Clerk of the Superior Court *** Electronically Filed *** T. Hays, Deputy 12/13/2022 7:53:37 PM Filing ID 15263356

1	Bryan James Blehm (023891)
2	BLEHM LAW PLLC 10869 N. Scottsdale Rd., 103-256
3	Phone: 602-753-6213
4	bryan@blehmlegal.com
5	OLSEN LAW, P.C. Kurt Olsen, D.C. Bar No. 445279*
6	1250 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 700
7	Washington, DC 20036 (202) 408-7025
8	ko@olsenlawpc.com *to be admitted pro hac vice
9	Attorneys for Contestant-Plaintiff
10	
11	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
12	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA
13	C100
14	Kari Lake,) Case No. CV2022-095403
15	Contestant/Plaintiff, (Honorable Peter Thompson)
16	
17	Katie Hobbs, personally as Contestee and in her official capacity as Secretary of VERIFIED PETITION TO INSPECT
18	State; Stephen Richer in his official capacity as Maricopa County Recorder; BALLOTS PURSUANT TO
19	Bill Gates, Clint Hickman, Jack Sellers, A.R.S. § 16-677
20	Thomas Galvin, and Steve Gallardo, in their official capacities as members of the
	Maricopa County Board of Supervisors; 5
21	Scott Jarrett, in his official capacity as) Maricopa County Director of Elections;)
22	and the Maricopa County Board of) Supervisors,
23	Defendants/Contestees.
24)
25	Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-677, Plaintiff hereby petitions this Honorable Court to
26	allow an inspection of the ballots used in the 2022 general election held in Maricopa
27	County. Plaintiff cannot properly prepare for trial without such an inspection.
28	
-0	

Specifically, Maricopa County used ballots printed either by Runbeck Election Services ("Runbeck") or ballots printed using ballot-on-demand (BOD) printers deployed at vote centers. As pled in the Plaintiff's Complaint in Special Action and Verified Statement of Election Contest Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-672 (the "Election Contest"), on November 8, 2022 ("Election Day"), 132 out of the total 223 Maricopa County vote centers (59.2%), experienced widespread tabulator rejections of ballots printed by BOD printers. Election Contest at ¶ 68. These widespread breakdowns and rejected ballots, and the causes of those events, are material issues in this case. Maricopa County also attributed these failures to various issues with the BOD Printers thereby further placing these failures at issue in this case.¹

In order to properly prepare this case for trial, Plaintiff respectfully requests to inspect ballots printed by Runbeck, ballots printed by BOD printers, and spoiled BOD printed ballots. Plaintiff also requests the Court order Maricopa County to maintain the BOD ballots segregated by vote center as Plaintiff believes they are currently stored. Plaintiff requests that her designated representative be allowed to: (i) randomly select 50 BOD printed ballots cast on Election Day from six vote centers chosen by Plaintiff's representative; and (ii) 50 early voting ballots from six separate batches chosen by Plaintiff's representative.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 13th day of December 2022.

<u>/s/Bryan James Blehm</u> Bryan James Blehm Blehm Law PLLC (602) 752-6213 <u>bryan@blehmlegal.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff-Contestant

¹ See Declaration of Clay Parikh, Plaintiff's cyber expert ("Parikh Decl.") attached as Ex. 13 to the Olsen Declaration attached at Tab A to the Election Contest at ¶¶ 16, 20.

1	ORIGINAL efiled and served via electronic means this 13th day of December, 2022, upon:
2	
3	Honorable Peter Thompson Maricopa County Superior Court
4	c/o Sarah Umphress sarah.umphress@jbazmc.maricopa.gov
5	Joseph La Rue
6	Joe Branco Karen Hartman-Tellez
7	Maricopa County Attorney's Office 225 West Madison St.
8	Phoenix, AZ 85003
9	laruej@mcao.maricopa.gov brancoj@mcao.maricopa.gov
10	hartmank@mcao.maricopa.gov c-civilmailbox@mcao.maricopa.gov
11	Attorneys for Maricopa County Defendants
12	Attorneys for Maricopa.gov <u>c-civilmailbox@mcao.maricopa.gov</u> Attorneys for Maricopa County Defendants Daniel C. Barr Alexis E. Danneman Austin C. Yost Samantha J. Burke (#036064) PERKINS COIE LLP DBarr@perkinscoie.com <u>ADanneman@perkinscoie.com</u> <u>AYost@perkinscoie.com</u> <u>Burke@perkinscoie.com</u> <u>Attorneys for Defendant/Contestee Katie Hobbs</u>
13	Austin C. Yost Samantha J. Burke (#036064)
14	PERKINS COIE LLP DBarr@perkinscoie.com
15	ADanneman@perkinscoie.com AYost@perkinscoie.com
16	<u>SBurke@perkinscoie.com</u> DocketPHX@perkinscoie.com
17	Attorneys for Defendant/Contestee Katie Hobbs
18	D. Andrew Goana
19	Coppersmith Brockelman Pic agaona@cblawyers.com
20	Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Secretary
21	of State Katie Hobbs
22	Sambo (Bo) Dul
23	State United Democracy Center bo@stateuniteddemocracy.org
24	Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Secretary
25	of State Katie Hobbs
26	
27	
28	

Verification

I, Kari Lake, depose and say:

I have read the foregoing Verified Petition to Inspect Ballots Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-677 and know the contents thereof by personal knowledge. I know the allegations of the Verified Petition to Inspect Ballots to be true, except the matters therein on information and belief, which I believe to be true.

Signed under penalty of perjury on this 13th day of December 2022.

i Lake

Kari Lake

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOGRACYDOCKET.COM