1	David A. Warrington, Virginia State Bar No. 72293*
2	Gary Lawkowski, Virginia State Bar No. 82329* DHILLON LAW GROUP, INC.
3	2121 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 608
4	Alexandria, VA 22314 703-574-1206
5	DWarrington@dhillonlaw.com
6	<u>GLawkowski@dhillonlaw.com</u>
7	*Pro hac vice forthcoming
8	Timothy A La Sota, Ariz. Bar No. 020539
9	TIMOTHY A. LA SOTA, PLC 2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
11	(602) 515-2649 tim@timlasota.com
12	(602) 515-2649 <u>tim@timlasota.com</u> <i>Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Contestants</i>
13	
14	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA
15	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA
16	No.CV2022-015915
10	IN THE MATTER OF the November 8, 2022, General Election for Attorney
	General; Superintendent of Public Instruction; and State Representative for
18	District 13 BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE
19	
20	(assigned to the Honorable Timothy J. Thomason)
21	
22	
23	
24	A.R.S. § 16-667 provides: "This article is supplemental to and not in derogation of
25	
26	the law relating to contest of elections and, upon the initiation of such a contest, a
27	proceeding begun under this article shall abate." Amicus Curiae Abraham Hamadeh has
28	filed an election contest in the Attorney General's race, and the Statement of Election
	1

1	Contest is attached. Amicus urges this Court to order that the recount be abated during
2	the pendency of Amicus' election contest, as required by A.R.S. § 16-667.
3	
4	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 12 th day of December, 2022.
5	
6	By: <u>/s Timothy A. La Sota</u> Timothy A La Sota, SBN # 020539
7	TIMOTHY A. LA SOTA, PLC
8	2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305 Phoenix, Arizona 85016
9	By: <u>/s/ David A. Warrington</u>
10	David A. Warrington, Virginia State Bar No. 72293*
11	Gary Lawkowski, Virginia State Bar No. 82329* DHILLON LAW GROUP, INC.
12	2121 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 608 Alexandria, VA 22314
13	*Pro hac vice forthcoming
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Contestants
15	Allorneys for Flainitys/Contestants
16	ORIGINAL of the foregoing was e-
17	filed via TurboCourt this 12 th day of December, 2022 with the Maricopa
18	County Superior Court Clerk.
19	COPY of the foregoing emailed this 12 th day
20	of December, 2022 to:
21	D. Andrew Gaona (028414)
22	Kristen Yost (034052) COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC
23	2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
24	T: (602) 381-5478
25	agaona@cblawyers.com
26	Bo Dul (she/her) Senior Counsel
27	States United Democracy Center
28	480.253.9651 @ <u>statesunited</u>
	2

1	8205 South Priest Drive, #10312 Tempe, AAZ 85284
2	bo@statesuniteddemocracy.org Attorneys for Secretary of State
3	
4	<u>/s/ Timothy A. La Sota</u>
5	
6 7	
8	
9	
10	
11	REFRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACY DOCKET, COM
12	CHER ?
13	CTDOC
14	OCRA
15	ANDERN'
16	FROM
17	OFFICE
18	2 ^{Eller}
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	
27	
28	
	3

FILED Christina Spurlock CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 12/09/2022 2:47PM BY: GHOWELL DEPUTY

		DEPUTY
1	David A. Warrington, Virginia State Bar No. 722	Case 110 30013C v202201400
2	Gary Lawkowski, Virginia State Bar No. 82329 ³	HON. LEE JANTZEN
3	DHILLON LAW GROUP, INC. 2121 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 608	
	Alexandria, VA 22314	
4	703-574-1206	
5	DWarrington@dhillonlaw.com GLawkowski@dhillonlaw.com	
6		
7	*Pro hac vice forthcoming	
8	Timothy A La Sota, Ariz. Bar No. 020539	
9	TIMOTHY A. LA SOTA, PLC 2108 East Correlhack Based Suite 205	
	2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305 Phoenix, Arizona 85016	
10	(602) 515-2649	14
11	tim@timlasota.com	CON CON
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Contestants	CKET.COM
13	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR	
14	IN AND FOR THE COUL	NTY OF MOHAVE
15	DEL.	
16	JEANNE KENTCH, an individual; TED BOYD, an individual; ABRAHAM	No
17	HAMADEH, an individual; and REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE,	
18	a federal political party committee	
	Plaintiffs/Contestants,	STATEMENT OF ELECTION
19	v.	CONTEST
20	KRIS MAYES,	(Expedited Election Proceeding
21		Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 16-672, et seq.)
22	Defendant/Contestee,	
23	and	
24	KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as the	
	Secretary of State; LARRY NOBLE, in his	
25	official capacity as the Apache County	
26	Recorder; APACHE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity;	
27	DAVID W. STEVENS, in his official capacity	
28	as Cochise County Recorder; COCHISE	

1 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; PATTY HANSEN, in 2 her official capacity as the Coconino County Recorder; COCONINO COUNTY BOARD 3 OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 4 SADIE JO BINGHAM, in her official capacity as Gila County Recorder; GILA 5 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in 6 their official capacity; WENDY JOHN, in her official capacity as Graham County Recorder; 7 GRAHAM COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 8 SHARIE MILHEIRO, in her official capacity 9 as Greenlee County Recorder; GREENLEE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in 10 their official capacity; RICHARD GARCIA, 11 in his capacity as the La Paz County Recorder; LA PAZ COUNTY BOARD OF 12 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; STEPHEN RICHER, in his official capacity as 13 the Maricopa County Recorder; MARICOPA 14 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; KRISTI BLAIR, in her 15 official capacity as the Mohave County 16 Recorder; MOHAVE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 17 MICHAEL SAMPLE, in his official capacity as Navajo County Recorder; NAVAJO 18 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in 19 their official capacity; GABRIELLA CAZARES-KELLY, in her official capacity 20 as the Pima County Recorder; PIMA 21 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; DANA LEWIS, in her 22 official capacity as the Pinal County Recorder; PINAL COUNTY BOARD OF 23 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 24 SUZANNE SAINZ, in her official capacity as the Santa Cruz County Recorder; SANTA 25 CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF 26 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official 27 capacity as the Yavapai County Recorder; YAVAPAI COUNTY BOARD OF 28

1 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; RICHARD COLWELL. in his official 2 capacity as the Yuma County Recorder; and YUMA COUNTY BOARD OF 3 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity, 4 Defendants. 5 6 Plaintiffs hereby state and allege as follows: 7 SUMMARY OF THE CASE 8 1. The Plaintiffs are not, by this lawsuit, alleging any fraud, manipulation or 9 other intentional wrongdoing that would impugn the outcomes of the November 8, 2022, 10 general election. Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit to ensure that all lawfully cast votes are 11 properly counted and that unlawfully cast votes are not counted. 12 The November 8, 2022 General Election was afflicted with certain errors and 2. 13 inaccuracies in the management of some colling place operations and in the processing and 14 tabulation of some ballots. The cumulative effect of these mistakes is material to the race 15 for Arizona Attorney General, where after the first canvass the candidates are separated by 16 just 511 votes out of more than 2.5 million ballots cast—a margin of two one-hundredths 17 of one percent (0.02%). A recount is underway. 18 When, as here, an accretion of erroneous ballot processing or tallying 3. 19 determinations is potentially dispositive of an election for public office, Arizona law 20 permits any elector to initiate a contest proceeding to ensure that inaccuracies or illegalities 21 in the canvassed returns are judicially remedied, and the declared result conforms to the will 22 of the electorate. See A.R.S. §§ 16-672, et seq. 23 4. The Recorders and Boards of Supervisors of the fifteen counties (collectively, 24 the "County Defendants") have, in at least seven respects, caused the unlawful denial of the 25 franchise to certain qualified electors, erroneously tallied certain ballots, and included for 26 tabulation in the canvass certain illegal votes in connection with the election for the office 27 of Arizona Attorney General. Specifically: 28

1 a. The Maricopa County Defendants have improperly disqualified early 2 ballots submitted by individuals who, as a direct and proximate result of 3 poll worker error, were incorrectly designated in electronic pollbooks as having previously voted in the same election; 4 5 b. Upon information and belief, the Maricopa County Defendants have 6 improperly and unconstitutionally deprived individuals whose eligibility 7 could not be confirmed of an opportunity to cast a provisional ballot; c. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants have erroneously 8 9 transposed and improperly tabulated voters' indicated candidate selections when duplicating certain ballots that could not be 10 electronically tabulated; 11 d. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants have erroneously 12 determined and improperly tabulated voters' indicated candidate 13 selections when adjudicating certain ballots that could not be 14 electronically tabulated; 15 e. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants improperly 16 accepted for processing and tabulation certain early ballots that were 17 accompanied by affidavits presenting a signature that did not match the 18 19 signature on file in the putative voter's "registration record." A.R.S. § 16-20 550(A); 21 f. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants 1. improperly tabulated voters' selections and erroneously counted votes as undervotes 22 that could not be read by tabulators set to a 14% oval fill rate, and 2. 23 which paper ballots were not made available to review by adjudication 24 25 teams in instances where tabulators did pick up faint marks in 26 adjudication performed by Maricopa electronically; and 27 g. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants improperly administered their voter rolls resulting in a material number of voters not 28

1	having their provisional ballots counted because election officials
2	claimed that they were not registered to vote.
3	
4	5. Immediate judicial intervention is necessary to secure the accuracy of the
5	results of the November 8, 2022 general election, and to ensure that candidate who received
6	the highest number of lawful votes is declared the next Arizona Attorney General.
7	JURISDICTION
8	6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article 6, § 14 of the
9	Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. § 16-672(A)-(B), and Arizona Rule of Special Action
10	Procedure 3.
11	7. Venue lies in Mohave County pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-672(B).
12	PARTIES
13	8. Plaintiff/Contestant Jeanne Kentch is a qualified elector of the State of
14	Arizona and of Mohave County, and resides at 1004 Vista Dr., Lake Havasu, Mohave
15	County, Arizona. Jeanne Kentch is the current Mohave County Assessor but she brings this
16	Statement of Contest in her individual capacity.
17	9. Plaintiff/Contestant Ted Boyd is a qualified elector of the State of Arizona
18	and of Mohave County, and resides at 1345 Angler Place, Lake Havasu, Mohave County,
19	Arizona.
20	10. Plaintiff/Contestant Abraham Hamadeh is a qualified elector of the State of
21	Arizona and of Maricopa County, and resides in Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona. ¹
22	Mr. Hamadeh is the Republican Party's nominee for the office of Arizona Attorney General
23	in the November 8, 2022 general election.
24	11. Plaintiff Republican National Committee is a national political party
25	committee that is responsible for the strategic and day-to-day operation of the Republican
26	
27	¹ Mr. Hamadeh's full residential address location is protected from disclosure pursuant to
28	A.R.S. § 16-153.

Party at the national level and for promoting the election of Republican candidates for officein Arizona and across the United States. Its address is 310 First St. SE., Washington, D.C.

3

1

2

12. Defendant/Contestee Kris Mayes is the Democratic Party's nominee for the office of Arizona Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election.

4 5

6

7

8

13. Defendant Katie Hobbs is the Secretary of State of Arizona, and is named in this action in her official capacity only. The Secretary of State is the public officer charged by law with conducting the canvass of the returns for statewide offices and with declaring the persons elected to such offices. *See* A.R.S. §§ 16-648, 16-650.

9 14. The county recorders in each of Apache County, Cochise County, Coconino County, Gila County, Graham County, Greenlee County, La Paz County, Maricopa County, 10 Mohave County, Navajo County, Pima County, Pinal County, Santa Cruz County, Yavapai 11 County, and Yuma County are named in this action in their respective official capacities 12 only. The County Recorder is the principal elections officer of his or her county and is 13 14 responsible for overseeing and directing numerous components of election administration 15 within the jurisdiction, to include the processing, verification and tabulation of early ballots, 16 and the appointment and oversight of Ballot Duplication Boards and Electronic Adjudication Boards. See A.R.S. §§ 16-541, -542, -543, -544, -550, -602, -621. 17

The boards of supervisors in each of Apache County, Cochise County, 18 15. Coconino County, Gila County, Graham County, Greenlee County, La Paz County, 19 20 Maricopa County, Mohave County, Navajo County, Pima County, Pinal County, Santa Cruz County, Yavapai County, and Yuma County are named in this action in their 21 22 respective official capacities only. Each Board of Supervisors is charged by law with 23 conducting elections within its jurisdictional boundaries, to include appointing polling 24 location election boards, overseeing the operations of polling locations on Election Day, 25 and canvassing the returns of elections in the county. See A.R.S. §§ 11-251(3), 16-446, -26 447(A), -511, -531, -642, -645, -646.

27 16. On November 29, 2022, the Superior Court of Arizona in and for Maricopa
28 County dismissed without prejudice a contest filed by Plaintiff. *See* Minute Entry,

1 Hamadeh, et al. v. Mayes, et al., CV 2022-015455 (Nov. 9, 2022). In doing so, the court 2 stated "[t]hat does not mean Plaintiffs must wait to file suit until after a recount, which 3 everyone agrees will be needed for this race. Rather, A.R.S. § 16-667 contemplates that an 4 election contest might be filed despite a pending recount, and directs that 'upon the initiation 5 of such a contest, a proceeding begun under this article shall abate." Id.

6

7

8

17. Upon information and belief, the fifteen Boards of Supervisors canvassed the returns of the November 8, 2022 general election in their respective counties and delivered the canvass results to the Secretary of State on or before December 5, 2022.

9 18. On December 5, 2022, the Secretary of State canvassed the returns of the November 8, 2022 general election. See Petition for Automatic Recount at ¶ 2, Exhibit A, 10 In the Matter of November 8, 2022, General Election For Attorney General; Superintendent 11 of Public Instruction; and State Representative for District 13, No. CV2022-015915 (Dec. 12 5, 2022). 13

On December 5, 2022, the Superior Court of Arizona in and for Maricopa 14 19. County ordered a recount of votes cast in the November 8, 2022 General Election for the 15 16 Office of Attorney General and two other offices. See Order to Conduct Recount, In the Matter of November 8, 2022, General Election For Attorney General; Superintendent of 17 Public Instruction; and State Representative for District 13, No. CV2022-015915 (Dec. 5, 18 19 2022).

20 20. Thus, at this point, the canvass is complete, the Secretary of State has certified 21 it, and the only thing that remains to be done is conduct the recount, the conduct of which 22 does not preclude the filing and adjudication of an election contest.

23

21. For the reasons set forth herein, the December 5 canvass and its constituent county canvasses are afflicted by election board misconduct, the tallying of unlawful 24 25 ballots, the failure to count lawful ballots, and the erroneous counting of votes, within the 26 meaning of A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(1), (A)(4), and (A)(5). Upon information and belief, a 27 complete and correct tabulation of all lawful ballots will establish that Contestant Hamadeh

received the highest number of votes for the office of Arizona Attorney General in the
 November 8, 2022 general election.

- 3
- 4

5

6

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

Erroneous Vote Counts Due to Pervasive Poll Worker Error in Maricopa County

22. Broadly speaking, the voting process in Arizona is bifurcated; qualified electors may cast either an "early ballot" or an Election Day ballot.

7 23. A qualified elector may cast an "early ballot" at any time during the 27 days 8 preceding the election. Early ballots may be obtained and returned via mail. Alternatively, 9 early ballots may be cast in-person at designated early voting locations, dropped off at 10 official drop box locations during the early voting period, or dropped off at polling locations on Election Day. In-person early voting concludes on the Friday preceding the election, 11 although voters confronting unforeseen exigencies that would prevent them from voting in-12 person on Election Day may cast a ballot at an "emergency" early voting location during 13 the ensuing three-day period. See A.R.S. 16-542. 14

15 24. As an alternative to early voting, voters may obtain and cast a ballot in-person
16 at a polling location on Election Day.

Every polling location is staffed by an election board consisting of an
inspector, marshal, and two judges. The inspector is the chairman of the election board. *See*A.R.S. §§ 16-531, -534(A).

20 26. Maricopa County utilized a "vote center" model in the November 8, 2022 21 general election. Under this framework, a qualified elector of Maricopa County may appear 22 at any designated vote center site within the county, regardless of whether the vote center 23 is located within the precinct in which the voter resides. Once the voter's identity is verified 24 and s/he "checks in" by signing the electronic pollbook (e-pollbook), the poll workers cause 25 a ballot on demand printer to print a customized ballot that includes all candidate races and 26 ballot propositions for which the elector is eligible to vote. E-pollbooks reflect in real-time 27 an elector's status as having voted or not voted and are electronically synchronized across 28 all polling locations countywide.

27. After marking their ballots at the vote center, voters feed them into the on site
 tabulation machine, which instantaneously processes and tabulates all properly indicated
 selections on the ballot.

28. Shortly after voting hours commenced at 6:00 a.m. on Election Day, the ballot
tabulation devices stationed at approximately 70 vote centers in Maricopa County (*i.e.*,
roughly one third of all vote centers in Maricopa County) began to malfunction.
Specifically, the tabulators regularly rejected or otherwise failed to process ballots that, on
their face, had been properly and sufficiently completed.

9 29. These extensive and significant disruptions to Election Day operations in Maricopa County have been widely reported by national and local news media outlets. See, 10 e.g., Caitlin McFall, Maricopa County, Arizona, Officials Say 20% of Voting Locations 11 Experiencing 'Hiccups' with Tabulators, FOX NEWS, Nov. 8, 2022, available at 12 https://www.foxnews.com/politics/maricopa-county-arizona-officials-say-20-voting-13 locations-experiencing-hiccups-tabulators, Sasha Hupka, Early Glitches with Maricopa 14 County Election Machines Frustrate Voters, ARIZ. REPUBLIC, Nov. 9, 2022, available at 15 https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/elections/2022/11/08/arizona-election-16 problems-maricopa-county-tabulator-issues/8302133001/; Tweet of Brahm Resnick, Nov. 17 2022 18 8. at 1:37 available p.m., at 19 https://twitter.com/brahmresnik/status/1590081166295859200 (reporting that "about 60 20 vote centers were hit with tabulator problems").

21 30. Voters whose ballots could not be read by a malfunctioning tabulator were
22 confronted with five possible options.

- a. First, the voter could choose simply to wait until the tabulator was
 restored to working order—an uncertain contingency that could take
 hours.
- b. Second, the voter could deposit the voted ballot into a receptacle (known as "Door 3") for later tabulation at the Central Counting Center, although
- 28

1	the voters selecting this option would be unable to visually and personally
2	confirm the tabulation of their ballots.
3	c. Third, the voter could request to utilize an accessible voting device
4	(which is designed primarily for persons with disabilities), upon which
5	they could complete and cast a ballot electronically. See A.R.S. § 16-447.
6	Upon information and belief, however, most or all vote centers in
7	Maricopa County maintained only one accessible voting device on site
8	and many locations lacked supplies necessary for the proper operation of
9	such devices. Maricopa County did not instruct voters of this option.
10	d. Fourth, the voter could spoil his or her initial ballot, "check out" of the
11	vote center, and present at another vote center, where s/he could check-
12	in and vote a full regular ballot.
13	e. Fifth, if the voter had previously obtained an early ballot, he or she could
14	"check out" of the vote center, vote that early ballot, execute the
15	accompanying early ballot affidavit, and deposit it at the vote center or in
16	a ballot drop box for later processing and tabulation at the Central
17	Counting Center. See A.R.S. §§ 16-547, -548.
18	31. Importantly, however, the fourth and fifth options required poll workers at the
19	initial polling location to "check out" the voter- <i>i.e.</i> , indicate in the e-pollbook that the
20	voter left the polling location without casting a ballot. If the voter is not checked out, he or
21	she is recorded in the e-pollbook as having already voted. Consequently, if the voter
22	subsequently presents at a different polling location, she or he will be permitted to cast only
23	a provisional ballot, which Maricopa County will <u>not</u> tabulate. See A.R.S. § 16-584(D).
24	Similarly, if the voter is not "checked out" and then deposits a completed early ballot, that
25	early ballot will be voided.
26	32. Poll workers at some polling locations were unaware of the process for
27	checking a voter out of the polling location. Upon information and belief, Maricopa County
28	

poll workers received no training (or, alternatively, inadequate training) on the process for
 checking voters out of a polling place.

3 33. Across Maricopa County, numerous qualified electors "checked in" at a vote
4 center but did not either "check out" or cast a ballot.

5 34. Upon information and belief, poll workers failed to properly "check out" 6 numerous Maricopa County voters who chose to spoil their ballots and vote by alternative 7 means. This pervasive and systematic error directly and proximately resulted in three 8 recurring scenarios in which qualified electors were unlawfully and unconstitutionally 9 disenfranchised.

- a. Upon information and belief, at least 126 voters who were not properly
 "checked out" at their initial polling location and who later presented at
 a different polling location were required to vote using provisional
 ballots, which were not counted because the elector was erroneously
 recorded in the e-pollbook as having already voted.
- b. Upon information and belief, at least 269 voters who were not properly
 "checked out?" at their initial polling location and who later deposited a
 completed early ballot at the same or a different vote center had their
 early ballots voided and not tabulated because the elector was
 erroneously recorded in the e-pollbook as having already voted.

c. Upon information and belief, a material number of voters who were not properly "checked out" at their initial polling location and who later presented at a different polling location were denied an opportunity to cast a provisional ballot at all, in violation of Arizona law. A.R.S. § 16-584.

35. At 8:01 a.m. on Election Day, as the disorder in Maricopa County vote centers
was escalating quickly, Chairman of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors Bill Gates
tweeted the following statement:

28

20

21

22

23

If you're at a polling place experiencing an issue with a tabulator, you have three options & your vote will be counted in each. 1) stay where you are and wait for tabulator to come online 2) drop your ballot in the secure slot (door 3) on tabulator 3) go to a nearby vote center.

See Exhibit A.

36. Chairman Gates's tweet was incomplete because it omitted two of the solutions available to affected voters (namely, using the accessible voting device, and dropping off a mail-in ballot). It was materially misleading because it stated that the voters could simply "go to a nearby vote center" without specifying that voters must check out of a polling location before traveling to a second location. And it was objectively false in assuring voters that their "vote will be counted in each" contingency when, as described above, Chairman Gates's instructions foreseeably resulted in the disenfranchisement of a significant number of qualified electors who followed his instructions.

37. The Republican National Committee and several candidates for statewide office initiated emergency proceedings to extend polling hours to mitigate the effects of the confusion and delays engendered by the compounded effects of tabulator malfunctions and poll worker error, but the requested relief was vociferously opposed by Maricopa County Defendants and denied *See Republican National Committee v. Richer*, Maricopa County Civil Action No. CV2022-014827.

38. By inducing voters to leave polling locations and then denying—through a consistent and erroneous practice of failing to properly implement "check-out" procedures—these qualified electors lost their right to duly cast a ballot for tabulation and the Maricopa County Defendants engaged (through their election boards) in cognizable "misconduct" and wrongfully excluded valid and legally sufficient votes from the canvass in the race for Arizona Attorney General. *See* A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(1), (A)(5).

3

4

Ballot Duplication Errors

39. Occasionally, voted ballots are received in a damaged or defective form—for example, tears, wrinkles, or perforations in the ballot paper, or stains from spilled beverages or other foreign substances—that renders them unreadable by electronic tabulation devices.

5 40. To process such ballots, the County Recorder must establish a Ballot 6 Duplication Board that consists of at least two individuals who are not members of the same 7 political party. The Ballot Duplication Board must transpose the voter's indicated electoral 8 selections from the damaged or defective ballot onto a new duplicate ballot. Both the 9 original and duplicate ballots are assigned a shared unique serial number; the duplicate ballot is labeled as such and then fed to the tabulator for electronic tabulation. See A.R.S. § 10 16-621(A); Ariz. Sec'y of State, 2019 ELECTIONS PROCEDURES MANUAL (rev. Dec. 2019) 11 ["EPM"] at p. 201. 12

41. In the 2020 general election for presidential electors, Ballot Duplication
Boards in Maricopa County erroneously transposed at least 0.37% of ballots designated for
duplication.

42. Upon information and belief, a substantially similar or greater proportion of
ballots designated for duplication in the November 8, 2022 general election have been
erroneously transposed, thereby resulting in the unlawful mistabulation of ballots lawfully
cast by qualified electors.

20

Electronic Adjudication Errors

43. 21 Voters sometime mark their ballots in a manner that precludes an accurate 22 electronic tabulation. Two frequent causes of impeded electronic tabulation are (a) apparent 23 "over-votes," in which the tabulator detects that a voter may have marked more than the 24 permissible number of selections for a given office or ballot measure, and (b) ballots that 25 the tabulator has identified as either blank or containing unclear markings. When the first 26 of these circumstances is present, an image of the ballot is referred for electronic 27 adjudication. Upon information and belief, in the second circumstance, if the unclear mark 28 fills less than 14% of the oval for that race, the ballot is counted as an 'undervote' and the

1 contest is not sent for electronic adjudication, and in instances where the mark does fill 14% 2 or more of the oval, an image of the ballot is referred for electronic adjudication.

3 44. Electronic adjudications are carried out on a secure computer application and are conducted by an Electronic Adjudication Board that is appointed by the County 4 5 Recorder and consists of one inspector and two judges who are members of different 6 political parties. See A.R.S. \S 16-621(B)(2).

7 45. The Electronic Adjudication Board examines a digital image of the ballot and 8 assesses voter selections that the tabulator was unable to definitively ascertain. If the voter's 9 intent is "clear," the Electronic Adjudication Board ensures that the voter's intended selections are properly indicated and tabulated. If the voter's intent cannot be sufficiently 10 verified, the ambiguous selections are not tabulated. See id.; Ariz. Sec'y of State, 11 ELECTRONIC ADJUDICATION ADDENDUM TO THE 2019 ELECTIONS PROCEDURES MANUAL 12 pp (Feb. 2020) 2-3. available 13 at at https://azsos.gov/sites/default/files/Electronic Adjudication Addendum to the 2019 Ele 14 ctions Procedures Manual.pdf. 15

16

Actual "over-votes" are invalid and may not be counted. See A.R.S. § 16-610. 46. 47. Upon information and belief, one or more selections in up to 15% of all ballots 17 cast in the November 8, 2022 general election in Maricopa County have been referred to 18 19 electronic adjudication in connection with at least one candidate contest, judicial retention 20 or ballot proposition appearing on the ballot. The Maricopa County Elections Department 21 reported 50,246 undervotes in the official county canvass of the contest for Attorney 22 General.

48. By statute, the County Recorder must conduct a hand count audit of selected 23 24 candidate races across a randomly generated sample of (a) 5,000 of early ballots and (b) 25 ballots cast at 2% of vote centers in the county. See A.R.S. § 16-602(B), (F). The purpose 26 of the hand count is to verify the accuracy of tallies generated by tabulator devices and 27 determinations by various ballot processing boards.

1 49. The hand count audit following the November 8, 2022 general election 2 revealed at least one instance in which the Maricopa County Electronic Adjudication Board 3 incorrectly characterized the voter's ostensible intent. Specifically, the Electronic 4 Adjudication Board had tabulated the disputed ballot as a vote for gubernatorial candidate 5 Katie Hobbs. As the hand count audit found, however, the ballot contained both an indicated 6 preference for Hobbs and an accompanying write-in vote for a different candidate, Kari 7 Lake. The Electronic Adjudication Board was required by law to designate the gubernatorial 8 contest as over-voted and not to tabulate a vote for any candidate in that race. See Exhibit 9 B p. 32.

50. The Attorney General contest was not among the races randomly selected for
inclusion in Maricopa County's hand count audit but, upon information and belief, a similar
and proportionate rate of erroneous determinations afflict the broader corpus of all ballots
that underwent electronic adjudication, thereby resulting in the unlawful mistabulation of
certain votes cast in connection with the election for Arizona Attorney General.

51. Additionally, an observer of the ballot adjudication process has reported that tabulation and electronic adjudication equipment have been unable to clearly capture the ballot markings made by some voters who did not use the writing implements recommended by elections officials. Although it is likely that such markings can be assessed and correctly tabulated by a manual inspection of the affected ballots, elections officials have not undertaken a manual inspection of such ballots and therefore have failed to correctly tabulate the votes marked on such ballots, and instead tabulating them as undervotes.

52. Furthermore, an observer in Navajo County is currently observing the Recount of votes. On December 7, 2022, Navajo County re-tabulated 3% of the county's ballots. On election day, a large portion of the ballots processed were tabulated using the central count tabulator. However, during this recount, the county is using the smaller precinct tabulators. These small precinct tabulators identified two ballots that should have been sent to adjudication. It appears that the faster central count tabulators were not

functioning or set up entirely properly and that they failed to flag ballots for adjudication 2 that might not contain a valid vote for the Attorney General race.

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

Unverified Early Ballot Affidavit Signatures

53. An elector who chooses to cast an early ballot must enclose the ballot in an envelope containing a sworn affidavit, signed by the voter, that certifies the voter's qualifications and personal signature affixation, and affirms his or her understanding of the criminal prohibition against casting multiple ballots in the same election. See A.R.S. § 16-547(A).

9 54. Upon receipt of a returned early ballot envelope, the County Recorder or the Recorder's designee must "compare the signatures thereon with the signature of the elector 10 on the elector's registration record." A.R.S. § 16-559(A). If "the signatures correspond," 11 the early ballot is processed and tabulated. Id. If the signature is inconsistent with the 12 elector's signature on the elector's registration record," then the early ballot is invalid and 13 cannot be tabulated, unless the putative voter cures the signature discrepancy within five 14 15 business days of an election or federal office (or the third business day after any other 16 election). Id.

Upon information and belief, a material number of early ballots were 17 55. accompanied by an affidavit containing a signature that the County Recorder or his/her 18 19 designee concluded was inconsistent with the signature presented on the voter's 20 "registration record." These early ballots were processed and accepted for tabulation, 21 however, because the County Recorder or Recorder's designee determined that the affidavit 22 signature matched a signature on a *different* document that was *not* the voter's "registration" record"—such as an early ballot affidavit submitted in connection with a previous election 23 or a pollbook signature roster. See EPM at p. 68. 24

25 56. To the extent the EPM purports to authorize the County Recorder to use for 26 the verification of early ballot affidavits signature specimens that are not contained in a 27 voter's "registration record," it is unlawful and unenforceable. See Leach v. Hobbs, 250

1	Ariz. 572, 576, ¶ 21 (2021) ("[A]n EPM regulation that exceeds the scope of its statutory
2	authorization or contravenes an election statute's purpose does not have the force of law.").
3	57. Early ballots accompanied by uncured affidavit signatures that do not match
4	the signature on the putative voter's "registration record" are legally insufficient and cannot
5	be tabulated.
6	Registration Errors
7	58. Based upon information and belief, a material number of voters presented
8	themselves to vote at the polls on election day and they were told by election workers that
9	they were not registered to vote. These voters were required to vote a provisional ballot
10	because election workers informed them that they were not registered to vote.
11	59. In Maricopa County alone, approximately 1,942 provisional voters did not
12	have their provisional ballot counted because it was determined that the voter was not
13	registered to vote. A material number of these voters who had their ballots rejected had
14	voted in past Arizona election and had done nothing to invalidate their registration.
15	W DEP.
16	voted in past Arizona election and had done nothing to invalidate their registration.
17	OFFICE
18	RELI
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Erroneous Count of Votes and Election Board Misconduct: V Disqualification of Provisional and Early Ballots (Ariz. Const. art. II, §§ 13, 21; A.R.S. §§ 12-2021, 16-672(A)(1	-
4 60. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegat	tions as if fully set
forth herein. 6 61. Article 2, Section 13 of the Arizona Constitution secures the	e equal "privileges
or immunities" of all citizens. 62. The Arizona Constitution guarantees "the right of suffrage" "[a]Il elections shall be free and equal." Ariz. Const. art. II, § 21. 63. "Arizona's constitutional right to a 'free and equal' elect	and mandates that
when votes are not properly counted." <i>Chavez v. Brewer</i> , 222 Ariz. 305	tion is implicated
2009). 64. Pursuant to these constitutional precepts, all qualified elect	0, 320, ¶ 34 (App.
properly verified their identity and otherwise are eligible to vote in an ell	lectors who have
to cast a regular ballot that will be duly processed and tabulated. <i>See A</i>	ection are entitled
§§ 13, 21; A.R.S. §§ 16-579, 580(B). 65. Upon presenting at a vote center and verifying a prospectiv	ariz. Const. art. II,
poll workers must "check in" the voter on the e-pollbook, which rece	ve voter's identity,
whether the elector has cast a ballot in this election. 66. After checking in, obtaining, and properly completing a	cords in real-time
voters across Maricopa County had their ballots rejected by malfunct	ballot, numerous
tabulation devices. Certain of these voters chose to spoil their ballots and	tioning electronic
the vote center and present at a different polling location with functioning	to either (a) leave
cast a previously issued carly ballot instead. 67. Under Arizona law and Maricopa County's official polic	g tabulators or (b)
were required to "check out" these voters, which would enable them to	cies, poll workers

regular ballot at a different polling location elsewhere in Maricopa County or to cast a
 previously issued early ballot.

68. Upon information and belief, various poll workers across Maricopa County
refused or failed to "check out" some or all of these voters. As a result of that systematic
error, the e-pollbooks inaccurately designated these individuals has having previously voted
in this election.

69. When subsequently presenting at a different vote center, at least 126 of these
voters were incorrectly informed that they had already voted and were permitted to
complete and submit only a provisional ballot. Upon information and belief, the Maricopa
County Defendants failed to tabulate these valid provisional ballots for inclusion in the
canvass.

12 70. In addition, at least 269 voters whom poll workers failed to properly "check 13 out" instead chose to complete and submit a previously issued early ballot. Upon 14 information and belief, because these individuals are inaccurately recorded in the e-15 pollbook as having previously voted, however, the Maricopa County Defendants failed to 16 tabulate these valid early ballots for inclusion in the canvass.

17 71. These pervasive poll worker errors have denied numerous qualified electors
18 of Maricopa County including supporters of the Contestant, their right to vote under
19 Arizona law.

20 72. By failing to properly "check out" these voters and restore their ability to vote
21 a regular ballot for tabulation, the Maricopa County Defendants (through their election
22 boards) engaged in "misconduct" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(1), acted in
23 excess of their legal authority, failed to discharge a non-discretionary duty prescribed by
24 law, and caused an erroneous count of votes in the election for Arizona Attorney General.

73. Upon information and belief, votes included on provisional and early ballots
that the Maricopa County Defendants improperly failed to tabulate are material to, and
potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney
General.

74. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a
 writ of mandamus) requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to tabulate for inclusion in
 the canvass all provisional ballots and early ballots submitted by qualified electors who had
 "checked in" at a vote center but did not cast a regular ballot in the November 8, 2022
 general election.

<u>COUNT II</u>

[Maricopa County Only] Erroneous Count of Votes and Election Board Misconduct: Wrongful Exclusion of Provisional Voters (A.R.S. §§ 16-584, 12-2021, 16-672(A)(1), (A)(5))

9 75. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set
10 forth herein.

11 76. If poll workers are unable to verify a putative voter's identity or eligibility to
12 vote, but the individual affirms that he or she is eligible to vote, he or she is entitled to
13 receive, complete and submit a provisional ballot. *See* A.R.S. § 16-584. This right is also
14 enshrined in federal law. *See* 52 U.S.C § 21082(a)(1).

15 77. Upon information and belief, a material number of voters presented 16 themselves to vote at the polls on election day and they were told by election workers that 17 they were not registered to vote. These voters were required to vote a provisional ballot. 18 However, a material number of these provisional ballots were rejected because the voter 19 was not registered to vote even though the voter was a registered voter and had done nothing 20 to invalidate their registration. In addition, upon information and belief, certain poll workers 21 at various polling locations across Maricopa County refused to furnish provisional ballots 22 to certain voters, on the grounds that they had previously cast a ballot at another polling 23 location earlier in the day.

24 78. Upon information and belief, in many instances the affected voters had, in
25 fact, *not* cast a ballot at another polling location, but rather had voluntarily spoiled their
26 ballot and left the first polling location without obtaining or casting a replacement ballot.
27

28

6

7

79. In any event, any individual whom the e-pollbook has recorded as having
 already voted is entitled to receive, complete and submit a provisional ballot upon affirming
 his or her eligibility. *See* A.R.S. § 16-584.

4

5

6

7

8

9

80. By denying these individuals a provisional ballot and failing to tabulate any such valid provisional ballots in the canvass, the Maricopa County Defendants (through their election boards) engaged in "misconduct" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(1), acted in excess of their legal authority, failed to discharge a non-discretionary duty prescribed by law, and caused an erroneous count of votes in the election for Arizona Attorney General.

10 81. Upon information and belief, the Maricopa County Defendants' unlawful
11 denial of certain electors' right to cast a provisional ballot was material to, and potentially
12 dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.

82. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a
writ of mandamus) requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to afford to all individuals
who were refused a provisional ballot a reasonable opportunity to cast in the November 8,
2022 general election a provisional ballot, which must be duly processed and included in
the canvass in conformance with applicable law.

18

19

COUNT III

Erroneous Count of Votes: Inaccurate Ballot Duplications (A.R.S. §§ 16-621, 16-672(A)(5))

83. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set
forth herein.

84. When a submitted ballot is damaged or defective such that it cannot be read
by an electronic tabulator, the ballot is transmitted to a Ballot Duplication Board that
operates under the auspices of the County Recorder and that transposes the voter's indicated
selections to a duplicate ballot, which in turn is electronically tabulated. *See* A.R.S. § 16621(A); EPM at p. 201.

85. Upon information and belief, the counties' Ballot Duplication Boards have
incorrectly transcribed a material number of voter selections in the race for Arizona

1	Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election, thereby resulting in the
2	unlawful mistabulation of a ballot lawfully cast by a qualified elector.
3	86. Upon information and belief, by not correctly duplicating certain ballots, the
4	County Defendants (through their Ballot Duplication Boards) have caused an erroneous
5	count of votes for the office of Arizona Attorney General. See A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(5).
6	87. Upon information and belief, votes included on improperly duplicated ballots
7	are material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of
8	Arizona Attorney General.
9	88. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a
10	writ of mandamus) requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the
11	office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the
12	inaccurate duplication of ballots.
13	<u>COUNFIV</u> Illegal Votes and Erroneous Count of Votes: Improper Ballot Adjudications
14	(A.R.S. §§ 16-621, 16-672(A)(4), (A)(5))
15	89. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set
16	forth herein.
17	90. When a submitted ballot is determined by a tabulator device to contain an
18	apparent over-vote, or to include ambiguous markings, the ballot is designated for review
19	by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County
19 20	
	by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County
20	by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County Recorder. If the voter's clear intent can be ascertained, the Electronic Adjudication Board
20 21	by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County Recorder. If the voter's clear intent can be ascertained, the Electronic Adjudication Board must ensure that such intent is appropriately designated on the ballot for tabulation. If the
20 21 22	by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County Recorder. If the voter's clear intent can be ascertained, the Electronic Adjudication Board must ensure that such intent is appropriately designated on the ballot for tabulation. If the voter's clear intent cannot be determined, no vote is tabulated in the affected race(s) on that
20 21 22 23	by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County Recorder. If the voter's clear intent can be ascertained, the Electronic Adjudication Board must ensure that such intent is appropriately designated on the ballot for tabulation. If the voter's clear intent cannot be determined, no vote is tabulated in the affected race(s) on that ballot. <i>See</i> A.R.S. § 16-621(B); EPM Electronic Adjudication Addendum, supra. Upon
 20 21 22 23 24 	by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County Recorder. If the voter's clear intent can be ascertained, the Electronic Adjudication Board must ensure that such intent is appropriately designated on the ballot for tabulation. If the voter's clear intent cannot be determined, no vote is tabulated in the affected race(s) on that ballot. <i>See</i> A.R.S. § 16-621(B); EPM Electronic Adjudication Addendum, supra. Upon information and belief, the counties' Electronic Adjudication Boards counted a material
 20 21 22 23 24 25 	by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County Recorder. If the voter's clear intent can be ascertained, the Electronic Adjudication Board must ensure that such intent is appropriately designated on the ballot for tabulation. If the voter's clear intent cannot be determined, no vote is tabulated in the affected race(s) on that ballot. <i>See</i> A.R.S. § 16-621(B); EPM Electronic Adjudication Addendum, supra. Upon information and belief, the counties' Electronic Adjudication Boards counted a material number of ballots that it should have sent to adjudication in the race for Arizona Attorney

1 91. Upon information and belief, the counties' Electronic Adjudication Boards 2 have incorrectly recorded a material number of voter selections in the race for Arizona 3 Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election, thereby resulting in the 4 unlawful mistabulation of a ballot lawfully cast by a qualified elector.

5

92. Upon information and belief, by erroneously tabulating votes that should be 6 disqualified as invalid over-votes, the County Defendants (through their agents) have 7 caused illegal votes to be included in the canvassed returns for the office of Arizona 8 Attorney General. See A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(4). Upon information and belief, by erroneously 9 designating or mischaracterizing voter's manifested intent as undervotes, the County Defendants (through their agents) have caused an erroneous count of votes for the office of 10 11 Arizona Attorney General.

12

Upon information and belief, by erroneously designating or mischaracterizing 93. voter's manifested intent on certain electronically adjudicated ballots, the County 13 Defendants (through their agents) have caused an erroneous count of votes for the office of 14 Arizona Attorney General. See A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(5). 15

Upon information and belief, votes included on improperly adjudicated 16 94. ballots are material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the 17 18 office of Arizona Attorney General.

95. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a 19 20 writ of mandamus) requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the 21 office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the 22 inaccurate adjudication and tabulation of ballots.

23 24

25

COUNT V **Illegal Votes: Unverified Early Ballots** (A.R.S. §§ 16-550(A), 16-672(A)(4))

The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set 26 forth herein.

96.

1 97. An early ballot is lawful and eligible for tabulation if—and only if—the 2 signature on the affidavit accompanying the ballot matches the signature featured on the 3 elector's "registration record." A.R.S. § 16-550(A).

98. Upon information and belief, a material number of early ballots cast in the 4 5 November 8, 2022 general election were transmitted in envelopes containing an affidavit 6 signature that the County Recorder or the Recorder's designee determined did not 7 correspond to the signature in the putative voter's "registration record." The County 8 Recorder, however, nevertheless accepted the early ballot for processing and tabulation 9 because the affidavit signature ostensibly matched a signature on an election-related document that was **not** the voter's "registration record," such as a prior early ballot affidavit 10 11 or early ballot request form.

To the extent the Elections Procedures Manual purports to authorize the 99. 12 validation of early ballot affidavit signatures by reference to a signature specimen that is 13 not found in the voter's "registration record," it is contrary to the plain language of A.R.S. 14 15 § 16-550(A), and hence unenforceable.

16 100. An early ballot that is accompanied by an uncured affidavit signature that does not match the signature contained in the putative voter's registration record is an 17 "illegal vote" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(4). 18

19 Upon information and belief, the number of tabulated early ballots associated 101. 20 with an uncured affidavit signature that does not match the signature in the corresponding 21 registration record is material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General. 22

23

Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a 102. 24 writ of mandamus) proportionately reducing the tabulated returns of early ballots to exclude 25 early ballots that were accompanied by an uncured affidavit signature that is inconsistent 26 with the signature on file in the putative voter's registration record. See generally Grounds 27 v. Lawe, 67 Ariz. 176, 183-85 (1948).

1	DEMAND FOR RELIEF
2	WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs demand relief in the following forms:
3	a. An order abating the recount of votes cast in the November 8, 2022
4	General Election for the Office of Attorney General pursuant to A.R.S.
5	§ 16-667.
6	b. An order requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to process and
7	tabulate all provisional ballots and early ballots submitted by qualified
8	electors who had "checked in" at a vote center but did not cast a regular
9	ballot in the November 8, 2022 general election, and to amend the
10	canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General accordingly.
11	c. An order requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to afford to all
12	individuals who were refused a provisional ballot a reasonable
13	opportunity to cast in the November 8, 2022 general election a
14	provisional ballot, which will be duly processed and tabulated in
15	conformance with applicable law, and to amend the canvass results for
16	the office of Arizona Attorney General accordingly.
17	d. An order requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results
18	for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous
19	tabulations associated with the inaccurate duplication of ballots.
20	e. An order requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results
21	for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous
22	tabulations associated with the inaccurate adjudication of ballots.
23	f. An order requiring the County Defendants to make available for physical
24	inspection all ballots containing an undervote in the contest for Arizona
25	Attorney General, and to duly process and tabulate all ballots wherein a
26	mark was made indicating the voter intent to cast a vote in said contest,
27	and to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney
28	General accordingly.
	25

1	g. An order proportionately reducing the tabulated returns of early ballots
2	to exclude early ballots that were accompanied by an uncured affidavit
3	signature that is inconsistent with the signature on file in the putative
4	voter's registration record.
5	h. An order requiring the Secretary of State to amend the canvass of
6	statewide returns to reflect amendments to county-level canvass results
7	made by one or more of the County Defendants.
8	i. An injunction or other order pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 16-650 and 16-676(C)
9	prohibiting the Secretary of State from declaring the Contestee elected to
10	the office of Arizona Attorney General or from issuing to Contestee a
11	certificate of election.
12	j. An injunction or other order pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-676(C) nullifying
13	and setting aside any certificate of election issued by the Secretary of
14	State to the Contestee for the office of Arizona Attorney General.
15	k. An injunction or other order pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 16-650 and 16-676(C)
16	requiring the Secretary of State to declare Contestant Abraham Hamadeh
17	elected to the office of Arizona Attorney General and to issue to
18	Contestant a certificate of election.
19	1. Such other relief as the Court deems necessary, equitable, proper, and
20	just.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	26

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 9th day of December, 2022.
2	
3	
4	By: <u>/s/ Timothy A. La Sota</u>
5	Timothy A La Sota, SBN # 020539 TIMOTHY A. LA SOTA, PLC
6	2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
8	By: <u>/s/ David A. Warrington</u> David A. Warrington, Virginia State Bar No. 72293*
9	
10	DHILLON LAW GROUP, INC. 2121 Fisenhower Avenue Suite 608
11	Gary Lawkowski, Virginia State Bar No. 82329* DHILLON LAW GROUP, INC. 2121 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 608 Alexandria, VA 22314 *Pro hac vice forthcoming Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Contestants
12	*Pro hac vice forthcoming
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Contestants
14	CRAC
15	DEM
16	ERONN
17	ENER
18	ALL PLU
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	27

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-673(B), I, Ted Boyd, hereby verify that the allegations contained in the foregoing Statement of Election Contest are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed under penalty of perjury, this 9th day of December, 2022.

TR	Ct.
Ted Boyd	
VERIFICATION	

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-673(B), I, Drew Sexton, for, and in my capacity as Regional Political Director of, the Republican National Committee, hereby verify that the allegations contained in the foregoing Statement of Election Contest are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed under penalty of perjury, this 9th day of December, 2022.

Republican National Committee By Drew Sexton Regional Political Director

VERIFICATION

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-673(B), I, Jeanne Kentch hereby verify that the allegations contained in the foregoing Statement of Election Contest are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed under penalty of perjury, this 9th day of December, 2022.


Jeanne Kentch

1	VERIFICATION
2	
3	Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-673(B), I, Drew Sexton, for, and in my capacity as Regional Political Director of, the Republican National Committee, hereby verify that the allegations
4	contained in the foregoing Statement of Election Contest are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
5	
6	Executed under penalty of perjury, this 9th day of December, 2022.
7	<u>^</u>
8	(1)
9 10	Andrew T. Dexter
11	-OW
12	Republican National Committee By
13	Drew Sexton
14	Regional Political Director
15	DEMO
16	EROW.
17	aEIRIEVED FROM DEMOCI
18	REFER
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

VERIFICATION

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-673(B), I, Jeanne Kentch, hereby verify that the allegations contained in the foregoing Statement of Election Contest are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed under penalty of perjury, this 8th day of December, 2022.



VERIFICATION

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-673(B), I, Abraham Hamadeh, hereby verify that the allegations contained in the foregoing Statement of Election Contest are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed under penalty of perjury, this 9th day of December, 2022.

REPRESED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET, COM

Abraham Hamadeh

Exhibit A

REPRESED FROM DEMOCRACY DOCKET, COM

Exhibit B

PETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET, COM



MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

Hand Count / Audit Report



Election: GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 8, 2022

Synopsis:

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-602(B), Maricopa County conducted the hand count/early ballot audit for the <u>November</u> <u>8, 2022 General Election</u>. 2% of the vote centers (polling places) were counted as required by statute, which amounted to <u>5</u> vote centers (polling places) out of <u>223</u> total vote centers (polling places).

The hand count began on <u>Wednesday, November 9, 2022</u> at <u>6:08 p.m.</u> when the Maricopa County Chairs of the <u>Democratic</u>, <u>Libertarian</u>, and <u>Republican</u> Party met to select the vote centers (polling places), races, and early ballot batches to be audited. All ballots were accounted for in the central counting location before the selection process started. The selection order was chosen by lot, and the <u>Democratic</u> Party was selected to go first, followed by the <u>Libertarian</u> Party and then the <u>Republican</u> Party.

With the draw order established, the specific vote centers (polling places) to be audited were selected with the participating County Party Chairs alternating the various selections. Once the allotted vote centers (polling places) were chosen, the percentage of early ballot audit batches to be audited were drawn (1% of early ballots or 5,000, whichever is less). A total of <u>26 batches</u> were selected to be audited to reach the required audit total.

Four (4) contested races were chosen as required by law: 1 Federal race, 1 Statewide race, 1 Legislative race and 1 Statewide Ballot Measure were counted. Specifically, the following list display's the contested races that were audited:

- □ Federal Race U.S. Representative
- Statewide Race **Governor**
- □ Statewide Legislative Race State Representative
- Statewide Ballot Measure **Proposition 129**

The master precinct and race selection lists are attached for review. The physical hand count started at <u>9:15 a.m.</u> on <u>Saturday, November 12, 2022</u> and was concluded at <u>6:22 p.m.</u> on <u>Saturday, November 12, 2022</u>. The tabulation method used was the stacking method. The audit was conducted by <u>24</u> boards made up of 3 members, of which not more than 2 members were from the same political party. This hand count included votes cast on both the scan and accessible marking devices from the selected vote centers (polling places).

Early Ballot Audit:

The required number of early ballots were audited as per Arizona State Law (1% of early ballots or 5,000, whichever is less). The early ballot audit consisted of <u>26</u> batches with at least <u>1</u> batch from every machine used for tabulation. Each batch contained approximately <u>200</u> early ballots. There was a over <u>1.3 million</u> early ballots cast in Maricopa County for the <u>November 8, 2022 General Election</u>.

Comments:

Attached are the summary reports which depict the results of the hand count audit for each selected race/measure. The outcome confirmed the accuracy of the tabulation results and was within the variance and designated margin as defined by the Vote Count Verification Committee established by the Secretary of State.

Stephen Richer Maricopa County Recorder

Reynaldo Valenzuela Jr. Director of Mail-In Voting & Election Services Office of Maricopa County Recorder, Stephen Richer

Scott Jarrett Director of In-Person Voting & Tabulation Maricopa County Elections Department



MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

Hand Count / Audit Report



Election: GENERAL ELECTION – NOVEMBER 8, 2022

Party Selected to: Draw 1ST <u>**DEM**</u> Draw 2ND <u>**LBT**</u> Draw 3RD <u>**REP**</u>

SECTION A SELECTED VOTE CENTERS - LISTED IN ORDER SELECTED - 5 TOTAL

0082	0116		0075
------	------	--	------


0134 0179

Facility Information:

0075 = Estrella Foothills High School #201 (Fac# 14506) 0082 = Flite Goodyear (Fac# 15705) 0116 = Journey Church (Fac# 15731) 0134 = Maryvale Bridge Methodist Church (Fac# 10074)

0179 = Sevilla Elementary School (Fac# 10432)

SECTION A (Continued) SELECTED EV BATCHES (LISTED IN ORDER SELECTED - 26 TOTAL



SECTION B. NUMBER OF RACES TO COUNT PER CATEGORY

RACE CATEGORY	TICK MARK TALLY	NUMBER OF RACES PER CATEGORY	RECEIVED PRECINCT HAND COUNT MARGIN WORKSHEET
1. President	0	0	
2. Statewide Candidate	I		
3. Statewide Ballot Measure	I.	I	
4. Federal Candidate	I.	I	
5. State Legislative			
Additional Races Needed	0	0	

SECTION C. RACES TO BE COUNTED

	Race To Be Counted	Category of Race
1.	N/A	1. President
2.	GOVERNOR	2. Statewide Candidate
3.	PROPOSITION 129	3. Statewide Ballot Measure
4.	US REPRESENTATIVE	4. Federal Candidate
5.	STATE REPRESENTATIVE	5. State Legislative

MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA



Hand Count / Audit Report



Election: GENERAL ELECTION – NOVEMBER 8, 2022

SELECTED RACES

OFFICE TYPE	OFFICE NAME	DRAWN
FEDERAL	US SENATOR	
FEDERAL	US REPRESENTATIVE	X
STATEWIDE	GOVERNOR	X
STATE LEGISLATIVE	STATE SENATOR	
STATE LEGISLATIVE	STATE REPRESENTATIVE	X
STATEWIDE	SECRETARY OF STATE	
STATEWIDE	ATTORNEY GENERAL	
STATEWIDE	STATE TREASURER	
STATEWIDE	SUP. OF PUBLIC INSTR.	
STATEWIDE	CORP COMMISSIONER	
PROPOSITION	PROPOSITION 129	X
A.	2 ^{HEVED}	

MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

AGGREGATE - VOTE CENTER HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL PRECINCTS

Total Vote Centers Counted (2%): 5 Total Ball	ots Cast: 3,269	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		Race: US REPRESENTATIVE	
Precinct # - Precinct Name	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH	10	10	0
0082 FLITE GOODYEAR	125	125	0
0116 JOURNEY CHURCH	13	13	0
0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH.	309	309	0
0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH.	352	352	0
TOTAL	809	809	0
Aggregated Margin = Absolute Difference ÷ Machine Count X 100			Aggregated Margin
0	809	100	0.000%
*Designated Margin for PRECINCT ballots, in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-602(K)(4): 1.000%			

Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		Race: GO	OVERNOR
Precinct # - Precinct Name	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH	1,081	1,081	0
0082 FLITE GOODYEAR	246	246	0
0116 JOURNEY CHURCH	1,139	1,139	0
0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH.	366	365	1
0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH.	423	423	0
TOTAL	3,255	3,254	1
Aggregated Margin = Absolute D	ifference ÷ Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin
1	3,254	100	0.031%
*Designated Margin for P	RECINCT ballots, in acco	rdance with A.R.S. § 16-60	2(K)(4): 1.000%

Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	20.	Race: ST	ATE REPRESENTATIVE
Precinct # - Precinct Name	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH	1,168	1,168	0
0082 FLITE GOODYEAR	111	111	0
0116 JOURNEY CHURCH 🧔	1,867	1,867	0
0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH.	28	28	0
0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH.	38	38	0
TOTAL	3,212	3,212	0
Aggregated Margin = Absolute	Difference ÷ Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin
0	3,212	100	0.000%

Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEAS	URE	Race:	PROPOSITION 129
Precinct # - Precinct Name	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH	952	952	0
0082 FLITE GOODYEAR	210	210	0
0116 JOURNEY CHURCH	1,044	1,044	0
0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH.	319	319	0
0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH.	346	346	0
TOTAL	2,871	2,871	0
Aggregated Margin = Absolute D	Aggregated Margin		
0	2,871	100	0.000%
*Designated Margin for PRECINCT ballots, in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-602(K)(4): 1.000%			

MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES

tegory: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		Race: US	REPRESENTATIVE
Early Voting Batch #	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
49	190	190	0
51	158	158	0
38	170	170	0
20	145	145	0
10	138	138	0
27	26	26	0
8	168	168	0
34	88	88	0
21	169	169	0
25	78	78	0
44	142	142	0
35	80	80	0
28	192	192	0
2	116	116	0
33	163	163	0
12	157	157	0
47	156	156	0
5	137	137	0
19	108	108	0
11	171	171	0
48	139	139	0
29	121	121	0
4	95	95	0
14	132	132	0
36	101	101	0
13	172	172	0
TOTAL	3,512	3,512	0
Aggregated Margin = Absolute			Aggregated Margin
0	3,512	100	0.000%

*Designated Margin for EARLY VOTING ballots, in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-602(K)(4): 1.000%

AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES

Total # of Batches: 26 # of Ballots from ALL Batches: 5,170

Date of Election: November 8, 2022

ace Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDAT	e Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		Race: GOVERNOR		
Early Voting Batch #	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference		
49	193	193	0		
51	196	196	0		
38	197	197	0		
20	199	199	0		
10	198	198	0		
27	198	198	0		
8	198	198	0		
34	198	198	0		
21	195	195	0		
25	200	200	0		
44	195	195	0		
35	195	195	0		
28	197	197	0		
2	197	197	0		
33	200	200	0		
12	192	192	0		
47	193	193	0		
5	196	196	0		
19	197	197	0		
11	199	199	0		
48	194	194	0		
29	198	198	0		
4	197	198	1		
14	195	195	0		
36	191	191	0		
13	192	192	0		
TOTAL	5,100	5,101	1		
	ute Difference ÷ Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin		
1	5.101	100	0.020%		
*Designated Margin	for EARLY VOTING ballots, in ac				

MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES

Batches: 26 # of Ballots from A egory: STATE LEGISLATIVE			lovember 8, 2022
Early Voting Batch #	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
49	196	196	0
51	178	178	0
38	216	216	0
20	220	220	0
10	269	269	0
27	260	260	0
8	180	180	0
34	286	286	0
21	224	224	0
25	227	227	0
44	271	271	0
35	262	262	0
28	137	137	0
2	238	238	0
33	245	245	0
12	223	223	0
47	236	236	0
5	177	177	0
19	283	283	0
11	173	173	0
48	278	278	0
29	192	192	0
4	256	256	0
14	289	289	0
36	248	248	0
13	272	272	0
TOTAL	6,036	6,036	0
Aggregated Margin = Absolu	te Difference ÷ Machine Count 6.036	X 100	Aggregated Margin 0.000%

*Designated Margin for EARLY VOTING ballots, in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-602(K)(4): 1.000%

AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES

tal # of Batches: 26 # of Ballots from	ALL Batches: 5,170	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
ce Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT M	IEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 129
Early Voting Batch #	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
49	171	171	0
51	169	169	0
38	176	176	0
20	176	176	0
10	185	185	0
27	181	181	0
8	185	185	0
34	187	187	0
21	183	183	0
25	181	181	0
44	181	181	0
35	195	195	0
28	184	184	0
2	180	180	0
33	185	185	0
12	176	176	0
47	175	175	0
5	175	175	0
19	188	188	0
11	176	176	0
48	176	176	0
29	185	185	0
4	188	188	0
14	183	183	0
36	185	185	0
13	185	185	0
TOTAL	4,711	4,711	0
Aggregated Margin = Abso	lute Difference + Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin
0	4.711	100	0.000%

Comments: If a discrepancy occurred, the reason will be notated and described in each of the detailed Precinct and/or EV Batch reports for the given race or measure.

	CUMULATIVE AGGREGATED MARGINS - BY TYPE			
	Hand Count Total Machine Count Absolute Difference Aggregated Mar			
VOTE CENTERS	10,147	10,146	1	0.010%
EV'S	19,359	19,360	1	0.005%
Aggregated Margin	= Absolute Difference ÷ Machine Count X 100			

THE "VOTE CENTER SPECIFIC" HAND COUNT REPORTS

AND

"EARLY BALLOT BATCH SPECIFIC" HAND COUNT REPORTS

FOLLOW THIS SUMMARY

THE FOLLOWING WORKSHEETS ARE THE SUPPORTING AND DETAILED COUNTS THAT WERE USED TO DERIVE THE ABOVE SUMMARIES AND DESIGNATED MARGIN PERCENTAGES.

If any variances occur, the specific Vote Center or Early Ballot Hand Count Reports that follow would indicate the reasons for these variances in the "Comments" section for the affected "Race Category". Those variances are noted as part of the designated margin calculation when "Intent" or "Machine Error" is deemed to have occurred based on the Board's audit.

For those variances noted as "Intent" errors, those reflect votes that were unreadable by the machine but were determined by the boards to be votes for a given candidate or issue based on the board determining the "intent" of the voter. As an example, ballots where the voter circled the candidate's name instead of filling in the oval for the given candidate (as instructed) OR where the voter marked the oval but did not fill in the oval sufficiently enough such as placing an "X" or using a checkmark instead of filling in the oval. The machine is faulted for this as an error despite it not actually being a machine read error. This "intent" occurrence is included as a machine error variance and is part of the designated margin calculation.

For any marks not read by the machine because they were too light or the board upon their review deemed the machine count to be in error, those would be listed as "machine errors" and those totals would be part of the designated margin calculations, if existing. All variances, if any, are noted in the final result reports that follow.

VOTE CENTER HAND COUNT	REPORT - ΟΡΤΙζΔΙ	SCAN BALLOTS	
Site: 0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH Ballots Cast:	1,086		November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	•	US REPRESENTAT	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	2	2	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	0	0	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	0	0	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	3	3	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	0	0	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	0 0	0	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	0	0	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	0	0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	2	2	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	3	3	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	10	10	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		888	0
HOBBS, KATIE	193	193	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	1,081	1,081	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	1	1	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	0	0	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	0	0	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	0	0	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	0	0	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	0	0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST S	0	0 0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	8	0	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	0 70	0	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	0	0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		0	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	0	0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	0	0	0
HUNTER, HELÉN- DIST 10	0 0	0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	1	1	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	1	1	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	0	0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	0	0	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	<u> </u>	0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	0	0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	0	0	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	0 0	0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	855	855	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 SANDOVAL MARIANA - DIST 23	129	129	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	<u>171</u> 1	<u>171</u> 1	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	1	1	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	1	1	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	0	0	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	0 1	0 1	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	3	3	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	2	2	0
TOTAL	1,168	1,168	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	D	DRODOGITION 42	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race: Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		669	0
PROP 129 - NO	283	283	0
TOTAL	952	952	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

VOTE CENTER HAND COUNT	REPORT - OPTICA	SCAN BALLOTS	
Site: 0082 FLITE GOODYEAR Ballots Cast:	249	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST		2	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST CRANE, ELI - DIST		<u> </u>	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST	6	6	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST		4	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST STANTON, GREG - DIST		0	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST		1	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST		0	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST		0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST		59 51	0
TOTA		125	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAR HOBBS, KATI		159 87	0
TOTA		246	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	•		
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST	Hand Count Total	Machine Total 0	Absolute Difference 0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST		0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	2 0	0	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST		1	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST TERECH, LAURA - DIST		0	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST		1	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST		0	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST	5 0	0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST		0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST		0	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST	·	0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST		1	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		<u>1</u> 0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY, DIST 1		0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 1	0	0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 1		0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 1		<u>1</u> 1	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 1 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	2 0	0	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		0	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 1: WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 1:		0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 1		0	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 10 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 10		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 10		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 2	8 48	48	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 2		21	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 2: PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 2:		<u>19</u> 0	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 2		1	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 2		0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 2	3 1	1	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 23		1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 23 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 25		0	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 25		6	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2		1	0
ΤΟΤΑ		111	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		PROPOSITION	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race: Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YE		120	0
PROP 129 - NO	90	90	0
ΤΟΤΑΙ	. 210	210	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

VOTE CENTER HA	AND COUNT	REPORT - OPTICAI	SCAN BALLOTS	
Site: 0116 JOURNEY CHURCH Ba	llots Cast:	1,142	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE			US REPRESENTAT	
COUNCIL		Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
	DAVID - DIST 1 VIN D DIST 1	4	4	0
	NE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
	I, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
	ELSON - DIST 3	1	1	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RUBEN - DIST 3 , KELLY - DIST 4	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 2	0
	, GREG - DIST 4	0	0	0
BIGGS	, ANDY - DIST 5	0	0	0
RAMOS, JAVIER G		2	2	0
SMITH, CLINT W	DIST 5 D, LUIS - DIST 7	0 2	0	0
	, RAUL - DIST 7	0	0	0
	TOTAL	13	13	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			001/501/00	
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Candidato's	Race: Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
	LAKE, KARI		994	
	HOBBS, KATIE	145	145	0
	TOTAL	1,139	1,139	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		De		
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Candidate's	Hand Count Total	STATE REPRESEN Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHR	ISTIAN - DIST 2	4	4	0
WILMETH,	JUSTIN - DIST 2	1	1	0
	, JUDY - DIST 2	1	1	0
	MATT - DIST 4 MARIA - DIST 4	2	2	0
	LAURA - DIST 4	0	0	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER '		0	0	0
LONGDON, JEN	NNIFER - DIST 5	0	0	0
	AMISH - DIST 5	1	1	0
	CADEN - DIST 8	0 CH-	0	0
LOUGHRIG HERNANDEZ, M	E, BILL - DIST 8		0	0
	THENA - DIST 8		0	0
MENDOZA, MAR		0	0	0
	KATHY - DIST 9	0	0	0
	ORENA - DIST 9	<u> </u>	0	0
	I, SETH - DIS <u>1</u> 9 JSTIN - DIS7 10	0 1	0	0
PARKER, BARBARA RO		0	0	0
HUNTER, F	IELEN - DIST 10	0	0	0
	IANA - DIST 11	0	0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, O		<u> </u>	1	0
QUINONEZ, MÀRCI CHASTON, JAMES		0	0	0
	ERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PA		0	0	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STA		0	0	0
HARR WILLOUGHBY,	IS, LIZ - DIST 13	0 0	0	0
	JULIE - DIST 13 NIFER - DIST 13	0	0	0
GRANTHAM, TI		0	0	0
HENDRIX, LA	URIN - DIST 14	0	0	0
	ANDY - DIST 14	2	2	0
	, ROB - DIST 16 RESA - DIST 16	0 0	0	0
	KESA - DIST 16 KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
	CHELE - DIST 23	0	0	0
LUGO, JESI	JS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MAR		0	0	0
	(EVIN - DIST 27 , BEN - DIST 27	38 36	38 36	0
	DON - DIST 27	30	30	0
LIVINGSTON, D		822	822	0
PINGERELLI, BEV		809	809	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE		128	128	0
MONTENEGRO, S SMITH AI	STEVE - DIST 29 JSTIN - DIST 29	7 9	7 9	0
	COTT - DIST 29	0	9	0
	TOTAL	1,867	1,867	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:				
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEA			PROPOSITION 12	
	Candidate's PROP 129 - YES	Hand Count Total 878	Machine Total 878	Absolute Difference 0
	PROP 129 - 113	166	166	0
	TOTAL	1,044	1,044	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:				

VOTE CENTER HAND COUNT REPORT - OPTICAL SCAN BALLOTS			
Site: 0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH. Ballots Cast:	367	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	1
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	1	1	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1 CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	5 0	5 0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	128	128	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	169	169	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		1	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		0	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		0	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	0	0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		2	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 TOTAL	3 309	3 309	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	305	305	U
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
		162	0
HOBBS, KATIE TOTAL		203 365	1
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: One ballot more per har			_
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	0	0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	1	1	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		0	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	0	0	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		1	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5			0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		5	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	0,0	0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		0	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		<u> </u>	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST &		0	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIS 9		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	<u>1</u> 0	<u> </u>	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	0	0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	3	3	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	2	2	0
QUINONEZ, MÁRCELINO - DIST 11	1	1	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0 0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	0	0	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	0	0	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	0	0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	0	0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	0	0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	1	1	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	1	1	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	0	0	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	1	1	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	2 1	2 1	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAL		28	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES PROP 129 - NO		<u>163</u> 156	0
TOTAL		319	0

VOTE CENTER	HAND COUNT	REPORT - OPTICAI	SCAN BALLOTS	
Site: 0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH	Ballots Cast:	425		November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Banoto casti		US REPRESENTAT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
	ERT, DAVID - DIST 1	4	4	0
	E, JEVIN D DIST 1	3	3	0
	CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 RAN, TOM - DIST 2	0 0	0	0
	FF NELSON - DIST 3	161	161	0
	GO, RUBEN - DIST 3	180	180	0
	PER, KELLY - DIST 4	0	0	0
	TON, GREG - DIST 4	03	03	0
	GGS, ANDY - DIST 5 ER GARCIA - DIST 5	0	0	0
	T WILLIAM - DIST 5	0	0	0
	ZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	0	0	0
GRIJA	LVA, RAUL - DIST 7		1	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	TOTAL	352	352	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDA	TE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
	LAKE, KARI		217	0
	HOBBS, KATIE	206	206	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	TOTAL	423	423	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
		Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
	CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	1	1	0
	TH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 BERT, JUDY - DIST 2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
	ESS, MATT - DIST 4	2	<u> </u>	0
	MS, MARIA - DIST 4	2	2	0
	CH, LAURA - DIST 4	1	1	0
TREADWELL, JENNII		0	0	0
	, JENNIFER - DIST 5	1		0
	AH, AMISH - DIST 5 W, CADEN - DIST 8		<u> </u>	0
	HRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	0	0	0
	Z, MELODY - DIST 8	4	0	0
	N, ATHENA - DIST 8	0	0	0
	MARY ANN - DIST 9		0	0
	RCE, KATHY - DIST 9 N, LORENA - DIST 9		0	0
	MAN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0
	P, JUSTIN - DIST 10	0	0	0
	ROWLEY - DIST 10	0	0	0
	ER, HELEN - DIST 10 TATIANA - DIST 11	0 0	0	0
	S, OSCAR - DIST 11	2	2	0
	ARCELINO - DIST 11	2	2	0
CHASTON, JAN	/IES "JIM" - DIST 12	0	0	0
	DE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA TRAVERS, ANASTASIA		0	0	0
	ARRIS, LIZ - DIST 12	1	0 1	0
	IBY, JULIE - DIST 13	1	1	0
PAWLIK,	JENNIFER - DIST 13	0	0	0
	M, TRAVIS - DIST 14	2	2	0
	K, LAURIN - DIST 14 , BRANDY - DIST 14	<u>2</u> 0	<u>2</u> 0	0
	SON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINE	Z, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
	AN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
	MICHELE - DIST 23	0	0	0
	JESUS JR DIST 23 MARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
	NE, KEVIN - DIST 27	2	2	0
TC	OMA, BEN - DIST 27	2	2	0
	GER, DON - DIST 27	0	0	0
	N, DAVID - DIST 28	5	5	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHA	, BEVERLY - DIST 28 NIE BLAIR - DIST 28	<u>5</u> 1	<u>5</u> 1	0
-	RO, STEVE - DIST 29	0	0	0
	H, AUSTIN - DIST 29	1	1	0
PODE	N, SCOTT - DIST 29	0	0	0
Commonts for why a discrepance accurate	TOTAL	38	38	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT N	AFASURF	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
And category. STATEWIDE DALLOT N		Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
	PROP 129 - YES	185	185	0
	PROP 129 - NO		161 346	0
	TOTAL	346		0

Page 9 of 35

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	I BALLOTS
EV Batch# 49 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	30 30	30 30	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	0	0	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		5	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		3	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		48	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		53 14	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
TOTAL	190	190	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI	85	85	0
HOBBS, KATIE		108	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	193	193	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		0	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	5	5	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		5	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		6	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	2	2	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	2	2	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		0 1	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		0	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	3	3	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		4	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		2	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	0	0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		3	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		<u> </u>	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		1	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	31	31	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		26	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		<u> </u>	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		18	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	25	25	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		0	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	1	1	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		0	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28		0	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	0	0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		0	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	196	196	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		98	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		73 171	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	-1-		

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 51 #per Batch:	198	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	41 33	<u>41</u> 33	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	<u> </u>	1	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 3	<u> </u>	5 12	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	13	13	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	34	34	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	14	14	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	3 1	<u>3</u> 1	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	1	1	0
TOTAL	158	158	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	Deser		
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race: Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI	105	105	0
HOBBS, KATIE	91	91	0
TOTAL	196	196	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race	STATE REPRESEN	ΤΔΤΙVF
Candidate's		Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	0	0	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	0	0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	0 16	0 16	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	10	10	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	12	12	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	3	3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	9	9	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	7	7	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	5,0	5	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	4	4	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	2	2	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		0	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	0	0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	16	16	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	13	13	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	9	9	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	0 0	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	0	0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	3	3	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	2	2	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	4	4	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	<u>4</u> 2	<u>4</u> 2	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	3	3	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	5	5	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	<u> </u>	3	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	1	1	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0 1	0 1	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 23	2	2	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	3	3	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	2	2	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	8	8	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	<u> </u>	8 4	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28	3	3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	3	3	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		1	0
TOTAL	178	178	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's		Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	104	104	0
	CE	65	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL	65 169	65 169	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 38 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		32 52	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		5	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		7	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		<u>15</u> 24	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		17	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		18	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
TOTAL		170	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI HOBBS, KATIE		74 123	0
TOTAL		123	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		2	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		1	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	16	16	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		10	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		23	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		6 4	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		3	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	1	1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	1,00	1	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		5	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		4	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		4	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	1	3	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		3	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		5 4	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		6	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	2	2	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		6	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		6 9	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		8	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		14	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		12	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		4	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		4 7	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	6	6	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		6	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		4	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0 0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	0	0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		<u> </u>	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		1	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	1	1	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		1	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		0 12	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		12	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		1	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	2	2	0
TOTAL	216	216	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Pacer	PROPOSITION 12	9
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	92	92	0
PROP 129 - NO		84	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	176	176	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	I BALLOTS
EV Batch# 20 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		26 31	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		5	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		26	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		<u>11</u> 16	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		10	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		12	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 TOTAL		1 145	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	145	145	U
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		82	0
HOBBS, KATIE		117	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	199	199	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2		3	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		3	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		9	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		9	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		8	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		1	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		<u>13</u>	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		<u>13</u> 4	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		4	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		1	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	1	1	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		2 5	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	2	5	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		9	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	9	9	0
HUNTER, HELEN- DIST 10		2	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11		2	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		<u> </u>	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	1	1	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	1	1	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		9	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		9	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		7	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		8	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	3	3	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		3	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		3	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0 0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10		4	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	2	2	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		1	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		<u>2</u> 4	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		4 7	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		4	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	5	5	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		5	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		2 2	0
TOTAL		220	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES PROP 129 - NO		<u>82</u> 94	0
TOTAL		176	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 10 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	<u>46</u> 57	46 57	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	4	4	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	<u>14</u> 1	14 1	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	13	13	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	2	2	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	1	1	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	0 0	0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
TOTAL	138	138	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		001/501/00	
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race: Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		66	0
HOBBS, KATIE	132	132	0
TOTAL	198	198	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Pasa	STATE REPRESEN	TATI\/F
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	26	26	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	29	29	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	37	37	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	<u>15</u> 11	15 11	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	20	20	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	8	8	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	13	13	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	12	12	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0 0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	12	12	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	12	12	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		1	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		1	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		1	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	0	0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	1	1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	1	1	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	6 5	<u>6</u> 5	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	0	0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	2	2	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	4	<u> </u>	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	0	0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	0	0	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	1	1	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	1	1	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0 0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	0	0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	0 10	0 10	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	10	10	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	15	15	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	5	5	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	3	3	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	<u>3</u> 0	<u>3</u> 0	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	0	0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	0	0	0
TOTAL	269	269	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	D	DDODOGITION 42	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's	Race: Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		88	0
PROP 129 - NO	97	97	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	185	185	0
IL OWNERDS FOR WOV 2 DISCREPANCY OCCURRED.			

FARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA			RALLOTS	
EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - OPTICAL SCAN BALLOTS				
EV Batch# 27 #per Batch			November 8, 2022	
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT		
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference	
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST		8 9	0	
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST CRANE, ELI - DIST		0	0	
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0	
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST		1	0	
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST		2	0	
COOPER, KELLY - DIST		0	0	
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		2	0	
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST		0	0	
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST !	5 1	1	0	
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST S	5 1	1	0	
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 2		1	0	
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST		1	0	
ΤΟΤΑΙ	26	26	0	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	Dese	00/501/00		
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	Abashita Difference	
LAKE, KAR	Hand Count Total	95	Absolute Difference	
HOBBS, KATI		103	0	
TOTA		198	0	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	1 190	190		
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Races	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference	
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST		5	0	
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST		6	0	
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		8	0	
GRESS, MATT - DIST		1	0	
SYMS, MARIA - DIST		2	0	
TERECH, LAURA - DIST		1	0	
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST	5 1	1	0	
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST	5 0	0	0	
SHAH, AMISH - DIST !	5 1	1	0	
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	3 2 4	2	0	
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	3 2	2	0	
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST	3 2	2	0	
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	3 2	2	0	
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST S		0	0	
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST S	0 %	0	0	
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST		0	0	
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST		0	0	
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		1	0	
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		1	0	
HUNTER, HELER - DIST 10		2	0	
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 12		0	0	
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 1		0	0	
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12		0	0	
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		0	0	
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		0	0	
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		0	0	
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 12		0	0	
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		0	0	
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 1		0	0	
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		0	0	
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		0	0	
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		0	0	
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 1		0	0	
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 10		0	0	
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 10		0	0	
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 2		0	0	
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		0	0	
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 2	3 0	0	0	
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 2		31	0	
TOMA, BEN - DIST 2		34	0	
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 2		38	0	
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 2		26	0	
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 24		28	0	
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	3 21	21	0	
		18	0	
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29				
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	9 16	16	0	
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29) 16) 11	16 11	0	
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAI) 16) 11	16		
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAI Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:) 16) 11 . 260	16 11 260	0 0	
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAI Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	9 16 9 11 - 260 Race:	16 11 260 PROPOSITION 12	0 0 9	
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAI Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's	9 16 9 11 - 260 Race: 6 Hand Count Total	16 11 260 PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	0 0 9 Absolute Difference	
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAI Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's PROP 129 - YES	16 11 260 Race: Hand Count Total 91	16 11 260 PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total 91	0 0 9 Absolute Difference 0	
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAI Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's	16 11 260 Race: Hand Count Total 91 90	16 11 260 PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	0 0 9 Absolute Difference	

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 8 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total 73	Machine Total 73	Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		67	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		0	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		1	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		1	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		10	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		<u>15</u> 0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		1	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	168	168	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
Candidate's			Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAR		97	0
HOBBS, KATIE TOTAL		101 198	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	130	130	
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		<u> </u>	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		7	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	20	20	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		<u>15</u> 22	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	3	3	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	126-2	2	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	2	2	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		3	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	3	3	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		1	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		0	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY SIST 10 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 10		0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		0 0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		0	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	0	0	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		6	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		7 10	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	5	5	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	4	4	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		5	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		1	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 23		1	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	3	3	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		7	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		5	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		4	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	3	3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		2	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAL	2 180	2 180	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	100	100	
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12	
Candidate's PROP 129 - YES		Machine Total 91	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES PROP 129 - NO		91	0
TOTAL		185	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 34 #per Batch			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST HODGE, JEVIN D DIST		<u> </u>	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST		<u>2</u> 8	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST		10	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST	45	45	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST		3	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST		<u>2</u> 1	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST		1	0
TOTA	88	88	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAR		77	0
HOBBS, KATI TOTA		121 198	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	- 190	139	
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST		<u> </u>	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST		3	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST	1 1	1	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST		1	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST			0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST		0	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST	5 0	0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST		1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST		<u>2</u> 24	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST		26	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST		0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST		3	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 1		3	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 1		2	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 1 PENA M., TATIONA - DIST 1		2	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 1		6	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 1	L 6	6	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 1		6	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 1: CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 1:		<u>6</u> 15	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 1		13	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 1	3 0	0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 1		0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 1: GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 1:		<u> </u>	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 1		0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 1	1 1	1	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 1		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 1 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 1		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 2		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 2		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 2 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 2		0	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 2		0	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 2	7 2	2	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 2		48	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 23 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 23		46 47	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 2		<u> </u>	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 2		6	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2		0	0
TOTA	286	286	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YE		97	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTA		90 187	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	- 10/	107	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 21 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	<u>18</u> 44	<u>18</u> 44	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	2	2	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	9 11	9 11	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	21	21	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	32	32	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		27	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	2 0	2	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		3	0
TOTAL	169	169	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	Daga	COVERNOR	
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		72	
HOBBS, KATIE	123	123	0
TOTAL	195	195	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race	STATE REPRESEN	ΤΔΤΙVF
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	1	1	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		1	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	6 11	6 11	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	11	11	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	28	28	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	3	3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	7	7	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		8	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		3	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	3	3	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		1	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		1	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	2	1 1	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		1	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	3	3	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	<u>1</u> 4	<u> </u>	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	4	4	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		8	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	8	8	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		17	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	17 7	<u>17</u> 7	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		8	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	15	15	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	8	8	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		9	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	<u>4</u> 0	4	0
MUDELSON, KOB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	3	3	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	1	1	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		0	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		0	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	0 4	<u> </u>	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		3	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		6	0
TOTAL	224	224	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	D	DDODOGITION	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race: Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		82	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
PROP 129 - NO	101	101	0
TOTAL	183	183	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN			RALIOTS
EV Batch# 25 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1		28	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	19	19	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		1	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		9	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		4	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		16	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		1	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		0	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
TOTAL	78	78	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	_		
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		87	0
HOBBS, KATIE		113	0
TOTAL	200	200	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	-	CTATE DEPRESS	
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	1	1	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		1	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		2	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		3	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		3	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		4	0
		7	
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		<u> </u>	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		-	-
		0	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		2	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		2	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		0	0
PENA M., TATIONA - DIST 11	0	0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	0	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	0	0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		3	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		4	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	9	9	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		9	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		2	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		2	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		4	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		15	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	13	13	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	29	29	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	25	25	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	27	27	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	14	14	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		12	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		11	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		15	0
TOTAL	227	227	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		103	0
PROP 129 - NO		78	0
TOTAL	181	181	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 44 #per Batch:	199	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1		28	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1 CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	50 0	50 0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	2	2	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	6	6	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		<u>15</u> 34	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	5	5	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		2	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	0	0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	0	0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 TOTAL	0 142	0 142	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	172	172	
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI HOBBS, KATIE		68 127	0
TOTAL	127	127	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	2	2	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		11	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		12	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		21	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	0		0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	0	0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	16	16	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		13	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		20	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		<u>23</u> 8	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		8	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		14	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		14	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	0	0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 HUNTER, HELEM - DIST 10	0	0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	1	1	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	2	2	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	2	2	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		7	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		14	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	14	14	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	3	3	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		3	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		<u> </u>	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		2	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		1	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0 1	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	7	7	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	8	8	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	<u>9</u> 2	9 2	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	5	5	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		5	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		6	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAL	6 271	6 271	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	<i>21</i> ±	<u> </u>	
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12	
Candidate's		Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES PROP 129 - NO		92 89	0
TOTAL		181	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT	AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 35	#per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	•	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
SCHWEIKERT, D		Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
	/IN D DIST 1	<u> 10</u> 23	<u> </u>	0
	IE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
	, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
	LSON - DIST 3 UBEN - DIST 3	<u>11</u> 30	<u>11</u> 30	0
	KELLY - DIST 4	1	1	0
	GREG - DIST 4	4	4	0
	ANDY - DIST 5	0	0	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GA SMITH, CLINT WI		0 0	0	0
	, LUIS - DIST 7	1	1	0
	RAUL - DIST 7	0	0	0
Comments for a line of a second second	TOTAL	80	80	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
	LAKE, KARI	84	84	0
	HOBBS, KATIE	111	111	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	TOTAL	195	195	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE			STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
		Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
	STIAN - DIST 2	8	8	0
	USTIN - DIST 2 JUDY - DIST 2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
GRESS,	MATT - DIST 4	3	3	0
SYMS, N	IARIA - DIST 4	5	5	0
TERECH, L TREADWELL, JENNIFER "J	AURA - DIST 4	<u>11</u> 0	<u>11</u> 0	0
LONGDON, JEN		5	5	0
	MISH - DIST 5	4	4	0
	ADEN - DIST 8	3	3	0
	E, BILL - DIST 8	3	3	0
HERNANDEZ, ME	HENA - DIST 8	4	4 5	0
MENDOZA, MARY		0	0	0
	ATHY - DIST 9	0	0	0
	RENA - DIST 9	<u> </u>	0	0
	SETH - DIST 9 STIN - DIST 10	0 0	0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROV		0	0	0
	ELEN - DIST 10	1	1	0
PENA M., TAT		12	12	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OS QUINONEZ, MÁRCEI		<u>18</u> 22	<u>18</u> 22	0
CHASTON, JAMES "		1	1	0
	RRY - DIST 12	1	1	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PA		2	2	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STA HARRIS	CEY" - DIST 12 5, LIZ - DIST 13	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
WILLOUGHBY, J		0	0	0
PAWLIK, JENN	IFER - DIST 13	0	0	0
GRANTHAM, TR		0	0	0
	JRIN - DIST 14 NDY - DIST 14	0 0	0	0
	ROB - DIST 14	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TEF	RESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
	EITH - DIST 16 HELE - DIST 23	0	0	0
	S JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARI		0	0	0
	EVIN - DIST 27	44	44	0
	BEN - DIST 27	<u>42</u> 19	42	0
LIVINGSTON, D	DON - DIST 27 AVID - DIST 28	<u>19</u> 5	<u>19</u> 5	0
PINGERELLI, BEVI		7	7	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE B		6	6	0
MONTENEGRO, ST	TEVE - DIST 29 STIN - DIST 29	0	0	0
SMITH, AU PODEYN, SC		0	0	0
100211, 50		-	262	0
	TOTAL	262	202	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	TOTAL			
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEAS	TOTAL	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEAS	TOTAL SURE Candidate's	Race: Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEAS	TOTAL	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9 Absolute Difference

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 28 #per Batch			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 2 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 2		55 74	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST		<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST		4	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	7	7	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST		20	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST		<u>21</u> 4	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST	0	0	0
TOTAI	. 192	192	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAR		84	0
HOBBS, KATII		113	0
TOTAI Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	. 197	197	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		3	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	12	12	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST		11	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 9		21	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST		6	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST !	5 7	7	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST		1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST		<u> </u>	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST	(5	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST	0 0	0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST		1 1	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		7	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		7	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		10	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 1: DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 1:		3 2	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12		2	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	2 4	4	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		4	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		<u> </u>	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 1		0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		0	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		4 4	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	7	7	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 10		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 10 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 10		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 2		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 2 TOMA, BEN - DIST 2		2	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 2		0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 24	8 0	0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 23		0	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 23		0 0	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 25		0	0
TOTA		137	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	D	DDODOCITION 42	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's	Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YE	93	93	0
PROP 129 - NO		91	0
ΤΟΤΑΙ	. 184	184	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	D COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 2 #per Batch: 1			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
Candidate's SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total 23	Machine Total 23	Absolute Difference
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	36	36	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	<u>4</u> 6	<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	11	11	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	17	17	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	2	2	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	9 0	<u>9</u> 0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	2	2	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	6	6	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	116	116	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI	77	77	0
HOBBS, KATIE TOTAL	<u>120</u> 197	120 197	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	197	197	
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	3	3	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	4	4	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	15	15	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	14	14	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	<u>19</u> 4	<u>19</u> 4	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	5	- 5	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	4	4	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	5	5	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	7 0	7 5	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		5	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	3	3	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9	<u> </u>	4	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	<u>12</u> 11	<u>12</u> 11	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	2	2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	2	2	0
HUNTER, HELER - DIST 10	2	2	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	<u>1</u> 1	<u>1</u> 1	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	0	0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	0	0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	3	3	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	2	2	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	2	2	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	1	1	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	0	0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	2	2	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	0 2	0 2	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	1	<u> </u>	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	2	2	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	1	1	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	1 2	<u>1</u> 2	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 27	2	2	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	24	24	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	29	29	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	3	3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	3 4	3 4	0
TOTAL	238	238	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12	
Candidate's PROP 129 - YES	Hand Count Total 82	Machine Total 82	Absolute Difference 0
PROP 129 - NO	98	98	0
TOTAL	180	180	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAT	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 33 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total	Machine Total 17	Absolute Difference
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		17	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		<u> </u>	0 0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 3		28	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		45	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		23	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		<u>17</u> 4	0 0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	2	2	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	0	0	0
TOTAL	163	163	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI	83	83	0
HOBBS, KATIE		117	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	200	200	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		3	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		4 3	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	2	2	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		1	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		4	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		0	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		2	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		2	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		777	0 0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	<u> </u>	2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		2	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		2	0 0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		15	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	16	16	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		21	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		<u> </u>	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		5	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	15	15	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		17	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		23 22	0
HAVERS, ANASTASIA STREET - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		5	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	4	4	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		5 3	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		<u> </u>	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	5	5	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		<u> </u>	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		1	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	9	9	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		<u> </u>	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	0	0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		2	0
TOTAL	245	245	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		79	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		106 185	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	105	100	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS			
EV Batch# 12 #per Batch	195	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022			
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT				
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference			
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1		16	0			
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		20	0			
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0			
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		4	0			
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		8	0			
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	16	16	0			
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		25	0			
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		34	0			
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		<u>26</u> 6	0			
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 3		1	0			
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		1	0			
TOTAL		157	0			
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:						
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR				
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference			
LAKE, KAR HOBBS, KATIE		<u>89</u> 103	0			
TOTAL		103	0			
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		101	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN				
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference			
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2		3	0			
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		3	0			
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		<u> </u>	0			
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		5	0			
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		9	0			
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		1	0			
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		1	0			
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		2	0			
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0			
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0	0			
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		3 4	0			
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	<u></u>	4 1	0			
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST S		1	0			
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	1.5	1	0			
BLATTMAN, SETH - DISTO		1	0			
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		10	0			
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		11	0			
HUNTER, HELGO - DIST 10		5	0			
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		23	0			
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		3	0			
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		5	0			
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		4	0			
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	12	12	0			
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		13	0			
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		20	0			
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		21 25	0			
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		25 7	0			
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		8	0			
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		7	0			
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0			
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0			
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0			
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0	0			
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		<u> </u>	0			
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 23		0	0			
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		0	0			
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	-	2	0			
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		0	0			
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		0	0			
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		0	0			
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		5	0			
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		<u> </u>	0			
TOTAL		223	0			
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:						
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9			
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference			
Candidate's PROP 129 - YES	Hand Count Total	Machine Total 105	Absolute Difference 0			
Candidate's	Hand Count Total 105 71	Machine Total	Absolute Difference			

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLO	AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 47	#per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	•	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Candidate's DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
	VIN D DIST 1	777	7	0
	NE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
	I, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
	ELSON - DIST 3 RUBEN - DIST 3	<u>4</u> 14	4 14	0
	, KELLY - DIST 4	5	5	0
	, GREG - DIST 4	12	12	0
	ANDY - DIST 5	54	54	0
RAMOS, JAVIER G SMITH, CLINT W		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
	DIST 3	7	7	0
	, RAUL - DIST 7	4	4	0
	TOTAL	156	156	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		Race:	GOVERNOR	
Race category. STATEWIDE CATEDOATE	Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
	LAKE, KARI	82	82	0
	HOBBS, KATIE	111	111	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	TOTAL	193	193	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE			STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
		Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
	ISTIAN - DIST 2	1	1	0
	IUSTIN - DIST 2 , JUDY - DIST 2	0 1	0 1	0
GRESS,	MATT - DIST 4	2	2	0
SYMS, I	MARIA - DIST 4	1	1	0
TERECH, I TREADWELL, JENNIFER "	LAURA - DIST 4	<u> </u>	0 4	0
LONGDON, JEN		8	8	0
	AMISH - DIST 5	7	7	0
	CADEN - DIST 8	0	0	0
	E, BILL - DIST 8		0	0
HERNANDEZ, M SALMAN, A	THENA - DIST 8		0	0
MENDOZA, MAR			1	0
	KATHY - DIST 9		1	0
	ORENA - DIST 9 I, SETH - DIST 9	<u> </u>	0	0
	JSTIN - DIST 10	2	2	0
PARKER, BARBARA RO		3	3	0
	IELEN - DIST 10	4	4	0
PENA M., TAT DE LOS SANTOS, O	ANA - DIST 11	2 5	<u>2</u> 5	0
QUINONEZ, MARCE		6	6	0
CHASTON, JAMES		3	3	0
	ERRY - DIST 12	2	2	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PA TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STA		<u>11</u> 9	<u>11</u> 9	0
	IS, LIZ - DIST 12	2	2	0
WILLOUGHBY,		2	2	0
	NIFER - DIST 13	7	7	0
GRANTHAM, TF	RAVIS - DIST 14 URIN - DIST 14	<u> </u>	39 38	0
	ANDY - DIST 14	38	38	0
	, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
	RESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
	KEITH - DIST 16 CHELE - DIST 23	<u> 0</u> 8	0 8	0
	JS JR DIST 23	<u> </u>	6	0
SANDOVAL, MAR		8	8	0
	EVIN - DIST 27	1	1	0
	<u>, BEN - DIST 27</u> DON - DIST 27	<u>1</u> 2	1 2	0
LIVINGSTON, D		1	1	0
PINGERELLI, BEV	/ERLY - DIST 28	1	1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE I		0	0	0
— — — —				0
MONTENEGRO, S SMITH AL	TEVE - DIST 29	1	1	
SMITH, AL	STEVE - DIST 29 JSTIN - DIST 29	1	1	0 0
SMITH, AL	TEVE - DIST 29			0
SMITH, AL PODEYN, S Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	ITEVE - DIST 29 JSTIN - DIST 29 COTT - DIST 29 TOTAL	1 10 236	1 10 236	0 0 0
SMITH, AL PODEYN, S	STEVE - DIST 29 JSTIN - DIST 29 COTT - DIST 29 TOTAL	1 10 236 Race:	1 10 236 PROPOSITION 12	0 0 0 9
SMITH, AL PODEYN, S Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEA	STEVE - DIST 29 JSTIN - DIST 29 COTT - DIST 29 TOTAL SURE Candidate's	1 10 236 Race: Hand Count Total	1 10 236 PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	0 0 0
SMITH, AL PODEYN, S Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEA	STEVE - DIST 29 JSTIN - DIST 29 COTT - DIST 29 TOTAL	1 10 236 Race: Hand Count Total 91	1 10 236 PROPOSITION 12	0 0 0 9 Absolute Difference

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPOR	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 5 #per Batch			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total 27	Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 3 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 3		35	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	2 0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST		<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST		5	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST	1 8	8	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST		26	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST		<u>24</u> 4	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST		1	0
TOTAI	. 137	137	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
Candidate's			Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAR		85	0
HOBBS, KATII		111	0
TOTAI Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	. 196	196	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		0 1	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		0	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST		0	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST		0	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST			0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST		3	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST S		3	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	<u>2</u>	2	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		2 1	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST		1	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST S	2	2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST		3	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST		3	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	0 1	1	0
HUNTER, HELEO - DIST 10		1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 1 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 1		0	0
QUINONEZ, MÁRCELINO - DIST 12		1	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	2 0	0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		<u>1</u> 1	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 1		18	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	3 18	18	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		<u>18</u> 9	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		<u> </u>	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		11	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	-	14	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		10	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		10	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		<u> </u>	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		<u> </u>	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		0	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		0	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		3	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 25		4	0
TOTAI	. 177	177	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Daga	PROPOSITION 12	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's		Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	5 94	94	0
PROP 129 - NC		81	0
ΤΟΤΑΙ	. 175	175	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 19 #per Batch			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		19 35	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 3		9	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	22	22	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		8	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		<u> </u>	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		1	0
TOTAL	108	108	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAR		89	0
HOBBS, KATIE		108	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	. 197	197	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		4 4	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		5	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	12	12	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		9	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		11	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST S		1	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		1	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		2 12	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		13	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	<u> </u>	3	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		4	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST		5 4	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		1	0
HUNTER, HELEN- DIST 10		2	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		03	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		3	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		9	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		<u>8</u> 12	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		12	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		1	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		1	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM TRAVIS - DIST 14		4 4	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		4	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	3	3	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		17 13	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		15	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	25	25	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		23	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		10 7	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 23		5	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	7	7	0
TOTAL	283	283	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	97	97	0
PROP 129 - NO		91	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	188	188	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 11 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total 57	Machine Total 57	Absolute Difference
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		61	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		<u> </u>	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		4	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		17	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		6	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		8	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	1 0	<u> </u>	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
TOTAL	171	171	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	Daga	COVERNOR	
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		86	
HOBBS, KATIE		113	0
TOTAL	199	199	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race	STATE REPRESEN	ΤΔΤΙν
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	1	1	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		1	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		0 31	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		35	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		32	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		A 2	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		<u> </u>	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		3 1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		1	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	<u> </u>	3	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	3	3	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		03	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		3	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		4	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		7	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		2	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		2	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		3	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		<u>3</u> 0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	1	1	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		1	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		1	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		1	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		<u> </u>	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		1	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		1	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		2 7	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		6	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	4	4	0
TOTAL	173	173	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	D	DDODOCITION 42	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race: Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		88	0
PROP 129 - NO	88	88	0
	176	176	0
IL OTIMENTS FOR WOV 2 DISCREPANCY OCCURRED.			

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 48 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	2 4	2 4	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		12	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	56 24	56 24	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		24	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	8	8	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		5	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		<u> </u>	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
TOTAL	139	139	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	Deser		
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		73	
HOBBS, KATIE		121	0
TOTAL	194	194	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Pacer	STATE REPRESEN	ΤΔΤΙ/
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	3	3	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		2	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		5 0	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		0	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	0	0	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		0	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	1 0	<u>0 1</u> 0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST S		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	0_0	0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	()	4	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		4 10	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		10	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 🧕		15	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		16	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		<u> </u>	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		6	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	15	15	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		44	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	<u>43</u> 5	43 5	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		4	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		7	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		5	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		5 2	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		5	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		1	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		2 0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		2	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 SANDOVAL MARIANA - DIST 23	2	2	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		<u>2</u> 4	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	3	3	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	6	6	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		7	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		6 10	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28		2	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	2	2	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		1	0
TOTAL 278 278 0			
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		81	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		95 176	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	1/0	1/0	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 29 #per Batch:	198	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1		26	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1 CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	<u>35</u> 0	35 0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		1	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		9	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		3	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		3	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		19 19	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		5	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		1	0
TOTAL	121	121	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Paco:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		85	0
HOBBS, KATIE		113	0
TOTAL	198	198	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	N		ΤΛΤΙΙ/Ε
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race: Hand Count Total	STATE REPRESEN	AllVE Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	10	10	
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	9	9	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		15	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		8	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		<u>8</u> 13	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5			0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		10	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		12	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		1	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		<u> </u>	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 👂		1	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		<u> </u>	0
PENA M., TATIONA - DIST 10		0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	0	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	0	0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		1	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		<u> </u>	0 0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		<u>1</u>	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		6	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		7	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		16	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		5	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		<u>7</u> 5	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 SANDOVAL MAPIANA - DIST 23		<u> </u>	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		2	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	2	2	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	1	1	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		<u> </u>	0 0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		15	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		13	0
TOTAL		192	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12	
PROP 129 - YES	Hand Count Total 87	Nachine Lotal 87	Absolute Difference 0
PROP 129 - NO		98	0
TOTAL		185	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	
EV Batch# 4 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	9 14	<u>9</u> 14	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	1	1	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	1	1	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	18	18	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		18	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	14	14	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	14	14	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	2	2	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	3	3	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	1	1	0
TOTAL	95	95	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		85	0
HOBBS, KATIE		113	1
TOTAL		198	1
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Intent Discrepancy-Ballo			
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Hand Count Total	STATE REPRESEN Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	Hand Count Total	1	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	3	3	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	7	7	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	9	9	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		7	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		10	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	1	1	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	0	0	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	0	0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	1	1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	1,0	1	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	2	2	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	2	2	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	2	2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		2	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		0	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DISU9		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		24	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	23	23	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	22	22	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	0	0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	0	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	0	0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	0	0	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	0	0	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 12	0	0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	0	0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	3	3	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	2	2	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	2	2	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	0	0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	8	8	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	7	7	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	10	10	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	17	17	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	15	15	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	14	14	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	7	7	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	6	6	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENECRO STEVE DIST 28	11	11	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 SMITH AUSTIN - DIST 29	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
TOTAL	256	256	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	230	230	
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		110	0
PROP 129 - NO		78	0
TOTAL		188	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 14 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total 13	Machine Total 13	Absolute Difference
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	-	23	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	6 48	<u>6</u> 48	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST S	8	8	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	33	33	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	1	1	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	0 0	0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	0	0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	0	0	0
TOTAL	132	132	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI	65	65	0
HOBBS, KATIE	130	130	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	195	195	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		7 10	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	10	10	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	7	7	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		5	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	<u>11</u> 0	<u>11</u> 0	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		2	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		2	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		4	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		4	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	()	<u>29</u> 27	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	<u> </u>	3	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		3	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0 0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		3	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	4	4	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	1 7	1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		7 38	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	33	33	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	1	1	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		1	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	0	0	0
HAVERS, ANASTASIA STACET - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		3	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	0	0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		0	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	0	0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10		1	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	<u>1</u> 1	<u>1</u> 1	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	1	1	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	2	2	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	2 1	2 1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	26	26	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		12	0
TOTAL 289 289 0			
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		87	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		96 183	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	100	100	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 36 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		<u>21</u> 26	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		4	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		5 9	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		6	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		16	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		11	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		2 1	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
TOTAL	. 101	101	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Paco:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAR		93	0
HOBBS, KATIE		98	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	. 191	191	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	2	2	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		<u> </u>	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		7	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		6	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		15	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		5	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		9 11	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	126-2	1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		1	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		0	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		0 2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		2	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST	1	1	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST		1	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		<u>8</u> 7	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	. 0	0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	3	3	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		3	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		12	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		<u> </u>	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	1	1	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	0	0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		0 2	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		2	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	4	4	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		33	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		37 33	0
MOLEROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		<u> </u>	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	3	3	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		4	0
TOTAL 248 248 0			
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	101	101	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		84 185	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	103	102	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 13 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	,
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	26	26	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	41	41	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	11	11	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	30	30	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	33	33	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	20	20	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	5	5	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	0 1	<u> </u>	0
TOTAL	172	172	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	172	1/2	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		85	0
HOBBS, KATIE		107	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	192	192	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Rare	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	2	2	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	3	3	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	3	3	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	18	18	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	<u> </u>	<u>14</u> 22	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	3	3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	7	7	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	9	9	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	2 (F	2	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	2	2	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	6	6	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	6	6	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		<u>1</u> 2	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		2	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	2	2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	2	2	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	1	1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	0	0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	0	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	1	1	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	20	20	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	18	18	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	34	34	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	34	34	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	17	17	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	2	2	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	3	23	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	0	0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	0	0	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 29	7	7	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	6	6	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	4	4	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	0	0	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	0	0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	1	1	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	272	272	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	95	95	0
PROP 129 - NO		90	0
TOTAL	185	185	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN **DIVISION 4** DATE: DECEMBER 9, 2022

COURT ORDER/NOTICE/RULING

JEANNE KENTCH, an individual, **TED BOYD. an individual. ABRAHAM** HAMADEH, an individual; and **REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE.** a federal political party committee, Plaintiffs,

VS.

KRIS MAYES, Defendant

and

WDEWOCBACYDOCK KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as the Secretary of State; LARRY NOBLE, in his official capacity as the Apache County Recorder; APACHE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISOR in their official capacity; DAVID W STEVENS, in his official capacity as Cochise County Recorder: COCHISE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity: PATTY HANSEN, in her official capacity as the Coconino County Recorder: COCONINO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; SADIE JO BINGHAM, in her official capacity as Gila County Recorder; GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; WENDY JOHN, in her official capacity as Graham County **Recorder; GRAHAM COUNTY BOARD OF** SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity;



*DL

SHARIE MILHEIRO, in her official capacity as Greenlee County Recorder: GREENLEE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; RICHARD GARCIA, in his capacity as the La Paz County Recorder; LA PAZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; STEPHEN **RICHER**, in his official capacity as the Maricopa County Recorder; MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; KRISTI BLAIR, in her official capacity as the Mohave County Recorder; MOHAVE COUNTY BOARD **OF SUPERVISORS.** in their official capacity; MICHAEL SAMPLE, in his JORD J. in their official J. GABRIELLA CAZARES-KELLY, In her official capacity as the Pima County Recorder; PIMA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; DANA LEWIS, in her official capacity as the Pinal official SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; SUSANNE SAINZ, in her official capacity as the Santa Cruz County Recorder: SANTA CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; MICHELLE M BURCHILL, in her official capacity as the Yavapai County Recorder: YAVAPAI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; **RICHARD COLWELL**, in his official capacity As the Yuma County Recorder; and YUMA **COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their** official capacity.

Defendants

The Court has received a Statement of Election Contest (Expedited Election Proceeding Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 16-672, et seq.).

Pursuant to the statute, a hearing must be held within 10 days. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED setting this matter for Return Hearing on Friday, December 16, 2022 at 8:30 a.m. This is a return hearing. The parties will be expected to discuss the scheduling of briefing, discovery and oral arguments.

The parties may appear by Zoom by following the instructions below.

To join the Zoom meeting by video (preferred), participants can go to Zoom.us and click on 'Join'; the Court's meeting ID # is 258656631. The password to join is 2141912.

To attend the Zoom meeting telephonically, the participants may call 1-669-900-AETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCK 6833 or toll free at 1-833-548-0282 with meeting ID # 258656631. The password to join is 2141912.

CC:

David A Warrington* Gary Lawkoski* DHILLON LAW GROUP INC and Timothy A La Sota* TIMOTHY A LA SOTA PLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Kris Mayes (Copy to be served) Defendant

Katie Hobbs (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Larry Noble (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Apache County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

David W Stevens (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Cochise County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) **Defendants**

Patty Hansen (Copy to be Served) Defendant

PETRIEVED FROM DEMOGRACY DOCKET, COM **Coconino County Board of Supervisors** (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Sadie Jo Bingham (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Gila County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Wendy John (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Graham County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Sharie Milheiro (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Greenlee County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) **Defendants**

Richard Garcia (Copy to be Served) Defendant

La Paz County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Stephen Richer (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Maricopa County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Kristi Blair (Copy to be Served) Defendant

5 STRANGER CONDENCORACIDOCIET.COM Mohave County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Michael Sample (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Navajo County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Gabriella Cazares-Kelly (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Pima County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) **Defendants**

Dana Lewis (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Pinal County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Suzanne Sainz (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Michelle M Burchill (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Yavapai County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Richard Colwell (Copy to be Served) Defendant

Yuma County Board of Supervisors (Copy to be Served) Defendants

Honorable Lee F Jantzen Division 4

Supervisors n Reference of the second of the