

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DELAWARE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

CIVIL ACTION AT LAW

SONJA KEOHANE, RICHARD KEOHANE
and BARBARA WELSH

No.: 2023-004458

v.

DELAWARE COUNTY BOARD OF
ELECTIONS

ORDER

AND NOW, this 21st day of September, 2023, upon consideration of the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings of Petitioners Sonja Keohane, Richard Keohane, and Barbara Welsh, a Memorandum of Law in support thereof, Respondent Delaware County Board of Elections' response to the Motion in which Respondent does not oppose the relief requested by Petitioners, and Petitioners' reply in support of the Motion, it is ORDERED that the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings is GRANTED. It is further ORDERED that Respondent is directed to count Petitioners' provisional ballots submitted at their respective polling places on Primary Election Day, May 16, 2023, and amend the official vote count from the May 2023 Primary Election to include the votes indicated on Petitioners' provisional ballots. In support of the foregoing, the Court hereby sets forth the following:

1. The facts of this case are not in dispute as this matter concerns the decision of Respondent Delaware County Board of Elections ("the Board") not to count three provisional ballots submitted by Petitioners, who each voted by mail but whose mail-in ballots were canceled due to disqualifying defects on the outer envelopes;
2. In each instance, the Board contacted Petitioners and provided a "notice and cure letter" explaining the opportunity to cure the defective ballots in person at the Board's

office in Media, Delaware County, Pennsylvania or to request a replacement ballot be issued by mail in advance of primary Election Day, May 16, 2023;

3. The Petitioners did not request replacement ballots nor appear in person in Media, Delaware County, Pennsylvania to avail themselves of the “notice and cure” procedure offered by the Board but rather each Petitioner voted provisionally at their polling place on primary Election Day, May 16, 2023;

4. Subsequently, at the provisional ballot challenge hearing, the Board voted to not count these ballots based on *In Re Allegheny Cnty. Provisional Ballots in the 2020 Gen. Election*, 241 A.3d 695 (Pa.Cmwlt. 2020) which stands for the proposition that voters who have cast another ballot and/or whose ballots have been timely received by the Board may not have subsequent provisional ballots counted;

5. This Court recognizes the Election Code contains two provisions which are at issue and relate to casting a provisional ballot following an unsuccessful attempt to cast a mail-in or absentee ballot. The first subsection states that “[e]xcept as provided in clause (ii), if it is determined that the individual was registered and entitled to vote at the election district where the ballot was cast, the county board of elections shall compare the signature on the provisional ballot envelope with the signature on the elector’s registration form and, if the signatures are determined to be genuine, shall count the ballot if the county board of elections confirms that the individual did not cast any other ballot, including an absentee ballot, in the election.” 25 P.S. §

3050(a.4)(5)(i);

6. The second subsection states that a provisional ballot “shall not be counted” if “the elector’s absentee ballot or mail-in ballot are timely received by a county board of elections.” 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(5)(ii)(F);

7. To the extent there is any ambiguity between § 3050(a.4)(5)(i) and § 3050(a.4)(5)(ii)(F), Pennsylvania law demands that statutory provisions be read harmoniously to give effect to both provisions and should be construed in a way that does not nullify or exclude another provision. *See, e.g., In re Borough of Downingtown*, 161 A.3d 844, 871 (Pa. 2017) (noting that when two statutory provisions can be read as harmonious or in conflict, courts should construe them as in harmony with each other).

8. “It is the longstanding and overriding policy in this Commonwealth to protect the elective franchise. The Election Code must be liberally construed so as not to deprive . . . the voters of their right to elect a candidate of their choice. It is therefore a well-settled principle of Pennsylvania election law that every rationalization within the realm of common sense should aim at saving the ballot rather than voiding it.” *In re Canvass of Absentee & Mail-in Ballots of Nov. 3, 2020 General Election*, 241 A.3d 1058, 1071 (Pa. 2020).

9. In this instance, these three qualified voters who attempted to submit mail-in ballots to the Board and were later notified by the Board that their respective mail-in ballots were defective, cannot be said to have “cast” a ballot.

10. All parties and this Court are concerned with the risk of double voting; however, the Board has safeguards in place to prevent double voting in this situation.

11. “When the Board receives a mail-in or absentee ballot, Board staff examines the outer envelope for obvious defects such as a missing signature or date. If such a defect is found, the Board provides a notice via e-mail or regular mail to the affected voter and offers them the opportunity to cure their ballot at Government Center in person, or mails a replacement ballot.” (Board’s 7/28/23 Memorandum of Law, p. 6).

12. The defective mail-in ballot is segregated from other mail-in ballots and is not counted or included in the pre-canvass and canvass. (Board's 7/28/23 Memorandum of Law, p. 6). It is treated by the Board's staff as if the ballot was not received at all.

Id. Then, the voter may vote their replacement ballot;

13. The Board also provided this Court with additional protections afforded by the provisional ballot challenge hearing process. These include:

- a. "The Board schedules and holds a provisional ballot challenge hearing within seven days of each primary or election. *See* 25 P.S. § 3050(a.4)(4);
- b. Prior to the hearing, the Board checks all provisional ballots against Election Day poll books and by-mail ballots to determine if each voter who voted provisionally also voted a different way;
- c. The Board also collects the names and addresses of each voter who cast a provisional ballot in Delaware County and makes those available to party leaders and candidates;
- d. The Board further publishes all mail-in and absentee voters on its website. Therefore, ahead of the hearing, representatives and the Board, and any other interested party, can confirm that voters have not cast a provisional ballot and also voted in some other way."

(Board's 7/28/23 Memorandum of Law, p. 7).

14. With these safeguards in place, Respondent shall count Petitioners' provisional ballots submitted at their respective polling places on Primary Election Day, May 16, 2023, and amend the official vote count from the May 2023 Primary Election to include the votes indicated on Petitioners' provisional ballots.

BY THE COURT:



JOHN J. WHELAN, J.

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM