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## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FORTHE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE GOUNTY OF MARICOPA

ABRAHAM HAMADEH, an individual; and REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, a federal political party committee,

## Plaintiffis/Contestants,

v.

KRIS MAYES,

> Defendant/Contestee,
and

KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as the Secretary of State; LARRY NOBLE, in his official capacity as the Apache County Recorder; APACHE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; DAVID W. STEVENS, in his official capacity as Cochise County Recorder; COCHISE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; PATTY HANSEN, in her official capacity as the Coconino County

# STATEMENT OF ELECTION 

 CONTEST(Expedited Election Proceeding Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 16-672, et seq.)

Recorder; COCONINO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; SADIE JO BINGHAM, in her official capacity as Gila County Recorder; GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; WENDY JOHN, in her official capacity as Graham County Recorder; GRAHAM COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; SHARIE MILHEIRO, in her official capacity as Greenlee County Recorder; GREENLEE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; RICHARD GARCIA, in his capacity as the La Paz County Recorder; LA PAZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; STEPHEN RICHER, in his official capacity as the Maricopa County Recorder; MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; KRISTI BLAIR, in hes official capacity as the Mohave County Recorder; MOHAVE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official ciapacity; MICHAEL SAMPLE, in his official capacity as Navajo County Recorder, NAVAJO COUNTY BOARD OF SLPPERVISORS, in their official capacity; GABRIELLA CAZARES-KELLY, if her official capacity as the Pima County Recorder; PIMA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; DANA LEWIS, in her official capacity as the Pinal County Recorder; PINAL COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; SUZANNE SAINZ, in her official capacity as the Santa Cruz County Recorder; SANTA CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official capacity as the Yavapai County Recorder; YAVAPAI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; RICHARD COLWELL, in his official capacity as the Yuma County Recorder; and

## YUMA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity, <br> Defendants. <br> Plaintiffs hereby state and allege as follows: <br> SUMMARY OF THE CASE

1. The Plaintiffs are not, by this lawsuit, alleging any fraud, manipulation or other intentional wrongdoing that would impugn the outcomes of the November 8,2022 general election.
2. The election was, however, afflicted with certain errors and inaccuracies in the management of some polling place operations, and in the processing and tabulation of some ballots. The cumulative effect of these mistakes is material to the race for Arizona Attorney General, where the candidates are separated by just 510 votes out of more than 2.5 million ballots cast-a margin of two onethundredths of one percent ( $0.02 \%$ ).
3. When, as here, an accretion of erroneous ballot processing or tallying determinations is potentially dispositive of an election for public office, Arizona law permits any elector to initiatea contest proceeding to ensure that inaccuracies or illegalities in the canvassed returns are judicially remedied, and the declared result conforms to the will of the electorate. See A.R.S. $\S \S 16-672$, et seq.
4. The Recorders and Boards of Supervisors of the fifteen counties (collectively, the "County Defendants") have, in at least five respects, caused the unlawful denial of the franchise to certain qualified electors, erroneously tallied certain ballots, and included for tabulation in the canvass certain illegal votes in connection with the election for the office of Arizona Attomey General. Specifically:
a. The Maricopa County Defendants have improperly disqualified provisional ballots and early ballots submitted by individuals who, as a direct and proximate result of poll worker error, were incorrectly
designated in electronic pollbooks as having previously voted in the same election;
b. Upon information and belief, the Maricopa County Defendants have improperly and unconstitutionally deprived individuals whose eligibility could not be confirmed of an opportunity to cast a provisional ballot;
c. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants have erroneously transposed and improperly tabulated voters' indicated candidate selections when duplicating certain ballots that could not be electronically tabulated; and
d. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants have erroneously determined and improperly tabulated voters' indicated candidate selections when adjudicating certain ballots that could not be electronically tabulated.
e. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants improperly accepted for processing and tabulation certain early ballots that were accompanied 10 affidavits presenting a signature that did not match the signature on file in the putative voter's "registration record." A.R.S. § $16-500(\mathrm{~A})$.
5. Immediate judicial intervention is necessary to secure the accuracy of the results of the November 8, 2022 general election, and to ensure that candidate who received the highest number of lawful votes is declared the next Arizona Attorney General.

## JURISDICTION

6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article $6, \S 14$ of the Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. § 16-672(A)-(B), and Arizona Rule of Special Action Procedure 3.
7. Venue lies in Maricopa County pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-672(B).

PARTIES
8. Plaintiff/Contestant Abraham Hamadeh is a qualified elector of the State of

Arizona and of Maricopa County, and resides in Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona. ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Hamadeh is the Republican Party's nominee for the office of Arizona Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election.
9. Plaintiff Republican National Committee is a national political party committee that is responsible for the strategic and day-to-day operation of the Republican Party at the national level and for promoting the election of Republican candidates for office in Arizona and across the United States.
10. Defendant/Contestee Kris Mayes is the Democratic Party's nominee for the office of Arizona Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election.
11. Defendant Katie Hobbs is the Secretary of State of Arizona, and is named in this action in her official capacity only. The Secretary of State is the public officer charged by law with conducting the canvass of the returns ior statewide offices and with declaring the persons elected to such offices. See A.R.S.§§ 16-648, 16-650.
12. The county recorders in each of Apache County, Cochise County, Coconino County, Gila County, Graham County, Greenlee County, La Paz County, Maricopa County, Mohave County, Navajo County, Pima County, Pinal County, Santa Cruz County, Yavapai County, and Yuma County are named in this action in their respective official capacities only. The County Recorder is the principal elections officer of his or her county and is responsible for overseeing and directing numerous components of election administration within the jurisdiction, to include the processing, verification and tabulation of early ballots, and the appointment and oversight of Ballot Duplication Boards and Electronic Adjudication Boards. See A.R.S. §§ 16-541, -542, -543, -544, -550, -602, -621.
13. The boards of supervisors in each of Apache County, Cochise County, Coconino County, Gila County, Graham County, Greenlee County, La Paz County, Maricopa County, Mohave County, Navajo County, Pima County, Pinal County, Santa Cruz County, Yavapai County, and Yuma County are named in this action in their

[^0] A.R.S. § 16-153.
respective official capacities only. Each Board of Supervisors is charged by law with conducting elections within its jurisdictional boundaries, to include appointing polling location election boards, overseeing the operations of polling locations on Election Day, and canvassing the returns of elections in the county. See A.R.S. §§ 11-251(3), 16-446, 447(A), -511, -531, -642, -645, -646.
14. Upon information and belief, the fifteen Boards of Supervisors will, on or before November 28, 2022, canvass the returns of the November 8, 2022 general election in their respective counties, and deliver the canvass results to the Secretary of State.
15. Upon information and belief, the Secretary of State will, on or before December 5, 2022, canvass the returns of the November 8, 2022 general election and declare the Contestee elected to the office of Arizona Aitorney General.
16. For the reasons set forth herein, the canvass upon which such declaration will be premised is afflicted by election board misconduct, the tallying of unlawful ballots, and the erroneous counting of votes, within the meaning of A.R.S. § $16-672(\mathrm{~A})(1)$, (A)(4), and (A)(5). Upon information and belief, a complete and correct tabulation of all lawful ballots will establish that Contestant Hanadeh received the highest number of votes for the office of Arizona Attorney Generat in the November 8, 2022 general election.

## GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

## Erroneous Vote Counts Due to Pervasive Poll Worker Error in Maricopa County

17. Broadly speaking, the voting process in Arizona is bifurcated; qualified electors may cast either an "early ballot" or an Election Day ballot.
18. A qualified elector may cast an "early ballot" at any time during the 27 days preceding the election. Early ballots may be obtained and returned via mail. Alternatively, early ballots may be cast in-person at designated early voting locations, dropped off at official drop box locations during the early voting period, or dropped off at polling locations on Election Day. In-person early voting concludes on the Friday preceding the election, although voters confronting unforeseen exigencies that would prevent them from voting in-
person on Election Day may cast a ballot at an "emergency" early voting location during the ensuing three-day period. See A.R.S. § 16-542.
19. As an alternative to early voting, voters may obtain and cast a ballot in-person at a polling location on Election Day.
20. Every polling location is staffed by an election board consisting of an inspector, marshal, and two judges. The inspector is the chairman of the election board. See A.R.S. §§ 16-531, -534(A).
21. Maricopa County utilized a "voting center" model in the November 8, 2022 general election. Under this framework, a qualified elector of Maricopa County may appear at any designated voting center site within the county, regardless of whether the voting center is located within the precinct in which the voter resides. Once the voter's identity is verified and s/he "checks in" by signing the elestronic pollbook (e-pollbook), the poll workers print a customized ballot that includes all candidate races and ballot propositions for which the elector is eligible to vote. E-pollbooks reflect in real-time an elector's status as having voted or not voted, and areflectronically synchronized across all polling locations countywide.
22. After marking their ballots at the voting center, voters feed them into the tabulation machine, which instantaneously processes and tabulates all properly indicated selections on the ballot.
23. Shortly after voting hours commenced at 6:00 a.m. on Election Day, the ballot tabulation devices stationed at approximately 70 voting centers in Maricopa County (i.e., roughly one third of all voting centers in Maricopa County) began to malfunction. Specifically, the tabulators regularly rejected or otherwise failed to process ballots that, on their face, had been properly and sufficiently completed.
24. These extensive and significant disruptions to Election Day operations in Maricopa County have been widely reported by national and local news media outlets. See, e.g., Caitlin McFall, "Maricopa County, Arizona, Officials Say 20\% of Voting Locations Experiencing 'Hiccups' with Tabulators," Fox News, Nov. 8, 2022, available at
https://www.foxnews.com/politics/maricopa-county-arizona-officials-say-20-voting-locations-experiencing-hiccups-tabulators; Sasha Hupka, "Early Glitches with Maricopa County Election Machines Frustrate Voters," ArIZ. Republic, Nov. 9, 2022, available at https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/elections/2022/11/08/arizona-election-problems-maricopa-county-tabulator-issues/8302133001/; Tweet of Brahm Resnick, Nov. 8, 2022 at $1: 37$ p.m., available at https://twitter.com/brahmresnik/status/1590081166295859200 (reporting that "about 60 voting centers were hit with tabulator problems").
25. Voters whose ballots could not be read by a malfunctioning tabulator were confronted with five possible options.
a. First, the voter could choose simpiy to wait until the tabulator was restored to working order-an encertain contingency that could take hours.
b. Second, the voter could deposit the voted ballot into a separate receptacle (known as "Door 32 ) for later tabulation at the Central Counting Center, although the veters selecting this option would be unable to visually and personally confirm the tabulation of their ballots.
c. Third the voter could request to utilize an accessible voting device (which is designed primarily for persons with disabilities), upon which they could complete and cast a ballot electronically. See A.R.S. § 16447. Upon information and belief, however, most or all voting centers in Maricopa County maintained only one accessible voting device on site and many locations lacked supplies necessary for the proper operation of such devices. Maricopa County did not instruct voters of this option.
d. Fourth, the voter could spoil his or her initial ballot, "check out" of the voting center, and present at another voting center, where $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ could check-in and vote a full regular ballot.
e. Fifth, if the voter had previously obtained an early ballot, he or she could "check out" of the voting center, vote that early ballot, execute the accompanying early ballot affidavit, and deposit it at the voting center for later processing and tabulation at the Central Counting Center. See A.R.S. §§ 16-547, -548.
26. Importantly, however, the fourth and fifth options required poll workers at the initial polling location to "check out" the voter-i,e., indicate in the e-pollbook that the voter left the polling location without casting a ballot. If the voter is not checked out, he or she is recorded in the e-pollbook as having already voted. Consequently, if the voter subsequently presents at a different polling location, she or he will be permitted to cast only a provisional ballot, which Maricopa County will not dabulate. See A.R.S. § 16-584(D). Similarly, if the voter is not "checked out" and thet deposits a completed early ballot, that early ballot will be voided.
27. Poll workers at some polling locations were unaware of the process for checking a voter out of the polling location. Upon information and belief, Maricopa County poll workers received no training (or, alternatively, inadequate training) on the process for checking voters out of a polfing place.
28. Across Maricopa County, numerous qualified electors "checked in" at a voting center but did not either "check out" or cast a ballot.
29. Upon information and belief, poll workers failed to properly "check out" numerous Maricopa County voters who chose to spoil their ballots and vote by alternative means. This pervasive and systematic error directly and proximately resulted in three recurring scenarios in which qualified electors were unlawfully and unconstitutionally disenfranchised.
a. Upon information and belief, at least 146 voters who were not properly "checked out" at their initial polling location and who later presented at a different polling location were required to vote using provisional
ballots, which will not be counted because the elector was erroneously recorded in the e-pollbook as having already voted.
b. Upon information and belief, at least 273 voters who were not properly "checked out" at their initial polling location and who later deposited a completed early ballot at the same or a different voting center had their early ballots voided and not tabulated because the elector was erroneously recorded in the e-pollbook as having already voted.
c. Upon information and belief, a material number of voters who were not properly "checked out" at their initial polling location and who later presented at a different polling location were denied an opportunity to cast a provisional ballot at all, in viodation of Arizona law. A.R.S. § 16584.
30. At 8:01 a.m. on Election Day, as the disorder in Maricopa County voting centers was escalating quickly, Bill Gates, the Chairman of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors, tweeted the following satement:

If you're at a polling place experiencing an issue with a tabulator, you have three options \& your vote will be counted in each. 1)stay where you are and wait for tabulator to come online 2 drop your ballot in the secure slot (door 3) on tabulator 3) go to a nearby voting center.

See Exhibit A.
31. Chairman Gates's tweet was incomplete because it omitted two of the solutions available to affected voters (namely, using the accessible voting device, and dropping off a mail-in ballot). It was materially misleading because it stated that the voters could simply "go to a nearby voting center" without specifying that voters must check out of a polling location before traveling to a second location. And it was objectively false in assuring voters that their "vote will be counted in each" contingency when, as described above, Chairman Gates's instructions foreseeably resulted in the disenfranchisement of a significant number of qualified electors who followed his instructions.
32. The Republican National Committee and several candidates for statewide office initiated emergency proceedings to extend polling hours to mitigate the effects of the confusion and delays engendered by the compounded effects of tabulator malfunctions and poll worker error. This Court denied the requested relief. See Republican National Committee v. Richer, Maricopa County Civil Action No. CV2022-014827.
33. By inducing voters to leave polling locations and then denying-through a consistent and erroneous practice of failing to properly implement "check-out" procedures-these qualified electors their right to duly cast a ballot for tabulation, the Maricopa County Defendants engaged (through their election boards) in cognizable "misconduct," and wrongfully excluded valid and legally sufficient votes from the canvass in the race for Arizona Attorney General. See A.R.S. Şib-672(A)(1), (A)(5).

## Ballot Duplication Errors

34. Occasionally, voted ballots are reeeived in a damaged or defective form-for example, tears, wrinkles or perforations infthe ballot paper, or stains from spilled beverages or other foreign substances--that renders them unreadable by electronic tabulation devices.
35. To process such ballots, the County Recorder must establish a Ballot Duplication Board that consists of at least two individuals who are not members of the same political party. The Batiot Duplication Board must transpose the voter's indicated electoral selections from the damaged or defective ballot onto a new duplicate ballot. Both the original and duplicate ballots are assigned a shared unique serial number; the duplicate ballot is labeled as such and then fed to the tabulator for electronic tabulation. See A.R.S. § 16-621(A); Ariz. Sec'y of State, 2019 Elections Procedures MANUAL (rev. Dec. 2019) ["EPM"] at p. 201.
36. In the 2020 general election for presidential electors, Ballot Duplication Boards in Maricopa County erroneously transposed at least $0.37 \%$ of ballots designated for duplication.
37. Upon information and belief, a substantially similar or greater proportion of ballots designated for duplication in the November 8, 2022 general election have been
erroneously transposed, thereby resulting in the unlawful mistabulation of a ballot lawfully cast by a qualified elector.

## Electronic Adjudication Errors

38. Voters sometime mark their ballots in a manner that precludes an accurate electronic tabulation. Two frequent causes of impeded electronic tabulation are (a) apparent "over-votes," in which the tabulator detects that a voter may have marked more than the permissible number of selections for a given office or ballot measure, and (b) ballots that the tabulator has identified as either blank or containing unclear markings. When one or both of these circumstances is present, the ballot is referred for electronic adjudication.
39. Electronic adjudications are carried out on a secure computer application and are conducted by an Electronic Adjudication Boardothat is appointed by the County Recorder and consists of one inspector and two judges who are members of different political parties. See A.R.S. § 16-621(B)(2).
40. The Electronic Adjudication Board examines a digital image of the ballot and assesses voter selections that the tabuatior was unable to definitively ascertain. If the voter's intent is "clear," the Electronio Adjudication Board ensures that the voter's intended selections are properly indieated and tabulated. If the voter's intent cannot be sufficiently verified, the ambiguges selections are not tabulated. See id.; Ariz. Sec'y of State, Electronic Adjudication Addendum to the 2019 Elections Procedures Manual (Feb. 2020) at pp. 2-3, available at https://azsos.gov/sites/default/files/Electronic_Adjudication_Addendum to the 2019 Ele ctions Procedures Manual.pdf.
41. Actual "over-votes" are invalid and may not be counted. See A.R.S. § 16610.
42. Upon information and belief, one or more selections in up to $15 \%$ of all ballots cast in the November 8, 2022 general election in Maricopa County have been referred to electronic adjudication in connection with at least one candidate contest, judicial retention or ballot proposition appearing on the ballot.
43. By statute, the County Recorder must conduct a hand count audit of selected candidate races across a randomly generated sample of (a) 5,000 of early ballots and (b) ballots cast at $2 \%$ of voting centers in the county. See A.R.S. § $16-602(\mathrm{~B})$, (F). The purpose of the hand count is to verify the accuracy of tallies generated by tabulator devices and determinations by various ballot processing boards.
44. The hand count audit following the November 8, 2022 general election has revealed at least one instance in which the Maricopa County Electronic Adjudication Board incorrectly characterized the voter's ostensible intent. Specifically, the Electronic Adjudication Board had tabulated the disputed ballot as a vote for gubernatorial candidate Katie Hobbs. As the hand count audit found, however, the ballot contained both an indicated preference for Hobbs and an accompanying woie-in vote for a different candidate, Kari Lake. The Electronic Adjudication Board (qas required by law to designate the gubernatorial contest as over-voted and not to tabulate a vote for any candidate in that race. See Exhibit B p. 32.
45. The Attorney General gontest was not among the races randomly selected for inclusion in Maricopa County's rand count audit but, upon information and belief, a similar and proportionate rate of erioneous determinations afflict the broader corpus of all ballots that underwent electrofic adjudication, thereby resulting in the unlawful mistabulation of certain votes cast in connection with the election for Arizona Attorney General.
46. Additionally, an observer of the ballot adjudication process has reported that tabulation and electronic adjudication equipment has been unable to clearly capture the ballot markings made by some voters who did not use the writing implements recommended by elections officials. Although it is likely that such markings can be assessed and correctly tabulated by a manual inspection of the affected ballots, elections officials have not undertaken a manual inspection of such ballots and therefore have failed to correctly tabulate the votes marked on such ballots.

## Unverified Early Ballot Affidavit Signatures

47. An elector who chooses to cast an early ballot must enclose the ballot in an envelope containing a sworn affidavit, signed by the voter, that certifies the voter's qualifications and personal signature affixation, and affirms his or her understanding of the criminal prohibition against casting multiple ballots in the same election. See A.R.S. § 16547(A).
48. Upon receipt of a returned early ballot envelope, the County Recorder or the Recorder's designee must "compare the signatures thereon with the signature of the elector on the elector's registration record." A.R.S. § 16-550(A). If "the signatures correspond," the early ballot is processed and tabulated. Id. If "the signature is inconsistent with the elector's signature on the elector's registration record "then the early ballot is invalid and cannot be tabulated, unless the putative voter cures the signature discrepancy within five business days of an election or federal office (or the third business day after any other election). Id.
49. Upon information and belief, a material number of early ballots were accompanied by an affidavit containing a signature that the County Recorder or his/her designee concluded was inconsistent with the signature presented on the voter's "registration record." These early ballots were processed and accepted for tabulation, however, because the County Recorder or Recorder's designee determined that the affidavit signature matched a signature on a different document that was not the voter's "registration record"-such as an early ballot affidavit submitted in connection with a previous election or a pollbook signature roster. See EPM at p. 68.
50. To the extent the EPM purports to authorize the County Recorder to use for the verification of early ballot affidavits signature specimens that are not contained in a voter's "registration record," it is unlawful and unenforceable. See Leach v. Hobbs, 250 Ariz. 572, 576, $\mathbb{1} 21$ (2021) ("[A]n EPM regulation that exceeds the scope of its statutory authorization or contravenes an election statute's purpose does not have the force of law.").
51. Early ballots accompanied by uncured affidavit signatures that do not match the signature on the putative voter's "registration record" are legally insufficient and cannot be tabulated.

COUNT I<br>[Maricopa County Only]<br>Erroneous Count of Votes and Election Board Misconduct: Wrongful Disqualification of Provisional and Early Ballots<br>(Ariz. Const. art. II, $\S \S 13,21 ;$ A.R.S. $\S \S 12-2021,16-672(\mathrm{~A})(1),(\mathrm{A})(5))$

52. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
53. Article 2, Section 13 of the Arizona Constitution secures the equal "privileges or immunities" of all citizens.
54. The Arizona Constitution guarantees "thenight of suffrage" and mandates that "[a]ll elections shall be free and equal." Ariz. Corst. art. II, § 21.
55. "Arizona's constitutional right to a "free and equal" election is implicated when votes are not properly counted." Chavez v. Brewer, 222 Ariz. 309, 320, 134 (App. 2009).
56. Pursuant to these Constitutional precepts, all qualified electors who have properly verified their identity and otherwise are eligible to vote in an election are entitled to cast a regular ballot that will be duly processed and tabulated. See Ariz. Const. art. II, $\S \S$ 13, 21; A.R.S. $\S \S 16-579,-580(B)$.
57. Upon presenting at a voting center and verifying a prospective voter's identity, poll workers must "check in" the voter on the e-pollbook, which records in realtime whether the elector has cast a ballot in this election.
58. After checking in, obtaining, and properly completing a ballot, numerous voters across Maricopa County had their ballots rejected by malfunctioning electronic tabulation devices. Certain of these voters chose to spoil their ballots and to either (a) leave the voting center and present at a different polling location with functioning tabulators or (b) cast a previously issued early ballot instead.
59. Under Arizona law and Maricopa County's official policies, poll workers were required to "check out" these voters, which would enable them to obtain and cast a regular ballot at a different polling location elsewhere in Maricopa County or to cast a previously issued early ballot.
60. Upon information and belief, various poll workers across Maricopa County refused or failed to "check out" some or all of these voters. As a result of that systematic error, the e-pollbooks inaccurately designated these individuals has having previously voted in this election.
61. When subsequently presenting at a different voting center, at least 146 of these voters were incorrectly informed that they had already voted and were permitted to complete and submit only a provisional ballot. Upon information and belief, the Maricopa County Defendants failed to tabulate these valid frovisional ballots for inclusion in the canvass.
62. In addition, at least 273 voters whom poll workers failed to properly "check out" instead chose to complete and submit a previously issued early ballot. Upon information and belief, because these individuals are inaccurately recorded in the epollbook as having previousty voted, however, the Maricopa County Defendants failed to tabulate these valid eaty ballots for inclusion in the canvass.
63. These pervasive poll worker errors have denied numerous qualified electors of Maricopa County, including supporters of the Contestant, their right to vote under Arizona law.
64. By failing to properly "check out" these voters and restore their ability to vote a regular ballot for tabulation, the Maricopa County Defendants (through their election boards) engaged in "misconduct" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(1), acted in excess of their legal authority, failed to discharge a non-discretionary duty prescribed by law, and caused an erroneous count of votes in the election for Arizona Attorney General.
65. Upon information and belief, votes included on provisional and early ballots that the Maricopa County Defendants improperly failed to tabulate are material to, and
potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.
66. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a writ of mandamus) requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to tabulate for inclusion in the canvass all provisional ballots and early ballots submitted by qualified electors who had "checked in" at a voting center but did not cast a regular ballot in the November 8, 2022 general election.

## COUNT II

[Maricopa County Only]
Erroneous Count of Votes and Election Board Misconduct: Wrongful Exclusion of Provisional Voters
(A.R.S. §§ 16-584, 12-2021, 16-672(A)(1), (A)(5))
67. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
68. If poll workers are unable to verify a putative voter's identity or eligibility to vote, but the individual affirms that he or she is eligible to vote, he or she is entitled to receive, complete and submit a provisional ballot. See A.R.S. § 16-584.
69. Upon informationand belief, certain poll workers at various polling locations across Maricopa County refised to furnish provisional ballots to certain voters, on the grounds that they had oreviously cast a ballot at another polling location earlier in the day.
70. Upon information and belief, in many instances the affected voters had, in fact, not cast a ballot at another polling location, but rather had voluntarily spoiled their ballot and left the first polling location without obtaining or casting a replacement ballot.
71. In any event, any individual whom the e-pollbook has recorded as having already voted is entitled to receive, complete and submit a provisional ballot upon affirming his or her eligibility. See A.R.S. § 16-584.
72. By denying these individuals a provisional ballot and failing to tabulate any such valid provisional ballots in the canvass, the Maricopa County Defendants (through their election boards) engaged in "misconduct" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16 -

672(A)(1), acted in excess of their legal authority, failed to discharge a non-discretionary duty prescribed by law, and caused an erroneous count of votes in the election for Arizona Attorney General.
73. Upon information and belief, the Maricopa County Defendants' unlawful denial of certain electors' right to cast a provisional ballot was material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.
74. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a writ of mandamus) requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to afford to all individuals who were refused a provisional ballot a reasonable opportunity to cast in the November 8, 2022 general election a provisional ballot, which must be duly processed and included in the canvass in conformance with applicable law.

## COUNT II

## Erroneous Count of Votes: Inaccirate Ballot Duplications (A.R.S. §§ 16-621, 16-672(A)(5))

75. The Plaintiffs incorporate byreference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
76. When a submitted ballot is damaged or defective such that it cannot be read by an electronic tabulator, the ballot is transmitted to a Ballot Duplication Board that operates under the auspices of the County Recorder and that transposes the voter's indicated selections to a duplicate ballot, which in turn is electronically tabulated. See A.R.S. § 16621(A); EPM at p. 201.
77. Upon information and belief, the counties' Ballot Duplication Boards have incorrectly transcribed a material number of voter selections in the race for Arizona Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election, thereby resulting in the unlawful mistabulation of a ballot lawfully cast by a qualified elector.
78. Upon information and belief, by not correctly duplicating certain ballots, the County Defendants (through their Ballot Duplication Boards) have caused an erroneous count of votes for the office of Arizona Attorney General. See A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(5).
79. Upon information and belief, votes included on improperly duplicated ballots are material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.
80. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a writ of mandamus) requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the inaccurate duplication of ballots.

## COUNT IV

## Illegal Votes and Erroneous Count of Votes: Improper Ballot Adjudications (A.R.S. §§ 16-621, 16-672(A)(4), (A)(5))

81. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
82. When a submitted ballot is determited by a tabulator device to contain an apparent over-vote, to be blank, or to include ambiguous markings, the ballot is designated for review by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County Recorder. If the voter's clearintent can be ascertained, the Electronic Adjudication Board must ensure that such intent is appropriately designated on the ballot for tabulation. If the voter's clear intent cannot be determined, no vote is tabulated in the affected race(s) on that ballot. See A.RS. § 16-621(B); EPM Electronic Adjudication Addendum, supra.
83. Upon information and belief, the counties' Electronic Adjudication Boards have incorrectly recorded a material number of voter selections in the race for Arizona Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election, thereby resulting in the unlawful mistabulation of a ballot lawfully cast by a qualified elector.
84. Upon information and belief, by erroneously tabulating votes that should be disqualified as invalid over-votes, the County Defendants (through their agents) have caused illegal votes to be included in the canvassed returns for the office of Arizona Attorney General. See A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(4).
85. Upon information and belief, by erroneously designating or mischaracterizing voters' manifested intent on certain electronically adjudicated ballots, the County

Defendants (through their agents) have caused an erroneous count of votes for the office of Arizona Attorney General. See A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(5).
86. Upon information and belief, votes included on improperly adjudicated ballots are material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.
87. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a writ of mandamus) requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the inaccurate adjudication of ballots.

COUNT V<br>IIlegal Votes: Unverified Early Ballots<br>(A.R.S. §§ 16-550(A), 16-67.(A)(4))

88. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
89. An early ballot is lawful and eligible for tabulation if-and only if-the signature on the affidavit accompanying the ballot matches the signature featured on the elector's "registration record." AR.S. § 16-550(A).
90. Upon information and belief, a material number of early ballots cast in the November 8, 2022 general election were transmitted in envelopes containing an affidavit signature that the County Recorder or the Recorder's designee determined did not correspond to the signature in the putative voter's "registration record." The County Recorder, however, nevertheless accepted the early ballot for processing and tabulation because the affidavit signature ostensibly matched a signature on an election-related document that was not the voter's "registration record," such as a prior early ballot affidavit or early ballot request form.
91. To the extent the Elections Procedures Manual purports to authorize the validation of early ballot affidavit signatures by reference to a signature specimen that is not found in the voter's "registration record," it is contrary to the plain language of A.R.S. § 16-550(A), and hence unenforceable.
92. An early ballot that is accompanied by an uncured affidavit signature that does not match the signature contained in the putative voter's registration record is an "illegal vote" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(4).
93. Upon information and belief, the number of tabulated early ballots associated with an uncured affidavit signature that does not match the signature in the corresponding registration record is material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.
94. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a writ of mandamus) proportionately reducing the tabulated returns of early ballots to exclude early ballots that were accompanied by an uncured affidavit signature that is inconsistent with the signature on file in the putative voter's registration record. See generally Grounds v. Lawe, 67 Ariz. 176, 183-85 (1948).

## DEMAND FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs demand relief in the following forms:
a. An order requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to process and tabulate all peevisional ballots and early ballots submitted by qualified electors who had "checked in" at a voting center but did not cast a regular ballot in the November 8, 2022 general election, and to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General accordingly.
b. An order requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to afford to all individuals who were refused a provisional ballot a reasonable opportunity to cast in the November 8, 2022 general election a provisional ballot, which will be duly processed and tabulated in conformance with applicable law, and to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General accordingly.
c. An order requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the inaccurate duplication of ballots.
d. An order requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the inaccurate adjudication of ballots.
e. An order proportionately reducing the tabulated returns of early ballots to exclude early ballots that were accompanied by an uncured affidavit signature that is inconsistent with the signature on file in the putative voter's registration record.
f. An order requiring the Secretary of State to amend the canvass of statewide returns to reflect amendments to county-level canvass results made by one or more of the County Defendants.
g. An injunction or other order pursuantio A.R.S. §§ 16-650 and 16-676(C) prohibiting the Secretary of State from declaring the Contestee elected to the office of Arizona Attoney General or from issuing to Contestee a certificate of election.
h. An injunction or ether order pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-676(C) nullifying and setting aside any certificate of election issued by the Secretary of State to the Contestee for the office of Arizona Attorney General.
i. An infunction or other order pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 16-650 and 16-676(C) requiring the Secretary of State to declare Contestant Abraham Hamadeh elected to the office of Arizona Attorney General and to issue to Contestant a certificate of election.
j. Such other relief as the Court deems necessary, equitable, proper, and just.

DATED this 22nd day of November, 2022.

STATECRAFT PLLC

By:


Timothy A La Sota, SBN \# 020539
TIMOTHY A. LA SOTA, PLC
2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305
Phoenix, Arizona 85016
Attorneysfor Plaintiffs/Contestants
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## M-It Bill Gates (4) <br> @billgatesaz

If you're at a polling place experiencong an issue with a tabulator, you have three options ${ }^{\circ} \&$ your vote will be counted in each. 1) stay wherfoyou are and wait for tabulator to come online 2 didrop your ballot in the secure slot (door 3) on tâbulator 3) go to a nearby vote center
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## Exhibit B

MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA Hand Count / Audit Report

## Election: GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 8, 2022

Synopsis:
Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-602(B), Maricopa County conducted the hand count/early ballot audit for the November 8, 2022 General Election. 2\% of the vote centers (polling places) were counted as required by statute, which amounted to $\underline{\mathbf{5}}$ vote centers (polling places) out of $\underline{\mathbf{2 2 3}}$ total vote centers (polling places).

The hand count began on Wednesday, November 9, 2022 at 6:08 p.m. when the Maricopa County Chairs of the Democratic, Libertarian, and Republican Party met to select the vote centers (polling places), races, and early ballot batches to be audited. All ballots were accounted for in the central counting location before the selection process started. The selection order was chosen by lot, and the Democratic Party was selected to go first, followed by the Libertarian Party and then the Republican Party.
With the draw order established, the specific vote centers (polling places) to be audited were selected with the participating County Party Chairs alternating the various selections. Once the allotted vote centers (polling places) were chosen, the percentage of early ballot audit batches to be audited were drawn ( $1 \%$ of early ballots or 5,000 , whichever is less). A total of $\mathbf{2 6}$ batches were selected to be audited to reach the required audit total.
Four (4) contested races were chosen as required by law: 1 Federal race, 1 statewide race, 1 Legislative race and 1 Statewide Ballot Measure were counted. Specifically, the following list display's the contested races that were audited:
$\square$ Federal Race - U.S. Representative
$\square$ Statewide Race - Governor
$\square$ Statewide Legislative Race - State Representative
$\square$ Statewide Ballot Measure - Proposition 129
The master precinct and race selection lists are attached for review. The physical hand count started at $9: 15$ a.m. on Saturday, November 12, 2022 and was concluded at $\mathbf{6 : 2 2}$ p.m. on Saturday, November 12, 2022. The tabulation method used was the stacking method. The audit was conducted by $\mathbf{2 4}$ boards made up of 3 members, of which not more than 2 membere were from the same political party. This hand count included votes cast on both the scan and accessible marking devices from the selected vote centers (polling places).

## Early Ballot Audit:

The required number of early ballots were audited as per Arizona State Law ( $1 \%$ of early ballots or 5,000, whichever is less). The early ballot audit consisted of $\underline{\mathbf{2}}$ batches with at least $\underline{1}$ batch from every machine used for tabulation. Each batch contained approximately $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0}}$ early ballots. There was a over $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ million early ballots cast in Maricopa County for the November 8, 2022 General Election.

## Comments:

Attached are the summary reports which depict the results of the hand count audit for each selected race/measure. The outcome confirmed the accuracy of the tabulation results and was within the variance and designated margin as defined by the Vote Count Verification Committee established by the Secretary of State.

## Stephen Richer

Maricopa County Recorder


Director of Mail-In Voting \& Election Services
Office of Maricopa County Recorder, Stephen Richer


Director of In-Person Voting \& Tabulation
Maricopa County Elections Department

## MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

## Hand Count / Audit Report

Election: GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 8, 2022

Party Selected to: Draw $1^{\text {ST }}$ _DEM_ Draw $2^{\text {ND }}$ _ LBT__Draw $3^{\text {RD } \quad \text { REP }}$

SECTION A SELECTED VOTE CENTERS - LISTED IN ORDER SELECTED - 5 TOTAL
0082001600000

Facility Information:
0075 = Estrella Foothills High School \#201 (Fac\# 14506)
0082 = Flite Goodyear (Fac\# 15705)
0116 = Journey Church (Fac\# 15731)
0134 = Maryvale Bridge Methodist Church (Fac\# 10074)
0179 = Sevilla Elementary School (Fac\# 10432)
section a (Continued) selected ev batches (Listed in order seizcted - 26 TOTAL


SECTION B. NUMBER OF RACES TO COLAMT PER CATEGORY

| RACE CATEGORY | TICK MARK <br> TALLY | NUMBER OF <br> RACES PER <br> CATEGORY | RECEIVED PRECINCT HAND <br> COUNT MARGIN <br> WORKSHEET |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. President | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2. Statewide Candidate | I | I |  |
| 3. Statewide Ballot Measure | I | I |  |
| 4. Federal Candidate | I | I |  |
| 5. State Legislative | I | I |  |
| Additional Races Needed | 0 | 0 |  |

## SECTION C. RACES TO BE COUNTED

|  | Race To Be Counted | Category of Race |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | N/A | 1. President |
| 2. | GOVERNOR | 2. Statewide Candidate |
| 3. | PROPOSITION 129 | 3. Statewide Ballot Measure |
| 4. | US REPRESENTATIVE | 4. Federal Candidate |
| 5. | STATE REPRESENTATIVE | 5. State Legislative |

## MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

## Hand Count / Audit Report

Election: GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 8, 2022

SELECTED RACES

| OFFICE TYPE | OFFICE NAME | DRAWN |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| FEDERAL | US SENATOR |  |
| FEDERAL | US REPRESENTATIVE | X |
| STATEWIDE | GOVERNOR | X |
| STATE LEGISLATIVE | STATE SENATOR |  |
| STATE LEGISLATIVE | STATE REPRESENTATIVE | X |
| STATEWIDE | SECRETARY OF STATE |  |
| STATEWIDE | ATTORNEY GENERAK |  |
| STATEWIDE | STATE TREASURER |  |
| STATEWIDE | SUP. OF PUBLIC INSTR. |  |
| STATEWIDE | CORP CQMMISSIONER |  |
| PROPOSITION | PRQPOSITION 129 | X |

## MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

| AGGREGATE - VOTE CENTER HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL PRECINCTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Vote Centers Counted (2\%): 5 Total Ba | s Cast: 3,269 | Date of Election: | November 8, 2022 |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE |  | Race: | US REPRESENTATIVE |
| Precinct \# - Precinct Name | Hand Count Total | Machine Count | Absolute Difference |
| 0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| 0082 FLITE GOODYEAR | 125 | 125 | 0 |
| 0116 JOURNEY CHURCH | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| 0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH. | 309 | 309 | 0 |
| 0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH. | 352 | 352 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 809 | 809 | 0 |
| Aggregated Margin = Absolute Difference $\div$ Machine Count X 100 |  |  | Aggregated Margin |
| 0 | 809 | 100 | 0.000\% |

*Designated Margin for PRECINCT ballots, in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-602(K)(4): 1.000\%

| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE |  | Race | NOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Precinct \#- Precinct Name | Hand Count Total | Machine Count | Absolute Difference |
| 0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH | 1,081 | 1,081 | 0 |
| 0082 FLITE GOODYEAR | 246 | 246 | 0 |
| 0116 JOURNEY CHURCH | 1,139 | 1,139 | 0 |
| 0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH. | 366 | 365. | 1 |
| 0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH. | 423 | 423 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 3,255 | 3,254 | 1 |
| Aggregated Margin = Absolute Difference $\div$ Machine Count $\times 100$ |  |  | Aggregated Margin |
| 1 | 3,254 | 100 | 0.031\% |

*Designated Margin for PRECINCT ballots, in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-602(K)(4): 1.000\%

| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE |  | Race | STATE REPRESENTATIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hanci Count Total | Machine Count | Absolute Difference |
| 0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH | 1,168 | 1,168 | 0 |
| 0082 FLITE GOODYEAR | 111 | 111 | 0 |
| 0116 JOURNEY CHURCH | 1,867 | 1,867 | 0 |
| 0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH. | 28 | 28 | 0 |
| 0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH. | 38 | 38 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 3,212 | 3,212 | 0 |
| Aggregated Margin = Absolute Difference $\div$ Machine Count X 100 |  |  | Aggregated Margin |
| 0 | 3,212 | 100 | 0.000\% |

*Designated Margin for PRECINCT ballots, in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-602(K)(4): 1.000\%

| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEA |  | Race | PROPOSITION 129 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Precinct \# - Precinct Name | Hand Count Total | Machine Count | Absolute Difference |
| 0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH | 952 | 952 | 0 |
| 0082 FLITE GOODYEAR | 210 | 210 | 0 |
| 0116 JOURNEY CHURCH | 1,044 | 1,044 | 0 |
| 0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH. | 319 | 319 | 0 |
| 0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH. | 346 | 346 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 2,871 | 2,871 | 0 |
| Aggregated Margin = Absolute Difference $\div$ Machine Count $\times 100$ |  |  | Aggregated Margin |
| 0 | 2,871 | 100 | 0.000\% |

*Designated Margin for PRECINCT ballots, in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-602(K)(4): 1.000\%

## MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT



AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES
Total \# of Batches: 26 \# of Ballots from ALL Batches: 5,170 Date of Election: November 8, 2022

| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE |  | Race: | GOVERNOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Voting Batch \# | Fiand Count Total | Machine Count | Absolute Difference |
| 49 | 193 | 193 | 0 |
| 51 | 196 | 196 | 0 |
| 38 | 197 | 197 | 0 |
| 20 | 199 | 199 | 0 |
| 10 | 198 | 198 | 0 |
| 27 | 198 | 198 | 0 |
| 8 | 198 | 198 | 0 |
| 34 | 198 | 198 | 0 |
| 21 | 195 | 195 | 0 |
| 25 | 200 | 200 | 0 |
| 44 | 195 | 195 | 0 |
| 35 | 195 | 195 | 0 |
| 28 | 197 | 197 | 0 |
| 2 | 197 | 197 | 0 |
| 33 | 200 | 200 | 0 |
| 12 | 192 | 192 | 0 |
| 47 | 193 | 193 | 0 |
| 5 | 196 | 196 | 0 |
| 19 | 197 | 197 | 0 |
| 11 | 199 | 199 | 0 |
| 48 | 194 | 194 | 0 |
| 29 | 198 | 198 | 0 |
| 4 | 197 | 198 | 1 |
| 14 | 195 | 195 | 0 |
| 36 | 191 | 191 | 0 |
| 13 | 192 | 192 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 5,100 | 5,101 | 1 |
| Aggregated Margin $=$ Absolute Difference $\div$ Machine Count X 100   <br> 1 5,101  <br> 1   |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aggregated Margin } \\ 0.020 \% \end{gathered}$ |

## MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT



AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES
Total \# of Batches: 26 \# of Ballots from ALL Batches: 5,170 Date of Election: November 8, 2022

*Designated Margin for EARLY VOTING ballots, in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-602(K)(4): 1.000\%

Comments: If a discrepancy occurred, the reason will be notated and described in each of the detailed Precinct and/or EV Batch reports for the given race or measure.

|  | CUMULATIVE AGGREGATED MARGINS - BY TYPE |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hand Count Total | Machine Count | Absolute Difference | Aggregated Margin |
| VOTE CENTERS | 10,147 | 10,146 | 1 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0 \%}$ |
| EV'S | 19,359 | 19,360 | 1 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5 \%}$ |
| Aggregated Margin | = Absolute Difference $\div$ Machine Count X 100 |  |  |  |

## THE "VOTE CENTER SPECIFIC" HAND COUNT REPORTS

# AND <br> <br> "EARLY BALLOT BATCH SPECIFIC" HAND COUNT REPORTS <br> <br> "EARLY BALLOT BATCH SPECIFIC" HAND COUNT REPORTS <br> FOLLOW THIS SUMMARY 

THE FOLLOWING WORKSHEETS ARE THE SUPPORTING AND DETAILED COUNTS THAT WERE USED TO DERIVE THE ABOVE SUMMARIES AND DESIGNATED MARGIN PERCENTAGES.

If any variances occur, the specific Vote Center or Early Ballot Hand Count Reports that follow would indicate the reasons for these variances in the "Comments" section fort the affected "Race Category". Those variances are noted as part of the designated margin calculation when "Intert" or "Machine Error" is deemed to have occurred based on the Board's audit.

For those variances noted as "Intent" errors, those reflect votes that were unreadable by the machine but were determined by the boards to be votes for a given candidate or issue based on the board determining the "intent" of the voter. As an example, ballots where the voter circled the candidate's name instead of filling in the oval for the given candidate (as instructed) OR where the voter marked the oval but did not fill in the oval sufficiently enough such as placing an "X" or using a checkmark instead of filling in the oval. The machine is faulted for this as an error despite it not actually being a machine read error. This "intent" occurrence is included as a machine error variance and is part of the designated margin calculation.

For any marks not read by the machine because they were too light or the board upon their review deemed the machine count to be in error, those would be listed as "machine errors" and those totals would be part of the designated margin calculations, if existing. All variances, if any, are noted in the final result reports that follow.

Site: 0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH Ballots Cast: 1,086
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

Date of Election: November 8, 2022 Race: US REPRESENTATIVE

Candidate's |  | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILIAM - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| TOL |  |  |  |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race: GOVERNOR

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE, KARI | 888 | 888 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 193 | 193 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 1,081 | 1,081 | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE
Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Candidate's Hand Count Total


Candidate's

TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5

LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 |
| ---: |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 |
| MENDOZA MARY ANN - DIST 9 |



PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 3 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIS7 10 | PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY- OIST 10 |
| ---: |
| HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { PENA M., TAT! ANA - DIST } 11 \\ \hline \text { DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST } 11 \\ \hline\end{array}$ QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12

| 2 | Hand |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 |
| 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 |
| 12 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 |
| 23 | 855 |
| 23 | 129 |
| 23 | 171 |
| 27 | 1 |
| 27 | 1 |
| 27 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 |
|  | 0 |
|  | 0 |
|  | 0 | | Machine Total | Absolute D |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 |



Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE
Race: $\operatorname{PROPOSITION} 129$

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROP 129-YES | 669 | 669 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 283 | 283 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 952 | 952 | 0 |

Site: 0082 FLITE GOODYEAR Ballots Cast: 249
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

Date of Election: November 8, 2022 Race: US REPRESENTATIVE

Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILIAM - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 59 | 59 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 51 | 51 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 125 | 125 | 0 |
| THA |  |  | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race: ${ }^{\text {GOVERNOR }}$

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE, KARI | 159 | 159 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 87 | 87 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 246 | 246 | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE
Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Candidate's Hand Count Total


CaIAN - DIST WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 CHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 $\begin{array}{r}\text { TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST } 5 \\ \hline \text { LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST } 5 \\ \hline \text { SHAH, AMISH - DIST } 5\end{array}$ SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 |
| ---: | ---: |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8



PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 3 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 $\begin{array}{r}\text { PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY-DIST } 10 \\ \hline \text { HUNTER, HELEA - DIST } 10 \\ \hline \text { HE }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{r}\text { PENA M., TAT! ANA - DIST } 11 \\ \hline \text { DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST } 11 \\ \hline\end{array}$ QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 $\begin{array}{r}\text { ROE, TERRY - DIST } 12 \\ \hline \text { CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST } 12 \\ \hline \text { TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST } 12\end{array}$ HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13

0
0

| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE | Race: US REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 13 | 13 | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race: GOVERNOR

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE, KARI | $\mathbf{9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| HOBBS, KATIE | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE
Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total $\quad$ Machine Total Absolute Difference $^{2}$
 Candidate's
4

Site: 0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH. Ballots Cast: 367
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race: GOVERNOR

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE, KARI | 162 | 162 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 204 | 203 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 366 | 365 | 1 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: One ballot more per hand count board and deemed as an unread vote for HOBBS
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference


ISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 CHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4

0
0
1
0
-

Site: 0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH Ballots Cast: 425
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race: GOVERNOR

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE, KARI | 217 | 217 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 206 | 206 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| TOTAL | 423 | 423 | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE

Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's |  | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 Candidate's WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 CHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4


| 1 |
| ---: |
| 1 |



EV Batch\# 51 \#per Batch: 198
Date of Election: November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

| SCHWEI |
| ---: |
| HOD |
| O'HAL |
| ZINK, |
| GALL |
| CO |
| STA |
| GAMOS, JA |
| SMITH, CL |
| PO |
| GRI |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race: US REPRESENTATIVE

Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE
Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Candidate's Hand Count Total
 Candidate's WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 CHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4


| 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 16 | Machine Total Absolute Difference


| 0 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 16 |
| 14 |
| 12 |

DON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8


SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 HEAP, JUSTIN - Dist 10 | PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEX |
| ---: |
| HUNTER, HELEA - DIST 10 |

 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12

| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "P |
| :---: |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "ST |
| HAR |
| TRI |


| WILL |
| :--- | ---: |
| PAW |
| GRAN |
| HE |

"STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14

| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 1 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 1 |
| PAYNE, KEVII - IIS 27 | 2 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 3 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 2 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 8 |
| PINGEELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 8 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPANIE BLAIR DIS 28 | 4 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 3 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 3 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 178 |


|  | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 16 | 0 |
|  | 14 | 0 |
|  | 12 | 0 |

REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE
Race: PROPOSITION 129

|  | Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROP 129-YES | 104 | 104 | 0 |
|  | PROP 129-NO | 65 | 65 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 169 | 169 | 0 |


| EV Batch\# 38 \#per Batch: 197 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race: |  | US REPRESENTATIVE |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 32 | 32 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 52 | 52 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 170 | 170 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: ${ }^{\text {GOVERNOR }}$ |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 74 | 74 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 123 | 123 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 197 | 197 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's | Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE $^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 23 | 23 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 6 | - 6 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - Dist 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY-DIST 10 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEM - DIST 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| PENA M., TATI ${ }^{\text {d }}$ NA - DIST 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, MSRCELINO - DIST 11 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 216 | 216 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 129 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 92 | 92 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 84 | 84 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 176 | 176 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |


| EV Batch\# 20 \#per Batch: 200 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race: |  | US REPRESENTATIVE |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 26 | 26 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 31 | 31 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 26 | 26 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 145 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: ${ }^{\text {GOVERNOR }}$ |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 82 | 82 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 117 | 117 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 199 | 199 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's | Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE $^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 |  | 4 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - Dist 10 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY-DIST 10 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEM - DIST 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, MSRCELINO - DIST 11 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 129 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 82 | 82 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 94 | 94 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 176 | 176 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |



EV Batch\# 27 \#per Batch: 198
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

Date of Election: November 8, 2022

| Race: US REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 26 | 26 | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE

|  | Race: | GOVERNOR |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 95 | 95 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 103 | 103 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| TOTAL | 198 | 198 | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE
Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total
 Candidate's TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8

 PEARCE KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10

2 | Machine Total | Absolute |
| :---: | :---: |

| DIST 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DIST 2 |  |
| DIST 2 |  |
| DIST 4 |  |
| DIST 4 |  |
| DIST 4 |  |
| DIST 5 |  |
| DIST 5 |  |
| DIST 5 |  |
| DIST 8 |  |
| DIST 8 |  |
| DIST 8 |  |
| DIST 8 |  |
| DIST 9 |  |
| DIST 9 |  |
| DIST 9 |  |
| DIST 9 |  |
| DIST 10 |  |
| DIST 10 |  |
| DIST 10 |  |
| DIST 11 |  |
| DIST 11 |  |
| DIST 11 |  |
| DIST 12 |  |
| DIST 12 |  |
| DIST 12 |  | | 5 |
| :--- |
| 6 |
| 8 |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 0 | HRIS



| HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 |  |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 |  |
| QUINONEZ, MI SRCELINO - DIST 11 |  |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 |  |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 |  |
| CONTRERAS, PATRIC "PATY" - DIST 12 |  |


| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "P |
| ---: |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "ST |
| HAR |
| WILLOUGHBY |


| PAN |
| ---: |
| GRAN |
| HEN |
| R |


| EV Batch\# 8 \#per Batch: 200 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race: |  | US REPRESENTATIVE |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 73 | 73 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 67 | 67 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 168 | 168 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: | GOVERNOR |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 97 | 97 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 101 | 101 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 198 | 198 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's | Race:\|STATE REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
|  | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 22 | 22 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIS 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - Dist 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY-DIST 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEN- DIST 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA M., TATI ${ }^{\text {d }}$ NA - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, M | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 180 | 180 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 129 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 91 | 91 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 94 | 94 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 185 | 185 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |



EV Batch\# 21 \#per Batch: 198
Date of Election: November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE | Race: US REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 44 | 44 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 21 | 21 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 32 | 32 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 27 | 27 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 169 | 169 | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE

|  | Race: | GOVERNOR |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| HOBBS KATIE | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE
Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Candidate's Hand Count Total


Candidate's

TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8


SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 \begin{tabular}{|r|r|}
\hline PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY- DIST 10 <br>
\hline HUNTER, HELEAY-DIST 10 <br>
\hline

 

\hline PENA M., TATA NA - DIST 11 <br>
\hline DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 \& <br>
\hline

 QUINONEZ, NTKRCELINO - DIST 11 

S 2 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$

| 1 |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 6 |
| 11 |


| 4 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 11 |
| 4 | 16 |



| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PA |
| ---: |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STAC |
| HAR |
| WILLOUGHBY |


"STACEY" - DIST 12
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 ILOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 RANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23
$\qquad$ PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29

TOTAL $\quad 224$ Machine Total Absolute Difference
28
3
7
-




| EV Batch\# 28 \#per Batch: 200 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race: |  | US REPRESENTA |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 74 | 74 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 21 | 21 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 192 | 192 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: | GOVERNOR |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 84 | 84 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 113 | 113 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 197 | 197 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE | Race:\|STATE REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 21 | 21 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIS 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - D'ST 10 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - IST 10 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEM - DIST 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| PENA M., TAT P NA - DIST 11 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, लNARCELINO - DIST 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 137 | 137 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 129 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 93 | 93 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 91 | 91 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 184 | 184 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |


| EV Batch\# 2 \#per Batch: 198 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race: |  | US REPRESENTATIVE |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 23 | 23 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 36 | 36 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 116 | 116 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: GOVERNOR <br> Hand Count Total Machine Total |  |  |
| Candidate's |  |  | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 77 | 77 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 120 | 120 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 197 | 197 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's | Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
|  | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 19 | 19 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - Dist 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - 1 IST 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEN- DIST 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, M ${ }^{\text {S }}$ RCELINO - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 22 | 22 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 29 | 29 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 238 | 238 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 12 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 82 | 82 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 98 | 98 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 180 | 180 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |



EV Batch\# 12 \#per Batch: 195
Date of Election: November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE | Race: US REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 34 | 34 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 26 | 26 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 157 | 157 | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE

| Race: |
| :--- |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE GOVERNOR   <br>  Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference |
| LAK, KARI |
| HOBBS, KATIE |
| TOTAL |
| 103 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE
Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Candidate's Hand Count Total


TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8


SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 3

BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 \begin{tabular}{|r|r|}
\hline PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 <br>
\hline HUNTER, HELEAT-DIST 10 <br>
\hline PAS

 

\hline PENA M., TATI ANA - DIST 11 \& <br>
\hline DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} QUINONEZ, NTSRCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12



HARRIS LIZ - DIST 12 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23
 PAYNE KEVIN - DIST 23 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29

TOTAL

| 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 4 | 9 |
| 5 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 |
| 5 | 2 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 8 | 3 |
| 8 | 4 |
| 9 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 |
| 10 | 10 |
| 10 | 11 |
| 10 | 5 |
| 11 | 2 |
| 11 | 3 |
| 11 | 3 |
| 12 | 5 |
| 12 | 4 |
| 12 | 12 |
| 12 | 13 |
| 13 | 20 |
| 13 | 21 |
| 13 | 25 |
| 14 | 7 |
| 14 | 8 |
| 14 | 7 |
| 16 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 |
| 23 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 |
| 27 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 |
| 27 | 2 |
| 28 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 |
| 29 | 5 |
| 29 | 5 |
| 29 | 9 |
| L | 223 | Machine Total Absolute Difference

 HRISTIAN - DIST 2

EV Batch\# 47 \#per Batch: 198
Date of Election: November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE | Race: US REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 54 | 54 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 34 | 34 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 156 | 156 | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race: GOVERNOR

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE, KARI | 82 | 82 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 111 | 111 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| TOTAL | 193 | 193 | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE
Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Candidate's Hand Count Total
 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 $\begin{array}{r}\text { LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 } \\ \hline \text { HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 } \\ \hline \text { SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 } \\ \hline \text { H }\end{array}$ SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8


PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 HEAP, JUSTIN - Dist 10 $\begin{array}{r}\text { PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY OIST } 10 \\ \hline \text { HUNTER, HELEN - DIST } 10 \\ \hline \text { P }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{r}\text { PENA M., TAT'A.NA - DIST 11 } \\ \hline \text { DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 } \\ \hline \text { 而 }\end{array}$

| QUINONEZ, MA SRCELINO - DIST 11 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" DIS 12 |  |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 |  |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 |  |
| C |  |


| QUINONEZ, MA SRCELINO - DIST 11 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" DIS 12 |  |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 |  |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 |  |
| C |  |


| QUINONEZ, MA SRCELINO - DIST 11 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" DIS 12 |  |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 |  |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 |  |
| C |  |


| 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { CONTRERAS, PATRICIA " } \\ \hline \text { TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "S } \\ \hline \text { HAR } \\ \hline \text { WILLOUGHB }\end{array}$


"STACEY" - DIST 12 PLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23

| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 8 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 6 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - IST T3 | 8 |
| PAYNE, IEVII - DIS 27 | 1 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 1 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 2 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 1 |
| PINGEELI, BEVERLY DIS 28 | 1 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 1 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 1 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 236 |


| unt |
| :--- |
| 1 |
| 0 |
| 1 |


| 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |


| EV Batch\# 5 \#per Batch: 199 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race: |  | US REPRESENTATIVE |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 27 | 27 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 35 | 35 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 26 | 26 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 137 | 137 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: | GOVERNOR |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 85 | 85 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 111 | 111 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 196 | 196 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's | Race:\|STATE REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
|  | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIS 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - Dis ${ }^{\text {P }} 10$ | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - IST 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEM - DIST 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| PENA M., TAT P NA - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, लNARCELINO - DIST 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 177 | 177 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 129 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 94 | 94 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 81 | 81 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 175 | 175 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |


| EV Batch\# 19 \#per Batch: 200 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race: |  | US REPRESENTA |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 35 | 35 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 22 | 22 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 108 | 108 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: | GOVERNOR |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 89 | 89 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 108 | 108 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 197 | 197 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE | Race:\|STATE REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | - 4 | 4 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIS 9 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - Dis ${ }^{\text {P }} 10$ | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - IST 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEM - DIST 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PENA M., TAT P NA - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, लNARCELINO - DIST 11 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 23 | 23 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 283 | 283 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 129 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 97 | 97 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 91 | 91 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 188 | 188 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |

EV Batch\# 11 \#per Batch: 200
Date of Election: November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE

| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE | Race: US REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 57 | 57 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 61 | 61 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 171 | 171 | 0 |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE
Race:

| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | GOVERNOR |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| HOBBS KATIE | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE
Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Candidate's Hand Count Total


TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8


SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 \begin{tabular}{|r|r|}
\hline PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY- DIST 10 <br>
\hline HUNTER, HELEAY-DIST 10 <br>
\hline

 

\hline PENA M., TATI NA - DIST 11 <br>
\hline DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 <br>
\hline

 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 $\begin{array}{r}\text { ROE, TERRY - DIST } 12 \\ \hline \text { CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST } 12 \\ \hline \text { TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST } 12 \\ \hline\end{array}$ 

\hline HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 <br>
\hline WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23

| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 0 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 1 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 1 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 1 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 1 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 1 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 1 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 2 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 7 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 6 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 4 |
| TOTAL | 173 |

 Machine Total Absolute Difference RISTIAN - DIST 2 $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 31 \\ 35 \\ \hline 32 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3\end{array}$

| 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 |

 TOTAL
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE

| Candidate's | Hand Count Total |
| :---: | :--- |

Machine Total
PROP 129 - YES
PROP 129-NO
TOTAL

| 's | Hand Count Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| ES | 88 |
| NO | 88 |


| Machine Total | Absolute |
| :---: | ---: |
|  | 88 |
| 88 |  |
|  | 176 |


| EV Batch\# 48 \#per Batch: 197 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE | Race: US REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 56 | 56 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 27 | 27 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 139 | 139 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: ${ }^{\text {GOVERNOR }}$ |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 73 | 73 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 121 | 121 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 194 | 194 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's | Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE $^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - Dist 10 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY-DIST 10 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEM - DIST 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 44 | 44 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, MSRCELINO - DIST 11 | 43 | 43 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 278 | 278 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 129 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 81 | 81 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 95 | 95 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 176 | 176 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |



| EV Batch\# 4 \#per Batch: 200 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race: |  | US REPRESENTATIVE |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 95 | 95 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: | GOVERNOR |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 85 | 85 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 112 | 113 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 197 | 198 | 1 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Intent Discrepancy-Ballot had write-in \& candidate name ovals filled-Should have been OV |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE | Race: | STATE REPRESEN | ATIVE |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | - 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIS 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - Dist 10 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY-DIST 10 | 23 | 23 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEN- DIST 10 | 22 | 22 | 0 |
| PENA M., TATI ${ }^{\text {d }}$ NA - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, MERCELINO - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 256 | 256 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 129 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 110 | 110 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 78 | 78 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 188 | 188 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |




| EV Batch\# 13 \#per Batch: 200 |  | Date of Election: November 8, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race: |  | US REPRESENTA |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 | 26 | 26 | 0 |
| HODGE, JEVIN D. - DIST 1 | 41 | 41 | 0 |
| CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 | 33 | 33 | 0 |
| RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 172 | 172 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE | Race: | GOVERNOR |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAKE, KARI | 85 | 85 | 0 |
| HOBBS, KATIE | 107 | 107 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 192 | 192 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE | Race:\|STATE REPRESENTATIVE |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 | 22 | 22 | 0 |
| TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST S | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| BLATTMAN, SETH - DIS 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HEAP, JUSTIN - Dis ${ }^{\text {P }} 10$ | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - IST 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HUNTER, HELEM - DIST 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| PENA M., TAT P NA - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QUINONEZ, लNARCELINO - DIST 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 | 34 | 34 | 0 |
| WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 | 34 | 34 | 0 |
| PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LUGO, JESUS JR. - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 272 | 272 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |
| Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE | Race: PROPOSITION 129 |  |  |
| Candidate's | Hand Count Total | Machine Total | Absolute Difference |
| PROP 129-YES | 95 | 95 | 0 |
| PROP 129-NO | 90 | 90 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 185 | 185 | 0 |
| Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: |  |  |  |

## VERIHCATION

Pusum to A.R.S. § $16-673(\mathrm{~B})$. I. Abramm Hamadeh. hereby verify that the allegations contained in the forgoing Statemen of Election Contest are true and eorrect to the best of my knowledge.

Executed under penaly of pergury, his 22 nd day of November. 2022 .



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Hamadeh's full residential address location is protected from disclosure pursuant to

