HONORABLE TIFFANY M. CARTWRIGHT 1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 6 7 WASHINGTON STATE ALLIANCE FOR RETIRED AMERICANS, No. 3:23-cv-06014-TMC 8 Plaintiff, 9 **DEFENDANT HALL'S** VS. ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 10 TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED STEVE HOBBS, in his official capacity as COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND Washington State Secretary of State, MARY 11 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF HALL, in her official capacity as Thurston County Auditor, and JULIE WISE, in her official capacity 12 as King County Elections Director, 13 Defendants. 14 15 Defendant Thurston County Auditor Mary Hall, by and through the undersigned counsel, 16 hereby answers Plaintiff's Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (ECF No. 16, 17 November 20, 2023), on information and belief as set forth below. References to paragraphs in this 18 Answer are references to the numbered paragraphs in the Amended Complaint. 19 The paragraph found before the first numbered paragraph of the Amendment Complaint is a 20 statement of the case to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, 21 Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff has brought a suit seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, but

avers that Plaintiff is not entitled to any relief. Defendant Hall denies any remaining allegations in

DEFENDANT HALL'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - 1

22

23

24

25

the introductory paragraph.

Cause No 3:23-cv-06014-TMC

JON TUNHEIM
Thurston County Prosecuting Attorney
Civil Division - Building No. 5
2000 Lakeridge Dr SW
Olympia, WA 98502
360/786-5574 FAX: 360/709-3006

2

3

45

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

DEFENDANT HALL'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE

RELIEF - 2 Cause No 3:23-cv-06014-TMC

NATURE OF THE CASE¹

- 1. In answering paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 2. In answering paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 2 contains a partial quotation of a statute; that statute speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 3. In answering paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 4. In answering paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 5. In answering paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.

¹ Defendant Hall has included the headings listed in the Amended Complaint simply to assist in reading the pleadings and does not admit the accuracy of those headings to the extent that they can be construed as asserting allegations of fact.

- 6. In answering paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 7. In answering paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 7 contains a partial quotation of the Washington State Constitution; the Constitution speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 8. In answering paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 9. In answering paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 10. In answering paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 11. In answering paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hall admits only that Plaintiff has brought a suit seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, but avers that Plaintiff is not entitled to any relief. Defendant Hall denies any remaining allegations in this paragraph.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. In answering paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 13. In answering paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 14. In answering paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 15. In answering paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 16. In answering paragraph 16 of Piaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.

PARTIES

- 17. In answering paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant Hall lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies all the allegations in this paragraph.
- 18. In answering paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant Hall lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies all the allegations in this paragraph.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 19. In answering paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Defendant Hall admits that Steve Hobbs is the Secretary of State of Washington and that Plaintiff has named Secretary Hobbs in this lawsuit in his official capacity. To that extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 20. In answering paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Defendant Hall admits that she is the Thurston County Auditor, that she performs the duties of her office according to law, and that Olympia is the capital of Washington State and is located within Thurston County. Defendant Hall further admits that Julie Wise is the elected Director of King County Elections, that Seattle is located within King County, Washington, and that King County is the most populous county in Washington State. Defendant Hall further admits that Plaintiff has named Auditor Hall and Director Wise in this lawsuit in their official capacities. To that extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW

- I. Washington state law requires voters to reside at their address for at least 30 days before the election in which they seek to vote.
- 21. In answering paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and the immediately preceding heading, the heading and paragraph contain legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph and in the immediately preceding heading.

24

- 22. In answering paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 22 contains a partial quotation of the Washington State Constitution; the Constitution speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 23. In answering paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 24. In answering paragraph 24 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 25. In answering paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 25 contains partial quotations of Washington statutes; the statutes speak for themselves, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotations appear accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 26. In answering paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 26 contains a partial quotation of a statute; that statute speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 27. In answering paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 27 contains a partial

- quotation of Washington Administrative Code; that regulation speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 28. In answering paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 29. In answering paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
 - II. The Durational Residency Requirement prohibits otherwise-eligible Washington voters who have recently moved from participating in elections affecting them in their new home.
- 30. In answering paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and the immediately preceding heading, the heading and paragraph contain legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph and in the immediately preceding heading. To the extent the paragraph or heading contain factual allegations regarding individual voters, Defendant Hall lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies all the factual allegations in this paragraph and in the immediately preceding heading.
- 31. In answering paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph. To the extent the paragraph contains factual allegations regarding individual voters, Defendant Hall lacks sufficient

24

- knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies all the factual allegations in this paragraph.
- 32. In answering paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 33. In answering paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 34. In answering paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph. To the extent the paragraph contains factual allegations regarding individual voters, Defendant Hall lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies all the factual allegations in this paragraph.
- 35. In answering paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph. To the extent the paragraph contains factual allegations regarding individual voters, Defendant Hall lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies all the factual allegations in this paragraph.
- 36. In answering paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph. To the extent the paragraph

25

contains factual allegations regarding individual voters, Defendant Hall lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies all the factual allegations in this paragraph.

III. Under the VRA, states may not deny otherwise qualified voters the right to vote in presidential elections based on the duration they have resided in that state.

- 37. In answering paragraph 37 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and the immediately preceding heading, the heading and paragraph contain legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 37 contains a partial quotation of a statute; that statute speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph and in the immediately preceding heading.
- 38. In answering paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 38 contains a partial quotation of a statute; that statute speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 39. In answering paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 40. In answering paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 40 contains a partial quotation of New York and Nevada statutes; those statutes speaks for themselves, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotations appear accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.

24

25

41. In answering paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.

IV. The U.S. Constitution also prohibits states from denying the right to vote to otherwise qualified voters who do not satisfy the Durational Residency Requirement.

- 42. In answering paragraph 42 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and the immediately preceding heading, the heading and paragraph contain legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph and in the immediately preceding heading.
- 43. In answering paragraph 43 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 44. In answering paragraph 44 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 45. In answering paragraph 45 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 46. In answering paragraph 46 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph. To the extent the paragraph contains factual allegations regarding individual voters, Defendant Hall lacks sufficient

knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies all the factual allegations in this paragraph.

- 47. In answering paragraph 47 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph. To the extent the paragraph contains factual allegations regarding individual voters, Defendant Hall lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies all the factual allegations in this paragraph.
- 48. In answering paragraph 45 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.

CLAIMOFOR RELIEF

COUNT I

Voting Rights Act Section 202 52 U.S.C. § 16502; 42 U.S.C. § 1983; 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202

- 49. In answering paragraph 49 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant Hall incorporates all responses and denials as set forth in the previous paragraphs.
- 50. In answering paragraph 50 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 50 contains a partial quotation of a statute; that statute speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.

- 51. In answering paragraph 51 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 51 contains a partial quotation of a statute; that statute speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 52. In answering paragraph 52 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 52 contains a partial quotation of a statute; that statute speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 53. In answering paragraph 53 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. Paragraph 53 contains a partial quotation of a statute; that statute speaks for itself, but Defendant Hall admits that Plaintiff's partial quotation appears accurate. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 54. In answering paragraph 54 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 55. In answering paragraph 55 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.

25

56. In answering paragraph 56 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.

COUNT II

First and Fourteenth Amendments U.S. Const. Amend. I and XIV; 42 U.S.C. § 1983; 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202

- 57. In answering paragraph 57 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant Hall incorporates all responses and denials as set forth in the previous paragraphs.
- 58. In answering paragraph 58 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 59. In answering paragraph 59 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 60. In answering paragraph 60 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 61. In answering paragraph 61 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.

21

22

23

24

25

- 62. In answering paragraph 62 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.
- 63. In answering paragraph 63 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the paragraph contains legal conclusions and argument to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendant Hall denies all allegations in this paragraph.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The remainder of the Amended Complaint constitutes Plaintiff's request for relief to which no responsive pleading is necessary. To the extent a responsive pleading is required, in answering paragraphs (a) – (d) of Plaintiff's Prayer for Relief on page 21 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint,

Defendant Hall denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief sought.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER and AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, and without admitting anything previously denied, Defendant Hall states as follows:

- 1. All allegations that have not been specifically admitted are hereby denied.
- 2. Plaintiff lacks standing to bring their claims.
- 3. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 4. Defendant Hall at all times acted in good faith in the performance of her duties and is, therefore, immune from suit for the matters alleged in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

DEFENDANT HALL'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Defendant Hall expressly reserves the right to amend this Answer, including the addition of affirmative defenses warranted by investigation and discovery, and to make such amendments either before or during trial, including asserting other defense theories or conforming the pleadings to the proof offered at the time of trial.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Defendant Hall specifically reserves the right to amend this Answer by adding counterclaims, cross claims or by instituting third party actions as additional facts are obtained through further investigation and/or discovery.

DEFENDANT HALL'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, having answered, Defendant Hall respectfully requests judgment or relief against Plaintiff as follows:

- 1. That the claim against Defendant Hall is dismissed with prejudice and that the Plaintiff takes nothing and is granted no relief;
- 2. That Defendant Hall be awarded costs and disbursements incurred in defending this matter; and
- 3. Such other and further relief, including declaratory, equitable relief and damages, to which she is entitled.

DATED this 8th day of December 2023.

JON TUNHEIM PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

/s/ Karen Horowitz

KAREN HOROWITZ, WSBA #40513 Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Civil Division - Building No. 5 2000 Lakeridge Drive SW Olympia, WA 98502 Phone: (360)786-5574 Karen.horowitz@co.thurston.wa.us

Attorney for Thurston County Defendant

1				
2				
3	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>			
4	I hereby certify that on date listed below, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which provides service to the plaintiff listed below:			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs:	X	CM/ECF	
6	Alexis Velez - <u>avelez@elias.law</u> Marilyn Gabriela Robb - <u>mrobb@elias.law</u>		Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested Overnight Mail	
7	Tina Meng Morrison - tmengmorrison@elias.law Elias Law Group LLP (DC)		Hand Delivery Email	
	250 Massachusetts Ave NW STE 400		Lillali	
8	Washington, DC 20001			
9	Abha Khanna - <u>akhanna@elias.law</u> William B. Stafford - <u>bstafford@elias.law</u>			
10	Elias Law Group LLP		604	
11	1700 Seventh Ave., Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101			
12	Defendant:		CM/ECF	
12	Steve Hobbs in his official capacity as Washington State Secretary of State		ÚSPS Overnight Mail	
13	416 Sid Snyder Ave SW	5	Hand Delivery	
	Olympia, WA 98501		Email	
14				
15	Attorney for Defendant Wise Ann Marie Summers Ann.summers@kingcounty.gov 1191 2 nd Ave Ste 1700 Seattle, WA 98101	\boxtimes	CM/ECF	
15	Ann Marie Summers		USPS	
16	Ann.summers@kingcounty.gov		Overnight Mail Hand Delivery	
	1191 2 nd Ave Ste 1700		Email	
17	Seattle, WA 98101		Lilian	
18				
	I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington and 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the foregoing is true and correct. Olympia, Washington.			
19	that the foregoing is true and correct. Orympia, washington.			
20	DATED this 8th day of December 2023.			
	/s/Tressa Hayes			
21	Tressa Hayes			
22				
23				
24				
25				
	DEFENDANT HALL'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO JON TUNHEIM			

DEFENDANT HALL'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - 16
Cause No 3:23-cv-06014-TMC

JON TUNHEIM
Thurston County Prosecuting Attorney
Civil Division - Building No. 5
2000 Lakeridge Dr SW
Olympia, WA 98502
360/786-5574 FAX: 360/709-3006