:19, 159:25, 258:6,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11, 357:2, 361:9, 363:1, 366:14, 368:17, 369:19, 375:14, 382:19 donors [1] - 169:16 doomed [1] - 32:7 door [2] - 355:15,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11, 352:22, 352:23, 352:24, 353:2, 353:13, 363:25, 364:2 drawing [10] - 254:10, 254:16, 273:10, 273:11, 273:12,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:25, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5, 216:22, 339:8, 339:9, 339:17, 339:19, 339:20, 339:25, 340:11, 346:6, 356:23, 357:5, 357:20, 365:2, 365:3,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12 district [15] - 30:18, 169:12, 170:14, 260:11, 260:21, 260:22, 312:1, 320:6, 320:11, 320:14, 320:17, 321:16, 339:7, 347:13	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 107:6, 107:7, 130:23, 131:2, 132:15, 136:25, 137:18, 138:10, 138:11, 139:4, 159:19, 159:25, 258:6, 260:3, 277:2, 277:3,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11, 357:2, 361:9, 363:1, 366:14, 368:17, 369:19, 375:14, 382:19 donors [1] - 169:16 doomed [1] - 32:7	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11, 352:22, 352:23, 352:24, 353:2, 353:13, 363:25, 364:2 drawing [10] - 254:10, 254:16, 273:10, 273:11, 273:12, 273:13, 349:15	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5, 216:22, 339:8, 339:9, 339:17, 339:19, 339:20, 339:25, 340:11, 346:6, 356:23, 357:5, 357:20, 365:2, 365:3, 365:14, 365:15,
distance [1] - 42:16 distinct [2] - 337:11, 338:3 distract [1] - 121:21 distracting [1] - 121:21 distractions [1] - 121:13 District [5] - 6:2, 166:5, 318:4, 320:8, 321:12 district [15] - 30:18, 169:12, 170:14, 260:21, 260:21, 260:22, 312:1, 320:6, 320:11, 320:14, 320:17, 321:16, 339:7,	documents [8] - 27:1, 88:10, 112:22, 124:8, 124:12, 127:1, 146:9, 152:6 dog [1] - 265:6 dollars [1] - 343:6 Dominion [47] - 75:18, 75:21, 76:7, 77:24, 80:9, 80:21, 80:25, 81:4, 85:5, 86:4, 94:4, 98:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:18, 107:6, 107:7, 130:23, 131:2, 132:15, 136:25, 137:18, 138:10, 138:11, 139:4, 159:19, 159:25, 258:6, 260:3, 277:2, 277:3, 277:10, 277:14,	257:10, 257:21, 257:24, 257:25, 260:14, 264:18, 275:14, 282:15, 282:25, 285:5, 306:14, 307:10, 308:15, 308:22, 308:23, 308:25, 313:16, 331:11, 331:19, 342:1, 342:6, 350:2, 350:23, 356:3, 356:4, 356:11, 357:2, 361:9, 363:1, 366:14, 368:17, 369:19, 375:14, 382:19 donors [1] - 169:16 doomed [1] - 32:7 door [2] - 355:15,	288:4, 311:7, 312:7, 312:16, 312:17, 312:18 draft [2] - 205:13, 290:7 drastically [1] - 261:15 draw [17] - 63:2, 65:16, 206:9, 207:9, 254:2, 257:10, 344:1, 348:25, 349:21, 350:11, 352:22, 352:23, 352:24, 353:2, 353:13, 363:25, 364:2 drawing [10] - 254:10, 254:16, 273:10, 273:11, 273:12, 273:13, 349:15 drawn [7] - 43:12,	e-filed [3] - 28:23, 29:20, 29:21 EAC [12] - 74:1, 131:14, 131:18, 131:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:5, 132:19, 132:23, 148:2, 297:5, 297:8 eardrum [1] - 268:21 early [21] - 31:14, 35:18, 213:5, 216:22, 339:8, 339:9, 339:17, 339:19, 339:20, 339:25, 340:11, 346:6, 356:23, 357:5, 357:20, 365:2, 365:3, 365:14, 365:15, 365:17, 386:13

265:12	elected [9] - 46:8,	191:23, 195:6,	Election [20] - 55:22,	electronic [12] - 76:15,
ease [1] - 159:22	63:25, 166:6, 166:7,	206:4, 206:7,	73:16, 73:18, 74:6,	76:17, 79:4, 79:8,
easier [1] - 275:6	166:12, 166:14,	210:16, 212:6,	75:18, 85:24,	79:9, 84:24, 95:9,
easiest [1] - 133:6	176:24, 317:3,	218:6, 218:15,	103:12, 124:19,	95:25, 96:9, 112:14,
easily [1] - 14:23	336:23	218:16, 218:20,	125:22, 126:2,	145:22, 340:6
Eastern [1] - 377:25	election [265] - 23:11,	219:3, 221:4, 221:7,	128:9, 128:10,	elements [3] - 173:21,
easy [2] - 26:1, 240:7	27:23, 28:2, 31:15,	221:11, 222:2,	128:18, 131:5,	183:2, 183:22
eat [2] - 20:3, 306:20	32:2, 32:3, 33:25,	223:4, 226:15,	162:11, 163:4,	eleven [1] - 272:15
ECF [13] - 86:10, 87:7,	36:18, 38:7, 40:2,	230:13, 231:2,	297:4, 339:8,	eliciting [1] - 286:3
89:5, 100:22,	40:3, 40:17, 40:21,	234:15, 234:23,	346:10, 356:24	eliminate [1] - 315:25
103:20, 111:10,	45:10, 45:13, 45:14,	237:19, 238:4,	election-related [1] -	eliminated [1] - 184:4
111:17, 113:10,	45:19, 46:14, 46:17,	253:18, 254:4,	315:11	elsewhere [1] - 198:24
120:7, 227:14,	47:1, 47:4, 49:18,	254:7, 258:12,	elections [85] - 25:20,	email [3] - 304:15,
290:2, 372:1	52:6, 52:7, 53:22,	258:15, 258:19,	28:3, 32:16, 33:5,	304:16, 353:9
edits [1] - 152:21	55:1, 56:15, 56:16,	261:5, 263:14,	33:10, 38:22, 40:15,	emails [8] - 50:18,
education [2] - 40:14,	58:14, 73:12, 73:13,	268:9, 268:11,	41:16, 46:12, 74:4,	50:23, 305:1,
69:23	73:14, 74:8, 74:9,	268:14, 269:3,	74:10, 82:17, 86:7,	378:13, 379:11,
EDWARD [1] - 2:20	74:11, 74:21, 74:23,	272:23, 277:11,	92:8, 109:7, 113:18,	380:12, 380:18
Edward [3] - 86:9,	74:24, 75:10, 75:17,	279:1, 281:21,	114:3, 125:11,	embarrassment [1] -
89:6, 246:16	76:1, 77:8, 77:25,	281:24, 281:25,	125:13, 125:18,	31:13
Edwin [1] - 8:9	78:17, 78:21, 80:11,	283:1, 289:21,	126:24, 127:12,	emergency [5] -
effect [17] - 40:12,	80:16, 80:23, 81:2,	291:11, 294:9,	134:4, 134 15,	127:9, 127:11,
44:6, 63:10, 169:5,	81:17, 82:18, 83:19,	295:1, 296:22,	134:17 134:18,	339:15, 357:11,
172:23, 173:1,	84:1, 84:2, 84:3,	297:6, 297:9, 298:4,	134:21, 139:19,	357:12
173:17, 176:3,	84:6, 91:9, 100:8,	299:11, 302:5,	139:25, 140:16,	emergent [4] - 11:23,
176:5, 176:7, 185:9,	100:12, 100:15,	302:9, 315:11,	0143:3, 143:7,	20:17, 21:7, 45:4
239:8, 312:4, 312:5,	100:17, 103:10,	316:24, 324:4,	143:12, 145:2,	emphasize [1] -
317:18, 384:16,	103:11, 103:12,	324:14, 328:20,	145:3, 149:13,	140:21
384:21	103:14, 105:17, 107:19, 114:5,	337:11, 337:12. 338:6, 338:18.	157:9, 157:13,	employee [1] - 126:3
effectively [1] - 18:9	116:20, 117:5,	338:19, 338:23,	157:14, 157:17,	employees [5] -
effects [3] - 170:3,	117:18, 125:25,	338:24, 339:12,	158:13, 158:17, 161:18, 171:16,	146:20, 165:2,
188:1, 332:2	117.16, 125.25, 127:1, 127:5, 127:7,	339:22, 340:6,	195:6, 210:15,	252:22, 252:23,
effectuate [1] - 384:12	127:8, 127:10,	342:1, 342:14,	218:12, 229:11,	256:17
efficiency [1] - 144:4	127:20, 127:22,	343:10, 343:16,	266:25, 273:1,	EMS [15] - 77:9, 78:1,
effort [4] - 175:22,	127:23, 128:3,	344:12, 345:11,	273:5, 289:15,	78:15, 79:24, 80:20,
177:13, 239:22,	128:8, 130:10,	345:14, 346:9,	292:10, 314:8,	80:23, 80:25, 82:4,
384:2	133:12, 133:25,	346:11, 346:20,	337:7, 337:9,	84:7, 84:18, 94:1,
efforts [9] - 19:4,	134:1, 134:5,	347:1, 347:5,	337:10, 337:15,	137:1, 137:2, 138:6
164:9, 175:1,	134:13, 134:19,	347:10, 347:13,	337:20, 337:21,	EMS's [1] - 94:8
175:13, 177:5,	134:25, 135:1,	347:14, 347:19,	338:1, 338:2,	EMSs [1] - 79:25
177:10, 188:21,	135:3, 135:6,	347:22, 348:8,	340:15, 341:2,	en [1] - 232:10
196:16, 383:25	135:16, 136:5,	348:12, 349:16,	341:6, 341:17,	encapsulates [1] -
eight [7] - 68:21,	136:6, 136:15,	349:17, 349:18,	342:9, 342:10,	322:23
104:8, 104:23, 104:25, 166:22	136:19, 136:21,	349:25, 350:13,	342:12, 345:19,	encoded [1] - 356:9
104:25, 166:22, 186:6, 211:1	137:8, 138:14,	350:22, 350:23,	346:25, 347:2,	encourage [1] - 234:9
either [25] - 10:17,	139:12, 143:9,	351:1, 351:4, 351:5,	347:4, 348:5, 355:3,	end [22] - 17:21, 24:12, 26:7, 36:1,
42:5, 45:1, 82:4,	144:8, 149:9,	352:14, 352:16,	355:4, 356:4, 356:7,	24.12, 26.7, 36.1, 58:12, 71:9, 83:24,
42.5, 45.1, 82.4, 87:16, 88:15,	149:15, 149:16,	352:17, 354:23,	356:18, 358:23,	56.12, 71.9, 85.24, 84:4, 136:21,
108:25, 110:11,	152:12, 152:20,	357:25, 358:6,	359:1, 359:19,	167:10, 188:22,
113:2, 125:12,	153:1, 153:9, 155:8,	358:20, 358:21,	365:8, 370:20, 372:5	188:23, 206:20,
125:24, 130:23,	157:12, 157:18,	361:8, 362:3, 362:4,	Electionware [6] -	207:4, 215:14,
131:1, 137:8,	158:20, 159:16,	362:6, 365:14,	80:24, 81:2, 81:6,	248:25, 264:8,
137:17, 139:4,	159:19, 160:16,	365:15, 365:25,	138:17, 353:23,	277:25, 305:21,
139:5, 164:6,	160:21, 160:23,	370:16, 373:13,	356:9	305:22, 356:6,
178:13, 235:17,	161:1, 161:2, 161:3,	373:14, 373:17,	elective [1] - 175:18	369:22
258:6, 328:3,	161:13, 161:14,	374:4, 374:9, 274:11, 275:1	electoral [6] - 172:9,	ended [2] - 14:13,
364:20, 379:25,	162:10, 163:16,	374:11, 375:1,	172:10, 177:17,	108:17
383:7	163:17, 182:1,	382:3, 385:17,	184:13, 186:20,	endorse [3] - 61:8,
elaborate [1] - 257:15	185:4, 191:2, 191:4, 101:7, 101:20	386:10, 386:17, 386:20	186:25	61:15, 186:7
	191:7, 191:20,	000.20		

endorsed [16] -	onioin (c) 20:1	340:14, 340:19,	accontiolly (c) 192.9	111:16, 111:18,
182:12, 182:20,	enjoin [5] - 39:1, 181:5, 181:8,	340.14, 340.19, 341:5, 358:8, 362:7	essentially [5] - 183:8, 294:19, 366:23,	111:19, 113:12,
	, ,	Equipment [1] -	, ,	120:2, 166:24,
186:18, 204:4,	181:19, 181:20		384:5, 386:11	
204:11, 204:16,	enjoined [1] - 184:4	286:19	Essex [2] - 2:16, 7:10	169:19, 189:22,
204:21, 209:5,	enjoining [1] - 160:10	equipment's [1] -	establish [5] - 25:18,	190:1, 193:19, 195:25, 196:21,
311:25, 322:20,	ensure [11] - 100:13,	299:19	44:18, 46:17, 53:25,	
322:21, 323:5, 330:22, 371:1	100:18, 131:16,	equivalent [3] - 53:13,	164:11	227:11, 244:8, 290:14, 295:12,
	133:13, 135:4,	99:24, 117:1	established [2] -	295:25, 296:11,
endorsement [29] -	139:12, 147:1,	equivalently [1] -	143:18, 170:7	303:20, 344:14,
60:24, 65:18, 177:1,	353:20, 354:25,	117:17	estate [4] - 154:8,	351:22, 367:21,
177:18, 177:20,	356:13, 365:24	erase [2] - 282:12	154:10, 154:15,	
177:22, 186:1,	ensuring [2] - 259:5,	error [2] - 18:10, 248:6	154:19	379:14, 379:20,
186:9, 203:12,	355:6	ES&S [79] - 75:20,	estimate [2] - 261:24,	387:19, 388:6
203:17, 203:22,	entail [1] - 217:1	75:21, 76:7, 77:25,	265:15	EVS [9] - 85:4, 85:22,
203:25, 204:6,	enter [3] - 213:14,	80:9, 80:21, 80:23,	estimated [2] - 259:8,	85:23, 105:2, 107:1,
204:10, 204:14,	387:17, 387:23	85:4, 85:22, 94:4,	366:3	107:17, 107:18
204:20, 205:5,	entered [16] - 28:6,	96:2, 96:5, 98:2,	estopped [1] - 16:15	exact [9] - 16:16, 92:9,
207:23, 209:21,	79:12, 81:14, 86:10,	98:4, 98:17, 101:22,	et [13] - 1:8, 1:12, 6:7,	103:11, 111:1,
210:1, 210:7,	87:7, 89:5, 102:19,	101:24, 104:5,	6:8, 82:21, 88:11,	211:2, 221:9, 224:6, 226:10, 265:14
210:22, 211:5,	111:9, 113:8,	104:19, 105:2,	94:24, 98:11,	226:10, 265:14
214:23, 242:3,	185:22, 213:12,	111:25, 112:4,	169:16, 275:1	exactly [12] - 53:6,
316:16, 321:13,	214:14, 225:5,	112:12, 113:1,	291:13, 335.3,	97:1, 113:23, 161:1,
331:10, 331:19	356:8, 383:18,	130:23, 131:2,	379:12	220:4, 223:23,
endorsements [28] -	387:19	132:15, 132:16,	evaluated [3] - 106:16,	271:22, 276:17,
63:18, 64:1, 64:2, 65:25, 175:15,	entering [4] - 136:15,	136:25, 137:18,	103:23, 106:25	286:24, 289:17,
	161:6, 353:6, 355:21	139:4, 159:16,	evaluating [1] - 58:10	314:9, 319:1
176:20, 176:23,	enters [2] - 23:14,	159:25, 258:6,	eve [3] - 17:1, 47:1,	exam [6] - 69:9, 88:24,
177:6, 177:23,	160:10	260:3, 276:20,	52:5	119:25, 197:23,
178:2, 178:6, 179:25, 186:14,	entertain [1] - 24:18	276:25, 277:6,	evenhanded [2] -	201:12, 283:23
186:23, 188:1,	enthusiasm [1] -	277:9, 277:16,	31:24, 180:22	examination [32] -
209:19, 210:18,	385:15	278:6, 278:9,	evening [8] - 287:20,	25:19, 47:17, 56:23,
210:19, 212:6,	entire [7] - 71:17,	278:11, 279:17,	287:21, 288:4,	69:7, 120:15, 120:25, 121:0
214:17, 214:25,	71:21, 252:25,	279:20, 279:24,	311:7, 312:14,	120:25, 121:9, 122:16, 123:5
216:3, 238:11,	282:13, 332:13,	280:2, 280:5,	312:15, 336:9	122:16, 123:5, 156:18, 164:7,
240:15, 323:2,	335:2, 340:10	280:22, 281:1, 281:5, 292:7,	event [1] - 363:16	164:15, 165:24,
323:3, 323:8, 383:6	entirely [1] - 38:4	, ,	events [2] - 175:4,	197:3, 198:14,
endorses [2] - 325:2,	entirety [2] - 249:25,	292:21, 292:24,	175:12	201:23, 202:11,
325:5	250:14	296:21, 297:1,	eventuality [1] -	201.23, 202.11, 247:20, 247:21,
ends [5] - 58:11,	entities [3] - 175:15,	297:2, 297:7, 209:21, 209:24	212:22	249:8, 249:13,
76:19, 79:7, 172:24,	177:2, 343:20	298:21, 298:24, 200:3, 241:8, 241:0	eventually [1] - 216:17	249:0, 249:13, 249:15, 251:23,
359:12	entitle a [6] - 63:20,	299:3, 341:8, 341:9, 343:1, 354:23,	everyday [2] - 46:11,	264:20, 286:4,
enforcement [3] -	80:24, 80:25,	343.1, 354.23, 355:18, 356:3,	152:24	287:18, 309:20,
18:7, 18:13	286:18, 287:2, 390:5	356:9, 356:12,	everywhere [1] -	309:21, 336:14,
engage [4] - 83:3,	entity [2] - 337:23,	357:25, 362:14,	338:17	368:4, 368:12, 369:4
177:14, 196:9,	338:3	364:22, 372:4,	evidence [71] - 4:20,	EXAMINATION [46] -
216:12	entry [7] - 11:15,	374:1, 374:25	4:21, 4:22, 4:22,	4:4, 4:4, 4:5, 4:5,
engaged [10] - 143:19,	79:11, 81:8, 82:3,	ES&S's [4] - 81:2,	4:23, 4:23, 4:24,	4:6, 4:7, 4:7, 4:8,
176:8, 176:16,	82:22, 166:21,	81:6, 85:23, 292:17	4:24, 4:25, 5:4, 5:5,	4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 4:10,
189:8, 217:22,	193:20	especially [3] -	5:6, 11:1, 16:7,	4:11, 4:12, 4:12,
305:17, 333:1,	envelopes [4] -	171:20, 172:16,	21:15, 31:19, 32:8,	4:13, 4:14, 4:14,
333:21, 334:1,	260:20, 355:11,	246:1	33:1, 35:14, 35:15,	4:15, 4:15, 4:16,
380:24	355:14, 365:23	ESQUIRE [23] - 1:18,	35:16, 39:14, 40:6,	4:17, 4:18, 73:6,
engagement [6] -	equal [5] - 64:19,	1:19, 2:2, 2:5, 2:6,	50:8, 50:13, 50:21,	123:19, 153:18,
175:13, 182:24,	323:8, 370:3, 374:10	2:6, 2:9, 2:10, 2:13,	50:23, 51:24, 53:24,	157:7, 159:9, 166:1,
204:25, 216:16,	equality [1] - 173:24	2:13, 2:14, 2:14,	55:4, 55:12, 57:2,	202:7, 211:14,
216:20, 292:3	equate [2] - 82:7,	2:17, 2:20, 2:23, 3:2,	59:19, 65:8, 88:1,	244:13, 246:18,
engagements [1] -	82:12	3:5, 3:15, 3:15, 3:16,	89:3, 89:18, 90:1, 01:20, 100:21	251:10, 264:21,
175:3	equation [1] - 282:12	3:16, 3:20, 3:23	91:20, 100:21, 100:23, 104:20	281:16, 285:25,
enhanced [1] - 132:23	equipment [9] - 34:22,	essence [1] - 34:20	100:23, 104:20, 108:1, 111:10,	288:3, 303:12,
	35:2, 296:21, 297:6,		100.1, 111.10,	

311:6, 312:13,	111:16, 111:18,	experiencing [1] -	33:24, 35:6, 36:23,	F
332:18, 334:10,	111:19, 111:21,	186:19	58:3, 61:24, 62:1,	Г
336:16, 370:15,	112:11, 113:9,	experiment [2] -	62:8, 62:9, 62:21,	face [2] - 46:11, 196:7
375:23	113:12, 120:10,	317:12, 326:15	77:16, 253:17,	facilities [1] - 148:3
EXAMINATIONS [1] -	166:24, 193:8,	expert [61] - 24:16,	349:3, 349:15	facing [1] - 181:4
4:2	193:19, 227:11,	24:24, 24:25, 25:7,	explained [1] - 37:15	fact [42] - 14:19,
examine [14] - 48:20,	243:22, 244:2,	26:13, 27:4, 27:18,	explaining [1] -	16:12, 27:22, 27:23,
88:11, 108:6, 108:8,	244:3, 244:8,	27:20, 27:21, 29:3,	330:15	45:15, 47:13, 49:10,
156:4, 267:24,	247:11, 269:13,	47:14, 69:25, 70:1,	explains [1] - 23:12	50:25, 51:2, 52:14,
284:9, 292:4, 297:1,	269:15, 290:2,	70:25, 71:4, 71:24,	explanation [5] -	55:22, 57:5, 63:21,
300:24, 301:16,	290:14, 303:20,	74:12, 74:19, 74:21,	70:15, 89:11, 90:7,	66:14, 96:8, 100:5,
301:19, 309:9, 368:2	344:9, 344:14,	75:10, 90:20, 91:10,	114:8, 318:25	107:2, 124:5,
examined [2] - 47:22,	351:18, 375:25	91:11, 105:23,	explanations [1] -	140:10, 144:14,
51:21	exhibit [11] - 86:21,	118:16, 122:6,	325:20	151:14, 151:16,
examining [3] - 108:3,	86:23, 87:1, 87:2,	122:7, 125:16,	explore [2] - 47:5,	151:17, 151:24,
235:13, 310:1	88:21, 92:17,	162:5, 189:13,	250:9	152:10, 179:15,
example [12] - 62:12,	104:14, 227:6,	189:25, 191:17,	express [2] - 112:25,	181:9, 206:3,
69:21, 99:23,	227:17, 306:1, 344:8	191:18, 191:19,	113:2	207:19, 265:22,
102:12, 102:18,	exhibits [4] - 92:1,	194:1, 217:23,	Express [2] - 372:4,	268:5, 272:11,
103:2, 103:21,	92:10, 120:4, 233:22	226:13, 249:12,	372:15	272:16, 273:8,
154:19, 298:3,	Exhibits [3] - 92:2,	250:15, 289:10,	expressed In	275:5, 297:7,
318:21, 318:22,	120:2, 271:14	304:5, 311:12,	341:23	319:10, 369:1,
363:12	exigency [1] - 49:17	314:18, 315:2,	ExpressVote [39] -	375:11, 379:14,
Excel [1] - 353:7	exist [4] - 52:24,	315:6, 329:23,	96:2. 96:5, 96:10,	382:19, 383:1
excellent [3] - 123:24,	275:5, 316:1, 348:3	330:2, 330:9, 331:1,	97:16, 105:3,	factions [1] - 65:12
124:14, 253:3	existent [1] - 25:20	332:9, 333:21,	107:18, 112:15,	factor [3] - 188:5,
except [5] - 41:23,	existing [5] - 34:24,	351:6, 351:24,	112:18, 113:2,	361:20, 373:16
200:13, 286:23,	82:11, 82:12, 82:16,	361:19, 367:21,	113:3, 113:6,	factors [4] - 385:6,
325:20, 340:24	133:22	367:23, 368:21	138:12, 138:13,	385:16, 385:18,
exception [2] - 21:20,	exists [3] - 53:20,	369:9, 369:25	138:15, 138:18,	385:19
360:8	230:2, 230:6	Expert [1] - 267:3	291:25, 292:4,	facts [4] - 55:9, 55:10,
excess [1] - 110:18	expect [5] - 17:23,	expert's [1] - 199:24	292:8, 292:11,	199:12, 387:19
excuse [10] - 65:13,	30:18, 205:25,	expenise [10] - 24:16,	292:14, 292:18,	factual [3] - 41:5,
95:5, 100:7, 101:23,	233:21, 331:15	115:6, 130:15,	292:25, 293:1,	44:13, 44:18
112:23, 125:17,	expectation [1] -	-137:24, 138:1,	293:12, 293:14,	factually [1] - 55:1
193:3, 253:11,	214:2	140:18, 141:23,	293:17, 294:2,	failed [1] - 14:19
260:9, 387:2	expected [3] - 178:14,	144:4, 190:22,	295:10, 295:13,	fair [39] - 13:11, 13:12,
excused [11] - 165:8,	201:13, 331:20	295:20	295:19, 295:23,	13:25, 14:2, 14:6,
246:25, 251:17,	expedite [2] - 29:8,	experts [51] - 24:21,	296:3, 297:14,	
283:7, 283:14,	122:9	25:10, 25:22, 25:23,	298:18, 299:13,	23:20, 26:8, 26:14, 33:23, 38:24, 38:25,
283:16, 306:6,	expedited [5] - 12:1,	26:5, 29:11, 29:15,	299:17, 302:23,	33.23, 38.24, 38.25, 39:12, 59:23, 77:19,
334:24, 375:4,	20:12, 20:13, 20:16,	31:17, 33:24, 38:13,	303:1, 341:10	88:16, 97:7, 124:22,
387:4, 387:7	20:12, 20:13, 20:10, 20:21	48:6, 48:11, 48:16,	ExpressXL [1] -	145:13, 145:15,
executive [4] - 166:15,	expeditious [1] -	48:20, 48:22, 48:24,	372:12	145.13, 145.15, 147:18, 150:13,
363:15, 363:20,	262:3	51:19, 51:21, 71:14,	extend [1] - 146:6	172:2, 177:24,
364:20	expense [1] - 31:23	71:15, 71:16,	extension [2] - 51:17,	172.2, 177.24, 180:7, 180:13,
exempting [1] - 85:8	experience [1] - 51.25	118:25, 119:6,	51:22	180:20, 180:23,
Exhibit [61] - 4:20,	25:19, 70:15, 128:6,	172:14, 198:12,	extensive [1] - 148:7	208:3, 237:13,
4:20, 4:21, 4:21,	25:19, 70:15, 128:6, 129:4, 130:1, 130:5,	212:21, 217:25,	extensive [1] - 148.7 extent [8] - 39:6, 44:4,	208:3, 237:13, 237:16,
4:20, 4:21, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 4:23,	, , ,	225:24, 226:1,	48:22, 56:3, 237:1,	278:6, 291:18,
4.22, 4.23, 4.23, 4:24, 4:24, 4:25, 5:4,	130:19, 130:22, 131:23, 132:12	226:3, 247:13,	48.22, 56.3, 237.1, 296:21, 367:22,	278.6, 291.18, 291:20, 313:8,
4.24, 4.24, 4.25, 5.4, 5:4, 5:5, 87:3, 89:3,	131:23, 132:12, 141:23, 144:14,	247:18, 283:25,	387:9	313:12, 315:20,
5.4, 5.5, 67.5, 89.5, 89:4, 91:18, 91:20,	141.23, 144.14, 153:6, 173:15,	309:2, 313:19,	external [1] - 127:18	330:25, 335:21
92:16, 92:25, 93:4,	176:19, 177:17,	367:22, 368:9,	external [1] - 127.18 extra [1] - 250:2	faired [1] - 179:5
93:8, 93:12, 93:16,		379:1, 379:3, 379:4,		
93.8, 93.12, 93.16, 100:21, 100:23,	179:18, 292:11, 350:24	379:15, 379:20,	extraordinary [1] -	fairly [1] - 33:10
102:18, 102:19,		380:6, 380:13,	21:13	fairness [1] - 173:24
102:18, 102:19, 103:20,	experienced [2] -	380:22, 380:24,	extremely [2] - 25:25,	fall [2] - 30:20, 63:6
103.19, 103.20, 104:17, 104:20,	242:12, 242:23	381:2, 384:16	334:9	falls [2] - 154:18,
104.17, 104.20, 111:11, 111:15,	experiences [2] - 69:23, 190:23	explain [14] - 33:13,	eyes [3] - 19:1, 23:23,	154:21
111.11, 111.13,	09.23, 190.23		169:20	familiar [13] - 46:21,

89:8, 91:24, 111:8,	235:9, 238:11,	79:6, 81:21, 138:17,	206:8, 206:13,	305:15
157:8, 218:22,	285:11	160:5, 161:20	207:14, 207:21,	focus [10] - 22:2, 66:7,
255:22, 257:20,	few [22] - 10:16, 10:19,	filing [13] - 15:1, 18:2,	207:23, 216:12,	134:8, 180:3,
		- · · ·		191:19, 213:7,
258:8, 261:8,	27:10, 37:7, 38:10,	43:4, 223:6, 224:16,	216:21, 216:22,	, ,
311:10, 373:8,	101:18, 119:10,	224:17, 229:23,	220:9, 226:9,	238:15, 238:16,
376:13	123:20, 159:13,	295:16, 295:17,	232:12, 232:14,	313:4, 318:1
familiarity [2] - 163:9,	164:17, 186:6,	343:18, 369:24,	233:5, 233:8, 242:6,	focused [2] - 132:5,
255:6	239:4, 241:19,	382:5, 382:9	254:9, 256:5,	313:9
family [2] - 252:17,	246:22, 258:11,	filings [2] - 29:12,	259:21, 273:15,	focusing [1] - 182:1
265:19	313:17, 337:10,	140:23	273:16, 279:20,	folks [37] - 6:5, 9:15,
far [6] - 46:24, 50:21,	352:19, 370:4,	fill [1] - 62:12	283:2, 286:6,	11:20, 11:24, 12:2,
179:24, 235:12,	370:8, 375:13,	final [8] - 76:5, 81:21,	288:23, 291:11,	19:18, 24:9, 30:1,
237:17, 254:1	384:17	113:7, 194:2,	303:5, 304:12,	30:11, 30:24, 42:3,
fashion [2] - 342:2,	fewer [2] - 173:12,	205:12, 205:15,	309:20, 313:9,	43:17, 46:16, 46:23,
343:1	173:13	354:20, 381:6	314:17, 315:2,	47:18, 48:2, 71:14,
fast [1] - 306:13	field [9] - 73:11, 75:10,	finalized [1] - 382:1	330:1, 330:7,	120:18, 120:19,
father [3] - 252:15,	90:9, 90:21, 91:9,	finalizing [2] - 260:7,	333:11, 337:2,	121:11, 121:16,
252:17, 252:19	91:11, 115:6,	353:14	342:18, 343:17,	121:25, 122:24,
fault [1] - 360:4	182:23, 370:3	finance [3] - 323:22,	350:6, 352:4, 352:9,	164:19, 197:20,
favor [2] - 30:6, 53:16	fields [2] - 25:21,	324:7, 382:6	353:20, 376:21,	201:25, 241:12,
favorite [1] - 179:14	90:20	finances [1] - 328:11	383:23, 384:23,	247:4, 247:8,
favors [1] - 255:9	fight [1] - 265:6	financial [2] - 340:8,	385:25, 388:12	264:18, 270:17,
feasibility [4] - 35:21,	Figure [7] - 35:1,	341:5	First [2] - 178:11,	284:7, 287:17,
106:17, 106:24,	101:2, 101:5, 102:1,	financially [1] - 170:1	313.2	290:24, 368:19,
107:1	102:4, 102:21, 103:3	findings [3] - 33:12,	FIRST [1] - 3:8	387:8
feasible [4] - 41:1,	figure [5] - 35:3,	41:5, 311:24	Eisher [1] - 1:13	follow [12] - 43:17,
53:22, 56:13, 364:15	201:18, 240:5,	fine [8] - 26:7, 72:17,	fit [11] - 38:21, 299:5,	138:1, 181:12,
featured [1] - 371:5	308:25, 359:16	72:18, 106:2,	299:7, 299:8, 299:9,	230:13, 230:16,
features [3] - 38:16,	figured [2] - 7:6, 156:6	188:11, 251:17	299:12, 359:17,	274:25, 316:16,
78:20, 114:1	figures [1] - 330:8	303:14, 309.12	361:17, 361:21	316:21, 343:9,
	file 44.04 00.7			348:22, 350:9, 363:8
February [19] - 15:3	file [16] - 14:21, 29:7,	finger [2] 42:5. 58:6	FITZPATRICK [1] -	340.22, 330.9, 303.0
February [19] - 15:3, 51:3 190:10	• • • •	finger [2] - 42:5, 58:6 fingerios [1] - 345:15	2:23	follow-up [2] - 138:1,
51:3, 190:10,	116 [16] - 14:21, 29:7, 36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15,	fingertics [1] - 345:15		
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9,	2:23	follow-up [2] - 138:1,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19,	fingertios [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15,	fingeritos [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24,	fingeritos [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:11 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:11 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4,	fingertics [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 390:4
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14, 382:3, 388:23	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18, 223:21, 226:16,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1, 146:6, 155:1, 155:7,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9 Floor [1] - 3:12	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 390:4 foreign [1] - 166:16
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14, 382:3, 388:23 Federal [1] - 1:21	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18, 223:21, 226:16, 226:19, 235:15,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1, 146:6, 155:1, 155:7, 155:13, 166:6,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9 Floor [1] - 3:12 Florio [3] - 8:9, 246:16	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 390:4 foreign [1] - 166:16 foremost [2] - 337:2,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14, 382:3, 388:23 Federal [1] - 1:21 feedback [2] - 73:23,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18, 223:21, 226:16, 226:19, 235:15, 270:1, 289:10, 289:11, 289:23,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1, 146:6, 155:1, 155:7, 155:13, 166:6, 167:12, 168:21,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9 Floor [1] - 3:12 Florio [3] - 8:9, 246:16 FLORIO [7] - 2:20,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 390:4 foreign [1] - 166:16 foremost [2] - 337:2, 342:18
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14, 382:3, 388:23 Federal [1] - 1:21 feedback [2] - 73:23, 175:23	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18, 223:21, 226:16, 226:19, 235:15, 270:1, 289:10,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1, 146:6, 155:1, 155:7, 155:13, 166:6, 167:12, 168:21, 168:23, 169:7,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9 Floor [1] - 3:12 Florio [3] - 8:9, 246:16 FLORIO [7] - 2:20, 2:20, 4:9, 8:8,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 390:4 foreign [1] - 166:16 foremost [2] - 337:2, 342:18 forget [1] - 338:7
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14, 382:3, 388:23 Federal [1] - 1:21 feedback [2] - 73:23, 175:23 felt [12] - 136:7,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18, 223:21, 226:16, 226:19, 235:15, 270:1, 289:10, 289:11, 289:23, 293:21, 314:24,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1, 146:6, 155:1, 155:7, 155:13, 166:6, 167:12, 168:21, 168:23, 169:7, 171:10, 171:20,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9 Floor [1] - 3:12 Florio [3] - 8:9, 246:16 FLORIO [7] - 2:20, 2:20, 4:9, 8:8, 246:11, 246:16,	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 390:4 foreign [1] - 166:16 foremost [2] - 337:2, 342:18 forget [1] - 338:7 forgot [1] - 365:4
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14, 382:3, 388:23 Federal [1] - 1:21 feedback [2] - 73:23, 175:23 felt [12] - 136:7, 171:15, 185:6,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18, 223:21, 226:16, 226:19, 235:15, 270:1, 289:10, 289:11, 289:23, 293:21, 314:24, 323:22, 324:7,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1, 146:6, 155:1, 155:7, 155:13, 166:6, 167:12, 168:21, 168:23, 169:7, 171:10, 171:20, 179:21, 180:1,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9 Floor [1] - 3:12 Florio [3] - 8:9, 246:16 FLORIO [7] - 2:20, 2:20, 4:9, 8:8, 246:11, 246:16, 246:18	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 390:4 foreign [1] - 166:16 foremost [2] - 337:2, 342:18 forget [1] - 338:7 forgot [1] - 365:4 form [10] - 35:17, 94:6,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14, 382:3, 388:23 Federal [1] - 1:21 feedback [2] - 73:23, 175:23 felt [12] - 136:7, 171:15, 185:6, 186:19, 186:24,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18, 223:21, 226:16, 226:19, 235:15, 270:1, 289:10, 289:11, 289:23, 293:21, 314:24, 323:22, 324:7, 328:10, 353:12,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1, 146:6, 155:1, 155:7, 155:13, 166:6, 167:12, 168:21, 168:23, 169:7, 171:10, 171:20, 179:21, 180:1, 182:8, 186:5,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9 Floor [1] - 3:12 Florio [3] - 8:9, 246:16 FLORIO [7] - 2:20, 2:20, 4:9, 8:8, 246:11, 246:16, 246:18 flow [1] - 44:2	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 300:4 foreign [1] - 166:16 foremost [2] - 337:2, 342:18 forget [1] - 338:7 forgot [1] - 365:4 form [10] - 35:17, 94:6, 107:23, 155:14,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14, 382:3, 388:23 Federal [1] - 1:21 feedback [2] - 73:23, 175:23 felt [12] - 136:7, 171:15, 185:6, 186:19, 186:24, 191:3, 195:25,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18, 223:21, 226:16, 226:19, 235:15, 270:1, 289:10, 289:11, 289:23, 293:21, 314:24, 323:22, 324:7, 328:10, 353:12, 362:5, 382:18,	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1, 146:6, 155:1, 155:7, 155:13, 166:6, 167:12, 168:21, 168:23, 169:7, 171:10, 171:20, 179:21, 180:1, 182:8, 186:5, 189:10, 190:6,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9 Floor [1] - 3:12 Florio [3] - 8:9, 246:16 FLORIO [7] - 2:20, 2:20, 4:9, 8:8, 246:11, 246:16, 246:18 flow [1] - 44:2 fly [1] - 305:15	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 390:4 foreign [1] - 166:16 foremost [2] - 337:2, 342:18 forget [1] - 338:7 forgot [1] - 365:4 form [10] - 35:17, 94:6, 107:23, 155:14, 179:1, 187:1,
51:3, 190:10, 190:12, 190:14, 192:2, 192:15, 192:23, 193:2, 194:5, 195:14, 196:11, 222:13, 224:21, 226:11, 226:25, 232:7, 232:16, 386:13 FEDERAL [1] - 390:1 federal [26] - 11:25, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 74:4, 74:10, 85:25, 128:14, 128:19, 128:20, 130:17, 132:6, 132:7, 166:15, 176:19, 179:18, 317:4, 343:12, 344:5, 346:12, 346:14, 382:3, 388:23 Federal [1] - 1:21 feedback [2] - 73:23, 175:23 felt [12] - 136:7, 171:15, 185:6, 186:19, 186:24,	36:24, 37:2, 43:20, 50:6, 79:8, 138:15, 161:5, 207:19, 221:3, 337:24, 349:8, 382:7, 387:10, 387:12 filed [48] - 11:13, 16:1, 18:2, 18:3, 23:5, 23:6, 26:22, 27:14, 27:18, 27:25, 28:6, 28:23, 29:20, 29:21, 48:1, 51:23, 55:24, 70:14, 118:20, 118:24, 120:5, 166:17, 206:4, 207:11, 207:21, 208:4, 209:21, 213:18, 216:24, 223:12, 223:18, 223:21, 226:16, 226:19, 235:15, 270:1, 289:10, 289:11, 289:23, 293:21, 314:24, 323:22, 324:7, 328:10, 353:12, 362:5, 382:18, 384:13	fingerties [1] - 345:15 finish [7] - 217:9, 260:6, 327:6, 327:7, 330:19, 332:5, 332:6 finite [1] - 161:11 fire [5] - 12:4, 20:25, 30:3, 127:15, 383:17 firm [3] - 9:8, 125:2, 202:9 firms [1] - 216:16 first [93] - 10:21, 14:8, 15:10, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 22:14, 22:17, 25:5, 43:7, 45:2, 48:1, 48:7, 57:25, 61:4, 63:3, 64:12, 67:4, 74:12, 105:1, 123:21, 124:3, 124:19, 137:14, 145:18, 146:1, 146:6, 155:1, 155:7, 155:13, 166:6, 167:12, 168:21, 168:23, 169:7, 171:10, 171:20, 179:21, 180:1, 182:8, 186:5,	2:23 Fitzpatrick [1] - 9:23 five [15] - 18:24, 19:2, 20:10, 22:25, 77:14, 84:1, 110:18, 162:15, 225:4, 306:11, 310:12, 347:2, 364:11, 371:15, 388:20 flash [3] - 356:16, 356:17 Flavio [4] - 7:2, 91:18, 159:10, 159:12 FLAVIO [1] - 1:19 fleet [1] - 340:10 flexibility [2] - 37:25, 300:22 flexibly [1] - 303:1 floating [1] - 67:8 floor [1] - 24:9 Floor [1] - 3:12 Florio [3] - 8:9, 246:16 FLORIO [7] - 2:20, 2:20, 4:9, 8:8, 246:11, 246:16, 246:18 flow [1] - 44:2	follow-up [2] - 138:1, 316:16 followed [1] - 276:1 following [2] - 43:1, 49:14 FOLLOWS [7] - 72:25, 165:17, 251:2, 285:21, 310:18, 336:4, 375:16 foot [1] - 369:21 footnote [1] - 227:16 FOR [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5 force [2] - 48:12, 116:5 forced [8] - 171:6, 183:13, 184:13, 203:1, 237:23, 238:3, 238:5, 238:20 foregoing [1] - 300:4 foreign [1] - 166:16 foremost [2] - 337:2, 342:18 forget [1] - 338:7 forgot [1] - 365:4 form [10] - 35:17, 94:6, 107:23, 155:14,

277:18	108:1	278:10	32:21, 45:19, 53:8,	320:9, 338:19,
formal [7] - 13:23,	four [45] - 46:25,	friends [1] - 46:1	77:18, 82:20, 82:21,	338:20, 338:22,
183:9, 190:11,	53:12, 62:12, 62:13,	front [5] - 19:1, 62:10,	107:25, 153:3,	339:6
215:10, 215:18,	63:4, 77:14, 97:20,		155:7, 162:16,	geographically [1] -
		179:23, 377:11, 270:25	267:2, 279:1, 293:8,	338:15
232:12, 232:14	99:19, 99:20, 99:23, 00:24, 100:1, 102:7	379:25	299:10, 348:8,	
formality [1] - 187:1	99:24, 100:1, 102:7,	frustrating [1] - 327:3		Gibbs [4] - 318:7,
formally [5] - 213:12,	106:12, 110:18,	full [13] - 165:20,	351:4, 365:15	318:10, 318:14,
213:14, 214:22,	110:20, 110:21,	174:13, 174:19,	general's [8] - 15:1,	318:18
216:12	110:22, 115:22,	239:19, 239:23,	15:4, 15:19, 16:8,	Gibbs/Richter [2] -
format [42] - 82:9,	148:21, 148:24,	240:1, 242:7, 243:6,	17:11, 17:22, 33:1,	321:6, 322:13
83:24, 92:13, 92:19,	148:25, 149:1,	245:25, 252:15,	88:7	GIBLIN [1] - 2:23
93:1, 93:6, 93:10,	149:18, 150:23,	286:3, 324:24	generalities [1] -	GIORDANO [1] - 1:7
93:14, 93:18, 94:3,	152:1, 154:20,	full-time [2] - 240:1,	107:19	Giordano [1] - 2:8
94:5, 94:7, 94:14,	179:18, 212:21,	324:24	generalized [1] -	given [36] - 83:24,
96:16, 98:14, 98:22,	231:14, 259:11,	fully [1] - 208:25	143:17	84:6, 100:8, 116:20,
99:14, 99:16, 100:3,	259:12, 259:19, 261:1, 261:24	fumbling [1] - 308:25	generally [15] - 94:10,	135:1, 139:25,
101:16, 102:9,	261:1, 261:24,	function [4] - 12:19,	97:18, 101:19,	141:15, 141:19,
105:12, 117:22,	300:10, 307:3,	137:21, 251:13,	128:16, 130:16,	143:7, 143:12,
118:7, 269:11,	310:1, 314:12,	337:9	131:10, 137:10,	143:14, 149:15,
270:23, 271:22,	322:14, 374:20	functional [1] - 34:24	138:11, 140:11,	154:5, 158:16,
272:20, 272:23,	four-time [1] - 179:18	functions [3] - 78:20,	143:12, 149:4,	160:15, 167:14,
295:7, 342:19,	four-week [2] - 261:1,	81:3, 81:5	151:24, 266:20,	174:20, 176:4,
348:23, 351:5,	261:24	fundamental [1] -	289:1, 349:10	180:18, 184:20,
353:5, 355:19,	fourth [2] - 176:18,	168:21	generate [1] - 138:15	191:1, 217:16,
359:2, 359:15,	260:2	fundamentally [2] -	generated [3] - 79:5,	226:23, 237:13,
362:22, 362:23,	frame [8] - 36:14,	160:3, 176:25	97:16, 138:17	242:3, 299:11,
372:6, 372:12,	142:22, 143:8,	fundraisers [2] -	generation [1] - 81:21	305:18, 356:10,
372:15	143:11, 235:6,	175:13, 324:11	Genova [18] - 7:9,	356:18, 361:7,
formats [6] - 98:21,	235:7, 363:2, 364:16	fundraising [9] -	7:14, 7:16, 8:18,	364:16, 366:2,
98:23, 99:14, 99:15, 100:25, 301:1	frames [1] - 153:5	169:6, 169:23,	9:10, 9:11, 10:10,	366:23, 368:3,
109:25, 301:1 formatting [1] -	framework [7] -	172:8, 174.23,	10:12, 10:13, 13:1,	384:6, 387:10
348:11	348:19, 348:21,	174:25, 175:25,	14:7, 19:5, 28:23,	glasses [1] - 267:18
formed [1] - 360:7	363:10, 363:23,	176:8, 179:21,	39:18, 45:23, 57:10, 88:7	glossing [3] - 239:22,
former [2] - 263:13,	364:6, 364:9, 365:19 Francisco [1] - 331:6	179:23		240:6, 240:9
318:18	Frank [3] - 182:9,	Tunds [2] - 313:6,	GENOVA [70] - 2:12, 2:13, 7:7, 8:17,	Gloucester [2] - 7:12, 320:14
formidable [1] -	263:14, 319:22	382:8	11:14, 12:13, 12:18,	GOLDBERG [4] - 3:8,
383:21	frankly [1] - 71:9	furnished [2] - 286:6,	13:12, 13:14, 13:18,	
formulating [1] -	free [7] - 30:19	286:7	13:21, 14:3, 15:14,	8:1, 54:11, 366:21 Goldberg [2] - 8:2,
163:15		fusion [1] - 126:20	16:2, 16:9, 16:21,	••••
Forte [1] - 334:1	121:17, 121:19, 165:21, 236:18,		19:2, 19:8, 19:13,	366:22
forth [12] - 28:16,	251:22, 288:1	G	19:16, 24:23, 25:11,	Goldman [1] - 9:13 GOLDMAN [1] - 2:9
82:2, 117:14,	freedom [3] - 60:14,	agin 101 - 202-15	29:4, 29:6, 39:20,	
135:14, 136:1,	184:10, 184:11	gain [2] - 203:15, 234:2	39:25, 40:8, 41:6,	golum [1] - 9:12 GOLUM [2] - 2:10,
148:6, 154:4, 254:3,	Freehold [1] - 342:25	gaining [2] - 185:7,	41:8, 42:9, 42:15,	
350:7, 354:15,	freeholder [3] -	215:6	42:18, 42:20, 44:1,	9:12 Gordon (4) - 9:12
354:18, 382:21	318:19, 319:11,	gallery [6] - 120:21,	44:24, 45:6, 45:12,	Gordon [1] - 9:12
forthcoming [1] -	319:17	121:10, 121:16,	45:14, 45:16, 45:18,	GORDON [1] - 2:10
168:4	freely [3] - 51:4,	121:10, 121:10, 121:10, 121:20; 121:20; 121:20; 121:20; 121:20; 121:20; 121:20; 121:20; 121:20; 121:20;	45:24, 47:9, 48:3,	governing [1] - 51:9
fortunately [1] -	209:16, 211:5	235:25	48:14, 49:2, 49:25,	Government [1] - 32:13
336:23	Friday [15] - 17:9,	game [1] - 88:16	50:8, 50:16, 51:7,	32.13 government [7] -
forward [9] - 34:18,	17:22, 18:17, 18:22,	gate [2] - 10:20,	51:10, 51:16, 53:6,	18:11, 125:9, 128:2,
64:22, 73:4, 94:17,	21:21, 26:5, 29:7,	122:17	54:6, 54:9, 54:15,	136:11, 166:16,
195:11, 205:22,	29:19, 71:1, 75:5,	gather [1] - 201:7	54:25, 55:5, 55:7,	252:8, 343:20
215:17, 333:19,	119:5, 377:25,	gathering [1] - 353:10	56:3, 56:22, 57:4,	governments [3] -
376:14	378:7, 381:12, 387:8	gauge [1] - 24:14	57:16, 57:25, 87:12,	152:16, 256:9,
foundation [5] -	friend [1] - 42:4	gears [1] - 174:4	235:24, 236:4,	256:19
92:19, 99:8, 262:9,	friendliness [2] -	general [24] - 14:10,	368:6, 368:9,	governor [4] - 81:18,
266:5, 353:24	277:15, 278:6	14:18, 15:17, 15:22,	368:14, 371:12	84:1, 155:6, 291:12
foundational [1] -	friendly [2] - 277:13,	16:23, 17:1, 21:20,	geographic [5] -	grandfather [1] -
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		U and an extension [11]

252:19	145:6, 154:2, 276:1,		harder [2] - 37:12,	129:16, 129:17,
grant [13] - 11:18,	303:3, 361:20	н	38:8	141:18, 199:10,
12:9, 13:3, 13:10,	Grossi [2] - 3:7, 96:9	H-A-N-L-O-N [1] -	hardship [1] - 53:23	201:9, 268:22,
13:17, 34:13, 36:14,	ground [1] - 40:16	336:7	hardware [1] - 302:19	270:17, 279:10,
36:16, 38:15, 39:8,	group [3] - 231:16,	Haddon [1] - 2:18	harm [28] - 21:2, 21:7,	295:4, 351:6,
48:17, 54:17, 62:4	231:18, 231:21	Haddonfield [1] - 3:3	21:8, 53:20, 55:17,	351:24, 360:9,
granted [4] - 12:8,	Group [4] - 126:3,		55:19, 55:20, 55:21,	374:21
59:25, 60:3, 263:23	•	half [13] - 54:23,		heard [21] - 42:3, 48:1,
grants [1] - 83:1	126:5, 126:8, 126:12 grouping [1] - 349:2	151:14, 151:15,	184:1, 185:20, 180:17, 100:24	155:18, 199:23,
graphic [1] - 356:11	• • • •	151:20, 152:15,	189:17, 190:24,	201:9, 208:15,
	groups [1] - 202:13	177:11, 216:20,	196:15, 199:21, 207:22, 215:10,	217:11, 230:15,
grave [1] - 363:1	guarantee [2] - 209:3,	260:8, 306:19,	, ,	244:21, 280:14,
great [6] - 174:3,	209:6	306:20, 309:21,	215:24, 237:7,	288:7, 288:8,
181:18, 228:23,	guaranteed [4] -	343:6	239:21, 241:3, 241:4, 242:11,	288:17, 295:10,
237:1, 363:8, 367:18	60:11, 63:14, 63:15,	hand [15] - 28:24,	241.4, 242.11, 242:21,	309:18, 350:3,
greater [1] - 209:3	65:22	72:23, 102:6, 102:8,	242:12, 242:21, 242:21, 242:22, 243:3, 369:2	352:1, 352:12,
greet [1] - 175:12	guarantees [1] - 65:2	102:24, 102:25, 134:15, 154:3	harmed [2] - 33:14,	360:24, 361:1
grenade [1] - 15:18	gubernatorial [4] -	134:15, 154:3, 170:6, 181:10	61:7	hearing [29] - 6:9,
grid [55] - 94:3, 94:5,	63:2, 82:19, 82:20,	179:6, 181:19, 244:5, 260:22	harmonized [1] -	11:20, 15:2, 15:3,
96:3, 96:6, 97:15,	83:25	244:5, 260:22, 280:20, 337:12	349:19	20:14, 23:17, 23:18,
97:18, 101:3, 101:5,	guess [12] - 14:25,	289:20, 337:12, 364:25	549.19 harms [1] - 58.22	23:19, 24:8, 26:22,
101:7, 101:9,	25:4, 27:7, 198:12,	364:25 hand-counting [1] -	Harrisburg [1] -	47:6, 49:5, 50:2,
101:14, 101:17,	201:13, 207:7,	• • •		69:2, 69:18, 71:15,
101:19, 102:3, 102:6, 102:7	209:2, 238:8,	364:25	288:12 HARFISON (2) - 2:5	72:12, 72:13, 73:23,
102:6, 102:7, 102:24, 102:25,	262:18, 275:9, 304:8, 304:9	hand-match [1] - 260:22	HAFR!SON [2] - 2:5, 2:14	87:22, 90:1, 175:23,
			Harrison [5] - 8:25,	200:15, 250:4,
103:24, 105:5,	guidance [4] - 126:25,	handle [5] - 108:2,		369:17, 376:14,
106:4, 106:10,	128:16, 139:17,	111:25, 112:1,	9:3, 10:12, 157:5, 287:23	379:1, 379:3
106:11, 106:22, 108:23, 109:19,	364:1	112:4, 340:16 Handle [1] - 286.19	hate [1] - 369:13	HEARING [1] - 1:7
110:10, 110:17,	guide [12] - 127:9,		HAVING [7] - 72:24,	hearings [1] - 13:2
112:16, 112:19,	142:5, 142:9,	handles [2] 337:24, 342:8	165:16, 251:1,	hearsay [7] - 89:16,
115:25, 116:12,	142:11, 142:14,	$\langle \rangle$	285:20, 310:17,	89:23, 187:13,
117:20, 117:21,	142:18, 142:19, 142:21, 348:7, 364:8	handling [2] - 51:15, 68.11	336:3, 375:15	187:21, 360:6,
144:18, 144:22,		hands [1] - 25:24	hazard [1] - 30:3	360:8, 360:18
153:20, 154:3,	guideline [1] - 348:4 guidelines [1] -	\sim	hazards [1] - 127:13	heavier [1] - 53:13
155:21, 272:5,	349:24	Hanlon [23] - 2:8, 6:8, 9:5, 40:19, 156:15,	head [4] - 20:3,	heavily [1] - 46:15
293:2, 293:4, 293:7,	guides [1] - 349:7	9.5, 40.19, 156.15, 157:6, 218:22,	102:16, 104:11,	held [10] - 6:1, 50:1,
293:8, 294:5, 295:2,	guides [1] - 349.7 guy [1] - 248:23		211:3	50:5, 73:15, 218:8,
295:5, 295:14,		307:14, 335:1, 335:7, 335:14,	headed [1] - 291:8	218:12, 254:4,
296:4, 297:20,	guys [42] - 19:22,			269:4, 319:5, 336:20
300:7, 300:8,	28:9, 28:10, 28:18, 30:12, 50:0, 68:25	336:7, 336:17, 351:24, 367:15	heading [1] - 381:5	help [9] - 127:18,
300:16, 351:3, 361:1	39:13, 59:9, 68:25, 69:16, 95:15, 97:11	351:24, 367:15, 368:22, 369:5,	headlights [1] - 199:7	128:24, 195:10,
grid-based [31] - 94:3,	69:16, 95:15, 97:11, 120:24, 122:11,	370:4, 370:9,	headset [4] - 72:14, 72:15, 72:16, 72:20	217:19, 217:20,
94:5, 96:3, 97:15,	120.24, 122.11, 124:6, 129:7, 156:3,	370:16, 372:3,		225:9, 225:12,
97:18, 101:3, 101:5,	124.0, 129.7, 150.3, 156:6, 193:10,	372:18, 375:4	hear [61] - 10:18, 18:21, 21:21, 31:17,	322:9, 378:16
101:7, 101:9,	199:6, 199:14,	HANLON [3] - 1:7,	18:21, 21:21, 31:17, 33:6, 35:25, 36:16,	Help [1] - 74:2
101:14, 101:17,	227:6, 228:22,	4:16, 336:3	39:15, 39:23, 40:6,	helped [6] - 139:16,
101:19, 102:3,	232:22, 235:25,	happenstance [1] -	40:8, 40:19, 46:12,	142:5, 142:11,
102:6, 102:24,	236:10, 247:4,	52:7	40.8, 40.19, 40.12, 47:2, 47:10, 50:15,	142:15, 205:21,
102:25, 103:24,	247:7, 263:18,	happy [15] - 10:18,	50:16, 56:12, 57:4,	322:8
105:5, 106:4,	282:21, 285:7,	19:24, 20:9, 28:24,	57:5, 58:23, 58:24,	helpful [4] - 73:5,
106:10, 106:11,	287:18, 291:5,	47:10, 59:23, 69:19,	58:25, 59:24, 65:7,	226:12, 226:18,
106:22, 108:23,	307:5, 307:11,	69:20, 91:8, 265:20,	69:19, 72:7, 72:8,	345:21
109:19, 110:10,	308:24, 309:7,	309:13, 322:15,	72:10, 72:11, 72:16,	helping [1] - 362:18
110:17, 112:19,	309:12, 309:22,	345:5, 388:1, 388:20	72:18, 87:17, 95:20,	helps [1] - 76:2
115:25, 116:12,	309:23, 368:18,	hard [11] - 19:25, 24:4,	99:4, 109:13,	hesitate [1] - 119:1
117:20, 117:21	369:16, 375:10,	37:3, 37:18, 38:1,	121:22, 122:5,	Hi [2] - 159:10, 332:19
grid-layout [2] -	387:12	38:3, 38:4, 295:25,	123:10, 123:13,	hi [2] - 72:7, 311:8
144:18, 144:22		329:25, 387:14,	123:14, 123:22,	hide [1] - 382:19
grids [6] - 144:23,		389:4	123:23, 124:6,	high [12] - 30:18,
			, -,	

35:13, 189:20,	29:6, 29:21, 29:24,	250:22, 251:12,	383:17	88:21, 89:4, 155:21,
189:22, 191:9,	30:12, 31:9, 31:12,	251:21, 262:11,	House [8] - 172:16,	157:3, 190:23,
191:10, 195:1,	33:3, 33:6, 33:24,	262:24, 264:13,	172:17, 174:14,	211:10, 245:16,
196:19, 217:3,	34:10, 35:25, 36:4,	267:8, 269:24,	183:19, 220:25,	245:17, 245:18,
217:6, 217:8, 217:17	38:14, 39:16, 39:21,	270:8, 271:12,	244:19, 245:20,	246:4
highlight [2] - 285:9,	40:14, 41:6, 41:8,	278:17, 280:14,	315:17	ideologies [1] - 60:20
345:24	41:9, 42:18, 43:3,	281:11, 281:13,	housekeeping [10] -	ignored [2] - 66:1,
highlighted [2] -	44:20, 45:6, 45:18,	283:8, 284:17,	6:10, 10:17, 19:7,	66:14
103:12, 319:15	45:25, 46:8, 46:15,	284:20, 284:21,	23:3, 24:11, 26:15,	II [1] - 2:9
highlights [1] - 35:9	47:9, 48:3, 49:2,	286:10, 287:20,	30:10, 119:22,	illustrate [1] - 328:6
highly [3] - 23:15,	49:6, 49:11, 50:8,	290:1, 290:4,	120:12, 122:1	image [1] - 103:18
30:23, 309:14	51:2, 51:10, 51:25,	290:15, 291:1,	houses [1] - 335:5	imagine [3] - 173:4,
Highway [1] - 3:17	52:10, 54:12, 55:5,	296:2, 297:16,	HOWARD [1] - 3:8	226:11, 245:25
Hill [2] - 3:3, 102:20	55:12, 56:22, 58:9,	303:6, 303:16,	Howard [2] - 8:2,	immediate [1] -
hire [1] - 174:16	59:1, 59:5, 60:1,	304:21, 305:25,	366:22	259:21
hired [9] - 125:11,	60:4, 60:8, 62:10,	306:18, 306:22,	Hudson [10] - 2:22,	immediately [6] -
125:19, 225:24,	66:22, 67:5, 67:6,	307:25, 308:6,	8:10, 93:8, 246:16,	174:13, 174:18,
376:4, 379:2, 379:3,	67:11, 67:14, 67:16,	309:5, 309:13,	255:10, 271:4,	174:22, 174:24,
379:15, 379:20,	68:14, 68:19, 69:10,	309:24, 310:3,	280:17, 280:19,	260:2, 319:7
380:1	69:20, 70:13, 70:18,	310:14, 310:23,	280:20, 281:3	impact [24] - 16:10,
hiring [3] - 175:24,	70:20, 70:22, 71:6,	314:3, 334:7, 334:9,	huge [2] - 340.8,	25:20, 82:24, 100:3,
176:15, 225:22	71:11, 71:16, 71:25,	335:17, 336:10,	341:4	151:20, 169:15,
historical [2] - 35:16,	72:11, 75:2, 75:9,	344:7, 351:15,	human [1] - 306:20	172:22, 192:12,
191:11	79:13, 79:16, 83:6,	351:17, 351:22,	hundred [7] - 43:19,	314:8, 314:10,
history [3] - 42:24,	83:15, 84:9, 86:18,	360:22, 366:9,	57:9, 57:14, 58:18,	314:13, 316:10,
43:18, 322:7	87:3, 87:13, 88:1,	367:16, 367:17,	257:5, 261:4, 283:5	316:14, 316:18,
hit [2] - 198:4, 256:14	88:10, 88:11, 88:18,	367:20, 368:9,	hundreds [1] - 184:19	316:24, 317:4,
Hogan [1] - 9:24	89:16, 89:20, 89:24,	368:20, 368:25, 🚫	Hunterdon [3] - 7:12,	325:18, 326:5,
hold [12] - 16:6, 90:12,	90:23, 92:18, 92:20,	369:6, 371:12,	324:4, 324:19	328:19, 354:1,
175:12, 180:8,	95:12, 95:13, 95:23,	379:16, 379:19,	hurry [1] - 52:9	357:25, 358:20,
194:8, 234:24,	96:20, 96:21, 99:9,	380:2, 386,7, 387:3,		
			hurt [1] - 186:25	330.25, 339.4
	104:13, 105:20,	387:10, 388:2,	hurt [1] - 186:25 hurting [1] - 66:4	358:25, 359:4 impacted [4] - 315:22,
242:16, 287:11,		387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19	hurting [1] - 66:4	impacted [4] - 315:22,
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13,	387:10, 388:2,	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] -	
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18,	387:16, 588:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] -	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15,	387:16, 588:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16,	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] -	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14,
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20,	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6,	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] -	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] -	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6,
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:17, 120:20,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] -	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:17, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6,
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20,	<pre>impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3</pre>
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25,	<pre>impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] -</pre>
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14,	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] -
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25,	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 119:9, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25, 371:10	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] -
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 119:9, 119:2, 119:15, 119:2, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25, 371:10 horizontally [1] -	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3	<pre>impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23,</pre>
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:20, 9:7,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25, 371:10 horizontally [1] - 84:22	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] -	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12
$242:16, 287:11, \\317:7, 368:18, \\368:24, 375:25 \\ \mbox{holistically [1] - } \\132:12 \\ \mbox{Holly [1] - 111:9 } \\ \mbox{home [1] - 332:1 } \\ \mbox{Homeland [3] - } \\125:15, 125:21, \\126:13 \\ \mbox{honest [2] - 90:13, } \\266:12 \\ \mbox{honestly [1] - 265:14 } \\ \mbox{Honor [272] - 6:13, } \\6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, \\7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5, \\8:8, 8:12, 8:20, 9:7, \\9:18, 9:22, 10:4, \\ \end{tabular}$	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25, 371:10 horizontally [1] - 84:22 host [1] - 29:14	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] -
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:20, 9:7, 9:18, 9:22, 10:4, 10:7, 11:14, 12:13,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:15, 119:2, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9, 199:16, 199:17,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25, 371:10 horizontally [1] - 84:22 host [1] - 29:14 hot [1] - 48:9	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9 identified [5] - 63:23,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] - 127:8
$\begin{array}{c} 242:16,\ 287:11,\\ 317:7,\ 368:18,\\ 368:24,\ 375:25\\ \hline \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9, 199:16, 199:17, 200:1, 202:5,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25, 371:10 horizontally [1] - 84:22 host [1] - 29:14 hot [1] - 48:9 hotly [1] - 56:16	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9 identified [5] - 63:23, 65:17, 124:10, 194:16 identifier [1] - 63:23	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] - 127:8 implicated [1] -
$\begin{array}{c} 242:16,\ 287:11,\\ 317:7,\ 368:18,\\ 368:24,\ 375:25\\ \hline \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9, 199:16, 199:17, 200:1, 202:5, 220:22, 227:7,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25, 371:10 horizontally [1] - 84:22 host [1] - 29:14 hot [1] - 48:9 hotly [1] - 56:16 hour [7] - 49:18, 68:5,	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9 identified [5] - 63:23, 65:17, 124:10, 194:16	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] - 127:8 implicated [1] - 130:13
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:20, 9:7, 9:18, 9:22, 10:4, 10:7, 11:14, 12:13, 12:18, 12:24, 13:18, 15:14, 15:19, 16:2, 16:13, 16:21, 17:24,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 119:15, 119:22, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9, 199:16, 199:17, 200:1, 202:5, 220:22, 227:7, 231:25, 236:4,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25, 371:10 horizontally [1] - 84:22 host [1] - 29:14 hot [1] - 48:9 hotly [1] - 56:16 hour [7] - 49:18, 68:5, 68:20, 77:4, 306:15,	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9 identified [5] - 63:23, 65:17, 124:10, 194:16 identifier [1] - 63:23	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] - 127:8 implicated [1] - 130:13 implication [1] - 20:23
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:20, 9:7, 9:18, 9:22, 10:4, 10:7, 11:14, 12:13, 12:18, 12:24, 13:18, 15:14, 15:19, 16:2, 16:13, 16:21, 17:24, 19:2, 19:8, 19:11,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9, 199:16, 199:17, 200:1, 202:5, 220:22, 227:7, 231:25, 236:4, 239:2, 241:15,	387:16, 388:2, 388:5, 388:19 honor [2] - 157:25, 162:13 Honor's [8] - 23:16, 28:25, 29:12, 46:20, 60:6, 85:11, 244:6, 388:14 HONORABLE [1] - 1:16 Honorable [1] - 6:1 Hooper [1] - 3:20 hope [1] - 180:19 hopefully [3] - 10:14, 338:7, 367:10 hoping [2] - 315:25, 371:10 horizontally [1] - 84:22 host [1] - 29:14 hot [1] - 48:9 hotly [1] - 56:16 hour [7] - 49:18, 68:5, 68:20, 77:4, 306:15, 307:9, 314:23	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9 identified [5] - 63:23, 65:17, 124:10, 194:16 identifier [1] - 63:23 identifiers [2] - 61:20,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] - 127:8 implicated [1] - 130:13 implication [1] - 20:23 import [2] - 82:10,
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:20, 9:7, 9:18, 9:22, 10:4, 10:7, 11:14, 12:13, 12:18, 12:24, 13:18, 15:14, 15:19, 16:2, 16:13, 16:21, 17:24, 19:2, 19:8, 19:11, 23:2, 23:10, 23:14,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9, 199:16, 199:17, 200:1, 202:5, 220:22, 227:7, 231:25, 236:4, 239:2, 241:15, 242:14, 243:21,	$\begin{array}{c} 387:16, 388:2,\\ 388:5, 388:19\\ \textbf{honor}[2] - 157:25,\\ 162:13\\ \textbf{Honor's}[8] - 23:16,\\ 28:25, 29:12, 46:20,\\ 60:6, 85:11, 244:6,\\ 388:14\\ \textbf{HONORABLE}[1] -\\ 1:16\\ \textbf{Honorable}[1] - 6:1\\ \textbf{Honorable}[1] - 6:1\\ \textbf{Hooper}[1] - 3:20\\ \textbf{hope}[1] - 180:19\\ \textbf{hopefully}[3] - 10:14,\\ 338:7, 367:10\\ \textbf{hoping}[2] - 315:25,\\ 371:10\\ \textbf{horizontally}[1] -\\ 84:22\\ \textbf{host}[1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{hot}[1] - 48:9\\ \textbf{hotly}[1] - 56:16\\ \textbf{hour}[7] - 49:18, 68:5,\\ 68:20, 77:4, 306:15,\\ 307:9, 314:23\\ \textbf{hours}[3] - 37:7,\\ \end{array}$	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9 identified [5] - 63:23, 65:17, 124:10, 194:16 identifier [1] - 63:23 identifiers [2] - 61:20, 61:22 identifies [4] - 127:10, 127:14, 127:16,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] - 127:8 implicated [1] - 130:13 implication [1] - 20:23 import [2] - 82:10, 110:17
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:20, 9:7, 9:18, 9:22, 10:4, 10:7, 11:14, 12:13, 12:18, 12:24, 13:18, 15:14, 15:19, 16:2, 16:13, 16:21, 17:24, 19:2, 19:8, 19:11, 23:2, 23:10, 23:14, 23:23, 24:13, 24:18,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9, 199:16, 199:17, 200:1, 202:5, 220:22, 227:7, 231:25, 236:4, 239:2, 241:15, 242:14, 243:21, 243:25, 244:5,	$\begin{array}{c} 387:16, 388:2,\\ 388:5, 388:19\\ \textbf{honor}[2] - 157:25,\\ 162:13\\ \textbf{Honor's}[8] - 23:16,\\ 28:25, 29:12, 46:20,\\ 60:6, 85:11, 244:6,\\ 388:14\\ \textbf{HONORABLE}[1] -\\ 1:16\\ \textbf{Honorable}[1] - 6:1\\ \textbf{Honorable}[1] - 6:1\\ \textbf{Hooper}[1] - 3:20\\ \textbf{hope}[1] - 180:19\\ \textbf{hopefully}[3] - 10:14,\\ 338:7, 367:10\\ \textbf{hoping}[2] - 315:25,\\ 371:10\\ \textbf{horizontally}[1] -\\ 84:22\\ \textbf{host}[1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{hot}[1] - 48:9\\ \textbf{hotly}[1] - 56:16\\ \textbf{hour}[7] - 49:18, 68:5,\\ 68:20, 77:4, 306:15,\\ 307:9, 314:23\\ \textbf{hours}[3] - 37:7,\\ 309:20, 343:22\\ \end{array}$	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9 identified [5] - 63:23, 65:17, 124:10, 194:16 identifier [1] - 63:23 identifiers [2] - 61:20, 61:22 identifies [4] - 127:10,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] - 127:8 implicated [1] - 130:13 implication [1] - 20:23 import [2] - 82:10, 110:17 importance [1] - 22:4
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:20, 9:7, 9:18, 9:22, 10:4, 10:7, 11:14, 12:13, 12:18, 12:24, 13:18, 15:14, 15:19, 16:2, 16:13, 16:21, 17:24, 19:2, 19:8, 19:11, 23:2, 23:10, 23:14, 23:23, 24:13, 24:18, 25:1, 25:13, 26:18,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 120:17, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9, 199:16, 199:17, 200:1, 202:5, 220:22, 227:7, 231:25, 236:4, 239:2, 241:15, 242:14, 243:21, 243:25, 244:5, 247:5, 247:15,	$\begin{array}{c} 387:16, 388:2,\\ 388:5, 388:19\\ \textbf{honor}[2] - 157:25,\\ 162:13\\ \textbf{Honor's}[8] - 23:16,\\ 28:25, 29:12, 46:20,\\ 60:6, 85:11, 244:6,\\ 388:14\\ \textbf{HONORABLE}[1] -\\ 1:16\\ \textbf{Honorable}[1] - 6:1\\ \textbf{Hooper}[1] - 3:20\\ \textbf{hope}[1] - 180:19\\ \textbf{hopefully}[3] - 10:14,\\ 338:7, 367:10\\ \textbf{hoping}[2] - 315:25,\\ 371:10\\ \textbf{horizontally}[1] -\\ 84:22\\ \textbf{host}[1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{hot}[1] - 48:9\\ \textbf{hotly}[1] - 56:16\\ \textbf{hour}[7] - 49:18, 68:5,\\ 68:20, 77:4, 306:15,\\ 307:9, 314:23\\ \textbf{hours}[3] - 37:7,\\ 309:20, 343:22\\ \textbf{house}[5] - 12:5, 21:1,\\ \end{array}$	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9 identified [5] - 63:23, 65:17, 124:10, 194:16 identifier [1] - 63:23 identifiers [2] - 61:20, 61:22 identifies [4] - 127:10, 127:14, 127:16,	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] - 127:8 implicated [1] - 130:13 implication [1] - 20:23 import [2] - 82:10, 110:17 importance [1] - 22:4 important [24] - 22:6,
242:16, 287:11, 317:7, 368:18, 368:24, 375:25 holistically [1] - 132:12 Holly [1] - 111:9 home [1] - 332:1 Homeland [3] - 125:15, 125:21, 126:13 honest [2] - 90:13, 266:12 honestly [1] - 265:14 Honor [272] - 6:13, 6:21, 6:25, 7:8, 7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1, 8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:20, 9:7, 9:18, 9:22, 10:4, 10:7, 11:14, 12:13, 12:18, 12:24, 13:18, 15:14, 15:19, 16:2, 16:13, 16:21, 17:24, 19:2, 19:8, 19:11, 23:2, 23:10, 23:14, 23:23, 24:13, 24:18, 25:1, 25:13, 26:18, 26:21, 27:15, 27:17,	104:13, 105:20, 107:16, 107:25, 108:4, 109:13, 111:4, 114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4, 118:1, 118:15, 119:2, 119:9, 119:12, 120:47, 120:20, 121:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:10, 141:14, 147:2, 149:24, 153:10, 156:2, 159:4, 162:20, 164:8, 187:4, 187:9, 187:22, 188:4, 193:5, 193:15, 193:18, 197:4, 198:3, 199:9, 199:16, 199:17, 200:1, 202:5, 220:22, 227:7, 231:25, 236:4, 239:2, 241:15, 242:14, 243:21, 243:25, 244:5,	$\begin{array}{c} 387:16, 388:2,\\ 388:5, 388:19\\ \textbf{honor}[2] - 157:25,\\ 162:13\\ \textbf{Honor's}[8] - 23:16,\\ 28:25, 29:12, 46:20,\\ 60:6, 85:11, 244:6,\\ 388:14\\ \textbf{HONORABLE}[1] -\\ 1:16\\ \textbf{Honorable}[1] - 6:1\\ \textbf{Honorable}[1] - 6:1\\ \textbf{Hooper}[1] - 3:20\\ \textbf{hope}[1] - 180:19\\ \textbf{hopefully}[3] - 10:14,\\ 338:7, 367:10\\ \textbf{hoping}[2] - 315:25,\\ 371:10\\ \textbf{horizontally}[1] -\\ 84:22\\ \textbf{host}[1] - 29:14\\ \textbf{hot}[1] - 48:9\\ \textbf{hotly}[1] - 56:16\\ \textbf{hour}[7] - 49:18, 68:5,\\ 68:20, 77:4, 306:15,\\ 307:9, 314:23\\ \textbf{hours}[3] - 37:7,\\ 309:20, 343:22\\ \end{array}$	hurting [1] - 66:4 hypothetical [3] - 262:8, 262:19, 284:22 i.e [1] - 136:15 idea [10] - 142:17, 143:4, 146:20, 146:22, 146:25, 168:5, 168:8, 168:9, 183:6 identical [4] - 49:20, 49:21, 54:24, 54:25 identically [1] - 46:3 identification [2] - 63:18, 65:9 identified [5] - 63:23, 65:17, 124:10, 194:16 identifier [1] - 63:23 identifiers [2] - 61:20, 61:22 identifies [4] - 127:10, 127:14, 127:16, 127:17	impacted [4] - 315:22, 319:11, 340:14, 354:9 impactful [2] - 169:17, 325:9 impacting [1] - 326:18 impacts [2] - 354:6, 359:10 implement [3] - 162:6, 339:18, 366:3 implementation [2] - 339:8, 339:20 implemented [3] - 61:2, 105:17, 109:6 implementing [4] - 42:21, 43:8, 340:23, 341:12 implements [1] - 127:8 implicated [1] - 130:13 implication [1] - 20:23 import [2] - 82:10, 110:17 importance [1] - 22:4 important [24] - 22:6, 41:11, 52:15,

160.24 170.0	incurred (4) 200.42	280.12 200.14	334:19	140:18
169:24, 170:9,	incurred [1] - 382:13	289:12, 289:14,		
190:19, 190:20,	independent [4] -	289:22, 290:2,	instead [3] - 110:21,	internationally [1] -
191:3, 194:21,	32:11, 33:21, 76:4,	291:24, 292:13,	110:22, 183:1	74:11
195:7, 195:12,	192:7	292:16, 292:17,	institutions [2] -	internet [1] - 16:3
195:23, 229:19,	InDesign [2] - 276:19,	293:11, 295:9,	169:9, 169:16	interpret [1] - 116:7
265:20, 265:24,	277:13	295:16, 296:20,	instruct [2] - 13:15,	interpretation [5] -
322:19, 327:19,	indicate [2] - 303:1,	297:13, 299:21,	236:2	76:12, 230:17,
341:14, 341:20,	366:21	302:22	instruction [1] -	230:18, 231:1,
363:24	indicated [2] - 46:16,	initiating [1] - 188:3	275:20	234:14
importing [2] -	46:19	injunction [29] - 6:9,	instructions [1] -	interprets [1] - 76:10
110:13, 110:14	indicates [2] - 295:18,	11:16, 11:19, 12:9,	348:18	interrupt [4] - 39:10,
imposed [2] - 44:16,	300:19	13:2, 34:13, 36:3,	insubstantial [1] -	304:18, 313:14,
51:2	indictment [4] - 168:1,	36:17, 38:15, 38:17,	369:7	330:18
impossible [3] -	168:4, 168:5, 168:8	45:3, 47:14, 47:16,	integrate [1] - 342:4	intervene [9] - 14:12,
173:2, 294:19,	individual [7] -	49:4, 49:16, 49:19,	integrated [3] -	14:19, 14:20, 15:5,
387:21	105:24, 130:6,	49:20, 53:13, 54:19,	341:15, 341:24,	16:14, 17:13, 39:1,
impression [2] - 48:7,	143:5, 164:23,	58:12, 58:16, 83:2,	353:23	59:25
360:7	316:1, 317:2, 325:15	88:17, 90:1, 189:21,	intend [6] - 17:12,	intervened [1] - 59:11
in-depth [1] - 189:24	individuals [10] -	190:18, 191:10,	21:17, 27:2, 34:15,	intervention [1] -
in-person [1] - 229:10	33:23, 40:13, 43:11,	217:17, 370:12	119:7, 307:5	15:21
inability [1] - 362:6	52:22, 317:13,	INJUNCTION [1] - 1:6	intended [1] - 10:22	interview [2] - 167:17,
inception [1] - 41:11	343:23, 347:13,	injunctions [2] -	intending [2] - 16:7,	167:20
incident [1] - 127:15	347:15, 349:12,	35:13, 360:7	231:7	introduce [6] - 17:6,
incidents [3] - 127:17,	349:14	injured [1] - 196:13	intent [2] - 21:14,	226:25, 232:16,
127:19, 190:21	indulges [1] - 388:19	injury [18] - 33:16,	<u>38:20</u>	252:1, 344:7, 351:10
inclined [2] - 36:16,	indulging [1] - 59:3	35:11, 186:19,	intentional [2] -	introduced [4] -
36:17	infeasibility [1] - 35:4	189:17, 190:6,	199:19, 250:11	233:7, 291:2, 344:8,
include [14] - 65:13,	infer [1] - 106:21	190:9, 196:15,	intentions [1] - 46:24	351:21
82:17, 92:11, 93:9,	inferential [3] -	215:10, 222:7,	interact [1] - 79:10	introduces [1] - 57:19
93:17, 105:12,	326:20, 327:1,	222:8, 222:15,	interaction [1] -	introducing [1] -
110:23, 208:14,	327:15	222:16, 222:18,	353:18	175:20
279:1, 316:15,	influence [5] - 70:9,	237:9 237:10,	interactions [1] -	invest [1] - 340:1
322:18, 324:13,	194:23, 195:15,	237:12, 237:20,	149:12	investment [2] -
324:17, 324:19	195:18, 329:4	243:2	interacts [1] - 76:17	340:8, 341:5
included [4] - 92:2,	influenced [1] - 118:7 🏑	inner [2] - 143:13,	interchangeably [1] -	Invitation [1] - 377:24
103:19, 112:7,	inform [3] - 195:11,	143:20	84:11	invitation [1] - 381:11
348:16	199:17, 205:21	input [3] - 79:1, 83:25,	interconnected [1] -	involve [1] - 380:21
includes [4] - 79:9,	information [347-	161:10	354:10	involved [5] - 51:4,
105:5, 272:4, 272:6	38:19, 54:23, 70:20,	inputs [1] - 78:23	interest [9] - 16:23,	70:6, 260:25, 342:7,
including [6] - 35:24,	79:12, 80:1, 81:25,	inquiry [4] - 235:14,	32:21, 59:7, 59:10,	376:20
37:20, 201:5,	82:9, 82:24, 92:9,	235:19, 236:7,	59:18, 73:9, 90:25,	involving [1] - 206:7
206:11, 230:12,	152:17, 171:4,	236:12	156:10, 358:16	irrelevant [1] - 200:5
293:2	191:9, 196:1, 201:8,	inserted [1] - 260:19	interested [3] - 24:7,	irreparable [7] - 21:2,
inclusion [1] - 61:19	217:23, 218:1,	inserting [1] - 355:14	208:12, 310:10	21:7, 33:18, 53:19,
inconsistent [1] -	307:24, 316:8,	inside [1] - 22:6	Interested [1] - 1:12	55:17, 55:18, 369:2
230:17	330:13, 343:20,	insist [2] - 300:20,	interests [4] - 18:12,	irrespective [1] -
incorrect [2] - 151:2,	348:17, 353:3,	305:13	32:19, 32:22, 310:6	253:18
277:8	353:4, 353:8,	insofar [2] - 102:23,	interface [7] - 76:18,	Iselin [1] - 3:18
increase [3] - 145:21,	353:10, 353:14,	117:8	79:4, 79:9, 95:25,	isolates [1] - 316:10
174:24, 234:4	355:21, 356:16,	inspect [1] - 357:6	96:10, 112:14,	issue [63] - 11:6, 12:7,
incredibly [1] - 169:24	362:25, 373:19,	inspections [1] -	145:23	14:7, 17:11, 17:16,
incumbency [2] -	377:3	131:16	interfaces [1] - 95:9	17:21, 19:6, 21:4,
321:2, 332:1	informed [3] - 30:2,	instance [11] - 83:25,	interim [1] - 49:20	21:8, 21:24, 24:22,
incumbent [7] - 169:8,	199:14, 223:3	155:3, 169:7,	internal [10] - 130:23,	25:25, 26:15, 30:23,
170:6, 174:6,	informing [1] - 175:22	170:25, 182:7,	131:2, 132:15,	34:9, 34:15, 35:5,
222:25, 321:2,	infrastructure [6] -	207:21, 207:23,	133:1, 133:11,	42:7, 42:11, 46:2,
385:9, 385:16	33:25, 73:13, 74:8,	222:12, 233:8,	135:18, 139:6,	47:6, 47:7, 47:25,
incumbents [4] -	127:8, 127:10, 329:6	256:3, 318:20	146:22, 146:25,	48:2, 48:7, 48:9,
327:22, 328:3,	initial [18] - 28:1,	instances [4] - 38:9,	150:24	48:13, 48:15, 49:9,
328:7, 328:11	189:9, 256:5,	317:14, 323:6,	internally [2] - 139:3,	49:12, 49:21, 49:24,
, ·			ouily [2] - 103.0,	, 10.21, 10.21,

E0.10 60.10 70.00	0.10 0.01 0.01 0.0	207.5 207.7	66.12 100.4	20.25 22.7 25.0
58:12, 63:13, 70:20,	2:18, 2:21, 2:24, 3:3,	297:5, 297:7,	66:13, 122:4,	29:25, 33:7, 35:6,
75:6, 87:23, 89:16,	3:6, 7:9, 14:11,	298:18, 298:25,	155:24, 180:4,	165:13, 165:14,
95:18, 108:9,	27:24, 31:21, 33:19,	299:10, 299:14,	211:7, 247:10,	165:20, 165:22,
114:15, 164:8,	37:22, 38:16, 38:17,	300:23, 301:17,	283:15, 285:15,	188:13, 197:7,
167:15, 197:17,	38:20, 39:4, 39:7,	301:20, 301:23,	303:9, 307:7, 334:4,	198:4, 201:1,
198:7, 199:7, 201:3,	40:15, 41:16, 60:12,	302:2, 302:24,	375:20, 375:22	201:12, 201:19,
202:25, 203:7,	61:23, 65:11, 74:17,	314:12, 320:10,	judgment [2] - 173:25,	202:8, 202:25,
225:12, 247:13,	75:15, 76:7, 77:24,	322:4, 323:13,	335:22	211:15, 222:5,
248:14, 284:4,	80:9, 80:16, 81:11,	338:17, 339:3,	judicially [1] - 16:15	228:24, 229:3,
284:5, 308:6, 308:7,	85:3, 86:1, 86:2,	339:4, 343:11,	Julia [2] - 31:18,	230:23, 233:6,
358:5, 360:4, 368:6,	86:4, 86:5, 86:6,	345:13, 347:11,	310:21	233:17, 234:1,
368:9, 377:4, 383:1,	86:7, 94:20, 95:10,	348:19, 376:3,	JULIA [2] - 4:13,	235:13, 242:20,
383:19	96:1, 96:15, 96:18,	376:13, 377:24,	310:17	243:18, 246:25,
issues [28] - 6:10,	97:20, 98:3, 98:9,	381:18, 383:11,	jump [1] - 74:16	249:23, 251:12,
10:17, 10:19, 22:3,	98:15, 98:17,	383:12, 387:22	jumped [2] - 186:5,	251:22, 311:3,
24:11, 25:1, 29:9,	101:23, 102:9,	Jersey's [14] - 31:12,	216:2	367:3, 376:3,
29:15, 30:10, 44:25,	103:2, 103:21,	33:25, 101:10,	June [6] - 153:9,	377:15, 378:10,
54:11, 88:1, 122:2,	105:3, 105:4, 105:9,	106:3, 107:13,	173:9, 346:9,	378:14, 381:18
123:25, 173:17,	106:5, 106:6,	108:16, 109:7,	346:10, 381:10,	KIM [4] - 1:3, 1:4, 4:6,
181:4, 244:14,	106:22, 107:8,	117:7, 117:23,	381:17	165:16
245:3, 277:16,	108:14, 110:1,	118:11, 135:16,	Junior [2] - 8:10,	Kim's [5] - 198:13,
278:6, 286:23,	113:18, 118:13,	167:15, 176:2, 212:5	246:17	249:2, 288:17,
325:13, 369:1,	125:1, 125:5,	Joanne [1] - 3:4	junk [1] - 44:5	307:19, 367:2
369:2, 370:12,	125:10, 125:12,	job [14] - 52:13, 53:2,	jurisdiction [4] -	kind [43] - 12:24,
387:10, 388:25	125:20, 126:9,	121:24, 140:2,	110:15, 117:17,	32:15, 35:1, 37:11,
issuing [1] - 337:17	126:12, 126:17,	141:13, 213:3,	142:3, 269:6	74:16, 75:14, 134:2,
item [2] - 23:3, 113:7	126:19, 126:23,	240:1, 252:5, 253:2,	jurisdictions [11] -	170:11, 176:23,
items [3] - 23:13,	126:24, 127:7,	264:6, 275:6,	101:20, 117:15,	177:12, 180:17,
81:17, 260:19	127:24, 128:3,	332:14, 376:2, 376:4	125:18, 140:14,	187:4, 187:10,
itself [10] - 140:9,	128:7, 128:11,	jobs [1] - 166: 5	142:2, 142:4, 142:8,	188:12, 189:24,
150:1, 150:2,	128:13, 128:25,	Joe [2] - 240:14,		
				190.Z. 190.10.
			151:8, 157:15	190:2, 190:18, 190:21, 190:24,
166:20, 192:2,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9,	240:20	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9	190:21, 190:24,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24,		190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12,
166:20, 192:2,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11,	240:20 John _{(2]} - 9:24, 366:24	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15,	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20,	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23,	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:5, 143:14, 144:5,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:45, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:45, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:45, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:45, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:45, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3,	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:45, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15,	jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] -
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15 Jason [2] - 8:25,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10, 203:19, 206:17,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16, 345:2, 362:10,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9 kept [1] - 179:22	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] - 25:19, 126:10,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15 Jason [2] - 8:25, 211:12	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10, 203:19, 206:17, 206:25, 207:1,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16, 345:2, 362:10, 371:19, 376:1,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9 kept [1] - 179:22 Kevin [1] - 252:4	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] - 25:19, 126:10, 139:23, 140:1,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15 Jason [2] - 8:25, 211:12 JASON [1] - 2:6	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10, 203:19, 206:17, 206:25, 207:1, 231:2, 234:14,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 3:66:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 7:2:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 3:28:16, 3:28:23, 3:49:9, 3:69:17 jointly [1] - 3:49:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 3:14:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16, 3:45:2, 3:62:10, 3:71:19, 3:76:1, 3:86:24	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9 kept [1] - 179:22 Kevin [1] - 252:4 key [5] - 284:20,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] - 25:19, 126:10, 139:23, 140:1, 140:5, 140:18,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15 Jason [2] - 8:25, 211:12 JASON [1] - 2:6 JENNIFER [1] - 2:14	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10, 203:19, 206:17, 206:25, 207:1, 231:2, 234:14, 234:22, 236:24,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16, 345:2, 362:10, 371:19, 376:1, 386:24 JUDGE [1] - 1:16	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9 kept [1] - 179:22 Kevin [1] - 252:4	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] - 25:19, 126:10, 139:23, 140:1, 140:5, 140:18, 140:22, 140:24,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15 Jason [2] - 8:25, 211:12 JASON [1] - 2:6 JENNIFER [1] - 2:14 Jennifer [1] - 9:10	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10, 203:19, 206:17, 206:25, 207:1, 231:2, 234:14, 237:2, 237:18,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16, 345:2, 362:10, 371:19, 376:1, 386:24 JUDGE [1] - 1:16 Judge [26] - 6:2,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9 kept [1] - 179:22 Kevin [1] - 252:4 key [5] - 284:20, 313:1, 343:15, 345:12, 345:23	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] - 25:19, 126:10, 139:23, 140:1, 140:5, 140:18, 140:22, 140:24, 141:9, 141:22,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 J JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15 Jason [2] - 8:25, 211:12 JASON [1] - 2:6 JENNIFER [1] - 2:14 Jennifer [1] - 9:10 JERSEY [5] - 1:1, 1:4,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10, 203:19, 206:17, 206:25, 207:1, 231:2, 234:14, 234:22, 236:24, 237:2, 237:18, 246:20, 248:22,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16, 345:2, 362:10, 371:19, 376:1, 386:24 JUDGE [1] - 1:16 Judge [26] - 6:2, 18:17, 28:21, 34:12,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9 kept [1] - 179:22 Kevin [1] - 252:4 key [5] - 284:20, 313:1, 343:15,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] - 25:19, 126:10, 139:23, 140:1, 140:5, 140:18, 140:22, 140:24, 141:9, 141:22, 142:23, 144:3,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15 Jason [2] - 8:25, 211:12 JASON [1] - 2:6 JENNIFER [1] - 2:14 Jennifer [1] - 9:10 JERSEY [5] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 3:8, 3:11	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10, 203:19, 206:17, 206:25, 207:1, 231:2, 234:14, 234:22, 236:24, 237:2, 237:18, 246:20, 248:22, 252:13, 252:21,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16, 345:2, 362:10, 371:19, 376:1, 386:24 JUDGE [1] - 1:16 Judge [26] - 6:2, 18:17, 28:21, 34:12, 36:16, 42:13, 48:13,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9 kept [1] - 179:22 Kevin [1] - 252:4 key [5] - 284:20, 313:1, 343:15, 345:12, 345:23	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] - 25:19, 126:10, 139:23, 140:1, 140:5, 140:18, 140:22, 140:24, 141:9, 141:22, 142:23, 144:3, 147:16, 148:7,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15 Jason [2] - 8:25, 211:12 JASON [1] - 2:6 JENNIFER [1] - 2:14 Jennifer [1] - 9:10 JERSEY [5] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 3:8, 3:11 Jersey [176] - 1:14,	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10, 203:19, 206:17, 206:25, 207:1, 231:2, 234:14, 234:22, 236:24, 237:2, 237:18, 246:20, 248:22, 252:13, 252:21, 260:8, 286:18,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 366:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16, 345:2, 362:10, 371:19, 376:1, 386:24 JUDGE [1] - 1:16 Judge [26] - 6:2, 18:17, 28:21, 34:12, 36:16, 42:13, 48:13, 59:13, 59:22, 60:9,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9 kept [1] - 179:22 Kevin [1] - 252:4 key [5] - 284:20, 313:1, 343:15, 345:12, 345:23 Kick [2] - 126:19,	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] - 25:19, 126:10, 139:23, 140:1, 140:5, 140:18, 140:22, 140:24, 141:9, 141:22, 142:23, 144:3, 147:16, 148:7, 148:13, 148:16,
166:20, 192:2, 192:21, 192:22, 192:23, 214:4, 361:4 JAIME [1] - 2:23 Jaime [1] - 9:23 Jamie [1] - 178:11 January [20] - 126:22, 166:8, 216:19, 217:19, 226:6, 226:8, 286:18, 289:23, 290:20, 290:23, 305:5, 305:8, 305:12, 380:12, 380:25, 381:12, 381:15, 384:11, 386:11, 386:15 Jason [2] - 8:25, 211:12 JASON [1] - 2:6 JENNIFER [1] - 2:14 Jennifer [1] - 9:10 JERSEY [5] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 3:8, 3:11	129:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:20, 138:22, 139:7, 139:11, 139:14, 139:19, 139:24, 140:2, 140:6, 140:25, 141:10, 141:24, 142:24, 143:3, 143:14, 144:5, 144:15, 157:10, 163:10, 163:13, 163:18, 164:10, 164:12, 164:19, 166:5, 167:8, 168:13, 168:18, 169:4, 175:10, 177:12, 178:10, 180:5, 180:11, 181:2, 181:10, 184:21, 192:10, 203:19, 206:17, 206:25, 207:1, 231:2, 234:14, 234:22, 236:24, 237:2, 237:18, 246:20, 248:22, 252:13, 252:21,	240:20 John [2] - 9:24, 306:24 join [1] - 240:4 joining [3] - 22:15, 72:5, 73:7 joint [6] - 43:5, 43:20, 328:16, 328:23, 349:9, 369:17 jointly [1] - 349:9 Joseph [1] - 9:19 JOSEPH [1] - 3:23 josh [1] - 194:1 journal [1] - 314:12 journals [1] - 314:10 judge [15] - 17:3, 28:22, 51:8, 51:15, 114:11, 156:9, 197:24, 233:14, 284:11, 332:16, 345:2, 362:10, 371:19, 376:1, 386:24 JUDGE [1] - 1:16 Judge [26] - 6:2, 18:17, 28:21, 34:12, 36:16, 42:13, 48:13,	Jury [2] - 243:8, 243:9 Justice [1] - 346:16 K K-I-M [1] - 165:21 Kate [3] - 318:10, 318:14, 318:18 keep [14] - 178:1, 236:1, 247:8, 265:20, 268:20, 278:1, 283:22, 335:18, 335:20, 335:24, 336:10, 336:12, 346:3, 388:20 keeper [2] - 337:1, 337:2 KENNY [1] - 2:20 Kenny [1] - 8:9 kept [1] - 179:22 Kevin [1] - 252:4 key [5] - 284:20, 313:1, 343:15, 345:12, 345:23 Kick [2] - 126:19, 127:11	190:21, 190:24, 191:8, 192:12, 192:25, 195:2, 195:21, 195:24, 195:25, 217:2, 226:1, 238:8, 238:21, 240:19, 262:20, 265:2, 298:16, 339:21, 348:22, 357:23, 363:10, 364:1, 364:18, 364:19, 388:12 kinds [1] - 32:15 Kirstin [1] - 10:5 KIRSTIN [1] - 3:5 knowing [5] - 13:14, 51:23, 174:19, 228:21, 255:7 knowledge [29] - 25:19, 126:10, 139:23, 140:1, 140:5, 140:18, 140:22, 140:24, 141:9, 141:22, 142:23, 144:3, 147:16, 148:7,

149:25, 150:9,	278:8, 278:21,	largest [2] - 312:2,	230:13, 231:2,	110:17, 110:23,
150:11, 150:25,	279:22, 280:3,	322:4	230:13, 231:2, 234:23, 236:21,	110:24, 116:15,
150.11, 150.25, 151:3, 151:16,	279.22, 280.3, 280:11, 280:18,	322:4 Last [1] - 126:21	234.23, 230.21, 274:25	110.24, 116.15, 117:2, 117:7,
151:21, 307:24,	281:11, 283:13,	last [33] - 11:13, 73:2,	lawsuit [20] - 50:7,	117:20, 133:21,
373:25, 377:3	283:18, 283:25,	93:16, 105:14,	56:9, 56:10, 133:11,	134:2, 134:7, 138:7,
known [4] - 48:8,	284:3, 285:18,	119:22, 120:12,	135:17, 206:4,	138:25, 140:11,
185:17, 223:21,	285:25, 286:10,	126:16, 126:23,	207:12, 207:19,	144:18, 144:22,
316:23	286:12, 287:8,	131:24, 138:19,	208:4, 208:14,	152:2, 152:9,
knows [7] - 35:10,	290:20, 290:25,	155:2, 155:12,	216:24, 222:18,	152:25, 155:21,
35:12, 150:5,	308:6, 308:9,	165:19, 165:20,	223:21, 229:23,	159:22, 160:2,
201:25, 202:4,	350:15, 359:24,	239:4, 248:23,	231:7, 235:15,	160:3, 160:18,
274:15, 362:23	360:1, 366:9, 369:6,	249:21, 251:4,	253:18, 266:2,	161:4, 161:12,
KOMUVES [142] -	370:15, 371:19,	264:1, 270:7, 272:9,	266:8, 384:13	161:20, 161:21,
1:19, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8,	371:20, 371:23,	285:23, 288:8,	lawsuit's [1] - 207:17	162:1, 258:4, 258:9,
4:10, 4:12, 4:17,	371:25, 373:7,	310:20, 313:23,	lawyer [4] - 202:4,	259:23, 260:1,
6:25, 7:2, 17:24,	379:17, 379:22,	336:6, 343:19,	241:9, 305:16,	260:6, 275:15,
18:3, 18:6, 24:13,	381:21, 388:5,	345:20, 370:11,	376:22	276:2, 288:16,
31:6, 31:9, 31:11,	388:7, 388:11,	375:18, 382:7,	lawyers [13] - 11:21,	293:2, 296:4,
34:10, 34:19, 36:21,	388:14	384:18, 386:9	12:10, 41:22,	297:18, 297:19,
38:3, 39:16, 122:13,	Komuves [13] - 7:2,	lastly [2] - 35:6,	121:12, 121:21,	298:13, 298:19,
122:18, 122:23,	31:11, 52:3, 91:18,	100:15	121:23, 188:13,	299:2, 299:5, 299:6,
159:9, 162:20,	159:10, 159:12,	late [12] - 29:11,	249:19, 310:1,	299:23, 300:4,
162:24, 163:8,	193:12, 289:2,	34:14, 35:20, 39:24,	310:3, 376:22,	300:16, 341:22,
164:2, 164:8,	291:2, 304:11,	48:13, 198:19,	376:23, 376:25	348:14, 359:17
164:22, 165:6,	304:13, 305:20,	200:4, 200:24,	lay [23] - 76:2, 82:8,	layouts [8] - 107:8,
165:13, 165:25,	381:11	358:11, 358:15,	34:7, 84:18, 84:21,	110:13, 110:14,
166:1, 166:23,	KOTZAS [1] - 3:19	358:16, 376:6	84:25, 94:4, 94:13,	112:2, 114:2, 260:7,
166:25, 187:22,	Kotzas [1] - 8:21	laughter [3] - 220:3	95:6, 95:24, 96:18,	300:23, 302:13
187:24, 188:4,		220:23, 241:8	111:1, 116:11,	lays [1] - 23:13
188:15, 188:17,	L	launched [1] - 241:23	138:13, 138:14,	lead [7] - 56:4, 56:5,
188:19, 193:3,	_	Lautenberg [2] -	149:2, 149:5,	158:4, 179:12,
193:5, 193:8,	L.L.C [2] - 74:7,			407 40 407 40
	,,	263:14 319:22	253:20, 254:1,	187:12, 187:19,
193:20, 193:22,	124:20	263:14, 319:22 Lautenperg's [1] -	253:20, 254:1, 255:21, 256:2,	187:12, 187:19, 188:13
193:20, 193:22, 194:13, 194:15,		263:14, 319:22 Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1		
	124:20	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1	255:21, 256:2,	188:13
194:13, 194:15,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2,	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21.	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;17:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 Iaw [41] - 9:8, 18:7,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21, 180:1, 186:5, 383:23	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21, 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21, 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3,	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;17:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 Iaw [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21, 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 176:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;17:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21, 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 176:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16,	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13 lean [1] - 73:4
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2,	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;17:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13 lean [1] - 73:4 learn [1] - 46:10
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16,	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13 lean [1] - 73:4 learn [1] - 46:10 learned [2] - 140:22,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8,	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13 lean [1] - 73:4 learne [1] - 46:10 learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16,	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 101:16, 102:5, 102:9, 102:15,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13 lean [1] - 73:4 learne [1] - 46:10 learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13 learning [1] - 376:14
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 101:16, 102:5, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13 lean [1] - 73:4 learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13 learning [1] - 376:14 least [23] - 19:25,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 101:16, 102:5, 102:9, 102:15,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13 lean [1] - 73:4 learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13 learning [1] - 376:14 least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 101:16, 102:5, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25,	188:13 $leader [1] - 385:3$ $leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25$ $leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7$ $leads [1] - 359:13$ $lean [1] - 73:4$ $learne [1] - 46:10$ $learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13$ $learning [1] - 376:14$ $least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 312$
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8, 267:11, 267:15,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8, 339:4, 343:12,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 101:16, 102:5, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25, 103:25, 105:5,	188:13 $leader [1] - 385:3$ $leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25$ $leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7$ $leads [1] - 359:13$ $lean [1] - 73:4$ $learne [1] - 46:10$ $learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13$ $learning [1] - 376:14$ $least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 71:23, 92:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:12, 92:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:12, 92:25, 34:12, 92:12, 9$
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8, 267:11, 267:15, 267:21, 268:1,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayatte [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5 landscape [4] - 84:22,	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8, 339:4, 343:12, 344:5, 345:4, 345:5,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 101:16, 102:5, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25, 103:25, 105:5, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:11, 105:19, 106:5,	188:13 $leader [1] - 385:3$ $leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25$ $leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7$ $leads [1] - 359:13$ $lean [1] - 73:4$ $learn [1] - 46:10$ $learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13$ $learning [1] - 376:14$ $least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 71:23, 92:12, 92:25, 93:5, 93:9, 93:13, 1000$
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8, 267:11, 267:15, 267:21, 268:1, 268:2, 269:2,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayatte [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5 landscape [4] - 84:22, 85:1, 255:11, 257:25	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8, 339:4, 343:12, 344:5, 345:4, 345:5, 345:13, 348:5,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 101:16, 102:5, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25, 103:25, 105:5, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:11,	188:13 $leader [1] - 385:3$ $leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25$ $leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7$ $leads [1] - 359:13$ $lean [1] - 73:4$ $learn [1] - 46:10$ $learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13$ $learning [1] - 376:14$ $least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 71:23, 92:12, 92:25, 93:5, 93:9, 93:13, 93:17, 196:2, 100$
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8, 267:11, 267:15, 267:21, 268:1, 268:2, 269:2, 269:24, 270:1,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5 landscape [4] - 84:22, 85:1, 255:11, 257:25 language [2] - 332:21,	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8, 339:4, 343:12, 344:5, 345:4, 345:5, 345:13, 348:5, 348:19, 349:24,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 101:16, 102:5, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25, 103:25, 105:5, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:11, 105:19, 106:5,	188:13 $leader [1] - 385:3$ $leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25$ $leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7$ $leads [1] - 359:13$ $lean [1] - 73:4$ $learn [1] - 46:10$ $learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13$ $learning [1] - 376:14$ $least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 71:23, 92:12, 92:25, 93:5, 93:9, 93:13, 93:17, 196:2, 198:13, 227:24, 1000$
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8, 267:21, 268:1, 268:2, 269:2, 269:24, 270:1, 270:3, 270:16,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5 landscape [4] - 84:22, 85:1, 255:11, 257:25 language [2] - 332:21, 348:15	Lautenberg's [1] - 3;7:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8, 339:4, 343:12, 344:5, 345:4, 345:5, 345:13, 348:5, 348:19, 349:24, 364:21	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25, 103:25, 105:5, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:11, 105:19, 106:5, 106:7, 106:10, 106:11, 107:10, 108:13, 108:18,	188:13 $leader [1] - 385:3$ $leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25$ $leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7$ $leads [1] - 359:13$ $lean [1] - 73:4$ $learn [1] - 46:10$ $learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13$ $learning [1] - 376:14$ $least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 71:23, 92:12, 92:25, 93:5, 93:9, 93:13, 93:17, 196:2, 198:13, 227:24, 248:18, 273:8, 3$
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8, 267:11, 267:15, 267:21, 268:1, 268:2, 269:2, 269:24, 270:1, 270:3, 270:16, 270:18, 271:12,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5 landscape [4] - 84:22, 85:1, 255:11, 257:25 language [2] - 332:21, 348:15 laptop [1] - 342:23	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8, 339:4, 343:12, 344:5, 345:4, 345:5, 345:13, 348:5, 348:19, 349:24, 364:21 laws [16] - 31:14,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25, 103:25, 105:5, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:11, 105:19, 106:5, 106:7, 106:10, 106:11, 107:10, 108:13, 108:18, 108:23, 109:19,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 18:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13 lean [1] - 73:4 learne [1] - 46:10 learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13 learning [1] - 376:14 least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 71:23, 92:12, 92:25, 93:5, 93:9, 93:13, 93:17, 196:2, 198:13, 227:24, 248:18, 273:8, 279:23, 284:7, 286:7, 368:2 leave [9] - 26:23, 58:9,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8, 267:11, 267:15, 267:21, 268:1, 268:2, 269:2, 269:24, 270:1, 270:3, 270:16, 270:18, 271:12, 271:14, 271:21,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5 landscape [4] - 84:22, 85:1, 255:11, 257:25 language [2] - 332:21, 348:15 laptop [1] - 342:23 large [3] - 190:23,	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8, 339:4, 343:12, 344:5, 345:4, 345:5, 345:13, 348:5, 348:19, 349:24, 364:21 laws [16] - 31:14, 33:19, 41:15, 52:24,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25, 103:25, 105:5, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:11, 105:19, 106:5, 106:7, 106:10, 106:11, 107:10, 108:13, 108:18, 108:23, 109:19, 109:25, 110:5,	188:13 $leader [1] - 385:3$ $leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25$ $leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7$ $leads [1] - 359:13$ $lean [1] - 73:4$ $learn [1] - 46:10$ $learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13$ $learning [1] - 376:14$ $least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 71:23, 92:12, 92:25, 93:5, 93:9, 93:13, 93:17, 196:2, 198:13, 227:24, 248:18, 273:8, 279:23, 284:7, 286:7, 368:2$
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8, 267:21, 268:1, 268:2, 269:2, 269:24, 270:1, 270:18, 271:12, 271:14, 271:21, 273:16, 273:17,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayette [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5 landscape [4] - 84:22, 85:1, 255:11, 257:25 language [2] - 332:21, 348:15 laptop [1] - 342:23 large [3] - 190:23, 362:4, 376:19	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8, 339:4, 343:12, 344:5, 345:4, 345:5, 345:13, 348:5, 348:19, 349:24, 364:21 laws [16] - 31:14, 33:19, 41:15, 52:24, 53:3, 131:20,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25, 103:25, 105:5, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:11, 105:19, 106:5, 106:7, 106:10, 106:11, 107:10, 108:13, 108:18, 108:23, 109:19,	188:13 leader [1] - 385:3 leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25 leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7 leads [1] - 359:13 lean [1] - 73:4 learn [1] - 46:10 learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13 learning [1] - 376:14 least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 71:23, 92:12, 92:25, 93:5, 93:9, 93:13, 93:17, 196:2, 198:13, 227:24, 248:18, 273:8, 279:23, 284:7, 286:7, 368:2 leave [9] - 26:23, 58:9,
194:13, 194:15, 197:1, 199:17, 200:11, 200:14, 200:19, 201:15, 202:17, 202:22, 208:16, 218:8, 218:11, 219:9, 219:18, 219:24, 220:22, 221:15, 227:12, 230:8, 230:19, 231:18, 231:25, 234:25, 235:5, 241:6, 243:15, 244:10, 244:13, 246:9, 251:12, 251:21, 262:8, 264:19, 264:21, 265:11, 266:6, 267:8, 267:11, 267:15, 267:21, 268:1, 268:2, 269:2, 269:24, 270:1, 270:3, 270:16, 270:18, 271:12, 271:14, 271:21,	124:20 L.L.P [1] - 2:20 lack [3] - 99:8, 141:2, 147:5 lacks [1] - 266:5 lady [4] - 179:21 180:1, 186:5, 383:23 Lady [1] - 178:11 Lafayatte [4] - 126:3, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12 laid [12] - 29:16, 92:19, 99:22, 100:2, 101:7, 138:16, 168:18, 255:8, 257:13, 291:16, 300:15, 359:7 LAMPARELLO [1] - 3:5 Lamparello [1] - 10:5 landscape [4] - 84:22, 85:1, 255:11, 257:25 language [2] - 332:21, 348:15 laptop [1] - 342:23 large [3] - 190:23, 362:4, 376:19 larger [2] - 237:18,	Lautenberg's [1] - 317:1 Lautenberg/ Andrews [1] - 322:12 Law [1] - 6:17 LAW [1] - 2:2 law [41] - 9:8, 18:7, 18:13, 33:4, 35:12, 43:8, 43:9, 43:16, 43:17, 61:1, 65:2, 125:2, 154:22, 155:8, 155:15, 202:9, 216:16, 230:2, 230:6, 230:17, 234:15, 329:13, 330:1, 330:8, 331:1, 331:16, 332:8, 339:4, 343:12, 344:5, 345:4, 345:5, 345:13, 348:5, 348:19, 349:24, 364:21 laws [16] - 31:14, 33:19, 41:15, 52:24, 53:3, 131:20, 167:16, 168:14,	255:21, 256:2, 256:4, 341:21 layered [1] - 136:10 layers [1] - 135:13 laying [7] - 77:10, 78:15, 78:22, 136:16, 139:2, 163:21, 276:12 layout [97] - 37:7, 79:2, 81:9, 82:4, 82:6, 83:13, 84:10, 93:22, 93:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 96:3, 96:11, 97:23, 101:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:9, 102:15, 102:24, 102:25, 103:25, 105:5, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:11, 105:19, 106:5, 106:7, 106:10, 106:11, 107:10, 108:13, 108:18, 108:23, 109:19, 109:25, 110:5,	188:13 $leader [1] - 385:3$ $leaders [3] - 175:18, 176:25$ $leading [11] - 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 118:1, 118:2, 118:7, 179:14, 187:6, 187:7, 223:4, 238:7$ $leads [1] - 359:13$ $lean [1] - 73:4$ $learn [1] - 46:10$ $learned [2] - 140:22, 383:13$ $learning [1] - 376:14$ $least [23] - 19:25, 34:12, 45:2, 49:14, 53:22, 61:2, 71:20, 71:23, 92:12, 92:25, 93:5, 93:9, 93:13, 93:17, 196:2, 198:13, 227:24, 248:18, 273:8, 279:23, 284:7, 286:7, 368:2$ $leave [9] - 26:23, 58:9, 61:12, 72:20, 71:20, 71:20, 71:20, 73:22, 73:20, 73$

251.14 267.12	16.4 16.8 16.10	106.12 106.22	377.04 379.4	338:6, 338:15,
251:14, 367:12, 387:16	16:4, 16:8, 16:10,	106:12, 106:22,	377:24, 378:4, 381:19	
	17:4, 17:22, 18:1,	110:17, 117:20,	lined [1] - 300:21	339:10, 340:2, 240:12, 256:22
leaves [1] - 21:3	19:5, 19:10, 21:20,	117:22, 144:2,		340:12, 356:23,
leaving [2] - 57:1,	21:22, 33:1, 88:7,	167:19, 168:8,	lines [12] - 48:1,	356:24, 357:4, 365:9
156:22	155:1, 216:16,	168:19, 168:25,	169:12, 177:3,	lock [1] - 121:18
left [20] - 65:19, 102:6,	216:21, 224:21,	169:5, 169:18,	178:11, 181:14,	log [4] - 244:4, 303:23,
102:24, 132:16,	224:23, 224:25,	170:3, 170:9,	183:2, 183:12,	304:4, 308:9
132:18, 154:3,	225:1, 225:2, 225:4,	170:13, 170:16,	185:1, 214:23,	logic [12] - 100:16,
162:18, 200:12,	225:6, 225:15,	171:10, 171:17,	223:2, 223:4, 383:25	134:5, 135:2,
236:9, 239:1,	226:21, 226:25,	171:21, 172:1,	lineup [1] - 22:21	136:22, 139:17,
241:19, 277:22,	229:4, 229:17,	172:2, 172:10,	lining [1] - 383:22	139:22, 142:5,
280:9, 296:14,	229:21, 231:9,	172:20, 172:24,	link [5] - 227:15,	142:19, 144:10,
306:9, 307:12,	231:12, 232:7,	173:3, 173:6,	227:20, 227:25,	145:21, 356:5,
311:3, 364:10,	232:10, 232:16,	173:12, 176:3,	232:22, 286:8	356:21
373:19, 384:17	232:22, 234:1,	176:6, 178:14,	links [1] - 298:12	logistical [1] - 23:13
left-hand [3] - 102:6,	234:7, 368:14,	179:20, 180:11,	list [4] - 7:13, 115:4,	logistically [1] -
102:24, 154:3	381:9, 387:11	181:6, 181:21,	154:6, 380:21	123:21
leftmost [2] - 206:20,	letters [2] - 226:23,	181:24, 182:4,	listed [10] - 96:11,	logs [1] - 243:23
207:4	387:12	182:5, 182:13,	97:22, 109:20,	long-term [1] - 321:2
legal [20] - 22:2,	letting [1] - 306:19	182:15, 182:25,	110:11, 110:12,	look [67] - 23:24,
44:12, 55:2, 55:7,	level [4] - 30:18,	184:5, 184:23,	110:21, 153:22,	25:15, 27:1, 53:3,
64:16, 174:7, 195:2,	163:9, 185:19,	185:3, 185:17,	182:15, 370.19,	60:21, 60:22, 60:24,
196:8, 202:17,	260:11	186:10, 186:16,	377:2	65:3, 65:7, 66:4,
208:16, 219:9,	levels [1] - 139:23	187:1, 188:8,	listen (3) - 199:5,	70:23, 79:3, 80:3,
219:13, 219:18,	liberty [1] - 248:11	190:11, 192:11,	263:19, 287:10	97:8, 97:16, 99:17,
220:14, 221:16,	license [1] - 340:20	192:13, 195:18,	listened [3] - 199:11,	102:21, 115:25,
230:8, 230:19,	licensing [1] - 343:7	196:11, 207:20,	248:19, 248:21	168:20, 169:7,
263:11, 266:13,	lieu [1] - 368:15	207:24, 214:17, 🚫	listening [2] - 110:3,	173:19, 180:7,
360:4	life [1] - 16:25	214:19, 215:1,	375:11	184:6, 184:25,
legality [1] - 64:8	light [1] - 190:19	222:14, 222:17,	listing [2] - 304:7,	190:21, 193:23,
legislate [1] - 52:25	Lighting [1] - 3:6	222:21, 235:7,	305:1	194:25, 195:10,
legislation [2] -	likelihood [1] - 33:3	235:19, 236:25,	lists [2] - 153:21,	196:6, 209:1,
339:17, 339:19	likely [7] - 29:13,	239:4, 239:15,	298:5	212:11, 212:25,
legislative [9] - 42:24,	140:17, 160:13,	240:15, 240:22,	Literally [1] - 246:12	214:8, 221:14,
43:1, 43:18, 200:15,	171:19, 172:5,	241:23, 243:1,	literally [3] - 168:23,	226:20, 242:16,
281:20, 327:22,	180:15, 366:13	244:22, 244:23,	192:8, 309:19	242:25, 249:24,
328:22, 330:21,	limine [12] - 28:22,	245:3, 245:4,		264:3, 270:7, 280:7,
331:4	29:10, 29:17, 69:24,	262:12, 264:8,	litigated [2] - 49:9, 49:10	303:22, 307:8,
legislatively [1] -	70:14, 94:23, 97:9,	293:10, 300:16,		311:18, 315:16,
58:18		314:13, 315:17,	litigation [16] - 15:17,	316:12, 318:17,
legislator [6] - 43:18,	118:19, 119:6, 119:10, 119:17,	315:18, 315:22,	25:22, 41:19, 50:25,	320:20, 324:6,
53:1, 173:15, 174:1,	313:18	316:10, 316:14,	51:23, 56:7, 188:3,	325:15, 327:22,
291:13, 321:25	limit [3] - 144:23,	316:21, 317:18,	194:24, 333:13, 381:5, 381:12	328:2, 328:10,
legislators [8] -	361:19, 371:18	318:11, 318:12,	381:5, 381:12, 381:15, 381:18	328:18, 329:9,
234:10, 234:19,		319:17, 320:23,	381:15, 381:18, 381:25, 382:13	361:2, 361:24,
234:20, 234:21,	limitations [1] - 64:22	321:3, 322:8,	381:25, 382:13, 282:20	362:19, 372:16,
234:20, 234:21, 234:21, 234:22, 236:21,	limited [5] - 173:1,	322:21, 323:2,	382:20	375:3, 377:9,
236:23, 237:2	315:15, 354:4,	323:3, 323:5, 323:7,	living [2] - 38:6, 252:3	377:10, 378:23,
	361:19, 370:1	323:13, 324:1,	Liz [1] - 123:12	378:24
legislature [2] - 52:17, 234:18	limiting [2] - 173:21,	324:3, 324:5,	LLC [5] - 2:2, 2:5,	Look [2] - 42:12,
234:18	173:22	324:11, 324:15,	2:12, 3:2, 6:22	71:20
legitimate [1] - 25:23	Line [1] - 377:1	324:23, 324:25,	LLP [1] - 2:23	looked [8] - 92:8,
legwork [1] - 149:9	line [152] - 32:4, 32:5,	325:18, 325:19,	load [1] - 161:24	323:10, 323:11,
less [7] - 38:24,	32:9, 33:14, 33:15,	326:6, 328:3, 328:4,	loaded [2] - 37:3, 82:1	324:21, 325:1,
161:22, 173:17,	34:1, 38:16, 38:17,	329:2, 330:15,	lobbying [1] - 14:22	325:16, 369:18,
281:17, 328:15,	39:2, 42:11, 43:6,	330:23, 334:12,	local [3] - 74:10,	376:19
364:11, 383:6	43:22, 64:10, 96:16,	334:13, 334:20,	125:13, 140:15	looking [22] - 24:6,
lesson [1] - 369:14	96:19, 96:23, 98:13,	334:21, 370:19,	located [1] - 252:20	37:6, 37:10, 52:19,
letter [46] - 14:9,	98:21, 98:25, 99:13,	370:25, 371:11,	location [5] - 338:22,	55:10, 62:7, 100:25,
14:18, 15:1, 15:6,	99:16, 99:21, 100:3,	376:8, 376:12,	339:7, 339:12, 340:3	114:21, 114:22,
15:11, 15:16, 16:1,	105:6, 106:4,	376:15, 376:19,	locations [10] - 82:10,	117.21, 117.22,

100.10 100.0	20214 20212	00.0 00.7 04 0		Marah
188:12, 192:8,	292:4, 292:8,	89:8, 90:7, 91:9,	354:1, 354:5, 354:9,	March [10] - 1:14, 6:2,
199:6, 219:14,	292:14, 292:25,	91:17, 91:24, 92:11,	354:20, 355:12,	14:11, 257:7, 273:8,
243:11, 254:8,	293:2, 295:11,	92:25, 93:4, 93:8,	355:16, 355:25,	286:7, 287:2,
317:13, 319:14,	299:6, 299:13,	93:12, 93:16, 93:20,	363:21, 364:21,	293:24, 343:17,
322:20, 330:22,	300:19, 300:22,	93:21, 94:1, 94:18,	364:23	390:11
373:13, 378:13,	300:25, 301:16,	95:3, 95:8, 95:22,	mailed [2] - 354:12,	margin [1] - 312:2
383:24	302:6, 302:13,	96:13, 97:15, 99:13,	354:14	margins [1] - 170:11
looks [13] - 56:21,	306:19, 337:24,	100:20, 100:25,	mailing [5] - 254:21,	Marissa [3] - 8:23,
57:7, 194:3, 352:2,	339:24, 341:8,	101:18, 101:25,	259:17, 260:20,	227:18, 287:22
362:19, 374:3,	353:19, 354:1,	102:13, 103:18,	263:10, 346:14	MARISSA [1] - 2:6
374:8, 374:15,	354:6, 354:7, 354:9,	104:2, 104:23,	main [10] - 70:20,	mark [9] - 8:6, 87:2,
377:17, 378:6,	355:17, 355:19,	106:18, 107:9,	75:17, 76:21,	104:13, 104:16,
379:9, 380:11,	355:20, 355:23,	108:11, 109:5,	169:20, 178:10,	111:16, 113:9,
381:10	356:1, 356:2,	109:24, 110:4,	189:15, 213:5,	166:21, 193:12,
loom [1] - 376:19	356:12, 359:10,	111:8, 111:21,	240:15, 248:22,	227:3
loser [1] - 33:19	359:12, 359:22,	113:7, 115:21,	272:25	MARK [1] - 3:2
losing [2] - 207:23,	360:14, 362:19,	117:4, 117:23,	major [2] - 152:20,	marked [12] - 86:18,
328:11	364:15, 373:21,	118:11, 118:16,	174:18	86:25, 111:10,
lost [5] - 42:22, 45:13,	374:16	119:25, 121:8,	majority [5] - 98:5,	249:9, 290:5, 290:6,
45:14, 178:2, 358:11	machinery [2] - 34:24,	121:9, 123:7,	98:6, 98:11, 106:8,	290:8, 291:1,
LOUIS [1] - 3:15	40:15	123:20, 129:16,	178:6	303:20, 310:24,
Louis [1] - 7:19	machines [61] - 27:24,	141:7, 147:11,	MALAMUT [1] - 3:2	311:9, 375:24
Louisiana [3] - 97:20,	37:3, 64:22, 64:23,	147:15, 150:14,	Malamut [1] - 8:6	Market [1] - 3:9
101:19, 106:13	77:16, 90:8, 90:21,	152:4, 153:19,	Maldoriado [2] - 8:10,	marking [8] - 76:15,
love [2] - 264:14,	103:15, 107:14,	155:11, 159:12,	246:17	76:19, 76:21, 76:24,
317:24	107:20, 112:25,	163:1, 164:21,	MALLON [1] - 3:5	
lower [1] - 111:23	113:2, 130:4,	165:8, 248:24,	Mallon [1] - 3.5	80:14, 87:9, 91:18, 100:21
lower [1] - 111.23	136:12, 140:7,	249:11, 249:22,		
	158:21, 161:21,	250:13, 270:2,	manage [1] - 306:14	Marshals [1] - 30:2
lunch [12] - 19:21,			Management [1] -	marshals [1] - 30:8
20.2 20.40 67.47	248:22. 248:23.	288:7, 351	227.6	magaa (1) 020-40
20:3, 28:10, 67:17,	248:22, 248:23, 262:5, 275:25.	288:7, 351:7 Macias' [2] 115:6,	337:6	masse [1] - 232:10
68:1, 68:10, 90:25,	262:5, 275:25,	Macias' [2] - 115:6,	management [23] -	massive [2] - 339:22,
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11,	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15,	Macias' _[2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20,
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24 Luncheon [1] - 121:5	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5,
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24 Luncheon [1] - 121:5 Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4,
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24 Luncheon [1] - 121:5	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14,
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24 Luncheon [1] - 121:5 Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22,
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24 Luncheon [1] - 121:5 Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21 M M-A-C-I-A-S [1] - 73:3	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24 Luncheon [1] - 121:5 Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21 M M-A-C-I-A-S [1] - 73:3 ma'am [1] - 333:17	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17,
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24 Luncheon [1] - 121:5 Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21 M M-A-C-I-A-S [1] - 73:3 ma'am [1] - 333:17 Mac [2] - 276:18,	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24 Luncheon [1] - 121:5 Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21 M M-A-C-I-A-S [1] - 73:3 ma'am [1] - 333:17 Mac [2] - 276:18, 277:4	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11,
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]\ -\ 121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]\ -\ 2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]\ -\ 73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]\ -\ 333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]\ -\ 276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]\ -\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]\ -\ 23:11,\\ \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23,	<pre>massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] -</pre>
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ \hline \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4,	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ \hline \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 11:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6,	<pre>massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21</pre>
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ 125:5,\ 257:19,\\ \hline \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11	<pre>massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 3:20</pre>
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ 125:5,\ 257:19,\\ 258:6,\ 260:3,\\ \hline \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 8:20 matter [37] - 15:20,
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ 125:5,\ 257:19,\\ 258:6,\ 260:3,\\ 260:10,\ 261:3,\\ \hline \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 266:3	<pre>massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 8:20 matter [37] - 15:20, 15:21, 17:7, 32:24,</pre>
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ 125:5,\ 257:19,\\ 258:6,\ 260:3,\\ 260:10,\ 261:3,\\ 261:10,\ 261:17,\\ \hline \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 266:3 mandated [2] - 340:5,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{massive} \ [2] - 339:22, \\ 340:7 \\ \textbf{master} \ [5] - 255:20, \\ 256:6, 258:5, \\ 274:14, 281:20 \\ \textbf{masters} \ [6] - 256:4, \\ 258:10, 258:14, \\ 258:10, 258:14, \\ 258:18, 258:22, \\ 261:22 \\ \textbf{match} \ [2] - 28:17, \\ 260:22 \\ \textbf{mate} \ [1] - 183:25 \\ \textbf{materials} \ [3] - 33:11, \\ 126:25, 127:4 \\ \textbf{mathematical} \ [1] - \\ 99:17 \\ \textbf{Mathew} \ [1] - 8:21 \\ \textbf{MATHEW} \ [1] - 3:20 \\ \textbf{matter} \ [37] - 15:20, \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ 125:5,\ 257:19,\\ 258:6,\ 260:3,\\ 260:10,\ 261:3,\\ 261:10,\ 261:17,\\ 261:21,\ 261:25,\\ \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5 MACIAS [5] - 4:3,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25, 363:21, 364:21,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 266:3 mandated [2] - 340:5, 340:19	massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 8:20 matter [37] - 15:20, 15:21, 17:7, 32:24,
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ 125:5,\ 257:19,\\ 258:6,\ 260:3,\\ 260:10,\ 261:3,\\ 261:10,\ 261:17,\\ 261:21,\ 261:25,\\ 262:4,\ 262:9,\\ \hline \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5 MACIAS [5] - 4:3, 72:8, 72:13, 72:18,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25, 363:21, 364:21, 364:23, 373:12	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 266:3 mandated [2] - 340:5, 340:19 manipulated [1] - 52:5	<pre>massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 3:20 matter [37] - 15:20, 15:21, 17:7, 32:24, 50:12, 52:11, 55:17,</pre>
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-\\ 276:18,\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{machine}\ [72]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ 125:5,\ 257:19,\\ 258:6,\ 260:3,\\ 260:10,\ 261:3,\\ 260:10,\ 261:3,\\ 261:10,\ 261:17,\\ 261:21,\ 261:25,\\ 262:4,\ 262:9,\\ 262:14,\ 263:2,\\ \hline \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5 MACIAS [5] - 4:3, 72:8, 72:13, 72:18, 72:24	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25, 363:21, 364:21, 364:23, 373:12 mail-in [25] - 37:24,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 289:8 mandated [2] - 340:5, 340:19 manipulated [1] - 52:5 manner [2] - 17:7,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{massive} \ [2] - 339:22, \\ 340:7 \\ \textbf{master} \ [5] - 255:20, \\ 256:6, 258:5, \\ 274:14, 281:20 \\ \textbf{masters} \ [6] - 256:4, \\ 258:10, 258:14, \\ 258:10, 258:14, \\ 258:18, 258:22, \\ 261:22 \\ \textbf{match} \ [2] - 28:17, \\ 260:22 \\ \textbf{match} \ [2] - 28:17, \\ 260:22 \\ \textbf{mate} \ [1] - 183:25 \\ \textbf{materials} \ [3] - 33:11, \\ 126:25, 127:4 \\ \textbf{mathematical} \ [1] - \\ 99:17 \\ \textbf{Mathew} \ [1] - 8:21 \\ \textbf{MATHEW} \ [1] - 8:21 \\ \textbf{MATHEW} \ [1] - 3:20 \\ \textbf{matter} \ [37] - 15:20, \\ 15:21, 17:7, 32:24, \\ 50:12, 52:11, 55:17, \\ 58:16, 86:9, 96:8, \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ 125:5,\ 257:19,\\ 258:6,\ 260:3,\\ 260:10,\ 261:3,\\ 261:10,\ 261:17,\\ 261:21,\ 261:25,\\ 262:4,\ 262:9,\\ 262:14,\ 263:2,\\ 275:16,\ 275:23,\\ \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5 MACIAS [5] - 4:3, 72:8, 72:13, 72:18, 72:24 Macias [87] - 22:15,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25, 363:21, 364:21, 364:23, 373:12 mail-in [25] - 37:24, 337:17, 337:18,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 289:8 mandated [2] - 340:5, 340:19 manipulated [1] - 52:5 manner [2] - 17:7, 262:3	<pre>massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 8:21, 15:21, 17:7, 32:24, 50:12, 52:11, 55:17, 58:16, 86:9, 96:8, 118:16, 120:12,</pre>
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1,\ 68:10,\ 90:25,\\ 91:5,\ 97:9,\ 97:11,\\ 120:15,\ 120:24\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Luncheon}\ [1]-121:5\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Lyndhurst}\ [1]-2:21\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{M}\\ \hline \\ \textbf{M-A-C-I-A-S}\ [1]-73:3\\ \textbf{ma'am}\ [1]-333:17\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac}\ [2]-276:18,\\ 277:4\\ \hline \\ \textbf{Mac-based}\ [2]-23:11,\\ 43:6,\ 43:22,\ 79:24,\\ 80:1,\ 94:15,\ 96:5,\\ 125:5,\ 257:19,\\ 258:6,\ 260:3,\\ 260:10,\ 261:3,\\ 260:10,\ 261:3,\\ 261:10,\ 261:17,\\ 261:21,\ 261:25,\\ 262:4,\ 262:9,\\ 262:14,\ 263:2,\\ 275:16,\ 275:23,\\ 275:24,\ 275:25,\\ \end{array}$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5 MACIAS [5] - 4:3, 72:8, 72:13, 72:18, 72:24 Macias [87] - 22:15, 34:20, 72:4, 73:3,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25, 363:21, 364:21, 364:23, 373:12 mail-in [25] - 37:24, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 289:8 mandate [2] - 340:5, 340:19 manipulated [1] - 52:5 manner [2] - 17:7, 262:3 manufactured [1] -	<pre>massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 3:20 matter [37] - 15:20, 15:21, 17:7, 32:24, 50:12, 52:11, 55:17, 58:16, 86:9, 96:8, 118:16, 120:12, 125:16, 137:9,</pre>
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1, 68:10, 90:25,\\ 91:5, 97:9, 97:11,\\ 120:15, 120:24\\ \textbf{Luncheon [1] - 121:5}\\ \textbf{Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21}\\ \hline \\ \hline$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5 MACIAS [5] - 4:3, 72:8, 72:13, 72:18, 72:24 Macias [87] - 22:15, 34:20, 72:4, 73:3, 74:12, 75:14, 77:3,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25, 363:21, 364:21, 364:23, 373:12 mail-in [25] - 37:24, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 289:8 mandate [2] - 340:5, 340:19 manipulated [1] - 52:5 manner [2] - 17:7, 262:3 manufactured [1] - 49:17	<pre>massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 3:20 matter [37] - 15:20, 15:21, 17:7, 32:24, 50:12, 52:11, 55:17, 58:16, 86:9, 96:8, 118:16, 120:12, 125:16, 137:9, 165:9, 166:18,</pre>
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1, 68:10, 90:25,\\ 91:5, 97:9, 97:11,\\ 120:15, 120:24\\ \textbf{Luncheon [1] - 121:5}\\ \textbf{Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21}\\ \hline \\ \hline$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5 MACIAS [5] - 4:3, 72:8, 72:13, 72:18, 72:24 Macias [87] - 22:15, 34:20, 72:4, 73:3, 74:12, 75:14, 77:3, 77:23, 78:14, 79:11,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25, 363:21, 364:21, 364:23, 373:12 mail-in [25] - 37:24, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 289:8 mandate [2] - 340:5, 340:19 manipulated [1] - 52:5 manner [2] - 17:7, 262:3 manufactured [1] - 49:17 manufacturers [1] -	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{massive} \ [2] - 339:22, \\ 340:7 \\ \textbf{master} \ [5] - 255:20, \\ 256:6, 258:5, \\ 274:14, 281:20 \\ \textbf{masters} \ [6] - 256:4, \\ 258:10, 258:14, \\ 258:18, 258:22, \\ 261:22 \\ \textbf{match} \ [2] - 28:17, \\ 260:22 \\ \textbf{match} \ [2] - 28:17, \\ 260:22 \\ \textbf{mate} \ [1] - 183:25 \\ \textbf{materials} \ [3] - 33:11, \\ 126:25, 127:4 \\ \textbf{mathematical} \ [1] - \\ 99:17 \\ \textbf{Mathew} \ [1] - 8:21 \\ \textbf{MATHEW} \ [1] - 8:21 \\ \textbf{MATHEW} \ [1] - 8:20, \\ 15:21, 17:7, 32:24, \\ 50:12, 52:11, 55:17, \\ 58:16, 86:9, 96:8, \\ 118:16, 120:12, \\ 125:16, 137:9, \\ 165:9, 166:18, \\ 186:6, 189:13, \\ \end{array}$
68:1, 68:10, 90:25, 91:5, 97:9, 97:11, 120:15, 120:24 Luncheon [1] - 121:5 Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21 M M-A-C-I-A-S [1] - 73:3 ma'am [1] - 333:17 Mac [2] - 276:18, 277:4 Mac-based [2] - 276:18, 277:4 machine [72] - 23:11, 43:6, 43:22, 79:24, 80:1, 94:15, 96:5, 125:5, 257:19, 258:6, 260:3, 260:10, 261:3, 261:10, 261:17, 261:21, 261:25, 262:4, 262:9, 262:14, 263:2, 275:16, 275:23, 275:24, 275:25, 276:3, 276:6, 276:9, 276:11, 276:24, 276:20, 276:23,	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5 MACIAS [5] - 4:3, 72:8, 72:13, 72:18, 72:24 Macias [87] - 22:15, 34:20, 72:4, 73:3, 74:12, 75:14, 77:3, 77:23, 78:14, 79:11, 80:7, 80:15, 80:18,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25, 363:21, 364:21, 364:23, 373:12 mail-in [25] - 37:24, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 289:8 mandate [2] - 340:5, 340:19 manipulated [1] - 52:5 manner [2] - 17:7, 262:3 manufactured [1] - 49:17	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{massive} \ [2] - 339:22, \\ 340:7 \\ \textbf{master} \ [5] - 255:20, \\ 256:6, 258:5, \\ 274:14, 281:20 \\ \textbf{masters} \ [6] - 256:4, \\ 258:10, 258:14, \\ 258:10, 258:14, \\ 258:18, 258:22, \\ 261:22 \\ \textbf{match} \ [2] - 28:17, \\ 260:22 \\ \textbf{mate} \ [1] - 183:25 \\ \textbf{materials} \ [3] - 33:11, \\ 126:25, 127:4 \\ \textbf{mathematical} \ [1] - \\ 99:17 \\ \textbf{Mathew} \ [1] - 8:21 \\ \textbf{MATHEW} \ [1] - 8:21 \\ \textbf{MATHEW} \ [1] - 3:20 \\ \textbf{matter} \ [37] - 15:20, \\ 15:21, 17:7, 32:24, \\ 50:12, 52:11, 55:17, \\ 58:16, 86:9, 96:8, \\ 118:16, 120:12, \\ 125:16, 137:9, \\ 165:9, 166:18, \\ 186:6, 189:13, \\ 195:3, 196:8, 264:2, \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 68:1, 68:10, 90:25,\\ 91:5, 97:9, 97:11,\\ 120:15, 120:24\\ \textbf{Luncheon [1] - 121:5}\\ \textbf{Lyndhurst [1] - 2:21}\\ \hline \\ \hline$	262:5, 275:25, 276:5, 276:25, 279:14, 279:15, 288:16, 289:3, 291:25, 292:11, 295:21, 302:16, 302:20, 310:8, 337:25, 340:1, 340:10, 340:11, 340:13, 340:21, 340:25, 341:1, 341:3, 341:9, 341:10, 341:13, 341:21, 342:3, 342:4, 342:7, 343:5, 353:24, 356:19, 356:22, 356:25, 357:1, 357:3, 358:23, 358:24, 363:13, 365:21, 372:5 MACIAS [5] - 4:3, 72:8, 72:13, 72:18, 72:24 Macias [87] - 22:15, 34:20, 72:4, 73:3, 74:12, 75:14, 77:3, 77:23, 78:14, 79:11,	Macias' [2] - 115:6, 198:14 Mackey [3] - 111:9, 111:12, 112:21 magistrate [1] - 51:8 magnitude [1] - 44:16 mail [39] - 37:8, 37:24, 57:6, 94:19, 229:10, 254:21, 260:9, 260:16, 260:18, 260:22, 274:4, 274:7, 274:8, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5, 353:15, 353:19, 353:20, 353:22, 354:1, 354:5, 354:9, 354:20, 355:12, 355:16, 355:25, 363:21, 364:21, 364:23, 373:12 mail-in [25] - 37:24, 337:17, 337:18, 338:4, 339:14, 340:14, 340:15, 340:22, 344:5,	management [23] - 15:20, 74:11, 76:1, 77:8, 77:25, 78:18, 80:11, 80:16, 80:24, 127:11, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 132:8, 132:13, 138:14, 148:4, 159:16, 159:19, 163:16, 296:22, 297:9, 372:5 manager [13] - 104:5, 104:18, 189:3, 213:2, 307:20, 307:21, 307:23, 349:12, 367:4, 367:13, 370:6, 376:3, 384:11 managers [1] - 289:8 mandate [1] - 289:8 mandate [2] - 340:5, 340:19 manipulated [1] - 52:5 manner [2] - 17:7, 262:3 manufactured [1] - 49:17 manufacturers [1] -	<pre>massive [2] - 339:22, 340:7 master [5] - 255:20, 256:6, 258:5, 274:14, 281:20 masters [6] - 256:4, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18, 258:22, 261:22 match [2] - 28:17, 260:22 mate [1] - 183:25 materials [3] - 33:11, 126:25, 127:4 mathematical [1] - 99:17 Mathew [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 8:21 MATHEW [1] - 3:20 matter [37] - 15:20, 15:21, 17:7, 32:24, 50:12, 52:11, 55:17, 58:16, 86:9, 96:8, 118:16, 120:12, 125:16, 137:9, 165:9, 166:18, 186:6, 189:13, 195:3, 196:8, 264:2, 288:21, 288:23,</pre>

301:4, 323:2, 333:2,	means [10] - 42:17,	378:16, 381:14	227:15, 233:4,	mischaracterized [1] -
333:9, 333:22,	58:2, 60:15, 109:18,	Menendez [1] - 168:2	262:10, 263:14,	279:11
333:23, 358:4,	115:11, 316:12,	Menendez's [1] -	264:11, 274:11,	mischaracterizes [1] -
387:14, 390:5	350:14, 370:22,	212:16	289:24, 296:10,	279:8
matters [1] - 47:3	370:23, 370:24	mention [3] - 229:20,	299:4, 316:1,	miss [1] - 11:12
MATTHEW [1] - 3:16	meant [6] - 115:5,	229:23, 333:4	316:18, 316:20,	missed [2] - 248:5,
Matthew [1] - 10:1	115:18, 116:7,	mentioned [40] - 11:7,	317:3, 327:2, 362:2	346:18
max [1] - 365:16	241:13, 318:24,	77:23, 78:17,	Mile [1] - 126:21	missing [4] - 66:9,
mayor [2] - 291:13,	323:4	140:15, 171:11,	military [3] - 343:13,	66:11, 197:21,
322:3	meantime [1] - 357:10	181:9, 183:10,	344:6, 346:15	200:22
McKay [2] - 1:21,	meanwhile [1] -	194:25, 195:8,	million [2] - 265:16,	Misstates [1] - 379:17
390:11	310:12	212:25, 214:22,	343:6	misstates [2] - 379:22,
McKay-Soule [2] -	measured [1] - 178:4	215:14, 217:3,	mimic [1] - 144:19	381:21
1:21, 390:11	mechanical [1] - 1:23	222:13, 231:9,	Minchello [5] - 7:19,	mistake [2] - 290:15,
mean [93] - 12:22,	media [3] - 175:5,	231:10, 238:14,	9:8, 9:9, 10:2, 10:8	311:23
23:7, 24:2, 27:14,	175:25, 179:13	245:16, 251:25,	MINCHELLO [3] -	mistaken [1] - 199:15
36:7, 45:9, 45:17,	median [4] - 315:21,	253:3, 256:17,	3:14, 3:15, 9:7	mistakes [1] - 354:21
47:6, 48:4, 48:19,	315:23, 315:25,	259:15, 261:1,	mind [10] - 46:20,	misunderstanding [1]
57:8, 64:7, 65:24,	316:12	289:14, 319:22,	46:21, 197:5,	- 51:13
68:17, 69:22, 70:23,	meet [16] - 10:22,	322:22, 329:11,	228:10, 250:23,	mixed [1] - 294:4
71:16, 77:14, 78:6,	29:16, 36:2, 53:25,	333:1, 334:11,	278:18, 335:20,	mode [1] - 84:8
104:23, 105:20,	69:3, 117:5, 175:12,	335:23, 337:19,	336:10, 335.8,	modification [1] -
114:12, 115:7,	217:6, 217:12,	339:17, 345:20,	371:16	152:2
120:18, 143:20,	217:19, 217:20,	346:2, 346:6,	mindtul [3] - 69:17,	modifications [8] -
143:21, 157:23,	253:23, 256:23,	346:11, 346:12,	121:25, 309:7	105:14, 105:15,
170:22, 173:4,	257:23, 258:4,	347:9, 348:21,	minds [1] - 45:25	116:16, 133:20,
177:19, 180:24,	342:16	349:15	mine [10] - 171:7,	152:11, 152:23,
181:13, 183:5,	meeting [11] - 87:24,	mentions [1] - 28:23	172:5, 182:10,	153:1, 153:5
184:6, 184:10,	122:25, 175:25,	Mercer [6] - 7:20, 9:9,	182:21, 185:2,	modified [1] - 84:6
189:14, 194:25,	205:1, 205:3, 205:6,	10:2, 10:9 271:24,	185:7, 199:8,	modifies [1] - 348:6
198:21, 199:3,	216:14, 222:6,	278:20	237:17, 242:3,	modifying [1] - 83:24
199:6, 199:15,	377:6, 377:13, 380:5	merit [1] - 20:24	388:20	moment [9] - 29:21,
203:20, 204:3,	meetings [10] - 143:2,	menits [4] - 17:2, 21:5,	Mineral [1] - 3:23	54:8, 86:12, 91:21,
204:4, 204:8,	254:5, 254:10,	33:3, 53:15	minimal [2] - 25:20,	174:4, 186:17,
204:25, 205:12,	254:17, 255:2,	met [4] - 87:25, 156:6,	116:19	186:18, 259:12,
208:25, 214:8,	259:22, 273:5,	175:21, 216:22	minimum [1] - 26:23	361:11
216:14, 220:12,	273:19, 275:14,	method [1] - 153:25	minor [1] - 152:1	Monday [4] - 1:14,
224:17, 229:16,	379:12	mic [1] - 268:20	MINTZ [1] - 1:18	119:14, 119:20,
232:1, 235:19,	meets [1] - 224.2	Michael [1] - 8:13	Mintz [2] - 6:22, 7:2	387:9
236:11, 238:8,	Megan 121 - 1:21,	MICHAEL [1] - 3:11	minute [9] - 19:21,	monetary [1] - 11:11
239:16, 239:24,	390:11	microphone [2] -	47:11, 193:3, 197:5,	money [7] - 265:7,
240:1, 245:18,	Megan's [1] - 268:21	90:16, 270:13	197:10, 197:16,	325:3, 328:15,
245:19, 245:25,	Megan_McKay [1] -	mics [1] - 268:23	267:19, 280:7,	328:19, 329:5,
247:8, 255:5,	1:22	mid [2] - 186:4, 333:2	375:25	385:7, 386:17
255:19, 257:15,	Megan_McKay-	mid-December [1] -	minutes [25] - 20:2,	monitoring [1] -
262:19, 262:23,	Soule@njd.	333:2	20:10, 22:25, 67:17,	131:14
273:22, 275:10,	uscourts.gov [1] -	middle [4] - 15:18,	69:9, 71:2, 111:5,	Monmouth [45] - 1:8,
279:17, 279:20, 206:7, 207:24	1:22	51:14, 51:17, 386:20	248:23, 249:15,	2:7, 8:23, 9:1, 9:4,
296:7, 297:24, 208:15, 300:24	member [6] - 140:2,	MIDDLESEX [1] - 3:11	249:21, 283:19,	40:19, 93:13,
298:15, 300:24, 307:8, 315:21,	140:4, 141:15,	Middlesex [2] - 8:13,	287:12, 288:8,	156:14, 157:6,
316:3, 324:21,	141:20, 223:1,	8:14	288:10, 288:14,	182:7, 211:13,
327:17, 328:18,	321:24	midnight [2] - 307:6,	307:20, 310:12,	287:23, 307:15,
335:2, 335:8, 349:3,	members [4] - 38:6,	309:11	375:5, 375:8,	336:17, 336:22,
360:3, 368:17,	141:23, 343:13,	might [34] - 32:4, 44:3,	375:12, 375:21,	336:24, 337:1,
369:7, 371:13,	347:11	52:25, 69:22, 119:4,	384:17, 384:18,	337:5, 337:8,
381:16, 384:1,	memo [1] - 82:13	146:6, 160:17,	388:20	337:20, 337:22,
386:12	memorize [1] - 164:4	171:5, 176:12,	mischaracter [1] -	338:13, 338:16,
meaning [4] - 84:11,	memory [7] - 76:11,	183:22, 188:25,	42:6	338:18, 338:22,
266:9, 274:16, 275:7	76:23, 137:23,	190:24, 212:22,	mischaracterization	339:19, 339:21,
,,	137:25, 228:1,	224:17, 227:4,	[1] - 97:1	339:25, 340:7,

340:9, 342:21,	220:6, 241:11,	66:20, 66:22, 67:2,	193:22, 194:13,	251:10, 251:12,
343:2, 343:4,	241:21, 261:11,	67:5, 67:11, 67:14,	193.22, 194.13, 194:15, 197:1,	251:10, 251:12, 251:12, 251:19, 251:21,
346:21, 346:22,	262:25, 332:24,	68:13, 68:19, 69:10, 70:18, 71:6, 71:8,	197:4, 197:12, 107:14, 107:24	251:24, 253:15, 262:8, 262:11,
347:14, 347:18,	333:19, 380:10		197:14, 197:24,	, ,
350:12, 350:18,	moved [5] - 14:21,	72:8, 72:13, 72:18,	198:3, 198:8,	262:14, 262:21,
350:21, 351:2,	34:17, 59:24,	75:2, 77:13, 78:4,	198:11, 198:16,	262:24, 263:1,
358:20, 363:13,	118:16, 120:2	79:13, 79:16, 79:19,	198:18, 198:23,	264:10, 264:13,
365:10, 371:2	moving [18] - 21:4,	79:22, 83:5, 83:14,	199:9, 199:16,	264:16, 264:19,
Monmouthcountyvot	27:16, 58:7, 58:8,	84:9, 85:6, 85:11,	199:17, 200:1,	265:4, 265:11,
es.com [1] - 345:17	101:25, 214:24,	85:15, 86:18, 86:22, 87:12, 87:25, 88:9,	200:11, 200:14,	266:5, 266:6, 267:8,
month [4] - 138:20,	247:8, 283:22,		200:19, 201:15,	267:11, 267:13,
196:4, 216:20,	306:3, 309:1,	88:18, 89:16, 89:20,	201:16, 202:2,	267:15, 267:21,
305:21	335:19, 335:21,	89:24, 90:10, 90:13,	202:5, 202:7,	268:1, 268:2, 269:2,
months [5] - 46:25,	335:24, 344:18,	90:17, 90:19, 92:18,	202:17, 202:19,	269:24, 270:1,
48:6, 48:11, 225:4,	344:19, 357:24,	94:22, 95:12, 95:17,	202:22, 202:24,	270:3, 270:8,
309:16	379:8, 384:15	96:20, 98:24, 99:5,	208:16, 208:18,	270:12, 270:16,
moot [1] - 36:12	MR [629] - 4:4, 4:5,	99:8, 104:13, 105:20, 106:2	211:7, 211:12,	270:18, 271:12,
moreover [1] - 34:25	4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:7,	105:20, 106:2,	211:14, 218:8, 219:11, 219:13	271:14, 271:17,
morning [50] - 6:7,	4:8, 4:8, 4:9, 4:10,	107:16, 107:25,	218:11, 218:13, 219:16, 219:19	271:19, 271:21,
6:19, 6:20, 6:21,	4:11, 4:12, 4:13,	108:4, 114:11,	218:16, 218:18, 210:0, 210:11	273:16, 273:17,
6:24, 6:25, 7:4, 7:8,	4:14, 4:14, 4:15,	114:18, 115:8, 115:15, 116:4	219:9, 219:14, 210:18, 210:24	277:18, 277:23,
7:14, 7:15, 7:17,	4:15, 4:16, 4:17,	115:15, 116:4,	219:18, 219:24,	278:3, 278:8,
7:18, 7:21, 7:22,	4:18, 6:21, 6:25, 7:7,	118:1, 118:22, 110:1, 110:0, 120:5	220:4, 220:7, 220:22, 220:24	278:17, 278:21,
7:25, 8:1, 8:4, 8:5,	7:15, 7:18, 7:22, 8:1,	119:1, 119:9, 120:5,	220.22, 220:24,	279:8, 279:22,
8:8, 8:11, 8:12, 8:16,	8:5, 8:8, 8:12, 8:17,	120:16, 120:20,	221:15, 221:20, 221:22, 221:25,	280:1, 280:3, 280:11, 280:14
8:20, 8:24, 9:2, 9:6,	8:20, 8:25, 9:3, 9:7,	121:2, 122:3, 122:6,	221.22, 221.25, 222:9, 227:4, 227:7,	280:11, 280:14, 280:18, 281:11,
9:7, 9:18, 9:21, 9:22,	9:12, 9:18, 9:22,	122:13, 122:18, 122:23, 123:3,	227:9, 227:12,	281:13, 281:16,
9:25, 10:3, 10:4,	10:1, 10:7, 10:12,	123:6, 123:18,	227:15, 227:22,	282:3, 283:8,
10:7, 10:15, 16:6,	11:14, 12:13, 12:18,	123:19, 129:12,	228:1, 228:5,	283:10, 283:13,
20:4, 22:12, 22:13,	13:12, 13:14, 13:18,	130:2, 133.4, 133:9,	228:12, 228:15,	283:18, 283:25,
28:7, 31:9, 31:10,	13:21, 14:3, 15:14,	141:14, 141:16,	228:18, 228:25,	284:3, 284:11,
60:7, 60:8, 121:21,	16:2, 16:9, 16:21,	141:18, 141:21,	229:2, 230:8,	284:15, 284:17,
124:11, 200:12,	17:24, 18:3, 18:6, 10:2, 10:8, 10:13	143:24, 144:1,	230:10, 230:19,	284:19, 285:2,
336:8, 350:3, 358:3	19:2, 19:8, 19:13, 19:16, 23:10, 24:13,	147:15, 148:9,	230:25, 231:18,	285:9, 285:15,
Morris [4] - 3:7, 10:6,	24:23, 25:11, 25:13,	150:4, 150:19,	231:22, 231:25,	285:18, 285:25,
93:17, 182:19	27:22, 28:14, 28:19,	150:20, 153:12,	232:5, 232:15,	286:10, 286:12,
most [19] - 10:15,	28:21, 29:4, 29:6,	153:17, 153:18,	232:19, 232:24,	287:8, 290:4, 290:8,
29:13, 69:13, 84:25,	29:20, 29:24, 30:12,	155:17, 155:24,	233:4, 233:11,	290:12, 290:15,
155:11, 155:14,	31:6, 31:9, 31:11,	156:2, 156:9,	233:14, 233:19,	290:18, 290:20,
171:19, 179:15, 183:10, 266:16,	34:10, 34:19, 36:21,	156:12, 156:14,	233:21, 233:25,	290:25, 291:1,
183:10, 266:16, 297:11, 298:16,	38:3, 39:16, 39:20,	156:19, 157:2,	234:25, 235:5,	303:9, 303:12,
297.11, 298.16, 307:20, 308:16,	39:25, 40:8, 41:6,	157:5, 157:7,	235:8, 235:21,	303:16, 303:18,
336:1, 337:15,	41:8, 42:9, 42:15,	157:25, 158:4,	235:23, 235:24,	304:23, 304:24,
345:18, 377:3, 379:9	42:18, 42:20, 44:1,	158:7, 158:10,	236:4, 236:19,	305:25, 306:5,
motion [3] - 44:21,	44:24, 45:6, 45:12,	158:11, 159:4,	239:2, 239:4, 239:6,	306:11, 306:18,
54:18, 313:18	45:14, 45:16, 45:18,	159:9, 162:13,	241:6, 241:15,	306:22, 307:1,
motioning [1] - 120:21	45:24, 47:9, 48:3,	162:20, 162:24,	241:22, 243:4,	307:3, 307:7,
motions [17] - 28:22,	48:14, 49:2, 49:25,	163:8, 164:2, 164:8,	243:11, 243:15,	307:14, 307:17,
29:2, 29:7, 29:10,	50:8, 50:16, 51:7,	164:22, 165:6,	243:16, 243:21,	307:19, 307:23,
29:2, 29.7, 29.10, 29:17, 69:24, 70:14,	51:10, 51:16, 53:6,	165:13, 165:25,	243:25, 244:2,	308:3, 308:6, 308:9,
94:23, 97:9, 118:19,	54:6, 54:9, 54:11,	166:1, 166:23,	244:5, 244:10,	308:14, 309:5,
118:24, 119:5,	54:15, 54:25, 55:5,	166:25, 187:4,	244:13, 246:9,	309:13, 309:19,
119:6, 119:7,	55:7, 56:3, 56:22,	187:7, 187:9,	246:11, 246:16,	309:24, 310:3,
119:11, 119:17	57:4, 57:16, 57:25,	187:13, 187:17,	246:18, 247:5,	310:6, 310:14,
mouth [1] - 36:8	59:5, 59:7, 59:10,	187:22, 187:24,	247:10, 247:15,	310:23, 311:6,
move [17] - 36:6, 36:9,	59:13, 59:16, 59:21,	188:4, 188:15,	247:25, 248:3,	312:13, 313:13,
36:10, 78:12, 94:16,	60:1, 60:4, 60:6,	188:17, 188:19,	248:6, 248:17,	313:20, 313:23,
154:10, 195:11,	60:8, 61:18, 62:9,	193:3, 193:5, 193:8,	249:4, 249:10,	314:3, 314:4, 315:5,
213:23, 215:17,	62:17, 62:20, 62:24,	193:12, 193:15,	249:16, 249:23,	315:9, 325:7, 325:8,
,,	64:17, 66:6, 66:13,	193:18, 193:20,	250:22, 251:9,	325:11, 330:24,

332:4, 332:5, 332:7,	79:14, 80:6, 83:11,	329:17, 379:14	Nancy [2] - 3:13, 8:14	235:11, 240:8,
332:16, 332:18,	83:20, 84:15, 85:20,	multitude [2] - 99:21,	narrative [1] - 52:13	297:5, 298:4, 317:6
332:20, 332:21,	86:14, 86:15, 87:2,	385:19	narrowing [1] - 29:8	necessary [29] -
332:25, 334:4,	87:6, 89:2, 89:4,	municipal [16] -	NATALE [78] - 3:2,	11:11, 13:8, 17:14,
334:7, 334:9,	89:7, 90:4, 90:6,	245:22, 246:4,	4:4, 4:10, 4:11, 4:14,	33:16, 70:13, 78:25,
334:10, 334:22,	90:23, 91:3, 91:7,	245.22, 240.4, 246:5, 252:8,		81:16, 81:19, 81:21,
335:1, 335:8,	91:15, 91:16, 91:21,		8:5, 23:10, 25:13,	
335:13, 335:17,	91:23, 92:24, 95:7,	252:11, 272:6,	27:22, 79:13, 79:16,	82:10, 137:11,
336:16, 344:7,	96:4, 97:13, 97:14,	273:1, 342:13,	79:19, 79:22, 83:14,	152:2, 163:15,
344:12, 344:17,	99:12, 100:24,	342:23, 343:19,	85:6, 85:11, 85:15,	163:17, 164:23, 165:1, 171:12,
344:12, 344:17, 344:21,		347:2, 352:17,	90:10, 90:13, 90:17,	
	104:16, 104:22,	358:25, 361:12,	90:19, 98:24, 99:5,	176:20, 185:6,
344:23, 345:2,	106:14, 108:10,	361:13, 374:8	120:16, 120:20,	189:21, 190:1,
345:5, 345:9,	109:11, 109:22,	municipalities [4] -	123:6, 123:18,	191:9, 195:2, 196:1,
350:15, 350:17,	109:23, 111:4,	338:25, 342:13,	123:19, 129:12,	196:20, 196:21,
350:20, 351:10,	111:7, 111:20,	342:14, 347:3	130:2, 133:4, 133:9,	217:2, 217:24,
351:13, 351:15,	113:13, 114:22,	municipality [5] -	141:14, 141:16,	326:17
351:17, 351:20,	115:1, 115:12,	170:24, 239:25,	141:18, 141:21,	necessitated [1] -
351:23, 358:16,	115:20, 117:3,	245:21, 246:5,	143:24, 144:1,	12:19
358:18, 359:24,	118:10, 118:15,	338:20	147:15, 148:9,	neck [1] - 66:4
360:1, 360:6,	119:8, 119:12,	Murphy [7] - 178:11,	150:4, 150:19.	need [74] - 19:7, 20:2,
360:11, 360:22,	119:15, 119:22,	179:5, 186:5,	150:20, 153:12,	20:4, 20:8, 23:13,
360:23, 363:3,	120:1, 120:9,	204:11, 204:16,	247:5, 251 9,	30:14, 38:11, 55:13,
364:11, 364:13,	120:13, 123:10,	204:21, 215:22	251:10, 251:24,	67:23, 68:10, 69:4,
366:6, 366:9,	129:6, 129:10,	musical [1] - 121:18	253: 15, 262:11,	69:5, 71:10, 79:18,
366:20, 366:21,	133:3, 141:2,	must [9] - 22:9, 69:7,	262:14, 262:21,	83:3, 83:12, 90:25,
367:3, 367:8,	143:15, 143:17,	131:7, 131:9,	262:24, 263:1,	102:14, 105:12,
367:16, 367:20,	147:2, 147:5, 147:9,	152:23, 179:13,	264:10, 264:13,	106:16, 106:23,
368:6, 368:8, 368:9,	149:21, 149:24,	198:24, 338:21,	264:16, 265:4,	106:25, 112:5,
368:14, 368:20,	150:15, 153:10,	344:4	277:18, 280:1,	121:16, 122:2,
369:6, 370:15,	157:20, 166:22,	mystery [1] · 233:3	280:14, 281:13,	124:17, 145:24,
371:12, 371:19,	200:22, 227:19,		281:16, 282:3,	149:18, 150:23,
371:20, 371:23,	228:9, 232:23,	N N	285:9, 312:13,	151:19, 154:15,
371:25, 373:7,	242:14, 242:17,		313:20, 313:23,	164:4, 192:24,
374:19, 375:20, 375:22, 375:23,	248:20, 273:15,	name [37] - 61:14,	314:3, 314:4, 315:5,	199:21, 212:20,
379:17, 379:19,	287:20, 287:22, 288:2, 288:3, 290:1.	73:1, 73:2, 102:14,	315:9, 325:8,	213:10, 217:4,
379:22, 379:23,	290:6, 290:23,	155:2, 157:11,	325:11, 330:24,	233:17, 260:12,
380:2, 380:4,	290.0, 290.23, 291:4, 291:7, 296:2,	165:18, 165:19,	332:5, 332:7, 334:4	260:14, 262:5,
381:21, 382:2,	291.4, 291.7, 230.2, 296:15, 296:17,	165:20, 170:16,	Natale [5] - 8:6, 29:14,	276:1, 284:8, 302:1,
384:19, 384:20,	296:19, 297:16,	192:10, 201:24,	68:13, 90:15, 156:17	302:4, 302:11,
386:6, 386:8,	298:17, 303:6,	202:8, 211:12,	nation [1] - 181:4	303:22, 307:21,
386:24, 387:3,	304:20, 351:12,	240:19, 246:13,	National [1] - 169:9	308:21, 309:8,
		251:3, 251:4,	national [3] - 31:13,	317:20, 345:15,
387:16, 388:1, 388:5, 388:7, 388:8,	351:14, 379:16, 388:16	251:25, 263:15,	297:8	354:11, 356:25,
388:11, 388:14,	multipage [6] - 145:9,	263:22, 285:22,	natural [3] - 317:12,	357:3, 357:11, 358:2, 358:7
388:19	145:13, 145:17,	285:23, 287:22, 305:2, 310:10	326:15, 326:21	358:2, 358:7, 360:15, 361:8
MS [143] - 4:4, 4:12,	145:23, 145:25,	305:2, 310:19, 310:20, 336:5	naturally [1] - 316:21	360:15, 361:8, 361:10, 363:10
6:13, 6:16, 6:20,	145.23, 145.23, 146:5	310:20, 336:5, 336:6, 370:10	nature [14] - 35:7,	361:10, 363:10, 363:14, 364:1,
8:23, 9:10, 10:4,	multiple [30] - 28:3,	336:6, 370:19, 373:18, 375:17	44:16, 55:3, 94:23,	364:5, 364:19,
22:11, 22:14, 22:17,	78:19, 78:20, 80:10,	373:18, 375:17, 375:18, 377:21	112:8, 166:13,	365:3, 365:19,
22:23, 23:2, 23:22,	81:3, 85:15, 98:1,	375:18, 377:21, 377:22, 378:15	214:8, 219:17,	366:25, 368:17,
24:1, 26:18, 26:20,	107:17, 112:1,	377:22, 378:15	220:13, 222:16,	368:19, 368:23,
27:4, 27:10, 27:13,	135:13, 152:18,	named [1] - 181:22	224:12, 294:6,	379:5, 387:2
27:17, 28:12, 67:16,	154:1, 154:2,	names [18] - 56:19,	294:7, 294:8	
67:25, 68:5, 69:20,	170:24, 184:19,	58:7, 58:8, 61:13,	near [4] - 257:5,	needed [14] - 69:18, 100:4, 122:17
70:12, 71:25, 72:4,	260:19, 272:6,	62:5, 62:7, 81:17,	270:14, 379:10	100:4, 122:17, 146:1, 146:7
72:7, 72:9, 72:11,	294:13, 294:14,	81:18, 82:15, 110:23, 102:10	nearly [1] - 151:15	146:1, 146:7, 170:11 174:16
73:4, 73:6, 74:20,	294:18, 295:6,	110:23, 192:10, 350:1, 350:4, 350:5	necessarily [11] -	170:11, 174:16, 191:1, 196:19,
74:23, 75:9, 75:13,	295:13, 300:7,	350:1, 350:4, 350:5, 353:7, 354:16	57:12, 143:10,	217:15, 238:11,
77:21, 77:22, 78:3,	300:17, 303:2,	353:7, 354:16, 355:22	185:15, 192:21,	262:15, 342:18,
78:7, 78:11, 78:13,	309:16, 309:20,	000.22	195:5, 203:24,	_02.10, 012.10,
, - , · - · - ,	······································			

381:7	38:16, 38:17, 38:20,	252:21, 260:8,	222:7, 323:3	213:13, 213:16,
needs [17] - 19:25,	39:4, 39:7, 40:15,	286:18, 289:4,	non-county-line [1] -	213:19, 215:13,
20:1, 20:9, 27:18,	41:16, 60:12, 61:23,	292:5, 296:23,	323:3	215:14, 217:18,
63:13, 83:13, 85:16,	65:11, 74:17, 75:15,	297:3, 297:5, 297:7,	non-speculative [4] -	238:23, 242:12,
251:16, 283:20,	76:7, 77:24, 80:9,	298:18, 298:25,	189:17, 190:10,	242:23, 268:12,
308:19, 308:23,	80:16, 81:11, 85:3,	299:10, 299:14,	196:14, 222:7	272:4, 376:6, 377:7,
348:16, 348:17,	86:1, 86:2, 86:4,	300:23, 301:17,	nonacademic [2] -	377:12, 383:18,
357:2, 357:5,	86:5, 86:6, 86:7,	301:20, 301:23,	314:14, 314:16	384:7
357:15, 357:21	94:20, 95:10, 96:1,	302:2, 302:24,	none [8] - 30:12,	NUMBER [1] - 1:4
negligent [1] - 250:11	96:15, 96:18, 97:19,	314:12, 322:4,	41:22, 50:12, 50:13,	number [61] - 6:8,
negotiations [1] - 50:4	97:20, 98:3, 98:9,	323:13, 338:17,	144:14, 325:5,	13:23, 64:24, 82:23,
Nelson [6] - 8:25, 9:3,	98:15, 98:17,	339:3, 339:4,	338:11, 382:16	88:21, 100:10,
9:4, 157:5, 157:6,	101:10, 101:20,	343:11, 345:13,	nonetheless [2] -	100:11, 110:19,
287:23	101:23, 102:9,	347:10, 348:19,	203:12, 204:6	110:25, 111:1,
NELSON [17] - 2:5,	103:2, 103:21,	376:3, 376:13,	nonpartisan [1] -	116:18, 116:22,
2:5, 4:5, 9:3, 156:2,	105:3, 105:4, 105:9,	377:24, 380:11,	352:17	116:23, 117:15,
156:12, 156:14,	106:3, 106:5, 106:6,	381:18, 383:11,	nonparty [2] - 198:10,	144:23, 145:5,
156:19, 157:2,	106:13, 106:22,	383:12, 387:22	200:11	145:6, 145:20,
157:5, 157:7,	107:8, 107:13,	Newark [2] - 2:15, 7:9	nonsense [1] - 369:17	152:19, 161:10,
157:25, 158:4,	108:14, 108:16,	next [39] - 15:25,	nonspeculative [1] -	161:13, 161:14,
158:7, 158:10,	109:7, 110:1,	61:13, 62:5, 69:21,	243:2	161:16, 165:1,
158:11, 159:4	113:18, 117:7,	107:9, 154:8,	Noon [1] - 377:25	173:4, 178:15,
net [3] - 178:15,	117:23, 118:11,	154:11, 156:24,	normai [10] - 136:5,	178:16, 179:7,
178:17, 178:18	118:13, 125:1,	165:11, 188:8,	136:17, 136:24,	179:25, 191:18,
never [18] - 58:11,	125:5, 125:10,	239:20, 241:2,	149:6, 149:12,	193:13, 204:3,
131:4, 139:5,	125:12, 125:20,	241:18, 242:6,	153:2, 160:23,	210:9, 211:1, 211:2,
145:12, 145:13,	126:9, 126:12,	247:4, 254:7,	161:2, 253:18, 261:5	215:2, 216:3,
145:16, 211:25,	126:17, 126:19,	254:18, 258:5,	normally [5] - 11:19,	227:13, 227:14,
213:3, 216:24,	126:23, 126:24,	283:9, 283:17,	149:2, 149:5,	232:9, 235:9,
228:10, 261:15,	127:7, 127:24,	296:9, 306:4, 306:5,	149:13, 342:19	267:12, 273:23,
278:18, 295:10,	128:3, 128:7,	306:15, 308.18,	northern [1] - 320:22	273:24, 274:3,
298:21, 299:13,	128:11, 128:13,	319:20, 321:9,	note [12] - 24:9, 28:10,	274:7, 274:8,
315:7, 333:23	128:25, 129:3,	352:11, 352:25,	30:1, 58:9, 89:16,	278:14, 290:24,
NEW [5] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4,	130:5, 130:9,	363:11, 366:11,	92:21, 95:17, 96:21,	300:10, 303:24,
3:8, 3:11	130:20, 135:16,	367:2, 367:4, 371:8,	97:3, 247:10,	361:10, 361:19,
new [42] - 18:4, 27:8,	138:22, 139:7,	373:6, 375:5,	283:10, 283:12	365:6, 365:16,
34:22, 34:23, 37:16,	139:11, 139:14,	377:17, 378:7	noted [8] - 89:25,	366:1, 372:1, 373:15
57:20, 70:21,	139:19, 139:24,	NGOs [1] - 176:1	95:11, 95:13, 97:6,	Number [2] - 89:4,
105:13, 107:12,	140:2, 140:6,	nice [1] - 37:18	116:8, 283:12,	303:21
108:15, 108:20,	140:25, 141:10,	night [1] - 305:15	285:14, 331:8	numbers [6] - 32:15,
130:16, 155:4,	141:24, 142:24,	nine [8] - 101:9, 178:8,	nothing [18] - 12:1,	82:1, 127:18,
163:10, 163:11,	143:3, 143:14,	186:7, 210:19,	20:15, 21:9, 28:19,	273:23, 334:18,
164:1, 171:4,	144:5, 144:15,	330:7, 384:1	54:21, 54:23,	357:6
230:16, 255:5,	157:10, 163:10,	nip [1] - 250:7	151:18, 164:5,	numerous [2] - 146:2,
255:6, 257:24,	163:13, 163:18,	NJ [7] - 3:9, 3:13,	165:6, 201:1, 246:9,	322:17
259:13, 261:15,	164:10, 164:12,	3:18, 3:21, 3:24,	256:13, 256:23,	
263:3, 275:25,	164:19, 166:5,	126:19, 127:11	250.15, 250.25, 257:2, 281:11,	0
276:10, 279:14,	167:8, 167:15,	Noah [2] - 307:19,	326:10, 365:13	-
330:13, 330:21,	168:13, 168:18,	375:19	notice [4] - 15:24,	o'clock [2] - 156:22,
331:10, 340:13,	169:4, 175:10,	NOAH [2] - 4:17,	18:1, 18:5, 137:25	308:24
340:15, 340:18,	176:2, 177:12,	375:15	noticed [1] - 199:9	oath [2] - 123:8,
340:19, 340:21,	178:10, 180:5,	Nobody [1] - 246:15	notified [1] - 308:13	123:15
341:13, 342:15,	180:11, 181:2,	nobody [2] - 52:14,	notion [1] - 293:9	object [15] - 71:4,
359:17, 359:20	181:10, 184:21,	281:14	notwithstanding [3] -	71:22, 89:22, 90:19,
New [198] - 1:14, 1:20,	192:10, 203:18,	nobody's [1] - 375:11	88:6, 275:2, 278:11	92:18, 99:3, 99:5,
2:3, 2:7, 2:11, 2:15,	206:17, 206:25,	noise [4] - 34:4, 73:24,	88:6, 275:2, 278:11 November [25] -	187:8, 187:16,
2:18, 2:21, 2:24, 3:3,	207:1, 212:5, 231:2,	164:20, 198:4		218:10, 231:25,
3:6, 3:13, 7:9, 14:11,	234:14, 234:22,	nominees [1] - 31:15	46:24, 50:22, 56:9, 103:10, 166:7	234:25, 296:8,
27:24, 31:12, 31:21,	236:24, 237:2,	non [5] - 189:17,	103:10, 166:7, 173:9, 186:4,	335:12
33:19, 33:25, 37:22,	237:18, 246:20,	190:10, 196:14,	173.9, 186.4, 188:22, 188:23,	objected [1] - 78:10
	248:22, 252:13,	,,	100.22, 100.20,	

chiecting m. 70:04	abiaationa wa	04:0 04:12 04:20	200.14 200.21	207.22 207.25
objecting [3] - 70:24,	objections [10] -	94:9, 94:12, 94:20,	300:14, 300:21,	297:23, 297:25,
99:4, 168:17	86:24, 157:24,	95:6, 95:10, 95:25,	301:13, 302:13,	298:15, 298:19,
Objection [1] - 79:13	187:13, 235:22,	96:7, 96:11, 97:17, 97:23, 98:16, 99:18,	302:24, 303:2, 317:3, 317:5, 319:5,	299:2, 299:23,
objection [127] -	251:18, 279:24,	100:1, 101:13,		300:4, 300:14, 301:13, 302:13,
23:21, 23:25, 24:3,	280:24, 281:1,	, ,	319:6, 336:23,	, ,
26:4, 26:9, 28:10,	285:14, 374:22	101:15, 103:3,	337:21, 338:3,	302:24, 350:21, 251:25, 252:2
68:15, 70:17, 70:19,	objective [1] - 52:9	103:5, 103:22,	340:16, 340:18, 340:20, 340:23,	351:25, 352:2, 352:5, 352:13,
74:25, 77:13, 78:3,	obligations [1] - 310:7	103:24, 106:8, 107:14, 108:24,	, ,	, ,
78:8, 78:9, 79:16,	obliterate [1] - 66:17		340:24, 341:2, 342:24, 348:16,	360:24, 361:2, 261:25, 272:6
80:4, 83:8, 83:14,	observe [3] - 24:7,	112:9, 112:19, 115:25, 116:11		361:25, 372:6,
84:9, 85:6, 85:13,	30:7, 170:3	115:25, 116:11,	350:21, 351:25,	373:10, 374:12
85:17, 87:10, 87:13,	observing [1] - 30:24	117:6, 118:13,	352:2, 352:5,	Office-Block [1] -
87:17, 88:13, 88:14,	obtain [1] - 339:11	128:12, 139:8,	352:13, 354:11,	286:19
88:22, 88:25, 89:23,	obvious [1] - 118:2	139:24, 139:25,	354:16, 354:17,	office-block-design
89:25, 90:10, 90:12,	obviously [8] - 67:10,	140:6, 141:24,	356:18, 357:8,	[2] - 229:25, 231:4
90:18, 91:6, 91:7,	69:11, 118:15,	143:7, 143:12,	358:23, 360:24,	office-block-like [2] -
92:21, 94:22, 95:17,	155:7, 164:15,	153:20, 153:22,	361:2, 361:21,	96:11, 101:13
96:22, 97:3, 97:6,	175:7, 188:4, 384:1	154:19, 158:15,	361:25, 371:13,	office-block-like-
98:24, 107:23,	occupation [1] - 166:3	164:24, 169:4,	372:6, 373:10,	layout [1] - 297:19
108:9, 114:17,	occur [4] - 46:18,	176:19, 180:12,	373:18, 374:12	office-block-style [1] -
115:13, 115:19,	127:17, 127:19,	181:7, 181:10,	office-block [113] -	180:12
116:3, 116:4, 116:8,	134:2	181:20, 209:1,	35:1, 92:12, 92:19,	office-blocks [1] -
118:1, 120:4, 129:6,	occurred [5] - 131:18,	213:11, 218:3,	93:1, 93:5, 93:10,	303:2
129:7, 129:14,	142:17, 144:15,	225:3, 225:13,	93:14, 93:18, 94:7,	officer [1] - 18:13
133:3, 141:2, 141:6,	197:18, 287:15	229:10, 229:25,	94:3, 94:9, 94:12,	officers [4] - 41:13,
143:15, 143:22,	Ocean [3] - 93:12,	230:4, 231:4,	94:20, 95:6, 95:10,	121:20, 310:7,
143:25, 147:2,	318:12, 318:15	231:15, 234:4,	95:25, 96:7, 97:17,	388:22
147:19, 149:21,	October [6] - 215:7,	246:4, 246:5, 266:3.	97:23, 98:16, 99:18,	offices [31] - 64:24,
150:14, 153:10,	225:16, 225:17,	268:5, 269:10,	100:1, 101:15,	82:23, 140:16,
157:20, 158:9,	225:20, 376:5,	269:11, 269:18,	103:3, 103:5,	142:25, 143:5,
162:16, 162:22,	383:14	270:23, 271:8,	103:22, 103:24,	157:8, 158:20,
163:24, 187:6,	odd [1] - 120:22	272:6, 272:12,	106:8, 107:14,	158:23, 160:7,
187:7, 187:12,	oddities [1] - 184:3	272:14, 272:20,	108:24, 112:9,	170:18, 203:10,
187:19, 187:20,	OF [1] - 1:1	272:23, 277:17,	112:19, 115:25,	246:20, 295:6,
202:17, 202:22,	off-of-the-grid [1] -	278:7, 278:12,	116:11, 117:6,	298:12, 299:10,
208:16, 218:9,	297:20	278:15, 279:1,	118:13, 153:20,	324:24, 337:7,
218:11, 219:9,	offensive [2] - 17:6,	279:2, 279:6, 282:9,	181:10, 225:3,	337:12, 337:16,
219:17, 219:25,	17:6	282:14, 289:17,	225:13, 229:10,	337:19, 338:10,
221:15, 222:4,	offer [11] - 28.23,	291:8, 292:1,	230:4, 231:15,	340:19, 341:24,
230:8, 230:19,	70:14, 80:19, 80:21,	292:15, 292:19,	234:4, 266:3, 268:5,	343:21, 346:21,
231:18, 235:4,	81:13, 93:23, 94:2,	292:22, 293:1,	269:11, 269:18,	353:3, 355:22,
236:11, 241:6,	94:20, 95:10,	293:6, 293:10,	270:23, 272:12,	358:20, 358:21,
241:18, 242:14,	106:18, 323:13	293:13, 293:14,	272:14, 272:20,	359:9, 361:10
251:19, 262:8,	offered [10] - 27:18,	293:18, 294:2,	272:23, 277:17,	official [26] - 1:8, 1:11,
265:4, 266:5,	86:9, 86:22, 89:6,	294:6, 294:8,	278:7, 278:12,	41:19, 78:21, 82:18,
277:18, 278:17,	89:13, 91:12,	294:14, 294:16,	278:15, 279:1,	100:12, 100:16,
279:8, 280:1,	111:14, 113:10,	294:17, 294:18,	289:17, 291:8,	103:9, 117:18,
283:10, 283:12,	146:12, 147:11	294:20, 294:24,	292:1, 292:15,	134:25, 136:5,
296:2, 296:9,	offering [1] - 107:14	295:8, 295:10,	292:19, 292:22,	136:11, 136:19,
297:16, 304:17,	offers [2] - 93:22,	295:11, 295:14,	293:1, 293:6,	136:21, 139:20,
304:18, 304:20,	115:3	295:24, 296:3,	293:10, 293:13,	162:11, 163:18,
313:13, 325:7,	Office [2] - 286:19,	296:4, 296:6,	293:14, 293:18,	190:11, 213:15,
332:4, 335:6,	337:6	296:21, 296:24,	294:2, 294:6, 294:8,	213:17, 213:24,
335:11, 335:13,	office [192] - 15:4,	297:12, 297:14,	294:14, 294:16,	243:1, 254:15,
344:20, 344:21,	16:8, 33:7, 33:10,	297:17, 297:19,	294:17, 294:20,	342:14, 345:22,
359:24, 371:12,	35:1, 38:15, 56:19,	297:21, 297:23,	294:24, 295:11,	347:16
374:19, 379:16,	61:13, 62:6, 82:23,	297:25, 298:1,	295:24, 296:3,	OFFICIAL [1] - 390:1
381:21, 388:4	92:12, 92:19, 93:1,	298:9, 298:11,	296:4, 296:6,	Official [1] - 1:21
objectionable [1] -	93:5, 93:10, 93:14,	298:14, 298:15,	296:21, 296:24,	officially [2] - 186:5,
241:20	93:18, 94:7, 94:8,	298:19, 299:2,	297:12, 297:14,	186:15
	,,,	299:23, 300:4,	297:17, 297:21,	

officials [18] - 41:13,	153:25, 156:22,	387:1, 388:6, 388:18	334:20	335:20, 348:25,
41:25, 46:8, 52:8,	156:23, 159:10,	One [1] - 3:17	opponents [4] -	350:9, 359:8, 361:9,
74:10, 125:12,	159:12, 159:19,	one-by [1] - 102:5	171:18, 208:11,	361:22, 363:15,
125:13, 125:18,	171:4, 171:17,	one-by-four [1] -	239:16, 328:17	363:20, 364:20,
127:12, 134:4,	176:22, 176:25,	102:7	opportunities [1] -	384:11
134:18, 135:6,	178:21, 180:21,	one-by-six [1] - 102:5	226:21	ordered [8] - 12:20,
143:3, 149:13,	183:13, 189:16,	one-sentence [1] -	opportunity [32] -	20:23, 37:16, 37:17,
157:13, 343:9,	189:19, 191:19,	15:6	23:22, 47:16, 48:21,	69:2, 285:12, 298:2,
345:14	191:21, 193:3,	ones [10] - 46:10,	59:1, 61:3, 63:15,	298:4
officials' [1] - 92:8	194:8, 196:8,	46:11, 49:17, 120:5,	64:4, 64:7, 64:19,	ordering [2] - 119:18,
often [4] - 175:11,	198:11, 200:13,	191:21, 203:20,	65:1, 65:3, 65:22,	155:15
179:16, 183:24,	200:19, 201:7,	206:19, 207:4,	67:7, 70:2, 70:21,	orders [6] - 152:21,
289:18	204:8, 204:9,	279:24, 309:3	86:8, 88:11, 113:14,	161:23, 259:9,
oftentimes [2] -	206:23, 212:11,	ongoing [2] - 133:22,	180:14, 206:8,	259:19, 273:18,
172:24, 172:25	219:21, 221:21,	355:24	206:13, 207:13,	275:13
old [1] - 252:16	223:3, 223:9,	onsie [1] - 246:15	229:7, 237:13,	ordinary [1] - 178:25
oldest [1] - 379:9	223:10, 227:16,	Open [2] - 201:21,	241:24, 368:3,	organization [2] -
on-screen [3] - 96:8,	227:20, 230:24,	236:16	368:23, 369:11,	209:19, 371:2
97:25, 112:24	232:25, 241:11,	open [4] - 6:1, 108:17,	384:6	organizations [8] -
once [17] - 33:14,	243:17, 244:14,	349:23, 364:5	oppose [5] - 17:17,	170:1, 175:16,
66:21, 67:1, 121:18,	246:11, 246:12,	opening [11] - 22:24,	48:23, 119:17,	175:17, 176:24,
150:22, 205:15,	247:13, 248:8,	23:1, 30:14, 31:3,	266:2, 266 3	183:14, 329:2,
257:10, 260:5,	252:8, 252:25,	31:5, 39:11, 50:11,	opposed [14] - 98:1,	329:5, 383:8
275:14, 336:13,	253:11, 253:21,	53:10, 59:8, 66:11,	112: (4, 114:6,	organize [4] - 7:6,
340:19, 353:13,	254:3, 256:10,	67:21	145:3, 167:19,	174:12, 206:17,
355:12, 356:8,	258:1, 263:22,	operate [1] - 363:5	208:20, 208:24,	207:1
365:20, 383:14,	265:7, 271:12,	operates [1] - 147:10	212:23, 233:3,	original [5] - 111:14,
383:18	275:7, 275:8,	operating [2] - 100,19,	247:20, 262:15,	111:22, 113:6,
one [212] - 10:11, 11:6,	276:20, 277:2,	230:5	318:15, 326:24,	148:12, 311:22
13:12, 14:4, 15:6,	280:2, 280:17, 280:19, 281:3,	operation [4] - 174:13,	326:25	originally [1] - 111:22
19:10, 19:19, 21:12,	281:5, 282:13,	174:17, 1?4:18,	opposing [3] - 18:20,	origins [1] - 313:5
21:24, 23:11, 25:13,	282:21, 283:5,	386:19	48:2, 105:23	others' [1] - 199:5
27:23, 29:13, 30:21,	283:20, 283:25,	operations [6] -	opposite [2] - 16:17,	otherwise [6] - 14:5,
30:25, 33:18, 33:22,	284:8, 285:9,	174:25, 176:8,	49:11	20:7, 42:2, 58:18,
37:11, 37:12, 41:17,	285:11, 285:13,	176:15, 256:22,	opposition [1] - 34:3	139:14, 304:18
53:25, 54:22, 59:8,	286:23, 290:22,	257:1, 288:15	opt [1] - 349:5	outcome [6] - 32:14,
59:18, 59:21, 61:15, 65:14, 67:1, 68:20,	293:8, 294:7,	operators [1] - 31:20	option [2] - 30:5, 94:2	42:5, 192:14, 195:9,
69:5, 77:1, 77:17,	294:11, 294:12,	opine [9] - 17:15,	options [6] - 188:22,	331:13, 331:16
78:19, 79:5, 79:9,	294:20, 294:23,	150:10, 299:3,	215:16, 216:10, 254:1, 293:2, 384:9	outlier [1] - 31:13
81:2, 85:2, 86:12,	295:5, 297:25,	299:18, 299:21,	orchestrated [2] -	outperform [1] - 323:5
88:10, 91:21, 92:12,	299:8, 299:9,	301:10, 301:25,	52:4, 55:15	outperformed [1] -
92:14, 92:16, 92:25,	299:12, 300:3,	302:10, 302:12	order [48] - 12:21,	318:14 outreach [2] - 176:17,
93:5, 93:9, 93:13,	306:16, 306:23,	opined [2] - 14:14, 291:24	12:24, 22:9, 23:14,	226:24
93:16, 93:17, 96:1,	309:25, 310:15,	opining [2] - 291:18,	23:16, 34:21, 55:24,	outset [2] - 169:2,
99:20, 100:10,	311:23, 311:25,	291:21	115:22, 122:9,	213:1
102:5, 102:7,	313:21, 315:18,	opinion [16] - 14:22,	143:11, 147:1,	outside [7] - 9:15,
102:12, 102:17,	318:1, 318:21,	15:12, 21:22,	149:19, 150:23,	22:5, 62:22, 97:19,
102:24, 108:7,	324:14, 327:20,	105:21, 129:2,	154:21, 155:1,	126:12, 308:20,
110:14, 111:24,	328:2, 329:17,	129:4, 130:12,	155:9, 155:18,	335:16
113:3, 113:7, 116:2,	329:20, 329:22,	132:25, 133:10,	160:10, 161:9,	outsource [1] - 140:16
119:3, 119:22,	330:8, 338:2,	146:12, 162:5,	193:15, 198:1,	overall [2] - 176:13,
121:3, 121:24,	339:10, 341:16,	164:13, 164:16,	198:8, 199:13,	233:15
125:14, 125:15,	342:14, 342:23,	173:17, 301:3, 352:6	217:16, 234:15,	overflow [3] - 24:5,
126:20, 127:5,	345:2, 345:8, 350:8,	opinions [2] - 163:15,	246:6, 249:5,	24:7, 30:7
127:9, 127:16,	352:21, 354:24,	313:25	250:10, 256:23,	overheard [1] - 250:12
127:19, 128:17,	359:8, 362:18,	opponent [8] - 33:15,	274:11, 282:8,	overlaid [1] - 79:1
133:6, 135:15,	366:13, 366:18, 367:4, 367:13	172:2, 182:17,	285:4, 288:15,	overlap [2] - 330:12,
137:10, 139:18,	367:4, 367:13, 370:11, 374:10,	186:18, 311:25,	288:20, 298:5,	330:14
145:7, 145:8,	375:3, 380:16,	321:21, 334:13,	335:7, 335:15,	overlay [1] - 82:15
	070.0, 000.10,			• • •

	n m [14] - 77·4	113.1 11/.12	304:24, 305:25,	108:9, 144:5,
overrule [7] - 118:8, 129:13, 141:6,	p.m [14] - 77:4, 119:17, 119:19,	113:1, 114:13, 207:1, 291:8,	304:24, 305:25, 307:1, 307:3, 307:7,	108:9, 144:5, 153:22, 154:19,
	, ,			
147:19, 158:6,	121:5, 121:6,	291:23, 372:3, 373:4	307:14, 307:17,	163:11, 191:2,
162:17, 262:22	156:22, 198:5,	paragraphs [1] -	307:19, 307:23,	191:6, 195:18,
overruled [13] - 84:13,	201:20, 235:3,	113:25	308:3, 309:5,	209:7, 209:10,
99:7, 99:10, 116:8,	236:15, 349:23,	parameters [2] -	309:13, 309:19,	300:21, 317:15,
157:21, 158:8,	364:4, 377:25, 389:7	276:3, 276:14	309:24, 310:3,	331:13
222:4, 230:22,	pace [1] - 68:22	Paramus [2] - 2:24,	310:6, 310:14,	particularly [4] - 40:3,
232:2, 265:5,	packet [2] - 270:25,	2:24	332:16, 332:18,	116:12, 153:5,
279:10, 335:12,	377:9	paraphrase [2] -	332:21, 332:25,	278:10
374:23	page [36] - 101:3,	220:5, 282:8	334:7, 335:1,	parties [37] - 7:16,
overruling [2] - 95:1,	102:1, 144:23,	Parikh [9] - 7:16, 7:17,	335:17, 345:2,	10:21, 20:16, 21:21,
108:9	145:7, 145:8, 207:1,	26:16, 68:11, 68:12,	345:5, 351:17,	46:5, 58:16, 59:22,
overseas [4] - 57:7,	228:1, 270:7,	79:23, 118:19,	351:20, 366:20,	60:10, 60:24, 61:21,
343:13, 344:6,	270:25, 271:1,	162:22, 369:8	367:3, 367:8, 368:8,	63:17, 65:4, 65:12,
346:15	271:2, 271:3, 271:6,	PARIKH [153] - 2:13,	368:20, 374:19,	66:16, 67:10, 87:20,
oversee [2] - 337:5	299:8, 299:9,	4:13, 4:15, 4:18,	375:20, 375:22,	117:9, 122:3,
overseen [4] - 138:24,	299:12, 303:24,	7:15, 28:14, 28:21,	375:23, 379:19,	156:10, 202:13,
139:1, 139:3, 351:2	304:25, 315:15,	29:20, 29:24, 30:12,	379:23, 380:2,	202:14, 202:20,
overview [1] - 127:6	315:16, 318:5,	68:13, 68:19, 69:10,	380:4, 382:2, 384:10, 384:20	203:13, 208:12,
overwhelming [1] -	318:6, 359:8,	70:18, 71:6, 71:8,	384:19, 384:20,	214:25, 310:4,
106:8	377:10, 377:11,	75:2, 77:13, 78:4,	386:6, 386:8,	310:10, 310:11,
own [19] - 56:1,	377:20, 377:21,	83:5, 84:9, 86:18,	386:24, 387:16,	323:23, 323:24,
117:18, 117:19,	377:22, 378:14,	87:25, 88:9, 88:18,	388.1 388:8, 388:19	324:8, 324:12,
124:19, 128:14,	378:15, 378:24,	89:16, 89:20, 89:24,	Parikh's [2] - 78:9,	347:12, 347:16,
184:13, 186:15,	379:6, 379:8, 380:10	92:18, 94:22, 95:12,	0163:23	347:17, 375:13,
192:7, 195:6, 217:6,	Page [1] - 4:20	95:17, 96:20, 99:8,	parrots [2] - 46:3,	389:1
217:8, 245:22,	PAGE [1] - 4:2	104:13, 105:20,	46:19	Parties [1] - 1:12
252:4, 261:12,	pages [12] - 18:24,	106:2, 107:16,	part [47] - 10:15,	parties' [2] - 60:20,
276:18, 276:21,	18:25, 19:2, 119:10,	107:25, 103:4,	32:22, 32:23, 57:25,	63:17
328:16, 329:20,	146:9, 243:23,	114:11, 114:18,	61:5, 64:15, 65:6,	partisan [2] - 246:5,
329:22	299:4, 303:25,	115:8 115:15,	87:10, 87:12, 98:19,	272:5
owned [2] - 146:14,	330:1, 359:8, 375:14	116:4, 118:1,	100:7, 102:23,	partisans [1] - 41:14
146:16	paid [3] - 281:17,	18:22, 119:1,	122:14, 130:22,	partners [1] - 127:10
owner [4] - 74:6,	314:20, 382:14	119:9, 120:5, 121:2,	136:23, 149:7,	parts [2] - 320:17,
114:23, 252:6,	Pallone [2] - 182:9,	122:3, 122:6, 123:3,	152:24, 155:12,	329:24
263:20	209:11	162:13, 187:4,	161:17, 171:25,	Party [1] - 169:9
owning [1] - 126:2	paper [30] - 26:24,	187:7, 187:9,	176:20, 176:21,	party [41] - 14:19,
	37:8, 76:10, 76:11,	187:13, 187:17,	179:1, 194:17,	16:13, 20:9, 31:14,
Р	76:25, 79.3, 79:7,	193:12, 197:24,	205:11, 205:19,	41:24, 41:25, 60:15,
	95:5, 95:6, 103:15,	198:3, 198:8,	209:23, 227:1,	65:10, 65:11, 65:17,
P-1 [4] - 87:9, 87:19,	103:17, 112:13,	198:11, 199:9,	237:18, 238:14,	65:18, 67:12, 78:22,
88:21, 89:4	145:23, 145:24,	227:7, 227:9,	238:22, 238:25,	115:17, 136:4,
P-10 [8] - 267:13,	259:16, 291:14,	233:14, 235:23,	252:24, 261:20,	140:16, 155:5,
290:16, 290:17,	298:8, 303:25,	243:16, 243:21,	292:3, 292:6, 294:4,	155:6, 155:22,
290:18, 290:19,	338:5, 340:6, 354:8,	244:2, 244:5,	294:5, 298:9, 308:4,	169:16, 177:5,
290:25, 291:1, 291:3	359:12, 364:17,	247:10, 247:15,	333:25, 344:15, 248:21, 252:22	177:18, 186:9,
P-11 [4] - 290:12,	364:18, 364:25,	247:25, 249:4,	348:21, 353:22,	199:14, 203:8,
290:13, 310:24,	365:1, 365:3,	249:10, 249:16,	361:3, 384:2, 388:9	208:12, 231:9,
311:9	365:18, 365:20	249:23, 250:22,	partial [1] - 328:4	231:10, 231:23,
P-2 [4] - 271:17,	papers [10] - 11:12,	251:19, 266:5,	participate [8] - 56:5,	232:6, 232:12,
271:18, 271:19,	12:10, 24:19, 34:3,	270:8, 270:12,	171:15, 172:4,	232:17, 234:7,
351:12	66:21, 66:24, 66:25,	278:17, 279:8,	177:2, 185:2, 185:6,	236:5, 251:15,
P-3 [1] - 351:19	71:13, 228:7, 313:16	283:8, 283:10,	238:19, 238:20	293:3, 293:4, 298:5,
P-8 [1] - 166:24	paperwork [1] -	284:11, 284:15,	participating [1] -	307:17, 325:2, 325:4
P-9 [3] - 193:16,	213:18	284:17, 284:19,	177:9	Pascrell [4] - 321:13,
193:17, 193:19	paragraph [13] -	285:2, 285:15,	particular [23] - 13:4,	321:20, 321:24,
P-A-S-S-A-N-T-E [1] -	104:8, 104:11,	291:1, 303:9,	20:19, 47:7, 48:12,	322:3
251:5	104:23, 104:25,	303:12, 303:16,	50:3, 51:9, 70:7,	Pasek [8] - 31:17,
P.C [1] - 3:19	111:24, 112:4,	303:18, 304:23,	71:4, 73:11, 95:18,	194:1, 194:7, 205:8,

205:25, 206:16,	Paula [2] - 3:18, 7:20	performed [3] -	121:23	29:1, 29:7, 31:11,
206:25, 226:9	pause [12] - 72:6,	126:11, 320:21,	phrase [2] - 220:1,	34:15, 36:2, 43:24,
Pasek's [5] - 35:17,	86:13, 91:22, 94:16,	325:21	221:24	44:12, 45:24, 48:18,
194:2, 194:22,	193:4, 243:20,	performing [2] -	phrased [1] - 285:11	54:21, 55:13, 61:25,
194:23, 195:14	248:13, 267:20,	116:24, 137:22	phrases [1] - 84:11	62:5, 68:21, 69:6,
pass [1] - 344:23	284:10, 285:19,	performs [4] - 114:9,	physically [5] - 292:4,	87:1, 96:24, 109:24,
Passaic [17] - 2:16,	351:11, 375:7	151:8, 152:15,	297:1, 300:24,	110:6, 110:9, 122:7,
7:11, 190:12,	pay [1] - 314:25	152:17	301:16, 301:19	133:11, 159:11,
207:20, 214:6,	paying [1] - 305:16	perhaps [3] - 198:13,	pick [3] - 68:1, 155:1,	162:6, 166:17,
222:14, 241:23,	PC [1] - 3:5	298:2, 361:3	268:23	197:25, 228:8,
242:1, 242:5,	PDF [2] - 79:6	period [6] - 17:13,	picked [1] - 31:15	244:17, 245:2,
321:13, 321:21,	PDFs [1] - 276:6	90:23, 339:9,	piece [6] - 76:10,	245:8, 245:11,
321:25, 322:4,	pending [1] - 221:8	341:16, 353:12,	76:11, 76:25, 81:2,	250:2, 266:2, 289:5,
322:8, 324:4,	Pennsylvania [5] -	357:20	113:7, 119:23	289:9, 307:1,
324:13, 324:14	97:21, 101:20,	permanently [1] -	pieces [1] - 381:7	309:15, 310:15,
PASSANTE [2] - 4:9,	101:23, 106:13,	283:6	ping [1] - 28:17	387:23
251:1	201:17	permission [2] -	ping [1] - 28.17 ping-pong [1] - 28:17	Plaintiffs [5] - 1:5,
Passante [36] -	people [37] - 32:9,	59:14, 251:13	Pinkin [2] - 3:13, 8:15	1:20, 2:4, 165:11,
113:10, 113:16,	40:16, 50:24, 52:11,	permit [4] - 43:4,	pivot [4] - 206:19,	344:9
113:20, 114:23,	40.10, 50.24, 52.11, 57:19, 61:22, 66:2,	43:20, 110:5, 230:13	207:3, 207:7	Plaintiffs' [28] - 4:20,
115:1, 115:3,	169:21, 170:1,	permits [1] - 61:19	place [28] - 15:16,	4:21, 4:23, 4:23,
115:21, 117:11,	173:20, 173:21,	permitted [7] - 47:5,	19:23, 23:14, 52:17,	4:24, 4:24, 4:25, 5:4,
146:20, 147:6,	175:14, 175:21,	61:5, 63:22, 64:2,	19.23, 25.14, 52.17, 64:12, 77:24, 80:8,	87:3, 89:3, 91:18,
147:7, 147:9,	184:14, 187:14,	65:10, 145:7, 205:3	80:15, 83:12, 83:17,	91:20, 100:21,
150:21, 150:22,	189:2, 203:2, 203:7,	pernicious [1] - 39:2	109:25, 131:8,	102:19, 103:19,
152:6, 153:7,	209:11, 209:17,	person [4] - 61:11,	132:9, 132:22,	104:16, 111:10,
159:10, 247:6,	225:10, 228:20,	154:10, 229:10,	133:12, 133:14,	111:15, 111:16,
250:20, 251:5,	234:19, 236:12,	253:1	142:21, 142:24,	111:18, 111:19,
251:6, 251:11,	240:4, 245:22,	person's [1] - 114:13	147:17, 148:17,	111:21, 112:11,
251:25, 252:2,	245:24, 260:24,	personal [7] - 1.3,	149:15, 232:19,	113:9, 113:12,
252:3, 264:1,	316:2, 316:5,	20:5, 151:16, 171:2,	349:22, 354:22,	120:2, 166:24,
264:22, 267:16,	343:12, 344:1,	171;14, 186:15,	355:13, 356:13,	351:18
268:15, 270:19,	347:16, 358:2,	275:10	358:2	plaintiffs' [40] - 10:17,
271:24, 277:25,	372:13, 383:22,	personally [8] - 33:13,	placed [10] - 43:6,	11:6, 11:18, 13:10,
280:4, 281:17,	388:23	181:13, 185:5,	43:22, 99:25, 110:8,	18:16, 24:12, 25:14,
282:5, 283:14	per [7] - 69:9, 69:11,	217:18, 266:13,	134:3, 347:25,	31:4, 42:4, 44:12,
Passante's [9] -	157:13, 226:21,	266:17, 298:21,	350:1, 350:2, 350:4,	44:15, 46:1, 46:21,
114:8, 140:15,	265:17, 325:15,	372:4	353:4	50:20, 51:22, 61:5,
146:12, 148:14,	347:13	persons [1] - 175:15	placek [1] - 9:23	67:4, 120:10, 121:3,
149:19, 149:25,	percent [14] - 177:15,	perspective [5] -	PLACEK [3] - 2:23,	125:1, 159:13,
150:6, 150:9, 151:1	178:9, 178:12,	99:17, 142:7, 153:3,	9:22, 9:23	198:12, 228:4,
passed [1] - 339:18	229:16, 248:3,	259:5, 263:20	places [1] - 384:3	232:21, 233:9,
passport [1] - 337:6	256:25, 257:1,	pertains [6] - 130:25,	placing [1] - 82:9	243:24, 247:13,
past [20] - 48:23,	257:6, 261:4,	131:2, 137:7,	plague [1] - 335:5	247:18, 266:8,
57:17, 57:19, 57:21,	279:15, 279:18,	138:10, 145:22,	Plaintiff [1] - 166:21	288:24, 289:7,
82:19, 131:1,	283:5, 312:6, 334:16	348:12	plaintiff [12] - 6:12,	304:4, 307:12, 333:8, 335:3, 335:6,
190:21, 190:23,	percentage [1] -	pertinent [1] - 47:3	11:23, 56:4, 56:5,	351:6, 351:24,
191:5, 191:21,	256:22	petition [2] - 349:9,	63:24, 63:25, 75:4,	
195:5, 210:15,	perceptions [2] -	386:14	166:23, 289:24,	360:19, 387:1 plan [2] - 25:18, 243:9
277:15, 278:5,	172:8	petitions [6] - 43:5,	334:8, 366:17	plan [2] - 25.18, 243.9 planning [3] - 34:9,
279:16, 279:17,	Perez [2] - 86:9, 89:6	43:20, 337:16,	plaintiff's [2] - 26:16,	167:23, 224:15
279:20, 322:7, 335:23, 353:5	Perez's [2] - 89:11,	343:18, 353:12,	361:19	Platkin's [1] - 19:14
335:23, 353:5	90:7	386:14	Plaintiff's [7] - 4:21,	plausible [1] - 151:12
Paterson [1] - 322:3	perfectly [1] - 49:4	Ph [1] - 25:15	4:22, 17:20, 100:23,	play [6] - 132:19,
pathways [1] - 387:19	perform [3] - 81:5,	Ph.D [2] - 313:2, 313:5	104:20, 193:19,	158:16, 158:18,
Patrick's [1] - 15:2	125:8, 317:4	phase [1] - 45:3	290:14	321:6, 362:16,
pattern [7] - 317:16,	performance [6] -	phone [5] - 60:2,	plaintiffs [50] - 6:14,	362:23
317:17, 317:21, 321:5, 322:11,	312:2, 316:18,	121:17, 121:19,	6:18, 6:23, 7:3, 12:4,	players [1] - 41:18
321.5, 322.11, 322:18, 351:3	316:24, 319:11,	127:17, 304:16	18:17, 20:20, 21:12,	playing [1] - 370:3
JZZ. 10, JJ1.J	320:25, 328:20	phones [2] - 121:12,	22:9, 25:6, 28:15,	

plays [3] - 157:17,	41:25	83:21, 103:6,	11:19, 12:9, 13:2,	63:16, 65:8, 92:12,
157:18, 158:13	politics [1] - 314:13	132:15, 145:5,	34:13, 35:13, 36:3,	93:1, 93:5, 93:9,
plenty [2] - 15:4, 25:21	poll [8] - 179:11,	173:7, 246:1,	38:15, 45:2, 47:14,	93:14, 93:17,
plug [1] - 362:23	337:25, 338:5,	253:24, 254:12,	47:15, 49:4, 49:16,	180:22, 195:17,
plugged [1] - 72:13	338:6, 340:6, 340:7,	293:7, 299:3,	49:19, 53:13, 83:2,	227:17, 269:10,
plugged [1] - 72.13 plus [2] - 144:9,	342:11, 358:24	299:11, 300:10,	88:17, 90:1, 189:21,	270:22
310:10	polling [10] - 57:6,	305:21, 312:22,	190:18, 191:10,	presenting [6] - 19:23,
podium [3] - 59:12,	179:17, 338:5,	316:8, 317:9, 326:7,	217:17, 360:7,	24:16, 27:4, 27:8,
62:19, 211:9	339:7, 339:12,	328:18, 329:4,	370:12	50:21, 97:24
point [54] - 11:16,	340:1, 340:25,	329:8, 335:22,	premature [5] - 11:15,	presently [4] - 43:16,
17:5, 21:18, 35:20,	356:24, 357:4, 365:9	382:20	11:17, 12:7, 12:14,	252:12, 257:7,
38:20, 39:18, 44:24,	polls [6] - 178:22,	possibly [1] - 254:2	12:22	257:22
46:6, 49:6, 50:14,	178:24, 179:4,	post [1] - 161:20	premise [1] - 47:20	presents [4] - 96:6,
51:13, 51:25, 57:18,	179:7, 179:9, 359:14	posture [2] - 45:22,	preparation [6] -	96:10, 183:6, 255:5
170:6, 173:19,	pollsters [1] - 178:24	54:22	311:18, 337:14,	preserved [1] - 24:25
176:9, 185:16,	polsters [1] - 178:22	postures [1] - 45:9	340:17, 342:8,	president [10] - 81:18,
185:22, 185:25,	pong [1] - 28:17	potential [9] - 169:6,	348:3, 348:8	153:22, 240:13,
186:16, 187:1,	popping [1] - 59:20	169:23, 184:1,	prepare [8] - 36:23,	240:16, 240:23,
188:20, 189:7,	portion [4] - 149:8,	187:20, 201:8,	212:22, 253:19,	240:24, 242:9,
189:12, 196:14,	281:23, 298:7,	215:16, 215:24,	273:5, 302:1,	245:17, 245:19,
206:19, 207:3,	321:20	223:8, 352:10	302:11, 309:16,	346:22
207:7, 211:18,	portrait [5] - 84:8,	potentially [15] -	347:21	presidential [11] -
215:11, 222:18,	84:19, 85:1, 255:12,	57:24, 83:19,	prepared [16] - 24:23,	40:3, 56:15, 56:16,
225:7, 236:4,	257:25	180:25, 217:4,	31:22, 31:23, 37:14,	63:7, 82:20, 258:15,
236:23, 242:10,	pose [1] - 46:13	229:7, 245:7,	137:14, 255:14,	258:19, 350:13,
242:21, 243:5,	posed [1] - 36:13	250:17, 316:25,	261:9, 286:17,	350:22, 365:14
245:13, 247:14,	position [57] - 12:12,	317:6, 317:23,	347:7, 355:17,	press [1] - 212:5
256:9, 260:4, 261:2,	13:7, 13:17, 13:23,	325:6, 347:2,	357:16, 357:17,	pressures [1] - 238:23
294:15, 299:25,	14:1, 14:2, 14:4,	347:24, 355:8,	357:21, 367:13,	presume [11] - 11:10,
300:5, 306:20,	15:16, 15:22, 16:16,	364:25	388:16	13:6, 14:1, 17:20,
316:4, 318:5,	16:17, 16:22, 16:24,	practically [2] - 34:17,	prepares [1] - 273:25	18:20, 21:15, 22:10,
352:24, 353:13,	17:3, 17:19, 18:9,	69:4	preparing [7] -	22:25, 159:6,
366:4, 371:19,	18:16, 18:21, 36:8,	practice [2] - 20:22,	125:25, 137:16,	235:20, 236:10
376:6, 385:21	36:10, 36:15, 38:12,	127:21	273:22, 305:10,	pretty [8] - 164:18,
points [1] - 16:13	52:8, 55:23, 60:23,	practiced [1] - 11:25	355:19, 357:8,	175:8, 236:10,
policies [4] - 73:14,	61:4, 63:3, 64:20,	practices [5] - 39:2,	357:10	261:11, 310:9,
74:9, 127:7, 131:17	65:19, 66:8, 73:15.	51:9, 128:16,	prepping [3] - 253:17,	366:15, 369:7,
policy [6] - 166:16,	114:25, 118:3	167:16, 185:17	345:22, 355:11	384:21
171:2, 183:17,	134:13, 134:19,	precinct [2] - 76:9,	preprinting [1] -	prevail [1] - 33:20
183:22, 240:5,	153:21, 154:21,	82:24	113:24	prevent [3] - 185:7,
314:11	167:13, 206:9,	precincting [1] - 81:19	present [18] - 11:1,	200:9, 215:5
policy-wise [1] -	206:13, 206:19,	precincts [2] - 78:24,	11:5, 21:14, 23:1,	preventing [3] - 61:16,
183:22	207:3, 207:14,	82:1	23:12, 32:8, 33:2,	173:10
political [38] - 22:4,	207:25, 223:20,	predominantly [1] -	39:14, 54:21, 59:2,	previous [4] - 82:19,
22:6, 31:20, 41:23,	230:12, 235:12,	179:20	62:25, 70:9, 96:6,	112:7, 161:1, 344:15
42:11, 59:22, 60:10,	240:5, 249:3, 249:4,	preface [1] - 329:12	97:21, 101:14,	previously [18] - 27:6,
60:15, 63:17, 65:4,	266:7, 336:17,	prefer [2] - 282:25,	177:21, 196:1,	27:9, 78:17, 81:7,
65:10, 65:11, 65:12,	336:20, 347:8	312:16	388:12	85:4, 87:5, 87:6,
65:18, 65:23, 65:24,	positioning [1] -	preference [2] - 24:14,	presentation [12] -	87:20, 88:3, 91:19,
		000.44	79:3, 94:20, 95:10,	102:18, 116:21,
66:15, 155:22,	385:24	282:14		
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21,	positions [3] - 63:2,	preferences [2] -	96:7, 103:3, 103:6,	136:13, 160:12,
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21, 175:18, 186:1,	positions [3] - 63:2, 183:17, 266:13	preferences [2] - 60:20, 275:11	103:22, 107:15,	166:20, 218:2,
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21, 175:18, 186:1, 186:9, 202:12,	positions [3] - 63:2, 183:17, 266:13 positive [3] - 224:6,	preferences [2] - 60:20, 275:11 prejudice [3] - 249:14,	103:22, 107:15, 116:1, 117:6,	166:20, 218:2, 257:20, 344:8
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21, 175:18, 186:1, 186:9, 202:12, 202:14, 202:20,	positions [3] - 63:2, 183:17, 266:13 positive [3] - 224:6, 317:18, 334:18	preferences [2] - 60:20, 275:11 prejudice [3] - 249:14, 249:16, 370:10	103:22, 107:15, 116:1, 117:6, 118:13, 387:17	166:20, 218:2, 257:20, 344:8 price [1] - 13:15
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21, 175:18, 186:1, 186:9, 202:12, 202:14, 202:20, 203:8, 203:13,	positions [3] - 63:2, 183:17, 266:13 positive [3] - 224:6, 317:18, 334:18 possession [1] -	preferences [2] - 60:20, 275:11 prejudice [3] - 249:14,	103:22, 107:15, 116:1, 117:6, 118:13, 387:17 presentations [2] -	166:20, 218:2, 257:20, 344:8 price [1] - 13:15 primacy [11] - 44:6,
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21, 175:18, 186:1, 186:9, 202:12, 202:14, 202:20, 203:8, 203:13, 203:17, 208:12,	positions [3] - 63:2, 183:17, 266:13 positive [3] - 224:6, 317:18, 334:18 possession [1] - 152:7	preferences [2] - 60:20, 275:11 prejudice [3] - 249:14, 249:16, 370:10	103:22, 107:15, 116:1, 117:6, 118:13, 387:17 presentations [2] - 112:19, 314:16	166:20, 218:2, 257:20, 344:8 price [1] - 13:15 primacy [11] - 44:6, 61:3, 61:7, 63:10,
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21, 175:18, 186:1, 186:9, 202:12, 202:14, 202:20, 203:8, 203:13, 203:17, 208:12, 209:6, 314:14,	positions [3] - 63:2, 183:17, 266:13 positive [3] - 224:6, 317:18, 334:18 possession [1] - 152:7 possibility [5] -	preferences [2] - 60:20, 275:11 prejudice [3] - 249:14, 249:16, 370:10 prejudices [1] - 15:7	103:22, 107:15, 116:1, 117:6, 118:13, 387:17 presentations [2] - 112:19, 314:16 presented [22] -	166:20, 218:2, 257:20, 344:8 price [1] - 13:15 primacy [11] - 44:6, 61:3, 61:7, 63:10, 172:18, 172:19,
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21, 175:18, 186:1, 186:9, 202:12, 202:14, 202:20, 203:8, 203:13, 203:17, 208:12, 209:6, 314:14, 323:23, 323:24,	positions [3] - 63:2, 183:17, 266:13 positive [3] - 224:6, 317:18, 334:18 possession [1] - 152:7 possibility [5] - 206:15, 333:14,	preferences [2] - 60:20, 275:11 prejudice [3] - 249:14, 249:16, 370:10 prejudices [1] - 15:7 prejudicial [2] - 25:25,	103:22, 107:15, 116:1, 117:6, 118:13, 387:17 presentations [2] - 112:19, 314:16 presented [22] - 21:19, 23:17, 23:18,	166:20, 218:2, 257:20, 344:8 price [1] - 13:15 primacy [11] - 44:6, 61:3, 61:7, 63:10, 172:18, 172:19, 207:14, 239:8,
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21, 175:18, 186:1, 186:9, 202:12, 202:14, 202:20, 203:8, 203:13, 203:17, 208:12, 209:6, 314:14, 323:23, 323:24, 324:7, 347:17, 383:8	positions [3] - 63:2, 183:17, 266:13 positive [3] - 224:6, 317:18, 334:18 possession [1] - 152:7 possibility [5] - 206:15, 333:14, 364:17, 374:3, 374:7	preferences [2] - 60:20, 275:11 prejudice [3] - 249:14, 249:16, 370:10 prejudices [1] - 15:7 prejudicial [2] - 25:25, 309:15	103:22, 107:15, 116:1, 117:6, 118:13, 387:17 presentations [2] - 112:19, 314:16 presented [22] - 21:19, 23:17, 23:18, 31:24, 32:2, 33:2,	166:20, 218:2, 257:20, 344:8 price [1] - 13:15 primacy [11] - 44:6, 61:3, 61:7, 63:10, 172:18, 172:19, 207:14, 239:8, 244:15, 244:17,
66:15, 155:22, 156:10, 169:21, 175:18, 186:1, 186:9, 202:12, 202:14, 202:20, 203:8, 203:13, 203:17, 208:12, 209:6, 314:14, 323:23, 323:24,	positions [3] - 63:2, 183:17, 266:13 positive [3] - 224:6, 317:18, 334:18 possession [1] - 152:7 possibility [5] - 206:15, 333:14,	preferences [2] - 60:20, 275:11 prejudice [3] - 249:14, 249:16, 370:10 prejudices [1] - 15:7 prejudicial [2] - 25:25, 309:15 PRELIMINARY [1] -	103:22, 107:15, 116:1, 117:6, 118:13, 387:17 presentations [2] - 112:19, 314:16 presented [22] - 21:19, 23:17, 23:18,	166:20, 218:2, 257:20, 344:8 price [1] - 13:15 primacy [11] - 44:6, 61:3, 61:7, 63:10, 172:18, 172:19, 207:14, 239:8,

primarily [2] - 166:16,	272:2, 274:4	44:14, 97:4, 312:8,	277:4, 302:5, 303:1,	proposing [2] - 38:2,
189:3	printer [13] - 37:4,	390:5	340:20	155:20
primary [81] - 31:12,	37:13, 353:2,	Proceedings [1] -	programmed [6] -	proprietary [5] -
31:15, 32:3, 33:20,	353:11, 354:15,	1:23	135:2, 152:11,	137:3, 137:17,
34:2, 50:6, 63:24,	354:22, 359:5,	PROCEEDINGS [1] -	302:16, 354:2,	137:20, 138:3, 362:7
64:5, 82:19, 82:20,	359:6, 359:23,	6:1	355:7, 359:2	proprietor [1] - 74:7
83:25, 105:17,	360:2, 360:14,	proceeds [1] - 58:20	programming [16] -	prospect [1] - 229:23
109:7, 117:5, 117:7,	374:2, 374:7	process [63] - 43:25,	116:24, 117:15,	prospects [2] -
117:24, 118:12,	Printing [5] - 114:23,	56:6, 58:6, 77:11,	133:24, 135:6,	172:20, 184:13
168:13, 168:17,	252:2, 252:4, 252:6,	78:14, 81:7, 81:10,	135:8, 136:3,	protected [1] - 365:24
175:22, 179:24,	252:14	81:15, 82:4, 93:22,	136:14, 140:12,	protocol [5] - 25:3,
180:5, 206:17,	printing [45] - 40:11,	94:1, 115:23,	149:6, 151:10,	133:1, 133:12,
207:2, 218:5,	113:21, 114:4,	117:12, 127:25,	151:13, 160:1,	134:10, 150:24
218:11, 218:15,	114:5, 114:6, 115:2,	128:4, 128:7,	161:24, 288:16,	protocols [15] -
218:16, 218:20,	136:18, 136:20,	128:21, 129:5,	300:20, 300:22	130:24, 131:3,
219:3, 219:8,	146:11, 146:15,	130:6, 130:20,	programs [2] - 117:17,	132:16, 133:14,
220:16, 221:7,	146:18, 147:1,	135:23, 136:17,	276:18	133:16, 135:18,
221:11, 222:2,	147:6, 147:8,	136:20, 148:1,	prohibit [1] - 48:24	143:6, 146:22,
234:3, 238:3,	147:10, 147:14,	149:6, 149:12,	project [1] - 9:16	146:25, 147:16,
266:25, 267:2,	147:16, 147:17,	150:1, 150:2, 151:9,	prolific [1] - 329:13	148:7, 148:13,
273:5, 281:25,	147:21, 147:24,	151:24, 151:25,	promise [2] - 30:21,	148:16, 165:2
289:21, 298:3,	147:25, 148:2,	152:24, 160:1,	268:22	proud [1] - 253:1
318:4, 319:7,	148:8, 148:14,	161:8, 161:12,	prompt [1] - 167:25	prove [4] - 44:6,
319:23, 320:3,	150:2, 151:6, 151:8,	163:21, 188:3,	promuted [2] - 168:1,	58:21, 217:16, 326:5
321:11, 343:10,	151:13, 165:2,	209:23, 217:1,	174:9	provide [12] - 25:2,
343:16, 344:12,	252:7, 252:9,	253:17, 255:8,	pronounced [3] -	69:2, 70:25, 96:15,
345:11, 345:22,	252:10, 252:11,	258:25, 259:4,	172:22, 183:19,	115:24, 192:25,
346:9, 346:20,	253:3, 257:18,	259:9, 260:9, 261:2,	195:19	217:25, 233:17,
348:9, 348:12,	263:9, 263:18,	318:23, 319:2,	proof [2] - 134:4,	316:3, 316:6, 316:8,
349:16, 349:17,	263:20, 263:21,	337:11, 337:13,	189:23	387:18
350:13, 350:22,	279:6, 344:3,	339:22, 340.6,	proofing [21] - 100:12,	provided [7] - 143:2,
350:23, 351:4,	355:13, 362:20,	354:13. 354:19,	134:5, 135:1, 135:7,	226:14, 247:11,
352:14, 352:17,	373:25	355:15, 355:19,	135:25, 136:17,	364:16, 369:10,
355:20, 358:6,	prints [4] - 76:25,	355:24, 356:15,	136:22, 139:15,	369:16, 369:25
359:15, 361:7,	274:1, 278:24,	358:7, 363:19,	139:17, 139:22,	provider [3] - 78:22,
362:6, 365:7,	354:22	366:4, 384:5, 386:10	141:24, 142:4,	136:4, 302:9
365:14, 370:16,	priority [1] - 213:5	processes [7] - 41:14,	142:18, 142:20,	providers [1] - 75:17
373:21, 374:4,	private [2] - 125;1,	127:7, 131:17,	143:6, 144:11,	provides [12] - 43:3,
374:9, 374:25,	126:9	132:22, 135:21,	145:20, 148:4,	43:9, 43:10, 43:12,
376:18, 386:10	privilege [6] - 188:13,	148:6, 150:24	158:24, 259:4,	65:2, 113:21,
primary's [1] - 218:8	243:23, 244:4,	processing [1] -	354:13	113:23, 149:14,
principal [2] - 104:5,	303:22, 304:4, 308:9	355:12	proofreading [2] -	301:23, 348:19,
104:18	problem [4] - 77:7,	produce [1] - 260:12	354:13, 354:18	349:4, 349:11
principles [1] - 55:7	141:19, 261:13,	produced [5] - 1:24,	proofs [1] - 37:13	providing [4] - 40:24,
print [25] - 146:7,	327:9	145:13, 243:24,	proper [1] - 15:11	70:19, 193:8, 301:3
146:8, 151:10,	problems [3] - 182:2,	250:15, 378:25	properly [7] - 136:12,	provision [1] - 98:16
252:12, 252:25,	209:13, 359:13	producing [1] -	261:9, 261:13,	provisional [3] -
253:7, 255:1, 258:7,	Procedure [1] - 17:14	145:17	264:6, 355:7, 356:9,	339:16, 357:10,
259:15, 260:16,	proceed [16] - 10:22,	product [4] - 126:20,	356:14	357:12
261:17, 267:3, 267:6, 260:16	31:7, 69:16, 123:17,	126:24, 127:5, 270:7	property [2] - 337:2,	pseudo [1] - 71:14
267:6, 269:16, 270:4, 271:4	165:23, 187:19,	products [1] - 126:20	337:4	public [12] - 30:24,
270:4, 271:4, 271:24, 272:14	188:18, 194:23,	professional [1] - 75:5	proposal [5] - 68:25,	41:12, 46:7, 52:8,
271:24, 272:14,	195:21, 201:22,	professionalism [1] -	69:2, 307:5, 388:9,	124:25, 126:9,
272:16, 272:18, 276:7, 353:1, 355:2,	244:12, 250:25,	30:19	389:3	167:15, 168:7,
276.7, 353.1, 355.2, 364:5, 375:1	288:1, 296:13,	Professor [2] - 198:1,	propose [4] - 38:4,	179:8, 349:23,
printed [9] - 79:7,	306:15, 378:20	198:11	141:1, 141:11,	357:6, 364:5
124:9, 126:25,	proceeding [6] -	program [11] - 73:18,	387:17	publically [1] - 223:22
124:9, 126:25, 146:10, 267:16,	15:18, 16:12, 17:1,	100:4, 131:6,	proposed [6] - 29:7,	publications [1] -
270:19, 271:6,	29:8, 49:3, 241:20	131:21, 182:23,	91:10, 122:6, 141:5,	179:16
210.10, 211.0,	proceedings [4] -	276:8, 276:18,	315:22, 369:17	publicly [11] - 167:19,

185:17, 211:19,	purposes [9] - 43:2,	quality [29] - 130:24,	171:19, 355:9	359:7, 361:12,
223:19, 223:25,	49:15, 71:15, 87:22,	131:3, 131:7,	quo [2] - 57:2, 176:5	361:13, 375:2
224:2, 224:5,	88:20, 107:14,	131:10, 131:13,	quote [1] - 54:4	RAINONE [3] - 3:14,
323:22, 324:7,	113:17, 193:13,	131:15, 132:6,	quoting [1] - 54:5	3:15, 7:18
328:10, 345:16	250:3	132:8, 132:11,	Quraishi [1] - 180:4	Rainone [5] - 7:19,
publish [1] - 86:19	pursuant [1] - 344:5	132:13, 132:16,	QURAISHI [2] - 1:16,	9:8, 10:1, 10:8
published [6] -	pursue [12] - 175:15,	132:22, 133:1,	6:2	raise [13] - 72:22,
142:18, 142:19,	180:14, 212:14,	133:12, 133:15,		197:25, 223:17,
314:6, 314:9, 314:11	212:20, 215:8,	134:1, 135:13,	R	223:22, 225:2,
publishing [1] - 87:14	215:12, 215:13,	135:18, 135:21,		225:12, 249:8,
Pugach [1] - 6:22	216:11, 216:13,	136:10, 136:20,	R-U-B-I-N [1] - 310:21	279:24, 280:24,
PUGACH [49] - 1:18,	220:15, 237:19,	146:23, 148:3, 148:4	race [66] - 62:11,	281:1, 281:7, 382:8,
4:14, 4:15, 6:21,	381:18	quarters [1] - 79:20	62:16, 62:17, 62:22,	385:7
28:19, 67:5, 67:11,	pursued [1] - 237:4	questioned [1] -	63:1, 63:2, 92:12,	raised [13] - 15:21,
67:14, 193:15,	pursuing [10] -	297:23	92:25, 93:5, 93:9,	25:14, 34:12, 79:22,
193:18, 198:16,	171:10, 177:23,	questioning [10] -	93:13, 93:17,	202:25, 203:7,
198:18, 198:23,	180:12, 212:9,	144:2, 147:18,	167:12, 169:7,	209:11, 211:19,
199:16, 200:1,	212:16, 213:8,	188:9, 235:7,	171:20, 174:4,	223:25, 228:5,
201:16, 232:24,	214:10, 214:21,	235:14, 235:19,	177:7, 178:24,	233:5, 238:24,
243:25, 248:3,	217:5, 378:20	249:19, 264:9, 287:8, 208:10	179:5, 179:8,	244:14
248:6, 248:17, 267:13, 271:17	pursuit [1] - 173:23	287:8, 308:10	184:18, 185:23, 186:5, 195,18,	raising [4] - 12:14,
267:13, 271:17, 271:19, 290:4,	purview [3] - 53:5,	questions [48] - 39:11, 39:13, 53:10, 58:4,	205:2, 206:7,	223:20, 239:12, 386:17
271.19, 290.4, 290:8, 290:12,	53:6, 53:7	, , ,	206.22, 200.7, 206.22, 212:15,	
290.8, 290.12, 290:15, 290:18,	push [3] - 95:16, 111:6, 196:16	123:21, 124:2, 156:12, 156:17,	200.22, 212.13, 212:19, 213:13,	Raj [1] - 7:16 Rajapi [1] - 266:17
306:5, 306:11,	put [40] - 12:6, 21:1,	156:25, 157:22,	213:14, 214:14,	RAJIV [1] - 2:13
306:18, 306:22,	23:22, 32:12, 35:18,	158:2, 159:14,	215:10, 215:18,	ramp [2] - 174:23,
308:14, 310:23,	38:22, 40:20, 42:3,	162:18, 164:17,	216:2, 216:4, 225:5,	175:1
311:6, 313:13,	42:5, 52:7, 62:5,	197:12, 197:14	225:18, 238:15,	ran [10] - 168:11,
325:7, 332:4,	65:15, 67:17, 68:21,	220:8, 221:13,	238:17, 239:9,	218:2, 220:18,
332:20, 334:9,	69:18, 71:11, 82:3,	235:16, 236:9,	239:11, 246:1,	220:25, 221:4,
334:10, 334:22,	82:22, 83:13, 104:8,	239:4, 241:10,	268:8, 268:9,	221:18, 222:20,
335:8, 335:13,	104:10, 109:9,	243:18, 264:16,	269:18, 270:22,	323:1, 336:23,
344:21, 367:16,	116:3, 160:6,	280:15, 281:12,	272:12, 281:20,	371:13
367:20, 387:3	172:20, 201:23,	281:13, 281:14,	316:7, 316:18,	random [3] - 154:25,
pull [1] - 270:9	224:14, 239:23,	282:3, 286:3, 303:6,	317:1, 317:14,	155:15, 155:18
pulled [1] - 345:13	241:25, 242:8,	303:10, 305:25,	317:15, 317:16,	randomly [2] - 155:2,
pumpkin [1] - 306:14	246:13, 267:18,	313:1, 313:17,	317:21, 319:20,	350:5
Purcell [7] - 46:15,	281:8, 294:14,	327:2, 332:16,	319:21, 321:6,	range [2] - 316:3,
47:2, 52:1, 53:11,	310:15, 311:2,	332:23, 334:22,	321:9, 322:12,	334:13
58:10, 58:11, 387:21	361:15, 368:21,	364:10, 366:6,	322:13, 325:9,	rate [1] - 314:22
purchase [4] - 340:11,	369:21, 383:25	370:4, 370:8,	325:15, 349:20,	rather [8] - 12:5,
340:12, 341:3, 341:5	putting [5] - 36:7,	371:16, 386:9, 286:24, 287:1, 287:2	364:2	44:13, 114:5, 134:3,
purchased [3] -	56:19, 66:2, 103:18,	386:24, 387:1, 387:3	races [41] - 31:23, 36:24, 118:13,	184:17, 208:19,
340:15, 340:19,	257:17	questions [1] - 39:9 quick [7] - 30:1,	145:6, 170:4, 170:5,	208:23, 286:3
342:3	PX [2] - 193:13,	quick [7] - 30.1, 156:12, 244:14,	145.6, 170.4, 170.5, 170:13, 171:10,	ratios [1] - 32:15
purchasing [1] -	351:17	327:21, 335:11,	191:5, 245:19,	RAVAL [1] - 2:20
341:12	pyramid [1] - 255:22	380:12, 380:22	272:5, 272:7,	Raval [1] - 8:9
pure [1] - 297:21 purport [2] - 50:21,	0	quicker [2] - 36:10,	278:15, 279:2,	RDR [1] - 390:11
92:6	Q	255:8	291:21, 315:22,	re [4] - 284:16, 331:9,
purported [2] - 29:15,	qualification [2] -	quickly [14] - 11:24,	316:1, 317:19,	380:22 re-analyzed [1] -
90:20	25:10, 372:9	13:16, 13:19, 13:22,	317:24, 318:24,	331:9
purports [1] - 47:19	qualifications [5] -	20:18, 21:4, 28:4,	321:7, 322:14,	re-collected [1] -
purpose [9] - 43:4,	25:9, 29:16, 71:3,	36:4, 36:7, 36:9,	322:18, 325:15,	331:9
43:19, 113:18,	124:16, 313:15	143:4, 262:16,	326:18, 327:20,	re-redirect [1] -
225:1, 225:2,	qualified [2] - 24:21,	312:22, 383:13	328:12, 328:15,	284:16
231:12, 234:8,	141:4	quiet [1] - 236:1	328:17, 328:22,	reach [16] - 175:14,
300:25, 301:2	qualifying [2] - 74:19,	quite [5] - 44:10,	330:16, 330:21,	188:24, 191:9,
purposeful [1] - 384:2	74:20	44:11, 141:18,	331:4, 331:21,	196:21, 215:15,
			331:25, 354:24,	, -,

217:2, 223:7,	274:20, 300:9,	44:19, 73:2, 77:18,	references [1] - 65:15	regrets [1] - 171:9
223:17, 231:23,	322:23, 323:9,	89:21, 92:21,	referred [11] - 76:14,	regular [1] - 179:1
234:7, 234:10,	324:22, 332:10,	100:22, 102:19,	77:2, 94:10, 179:16,	regularly [1] - 20:22
236:20, 239:25,	332:13, 339:24,	104:4, 104:18,	179:24, 183:24,	regurgitating [2] -
376:21, 376:22,	368:1, 385:25	113:8, 113:10,	232:7, 232:24,	247:22, 249:13
376:25	realm [1] - 67:20	122:14, 165:19,	331:3, 351:7, 374:12	reject [1] - 389:3
reached [13] - 215:14,	reason [20] - 11:21,	193:13, 197:22,	referring [13] - 85:18,	relate [3] - 41:16,
216:9, 216:23,	49:13, 151:5,	201:24, 205:11,	101:2, 103:22,	••
231:16, 232:6,	171:25, 179:24,	211:10, 246:3,	105:7, 112:21,	125:24, 130:19 related [7] - 35:16,
234:19, 234:21,	201:19, 222:10,	246:13, 247:10,	124:10, 142:3,	313:10, 314:12,
238:22, 246:22,	222:12, 238:22,	251:4, 253:12,	204:24, 222:15,	314:15, 315:11,
304:13, 376:6,	261:20, 284:25,	280:1, 284:12,	228:9, 231:21,	381:18, 382:13
376:23	299:22, 315:24,	285:23, 287:13,	269:20, 289:17	
reaching [4] - 176:7,	318:21, 320:12,	304:10, 310:20,	refers [3] - 103:9,	relates [11] - 128:13, 128:14, 129:23,
195:1, 223:11,	320:15, 320:18,	327:21, 336:6,	104:24, 297:23	
246:20	326:15, 367:25,	375:18, 379:22,	reflect [1] - 91:12	135:10, 139:15,
react [1] - 57:19	383:3	390:5	reflective [1] - 318:24	239:8, 239:9, 230:12, 308:6
reacting [1] - 52:24	reasonable [4] - 70:1,	record's [1] - 104:14	reflects [1] - 103:13	239:12, 308:6, 329:14, 353:15
reactions [1] - 176:10	94:18, 95:8, 96:13	recorded [1] - 1:23	reforms [1] - 212:11	relating [9] - 337:3,
read [11] - 16:2, 16:3,	reasons [2] - 29:17,	recording [1] - 76:15	refresh [3] - 346:4,	337:11, 342:21,
16:17, 21:3, 66:21,	161:18	records [4] - 178:1,	378:16, 381:14	346:14, 348:10,
66:25, 205:15,	rebut [1] - 28:5	178:5, 337:1, 337:2	refreshing [1] - 357:18	348:25, 349:1,
205:18, 206:24,	recap [1] - 377:13	Records [1] - 337:6	regard [31] - 13:15,	358:24
242:18, 278:4	receive [2] - 32:4, 32:5	rectangle [1] - 300:9	26:18, 26:21, 65:10,	relation [2] - 292:8,
reading [4] - 11:8,	received [16] - 5:5,	Red [1] - 2:7	39:21, 69:22, 70:15,	301:3
42:24, 130:14,	14:9, 16:3, 64:1,	redesign [5] - 160:10,	74:21, 75:9, 78:15,	relationship [1] -
229:12	170:13, 185:25,	160:12, 160:15,	79:11, 80:19, 81:8,	349:19
readmit [1] - 87:21	192:15, 195:9,	160:12, 160:16,	82:22, 83:2, 83:3,	relaxed [4] - 37:20,
ready [21] - 31:8,	210:7, 210:18,	redesigns [1] - 37.17	91:8, 93:21, 93:23,	89:19, 90:1, 360:18
150:25, 165:23,	210:19, 210:21,	REDIRECT [4] - 4:8,	108:11, 111:21,	relevance [7] - 22:5,
197:22, 244:11,	216:2, 344:14,	4:15, 244, 13, 334:10	117:5, 141:2, 147:5,	114:17, 157:20,
247:8, 247:17,	380:12, 383:7	Redirect [1] - 159:8	150:1, 170:12,	232:1, 235:4, 235:7,
251:8, 285:17,	receives [1] - 239:15	redirect [20] - 122:8,	194:16, 202:12,	236:10
287:17, 287:18,	receiving [3] - 170:3,	122:17, 122:23,	209:11, 266:25,	relevancy [3] - 105:20,
287:25, 310:14,	186:8, 186:10	150:16, 159:8,	297:17	114:11, 114:15
344:2, 352:25,	recent [2] - 275:24,	159:13, 162:19,	regarding [18] - 19:17,	relevant [10] - 18:10,
353:1, 353:21,	379:9	164:1, 164:5,	19:21, 29:3, 118:24,	23:15, 47:7, 64:12,
355:15, 355:25,	recently [1] - 339:5	243:14, 243:16,	119:6, 148:18,	164:12, 226:14,
356:25, 357:1	recertification [13] -	244:9, 248:25,	149:13, 163:10,	235:13, 235:18,
real [16] - 35:14,	98:17, 105:16,	280:13, 283:8,	239:11, 266:8,	236:7, 284:24
154:7, 154:10,	108:21, 128:7,	283:11, 284:16,	313:18, 333:9,	relied [1] - 178:24
154:15, 154:19,	129:3, 129:5, 130:6,	284:21, 285:2, 306:3	376:8, 377:4, 378:4,	relief [26] - 11:18,
171:7, 172:21,	130:10, 130:13,	redistricted [1] -	379:12, 380:13,	11:23, 12:8, 12:20,
189:17, 190:9,	130:20, 162:12,	321:17	381:12	12:24, 13:3, 13:10,
196:14, 207:22,	163:6, 163:12	redistricting [2] -	regardless [12] - 37:1,	13:14, 13:17, 20:17,
222:7, 243:2,	recertified [1] - 130:4	321:19, 338:6	37:5, 83:12, 134:6,	20:21, 21:7, 21:13,
244:14, 249:14,	recess [3] - 121:5,	redundant [3] - 157:1,	161:11, 161:23,	36:13, 45:4, 46:13,
327:21	197:18, 287:15	247:21, 309:2	161:25, 273:18,	46:17, 48:17, 49:20,
reality [2] - 31:16, 34:4	recitation [1] - 345:12	reelection [2] - 328:8,	273:21, 273:24,	58:13, 62:4, 83:1,
realize [3] - 198:19,	recognition [1] -	371:10	275:13, 300:12	85:11, 162:6, 266:2,
198:21, 260:25	240:19	reentering [1] - 358:4	regards [6] - 116:14,	387:23
really [30] - 22:17,	recognize [6] - 92:1,	reevaluate [1] -	116:17, 117:11,	rely [8] - 46:15, 56:22,
24:20, 26:8, 38:8,	193:23, 265:19,	195:21	128:12, 128:23,	77:25, 297:8, 309:3,
38:24, 48:6, 86:20,	267:22, 268:3, 304:7	refer [5] - 75:25,	131:9	362:4, 362:25,
87:14, 107:20,	recognized [1] - 60:10	80:13, 100:20,	regional [1] - 342:22	368:24
122:16, 195:12,	recollection [4] -	102:14, 224:4	registered [4] -	relying [4] - 56:4,
212:9, 223:23,	210:13, 305:23,	reference [4] - 65:14,	177:16, 178:9,	122:7, 331:5, 362:17
229:14, 236:11,	346:4, 357:18	228:6, 345:21, 356:5	338:12, 338:14	remain [4] - 129:8,
243:14, 249:12,	record [39] - 6:7, 9:17,	referenced [2] - 96:24,	registration [2] -	197:19, 287:14,
254:15, 257:16,	16:18, 20:13, 28:11,	118:20	337:23, 337:24	287:16
., ,	10.10, 20.13, 20.11,	110.20		201.10

remarks [12] - 22:25,	205:10, 206:1,	represent [14] - 15:24,	297:5, 339:18, 342:3	115:2, 122:6,
30:14, 31:3, 31:5,	206:16, 247:19,	41:10, 41:22, 41:24,	required [16] - 40:10,	159:21, 160:3,
39:11, 50:11, 66:12,	247:22, 249:9,	41:25, 42:1, 42:3,	65:16, 100:17,	160:5, 296:2,
67:21, 388:10,	249:12, 250:1,	125:4, 181:3, 202:9,	130:16, 135:11,	304:13, 339:23,
388:13, 388:18,	250:15, 267:12,	246:14, 256:19,		348:4, 353:22,
			135:20, 139:4,	
389:2	286:17, 286:22,	310:4	161:7, 162:6,	353:25, 359:15,
remedial [2] - 109:24,	286:25, 287:2,	Representative [2] -	163:11, 163:12,	359:21, 368:15,
110:5	287:5, 289:3,	33:7, 249:2	163:14, 209:18,	370:12, 376:7,
remedies [2] - 53:21,	289:10, 289:12,	representative [7] -	230:12, 302:20,	376:11
55:2	289:14, 289:23,	35:10, 63:8, 135:15,	343:16	respectful [1] - 195:24
remember [9] - 11:8,	290:2, 290:17,	166:4, 243:23,	requirement [2] -	respectfully [2] - 65:7,
30:17, 163:2,	291:24, 292:13,	362:13, 362:16	263:11, 362:1	229:9
168:21, 221:9,	292:16, 292:17,	representatives [3] -	requirements [14] -	respective [3] - 92:8,
226:10, 377:1,	292:24, 293:11,	204:19, 347:11,	33:17, 36:3, 107:10,	132:9, 132:13
378:12, 378:22	293:21, 294:1,	347:16	108:13, 108:18,	respectively [3] -
remembering [1] -	295:9, 295:17,	Representatives [6] -	108:19, 131:6,	85:23, 92:14, 98:4
45:20	296:20, 297:13,	172:17, 174:15,	131:20, 341:25,	respects [3] - 34:19,
remind [5] - 44:10,	299:21, 299:25,	220:25, 244:20,	342:17, 343:14,	52:22, 269:8
97:10, 114:21,	302:15, 302:22,	245:20	348:3, 357:6, 357:22	respond [11] - 16:7,
123:8, 358:13	303:5, 305:10,	represented [3] -	requires [9] - 77:18,	16:11, 18:17, 70:21,
reminder [1] - 30:15	305:19, 311:12,	15:20, 17:2, 321:20	96:2, 99:21, 100:11,	119:7, 119:13,
remote [1] - 68:1	311:16, 311:22,	representing [5] -	131:14, 160:6,	129:18, 234:15,
remotely [1] - 72:5	312:9, 315:14,	46:7, 56:11, 129:4,	189:22 217:17,	268:19, 369:11,
remove [4] - 69:25,	315:21, 318:2,	218:24, 287:23	348: (4	370:1
84:5, 110:17, 110:25	319:15, 320:3,	represents [3] - 41:23,	requiring [1] - 160:10	responded [1] - 231:6
removed [2] - 121:14,	321:23, 322:3,	60:20, 115:23	research [21] - 113:5,	responding [1] -
363:5	323:1, 323:21,	repressing [1] -	177:20, 189:25,	17:22
repeat [15] - 43:19,	326:23, 327:23,	173:22	190:3, 190:18,	response [12] - 17:10,
93:25, 116:9, 130:7,	329:23, 330:2,	reprints [1] - 271:14	190:22, 191:4,	26:24, 27:18, 71:1,
140:20, 141:8,	330:9, 331:1, 331:6,	reprogram	191:11, 191:12,	91:6, 91:7, 97:9,
150:3, 158:12,	331:13, 331:16,	364:22	191:22, 192:4,	127:9, 155:12,
185:15, 223:10,	332:9, 333:13,	reprogrammed [1] -	195:5, 195:16,	196:5, 226:22, 229:8
232:2, 236:18,	341:15, 346:16,	359:19	196:18, 196:20,	responsibilities [5] -
274:23, 326:1,	351:14, 367:24,	Republican [5] -	217:2, 217:23,	139:11, 140:2,
379:18	382:10, 382:17	169:8, 318:3, 319:7,	315:11, 323:22,	252:6, 336:24,
repeating [1] - 157:25	report's [1] - 249:9	347:12, 371:2	325:12, 332:1	338:10
repeats [1] - 219:15	reporter [5] - 9:16,	Republicans [3] -	researched [2] -	responsibility [7] -
repetitive [2] - 71:10,	194:10, 201:24,	347:6, 347:15, 365:6	195:8, 206:3	41:15, 41:21,
162:14	252:1, 385:11	request [9] - 10:25,	reserve [5] - 26:14,	134:20, 157:15,
rephrase [8] - 83:10,	REPORTER [2] -	26:23, 86:19, 180:3,	94:25, 118:18,	198:22, 199:4,
101:4, 150:21,	90:15 157:3	20.23, 80.19, 180.3, 225:2, 234:15,	201:2, 296:9	337:20
217:11, 277:21,	Reporter [2] - 1:21,	267:8, 335:21,	reserved [5] - 71:5,	responsible [12] -
280:6, 291:20,	390:12	370:13	88:8, 388:10,	56:1, 132:18,
379:23	reporter's [1] - 327:5	requested [8] - 20:17,	388:17, 389:1	135:16, 263:21,
replace [2] - 37:23,	REPORTER'S [1] -	45:4, 48:17, 133:10,	reserving [1] - 75:3	329:14, 337:10,
340:10	390:1	45.4, 48.17, 133.10, 133:20, 135:17,	reside [1] - 178:13	337:14, 337:22,
	reporting [2] - 341:25,	229:9, 274:8	resides [1] - 46:16	338:4, 338:5,
replaced [1] - 263:15	342:17		resolution [1] - 44:15	340:23, 355:5
reply [2] - 227:23,	reports [22] - 27:5,	requesting [6] - 11:19,	resolve [2] - 218:10,	rest [4] - 39:3, 153:12,
228:1	122:8, 122:13,	20:20, 21:13, 110:10, 231:3, 231:4	332:16	322:16, 370:9
Report [1] - 287:3	191:17, 191:18,	110:10, 231:3, 231:4	resolved [3] - 52:16,	
report [94] - 27:18,	191:19, 249:10,	requests [2] - 266:8,	52:17, 52:18	restart [1] - 151:25 restated [1] - 16:22
27:20, 35:17, 76:5,	289:11, 290:20,	343:24		
100:20, 100:25,	309:3, 323:22,	require [19] - 34:22,	resort [1] - 53:8	restricted [1] - 300:20
101:2, 102:1,	324:7, 328:11,	34:23, 98:17,	resources [5] -	resubmit [1] - 88:5
103:19, 120:9,	330:13, 330:17,	105:15, 107:11,	117:10, 152:5,	result [5] - 43:24,
122:20, 192:17,	367:21, 368:21,	108:14, 108:19,	213:7, 325:4, 329:5	119:4, 169:14,
192:21, 192:22,	368:24, 369:9,	109:1, 129:3,	respect [26] - 17:10,	194:23, 286:1
194:1, 194:2,	369:25, 380:24,	130:17, 132:7,	24:24, 58:4, 60:6,	results [22] - 76:3,
194:16, 195:9,	382:16	133:1, 133:11,	88:1, 93:3, 94:23,	76:4, 76:5, 76:11,
195:14, 205:8,	002.10	162:12, 163:4,	107:21, 108:16,	172:9, 172:10,

173:12, 194:22,	320:25	ruled [3] - 188:4,	Sam (a) 21.11 24.10	Scott (a) 2:01 0:00
195:19, 226:9,	ROBERT [1] - 3:2		Sam [2] - 31:11, 31:18	Scott [2] - 3:21, 8:22
315:20, 316:14,		374:2, 374:7	sample [14] - 58:4,	scratch [3] - 82:6,
317:19, 324:16,	robust [1] - 44:18	Rules [1] - 17:14	92:7, 103:7, 103:10,	116:13, 255:4
	Rockaway [1] - 3:24	rules [9] - 37:1, 37:5,	103:11, 110:7,	screen [23] - 34:22,
329:7, 331:20,	role [18] - 125:16,	89:18, 89:25,	154:1, 158:25,	66:2, 76:18, 76:19,
340:17, 341:15,	125:21, 125:24,	274:22, 274:24,	192:9, 302:25,	86:16, 92:15, 96:8,
342:1, 342:22,	126:1, 126:13,	275:8, 275:10,	357:15, 357:17,	97:25, 101:1, 104:9,
342:24, 342:25	128:9, 128:25,	348:22	357:19, 357:21	104:10, 109:9,
retained [3] - 131:4,	130:25, 131:1,	ruling [2] - 29:12,	Sarah [1] - 33:8	110:8, 112:3,
331:12	137:7, 157:16,	250:18	SARAH [2] - 1:4, 1:4	112:24, 124:9,
retainer [5] - 305:4,	157:17, 158:13,	rulings [1] - 388:15	Sass [5] - 31:18,	219:15, 228:16,
305:7, 305:12,	158:15, 158:16,	run [29] - 32:3, 33:10,	310:21, 312:17,	228:23, 270:10,
305:13, 381:9	158:17, 249:19,	33:19, 33:21, 56:11,	332:19, 333:1	291:15, 298:8, 299:6
retest [2] - 105:16,	376:1	62:7, 145:6, 154:7,	SASS [2] - 4:13,	screens [1] - 22:15
163:6	roles [4] - 125:14,	168:11, 174:9,	310:17	scroll [2] - 92:14,
retesting [2] - 98:17,	132:19, 137:5,	174:12, 174:17,	sat [1] - 249:14	103:8
108:21	157:14	180:15, 180:16,	satisfaction [1] -	scurry [1] - 52:8
reused [1] - 116:22	roll [1] - 154:8	180:21, 184:7,	278:23	seamless [1] - 308:17
reusing [2] - 84:3,	room [5] - 24:5, 24:7,	184:17, 196:7,	satisfied [1] - 36:2	season [1] - 225:8
116:14	30:7, 124:7, 199:5	208:19, 208:24,	satisfy [2] - 78:3.	seat [6] - 167:8,
reveal [1] - 40:25	rooms [1] - 67:9	213:3, 213:11,	117:6	180:24, 184:7,
revenue [2] - 265:2,	rooted [1] - 49:13	238:15, 240:23,	save [2] - 303:25,	196:7, 212:16, 251:7
265:12	rotation [1] - 39:18	242:9, 268:11,	322:17	seated [7] - 6:5, 129:8,
reverse [1] - 17:1	roughly [2] - 177:15,	276:8, 279:20,	saves [1] - 76:11	165:22, 197:19,
review [17] - 28:9,	260:12	371:10	saw [4] - 65:14, 92:9,	287:14, 287:16,
86:8, 104:3, 113:14,	routinely [1] - 37:16	running [30] - 20:7,	195:17, 205:12	310:22
205:10, 206:6,	row [13] - 61:4, 62:14,	61:13, 95:17, 96:22	scale [2] - 32:12,	seats [1] - 14:22
234:6, 280:22,	101:9, 206:20,	97:3, 168:12, 🛛 💭	32:13	Secaucus [1] - 3:6
329:13, 329:25,	207:4, 291:9,	168:22, 169:4,	scandal [1] - 171:4	second [18] - 31:1,
330:1, 330:8, 331:1,	298:11, 300:13,	172:17, 174:14,	scanned [1] - 357:11	78:10, 102:24,
331:17, 332:8, 355:3	349:5, 349:13,	176:18, 178:23,	scanners [13] - 76:8,	102:25, 105:11,
reviewed [2] - 167:2,	350:13, 351:3, 351:5	183:18, 183:24,	76:9, 77:1, 80:12,	121:3, 124:8, 127:9,
205:7	row-and-column [1] -	207:13, 209:3,	340:16, 340:22,	170:5, 189:19,
reviewing [2] - 44:17,	291:9	213:6, 229:17,	341:7, 341:8, 359:1,	194:8, 234:6,
337:17	rows [20] - 99:24,	240:13, 245:22,	359:18, 364:23	243:17, 286:17,
revisit [1] - 296:12	100:6, 110:19,	255:23, 255:25,	scans [1] - 76:10	299:9, 350:7, 368:5,
revisited [1] - 187:21	110:22, 111:1,	263:15, 279:16,	scattered [1] - 65:20	368:18
reworded [1] - 148:11	116:18, 293:3	291:5, 317:4, 319:7,	schedule [7] - 20:12,	secondarily [1] -
RICHARD [1] - 2:9	293:4, 294:5, 295:6,	321:13	20:14, 20:16, 20:21,	106:10
Richard [1] - 9:12	295:14, 300:7,	runoff [1] - 272:9	20:14, 20:16, 20:21, 20:23, 21:11, 257:12	secondary [1] -
Richter [2] - 318:7,	348.13, 348:14,	runs [5] - 27:24,		172:23
318:11	348:20, 350:24,	151:1, 302:5,	scheduled [2] - 15:3	secondly [2] - 17:17,
right-hand [2] - 102:8,	352:9, 372:15,	302:12, 346:8	Schmidt [1] - 366:24	259:23
102:25	373:14, 373:15	Rush [1] - 33:8	SCHOENGOOD [1] -	secretaries [1] -
rights [14] - 44:3, 44:9,	Royal [5] - 114:23,	RUSH [2] - 1:4, 1:5	1:4 Cabaannaadau	125:17
-	252:2, 252:4, 252:6,	RYAN [2] - 4:3, 72:24	Schoengood [1] -	Secretary [5] - 75:16,
52:22, 63:18, 65:4, 65:5, 66:15, 66:18	252:2, 252:4, 252:0, 252:14	Ryan [10] - 22:14,	33:8	86:1, 86:6, 125:12,
65:5, 66:15, 66:18, 212:10, 212:14	RSM [5] - 74:6,	72:4, 72:7, 72:12,	school [12] - 268:8,	128:11
212:10, 212:14, 215:8, 210:6	124:19, 125:22,	73:3, 73:4, 73:7,	268:9, 268:11,	sections [1] - 43:9
215:8, 219:6, 220:20, 245:10	124.19, 125.22, 126:2, 126:2, 128:9	74:5, 101:25, 288:7	269:20, 270:22,	sections [1] - 43.9 secure [2] - 127:8,
229:20, 245:10	Rubin [9] - 31:18,	,	271:10, 272:17,	365:24
rigorous [2] - 31:19,	310:21, 311:7,	S	272:18, 281:23,	
35:24	312:7, 312:16,	5	294:9, 295:1, 295:7	securing [1] - 383:25
rise [3] - 6:4, 121:7,	312:17, 312:18,	safe [1] - 143:13	Schwartz [1] - 3:4	security [11] - 73:13,
389:6	332:19, 333:1	safely [1] - 388:23	science [2] - 35:25,	74:8, 74:23, 75:10,
risks [1] - 127:14	RUBIN [2] - 4:13,	Sahradnik [1] - 8:21	44:5	121:20, 127:5,
River [2] - 3:21, 300:1		SAHRADNIK [1] - 3:19	scientific [5] - 31:19,	127:7, 127:20,
road [1] - 22:13	310:17	sake [2] - 52:2, 327:5	94:19, 94:24, 95:9,	127:22, 388:21,
Road [2] - 2:24, 3:3	rule [5] - 36:4, 71:16,	Salem [4] - 1:11, 7:11,	96:14	388:25
Rob [4] - 319:22,	119:18, 187:16, 361:25	323:18, 323:23	scope [2] - 87:15,	Security [3] - 125:15,
320:3, 320:20,	361:25	525.10, 525.25	88:24	125:22, 126:13

200 (54) 10:45 40:4	167.05 160.40	105.1 107.0 201.44		abat (4, 22.00
see [54] - 10:15, 13:4,	167:25, 168:12,	105:1, 107:9, 291:11	Services [2] - 114:23,	shot [4] - 33:22,
24:9, 41:10, 50:23,	174:4, 174:11,	separate [16] - 51:15,	252:6	196:9, 237:19
55:12, 61:14, 62:6,	176:11, 177:7,	161:12, 197:17,	servicing [1] - 113:18	show [19] - 50:9,
65:8, 68:16, 68:17,	178:24, 180:15,	240:17, 269:3,	serving [1] - 74:12	50:19, 53:12, 53:15,
70:22, 71:13, 85:7,	180:16, 180:21,	289:15, 289:18,	session [1] - 23:4	53:16, 53:19, 53:21,
86:16, 101:1,	180:24, 181:3,	291:12, 294:5,	set [17] - 78:18, 79:5,	57:3, 88:22, 91:17,
104:12, 109:10,	182:16, 183:18,	294:17, 298:1,	80:12, 81:5, 94:6,	92:25, 176:22,
109:14, 109:15,	184:7, 184:18, 185:18, 185:23,	298:7, 298:9, 319:16, 338:3,	103:24, 174:13,	181:11, 191:5,
120:24, 132:21,	196:7, 205:2, 206:7,	359:21	177:4, 254:10,	217:16, 303:19,
170:20, 172:13, 173:8, 188:22,			261:13, 275:8,	316:6, 325:18
191:4, 193:24,	206:21, 207:6, 207:9, 207:14,	separately [3] - 347:7, 349:1, 364:2	276:1, 298:8, 355:2,	showed [4] - 179:11,
191:4, 195:24, 194:21, 195:10,	207.3, 207.14, 211:16, 213:19,		355:6, 367:15	195:17, 279:23,
196:7, 205:13,	229:6, 229:16,	September [17] - 167:10, 168:3,	setup [1] - 330:15	311:9
214:8, 217:4,	236:24, 238:15,		seven [6] - 29:10,	shown [9] - 65:9,
217:24, 220:2,	239:9, 239:11,	174:6, 185:16, 211:16, 211:22,	68:21, 119:9, 211:1,	112:3, 154:1,
225:12, 226:9,	245:19, 255:24,	211:10, 211:22, 212:4, 213:14,	212:21, 368:21	179:10, 278:14,
229:22, 234:22,	315:7, 315:17,	212:4, 213:14, 213:20,	several [13] - 289:15,	278:22, 279:6, 281:2, 361:10
270:22, 282:20,	319:23, 346:24,	213:17, 213:20, 213:24, 225:15,	289:16, 289:18, 201:12, 201:12	281:2, 361:10
291:8, 304:4, 305:2,	349:17, 349:18,	213.24, 225.15, 225:17, 225:19,	291:12, 291:13,	shows [6] - 32:9, 229:18, 232:25,
317:15, 317:16,	349:20, 349:25,	238:15, 383:14	291:21, 291:22, 212:0, 216:17	229.18, 232.25, 305:4, 314:6, 379:11
319:24, 352:12,	350:1, 350:4, 350:8,	sequence [2] - 82:25,	313:9, 316:17	
360:18, 366:10,	350:10	185:13	339:4, 353 ⁻ 5,	shut [3] - 375:6, 384:5, 388:22
377:22, 378:15,	Senates [1] - 315:7	sequester [2] -	354:15, 354:18	
380:14	senator [2] - 168:2,	198:22, 335:15	shaker [1] - 350:5	shy [1] - 20:8
seeing [3] - 97:25,	291:13	sequestered [2] -	snape [1] - 85:9	Siberia [3] - 61:25,
118:21, 317:17	Senator [5] - 168:2,	67:6, 367:8	share [5] - 178:4,	172:21, 239:10 sic [3] - 113:8, 224:15,
seek [22] - 53:22,	174:7, 209:11,	sequestration [11]	184:23, 238:13,	299:9
58:13, 108:8,	212:16, 317:1	197:25, 198:8,	343:20, 372:10	side [20] - 10:18, 11:9,
109:24, 110:6,	senatorial [3] - 178:5,	199:13, 199:20,	shared [1] - 184:3 shave [1] - 69:20	11:10, 24:12, 26:16,
188:21, 189:21,	179:4, 179:5	248:14, 249:5,		31:4, 42:4, 46:1,
203:12, 203:14,	send [13] - 205:4,	250:10, 284:4,	sheer [1] - 245:24	102:25, 154:3,
203:25, 204:9,	225:4, 225:15,	288:20, 288:25,	sheet [1] - 291:14	249:18, 257:18,
204:12, 204:14,	229:17, 258:5,	308:12	sheets [1] - 146:9	258:2, 309:7, 341:8,
204:19, 209:1,	260:2, 261:2,	Sequoia [5] - 299:18,	shell [12] - 114:9,	366:11, 388:18
211:4, 212:6,	261:10, 275:15,	299:22, 300:24,	114:14, 115:2,	sidebar [3] - 198:2,
212:11, 214:22,	275:22, 276:6,	301:4, 301:6	115:5, 115:11, 115:17, 116:7	235:2, 236:1
214:25, 263:7, 266:2	344:4, 357:18	serial [1] - 81:25	115:17, 116:7, 255:16, 255:20,	Sidebar [4] - 198:5,
seeking [12] - 29:10,	sending [6] - 192:8,	series [5] - 85:4,	256:6, 258:4, 274:14	201:20, 235:3,
83:2, 162:7, 176:19,	232:7, 261:17,	85:22, 98:10,	shells [6] - 114:1,	236:15
205:5, 215:3, 215:5,	353:2, 363:21	379:11, 380:6	256:9, 258:10,	sided [2] - 303:25,
221:3, 229:14,	sends [1] - 274:7	serious [1] - 381:8	258:19, 258:22,	377:10
234:1, 234:23,	senior [6] - 174:16,	serve [3] - 46:9,	261:22	sides [3] - 11:3, 67:9,
387:23	188:24, 189:1,	180:17, 180:19	sheriffs [1] - 245:21	369:20
seeks [1] - 208:16	189:9, 215:15,	served [6] - 166:9,	shift [1] - 174:19	sign [6] - 209:20,
seem [6] - 156:8,	342:13	314:17, 315:2,	shifting [1] - 364:17	209:25, 210:10,
240:7, 317:6,	sense [10] - 62:22,	317:3, 319:6, 320:6	SHIVAS [1] - 3:22	216:16, 216:20,
378:18, 380:8,	122:10, 122:22,	service [3] - 38:6,	shock [2] - 118:4,	354:20
388:14	122:25, 168:13,	151:10, 328:23	249:12	sign-off [1] - 354:20
select [2] - 60:18,	195:17, 316:5,	Service [4] - 30:2,	shocked [1] - 47:25	signature [1] - 305:4
155:2	380:8, 380:23,	252:2, 252:4, 252:14	shop [2] - 252:25,	signatures [1] -
selected [1] - 206:8	382:22	services [18] - 113:16,	255:1	386:14
selections [2] - 76:23,	sensitive [1] - 268:24	113:21, 114:4,	short [7] - 90:23,	signed [4] - 210:3,
76:25	sent [11] - 227:1,	114:6, 114:7, 115:2,	153:5, 197:18,	210:8, 210:17, 381:8
Senate [62] - 1:3,	229:3, 231:9,	125:8, 147:12,	287:15, 306:24,	significance [1] -
62:11, 62:13, 62:15,	232:10, 234:1,	147:14, 149:14,	341:15, 363:2	300:8
62:17, 62:22, 63:1,	305:5, 305:7,	151:13, 152:15,	shorthand [1] -	significant [19] -
63:6, 63:8, 63:25,	305:12, 356:12,	152:17, 153:4,	168:18	53:23, 149:4, 149:8,
81:18, 154:20,	356:23, 381:11	301:23, 302:9,	shortly [4] - 49:18,	169:14, 172:14,
167:8, 167:24,	sentence [4] - 15:6,	305:14, 305:15	69:22, 176:1, 193:1	178:18, 179:11,

179:17, 181:1,	situation [17] - 10:25,	135:11, 137:1,	sorry [63] - 24:11,	speaking [10] - 69:4,
181:2, 185:4,	135:12, 177:1,	137:2, 137:3, 137:6,	26:20, 51:5, 70:18,	156:9, 163:21,
197:24, 237:16,	179:20, 180:18,	137:11, 137:13,	73:22, 73:23, 74:18,	191:16, 201:25,
237:17, 238:18,	181:1, 181:2,	137:17, 137:21,	74:20, 75:8, 77:4,	202:4, 223:19,
239:14, 239:22,	181:21, 184:12,	137:22, 138:3,	78:4, 79:19, 90:18,	277:20, 318:3,
384:21, 387:22	238:10, 255:24,	138:14, 138:17,	93:13, 99:2, 101:4,	349:10
significantly [2] -	257:17, 261:14,	152:9, 162:5, 162:9,	112:11, 123:12,	speaks [3] - 296:9,
171:24, 172:6	263:24, 317:14,	163:6, 163:11,	129:7, 147:4,	348:13, 349:7
signing [4] - 167:2,	369:13, 376:18	276:17, 276:21,	159:11, 181:20,	specialize [2] - 73:10,
191:15, 210:12,	situations [1] - 173:5	276:25, 277:7,	183:15, 197:13,	252:9
210:13	six [4] - 18:25, 68:21,	277:13, 277:16,	198:6, 204:12,	specific [22] - 77:14,
similar [25] - 75:23,	102:5, 368:21	278:7, 278:9,	213:21, 218:7,	81:25, 85:18, 92:7,
75:24, 81:5, 97:16,	size [5] - 178:15,	278:11, 297:1,	218:16, 219:14,	116:18, 127:14,
97:23, 100:5, 102:4,	178:16, 354:5,	297:2, 297:9,	219:17, 221:23,	130:19, 142:8,
103:1, 116:10,	354:8, 354:9	297:10, 298:22,	222:23, 223:9,	143:1, 147:13,
117:12, 117:22,	sizes [1] - 354:6	298:24, 299:3,	241:4, 244:2,	150:25, 158:15,
131:20, 132:23,	skills [3] - 140:24,	301:19, 302:2,	251:12, 262:13,	191:3, 191:4,
135:7, 148:1, 170:3,	141:9, 144:4	302:4, 302:11,	267:11, 270:19,	191:22, 206:4,
181:21, 184:25,	skip [3] - 73:8, 247:5,	302:12, 302:17,	274:6, 276:15,	206:7, 210:13,
219:20, 220:9,	315:14	302:19, 340:20,	286:5, 289:1, 290:4,	258:11, 339:12,
220:12, 313:23,	slate [1] - 243:6	341:19, 342:21,	290:6, 291:13,	352:18, 353:16
329:22, 329:24	slides [1] - 388:1	353:23, 358:8,	295:4, 295,10,	specifically [28] -
similarly [3] - 136:18,	slight [2] - 179:22,	362:7, 362:8, 372:5,	302:7, 303:9, 327:8,	73:10, 74:23, 75:19,
139:25, 313:24	248:6	374:17	330.5, 330:18,	75:25, 76:7, 80:12,
simple [4] - 110:24,	slightly [1] - 271:22	Software [1] - 75:18	350:18, 362:20,	91:13, 96:2, 98:8,
240:10, 318:22,	slogan [5] - 43:6,	softwares [1] - 77:25	365:5, 366:5,	102:15, 105:3,
326:21	43:10, 43:22, 56:8,	sole [1] - 74:6	3 73:21, 374:5,	108:24, 112:1,
simplified [2] - 98:22,	63:23	solely [3] - 57:23, 💭	378:24, 379:18,	112:3, 138:6,
99:14	slogans [5] - 43:18,	152:8, 188:10	385:12	138:10, 141:3,
simply [6] - 14:11,	52:21, 52:23, 61:19,	Sollami [2] - 3:48,	Sorry [2] - 6:16,	149:25, 150:6,
20:24, 31:16, 49:7,	61:22	7:20	159:25	160:2, 189:18,
170:25, 247:18	slow [4] - 55:15,	Solutions [5] - 74:6,	sort [8] - 36:24,	191:16, 296:5,
single [35] - 25:9,	262:6, 262:16,	124:19, 125:22,	145:18, 154:23,	314:10, 318:1,
83:19, 96:12, 97:22,	262:18	126:2, 128:9	177:1, 189:14,	325:24, 340:1, 349:7
97:24, 97:25, 99:18,	slow-walked [1] -	Someone [14] - 20:9,	305:15, 343:1,	specificity [4] - 80:18,
100:18, 108:24,	55:15	71:2, 171:17,	343:19	80:22, 80:23, 93:23
109:19, 110:11,	slowly [2] - 335:3,	172:23, 173:16,	sorted [1] - 178:12	specified [2] - 126:14,
110:16, 117:17,	335:4	182:16, 207:20,	sought [5] - 55:2,	155:14
133:25, 134:1,	small [1] - 314:23	240:13, 240:18,	82:24, 203:17,	specify [3] - 85:16,
134:6, 143:9,	smiling [1] - 243:12	240:23, 308:14,	203:22, 204:6	113:6, 142:10
152:12, 152:15,	snaps'iot [1] - 127:6	308:19, 325:21,	Soule [2] - 1:21,	speculation [1] -
157:25, 160:21,	snip [1] - 58:6	327:18	390:11	325:7
160:23, 161:2,	so [10] - 77:12,	Somerset [3] - 1:20,	Soule@njd.uscourts	speculative [10] -
179:11, 204:8,	108:6, 119:21,	2:11, 9:13	.gov [1] - 1:22	35:15, 55:21,
214:23, 239:25,	187:21, 193:11,	something's [1] -	sound [5] - 156:23,	149:21, 150:8,
240:1, 245:21, 246:7, 204:20	210:23, 247:9,	87:20	164:20, 330:2,	189:17, 190:10,
246:7, 294:20, 295:7, 295:18,	306:21, 309:18,	sometime [3] -	330:9, 330:10	196:14, 221:15,
300:21, 354:24	332:17	189:10, 216:22, 333:10	sounds [10] - 41:2,	222:7, 242:15
single-column [1] -	social [2] - 175:5,	333:10	42:16, 69:8, 71:19, 73:25, 120:20	speed [2] - 77:5,
110:16	175:25	sometimes [2] -	73:25, 120:20, 199:12, 237:4,	186:22
sit [4] - 14:8, 171:9,	softer [1] - 37:11	192:11	304:14, 377:8	spelling [7] - 73:2,
255:9, 335:16	software [71] - 34:23,	somewhere [9] - 11:12, 19:1, 67:20,	sources [1] - 291:24	165:19, 251:4, 285:23, 310:20,
sitting [15] - 14:24,	40:12, 40:24, 77:10,	127:2, 152:20,	Soutces [1] - 291.24 South [2] - 2:24, 3:17	336:6, 375:18
23:7, 30:4, 40:17,	79:2, 81:3, 84:7,	270:14, 363:14,	south [2] - 2.24, 3.17 southern [3] - 320:10,	spend [1] - 239:24
48:8, 48:9, 49:21,	84:18, 105:13,	367:8, 372:16	320:21, 321:1	spend [1] - 239.24 spending [4] - 71:2,
198:12, 201:19,	105:16, 107:12,	soon [3] - 305:21,	space [3] - 144:9,	250:8, 328:19, 329:5
222:25, 240:16,	108:15, 108:20,	355:18, 355:24	154:7, 169:21	spent [3] - 73:12,
240:24, 264:15,	109:2, 110:25,	sooner [1] - 196:3	spacing [1] - 85:7	144:17, 335:2
268:23, 320:4	130:18, 133:19,	sore [1] - 33:19	sparked [1] - 242:4	SPIRO [74] - 2:5, 2:6,
	133:23, 135:10,		-pained [i] 272.7	

4:8, 4:16, 8:25,	197:6, 213:2,	starting [11] - 81:9,	State's [2] - 75:16,	63:23, 130:13,
197:4, 211:12, 211:14, 218:13,	215:15, 216:23,	82:7, 95:16, 116:12,	128:11	130:14, 234:22,
	225:22, 255:1, 258:4, 259:24,	153:22, 160:25,	statement [13] - 23:1,	263:12, 348:12,
218:16, 218:18,	, ,	202:2, 202:4, 238:9,	43:1, 59:8, 108:11,	348:14, 348:24, 240:4, 240:7
219:11, 220:4,	275:14, 276:16,	255:4, 256:2	108:12, 109:5,	349:4, 349:7,
220:7, 220:24,	279:25, 283:20,	starts [3] - 42:20,	109:20, 145:16,	349:21, 350:25,
221:20, 221:22,	284:7, 287:11,	83:23, 143:10	148:23, 212:9,	363:4, 363:6, 363:8,
221:25, 222:9,	324:24, 325:3,	State [10] - 1:14,	372:8, 372:11,	363:16, 364:16
227:4, 227:15,	342:9, 342:10,	14:11, 41:16, 86:1,	374:25	statutes [7] - 96:24,
227:22, 228:1,	362:21, 362:22,	86:2, 86:6, 86:7,	statements [8] -	348:7, 349:1,
228:5, 228:12,	362:23, 374:1, 375:2	94:20, 125:13,	167:1, 167:4,	349:19, 352:18,
228:15, 228:18,	staffing [1] - 139:23	173:16	167:15, 168:7,	364:8
228:25, 229:2,	stage [4] - 44:14,	state [103] - 16:23,	200:12, 206:1, 212:4	statutorily [2] -
230:10, 230:25,	82:22, 117:4, 175:21	18:12, 18:13, 18:14,	States [20] - 6:2, 28:3,	343:16, 343:18
231:22, 232:5,	stake [1] - 184:8	30:13, 32:19, 32:21,	60:12, 73:16, 73:18,	statutory [11] - 63:21,
232:15, 232:19,	stakeholder [1] -	32:22, 37:15, 37:19,	85:24, 128:10,	66:14, 117:6, 207:9,
233:4, 233:11,	126:17	49:10, 49:12, 52:12,	128:18, 162:10,	343:11, 343:13,
233:19, 233:21,	stakeholders [2] -	57:8, 57:9, 73:1,	162:11, 167:24,	348:2, 349:24,
233:25, 235:8,	58:16, 127:18	74:10, 97:19,	168:22, 181:3,	353:21, 357:6,
235:21, 236:19,	stand [16] - 6:15,	101:21, 104:5,	240:14, 240:16,	357:22
239:2, 239:4, 239:6,	26:19, 45:20, 71:3,	104:18, 125:1,	240:23, 240:24,	stay [2] - 61:10,
241:15, 241:22,	79:18, 122:19,	125:5, 125:9,	242:9, 298 16,	388:24
243:4, 243:11,	197:8, 241:5,	125:12, 125:20,	346:24	staying [1] - 136:25
336:16, 344:7,	247:19, 277:19,	126:9, 126:12,	states [29] - 28:3,	stenography [1] - 1:23
344:12, 344:17,	310:15, 367:15,	126:17, 126:23,	38:18, 38:20, 38:21,	step [27] - 40:9, 79:11,
344:19, 344:23,	372:8, 372:11,	127:2, 127:24,	43:16, 97:20, 98:6,	81:8, 81:10, 81:14,
345:9, 350:17,	372:22, 373:3	128:3, 128:6, 128:8,	98:12, 98:13,	82:3, 82:4, 82:22,
350:20, 351:10,	standard [10] - 30:20,	128:11, 128:12,	101:16, 101:18,	82:25, 83:4, 83:12,
351:13, 351:15,	33:4, 44:18, 60:19,	128:13, 128:14	101:22, 106:9,	83:13, 83:17, 89:11,
351:21, 351:23,	63:18, 90:8, 90:22,	128:21, 128:24,	106:12, 113:16,	89:12, 93:21, 93:22,
358:16, 358:18,	236:10, 275:7, 275:8	128:25, 129:3,	128:22, 135:16,	94:1, 94:6, 121:17,
360:6, 360:11,	standards [5] - 47:2,	129:24. 130:5,	155:5, 155:11,	161:8, 180:16,
360:22, 360:23,	52:1, 132:6, 132:7,	130:ĉ, 130:9,	155:14, 180:12,	248:24
363:3, 364:11,	190:19	30:13, 130:20,	297:3, 297:8,	stepping [1] - 184:8
364:13, 366:6	standing [10] - 24:10,	-132:20, 138:22,	297:10, 297:11,	steps [9] - 36:23,
Spiro [7] - 8:25, 9:3,	26:17, 30:1, 30:4,	139:19, 143:3,	301:14, 385:24	127:16, 161:4,
157:5, 211:12,	33:17, 35:12, 35.19,	144:5, 144:15,	STATES [2] - 1:1, 1:16	174:11, 174:23,
236:17, 287:23	85:8, 236:12, 240:3	147:23, 154:22,	statewide [6] - 181:8,	175:1, 178:22,
spite [1] - 335:22	start [33] - 19:3, 23:17,	155:8, 163:10,	213:3, 246:1,	200:9, 236:20
Spitzer [1] - 9:13	26:22, 32.7, 39:20,	165:18, 175:4,	255:25, 275:7,	still [36] - 30:7, 64:4,
SPITZER [1] - 2:9	67:25, 123:6,	175:19, 177:16,	346:23	67:8, 77:13, 83:14,
spoken [1] - 266:17	149:17, 153:23,	180:17, 181:2,	stating [1] - 74:1	111:1, 123:8,
spot [3] - 35:22,	173:1, 173:10,	183:11, 203:18,	statistic [1] - 316:2	123:15, 151:24,
38:16, 52:2	173:11, 174:24,	218:10, 234:14,	statistical [3] -	176:7, 176:15,
spread [1] - 338:24	188:24, 213:1,	234:21, 236:21,	319:16, 320:24,	176:16, 199:20,
spreadsheets [1] -	224:25, 226:2,	251:3, 252:13,	325:21	207:8, 208:25,
353:7	226:17, 229:4,	256:15, 263:12,	statistically [1] -	209:16, 211:24,
Springs [1] - 3:23	238:2, 251:23,	285:22, 292:13,	327:19	212:12, 213:3,
squeeze [1] - 366:13	255:22, 255:23,	293:11, 293:12,	statistics [9] - 325:23,	219:3, 221:8,
St [1] - 15:2	256:5, 263:8,	299:7, 299:16,	326:20, 326:21,	232:15, 240:4,
staff [45] - 20:1, 28:25,	305:10, 309:1,	301:13, 302:15,	326:25, 327:12,	243:5, 247:12,
139:11, 139:15,	352:4, 356:15,	302:19, 310:19,	327:14, 327:15,	248:12, 264:15,
140:3, 140:5,	367:18, 373:23,	315:7, 321:25,	328:7	273:19, 273:22,
140:13, 140:18,	377:11, 379:8	324:12, 327:22,	status [4] - 57:2,	275:2, 308:24,
140:25, 141:10,	started [14] - 10:19,	328:22, 328:24,	176:5, 381:12,	310:13, 327:18,
141:15, 141:20,	23:4, 136:7, 197:21,	331:4, 336:5, 340:5,	381:15	355:12
141:23, 174:15,	225:19, 225:23,	344:5, 345:11,	statute [27] - 15:23,	stip [1] - 332:17
174:16, 176:15,	226:3, 226:5, 226:6,	346:11, 347:10,	18:8, 42:21, 42:22,	stipulate [4] - 24:15,
188:24, 189:1,	231:6, 252:10,	363:19, 372:7,	42:23, 43:2, 52:19,	25:5, 25:8, 366:25
189:4, 189:5, 189:9,	252:11, 368:4, 376:5	375:17, 385:21,	53:20, 56:8, 61:19,	stipulated [2] -
		387:22		

243:21, 313:15	274:15, 293:2,	suddenly (4) - 204-4	375:9	360:3, 360:5
	274:15, 293:2, 293:4, 293:5, 293:6,	suddenly [1] - 294:1	375:9 supplied [2] - 253:2,	
stipulations [2] - 286:2, 307:4	293:4, 293:5, 293:6, 293:8, 294:5,	sued [1] - 208:10	296:23 supplied [2] - 253:2,	swapping [1] - 263:22 Swartz [5] - 104:4,
	293.8, 294.3, 294:24, 295:3,	suffer [2] - 53:19,	support [23] - 47:19,	•• •
stop [3] - 19:24,	, ,	55:18	,	104:17, 104:23,
19:25, 241:9	295:6, 295:15	suffered [2] - 242:10,	56:7, 61:9, 61:11,	104:24, 106:21
stopped [1] - 132:2	styles [9] - 107:2,	242:21	117:25, 118:12,	Swartz's [3] - 105:18,
stopping [1] - 309:7	108:22, 109:3,	suffering [1] - 55:18	127:12, 127:18,	108:11, 109:5
story [3] - 58:11,	277:7, 293:7, 354:2,	sufficient [1] - 33:16	203:11, 234:2,	swear [2] - 250:24,
58:12, 200:20	357:13, 365:6, 365:9	suggest [4] - 11:4,	234:4, 292:18,	335:25
strategic [3] - 74:7,	subject [7] - 125:15,	32:25, 39:1, 291:25	292:21, 292:25,	sweet [2] - 35:22, 52:2
189:13, 213:7	127:4, 146:11,	suggesting [2] - 91:4,	295:13, 296:24,	swept [1] - 65:5
strategically [1] -	216:6, 314:7,	229:14	297:11, 299:22,	switch [2] - 26:16,
382:19	333:21, 333:23	suggestions [1] - 42:2	301:1, 328:4, 329:1,	174:4
strategy [1] - 249:20	subjects [1] - 25:22	suggests [4] - 292:18,	376:20	switched [1] - 137:25
streamline [3] - 156:7,	submission [1] -	292:25, 293:13,	supported [1] - 169:9	sworn [3] - 72:21,
248:1, 307:11	344:15	325:21	supporting [2] -	165:15, 166:7
streamlined [3] -	submissions [1] -	suit [11] - 35:7, 153:8,	182:10, 183:1	SWORN/AFFIRMED
156:8, 310:9, 312:8	217:24	181:12, 223:6,	supportive [3] -	[7] - 72:24, 165:16,
Street [6] - 1:14, 2:3,	submit [9] - 17:10,	223:12, 223:18,	182:13, 236:24,	251:1, 285:20,
2:7, 2:15, 3:9, 3:12	24:24, 27:2, 29:22,	224:16, 224:18,	237:3	310:17, 336:3,
stricken [1] - 249:6	76:23, 76:24, 131:9,	226:16, 226:19,	supports [4] 16:24,	375:15
strike [4] - 199:22,	132:9, 196:20	376:20	293:2, 293 9, 296:21	system [80] - 33:14,
237:8, 249:25,	submits [1] - 297:2	Suite [14] - 1:19, 2:3,	suppose [1] - 56:3	34:17, 57:14, 75:17,
360:25	submitted [21] -	2:7, 2:10, 2:21, 2:24,	supposed [6] - 37:13,	76:1, 76:2, 77:8,
striking [1] - 250:14	21:16, 21:18, 27:9,	3:3, 3:6, 3:17, 81:1,	52:20, 52:21,	77:24, 77:25, 78:18,
strong [2] - 195:17,	68:25, 87:21, 88:3,	81:4, 85:5, 86:4,	254:21, 355:22,	79:15, 80:9, 80:11,
196:1	104:3, 111:12,	138:11	356:11	80:16, 80:20, 80:21,
stronger [2] - 312:4,	205:11, 205:18,	summarize [2] -	supposedly [2] -	80:24, 82:4, 94:2,
312:5	226:16, 227:1,	144:2, 179:9	295:23, 302:23	94:15, 95:24, 98:20,
strongly [1] - 11:4	247:22, 250:1,	summarized [1] -	Supreme [5] - 37:22,	101:4, 107:7,
structure [2] - 57:8,	270:2, 280:21,	150:4	37:23, 58:10, 60:12	111:25, 112:4,
207:17	287:2, 289:10,	summation [3] -	surprise [5] - 286:5,	112:12, 112:21,
structured [2] - 60:21,	297:7, 311:12,	381:6, 387:17,	324:10, 324:20,	131:7, 131:11,
61:1	311:15	387:25	324:23, 328:14	144:19, 155:15,
structures [1] - 314:14	submitting [1] - 297:3	summer [1] - 142:20	surprised [1] - 318:20	159:19, 159:25,
studies [1] - 326:5	subsequent [3] -	Sunday [1] - 15:1	survey [11] - 191:22,	163:12, 163:20,
study [1] - 323:1	170:4, 316:22,	super [1] - 240:7	191:25, 192:2,	171:16, 172:4,
stuff [1] - 36:25	343:22	superintendent [17] -	192:6, 192:17,	173:12, 176:6,
style [64] - 82:13,	subsequently [1] -	157:17, 157:18,	192:21, 192:23,	177:3, 177:12,
94:21, 95:6, 95:10,	102:7	157:19, 158:13,	194:17, 194:22,	180:13, 181:10,
96:1, 96:7, 96:16,	subsets [1] - 328:2	158:14, 292:10,	194:23, 226:9	184:20, 212:6,
96:19, 96:23, 97:17,	substance [1] - 188:7	337:21, 337:22,	survived [1] - 44:23	214:9, 224:1,
98:13, 98:16, 98:21,	substantial [1] -	341:2, 341:9,	survives [1] - 58:15	233:15, 238:17,
99:14, 99:18, 99:21,	317:18	341:16, 342:9,	suspense [1] - 278:1	238:20, 258:20,
100:2, 100:3, 105:5,	substantially [1] -	356:4, 356:7,	Sussex [2] - 323:19,	258:21, 258:23,
105:6, 105:8, 105:9,	160:14	356:18, 356:20,	323:23	276:10, 277:9,
105:10, 105:11,	substantive [2] - 24:3,	358:22	sustain [9] - 80:4,	277:10, 296:22,
105:19, 106:4,	34:8	supplement [2] - 75:4,	83:9, 115:13,	340:18, 341:8,
106:5, 106:7, 106:8,	success [1] - 33:3	375:13	115:19, 143:22,	341:14, 341:17,
106:12, 106:16,	successful [15] -	Supplemental [1] -	162:23, 202:23,	342:15, 342:16,
106:19, 106:21,	169:1, 171:13,	287:3	222:8, 222:11	342:22, 353:23,
106:22, 107:10,	171:16, 180:10,	supplemental [16] -	sustained [21] - 78:9,	354:23, 354:25,
108:13, 108:18,	189:16, 190:3,	12:10, 23:5, 23:10,	85:17, 86:24, 95:20,	355:21, 356:9,
108:19, 108:24,	195:2, 196:22,	26:24, 27:25,	133:6, 143:25,	356:12, 357:25,
110:17, 112:4,	210:20, 211:2,	111:13, 111:15,	153:11, 187:8,	361:20, 362:5
112:9, 112:13,	217:4, 217:16,	112:10, 112:23,	187:11, 187:20,	systems [57] - 73:17,
116:11, 116:12,	237:6, 237:15,	286:25, 289:12,	202:18, 208:17,	74:4, 74:16, 75:10,
117:20, 118:13,	385:17	293:21, 295:17,	220:21, 230:9,	75:14, 75:19, 75:20,
180:12, 255:12,	successfully [1] -	299:25, 302:15	231:20, 241:7,	75:23, 75:24, 76:16,
256:11, 257:25,	37:23	supplemented [1] -	241:17, 350:16,	77:15, 77:24, 80:8,

United States District Court District of New Jersey

146 of 152 sheets

80:10, 80:15, 81:11,	Tammy [5] - 186:5,	361:13, 361:15,	150:22, 151:19	356:3, 356:4, 356:5,
81:22, 81:23, 85:3,		361:16, 365:10,	testify [19] - 22:18,	356:22, 357:2
	204:11, 204:16,			
85:5, 86:4, 93:24,	204:21, 215:22	388:19	25:6, 26:2, 26:10,	tests [1] - 135:4
94:13, 95:5, 96:14,	tapping [1] - 87:22	ten-minute [3] - 197:5,	34:20, 36:20, 36:22,	THE [675] - 1:1, 1:16,
96:17, 98:2, 100:4,	task [2] - 116:5,	197:10, 197:16	38:13, 48:25, 58:1,	6:4, 6:5, 6:15, 6:19,
101:11, 101:22,	260:18	ten-plus [1] - 144:9	122:19, 201:14,	6:24, 7:4, 7:14, 7:17,
101:24, 105:2,	tasks [2] - 114:9,	tend [2] - 44:17, 44:18	247:17, 250:3,	7:21, 7:25, 8:4, 8:11,
107:6, 108:16,	137:22	term [9] - 96:25,	250:9, 264:5, 335:7,	8:16, 9:2, 9:6, 9:15,
109:3, 129:23,	TAVERAS [2] - 3:16,	115:1, 166:9,	335:15, 351:24	9:21, 9:25, 10:3,
131:13, 132:8,	10:1	166:11, 222:25,	testifying [8] - 22:12,	10:10, 10:14, 11:17,
132:14, 133:22,	Taveras [1] - 10:1	321:2, 370:21,	22:14, 25:7, 48:25,	12:16, 13:1, 13:13,
135:5, 135:10,	taxpayer [1] - 31:23	371:8, 373:18	200:14, 240:9,	13:16, 13:19, 13:25,
144:12, 148:4,	tea [1] - 21:3	terms [31] - 18:8,	307:13, 367:23	14:6, 15:25, 16:5,
159:16, 159:21,	team [5] - 108:5,	38:18, 40:10, 82:25,	testimony [73] - 26:11,	16:19, 17:8, 18:2,
159:23, 159:24,	353:6, 354:17,	151:23, 172:12,	27:2, 29:3, 36:25,	18:5, 18:15, 19:3,
163:16, 341:18,	355:11, 356:10	172:14, 176:11,	37:6, 40:23, 41:3,	19:12, 19:14, 19:17,
373:12	technically [1] - 207:7	176:14, 176:21,	44:7, 47:15, 66:10,	22:12, 22:16, 22:19,
Systems [7] - 75:18,	technological [1] -	176:24, 180:12,	79:23, 90:24, 91:2,	22:24, 23:6, 23:20,
75:19, 75:21, 80:25,	94:2	181:2, 183:21,	110:3, 110:8, 119:3,	23:24, 24:2, 24:20,
98:8, 98:10, 98:18	technologies [4] -	190:2, 190:21,	143:18, 150:8	25:4, 26:1, 26:19,
Systems' [1] - 81:4	78:18, 133:2,	203:20, 216:14,	159:15, 159:21,	27:1, 27:6, 27:11,
	147:25, 148:5	217:1, 225:6,	162:4, 164 5,	27:14, 27:20, 28:8,
Т		237:15, 265:23,	187:14, 189:13,	28:13, 28:15, 28:20,
	technology [19] -	284:20, 310:11,	189:25, 196:2,	29:3, 29:5, 29:18,
table [10] - 14:24,	73:13, 74:8, 74:21,	331:18, 331:23,	195:13, 199:5,	29:22, 29:25, 30:13,
17:9, 48:9, 85:9,	75:11, 75:17, 91:9,	334:16, 348:13,	199:24, 205:23,	31:7, 31:10, 34:5,
197:9, 201:11,	125:5, 127:22,	354:4, 354:18	210:8, 212:24,	34:11, 36:6, 38:1,
247:2, 267:25,	127:24, 128:3,		210:0, 212:24, 212:25, 214:20,	39:10, 39:17, 39:23,
320:20, 380:17	128:8, 129:5,	terrible [1] - 296:17	233:6, 237:7, 237:9,	40:5, 41:2, 41:7,
tabloids [1] - 76:12	130:10, 133:13,	territories [1] - 128:22	233.0, 237.7, 237.9, 241:24, 242:11,	40:3, 41:2, 41:7, 42:7, 42:7, 42:10, 42:16,
tabulated [2] - 77:1,	134:14, 134:20,	territory [4] · 359:23,	241.24, 242.11, 242:13, 242:22,	42:19, 43:23, 44:23,
276:13	135:16, 152:8,	360:15, 362:21,		
	232:15	375:1	242:23, 249:2, 240:5, 250:5, 270:2	44:25, 45:8, 45:13, 45:15, 45:17, 45:22
tabulation [1] - 340:17	teenagers [1] - 369:14	tesí [16] - 29:16,	249:5, 250:5, 270:2, 273:3, 277:6, 270:0	45:15, 45:17, 45:22,
tabulator [2] - 76:24,	telephone [1] - 73:24	32:17, 47:16,	273:3, 277:6, 279:9,	47:5, 47:24, 48:4,
80:12	telephones [1] -	100:18, 134:4,	288:7, 288:9,	48:15, 49:23, 50:1,
tabulators [3] - 76:4,	121:14	145:24, 262:5,	288:17, 311:19,	50:10, 51:5, 51:8,
76:6, 276:4	template [22] - 82.8	262:15, 276:8,	312:10, 326:2,	51:12, 53:4, 54:3,
tailored [1] - 79:25	82:13, 82:14, 52:16,	317:9, 317:11,	326:4, 333:25,	54:7, 54:10, 54:14,
talks [1] - 52:3	83:23, 84:3, 96:6,	354:22, 354:24,	351:6, 352:1, 358:3,	54:20, 55:4, 55:6,
tallied [1] - 260:10	97:15, 101:7,	355:18, 356:12	360:24, 361:1,	55:23, 56:19, 56:24,
Tambussi [9] - 7:23,	101:14, 102:3,	tested [7] - 47:23,	361:3, 361:18,	57:10, 57:23, 59:4,
26:3, 41:23, 59:24,	116:15, 116:21,	105:4, 105:12,	366:23, 367:25,	59:6, 59:8, 59:12,
66:25, 153:16,	153:21, 160:25,	138:18, 148:3,	369:7, 370:7,	59:15, 59:17, 59:23,
197:17, 202:1, 202:8	255:20, 256:6,	355:10	379:17, 379:20,	60:2, 60:5, 60:7,
TAMBUSSI [38] - 2:17,	274:14, 296:5,	TESTIFIED [7] - 72:24,	379:25, 381:21	61:8, 61:24, 62:15,
4:5, 4:7, 7:22, 59:5,	297:20, 297:21	165:16, 251:1,	testing [37] - 73:18,	62:18, 62:21, 64:6,
59:10, 59:13, 59:16,	templates [8] - 82:11,	285:21, 310:17,	74:3, 100:16,	66:3, 66:7, 66:18,
59:21, 60:1, 60:4,	82:17, 83:22, 84:5,	336:3, 375:15	107:11, 108:14,	66:21, 66:24, 67:3,
60:6, 60:8, 61:18,		testified [23] - 93:21,	108:19, 108:20,	67:8, 67:12, 67:15,
62:9, 62:17, 62:20,	152:6, 258:10, 258:14, 258:18	107:17, 116:21,	109:2, 131:6,	67:19, 68:3, 68:6,
62:24, 64:17, 66:6,		137:1, 148:1, 149:8,	131:21, 134:5,	68:15, 68:24, 69:16,
66:13, 66:20, 66:22,	ten [26] - 20:10, 22:25,	160:12, 162:14,	135:1, 135:25,	70:4, 70:17, 70:23,
67:2, 153:17,	111:5, 115:23,		136:19, 136:22,	71:7, 71:18, 72:1,
153:18, 155:17,	144:9, 197:5,	164:6, 211:15, 213:12, 218:2	137:7, 137:12,	72:10, 72:15, 72:20,
155:24, 156:9,	197:10, 197:16,	213:12, 218:2, 220:3, 230:7, 278:0	138:7, 138:24,	72:22, 73:1, 73:3,
	248:23, 249:15,	229:3, 239:7, 278:9,	139:1, 139:3, 139:6,	74:18, 74:22, 74:25,
197:12, 197:14, 202:2, 202:5, 202:7	249:21, 253:13,	325:17, 333:23,	139:7, 139:15,	75:3, 75:12, 77:19,
202:2, 202:5, 202:7,	258:19, 266:22,	346:6, 352:22,	139:18, 141:25,	78:6, 78:8, 78:12,
202:19, 202:24, 208:18, 211:7	272:15, 283:19,	369:3, 371:13,	143:6, 144:10,	79:18, 79:21, 80:3,
208:18, 211:7	287:12, 328:11,	382:25, 384:16	145:22, 158:24,	83:8, 83:16, 83:17,
tAMBUSSI [1] - 59:7	328:15, 339:10,	testifies [3] - 149:17,	355:13, 356:2,	84:13, 85:7, 85:13,
			555.15, 550.2,	04.10, 00.1, 00.10,

95.17 96.01 96.02	165.20 165.22	240.21 240.24	200.21 200.25	242:8
85:17, 86:21, 86:23, 87:4, 87:8, 87:16,	165:20, 165:22, 187:6, 187:8,	249:21, 249:24, 250:22, 251:2	309:21, 309:25, 210:5, 210:12	theories [4] - 55:2,
87.4, 87.8, 87.16, 88:2, 88:15, 88:19,	187:0, 187:0, 187:11, 187:16,	250:23, 251:3,	310:5, 310:12, 310:19, 310:21,	
89:18, 89:22, 89:25,		251:5, 251:6,		58:21, 58:23, 58:24
	187:18, 188:11,	251:15, 251:20,	310:22, 310:25,	therefore [3] - 105:16,
90:5, 90:11, 90:12,	188:16, 188:18,	251:22, 253:13,	311:1, 311:2, 311:5,	108:20, 110:13
90:15, 90:18, 91:1,	193:6, 193:10,	262:13, 262:18,	313:17, 313:21,	therein [1] - 104:8
91:5, 91:14, 92:22,	193:14, 193:17,	262:22, 264:8,	314:1, 315:4, 315:6,	they've [9] - 16:16,
94:25, 95:4, 95:11,	194:8, 197:2, 197:5,	264:11, 264:14,	325:10, 330:19,	28:23, 58:25, 84:10,
95:14, 95:19, 95:20,	197:13, 197:15,	264:17, 264:20,	330:20, 332:6,	121:24, 145:16,
95:22, 95:23, 97:6,	197:19, 197:20,	265:5, 267:9,	332:23, 334:5,	198:1, 266:14
98:25, 99:2, 99:7,	198:2, 198:4, 198:6,	267:14, 267:19,	334:8, 334:23,	thinking [5] - 67:20,
99:10, 104:15,	198:10, 198:15,	267:24, 268:15,	334:25, 335:4,	175:3, 191:8,
104:21, 105:23,	198:17, 198:21,	268:18, 268:19,	335:10, 335:14,	222:10, 370:23
106:3, 107:23,	199:3, 199:10,	268:25, 269:1,	335:18, 336:5,	thinks [1] - 32:21
108:2, 108:5, 109:9,	199:19, 200:4,	269:25, 270:11,	336:7, 336:8,	third [10] - 76:13,
109:13, 109:14,	200:13, 200:16,	270:13, 270:17,	336:10, 336:12,	78:22, 117:9, 136:4,
109:17, 109:21,	200:20, 200:25,	271:13, 271:16,	336:15, 344:10,	140:16, 166:9,
111:6, 114:17,	201:18, 201:22,	271:18, 271:20,	344:13, 344:15,	166:11, 170:5,
114:19, 114:24,	202:3, 202:6,	277:19, 277:24,	344:18, 344:20,	178:9, 259:25
115:7, 115:9,	202:18, 202:23,	278:5, 278:19,	344:22, 344:24,	Third [1] - 119:18
115:13, 115:16,	208:17, 211:8,	278:20, 279:10,	344:25, 345:1,	third-party [3] - 78:22,
116:2, 116:6,	218:9, 218:14,	279:13, 280:6,	345:4, 345.7,	
116:10, 118:2,	219:14, 219:19,	280:7, 280:9,	350:16, 350:19,	136:4, 140:16
118:18, 118:23,	220:1, 220:5,	280:12, 280:16,	351.16, 351:19,	Thirty [1] - 19:21
119:4, 119:14,	220:21, 221:17,	280:17, 281:12,	358:15, 359:25,	Thirty-minute [1] -
119:16, 119:24,	221:21, 221:23,	281:14, 282:4,	360:3, 360:9,	19:21
120:3, 120:7,	222:3, 222:6, 227:3,	282:11, 282:12,	360:17, 362:12,	THOMPSON [2] -
120:3, 120:7, 120:14,	227:6, 227:8,	282:17, 282:18,	362:13, 364:10,	3:20, 8:20
120:11, 120:14, 120:14,	227:10, 227:14,	282:22, 282:23.	364:12, 366:7,	Thompson [1] - 8:21
				thoughts [1] - 184:23
121:4, 121:7, 121:8,	227:20, 227:24,	283:3, 283 ⁻⁴ , 283:5,	366:10, 367:1,	thousand [3] - 340:13,
122:5, 122:10,	228:3, 228:7,	283:6, 283.9,	367:7, 367:9,	356:16
122:14, 122:22,	228:10, 228:13,	283:12, 283:14,	367:18, 367:22,	threat [1] - 387:22
122:24, 123:4,	228:17, 228:19,	283:15, 283:17,	368:11, 368:16,	threats [1] - 127:14
123:7, 123:12,	229:1, 230:9,	283:19, 284:2,	369:4, 369:8,	three [47] - 11:13,
123:13, 123:14,	230:21, 230:24,	284:5, 284:13,	371:15, 371:24,	31:17, 33:6, 48:6,
123:16, 123:17,	231:20, 232:2,	284:16, 284:18,	372:18, 372:19,	48:11, 84:4, 115:22,
129:7, 129:13,	232:18, 232:21,	285:1, 285:3,	372:20, 372:21,	148:20, 148:24,
129:17, 129:18,	233:2, 233:9,	285:14, 285:16,	372:22, 372:23,	148:25, 149:1,
129:20, 129:21,	233:12, 233:10,	285:22, 285:24,	372:24, 372:25,	149:18, 150:23,
129:22, 133:6,	233:20, 233:23,	286:11, 287:9,	373:1, 373:2, 373:3,	152:1, 156:12,
141:4, 141:15,	234:24, 235:2,	287:16, 287:17,	373:5, 373:6,	156:17, 157:12,
141:19, 143:16,	235:4, 235:6,	287:21, 287:25,	374:21, 374:24,	157:22, 158:4,
143:20, 143:25,	235:11, 235:22,	290:3, 290:10,	375:4, 375:8,	168:11, 170:13,
147:3, 147:4, 147:7,	235:25, 236:7,	290:13, 290:17,	375:17, 375:19,	171:10, 218:3,
147:13, 147:18,	236:17, 239:1,	290:19, 290:22,	375:21, 379:18,	231:14, 245:7,
147:20, 149:22,	239:3, 239:5, 241:5,	290:24, 291:3,	379:24, 380:3,	231.14, 245.7, 245:11, 256:3,
150:3, 150:13,	241:7, 241:9,	291:5, 296:7,	381:22, 381:24,	256:4, 259:11,
150:17, 153:11,	241:17, 242:16,	296:16, 296:18,	384:17, 386:25,	
153:14, 155:11,	242:18, 242:25,	297:22, 297:25,	387:4, 387:6, 387:8,	259:12, 259:19,
155:13, 155:25,	243:7, 243:13,	303:7, 303:11,	387:25, 388:4,	261:1, 261:24,
156:3, 156:11,	243:19, 244:3,	303:17, 304:17,	388:6, 388:9,	280:15, 281:12,
156:13, 156:16,	244:7, 244:9,	304:22, 306:2,	388:12, 388:17,	281:13, 306:22,
156:20, 157:3,	244:11, 246:10,	306:6, 306:8, 306:9,	388:21, 389:6	307:3, 309:25,
157:21, 158:2,	246:13, 246:24,	306:12, 306:19,	theirs [1] - 53:14	322:14, 345:1,
158:6, 158:8, 159:5,	247:1, 247:2, 247:3,	306:25, 307:2,	theme [1] - 325:17	346:24, 357:19,
162:17, 162:21,	247:4, 247:7,	307:4, 307:8,	themes [1] - 325:13	358:21, 359:8,
162:25, 163:3,	247:12, 247:16,	307:16, 307:18,	themselves [3] -	384:18
163:23, 164:3,	248:1, 248:5,	307:21, 308:1,		threshold [13] - 17:11,
164:14, 165:7,	248:10, 248:14,	308:5, 308:8,	172:9, 202:14, 387:24	189:20, 190:17,
165:10, 165:11,	248:10, 249:14, 248:18, 249:3,	308:11, 308:15,	387:24	191:9, 195:1,
165:10, 165:11, 165:14, 165:18,			theoretically [1] -	196:19, 217:3,
100.14, 100.10,	249:7, 249:11,	309:6, 309:18,		

217:6, 217:8,	325:17, 326:11,	140:6, 142:1, 142:4,	370:11, 386:6	337:19, 338:10,
217:0, 217:0, 217:0, 217:12, 223:24,	332:15, 359:2,	140.0, 142.1, 142.4, 142.8, 142.9, 362:22	trying [23] - 15:12,	340:19, 347:5,
224:3, 243:2	359:3, 361:18,	training [15] - 40:12,	24:14, 42:16, 65:21,	
throughout [9] -	369:16, 369:19,	- · ·	68:21, 73:25, 92:20,	347:6, 347:12, 347:15, 350:8
• • • •		140:1, 140:24,		347:15, 359:8,
96:25, 97:4, 98:5,	372:8, 372:13,	141:9, 141:22,	115:5, 131:23,	370:20, 387:1
124:11, 136:17,	373:13, 373:17,	143:19, 144:3,	164:11, 169:8,	two-by-four [1] -
212:1, 317:21,	374:16, 376:23,	338:6, 342:6, 342:7,	187:10, 195:2,	300:10
326:5, 342:23	382:25, 387:14,	342:10, 342:11,	213:4, 278:22,	two-by-nine [1] -
thumb [2] - 32:12	387:20, 389:5	358:24, 358:25,	279:5, 308:25,	101:9
tight [3] - 79:19, 85:7,	today's [5] - 21:11,	360:16	316:7, 319:1,	two-term [1] - 222:25
85:9	50:2, 69:2, 69:9,	trainings [4] - 142:14,	322:23, 327:4,	type [17] - 46:7, 79:12,
timed [1] - 48:16	241:12	142:17, 142:21,	327:16, 371:17	113:3, 113:4, 170:7,
timeline [11] - 188:14,	together [13] - 13:23,	142:24	Tuesday [1] - 103:10	171:4, 183:25,
221:9, 305:18,	40:10, 40:21, 52:23,	transaction [1] - 337:3	turn [19] - 13:16,	185:7, 191:8,
308:7, 308:8, 343:9,	56:19, 69:18,	transcript [5] - 1:23,	33:20, 113:7, 167:7,	203:16, 217:23,
343:11, 344:12,	241:25, 242:8,	211:11, 246:15,	169:22, 174:22,	218:1, 258:11,
345:11, 380:8,	254:2, 321:17,	379:25, 390:4	192:5, 197:1,	352:14, 353:16,
387:24	321:20, 371:5, 381:7	transcription [1] -	257:16, 269:13,	362:22, 379:4
timely [2] - 342:1,	tomorrow [1] - 68:22	1:24	270:25, 303:24,	types [17] - 76:8,
342:25	Toms [1] - 3:21	transcripts [1] - 164:3	304:25, 306:13,	77:15, 81:23, 85:15,
timing [16] - 24:3,	took [6] - 15:22, 18:9,	transferred [1] -	312:11, 335:2,	117:1, 127:14,
34:9, 34:16, 35:5,	102:21, 142:24,	161:21	359:10, 377:20,	175:13, 176:16,
35:7, 39:19, 42:13,	309:20, 318:17	translate [1] - 58:21	379:6	189:25, 224:12,
45:7, 45:9, 82:25,	top [15] - 65:19,	transmit [1] - 342:24	turna.cund [1] -	237:3, 258:2, 339:5,
116:15, 119:19,	102:16, 103:9,	travel [1] - 175:11	261:25	339:15, 348:2,
188:5, 188:10,	104:11, 153:23,		turning [1] - 262:15	348:10
222:13, 225:6	154:6, 206:20,	treating [1] - 179:19	turns [1] - 272:16	typically [13] - 76:13,
	207:4, 211:3,	treatment [1] - 80:19	twelve [1] - 343:6	76:18, 79:6, 80:13,
tired [2] - 347:23,	207.4, 211.5, 240:14, 240:18,	Trenton [1] - 1:14		82:17, 97:18, 114:3,
365:5 Title [2] - 236:22,	240.14, 240.18, 304:4, 379:10, 386:1	tried [5] - 138:4,	twice [1] - 162:15 two [81] - 34:19,	116:19, 133:25,
		477.04 00005		I I D I M I 133 73
		177:21, 226:20,		
348:4	topic [4] - 136:25,	309:5, 332.16	37:11, 39:4, 69:4,	154:8, 154:22,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9,	309:5, 332.16 trigger nj - 135:17	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8,	
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16	309:5, 332.16	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10	309:5, 332.16 trigger nj - 135:17	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8,	154:8, 154:22,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7	309:5, 332:16 trigger (-) - 135:17 triggered [2] - 11:15,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10	309:5, 332.16 trigger (r) - 135:17 triggered [2] - 11:15, 47:20	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i) - 135:17 triggered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1,	309:5, 332:16 trigger (j - 135:17 triggered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24	309:5, 332:16 trigger (;) - 135:17 triggered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3,	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i) - 135:17 triggered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:5 268:9, 268:13,	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i) - 135:17 triggered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:5 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i) - 135:17 triggered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [4] - 76:18	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig; ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch: {1} - 76:18 touched [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25	309:5, 332:16 trigger (j - 135:17 trig; ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [1] - 76:18 touched [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25 towards [1] - 381:5	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig; ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [4] - 76:18 touched [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25 towards [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 300:1	309:5, 332:16 trigger (; - 135:17 trig; ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimate [1] - 22:8
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [4] - 76:18 touched [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25 towards [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 300:1 township [1] - 245:23	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimate [1] - 22:8 ultimately [12] - 76:5,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [4] - 76:18 touched [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25 towards [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 300:1 township [1] - 245:23 track [1] - 358:11	309:5, 332:16 trigger (; - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimate [1] - 22:8 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25,	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{topic [4] - 136:25,} \\ 303:10, 313:9, \\ 314:16 \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 313:10} \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 37:7} \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1,} \\ 35:24 \\ \mbox{totally [5] - 17:3,} \\ 268:9, 268:13, \\ 269:3, 341:14 \\ \mbox{touch (4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touched [1] - 174:5} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{towards [1] - 381:5} \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 300:1} \\ \mbox{township [1] - 245:23} \\ \mbox{track [1] - 358:11} \\ \mbox{traditional [15] - 94:9,} \end{array}$	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimate [1] - 22:8 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5,	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{topic [4] - 136:25,} \\ \mbox{303:10, 313:9,} \\ \mbox{314:16} \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 313:10} \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 37:7} \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1,} \\ \mbox{35:24} \\ \mbox{totality [5] - 17:3,} \\ \mbox{268:9, 268:13,} \\ \mbox{269:3, 341:14} \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{towards [1] - 381:5} \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 300:1} \\ \mbox{township [1] - 245:23} \\ \mbox{track [1] - 358:11} \\ \mbox{traditional [15] - 94:9,} \\ \mbox{95:6, 95:25, 98:16,} \\ \end{array} \right.$	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i] - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimatel [1] - 22:8 ultimatel [1] - 22:8 ultimatel [1] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7,	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{topic [4] - 136:25,} \\ 303:10, 313:9, \\ 314:16 \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 313:10} \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 37:7} \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1,} \\ 35:24 \\ \mbox{totality [5] - 17:3,} \\ 268:9, 268:13, \\ 269:3, 341:14 \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 681:5} \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 381:5} \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 300:1} \\ \mbox{township [1] - 245:23} \\ \mbox{track [1] - 358:11} \\ \mbox{traditional [15] - 94:9,} \\ \mbox{95:6, 95:25, 98:16,} \\ \mbox{99:18, 105:4, 105:8,} \end{array} \right.$	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimatel [1] - 22:8 ultimatel [1] - 22:8 ultimatel [1] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4,	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{topic [4] - 136:25,} \\ 303:10, 313:9, \\ 314:16 \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 313:10} \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 37:7} \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1,} \\ 35:24 \\ \mbox{totality [5] - 17:3,} \\ 268:9, 268:13, \\ 269:3, 341:14 \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 381:5} \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 300:1} \\ \mbox{township [1] - 245:23} \\ \mbox{track [1] - 358:11} \\ \mbox{traditional [15] - 94:9,} \\ \mbox{95:6, 95:25, 98:16,} \\ \mbox{99:18, 105:4, 105:8,} \\ \mbox{105:9, 105:10,} \\ \end{array}$	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i] - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimatel [1] - 22:8 ultimatel [1] - 22:8 ultimatel [1] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4, 118:20, 118:24,	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{topic [4] - 136:25,} \\ 303:10, 313:9, \\ 314:16 \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 313:10} \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 37:7} \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1,} \\ 35:24 \\ \mbox{totality [5] - 17:3,} \\ 268:9, 268:13, \\ 269:3, 341:14 \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch [4] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25} \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 381:5} \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 300:1} \\ \mbox{township [1] - 245:23} \\ \mbox{track [1] - 358:11} \\ \mbox{traditional [15] - 94:9,} \\ \mbox{95:6, 95:25, 98:16,} \\ \mbox{99:18, 105:4, 105:8,} \\ \mbox{105:9, 105:10,} \\ \mbox{105:18, 105:22,} \\ \end{array} $	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5, 111:4, 122:9, 190:3,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20, 295:5, 300:10,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18 unable [1] - 363:13
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4, 118:20, 118:24, 119:3, 123:8,	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{topic [4] - 136:25,} \\ 303:10, 313:9, \\ 314:16 \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 313:10} \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 37:7} \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1,} \\ 35:24 \\ \mbox{totality [5] - 17:3,} \\ 268:9, 268:13, \\ 269:3, 341:14 \\ \mbox{touch (4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch (4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch (4] - 76:18} \\ \mbox{touch (1] - 174:5} \\ \mbox{towards [1] - 381:5} \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 381:5} \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 381:5} \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 300:1} \\ \mbox{township [1] - 245:23} \\ \mbox{track [1] - 358:11} \\ \mbox{traditional [15] - 94:9,} \\ \mbox{95:6, 95:25, 98:16,} \\ \mbox{99:18, 105:4, 105:8,} \\ \mbox{105:9, 105:10,} \\ \mbox{105:18, 105:22,} \\ \mbox{106:4, 106:7,} \\ \end{array} $	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5, 111:4, 122:9, 190:3, 192:12, 196:16,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20, 295:5, 300:10, 300:12, 300:15,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18 unable [1] - 363:13 unacceptable [1] -
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4, 118:20, 118:24, 119:3, 123:8, 123:15, 123:21,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [4] - 76:18 touched [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25 towards [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 245:23 track [1] - 358:11 traditional [15] - 94:9, 95:6, 95:25, 98:16, 99:18, 105:4, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:18, 105:22, 106:4, 106:7, 106:11, 154:23	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5, 111:4, 122:9, 190:3, 192:12, 196:16, 225:12, 225:13,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20, 295:5, 300:10, 300:12, 300:15, 306:24, 309:16,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimatel [1] - 22:8 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18 unable [1] - 363:13 unacceptable [1] - 297:10
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4, 118:20, 118:24, 119:3, 123:8, 123:15, 123:21, 134:22, 159:15,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [4] - 76:18 touched [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25 towards [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 300:1 township [1] - 245:23 track [1] - 358:11 traditional [15] - 94:9, 95:6, 95:25, 98:16, 99:18, 105:4, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:18, 105:22, 106:4, 106:7, 106:11, 154:23 traditionally [1] -	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5, 111:4, 122:9, 190:3, 192:12, 196:16, 225:12, 225:13, 234:4, 245:24,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:16, 159:23, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20, 295:5, 300:10, 300:12, 300:15, 306:24, 309:16, 310:10, 314:7,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18 unable [1] - 363:13 unacceptable [1] - 297:10 unaware [2] - 148:25,
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4, 118:20, 118:24, 119:3, 123:8, 123:15, 123:21, 134:22, 159:15, 161:23, 171:9,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25 towards [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 245:23 track [1] - 358:11 traditional [15] - 94:9, 95:6, 95:25, 98:16, 99:18, 105:4, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:18, 105:22, 106:4, 106:7, 106:11, 154:23 traditionally [1] - 300:23	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5, 111:4, 122:9, 190:3, 192:12, 196:16, 225:12, 225:13,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20, 295:5, 300:10, 300:12, 300:15, 306:24, 309:16, 310:10, 314:7, 314:9, 314:11,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18 unable [1] - 363:13 unacceptable [1] - 297:10 unaware [2] - 148:25, 295:12
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4, 118:20, 118:24, 119:3, 123:8, 123:15, 123:21, 134:22, 159:15, 161:23, 171:9, 177:21, 230:2,	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{topic [4] - 136:25, \\ 303:10, 313:9, \\ 314:16 \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 313:10 \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 37:7 \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1, \\ 35:24 \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1, \\ 268:9, 268:13, \\ 269:3, 341:14 \\ \mbox{touch (1] - 76:18 \\ \mbox{touch (1] - 66:25 \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25 \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25 \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 381:5 \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 300:1 \\ \mbox{township [1] - 245:23 \\ \mbox{track [1] - 358:11 \\ \mbox{traditional [15] - 94:9, \\ 95:6, 95:25, 98:16, \\ 99:18, 105:4, 105:8, \\ 105:9, 105:10, \\ 105:18, 105:22, \\ 106:4, 106:7, \\ 106:11, 154:23 \\ \mbox{traditionally [1] - \\ 300:23 \\ \mbox{trail [2] - 354:8, \\ \end{tabular}} \end{array} \right)$	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5, 111:4, 122:9, 190:3, 192:12, 196:16, 225:12, 225:13, 234:4, 245:24,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20, 295:5, 300:10, 300:12, 300:15, 306:24, 309:16, 310:10, 314:7, 314:9, 314:11, 318:22, 323:12,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18 unable [1] - 363:13 unacceptable [1] - 297:10 unaware [2] - 148:25, 295:12 unbracketed [2] -
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4, 118:20, 118:24, 119:3, 123:8, 123:15, 123:21, 134:22, 159:15, 161:23, 171:9, 177:21, 230:2, 230:6, 257:7, 264:2,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25 towards [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 245:23 track [1] - 358:11 traditional [15] - 94:9, 95:6, 95:25, 98:16, 99:18, 105:4, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:18, 105:22, 106:4, 106:7, 106:11, 154:23 traditionally [1] - 300:23 trail [2] - 354:8, 359:12	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5, 111:4, 122:9, 190:3, 192:12, 196:16, 225:12, 225:13, 234:4, 245:24, 254:10, 254:17, 255:21, 256:2, 260:2, 303:25,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20, 295:5, 300:10, 300:12, 300:15, 306:24, 309:16, 310:10, 314:7, 314:9, 314:11, 318:22, 323:12, 329:11, 329:13,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18 unable [1] - 363:13 unacceptable [1] - 297:10 unaware [2] - 148:25, 295:12 unbracketed [2] - 258:20, 258:23
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4, 118:20, 118:24, 119:3, 123:8, 123:15, 123:21, 134:22, 159:15, 161:23, 171:9, 177:21, 230:2, 230:6, 257:7, 264:2, 288:5, 311:19,	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{topic [4] - 136:25, \\ 303:10, 313:9, \\ 314:16 \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 313:10 \\ \mbox{topic [1] - 37:7 \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1, \\ 35:24 \\ \mbox{totality [2] - 33:1, \\ 268:9, 268:13, \\ 269:3, 341:14 \\ \mbox{touch (1] - 76:18 \\ \mbox{touch (1] - 66:25 \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25 \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 66:25 \\ \mbox{toward [1] - 381:5 \\ \mbox{Township [1] - 300:1 \\ \mbox{township [1] - 245:23 \\ \mbox{track [1] - 358:11 \\ \mbox{traditional [15] - 94:9, \\ 95:6, 95:25, 98:16, \\ 99:18, 105:4, 105:8, \\ 105:9, 105:10, \\ 105:18, 105:22, \\ 106:4, 106:7, \\ 106:11, 154:23 \\ \mbox{traditionally [1] - \\ 300:23 \\ \mbox{trail [2] - 354:8, \\ \end{tabular}} \end{array} \right)$	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5, 111:4, 122:9, 190:3, 192:12, 196:16, 225:12, 225:13, 234:4, 245:24, 254:10, 254:17, 255:21, 256:2,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20, 295:5, 300:10, 300:12, 300:15, 306:24, 309:16, 310:10, 314:7, 314:9, 314:11, 318:22, 323:12, 329:11, 329:13, 330:12, 330:17,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18 unable [1] - 363:13 unacceptable [1] - 297:10 unaware [2] - 148:25, 295:12 unbracketed [2] - 258:20, 258:23 Uncharted [1] - 375:1
348:4 titles [2] - 348:16, 354:17 today [90] - 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 14:4, 14:23, 19:17, 19:19, 21:15, 21:19, 23:4, 23:12, 24:21, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:22, 27:1, 27:9, 27:15, 29:5, 30:17, 31:16, 31:17, 33:23, 34:7, 34:25, 35:2, 36:16, 37:21, 39:7, 40:6, 40:14, 40:21, 41:3, 45:9, 46:10, 47:8, 47:10, 47:14, 48:20, 52:24, 55:16, 56:25, 59:2, 68:22, 69:5, 70:10, 70:24, 73:7, 92:22, 110:3, 115:4, 118:20, 118:24, 119:3, 123:8, 123:15, 123:21, 134:22, 159:15, 161:23, 171:9, 177:21, 230:2, 230:6, 257:7, 264:2,	topic [4] - 136:25, 303:10, 313:9, 314:16 topics [1] - 313:10 tops [1] - 37:7 totality [2] - 33:1, 35:24 totally [5] - 17:3, 268:9, 268:13, 269:3, 341:14 touch [1] - 174:5 toward [1] - 66:25 towards [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 381:5 Township [1] - 245:23 track [1] - 358:11 traditional [15] - 94:9, 95:6, 95:25, 98:16, 99:18, 105:4, 105:8, 105:9, 105:10, 105:18, 105:22, 106:4, 106:7, 106:11, 154:23 traditionally [1] - 300:23 trail [2] - 354:8, 359:12	309:5, 332:16 trigger (i - 135:17 trig;ered [2] - 11:15, 47:20 trouble [1] - 174:7 true [16] - 177:5, 242:7, 246:19, 246:21, 286:22, 287:5, 294:3, 297:15, 311:16, 313:2, 313:4, 316:17, 316:20, 316:25, 329:17, 369:19 truly [1] - 37:18 truncate [1] - 131:23 truth [1] - 149:20 truthful [1] - 205:25 try [29] - 29:8, 35:3, 63:25, 68:6, 69:20, 69:24, 77:3, 77:5, 111:4, 122:9, 190:3, 192:12, 196:16, 225:12, 225:13, 234:4, 245:24, 254:10, 254:17, 255:21, 256:2, 260:2, 303:25,	37:11, 39:4, 69:4, 75:17, 75:23, 76:8, 77:15, 80:8, 80:15, 84:5, 100:11, 101:8, 101:9, 105:2, 111:12, 124:2, 157:13, 159:15, 159:24, 161:15, 170:4, 172:11, 174:15, 181:6, 181:10, 189:15, 190:5, 220:8, 222:25, 227:22, 229:6, 230:3, 230:5, 236:23, 237:2, 239:19, 241:1, 258:6, 260:3, 260:4, 262:5, 262:15, 262:18, 286:23, 289:11, 290:20, 295:5, 300:10, 300:12, 300:15, 306:24, 309:16, 310:10, 314:7, 314:9, 314:11, 318:22, 323:12, 329:11, 329:13,	154:8, 154:22, 261:20, 357:1 U.S [18] - 1:3, 1:13, 3:17, 30:2, 74:2, 131:5, 166:4, 167:8, 167:25, 168:12, 213:19, 315:7, 349:17, 349:18, 349:20, 349:25, 350:1, 350:4 ultimately [12] - 76:5, 76:9, 77:1, 78:21, 79:3, 136:21, 189:7, 249:17, 274:3, 356:22, 359:12, 365:25 umpires [1] - 41:18 unable [1] - 363:13 unacceptable [1] - 297:10 unaware [2] - 148:25, 295:12 unbracketed [2] - 258:20, 258:23

360:15, 362:20	Union [2] - 2:16, 7:10	261:13, 264:12,	variable [5] - 317:21,	viable [1] - 169:15
unchartered [1] -	unions [1] - 175:17	267:24, 268:23,	326:4, 326:18,	video [3] - 25:7, 48:25,
359:23	unique [10] - 11:22,	270:9, 281:2, 285:8,	328:15, 329:6	381:11
unclear [1] - 79:23	13:4, 20:24, 21:9,	300:21, 315:23,	variables [8] - 32:11,	view [4] - 12:15, 17:6,
unconstitutional [7] -	85:1, 98:9, 98:15,	315:24, 316:12,	262:11, 315:25,	32:24, 230:5
57:22, 214:4, 216:1,	106:5, 347:21,	316:16, 332:11,	316:15, 316:17,	viewed [1] - 71:14
216:6, 224:5, 224:9,	383:12	332:13, 339:10,	316:20, 326:11,	views [1] - 238:12
364:15	United [20] - 6:2, 28:3,	344:23, 346:7,	366:1	violate [1] - 199:13
undefined [1] - 90:21	60:12, 73:16, 73:18,	347:1, 347:20,	varies [1] - 365:16	violated [2] - 197:25,
under [27] - 15:23,	85:24, 128:10,	347:24, 353:7,	variety [2] - 166:15,	219:7
17:14, 32:17, 33:16,	128:18, 162:10,	353:10, 359:12,	277:7	violates [1] - 32:16
35:23, 44:17, 47:2,	162:11, 167:24,	361:12, 361:16,	various [9] - 43:9,	violation [4] - 199:20,
49:20, 52:1, 53:11,	168:22, 181:3,	367:15, 370:9,	56:7, 58:3, 142:24,	229:20, 249:5,
58:11, 61:23, 63:22,	240:14, 240:16,	371:8, 378:15,	157:8, 163:16,	250:10
74:2, 92:20, 97:24,	240:23, 240:24,	379:8, 380:10,	348:7, 349:5, 349:18	violence [1] - 127:14
123:8, 123:15,	242:9, 298:16,	381:10, 382:10,	vein [1] - 358:19	virtually [1] - 140:20
125:18, 128:9,	346:24	383:22, 388:24,	vendor [23] - 82:18,	virtue [2] - 32:10,
131:5, 133:16,	UNITED [2] - 1:1, 1:16	388:25	129:24, 136:4,	35:12
162:12, 230:2,	unless [7] - 20:5, 24:2,	upcoming [3] - 34:2,	136:11, 136:13,	vis-à-vis [1] - 180:5
230:6, 236:22, 305:2	32:1, 35:11, 88:13,	117:24, 125:25	136:14, 137:9	visited [3] - 47:4,
undercut [1] - 107:25	258:8, 348:5	update [4] - 302:19,	140:16, 151:0,	55:21, 56:18
underdog [3] -	unlike [1] - 249:17	380:12, 380:22	151:14, 151.17,	visits [1] - 58:2
179:16, 179:19,	unnecessary [1] -	updated [5] - 105:13,	152:15, 152:17,	visual [1] - 316:6
179:25	371:14	105:16, 107:12,	152:20, 282:16,	visually [1] - 182:16
undergo [1] - 259:9	unofficial [1] - 254:14	108:15, 142:21	296:23, 342:7,	vitae [1] - 286:7
undergoing [2] -	unopposed [6] -	updates [1] - 342:21	358:1, 359:22,	voice [1] - 26:9
127:24, 128:3	145:2, 208:20,	upgraded [1] - 109:2	362:18, 362:20,	volume [1] - 245:24
underlying [1] - 53:15	208:24, 209:3,	upload [1] - 356:19	373:25, 375:1	voluminous [1] -
understood [26] -	220:18, 221:19	uploaded [1] - 37:4	vendors [12] - 40:23,	11:12
31:2, 90:8, 123:16,	unresolved [1] - 387:9	upside [1] - 257:17	75:18, 131:7,	volunteering [2] -
129:14, 143:24,	unsure [1] - 212:19	urgency (5) - 212:9,	131:13, 132:8, 132:0, 133:25	189:5, 189:6
162:24, 187:22,	unusual [3] - 12:1,	212:13, 216:8, 229:14, 235:10	132:9, 133:25, 135:5, 135:15,	volunteers [2] -
241:13, 306:22, 315:1, 321:8,	12:2, 20:15	useful [2] - 176:20,	137:9, 152:18, 359:5	385:13, 386:19
322:15, 332:15,	up [100] - 14:5, 21:18, 22:17, 23:16, 26:19,	176:21	venture [1] - 313:6	Vote [2] - 74:3, 372:4
333:25, 380:23,	30:8, 30:9, 34:21,	user [4] - 277:13,	verbally [1] - 275:17	vote [12] - 57:6, 76:25,
381:4, 382:24,	57:1, 59:20, 66:2,	277:15, 278:5,	verbiage [1] - 265:23	94:19, 254:21,
383:10, 383:11,	67:4, 68:1, 71:3,	278:10	verdict [1] - 44:17	260:9, 300:11,
383:19, 383:21,	72:2, 76:19, 77:5,	user-friendliness [1] -	verified [6] - 166:18,	338:21, 339:7,
384:10, 384:11,	79:7, 79:18, 83:24,	277:15	166:20, 191:15,	339:14, 365:17
384:19, 385:23,	84:4, 92:8, 97:4,	uses [7] - 80:25, 96:6,	191:16, 193:9,	vote-by-mail [5] -
386:10	99:3, 103:8, 103:18,	115:1, 160:11,	369:24	57:6, 94:19, 254:21,
undertake [1] - 359:6	103:24, 112:24,	286:23, 292:14,		260:9
			version [6] - 75:20,	
undertaken [1] - 358:7	121:4, 121:12,	293:13	75:21, 79:7, 86:5,	voter [14] - 56:17,
undertaken [1] - 358:7 underway [1] - 16:11	121:4, 121:12, 138:1, 141:17,		•••	57:5, 76:16, 76:19,
		293:13	75:21, 79:7, 86:5,	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10,
underway [1] - 16:11	138:1, 141:17,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8,	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17,	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20,	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25,	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8,	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4 unfamiliarity [1] -	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8, 84:19	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8, 184:24, 206:20,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6 utilizing [3] - 81:24, 133:22, 231:14	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8,	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20 voter's [1] - 300:11
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4 unfamiliarity [1] - 257:18 unfortunately [3] -	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8, 184:24, 206:20, 207:4, 213:1, 213:6,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6 utilizing [3] - 81:24,	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8, 84:19	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20 voter's [1] - 300:11 voters [63] - 31:15,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4 unfamiliarity [1] - 257:18 unfortunately [3] - 31:16, 184:20,	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8, 184:24, 206:20, 207:4, 213:1, 213:6, 222:12, 223:4,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6 utilizing [3] - 81:24, 133:22, 231:14 V	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8, 84:19 vest [2] - 50:2, 50:5 vested [1] - 43:11 vet [1] - 240:5	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20 voter's [1] - 300:11 voters [63] - 31:15, 31:24, 32:18, 38:25,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4 unfamiliarity [1] - 257:18 unfortunately [3] - 31:16, 184:20, 317:25	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8, 184:24, 206:20, 207:4, 213:1, 213:6, 222:12, 223:4, 225:21, 225:23,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6 utilizing [3] - 81:24, 133:22, 231:14 V vague [1] - 305:23	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8, 84:19 vest [2] - 50:2, 50:5 vested [1] - 43:11 vet [1] - 240:5 via [3] - 304:15,	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20 voter's [1] - 300:11 voters [63] - 31:15, 31:24, 32:18, 38:25, 46:9, 56:18, 56:20,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4 unfamiliarity [1] - 257:18 unfortunately [3] - 31:16, 184:20, 317:25 uniform [3] - 274:20,	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8, 184:24, 206:20, 207:4, 213:1, 213:6, 222:12, 223:4, 225:21, 225:23, 228:16, 228:20,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6 utilizing [3] - 81:24, 133:22, 231:14 V vague [1] - 305:23 valuable [1] - 152:16	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8, 84:19 vest [2] - 50:2, 50:5 vested [1] - 43:11 vet [1] - 240:5 via [3] - 304:15, 328:16, 332:17	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20 voter's [1] - 300:11 voters [63] - 31:15, 31:24, 32:18, 38:25, 46:9, 56:18, 56:20, 57:1, 57:24, 61:14,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4 unfamiliarity [1] - 257:18 unfortunately [3] - 31:16, 184:20, 317:25 uniform [3] - 274:20, 274:22, 274:24	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8, 184:24, 206:20, 207:4, 213:1, 213:6, 222:12, 223:4, 225:21, 225:23, 228:16, 228:20, 228:23, 233:7,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6 utilizing [3] - 81:24, 133:22, 231:14 Vague [1] - 305:23 valuable [1] - 152:16 value [4] - 135:22,	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8, 84:19 vest [2] - 50:2, 50:5 vested [1] - 43:11 vet [1] - 240:5 via [3] - 304:15, 328:16, 332:17 viability [8] - 169:13,	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20 voter's [1] - 300:11 voters [63] - 31:15, 31:24, 32:18, 38:25, 46:9, 56:18, 56:20, 57:1, 57:24, 61:14, 62:2, 62:6, 62:23,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4 unfamiliarity [1] - 257:18 unfortunately [3] - 31:16, 184:20, 317:25 uniform [3] - 274:20, 274:22, 274:24 union [4] - 252:24,	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8, 184:24, 206:20, 207:4, 213:1, 213:6, 222:12, 223:4, 225:21, 225:23, 228:16, 228:20, 228:23, 233:7, 238:7, 240:4, 241:5,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6 utilizing [3] - 81:24, 133:22, 231:14 Vague [1] - 305:23 valuable [1] - 152:16 value [4] - 135:22, 135:24, 136:8, 136:9	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8, 84:19 vest [2] - 50:2, 50:5 vested [1] - 43:11 vet [1] - 240:5 via [3] - 304:15, 328:16, 332:17 viability [8] - 169:13, 169:19, 169:20,	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20 voter's [1] - 300:11 voters [63] - 31:15, 31:24, 32:18, 38:25, 46:9, 56:18, 56:20, 57:1, 57:24, 61:14, 62:2, 62:6, 62:23, 63:20, 65:21, 92:12,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4 unfamiliarity [1] - 257:18 unfortunately [3] - 31:16, 184:20, 317:25 uniform [3] - 274:20, 274:22, 274:24 union [4] - 252:24, 252:25, 253:2,	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8, 184:24, 206:20, 207:4, 213:1, 213:6, 222:12, 223:4, 225:21, 225:23, 228:16, 228:20, 228:23, 233:7, 238:7, 240:4, 241:5, 248:15, 250:23,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6 utilizing [3] - 81:24, 133:22, 231:14 Vague [1] - 305:23 valuable [1] - 152:16 value [4] - 135:22, 135:24, 136:8, 136:9 variability [3] -	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - $6:8$, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8, 84:19 vest [2] - 50:2, 50:5 vested [1] - 43:11 vet [1] - 240:5 via [3] - 304:15, 328:16, 332:17 viability [8] - 169:13, 169:19, 169:20, 169:22, 169:25,	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20 voter's [1] - 300:11 voters [63] - 31:15, 31:24, 32:18, 38:25, 46:9, 56:18, 56:20, 57:1, 57:24, 61:14, 62:2, 62:6, 62:23, 63:20, 65:21, 92:12, 93:1, 93:5, 93:9, 93:14, 93:17, 94:21, 96:15, 173:1,
underway [1] - 16:11 undue [1] - 369:1 unduly [3] - 53:17, 55:13, 55:25 unfair [3] - 224:2, 224:11, 384:4 unfamiliarity [1] - 257:18 unfortunately [3] - 31:16, 184:20, 317:25 uniform [3] - 274:20, 274:22, 274:24 union [4] - 252:24,	138:1, 141:17, 162:15, 162:22, 165:14, 170:18, 172:24, 174:13, 174:23, 175:1, 179:22, 180:16, 181:13, 184:8, 184:24, 206:20, 207:4, 213:1, 213:6, 222:12, 223:4, 225:21, 225:23, 228:16, 228:20, 228:23, 233:7, 238:7, 240:4, 241:5,	293:13 ushered [1] - 339:22 utilize [4] - 56:8, 229:9, 231:4, 342:15 utilized [3] - 75:25, 86:5, 98:6 utilizing [3] - 81:24, 133:22, 231:14 Vague [1] - 305:23 valuable [1] - 152:16 value [4] - 135:22, 135:24, 136:8, 136:9	75:21, 79:7, 86:5, 107:12, 108:15 versions [1] - 105:13 versus [7] - 6:8, 38:20, 291:9, 321:2, 322:8, 322:21, 334:12 vertically [2] - 84:8, 84:19 vest [2] - 50:2, 50:5 vested [1] - 43:11 vet [1] - 240:5 via [3] - 304:15, 328:16, 332:17 viability [8] - 169:13, 169:19, 169:20,	57:5, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 79:10, 96:10, 97:21, 97:23, 182:24, 337:24, 340:2, 359:11, 365:20 voter's [1] - 300:11 voters [63] - 31:15, 31:24, 32:18, 38:25, 46:9, 56:18, 56:20, 57:1, 57:24, 61:14, 62:2, 62:6, 62:23, 63:20, 65:21, 92:12, 93:1, 93:5, 93:9, 93:14, 93:17, 94:21,

175.12 175.25	202.2 202.4	worping (4) 20:22	104-10 160-0	162-2 165-10
175:13, 175:25, 176:7, 176:16	293:3, 293:4, 205:21, 206:21	warning [1] - 30:22	124:12, 162:2	163:3, 165:10, 165:20, 222:6,
176:7, 176:16, 177:16, 178:4,	295:21, 296:21, 300:6, 300:19,	warrant [2] - 54:15,	whereas [5] - 76:24, 81:4, 99:21, 178:10,	230:24, 242:25,
		54:18	285:12	
178:7, 178:10,	302:5, 302:16,	warranted [1] - 44:14		247:1, 247:3, 251:5,
178:13, 180:20,	337:24, 338:15,	Warren [6] - 3:24,	whereby [1] - 110:20	253:13, 268:18,
180:22, 182:25,	339:4, 339:5, 339:9,	9:19, 111:9, 324:3,	whichever [1] - 339:12	268:25, 278:20,
183:4, 183:5, 192:9,	339:10, 339:17,	324:4, 324:17	white [1] - 198:4	279:13, 280:7,
240:20, 269:6,	339:19, 339:20,	Washington [2] -	whole [14] - 29:14,	280:17, 282:11,
274:4, 274:9,	339:25, 340:1,	293:12, 293:18	47:19, 70:24, 83:6,	282:17, 282:22,
275:12, 338:12,	340:10, 340:11, 240:12, 240:21	waste [1] - 368:13	173:19, 183:5,	283:3, 283:5,
338:14, 338:21,	340:13, 340:21,	wasted [1] - 309:19	294:15, 309:19,	283:15, 285:24,
339:2, 339:6, 339:9,	341:1, 341:3, 346:6,	wasteful [1] - 309:21	314:2, 330:21,	297:25, 306:8,
339:14, 344:6,	354:6, 354:7, 354:8,	wasting [1] - 368:12	335:2, 340:6,	310:21, 311:1,
345:14, 346:15,	356:19, 356:23,	watched [1] - 148:4	340:18, 360:9	311:5, 315:6,
354:14, 357:19,	357:5, 357:20,	water [3] - 306:20,	WILENTZ [1] - 2:9	330:20, 334:25,
361:10, 365:17,	359:11, 359:22,	311:3, 336:11	Wilentz [1] - 9:13	336:7, 336:10,
387:22	360:14, 363:13,	ways [7] - 44:2,	WILLE [1] - 2:9	336:15, 344:25,
votes [3] - 209:7,	365:2, 365:3,	143:21, 172:11,	Wille [1] - 9:12	359:25, 362:13,
339:2	365:14, 365:15,	253:25, 291:15,	William [1] - 7:23	372:19, 372:21,
Voting [8] - 75:19,	365:20	339:2, 339:4	WILLIAM [1] - 2:17	372:23, 372:25,
75:21, 80:25, 81:4,	vs [1] - 1:6	weaker [1] - 172:6	Williams [1] - S:13	373:2, 373:5,
98:8, 98:10, 98:18,	VVSG [1] - 162:12	weakest [1] - 324:11	WILLIAMS [2] - 3:11,	374:24, 375:19,
286:18	vying [2] - 206:18,	website [5] - 75:16,	8:12	381:24, 387:6
voting [136] - 27:24,	207:3	92:8, 345:17,	willing [5] - 25:5, 25:8,	Witness [1] - 283:16
37:3, 43:6, 43:22,		345:19, 377:1	199:22, 333:15,	witness [112] - 19:23,
58:3, 62:6, 64:23,	W	websites [1] - 345:18	333:20	23:11, 27:2, 27:22,
73:17, 74:3, 74:16,		weeds [1] - 49:22	Wilson [1] - 285:24	27:23, 62:25, 67:17,
75:10, 75:14, 75:17,	wait [15] - 12:5, 12:9,	week [10] - 26:7,	WILSON [2] - 4:11,	67:22, 69:9, 69:11,
75:20, 75:24, 76:1,	13:9, 21:1, 21:8,	254:11, 261:1,	285:20	69:21, 70:7, 72:3,
76:4, 76:6, 77:16,	26:5, 87:18, 118:17,	261:24, 273:10,	win [11] - 170:10,	74:13, 86:20, 87:14,
77:23, 79:24, 80:1,	147:4, 225:4,	273:11, 273:13,	173:2, 177:19,	88:23, 90:24, 95:15,
80:8, 80:20, 81:10,	242:18, 254:12,	273:15, 273:16,	177:23, 177:24,	107:16, 108:3,
81:22, 81:23, 84:25,	260:4, 268:15,	353:2	182:8, 182:17,	114:13, 115:4,
85:3, 85:5, 86:4,	277:25	veekly [3] - 378:7,	185:10, 209:1,	115:7, 115:9,
90:8, 90:21, 95:5,	waited [3] - 46:25,	378:8, 384:8	209:4, 209:7	115:14, 115:16,
95:24, 96:1, 96:5,	49:22, 216:20	weeks [16] - 11:13,	winner [1] - 180:24	116:5, 116:7,
96:14, 96:17, 97:15,	waiting [7] - 228:15,	115:22, 148:21,	winning [1] - 177:18	120:25, 122:19,
98:2, 100:4, 101:10,	248:12, 250:19,	148:24, 149:1,	winter [2] - 332:14,	124:2, 129:14,
101:22, 101:24,	283:21, 284:11,	149:2, 149:18,	333:7	156:5, 165:12,
103:15, 107:14,	308:21, 310:13	150:24, 152:1,	wise [3] - 27:8,	165:14, 187:12,
108:16, 125:4,	waived 19 - 38:9	259:11, 259:12,	183:22, 277:10	187:20, 188:6,
129:5, 129:23,	walk [7] - 40:5, 40:20,	259:19, 262:5,	wisely [3] - 11:2,	188:7, 193:5, 197:8,
131:7, 131:12,	77:11, 78:14,	262:15, 262:19,	19:20, 384:18	200:10, 200:13,
133:2, 135:5, 135:9,	200:21, 259:18,	309:16	wishes [1] - 60:16	200:17, 201:2,
135:10, 140:7,	343:15	weighed [1] - 32:19	withdraw [5] - 130:8,	201:8, 228:22,
144:11, 161:21,	walked [6] - 55:15,	weighing [1] - 18:7	133:4, 160:4, 274:6,	247:6, 247:13,
161:24, 248:21,	199:23, 200:24,	weight [7] - 71:11,	275:21	247:17, 248:12,
248:23, 257:19,	264:14, 340:2,	88:12, 244:22,	withdrawn [4] - 106:2,	248:19, 248:21,
258:6, 260:3, 261:3,	365:11	244:23, 245:2, 245:4	153:12, 278:18,	249:17, 249:25,
261:10, 261:17,	walking [3] - 200:10,	WEISSMAN [1] - 1:18	304:22	250:8, 250:14,
261:21, 261:25,	250:16, 308:22	Weissman [2] - 6:22,	WITNESS [68] - 73:3,	250:19, 250:24,
	walks [2] - 57:6,	7:2	83:17, 90:11, 95:4,	251:15, 279:11,
262:4, 262:9,		· · ·		283:9, 283:17,
262:14, 263:2,	387:18	welcome M1 - 50.1	95.20 95.23 98.25	
262:14, 263:2, 275:16, 275:23,	387:18 walls [1] - 22:5	welcome [4] - 59:1, 73:7 285:16 335:18	95:20, 95:23, 98:25, 106:3, 109:13	283:22, 284:3,
262:14, 263:2, 275:16, 275:23, 275:24, 276:3,		73:7, 285:16, 335:18	106:3, 109:13,	284:12, 284:14,
262:14, 263:2, 275:16, 275:23, 275:24, 276:3, 276:6, 276:11,	walls [1] - 22:5	73:7, 285:16, 335:18 well-known [2] - 48:8,	106:3, 109:13, 109:17, 116:10,	284:12, 284:14, 284:25, 285:7,
262:14, 263:2, 275:16, 275:23, 275:24, 276:3, 276:6, 276:11, 276:20, 276:23,	walls [1] - 22:5 Wang [1] - 31:18	73:7, 285:16, 335:18 well-known [2] - 48:8, 316:23	106:3, 109:13, 109:17, 116:10, 123:13, 123:16,	284:12, 284:14, 284:25, 285:7, 285:17, 291:2,
262:14, 263:2, 275:16, 275:23, 275:24, 276:3, 276:6, 276:11, 276:20, 276:23, 286:24, 288:16,	walls [1] - 22:5 Wang [1] - 31:18 wants [8] - 29:2, 32:3,	73:7, 285:16, 335:18 well-known [2] - 48:8, 316:23 West [2] - 2:3, 252:21	106:3, 109:13, 109:17, 116:10, 123:13, 123:16, 129:17, 129:20,	284:12, 284:14, 284:25, 285:7, 285:17, 291:2, 306:4, 306:5,
262:14, 263:2, 275:16, 275:23, 275:24, 276:3, 276:6, 276:11, 276:20, 276:23, 286:24, 288:16, 289:3, 291:15,	walls [1] - 22:5 Wang [1] - 31:18 wants [8] - 29:2, 32:3, 36:4, 77:16, 92:20,	73:7, 285:16, 335:18 well-known [2] - 48:8, 316:23 West [2] - 2:3, 252:21 Westmont [1] - 2:18	106:3, 109:13, 109:17, 116:10, 123:13, 123:16, 129:17, 129:20, 129:22, 141:15,	284:12, 284:14, 284:25, 285:7, 285:17, 291:2, 306:4, 306:5, 308:11, 308:18,
262:14, 263:2, 275:16, 275:23, 275:24, 276:3, 276:6, 276:11, 276:20, 276:23, 286:24, 288:16, 289:3, 291:15, 292:4, 292:8,	walls [1] - 22:5 Wang [1] - 31:18 wants [8] - 29:2, 32:3, 36:4, 77:16, 92:20, 96:21, 156:23,	73:7, 285:16, 335:18 well-known [2] - 48:8, 316:23 West [2] - 2:3, 252:21 Westmont [1] - 2:18 whatnot [1] - 97:1	106:3, 109:13, 109:17, 116:10, 123:13, 123:16, 129:17, 129:20, 129:22, 141:15, 141:19, 147:3,	284:12, 284:14, 284:25, 285:7, 285:17, 291:2, 306:4, 306:5, 308:11, 308:18, 308:19, 308:22,
262:14, 263:2, 275:16, 275:23, 275:24, 276:3, 276:6, 276:11, 276:20, 276:23, 286:24, 288:16, 289:3, 291:15,	walls [1] - 22:5 Wang [1] - 31:18 wants [8] - 29:2, 32:3, 36:4, 77:16, 92:20, 96:21, 156:23, 182:16	73:7, 285:16, 335:18 well-known [2] - 48:8, 316:23 West [2] - 2:3, 252:21 Westmont [1] - 2:18	106:3, 109:13, 109:17, 116:10, 123:13, 123:16, 129:17, 129:20, 129:22, 141:15,	284:12, 284:14, 284:25, 285:7, 285:17, 291:2, 306:4, 306:5, 308:11, 308:18,

310:2, 310:13,	works [5] - 62:21,	343:8, 347:18,	
310:24, 311:3,	71:25, 260:12,	355:9, 371:8	
311:4, 312:11,	276:2, 279:21	year's [2] - 182:1,	
313:21, 313:23,	world [2] - 114:3,	346:20	
314:18, 315:3,	257:16	Year's [1] - 380:11	
315:6, 335:8,	worried [3] - 171:8,	years [22] - 37:20,	
335:16, 351:15,	196:12, 196:22	43:19, 57:9, 57:14,	
366:12, 366:18,	worse [2] - 15:18,	58:19, 73:12, 112:7,	
367:11, 367:15,	334:20	144:9, 153:6,	
368:4, 368:12,	write [6] - 139:17,	230:18, 252:16,	
370:2, 370:10,	142:6, 224:21,	253:21, 256:8,	
370:11, 375:9,	224:23, 289:2,	258:15, 258:19,	
375:12, 381:23,	352:10	272:1, 275:24,	
387:2, 387:7	write-ins [1] - 352:10	313:9, 341:23,	
witnessed [1] - 172:23	writer [1] - 329:13	353:5, 384:24	
witnesses [38] - 22:9,	writing [9] - 16:8,	yesterday [2] - 14:9,	
24:16, 36:20, 36:21,	17:10, 19:9, 71:1,	18:1	
50:15, 50:16, 50:17,	71:22, 225:1,	York [7] - 2:3, 97:20,	
67:6, 67:10, 67:24,	323:21, 375:9,	101:20, 101:23,	
68:22, 69:12, 69:13,	375:13	106:13, 252:21	*
71:12, 122:7, 198:9,	written [3] - 86:9,	you-all [7] - 36:15,	An an
198:10, 198:22,	127:4, 149:11	48:24, 55:25, 87:23,	C ^O
199:4, 200:8,	wrote [7] - 115:17,	119:6, 201:4, 284:8	CKET.COM
200:11, 228:21,	289:22, 302:22,	yourself [7] - 48:17,	
241:11, 247:9,	303:5, 320:2,	54:2, 157:3, 211:10,	-CV
249:18, 250:3,	369:18, 372:4	252:1, 295:20	O^{-}
306:9, 306:14,	,		
306:23, 306:24,	Х	Z	
307:12, 308:25,		<u>R</u>	
335:3, 366:16,	XL [37] - 96:2, 96:5,	7 A LID rot 1.16 6.0	
	\mathbf{R} [37] - 30.2, 30.3,	ZAHID [2] - 1:16, 6:2	
368:2, 368:17,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3,	zealously [1] - 30:19	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3,	zealously (n) - 30:19 zero [1] - 345:1	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12,	zealously (ŋ - 30:19 zero [ŋ, 345:1 ZINGARO [2] - 3:16,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15,	zealously (r) - 30:19 zero (r) 345:1 ZINGARO [2] - 3:16, 10:7	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25,	zealously (r) - 30:19 zero (r) 345:1 ZINGARO [2] - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro [1] - 10:8	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14,	zealously (r) - 30:19 zero (r) 345:1 ZINGARO [2] - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro [1] - 10:8	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293.12,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] -	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293.12, 293:14, 293:17,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 203:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 203:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 203:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 203:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 203:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4, 220:6, 224:11,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2 year [21] - 33:19, 40:4,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4, 220:6, 224:11, 224:12, 258:11,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2 year [21] - 33:19, 40:4, 54:23, 126:22,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4, 220:6, 224:11, 224:12, 258:11, 282:10, 294:8 workers [6] - 58:3, 279:25, 338:5,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2 year [21] - 33:19, 40:4, 54:23, 126:22, 218:12, 253:18,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4, 220:6, 224:11, 224:12, 258:11, 282:10, 294:8 workers [6] - 58:3, 279:25, 338:5, 338:6, 342:12,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2 year [21] - 33:19, 40:4, 54:23, 126:22, 218:12, 253:18, 254:4, 254:7, 255:5,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4, 220:6, 224:11, 224:12, 258:11, 282:10, 294:8 workers [6] - 58:3, 279:25, 338:5, 338:6, 342:12, 358:24	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2 year [21] - 33:19, 40:4, 54:23, 126:22, 218:12, 253:18, 254:4, 254:7, 255:5, 255:24, 256:16,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4, 220:6, 224:11, 224:12, 258:11, 282:10, 294:8 workers [6] - 58:3, 279:25, 338:5, 338:6, 342:12, 358:24 workforce [1] - 252:24	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2 year [21] - 33:19, 40:4, 54:23, 126:22, 218:12, 253:18, 254:4, 254:7, 255:5, 255:24, 256:16, 258:12, 258:14,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4, 220:6, 224:11, 224:12, 258:11, 282:10, 294:8 workers [6] - 58:3, 279:25, 338:5, 338:6, 342:12, 358:24 workforce [1] - 252:24 workings [2] - 143:13,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2 year [21] - 33:19, 40:4, 54:23, 126:22, 218:12, 253:18, 254:4, 254:7, 255:5, 255:24, 256:16, 258:12, 258:14, 260:8, 261:5,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4, 220:6, 224:11, 224:12, 258:11, 282:10, 294:8 workers [6] - 58:3, 279:25, 338:5, 338:6, 342:12, 358:24 workforce [1] - 252:24	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2 year [21] - 33:19, 40:4, 54:23, 126:22, 218:12, 253:18, 254:4, 254:7, 255:5, 255:24, 256:16, 258:12, 258:14,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	
368:2, 368:17, 369:1, 369:10 woah [3] - 91:1 won [11] - 178:2, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 178:17, 181:14, 182:5, 182:7, 182:19, 328:8, 384:1 Woodbridge [2] - 2:10, 2:11 Word [2] - 82:7, 82:13 word [4] - 84:9, 137:25, 255:16, 256:6 worded [1] - 148:11 wording [3] - 143:23, 224:6, 224:8 words [10] - 36:7, 83:1, 134:11, 144:4, 220:6, 224:11, 224:12, 258:11, 282:10, 294:8 workers [6] - 58:3, 279:25, 338:5, 338:6, 342:12, 358:24 workforce [1] - 252:24 workings [2] - 143:13,	96:10, 97:16, 105:3, 112:15, 113:3, 113:6, 138:12, 138:13, 138:15, 138:18, 291:25, 292:4, 292:8, 292:11, 292:14, 292:18, 292:25, 293:1, 293:12, 293:14, 293:17, 294:2, 295:10, 295:13, 295:19, 295:23, 296:3, 297:14, 298:18, 299:13, 299:17, 341:10, 372:4, 372:15 Y Yael [2] - 6:13, 6:17 YAEL [1] - 2:2 year [21] - 33:19, 40:4, 54:23, 126:22, 218:12, 253:18, 254:4, 254:7, 255:5, 255:24, 256:16, 258:12, 258:14, 260:8, 261:5,	zealously (*) - 30:19 zero (*) 345:1 ZINGARO (2) - 3:16, 10:7 Zingaro (*) - 10:8 Zoom (2) - 248:24,	