1	D. Andrew Gaona (028414) Austin C. Yost (034602)		
2	COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC		
3	2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004		
4	T: (602) 381-5486 agaona@cblawyers.com		
	ayost@cblawyers.com		
5	Lalitha D. Madduri*		
6	Dan Cohen** Julie Zuckerbrod**		
7	ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP		
8	250 Massachusetts Ave NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20001	As As	
9	T: (202) 968-4330 lmadduri@elias.law	COM	
	dcohen@elias.law jzuckerbrod@elias.law		
10	JZUCKEI DI OU(WEITAS.IAW	:50 ^C	
11	Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor-Defendant Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans	ACTOOKET COM	
12	* Pro Hac Vice Application Pending		
13	** Admitted Pro Hac Vice		
14			
15	ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT		
16	MARICOPA COUNTY		
	RON GOULD, in his individual capacity,	No. CV2024-000815	
17	Plaintiff,		
18	V. KRIS MAYES, in her official capacity as the	PROPOSED INTERVENOR- DEFENDANT ARIZONA	
19	Attorney General of the State of Arizona,	ALLIANCE FOR RETIRED	
20	D. C. 1	AMERICANS'S OPPOSITION TO	
21	Defendant.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE NOTICE OF	
		LODGING PROPOSED MOTION	
22		TO DISMISS	
23		(Assigned to the Hon. Brad Astrowsky)	
24		(
25			

1 2 3

456

789

1011

12

13 14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

2425

26

Proposed Intervenor-Defendant the Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans (the "Alliance"), through undersigned counsel, hereby opposes Plaintiff's motion to strike the Alliance's Notice of Lodging Proposed Motion to Dismiss (the "Notice").

There is no reason for this Court to strike the Alliance's Notice. The motion to strike rests on a false premise. The Alliance's Notice does not force Plaintiff to "jump to respond to a proposed filing." *See* Mot. 2. If the Court grants the Alliance's Motion to Intervene (which it should), the lodged Motion to Dismiss will be filed at that time, and Plaintiff will be permitted to respond in due course. If intervention is denied, then Plaintiff need not respond. As counsel for the Alliance explained, the Notice was filed in the spirit of "secur[ing] the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination" of this matter, Ariz. R. Civ. P. 1, by ensuring the Alliance's proposed Motion to Dismiss is filed without delay should this Court grant its motion to intervene.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of March, 2024.

COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC

By: /s/ D. Andrew Gaona
D. Andrew Gaona
Austin C. Yost

ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP

Lalitha D. Madduri* Dan Cohen** Julie Zuckerbrod**

Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor-Defendant Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans

* Pro Hac Vice Application Pending

** Admitted Pro Hac Vice

ORIGINAL e-filed and served via electronic means this 1st day of March, 2024, upon:

Honorable Brad Astrowsky c/o Stephanie Pham Stephanie.pham@jbazmc.maricopa.gov

1	Dennis I. Wilenchik		
2	DIW@wb-law.com		
	Lisa M. Borowsky LisaB@wb-law.com		
3	Brian R. Gifford		
4	admin@wb-law.com Wilenchik & Bartness		
5	2810 North Third Street Phoenix, Arizona 85004		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Ron Gould		
7	Alexander Samuels alexander.samuels@azag.gov		
8	Emma H. Mark Emma.Mark@azag.gov		
9	Office of the Attorney General 2005 North Central Avenue		
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Attorney General		
11	Kris Mayes		
12	CREO		
13	/s/ Diana J. Hanson		
14			
15	alexander.samuels@azag.gov Emma H. Mark Emma.Mark@azag.gov Office of the Attorney General 2005 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Attorney General Kris Mayes /s/ Diana J. Hanson		
16	OFFICE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

26