1 2	ØŠÕÖ G€GHÆÐÜÆFÆGÆÆÆT The Honorable Catherine Shaffer SÆÕÃÕUWÞVŸ						
3	ÙWÚÒÜŒJÜÁÔUWÜVÁÔŠÒÜS ÒËZ®ŠÒÖ						
4	ÔŒÙÒÁNKACCËCËË JHÌ I ËFÁÙÒCE						
5							
6	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR KING COUNTY						
7 8 9 10 11 12	VET VOICE FOUNDATION, THE WASHINGTON BUS, EL CENTRO DE LA RAZA, KAELEENE ESCALANTE MARTINEZ, BETHAN CANTRELL, AND DAISHA BRITT, GABRIEL BERSON, AND MARI MATSUMOTO, Plaintiffs, v. Plaintiffs, v. Plaintiffs, procedure of the official conseity as a serior of the control of th						
131415161718	STEVE HOBBS, in his official capacity as Washington State Secretary of State, JULIE WISE, in her official capacity as the Auditor/Director of Elections in King County and a King County Canvassing Board Member, SUSAN SLONECKER, in her official capacity as a King County Canvassing Board Member, AND STEPHANIE CIRKOVICH, in her official capacity as a King County Canvassing Board Member, Defendants.						
19 20 21 22	COMES NOW Defendants Julie Wise, Susan Slonecker, and Stephanie Cirkovich, King County Canvassing Board Members (hereafter "King County Canvassing Board Defendants"), to answer Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (hereinafter "Complaint"), admit, deny and state and allege as set forth below: Each allegation contained in						
23	KING COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1 Leesa Manion, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 701 5th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 477-1120 Fax (206) 296-0191						

KING COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 2

Plaintiffs' Complaint not expressly admitted in full below is denied. The paragraph numbers below correspond to the paragraph numbers in Plaintiffs' Complaint.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. In answering paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, *Madison v. State*, 161 Wn.2d 85, 95, 163 P.3d 757 (2007), *Gold Bar Citizens for Good Gov't v. Whalen*, 99 Wn.2d 724, 730, 665 P.2d 393 (1983) (quoting *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U.S. 533, 555 (1964)), and RCW 29A.04.205 speak for themselves. The remaining allegations in this paragraph raise legal conclusions to which no answer is required.
- 2. In answering paragraph 2 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.
- 3. In answering paragraph 3 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit only that RCW 29A.40.091 requires the county auditor to send each voter a ballot that includes a declaration that the voter must sign to swear under penalty of perjury that he or she meets to the qualifications to vote, and that RCW 29A.40.110 requires the county auditor's personnel to verify that the voter's signature on the ballot declaration is the same as the signature of that voter in the registration files of the county and that all personnel assigned to verify signatures must receive training on statewide standards for signature verification. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
- 4. In answering paragraph 4 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.
- 5. In answering paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.

- 6. In answering paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 7. In answering paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit only that RCW 29A.04.205 provides that "It is the policy of the state of Washington to encourage every eligible person to register to vote and to participate fully in all elections, and to protect the integrity of the electoral process by providing equal access to the process while guarding against discrimination and fraud. The election registration laws and the voting laws of the state of Washington must be administered without discrimination based upon race, creed, color, national origin, sex, or political affiliation." Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
- 8. In answering paragraph 8 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants acknowledge the nature of plaintiffs' claims but deny the merits of those claims.
- 9. In answering paragraph 9 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.

PARTIES

- 10. In answering paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 11. In answering paragraph 11 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

- 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
- 12. In answering paragraph 12 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 13. In answering paragraph 13 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 14. In answering paragraph 14 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 15. In answering paragraph 15 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 16. In answering paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 17. In answering paragraph 17 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 18. In answering paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

- 19. In answering paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 20. In answering paragraph 20 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 21. In answering paragraph 21 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 22. In answering paragraph 22 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 23. In answering paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 24. In answering paragraph 24 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 25. In answering paragraph 25 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 26. In answering paragraph 26 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board

 Defendants make no response to these allegations as they pertain to another defendant

(206) 477-1120 Fax (206) 296-0191

22

23

44. In answering paragraph 44 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit only that Washington Laws of 2011, Ch. 10 enacted amendments to RCW 29A.40.010 that require that each registered voter of the state shall automatically be issued a mail ballot for general, special and primary elections. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

- 45. In answering paragraph 45 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit.
- 46. In answering paragraph 46 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit.
- 47. In answering paragraph 47 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit only that RCW 29A.40.110 requires that the county auditor's personnel to verify that the voter's signature on the ballot declaration is the same as the signature of that voter in the registration files of the county. However, to the extent factual allegations are intended or legal conclusions contrary to applicable law are alleged, they are hereby denied.
 - C. Washington Provides Limited Signature Verification Guidance That Requires Election Officials to Make Subjective, Arbitrary Determinations
 - 48. In answering paragraph 48 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board

 Defendants admit.
 - 49. In answering paragraph 49 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board

 Defendants admit.
 - 50. In answering paragraph 50 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.

22

- 51. In answering paragraph 51 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, WAC 434-379-020 speaks for itself. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
- 52. In answering paragraph 52 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit only that the Washington State Patrol provides training to King County elections personnel who conduct signature verification. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
- 53. In answering paragraph 53 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 54. In answering paragraph 54 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 55. In answering paragraph 55 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit only that voters and elections personnel are human beings. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
 - D. Washington's Signature Verification Requirement Is Highly Error-Prone and Unduly Burdens the Right to Vote
- 56. In answering paragraph 56 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.
- 57. In answering paragraph 57 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

23

- 58. In answering paragraph 58 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 59. In answering paragraph 59 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 60. In answering paragraph 60 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.

E. The Signature Verification Requirement Has Disproportionate Impacts on Certain Populations Statewide

- 61. In answering paragraph 61 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 62. In answering paragraph 62 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 63. In answering paragraph 63 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 64. In answering paragraph 64 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					

- 65. In answering paragraph 65 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 66. In answering paragraph 66 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 67. In answering paragraph 67 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 68. In answering paragraph 68 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 69. In answering paragraph 69 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 70. In answering paragraph 70 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 71. In answering paragraph 71 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

22

23

72. In answering paragraph 72 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

F. The Signature Verification Requirement Has Disproportionate Impacts on the Same Populations in King County

- 73. In answering paragraph 73 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 74. In answering paragraph 74 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same
- 75. In answering paragraph 75 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 76. In answering paragraph 76 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 77. In answering paragraph 77 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 78. In answering paragraph 78 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

79. In answering paragraph 79 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

G. The Signature Verification Requirement Disproportionately Impacts Active-Duty Military and Overseas Voters

- 80. In answering paragraph 80 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 81. In answering paragraph 81 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same
- 82. In answering paragraph 82 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

H. Other Groups Are Especially Vulnerable to Disenfranchisement Through the Signature Verification Requirement

- 83. In answering paragraph 83 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 84. In answering paragraph 84 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

- 85. In answering paragraph 85 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 86. In answering paragraph 86 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
 - I. Whether a Voter's Ballot Will Be Accepted or Rejected Depends in Large Part on What County They Vote In
- 87. In answering paragraph 87 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same
- 88. In answering paragraph 88 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
 - J. The Signature Matching Procedure Imposes an Undue Burden on the Right to Vote That Is Not Justified by Any Legitimate, Much Less Compelling, State Interest
 - 89. In answering paragraph 89 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.
 - 90. In answering paragraph 90 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.
 - 91. In answering paragraph 91 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.

(206) 477-1120 Fax (206) 296-0191

92. In answering paragraph 92 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, WAC 434-250-130 and RCW 29A.40.070 speak for themselves. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without KING COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED

COMPLAINT - 14

Leesa Manion, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 701 5th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, Washington 98104

sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 93. In answering paragraph 93 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, WAC 434-250-120 and RCW 29A.84.680 speak for themselves. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 94. In answering paragraph 94 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, WAC 434-230-015 speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
 - 95. In answering paragraph 95 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit.
 - 96. In answering paragraph 96 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit.
- 97. In answering paragraph 97 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 98. In answering paragraph 98 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.
 - K. Washington's Limited Cure Process Places Additional Unnecessary Burdens on Voters
- 99. In answering paragraph 99 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit only that Washington statutes and regulations provide a procedure for curing

signatures that do not match the signature on the voter's registration record. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.

- 100. In answering paragraph 100 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, WAC 434-261-050 speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same
- 101. In answering paragraph 101 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, WAC 434-261-050 speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same
- 102. In answering paragraph 102 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, WAC 434-261-050 speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same
- 103. In answering paragraph 103 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit only that Washington statutes and regulations provide a procedure for curing signatures that do not match the signature on the voter's registration record. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
 - L. The Washington State Auditor Confirmed the Numerous Problems with Washington's Signature Verification Requirement
- 104. In answering paragraph 104 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit that the Washington State Auditor conducted an audit which can be found at this URL: : https://sao.wa.gov/wp-

content/uploads/Tabs/PerformanceAudit/PA Evaluating WA Ballot Rejections ar-

KING COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD DEFENDANTS ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 17

CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 701 5th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 477-1120 Fax (206) 296-0191

1	1029711.pdf. The audit speaks for itself. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are
2	denied.
3	109. In answering paragraph 109 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing
4	Board Defendants admit the Washington State Auditor conducted an audit which can be found at
5	this URL: : https://sao.wa.gov/wp-
6	content/uploads/Tabs/PerformanceAudit/PA Evaluating WA Ballot Rejections ar-
7	1029711.pdf. The audit speaks for itself. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are
8	denied.
9	110. In answering paragraph 110 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing
0	Board Defendants admit the Washington State Auditor conducted an audit which can be found at
1	this URL: : https://sao.wa.gov/wp-
2	content/uploads/Tabs/PerformanceAudit/PA_Evaluating_WA_Ballot_Rejections_ar-
3	1029711.pdf. The audit speaks for itself. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are
4	denied.
5	111. In answering paragraph 111 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing
6	Board Defendants admit the Washington State Auditor conducted an audit which can be found at
7	this URL: https://sao.wa.gov/wp-
8	content/uploads/Tabs/PerformanceAudit/PA_Evaluating_WA_Ballot_Rejections_ar-
9	1029711.pdf. The audit speaks for itself. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are
20	denied.
21	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF ARTICLE LISECTION 10 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE
22	VIOLATION OF ARTICLE I SECTION 19 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE CONSTITUTION - ALL DEFENDANTS
23	(UNCONSTITUTIONAL BURDEN ON THE RIGHT TO VOTE)

KING COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 18

Leesa Manion, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 701 5th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 477-1120 Fax (206) 296-0191

112. In	answering paragraph	112 of Plaintiffs'	Complaint, Ki	ing County Ca	nvassing
Board Defendar	nts incorporate all resp	onses and denial	s as set forth ir	n the previous	paragraphs.

- 113. In answering paragraph 113 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Article I, Section 19 of the Washington State Constitution speaks for itself. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
- 114. In answering paragraph 114 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, *Madison v. State*, 161 Wn.2d at 99, 163 P.3d 757 (2007) speaks for itself. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
- 115. In answering paragraph 115 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, *Madison v. State*, 161 Wn. 2d 85, 97, 163 P.3d 757 (2007) speaks for itself. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
- 116. In answering paragraph 116 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants admit only that Washington's Signature Matching Procedure requires Washington voters to produce signatures. Any remaining allegations in this paragraph are denied.
- 117. In answering paragraph 117 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 118. In answering paragraph 118 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

119. In answering paragraph 119 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

120. In answering paragraph 120 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants make no response as the allegations appear to contain only legal conclusions for which no response is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 120.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF ARTICLE I SECTIONS 12 AND 19 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE CONSTITUTION - ALL DEFENDANTS (EQUAL PROTECTION)

121. In answering paragraph 121 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants incorporate all responses and denials as set forth in the previous paragraphs.

122. In answering paragraph 122 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Article I, Section 12 of the Washington State Constitution and *Grant Cty. Fire Prot. Dist. No. 5 v. City of Moses Lake*, 150 Wn. 2d 791, 810, 83 P.3d 419 (2004) speak for themselves. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

123. In answering paragraph 123 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, *Madison v. State*, 161 Wn. 2d 85, 95, 163 P.3d 757 (2007) speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

124. In answering paragraph 124 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, *Am. Legion Post #149 v.*Washington State Dep't of Health, 164 Wn. 2d 570, 609, 192 P.3d 306, 326 (2008) speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a KING COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED

COMPLAINT - 20

Leesa Manion, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 701 5th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 477-1120 Fax (206) 296-0191

belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

125. In answering paragraph 125 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, *Madison v. State*, 161 Wn. 2d 85, 97, 163 P.3d 757 (2007) and *Ockletree v. Franciscan Health Sys.*, 179 Wn. 2d 769, 776, 317 P.3d 1009 (2014) speak for themselves. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

126. In answering paragraph 126 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants make no response to these allegations as they pertain to another defendant. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

127. In answering paragraph 127 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants make no response to these allegations as they pertain to another defendant. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

128. In answering paragraph 128 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants make no response as the allegations appear to contain only legal conclusions for which no response is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 128.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF ARTICLE I SECTIONS 3 AND 12 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE CONSTITUTION (COUNTY DISPARITY; DUE PROCESS)

KING COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 21

129. In answering paragraph 129 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants incorporate all responses and denials as set forth in the previous paragraphs.

130. In answering paragraph 130 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Article I, Section 3 of the Washington State Constitution speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

131. In answering paragraph 131 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants make no response to these allegations as they pertain to another defendant. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

132. In answering paragraph 132 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants make no response as the allegations appear to contain only legal conclusions for which no response is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 132.

133. In answering paragraph 133 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants make no response as the allegations appear to contain only legal conclusions for which no response is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 133.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF ARTICLE I SECTION 3 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE CONSTITUTION - ALL DEFENDANTS (ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS GOVERNMENT ACTION)

134. In answering paragraph 134 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing				
Board Defendants incorporate all responses and denials as set forth in the previous paragraphs.				
135. In answering paragraph 135 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Carlson v. San Juan Cty., 183				
Wn. App. 354, 375, 333 P.3d 511 (2014) speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board				
Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the				
remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.				
136. In answering paragraph 136 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing				
Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of				
the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.				
137. In answering paragraph 137 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing				

137. In answering paragraph 137 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

138. In answering paragraph 138 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants make no response as the allegations appear to contain only legal conclusions for which no response is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 138.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF RCW 29A.04.206 - ALL DEFENDANTS (RIGHT TO VOTE)

- 139. In answering paragraph 139 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County CanvassingBoard Defendants incorporate all responses and denials as set forth in the previous paragraphs.
- 140. In answering paragraph 140 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, RCW 29A.04.206(1) speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form

20

21

22

23

a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.

- 141. In answering paragraph 141 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Article IV, Section 1 of the Washington State Constitution speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 142. In answering paragraph 142 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny.
- 143. In answering paragraph 143 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, RCW 29A.04.206 speaks for itself. King County Canvassing Board Defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and, therefore, deny the same.
- 144. In answering paragraph 144 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants make no response as the allegations appear to contain only legal conclusions for which no response is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 144.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In answering paragraphs A-G of Plaintiffs' prayer for relief on page 40 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, King County Canvassing Board Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought.

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER and AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, and without admitting anything previously denied, defendant King County states as follows:

1. Plaintiffs' claims are moot.

701 5th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, Washington 98104

(206) 477-1120 Fax (206) 296-0191

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED

COMPLAINT - 25

By: <u>s/Lindsey Grieve</u> LINDSEY GRIEVE, WSBA #42951

Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys Attorneys for Defendants 701 5th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104

Phone: (206) 296-0430/Fax: (206) 296-8819 ann.summers@kingcounty.gov david.hackett@kingcounty.gov lindsey.grieve@kingcounty.gov



KING COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - 27

Leesa Manion, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 701 5th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 477-1120 Fax (206) 296-0191