COPY ORIGINAL FILED MAY 05 2021

MICHAEL J. KILLIAN FRANKLIN COUNTY CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR FRANKLIN COUNTY

GABRIEL PORTUGAL, BRANDON PAUL MORALES, JOSE TRINIDAD CORRAL, and LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN CITIZENS.

Plaintiffs,

V.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FRANKLIN COUNTY, a Washington municipal entity, CLINT DIDIER, RODNEY J. MULLEN, LOWELL B. PECK, in their official capacities as members of the Franklin County Board of Commissioners,

Defendants.

No. 21-250210-11

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF UNDER THE WASHINGTON VOTING RIGHTS ACT

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This action challenges the at-large electoral system used by Franklin County (The County) in general elections and the districting scheme used in primary elections. The current election scheme dilutes the votes of Latino/a voters in Franklin County, denying them the equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice in general elections in violation of the Washington Voting Rights Act ("WVRA" or "the Act"), RCW 29A.92.060.
- 1.2 The Latino community has been growing, now accounting for about one third of the citizen voting age population (CVAP) in Franklin County.

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF UNDER THE WASHINGTON VOTING RIGHTS ACT - 1 -

MORFIN LAWFIRM, PLLC

7325 W. Deschutes Avenue, Suite A Kennewick, WA 99336 (509) 380-9999 | Fax (509) 579-4499

- 1.3 Latino voters in the County have been unable to elect candidates of their choice for decades, despite voting cohesively.
- 1.4 This is because the hybrid district-based and at-large election model both cracks and dilutes the minority group's voting power. The district-based primary elections break up the cohesive and compact Latino community by splitting voters across three districts.
- 1.5 The Latino community is large enough and sufficiently geographically compact to comprise a majority-minority district, but instead, voters are separated to dilute the votes cast by Latino citizens.
- 1.6 Then, the at-large general election further dilutes Latino voting power, because there is racially polarized voting during county elections which operates to block Latino voters from electing candidates of their choice.
- 1.7 Combined, this leaves Latino voters in Franklin unable to effectively participate in the political process.
- 1.8 The electoral scheme in Franklin county deprives Latino voters of their equal right to elect candidates of their choice as guaranteed by the WVRA.

II. PARTIES

- 2.1 Plaintiffs GABRIEL PORTUGAL, BRANDON PAUL MORALES, and JOSE TRINIDAD CORRAL ("Individual Plaintiffs") are Latino registered voters who reside in Franklin County.
- 2.2 Plaintiff GABRIEL PORTUGAL is an American citizen, over the age of 18, is eligible to vote, and is a registered voter in Franklin County, Washington.
- 2.3 Plaintiff BRANDON PAUL MORALES is an American citizen, over the age of18, is eligible to vote, and is a registered voter in Franklin County, Washington.

- 2.4 Plaintiff JOSE TRINIDAD CORRAL is an American citizen, over the age of 18, is eligible to vote, and is a registered voter in Franklin County, Washington.
- 2.5 Plaintiffs LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN CITIZENS (LULAC) is the oldest and largest national Latino civil rights organization in the United States.
- 2.6 LULAC is a non-profit membership organization with a presence in the State of Washington, with three membership chapters within the state and one in Franklin County.
- 2.7 LULAC participates in civic engagement activities, such as voter registration, voter education, and voter turnout efforts throughout Washington. LULAC's mission is to educate voters, including expending resources to ensure that LULAC membership and Latinos are able to have equitable access to the franchise.
- 2.8 Defendant FRANKLIN COUNTY ("the County") is a Washington municipal corporation and a political subdivision within the meaning of and subject to the requirements of the WVRA. See RCW 29A.92.010. The County maintains a system in which candidates for Commissioner are first voted on through a district-based primary and then elected through a County-wide at-large election.
- 2.9 Defendants CLINT DIDIER, RODNEY J. MULLEN (aka "ROCKY MULLEN"), and LOWELL B. PECK (aka "BRAD PECK") (collectively "the Commissioners") are current members of the Commission. The Commission has the authority to change the County's electoral system to remedy a violation of the WVRA. The Commissioners are each sued in their official capacity only.

III. JURISIDCTION AND VENUE

3.1 This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this Complaint because
Washington state courts have jurisdiction over claims brought under the WVRA. RCW 29A.92.

3.2 Venue is proper in Franklin County pursuant to RCW 29A.92.090 and RCW 36.01.05(2).

IV. FACTS

A. The Franklin County Commission

- 4.1 The Commission is the governing body of Franklin County and is composed of three commissioners. Each commissioner represents one of three geographic districts.
- 4.2 Franklin County currently uses a hybrid voting system; the County uses a districtbased model for primary elections and an at-large system for general elections.
- 4.3 This means that candidates are first nominated in a primary election by voters of the district in which they reside.
- 4.4 Then, voters from all districts in the County vote for and elect the commissioners during the general election.
- 4.5 County commissioners are elected to serve 4-year staggered terms, and elections are held every two years.
- 4.6 Districts 1 and 2 vote on commissioners during presidential elections, and District 3 votes on commissioners during midterm elections. The most recent election for a Commission seat was held on November 3, 2020 for Districts 1 and 2.
- 4.7 As the County's legislative authority, the Commission is responsible for the overall administration of County government, including adoption of annual budgets, enactment of ordinances, and appointments to advisory boards and commissions.
- 4.8 The Commission is also tasked with adopting the district maps for Franklin County elections.
 - 4.9 The Commission is responsible for redistricting the county.



C. The Washington Voting Rights Act

- 4.18 The Washington Voting Rights Act was enacted in 2018 by the state legislature in order to ensure that, "minority groups have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice or influence the outcome of an election." RCW. 29A.92.005.
- 4.19 Any electoral system that denies such groups to elect candidates of their choice is, "inconsistent with the right to free and equal elections" guaranteed by the Washington State Constitution. RCW 29A.92.005.
- 4.20 The Washington Voting Rights Act gives local governments the opportunity to remedy discrimination in election schemes and is designed to, "promote equal voting opportunity in certain political subdivisions." 2018 Wash. Sess. Law Ch. 112 (codified at RCW 29A.92).
- 4.21 The WVRA requires that district maps afford minority voters an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. RCW 29A.92.020.

Kennewick, WA 99336 (509) 380-9999 | Fax (509) 579-4499

- 4.65 The City of Pasco even conceded this fact in its consent decree to resolve *Glatt v*. *City of Pasco*.
- 4.66 The city conceded that three *Gingles* factors were met: (1) the minority group is sufficiently large and geographically compact to constitute a majority in a single-member district; (2) the minority group is politically cohesive; and (3) the majority group votes sufficiently as a bloc to enable it, in the absence of special circumstances, "usually to defeat the minority's preferred candidate." *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 50-51 (1986).
- 4.67 Further evidence shows that Latino voters in Franklin County do vote as a bloc, coalescing around candidates of their choice.
- 4.68 Following the City of Pasco's 2017 change to a hybrid district-based system,

 Latinos now occupy three out of seven city council seats, including two out of the three Latino majority-minority districts. Two of these council members have also taken on the role of Pasco's mayor and mayor pro tem.
- 4.69 The fact that no Latino candidate of choice was able to win a contested Pasco city council election prior to the change from at-large to district-based elections shows the significant power the at-large system had to dilute the vote of Latino citizens in Franklin County.
- 4.70 Latino voters are able to show that there is racially polarized voting occurring during Franklin County Commissioner elections.
- 4.71 Because there is racially polarized voting, under the WVRA, there is evidence sufficient to show that Latino voters are suffering from vote dilution.
- 4.72 Latino voters across Franklin County suffer from vote dilution in violation of the WVRA due to the County's at-large election system.

E. Franklin County's At-Large Electoral System Dilutes the Voting Rights of Latinos and Denies Latinos an Equal Opportunity to Elect Candidates of their Choice

- 4.73 Franklin County's hybrid district and at-large election model dilutes the voting power of the Latino community and denies Latino voters the equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice.
- 4.74 The County's district plan cracks the Latino voting population between the three districts.
- 4.75 While much of the Latino population is centered in and around the City of Pasco, residents here are divided across Franklin County's three districts. District 1 is centered within the City of Pasco, but districts 2 and 3 also include areas within the City of Pasco.
- 4.76 Franklin County Commissioner Brad Peck noted in a February 4, 2020 commission meeting that, "homogenous" East Pasco, "the predominantly Latino east Pasco," has historically been, "carved up into pieces to make the other districts balanced."
- 4.77 Cracking the East Pasco Latino community such that the cohesive community is split into different districts impedes the ability of voters to rally behind a candidate of choice in primary elections.
- 4.78 If the current district system were used as the map for single-member district based elections, such cracking would still prevent Latino voters from electing candidates of their choice.
- 4.79 The County's election scheme dilutes the Latino vote such that Latino voters are unable to elect candidates of their choice, despite representing a sizeable portion of Franklin County's CVAP.
- 4.80 Latino voters in Franklin County have not been able to elect a candidate of their choice to the County Commission in the past 20 years.

- 4.81 There are no Latino preferred candidates currently serving on the Franklin County Board of Commissioners.
- 4.82 There has never been a Latino elected to serve on the Franklin County Board of Commissioners.
- 4.83 There are other factors that indicate the dilutive and discriminatory effects of Franklin County's electoral system.
- 4.84 The existence of historic and present racial discrimination in the jurisdiction adds an additional layer in understanding how the challenged voting systems or methods are discriminatory.
- 4.85 In determining whether there is a history or pattern of present discrimination, courts consider a variety of factors, including: the history of discrimination; the use of electoral devices or other voting practices or procedures that may enhance the dilutive effects of at large elections; the extent to which members of a protected class bear the effects of past discrimination in areas such as education, employment, and health, which hinder their ability to participate effectively in the political process; and the use of overt or subtle racial appeals in political campaigns.
- 4.86 Franklin County has a history of ethnic and racial tension between the county's white and Latino communities.
- 4.87 According to historians, East Pasco was once the only part of the city open to minorities.
- 4.88 In the past there were efforts by white residents to target and remove non-Whites from the City of Pasco entirely.

- 4.89 This historic discrimination has had long lasting effects on Latinos and other minorities in Franklin County.
- 4.90 The factors of race and poverty combined to create patterns of discrimination that have endured in Pasco for generations.
- 4.91 Racial tensions between white and Latino communities in the County persist today.
- 4.92 On February 10, 2015, local Pasco police, itself not racially reflective of the community, shot seventeen times and killed Antonio Zambrano-Montes after he was allegedly throwing rocks at cars.
- 4.93 Weeks of demonstrations calling for justice and more scrutiny over Pasco's policing of the Latino community followed.
- 4.94 Even county officials have publicly declared racially insensitive viewpoints. In 2016, a Franklin County official shared an image of a white farmer with the caption, "When is white history month?" and on the corner of the image, there was a white raised fist used by white supremacists with the words "100% White, 100% Proud."
- 4.95 Franklin County officials have expressed anti-immigrant sentiment against the county's immigrant population—an overwhelming majority of which is Latino.
- 4.96 When current county commissioner, Clint Didier, was asked about immigration while running for his seat, he stated he wanted to secure borders and that until then, "[w]e work with ICE."
- 4.97 Law enforcement officials within the County have also sought ways to collaborate with immigration enforcement officials, including receiving Spanish language training from U.S.

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

V. CAUSE OF ACTION: WASHINGTON VOTING RIGHTS ACT

- 5.1 Plaintiffs repeat, replead, and incorporate by reference, as though fully set for the in this paragraph, all the allegations of this Complaint.
- 5.2 A violation of the WVRA is established when elections in a political subdivision exhibit polarized voting and members of a protected class do not have equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice as a result of vote dilution or abridgement.
 - 5.3 Elections in Franklin County exhibit polarized voting along racial lines.
- 5.4 Latino voters in Franklin County do not have equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice because the County's hybrid district-based and at-large electoral system illegally dilutes Latino votes.
- On October 12, 2020, Plaintiffs properly notified Franklin County by letter that 5.5 the County was in violation of the WVRA and that Plaintiffs intended to challenge the County's electoral system unless the County adopted an appropriate remedy. RCW 29A.92.060. See Attached Exhibit A.
- 5.6 Franklin County officials declined to work in good faith with Plaintiffs, discussing redistricting in County Commission meetings without sending notice to or seeking input from Plaintiffs.
- After receipt of Plaintiff's letter, Franklin County Commissioners predominantly 5.7 discussed redistricting in closed, executive sessions.
- 5.8 Despite the Commissioners' initial response to the notice letter, in which Commissioners stated they would respond to Plaintiffs, the next follow-up communication was only received 149 days or four months and 26 days later.

27

28

5.9 Commissioners did not work with Plaintiffs to implement a remedy pursuant to RCW 29A.92.070.

- 5.10 180 days have elapsed since Plaintiffs notified the County of its WVRA violation.
- 5.11 Within the 180 days and since, the County has not obtained a court order stating that it has adopted a remedy that complies with RCW 29A.92.020.
- 5.12 As registered voters who reside in Franklin County and an organization with members who are registered voters who reside in Franklin County, Plaintiffs have a right to file this suit and the suit is timely.
 - 5.13 Plaintiffs are entitled to the remedies available under the WVRA.

VI. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the court:

- Declare that Franklin County's hybrid district-based and at-large electoral system for electing members to the County Commission violates the WVRA, RCW 29A.92.020;
- Enjoin Defendants, their agents and successors in office, and all persons acting in concert with, or as an agent of, any Defendants in this action from administering, implementing, or conducting any future elections in Franklin County under the current hybrid district-based and at-large electoral system;
- Order the implementation of an electoral system for the County Commission that complies with RCW 29A.92.020 and other provisions of the WVRA;
- Redraw the County district map in a manner that does not dilute the vote of Latino citizens;
- 5. Order that all future elections in Franklin County comply with the WVRA;

1	6. Grant Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses pursuant to
2	29A.92.130; and
3	7. Grant any other relief that the Court may deem just and equitable.
4	oran any other rener and the court may down just and equitable.
5	DATED this 5 th day of May, 2021.
6	
7	MORFIN LAW FIRM, PLLC
8	Ву:
9	Edwardo Morfin, WSBA No. 47831 Attorney for Plaintiffs
10	
11	CHAD W. DUNN* EDWARDO MORFIN
12	chad@uclavrp.org eddie@morfinlawfirm.com TX Bar Number: 24036507 WA Bar Number: 47831
13	SONNI WAKNIN* sonni@uclavrp.org Morfin Law Firm, PLLC 7325 W. Deschutes Ave.
14	CA Bar Number: 335337 Suite A
15	UCLA Voting Rights Project Kennewick, WA 99336 3250 Public Affairs Building Telephone: (509) 380-9999
16	Sonni@uclavrp.org CA Bar Number: 335337 UCLA Voting Rights Project 3250 Public Affairs Building Los Angeles, CA 90065 Telephone: (310) 400-6019 Telephone: (310) 400-6019 Telephone: (310) 400-6019
17	Luis Dalasta Wass. Lut.
18	Luis Roberto Vera, Jr.* Law Offices of Luis Roberto Vera, Jr.
19	1325 Riverview Towers 111 Soledad St., Ste. 1325
20	San Antonio, TX 78205-2260 (210) 225-3300
21	
22	*Motions for admission pro hac vice forthcoming
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	Π