



DIVISION ONE
 FILED: 01/25/2023
 AMY M. WOOD,
 CLERK
 BY: KLE

IN THE
COURT OF APPEALS
 STATE OF ARIZONA
 DIVISION ONE

KARI LAKE,)
) Court of Appeals
) Division One
 Plaintiff/Appellant,) No. 1 CA-CV 22-0779
) No. 1 CA-SA 22-0237
 v.) (CONSOLIDATED)
)
 KATIE HOBBS, et al.,) Maricopa County
) Superior Court
 Defendants/Appellees.) No. CV2022-095403
)
 _____)
 KARI LAKE,)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 v.)
)
 THE HONORABLE PETER THOMPSON,)
 Judge of the SUPERIOR COURT OF)
 THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for)
 the County of MARICOPA,)
)
 Respondent Judge,)
)
 KATIE HOBBS, personally as)
 Contestee and in her official)
 capacity as Secretary of State;)
 STEPHEN RICHER, in his official)
 capacity as Maricopa County)
 Recorder, et al.,)
)
 Real Parties in Interest.)
 _____)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO ENLARGE WORD COUNT IN REPLY BRIEF

The Court, Presiding Judge Maria Elena Cruz, Judge Peter B. Swann¹

¹ Judge Peter B. Swann was a sitting member of this court when the matter was assigned to this panel of the court. He retired effective November 28, 2022. In accordance with the authority granted by Article 6, Section 3, of the Arizona Constitution and pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-145, the Chief Justice of the Arizona Supreme Court has designated Judge Swann

and Chief Judge Kent E. Cattani participating, has received and considered Plaintiff/Appellant's motion for a procedural order enlarging the word count for reply brief by 1350 words. After consideration,

IT IS ORDERED granting Plaintiff/Appellant's motion to exceed word count of their reply brief by 1350 words.

/s/

Maria Elena Cruz
Presiding Judge, Dept. A

A copy of the foregoing
was sent to:

Bryan James Blehm
Kurt Olsen
Daniel C Barr
Alexis E Danneman
Austin Yost
Samantha Jones Burke
Abha Khanna
Lalitha D Madduri
Christina Ford
Elena Rodriquez Armenta
D Andrew Gaona
Thomas P Liddy
Joseph Eugene La Rue
Joseph Branco
Karen J Hartman-Tellez
Jack O'Connor
Sean M Moore
Rosa Aguilar
Emily M Craiger
Veronica Lucero
Arno Naeckel
Paul Andrew Mitchell
Hon Peter A Thompson

as a judge *pro tempore* in the Court of Appeals for the purpose of participating in the resolution of cases assigned to this panel during his term in office and for the duration of Administrative Order 2022-162.