1 2 3 4 5	Ryan L. Heath, Civil Rights Activist THE GAVEL PROJECT 4022 E. Greenway Road, Suite 11 - 139 Phoenix, AZ 85032 thegavelproject.com (480) 522-6615 inquiries@thegavelproject.com			
6	Pro Se			
7	IN THE SUPREME COURT FOR THE			
8	STATE OF A	RIZONA		
10	Ryan L. Heath	Case No.: CV-23-0002		
11	Petitioner,	Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV 2022-095403		
12	v	<sup>o</sup> ocr		
13 14	Honorable Peter A. Thompson	PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR DIRECTIVE TO THE COURT OF		
15	Respondent,	APPEALS TO PRESERVE PETITIONER'S ARGUMENT IN THE		
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Kari Lake, personally as Contestant/Plaintiff, Katie Hobbs, Contestee/Defendant personally and in her official capacity as Secretary of State; Stephen Richer, Defendant in his official capacity as Maricopa County Recorder; Bill Gates, Clint Hickman, Jack Sellers, Thomas Galvin, and Steve Gallardo, Defendants in their official capacities as members of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors; Scott Jarrett, Defendant in his official capacity as Maricopa County Director of Elections; and the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors, Real Parties in Interest.	RECORD		
23 26 27 28	Petitioner, RYAN L. HEATH, respectfully	moves this Honorable Court to as follows:		

PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR DIRECTIVE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS TO PRESRVE PETITIONER'S ARGUMENT IN THE RECORD

1. On January 27, 2023, this Honorable Court issued an Order declining special action jurisdiction in Case No.: CV-23-0002.<sup>1</sup>

2. This Order identifies Ms. Lake's appeal as the appropriate place for Petitioner's intervention and graciously declines jurisdiction without prejudice so that Petitioner may seek leave to participate as amicus in the Court of Appeals. Unfortunately, this permission was caveated by the phrase, "per the schedule set by that Court."

3. The schedule set by that Court required that all requests to file amicus be submitted by January 26, 2023, the day before this Court granted Petitioner leave to file as amicus.<sup>2</sup>

4. During the early morning hours of Monday, January 30, Petitioner e-filed a motion in this Court requesting that this Honorable Court clarify its January 27 Order declining special action jurisdiction so that Petitioner could file as amicus in the Court of Appeals as directed.

5. That same day, at 9:21 a.m., petitioner emailed the Clerk of this Honorable Court requesting that he "please take whatever steps are possible to expedite the processing of the motion for clarification that I filed this morning so that I may have some opportunity for a remedy."

6. Having not received a response by the following day (and dealing with unexpected fiduciary and family responsibilities preventing Petitioner from formatting the Amended Verified Special Action into an amicus brief), Petitioner followed this Court's directive to the

<sup>2</sup> See <u>https://apps.supremecourt.az.gov/aacc/appella/1CA/CV/CV220779.PDF</u> (last visited February 3, 2023)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although not directly cited, the facts supporting Petitioner's statement under Rule 7(b) were set forth in paragraph sixty–five in the Amended Writ of Mandate. Petitioner does not challenge this Court's decision to provide an avenue for Petitioner to proceed towards his desired objectives elsewhere.

extent possible and moved the Court of Appeals to accept his Amended Verified Special Action—in lieu of an amicus brief.

7. Petitioner's motion for leave to file as amicus was denied and stricken from the Record on February 2, 2023, because Petitioner's "motion and accompanying documents were not filed until January 31, 2023, after the [January 26, 2023] deadline had passed."

8. Because Petitioner believes that this Court made an honest mistake (given that granting leave to file beyond a time already past is superfluous), because Petitioner has no adequate alternative remedy, because no other party before the Court of Appeals has raised or addressed Petitioner's argument (which is both binding upon and dispositive in that Court—based upon facts already admitted by Maricopa County Defendants), and because Petitioner fears that the Court of Appeals may overlook its own binding precedent with respect to the issue of signature verification, Petitioner respectfully moves this Court to direct the Court of Appeals to preserve Petitioner's Amended Writ of Mandate in the Record as an amicus brief.

Dated: February 3, 2023

Respectfully Submitted,

By: <u>/s/ RYAN L. HEATH</u> Ryan L. Heath, Civil Rights Activist THE GAVEL PROJECT 4022 E. Greenway Road, Suite 11 - 139 Phoenix, AZ 85032 thegavelproject.com (480) 522-6615 inquiries@thegavelproject.com

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I hereby certify that on February 3, 2023, I transmitted a true and accurate copies of the	
3	attached, Motion for Directive to the Court of Appeals to Preserve Petitioner's Argument in the	
4	Record, to the following individuals via certified mail:	
5	Record, to the following individuals via certified man.	
6	Respondent:	
7	Honorable Peter A. Thompson	
	Maricopa County Superior Court	
8	Southeast Facility in Mesa 222 E. Javelina, 2F/206	
9	Mesa, AZ 85210	
10	Real Parties in Interest: Bryan James Blehm, Blehm Law PLLC	
11	Bryan James Blehm,	
12	Blehm Law PLLC	
13	10869 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 103-256 Scottsdale, Arizona 85254	
	Attorney for Plaintiff/Contestant, Kari Lake	
14		
15	Kurt Olsen, D.C. Bar No. 445279 (pro hac vice pending) Olsen Law, P.C.	
16	1250 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 700	
17	Washington, DC 20036	
18	Attorney for Plaintiff/Contestant, Kari Lake	
	Daniel C. Barr	
19	Alexis E. Danneman	
20	Austin Yost Samantha J. Burke	
21	Perkins Coie LLP	
22	2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000 Phoenin AZ 85012	
23	Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorneys for Defendant Katie Hobbs	
24	Abha Khanna Ellias Law Group LLP	
25	1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100	
26	Seattle, WA 98101	
27	Attorneys for Defendant Katie Hobbs	
28	Lalitha D. Madduri	
	3	

1	Christina Ford
2	Elana A. Rodriguez Armenta
3	Ellias Law Group LLP 250 Massachusetts Ave NW, Suite 400
4	Washington, D.C. 20001 Attorneys for Defendant Katie Hobbs
5	Allotheys for Defendulii Rulle 110005
6	D. Andrew Gaona Coppersmith Brockelman PLC
7	2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900
	Phoenix, AZ 85004 Attorney for Defendant Secretary of State Katie Hobbs
8	
9	Sambo Dul States United Democracy Center
10	8205 South Priest Drive, #10312
11	Tempe, Arizona 85284 Attorney for Defendant Secretary of State Katie Hobbs
12	-100
13	Thomas P. Liddy Joseph La Rue
14	Joseph Branco
15	Karen Hartman-Tellez Jack L. O'Connor
16	Sean M. Moore
17	Rosa Aguilar Maricopa County Attorney's Office
18	225 West Madison Street
19	Phoenix, AZ 85003 Attorneys for Maricopa County Defendants
20	Emily Craiger
21	The Burgess Law Group
22	3131 East Camelback Road, Suite 224 Phoenix, AZ 85016
23	Attorneys for Maricopa County Defendants
24	E. Danya Perry (pro hac vice forthcoming)
25	Rachel Fleder (pro hac vice forthcoming)
26	Joshua Stanton (pro hac vice forthcoming) Lilian Timmermann (pro hac vice forthcoming)
27	Perry Guha LLP
28	1740 Broadway, 15 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10019
20	4
	4 PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR DIRECTIVE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS TO PRESRVE PETITIONER'S ARGUMENT IN THE RECORD

2	
1	Attorneys for Amici Curiae Helen Purcell and Tammy Patrick
2	
3	
4 5	Respectfully Submitted,
6	By: <u>/s/ RYAN L. HEATH</u>
7	Ryan L. Heath, Civil Rights Activist THE GAVEL PROJECT
8	Ryan L. Heath, Civil Rights Activist THE GAVEL PROJECT 4022 E. Greenway Road, Suite 11 - 139 Phoenix, AZ 85032 thegavelproject.com (480) 522-6615 inquiries@thegavelproject.com
9	thegavelproject.com
10	(480) 522-6615 inquiries@theeavelproject.com
11	
12	DOCK
13	RACT
14	C' NOC'
15	ONDE
16	
17	RIFUL
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5
	PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR DIRECTIVE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS TO PRESRVE PETITIONER'S ARGUMENT IN THE RECORD