FILED Christina Spurlock CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 12/15/2022 3:25PM BY: GHOWELL DEPUTY

| | | DEPUTY |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | SHEILA POLK | |
| 2 | YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY | |
| | Firm No. 00048700 | |
| 3 | Thomas M. Stoxen, SBN 014904 | |
| 4 | thomas.stoxen@yavapaiaz.gov | |
| _ | M. Colleen Connor, SBN 015679 colleen.connor@yavapaiaz.gov | |
| 5 | Michael J. Gordon, SBN 021798 | |
| 6 | michael.gordon@yavapaiaz.gov | |
| 7 | Deputy County Attorney | |
| - | 255 E. Gurley Street | |
| 8 | Prescott, AZ 86301 | |
| 9 | (928) 771-3344 Attornovs for Voyanai County Recorder | |
| 10 | Attorneys for Yavapai County Recorder and Board of Supervisors | |
| 10 | | N |
| 11 | IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR T | HE STATE OF ARIZONA |
| 12 | IN AND FOR THE COUNT | TY OF MOHAVE |
| 13 | JEANNE KENTCH, an individual; TED BOYD | Case No. S8015CV-2022-01468 |
| 14 | an individual; ABRAHAM HAMADEH, ar | Cuse 110. 50019C V 2022 01100 |
| | individual; and REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, a federal pointical party | YAVAPAI COUNTY DEFENDANTS' |
| 15 | COMMITTEE, a federal polytical party committee | RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO |
| 16 | | PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO |
| 17 | Plaintiffs/Contestants, | EXPEDITE DISCOVERY AND PETITON TO INSPECT BALLOTS |
| 1/ | v. | |
| 18 | KRIS MAYES, | |
| 19 | | (Assigned to the Honorable Lee F. |
| | Defendant/Contestee, | Jantzen) |
| 20 | and | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as the | |
| 22 | Secretary of State; LARRY NOBLE, in his official capacity as the Apache County | |
| 23 | Recorder; APACHE COUNTY BOARD OF | |
| 24 | SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; | |
| | DAVID W. STEVENS, in his official capacity | |
| 25 | as Cochise County Recorder; COCHISE | |
| 26 | COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in | |
| 27 | their official capacity; PATTY HANSEN, in her | |
| | official capacity as the Coconino County Recorder; COCONINO COUNTY BOARD OF | |
| 28 | | |
| | 1 | |
| | | |

1 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; SADIE JO BINGHAM, in her official capacity 2 as Gila County Recorder; GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official 3 capacity; WENDY JOHN, in her official 4 capacity as Graham County Recorder: COUNTY GRAHAM BOARD OF 5 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 6 SHARIE MILHEIRO, in her official capacity as County Recorder; GREENLEE Greenlee 7 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; RICHARD GARCIA, in 8 his capacity as the La Paz County Recorder; LA 9 PAZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; STEPHEN RICHER, 10 in his official capacity as the Maricopa County 11 Recorder; MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 12 KRISTI BLAIR, in her official capacity as the Recorder; MOHAVE Mohave County 13 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in 14 their official capacity; MICHAEL SAMPLE, in his official capacity as Navajo County Recorder; 15 NAVAJO COUNTY BOARD OF 16 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; GABRIELLA CAZARES KELLY, in her 17 official capacity as the Pima County Recorder; PIMA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, 18 in their official capacity; DANA LEWIS, in her 19 official capacity as the Pinal County Recorder; PINAL COUNTY BOARD OF 20 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 21 SUZANNE SAINZ, in her official capacity as the Santa Cruz County Recorder; SANTA 22 CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 23 MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official 24 capacity as the Yavapai County Recorder; YAVAPAI COUNTY BOARD OF 25 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 26 RICHARD COLWELL, in his official capacity as the Yuma County Recorder; and YUMA 27 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity, 28

Defendants.

| - | | |
|----------|---|--|
| 3 | Rather than repeating the arguments made by the other parties regarding the | |
| 4 | limitations of discovery to ballot inspection, Defendants Yavapai County Board of | |
| 5 | Supervisors and Recorders' (hereinafter the "County") response in opposition to Plaintiffs' | |
| 6 7 | Motion to Expedite Discovery addresses the insurmountable practical and logistical hurdles | |
| 8 | the County would face if the Court were to grant Plaintiffs' Motion. In addition, the | |
| 9 | County's response to the Verified Petition to Inspect Ballots addresses the Plaintiffs' | |
| 10 | overreach in expecting the Counties to pre-sort certain categories of ballots and the | |
| 11 12 | Plaintiffs' failure to recognize the statutory limitation on inspecting the original ballots. | |
| 13 | I. Plaintiffs' request for images of 103,417 voters' signatures in mere days is | |
| 14 | absurd and should be denied | |
| 15 | "[T]he Plaintiffs/Contestants seek from all counties images of the signature | |
| 16 | specimens used to verify early ballot affidavits pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-550(A)." (Plaintiffs' | |
| 17 | Motion to Expedite Discovery, Pg. 4, Lines 8-10). In other words, Plaintiffs are seeking | |
| 18 19 | copies of all examples of voters' signatures on the voter registration record for all voters | |
| 20 | who cast an early ballot in the November 8, 2022 General Election. ¹ The County requests | |
| 21 | the Court take judicial notice of Yavapai County's General Election Canvass, | |
| 22 | 2022_General_Canvass_Yavapai.pdf (azsos.gov) at 17. | |
| 23 | Yavapai County verified 103,417 early ballots in the November 8, 2022 General | |
| 24 25 | Election. If the Court orders the relief Plaintiffs request, the County will have to produce | |
| 23 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | ^{$\overline{1}$} Despite the language in the body of Plaintiffs' Motion, the sample subpoena attached to that Motion contains somewhat different language. 3 | |
| | | |

1 images of all signature examples from each of the 103,417 voter registration records. 2 Additionally, Plaintiffs expect the County to produce these 103,417 images no later than 3 seven (7) days prior to trial. With the evidentiary hearing scheduled for December 23, 2022, 4 seven days prior to that date is Friday, December 16, 2022. 5 6 Even if the Plaintiffs wanted the images one (1) day before the evidentiary hearing, 7 it would be impossible in the compressed statutory timelines for the County – currently 8 running a statewide recount, no less – to assemble all signature images for the voters who 9 cast those early ballots. Therefore, Plaintiffs' request for expedited discovery through their 10 *Motion to Expedite Discovery* – in the form of requests for production – should be denied. 11 12 П. Plaintiffs' petition to compel the County to pre-sort tens of thousands of 13 ballots and then hand over the original ballots lacks statutory authority and should be denied. 14 Plaintiffs Verified Petition to Inspect Ballots should be denied because it drastically 15 16 strays from the clear and unambiguous statutory language that allows for inspection of 17 ballots. The statute simply states that "[e]ither party may have the ballots inspected before 18 preparing for trial." A.R.S. § 16-677(A). Nothing in the statute would require the County to 19 sort through the 124,871 voted ballots to find those where there is a recorded undervote in 20 21 the contest for Arizona Attorney General, or to find ballots that were duplicated or 22 adjudicated. 23 Plaintiffs' *Petition* not only mistakenly assumes that the County shall pre-sort and 24 categorize the ballots for a contestant, but the *Petition* also fails to recognize the strict 25 26 logistical requirements of having the ballots be inspected by a three-person panel and in the 27 presence of the legal custodian. 28 4

| 1 | The County cannot simply hand over the original ballots for an unknown number of | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | individuals to handle and inspect. A contestant may only be permitted to inspect ballots | |
| 4 | upon the Court's appointment of "three persons, one selected by each of the parties and one | |
| 5 | selected by the court." A.R.S. § 16-677(B). Also, "[t]he inspection of the ballots shall be | |
| 6 | made in the presence of the legal custodian of the ballots," A.R.S. § 16-677(A). | |
| 7 | Therefore, any inspection of the original ballots cast in the November 8, 2022 General | |
| 8 | Election should only be permitted as specifically prescribed by statute. | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Conclusion | |
| 11 | For all the reasons discussed above, the Court should deny Plaintiffs' Petition and | |
| 12 | Motion to Expedite Discovery. | |
| 13 | RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15th day of December, 2022. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | SHEILA POLK YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY | |
| 16 | R | |
| 17 | By: <u>/s/Colleen Connor</u> Colleen Connor | |
| 18 | Deputy County Attorney | |
| 19 | Copy of the foregoing emailed this 15 th day of December, 2022, to the | |
| 20 | following: | |
| 21 | David A. Warrington | |
| 22 | Gary Lawkowski DHILLON LAW GROUP, INC. | |
| 23 | 2121 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 608 | |
| 24 | Alexandria, VA 22314 DWarrington@dhillonlaw.com | |
| 25 | GLawkowski@dhillonlaw.com | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 5 | |

| 1 | Timothy A La Sota, |
|----|---|
| 2 | TIMOTHY A. LA SOTA, PLC |
| | 2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305 |
| 3 | Phoenix, Arizona 85016 tim@timlasota.com |
| 4 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Contestants |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Celeste Robertson |
| | Joseph Young |
| 7 | Apache County Attorney's Office |
| 8 | 245 West 1st South St. Johns, AZ 85936 |
| 9 | crobertson@apachelaw.net |
| | jyoung@apachelaw.net |
| 10 | Attorneys for Defendant, Larry Noble, Apache County Recorder, |
| 11 | Attorneys for Defendant, Larry Noble, Apache County Recorder, and Apache County Board of Supervisors Christine J. Roberts Paul Correa Cochise County Attorney's Office P.O. Drawer CA Bisbee, AZ 85603 croberts@cochise.az.gov |
| 12 | Christine J. Roberts |
| | Paul Correa |
| 13 | Cochise County Attorney's Office P.O. Drawer CA |
| 14 | Bisbee, AZ 85603 |
| 15 | croberts@cochise.az.gov |
| 16 | pcorrea@cochise.az.gov |
| 16 | Attorneys for Defendant, David W. Stevens, Cochise County Recorder, and Cochise County Board of Supervisors |
| 17 | und coenise county bound of Supervisors |
| 18 | Bill Ring |
| 19 | Coconino County Attorney's Office |
| | 110 East Cherry Avenue Flagstaff, AZ 86001 |
| 20 | wring@coconino.az.gov |
| 21 | Attorney for Defendant, Patty Hansen, Coconino County Recorder, |
| 22 | and Coconino County Board of Supervisors |
| | Jeff Dalton |
| 23 | Gila County Attorney's Office |
| 24 | 1400 East Ash Street |
| 25 | Globe, AZ 85501 jdalton@gilacountyaz.gov |
| | Attorney for Defendant, Sadie Jo Bingham, Gila County Recorder, |
| 26 | and Gila County Board of Supervisors |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 6 |

| 1 | Jean Roof |
|----|--|
| 2 | Graham County Attorney's Office 800 West Main Street |
| 3 | Safford, AZ 85546 |
| 4 | jroof@graham.az.gov Attorneys for Defendant, Wendy John, Graham County Recorder, |
| 5 | and Graham County Board of Supervisors |
| 6 | |
| | Rob Gilliland |
| 7 | Greenlee County Attorney's Office |
| 8 | P.O. Box 1717 Clifton, AZ 85533 |
| 9 | rgilliland@greenlee.az.gov |
| 10 | Attorney for Defendant, Sharie Milheiro, Greenlee County Recorder, and Greenlee County Board of Supervisors |
| 11 | |
| 12 | and Greenlee County Board of Supervisors Ryan N. Dooley La Paz County Attorney's Office 1320 Kofa Avenue Parker, AZ 85344 rdooley@lapazcountyaz.org |
| 13 | 1320 Kofa Avenue |
| 14 | Parker, AZ 85344 rdooley@lapazcountyaz.org |
| 15 | Attorney for Defendant, Richard Carcia, La Paz County Recorder, and La Paz County Board of Supervisors |
| 16 | ERO(1 |
| 17 | Ryan Esplin Mahawa Cauptu Attornay'a Office Civil Division |
| 18 | Mohave County Attorney's Office Civil Division P.O. Box 7000 |
| 19 | Kingman, AZ 86402-7000 |
| 20 | EspliR@mohave.gov Attorney for Defendant, Kristi Blair, Mohave County Recorder, |
| | and Mohave County Board of Supervisors |
| 21 | Jason Moore |
| 22 | Navajo County Attorney's Office |
| 23 | P.O. Box 668 Holbrook, AZ 86025-0668 |
| 24 | jason.moore@navajocountyaz.gov |
| 25 | Attorney for Defendant, Michael Sample, Navajo County Recorder, and Navajo County Board of Supervisors |
| 26 | |
| 27 | Daniel Jurkowitz Ellen Brown |
| 28 | Javier Gherna |
| | 7 |

| 1 | Pima County Attorney's Office |
|----|---|
| 2 | 32 N. Stone #2100 |
| | Tucson, AZ 85701 |
| 3 | Daniel.Jurkowitz@pcao.pima.gov Ellen.Brown@pcao.pima.gov |
| 4 | Javier.Gherna@pcao.pima.gov |
| 5 | Attorney for Defendant Gabriella Cázares-Kelley, Pima County Recorder, and Pima County Board of Supervisors |
| 6 | Time county board of Supervisors |
| 7 | Craig Cameron |
| 8 | Scott Johnson Allen Quist |
| | Jim Mitchell |
| 9 | Pinal County Attorney's Office 30 North Florence Street |
| 10 | |
| 11 | craig.cameron@pinal.gov |
| 12 | Florence, AZ 85132 craig.cameron@pinal.gov scott.m.johnson@pinal.gov allen.quist@pinal.gov james.mitchell@pinal.gov |
| 13 | J |
| 14 | Attorneys for Defendant, Dana Lewis, Pinal County Recorder, and Pinal County Board of Supervisors |
| 15 | EMP - |
| | Kimberly Hunley Laura Roubicek |
| 16 | Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office |
| 17 | 2150 North Congress Drive, Suite 201 |
| 18 | Nogales, AZ 85621-1090 khunley@santacruzcountyaz.gov |
| 19 | lroubicek@santacruzcountyaz.gov |
| 20 | Attorneys for Defendant, Suzanne Sainz, Santa Cruz County Recorder, and Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors |
| 21 | |
| 21 | Bill Kerekes Yuma County Attorney's Office |
| | 198 South Main Street |
| 23 | Yuma, AZ 85364 bill.kerekes@yumacountyaz.gov |
| 24 | Attorney for Defendant, Richard Colwell, Yuma County Recorder, |
| 25 | and Yuma County Board of Supervisors |
| 26 | D. Andrew Gaona |
| 27 | Coppersmith Brockelman PLC 2800 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1900 |
| 28 | Phoenix, AZ 85004 |
| _0 | 8 |
| | |

| 1 | agaona@cblawyers.com |
|----------|--|
| 2 | Sambo (Bo) Dul |
| 3 | States United Democracy Center |
| 4 | 8205 S. Priest Drive, #10312 Tempe, AZ 85284 |
| 5 | bo@statesuniteddemocracy.org Attorneys for Secretary of State |
| 6 | Automoys for Secretary of State |
| 7 | By <u>/s/Jeanie Mikels</u> |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | K.COM. |
| 12 | RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM |
| 13 | ACTIV |
| 14 | Moch |
| 15 | ON DE. |
| 16 | 10 FR-C |
| 17 | REFIE |
| 18 | |
| 19 20 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 22 | |
| 22 23 | |
| 23 24 | |
| 24 25 | |
| 23 26 | |
| 20 | |
| 27 | |
| _0 | 9 |
| | |