IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF MORGAN COUNTYFILED STATE OF GEORGIA MORGAN CO. GA

2022 OCT 28 AM 11: 25

LORI TULLOS, Pro Se VIRGINIA S. MCFADDIN, Pro Se

Petitioners

CIVIL ACTION OF TANK
No. 2022SUCA193

v.

BRAD RAFFENSPERGER in his official capacity as the Georgia Secretary of State

MORGAN COUNTY GEORGIA BOARD OF ELECTIONS and REGISTRATION JENNIFER DORAN, Director Dr JAMES WOODARD, Chairman BARRY BROADMAX, Member TIM CARTER, Member MARY KAY CLYBURN, Member KIRBY HAYES, Member

Respondents

PETITION FOR EX PARTE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Petitioner, Lori Tullos, has filed her original petition in this case seeking a Declaratory Judgment and Injunctive Relief against Brad Raffensperger, the Morgan County Board of Elections, Jennifer Doran, James Woodard, Barry Broadmax, Tim Carter, Mary Kay Clyburn and Kirby Hayes.

COMES NOW, Petitioner Lori Tullos, pursuant to O.C.G.A. 9-11-65(b), request for an Ex Parte Temporary Restraining Order in the same cause of action. It appears from facts set forth in Petitioner's original petition and the exhibits and sworn affidavits attached thereto, that unless the Respondents are immediately restrained from the acts prohibited below, Respondents will continue to commit such acts before notice can be given and hearing can be held on Petitioner's request for Declaratory Judgment and Injunctive Relief in this honorable court. Furthermore, Respondents will continue their deceptive tactics and misrepresentations, promulgated by the Secretary of State's office, and continue to use electronic voting equipment to cast and count votes through the upcoming elections before judgment can be rendered. Such injury is imminent and irreparable. Continued use of this voting equipment may well cause votes to be uncounted or reversed, disenfranchising thousands of qualified electors and abridging Petitioner's, the People's of Morgan County and the state of Georgia right to vote. There is no possibility of adequate compensation or restitution when one's vote is not cast or counted as the voter intended.

1. WHEREAS, It has been determined from Morgan County's ScanVote Audit
Logs (Ex A) that Morgan County electronic voting systems have the same
software errors as reported by Williamson County, TN. These software errors
cause a large percentage of votes to be uncounted or reversed, thereby abridging
a voter's right to ensure their vote being counted as cast. This is in violation of

the First, Fourteenth and Twenty Sixth Amendments of the United States Constitution, Article I, Section I, Paragraphs I, II, and VII, Article II, Section I, Paragraphs I and II of the Georgia Constitution and O.C.G.A. 1-2-6 et seq., and O.C.G.A. 21-2-365(8). Therefore, since these software issues call in to question the validity of the vote count, do not alert the poll supervisors as to these errors, and do not have the ability to be fixed, the use of these voting systems needs to cease immediately.

- 2. WHEREAS, These software errors occurred in 97% of counties in Georgia that provided the ScanVote Audit Logs. This provides factual evidence of this software issue being a widespread crisis and not a localized, one-time problem. The evidence shows Georgia vote counts to be off by as much as 19%. Therefore, the use of these tabulating machines for counting votes in Morgan County and Georgia needs to cease immediately.
- 3. WHEREAS, The evidence proves these counting 'errors' are inherent in the software programming of the electronic voting system and not caused by 'human error' as promulgated by the Secretary of State's office and the voting system manufacturer. Therefore, the use of these tabulating machines for counting votes in Morgan County and Georgia needs to cease immediately.
- 4. WHEREAS, If there were cause to believe these inaccurate tabulations were due to 'human error', it is happening too often and in too high of a percentage of counties in Georgia to be disregarded. Therefore, the use of these tabulating

machines for counting votes in Morgan County and Georgia needs to cease immediately.

- 5. WHEREAS, The Morgan County Board of Elections and Registration has been informed and aware of said software programming issues since at least September 13, 2022, when Petitioner provided them, orally and in writing, the evidence of such. They have thus far ignored these warnings. If the counting of votes continues via the use of the electronic tabulators, the 'Certification of Election' cannot legitimately be perfected or signed without a hand count of each vote on every original ballot cast. This was the method attested to by John Poulos, the voting system manufacturer CEO, as the only way to verify an accurate vote count during the State Board of Elections meeting earlier this month. Therefore, the use of these tabulating machines for counting votes in Morgan County and Georgia needs to cease immediately.
- 6. WHEREAS, The State Board of Elections has been notified, orally, in writing and by a number of Verified Notices and Demand of Emergency Review (Ex B). These Notices include evidence of vote tabulator 'errors' throughout Georgia. Thus, once again, proving this software programming issue as not being a one-time, localized 'human error' problem but rather a widespread, statewide crisis. The State Board of Elections has thus far ignored or disregarded these software programming issues that disenfranchise thousands of voters. No legitimate 'Certification of Election' for the state of Georgia can be perfected or

signed without a hand count of all votes on each original ballot cast. Therefore, the use of these tabulating machines for counting votes in Morgan County and Georgia needs to cease immediately.

- office, is attempting to forestall hand counting of the original cast votes by misrepresenting the software programming 'errors' as only occurring on Dominion 5.5B versus 5.5A which is used in Georgia. The evidence provided in Exhibit A attached, proves this to be a false statement. If this were a true statement or if any of the reasons given by Evans for this 'error' code manifesting were true, than each time a ballot is reversed it would show the 'error' code 'QR Code Signature Mismatch'. The evidence shows there are multiple 'error' codes which are causing the ballots to be reversed. Therefore, the use of these tabulating machines for counting votes in Morgan County and Georgia needs to cease immediately.
- 8. WHEREAS, Though the 'QR Code Signature Mismatch' is not the only 'error' code causing scanned ballots to be reversed, there is no such thing as a QR Code 'misread'. QR codes have a signature or checksum within the code itself. The QR code contains a mathematical validation method which means a QR code is either read or not read but cannot be 'misread'. And Whereas, the QR code not being human readable was determined to be non-compliant with Georgia law by Federal Judge Amy Totenberg in 2020 during the *Curling v*.

Raffensperger suit. Therefore, the use of these tabulating machines for counting votes in Morgan County and Georgia needs to cease immediately.

- 9. WHEREAS, The 'QR Code Signature Mismatch' error code also causes the tabulator's protective counter to not increment. This protected counter is a meter, required by law, which counts every ballot scanned. This protected counter is not supposed to be able to be suspended, manipulated, or reset. It is coded to the hardware of the machine. However, this QR Code Signature Mismatch 'error' causes the protective counter to not incrementally add to the ballots scanned count, nor does it update the count that appears on the poll tape when it happens. Therefore, the only way to ensure every vote cast is counted as intended by the qualified elector is to hand count each vote on each original ballot and immediately cease the use of the tabulating machines.
- manufacturer's assertion that this coding error is limited to Democracy Suite 5.5B and C, it has been confirmed to exist in the software version used in Georgia on both the Image Cast Precinct and Image Cast Central tabulators. Therefore, the only way to ensure every vote cast is counted as intended by the qualified elector is to hand count each vote on each original ballot and immediately cease the use of the tabulating machines.
- 11. WHEREAS, The results of the 2022 Dekalb primaries prove this 'error' pair did in fact change the vote count in the election of Michelle Long Spears when an

additional 2,810 votes were 'found' during a vote recount of the original cast ballots. Thus, the Secretary of State's office and the voting system manufacturer's assertions of this 'error' not affecting the Georgia Democracy Suite version is untrue. Therefore, the only way to ensure every vote cast is counted as intended by the qualified elector is to hand count each vote on each original ballot and immediately cease the use of the tabulating machines.

- 12. WHEREAS, A 'risk limiting audit' after the election is not an acceptable remedy. It does not allow for the confirmation that each vote cast by qualified electors is being counted as intended. It only allows for a very small percentage of votes to be audited. The 'risk limiting audit' will not be necessary if the original votes as cast are hand counted. Per title 52 USC 10310(c) (1) The terms "vote" or "voting" shall include all action necessary to make a vote effective in any primary, special, or general election, including, but not limited to, registration, listing pursuant to this chapter, or other action required by law prerequisite to voting, casting a ballot, and having such ballot counted properly and included in the appropriate totals of votes cast with respect to candidates for public or party office and propositions for which votes are received in an election.
- 13. WHEREAS, The Supreme Court of Georgia has recently determined that, "injury need not always be individualized; sometimes it can be a generalized grievance shared by community members, especially other residents,

taxpayers, voters, or citizens.", "...Georgia has long recognized that members of the community, whether as citizens, residents, taxpayers or voters, may be injured when their local government fails to follow the law. Government at all levels has a legal duty to follow the law; a local government owes that legal duty to its citizens, residents, taxpayers, or voters (i.e., community stakeholders), and the violation of that legal duty constitutes an injury that our caselaw has recognized as conferring standing to those community stakeholders even if the Plaintiff suffered no individual injury." This Supreme Court precedent gives Petitioner standing in this cause of action. (SONS OF CONFEDERATE VETERANS, et al. v. HENRY COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, S22G0039 Supreme Court of Georgia, SONS OF CONFEDERATE VETERANS, et al. v. NEWTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, S22G0045 Supreme Court of Georgia, October 25, 2022)

THEREFORE, the Petitioner asks:

- That, this honorable Court set a hearing as soon as possible and direct (a) Respondents to appear before this Court and show just cause why the demands of the Petitioner should not be granted; And That, due to imminent irreparable harm to the qualified electors of Morgan County and the state of Georgia, time is of the essence;
- That, this honorable Court restrain and enjoin Respondents from the (b) ability to use the electronic voting system tabulators;

- (c) That, this honorable Court direct Respondents to hand count, under the supervision of an equal number of bi-partisan poll watchers, all votes on the original cast ballots;
- (d) That, this honorable Court direct Respondents to remove the original ballots cast during early voting from the tabulators so the votes on these ballots can be counted as cast and intended by the qualified elector; And That, any early voting ballots that are cast from this point until the end of early voting be treated the same;
- (e) That, this honorable Court direct Respondents to hand count votes as intended by the qualified elector on any and all mailed in ballots, absentee ballots or provisional ballots that have gone through the verification and validation process; And That, these ballots are not to be processed via the electronic tabulators;
- (f) That, this honorable Court make findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning the issues in this case;
- (g) That, Petitioner have such other and further relief as this honorable Court may deem just and proper; And That, this honorable Court award Petitioner costs for having to bring this action;
- (h) That, the Respondents be served a copy of this Petition and Ex Parte Restraining Order as is required by law;

- (i) That, this honorable Court enjoin and restrain Respondents from destroying, encumbering, secreting, or otherwise disposing of or removing from the jurisdiction of this Court any and all election documents;
- (j) That, this honorable Court issue this Ex Parte Temporary Restraining
 Order, to protect the rights of qualified electors of Morgan County and the state
 of Georgia to cast their vote and have it accurately counted as is protected by the
 Constitution(s) and the laws of the state of Georgia, and to ensure the accuracy
 and integrity of our elections, until the original Petition for Declaratory
 Judgment and Injunctive Relief can be heard.

Respectfully submitted/

Lori Tullos, Petitioner Pro Se 2011 Cedar Grove Road Buckhead GA 30625

DC10647 76, 2022

Exhibit

"A"

RELIGIENED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET, COM

Morgan Co. GA

Untitled

				เ ยก	titlea
Jun	13/2022	11:47:10	ScanVote	Audit	_Scanner_transport-error
շար	13/2022	11:47:10	ScanVote		Ballot has been reversed:
Jun	13/2022	11:47:18	Security	Error	cOR-code Signature mismatch.
		11:47:18	ScanVote	Warning	-+-Ballot format or id is unrecognizable;
		11:47:19	ScanVote	_	Ballot has been-reversed.7
		11:47:27	ScanVote		Scan_error_(Err #5652), ioctl-returns-0; 7
	10: 5.				
_		11:47:27	ScanVote		Motor steps: 39, max-MotorSteps: 2000 7
		11:47:27	ScanVote		Table: 2, current_index: 1_J
		11:47:27	Scanner		_Current_sensor_state_PS1[off]_PS2[off]
r-DC⊅1	OFF DS	Moffl-PSST	off]-PSDV[ร ิสส าครถ	
		11:47:28	ScanVote	- 100 £310_m/s	_Actual_scanning_of_ballot-failed-with
	<u>15/2022</u> 0 <u>r=</u> [4602:		20011000		
		11:47:28	ScanVote	Audit	[Scanner transport error.]
			ScanVote		-Baldot-has-been-reversed-
		11:47:28	ScanVote		(Ballot_104_processed_successfully).
		11:47:36			State number of ballots==68.
		11:47:36	ScanVote		-M.
		11:55:52	ScanVote		Scan error (Err \$5654), ioctl returns 0;
	no:-5.7				-18-21
		11:55:52	ScanVote		Motor_steps: 2001, max MotorSteps: 2000
		11:55:52	ScanVote		Table: 2, current index: 1
Jun	13/2022	11:55:52	Scanner		Current sensor state_PS1[on]_PS2[off]/
CPS3	[<u>off]</u> -PS	4[off]_PS5[off] PSDV		OSD[off]
		11:55:53	ScanVote		Actual scanning of ballot failed with /
err	or [4602	23.7			SEW.
Jun	13/2022	11:55:53	ScanVote		Ballot's size exceeds maximum expected
lbal	lot_size	. 7		,2 ^C),
		11:55:53	ScanVote		[Ballot_has_been_neversed.]
Jun	13/2022	11:56:03	ScanVote		Ballot 101-processed successfully.
Jun	13/2022	11:56:03	ScanVote		Total number of ballots == 690
		12:07:51	ScanVote		Sallot-104-processed_successfully.
		12:07:51	Scanvote		Total=number-of-ballots=70.
		12:12:12	ScanVote	!	(Scan_error (Err #5652), ioctl returns 07
	no: 5.				
		12:12:12	ScanVote	!	[Motor-steps: 360, max MotorSteps: 470]
		12:12:12	ScanVote		[Table:_0,_current_index:_2_/
Jun	12/2022	12:12:12	Scanner		[Current sensor state PS1[off] PS2[on]
			[off] PSDV[
		12:12:12	ScanVote	9,53 000	Actual scanning of ballot failed-with
	or 4602		20000000	•	
		12:12:12	ScanVote	Audit	Scanner transport error.
		12:12:12	ScanVote		Ballot has been reversed.
			ScanVote		Ballot 101-processed-successfully
		12:12:25	ScanVote		Clotal-number-of-ballots==71.0
		12:12:25	Scanvole		Ballotc101Tprocessed_successfully?
		12:14:15			Total-number of ballots72-
		12:14:15	ScanVote		CBallot 101 processed successfully
		12:14:49	ScanVote		Total_number_of_ballots-=-73;
Jun	13/2022	12:14:49	ScanVote	!	inter-immer-narmarization

Page 40

Exhibit

"B"

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET, COM

October 11, 2022

Georgia State Election Board 2 MLK Jr. Drive Suite 802 Floyd West Tower Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Judge William Duffey Jr. wduffey.seb@gmail.com

Mr. Matt Mashburn mmashburn@georgia-elections.com

Dr. Jan Johnston
JJohnstonMD.seb@gmail.com

Mrs. Sara Tindall Ghazal SaraGhazal.seb@gmail.com

Mr. Edward Lindsey
<u>Edwardlindsey.seb@gmail.com</u>

Ex officio:
Mr. Brad Raffensperger
Secretary of State
214 State Capitol
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

VERIFIED NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR EMERGENCY REVIEW

Members of the board:

Kevin Moncla and David Cross, hereinafter "complainants", are submitting this Official Noticæn dDeman flor Emergen Ryvie wegarding deficiencies discoverweith Georgia's Dominion Democracy Suite 5.5A(GA) electionequipment. These problems are consistent with that found is tye arin William sonCounty TN, and confirmed by the Elections sistancemmissi(EAC) as further plainbedlow. Followithis incident, Williamson County immediately suspended use of Dominion voting systems and replaced the machines with those of another manufacturer.

Those same anomalies, among others, have been witnessed in several separate incidents and the same errors have been documented in 65 of the 67 counties, some 97%, across the state of Georgia. We have evidenced these specific problems having occurred during the 2020 general election and a gai nd ur in gh erecent 2022 pr i m ar i Without intervention, the material effect on mid-term election contests and the risk of disenfranchisement of hundreds of thousands of Georgia voters is imminent.

Therefore, we are seeking Immediate Emergency Review by the Georgia State Election Board, and for cause state as follows:

Two issues have been found in 65 of the 67 counties from which we've been able to obtain the requisite records:

- 1. Thes a me 'QRc odesignatum is matedad "Ballot format or ID unrecognizable" error pair has been found across the state of Georgia as that evidenced as the triggering event of the anomaly in the EAC's investigation into the Williamson incident.
- 2. Tabulator ballot reversal attributed to error, followed by the same ballot being subsequently accepted by the scanner. In other words, when a voter attempts to scan their ballot, the scanner returns it to the voter, but then accepts it. This sequence is found in tandem with the error pair detailed in number 1 above and is consistent with that found by the EAC's Williamson incident investigation. Our investigation has revealed the same rejected-then-accepted pattern occurring in concert with several other errors, and at an alarming volume affecting approximately 20% of all ballots cast from across the state of Georgia.

The deficiencies noted above are also associated with several instances in which ballots were found to be scanned by the tabulator but not reflected in the tabulator count. This too is consistent with the manifestation of the anomaly as found with the Williamson incident. This bears repeating. The anomalies have not only been identified by locating the same errors in commowith the Williamson incident, have also been realizbeth ediscovery of ballots having been scanned but not included in the tabulator results:

- A. Dekal County, 2022 Primaries Hand-count revealapproximately 2800 ballots which had been scanned but votes were not included in the tabulator results.
- B. Gwinnett County, 2020 General Election- Approximately 1600 ballots were scanned but not included in the tabulator results.
- C. Floyd County, 2020 General Election-Hand-count found approximately 2800 ballots which were scanned but not included.

Additionally, complainants have also found the same error pair in Coffee County for the 2020 general election. This is significant the irregularities witnessed by county election officials are consistent with those found in conjunction with the Williamson Incident.

THE WILLIAMSON INCIDENT

On October 26, 2021, a municipal election was held in Williamson County, Tennessee. An astute poll watcher meticulously documented the happenings at one of the polling locations as the polls closed. Poll workers began their reconciliation process which included hand-counting the paper ballots and comparing it to the number of ballots cast as reported by the 2 tabulators. One tabulator had 163 paper ballots but the poll closing tape only showed 79 ballots counted. The second tabulator contained 167 paper ballots and the corresponding

poll closing tape showed only 19 ballots had been counted.

At one polling location, 330 ballots were scanned, and only 98 ballots were counted. The same scenario repeated itself in several polling locations, with 7 of the 18 tabulators having scanned significantly more ballots than those counted.

This led to the Secretary of State performing their own investigation where they were able to repeat the anomaly but couldnot find the cause. The EAC performed an investigation on site and after multiple rounder testing were able to associate the errowhich was triggering the anomaly (A true and correct copy of the EAC's report is attached hereto as "Exhibit A"). From the EAC's report:

An alysis au ditoginform atiene alest trieth atcoincides it the emanifestation of the anomaly; a security error "QR code signature mismatch" and a warning message "Ballot format or id is unrecognizable" indicating a QR code misre accurred. When the seventwereloggedheb allow asrejected. Subsequent resetting of the ICP scanners and additional tabulation demonstrated that each instance of the anomaly coincided with the previously mentioned audit logentries, though not every instance of those audit logentries resulted in the anomaly.

Furthamaly saifthean omabyehavishow etchathes canneaurrectly tabulated all ballots until the anomaly was triggered. Following the anomaly, ballots successfully scanned and tabulated by the ICP were not reflected in the close poll reports on the affected ICP scanners.

The EAC report then states:

"The direct cause of the anomaly was inconclusive."

This statement, as admitted in the conclusion of the EAC's report, frames the scope of this problem. The EAC is admitting that they do not know what caused the Dominion voting machines not to count ballots. Even so, the EAC defers to Dominion:

On February 11, 2022, Dominion submitted a Root Cause Analysis (RCA) to the EAC. The report indicates that erroneous code is present in the EAC certified D-Suite 5.5-B and D-Suite 5.5-C systems. The RCA report states that when the anomaly occurs, it's due to a misread of the QR code. If the QR code misread affects a certain part of the QR code, the ICP scanner mistakenly interprets a bit in the code that marks the ballot as provisional. Once that misread happens, the provisional flag is not properly reset after that ballot's voting session. The result is that every ballot scanned and tabulated by the machine after that misread is marked as provisional and thus, not included in the tabulator's close poll report totals.

The first problem with the paragraph above is that Dominion indicates:

"...erroneous code is present in the EAC certified D-Suite 5.5-B and D-Suite 5.5-C systems."

There is no explanation or definition of erroueous code, nor how it g ot there. Was it malware? Second is Dominion's claim that the anomaly is:

"...due to a misread of the QR code, the ICP scanner mistakenly interprets a bit in the code that marks the ballot as provisional."

A QR code has a signature or checksum within the code itself. In other words, the QR code contains a mathematical validation method. Therefore, a QR code is either read or it isn't, but it <u>cannot</u> be misread. This fact alone, asserting an impossibility, negates that which Dominion's Root Cause Analysis identified as the root cause.

Third, tabulators do not scan provisional ballots, at least not in the United States. A provisional ballot is one that is held subject to a deficiency being cured and is always a hand marked paper ballot- with no QR code. A provisional ballot is customarily placed in an envelope and addressed by election officials after the polls close. If the deficiency is cured then the ballot is no longer a provisional ballot, rather just a ballot, and can be scanned as such. The provisional "feature" or option is one that we now know exists. The same can be easily exploited to essential ability or "stuff the ball obtox by using the flashcard's provisional folder, which the Williamson Incident has taught us, is effectively hidden from the tabulator and poll workers.

The EAC's report goes further to explain how Dominion addressed the deficiency:

Dominion has submitted Engineering Change Orders (ECO)s for the ICP software in the D-Suite 5.5-B and D-Suite 5.5-C systems: ECO 100826 and ECO 100827. Modified ICP source code was submitted by Dominion that resets the provisional flag following each voting session.

Here the EAC says that Dominion modified the source code to reset the provisional flag presumably after each ballot is scanned. This does not address the cause which has not been identified and does not prevent a ballot being erroneously flagged as provisional and then sent to the provisional folder. Dominion's code only resets the flag, yet there should be no functional of unctional ballots. The presence of that code and functionality presents a hazard to the integrity and accuracy of elections.

Lastly, the EAC's report concludes with the following:

The analysis and testing of the ECOs has demonstrated that the anomaly was successfully fixed. No instance of the anomaly or the associated error or warning messages in the ICP audit logs were observed during the testing. The EAC has approved ECO 100826 and ECO 100827 on March 31, 2022.

N ear I yas stunning the EAC's d missithmatth edirectaus cofth ean omal was inconclusive, is the statement on the very same page that the anomaly was successfully fixed. The contradiction, "We don't know what caused it, but it's fixed" wouldn't be acceptable coming from a car mechanic, much less the Election Assistance Commission addressing the systems (critical infrastructure) which tally our votes.

Another interesting point which was discovered during the EAC's investigation but has not been addressed is the fact that this anomaly suspiciously caused the tabulator's protective counter not to increment. The protective counter is a legally required meter which counts every ballot scanned, including test ballots, for the life of the tabulator. Like a car's odometer, the protective counter cannot be suspended, manipulated, or reset and is coded to the hardware of the machine; however, this anomaly somehow caused the protective counter not to count the ballots being scanned when the corresponding ballot images were hidden in the provisional folder.

Said another way, the security feature used to reconcile the number of ballots scanned by a tabulator was disabled during the same event that hid ballots and prevented the tabulator from counting them. That's two separate counters, controlled by two separate mechanisms (software and hardware) both suppressed in tandem by functionality not used in the United States.

¹ See Engineering Change Order Analysis Form attached hereto as "Exhibit B".

Also, important to note is that the erroneous code and errors both survived Logic and Accuracy Testing across seven tabulators.

Lastly, if the "erroneous code" was not due to malware and was a mistake by Dominion's programmers, then how did it survive certification testing? This would also suggest that the "errone coosde could aveaffect sedver plastelectionment hes wariod scales unbeknownst to anyone. Dominion claims it only affected Democracy Suite 5.5B and 5.5C, but doesn't state from what point in time.

The significance of the Williamson Incident is not only its direct and instant effects, but it has also established the fact that a ballot has the capacity to alter the behavior of the tabulator, including how and which votes are counted. Both Dominion and the EAC have acknowledged this fact by affirming that the anomaly was triggered by the scanning of a QR code. This capacity alone is clearly a threat to the integrity of the voting systems and thus our critic infrastructure becausied emonstrathesself-evidentskth atcovert, undetected or untested functionality may be present and triggered by unauthorized parties.

QR CODE SIGNATURE MISMATCH IN GEORGIA

Despite Dominion's assertion that the anomaly was limited to Democracy Suite 5.5B and 5.5 Ci,t has now been on firmtedexisin thesoftwaversions edn Georgia's Democracy Suite 5.5A. Complainants have acquired the Dominion Image Cast Precinct (tabulator) system log files showing the same error pair as that of the Williamson Incident in 64 of the 66 counties for which they have obtained records. (See the tabulator System Log file for each county with the corresponding error pair for each of the 64 counties, attached hereto as "Exhibit C").

Additionally, the same QR Code signature mismatch error is not limited to the ICP but has now been confirmed with the Image Cast Central (ICC) tabulator as well.

The Williamson Incident was uncovered through the reconciliation process at the polling location. Specifically, the poll workers counted the number of paper ballots then compared that number to the poll closing tape of the scanner and the discrepancy was revealed.

Georgia has no such process for early voting as the tabulators are not closed until after the polls close on election night, and not by the early voting poll managers, but by third parties. Therefore, there is no way by which any discrepancy could be uncovered. Furthermore, we have previously documented the early-voting tabulator closing process practiced in several counties was devoid of any reconciliation whatsoever and in violation of nearly all Rules and Regulations defining the same. ² Because of the lack of basic election accounting, both by design and practice, it becomes clear there is essentially no way such a phenomenon

² See Official Complaint submitted to the Georgia State Election Board (SEB) regarding tabulator closing protocol attached hereto as "Exhibit D".

could be caught in Georgia as it was in Williamson County, Tennessee during the normal conduct of an election.

There are several documented incidents in Georgia that are consistent with the Williamson Incident in that ballots were scanned by the tabulator, but not counted by the tabulator. Important to note that these were discovered by happenstance. Three such incidents are detailed below:

DEKALB 2022 PRIMARIES

After the results came in, Michelle Long Spears, Candidate for the May 24 th Dekalb County Commission 2 race, found herself in 3rd place and seemingly out of the run-off. Spears demanded a hand-count after several precincts showed that she had received zero votes, including her own precinct where she and her husband had cast votes for her. The hand-count revealed that she not come in last, but that she had won. The error in counting was purportedly caused by tabulators not being properly undated when a candidate had dropped out of the race-causing votes to be attributed to the wrong candidates. This same scenario was said to have caused the problem in Antrim County, Michigan during the 2020 General Election in which Joe Biden erroneously received several thousand votes which voters had actually cast for President Trump. Yet this software deficiency remains.

In addition to votes being credited to the wrong candidate in Dekalb, the hand count also r ev eal edap p r o x i m a 2el8/10al 1 ot \$\frac{1}{2}\$ that h a db ee ns c an ne by t h et a b u l at obsut n ot counted by the tabulators. The candidate-removed-from-the-ballot theory maxplain the misattributed votes, but does not explain the 2,810 additional uncounted votes. An article covering the issue states:

"The press release does not explain the large discrepancy between the machine count on Election Night and the subsequent hand count. It also doesn't explain the appearance of 2,810 more votes cast than were initially reported."

Indefensibly, the uncounted ballots are not addressed nor explained; however, the Dekalb County tabulator System Log files from the May primaries reveal the presence of the same "QRcodes ignatumes matcher" ropairas that which heEACfountriggerende Williamson Incident anomaly:

```
May 26/2022 20:02:21: Ballot 38: Id=464, 465 Cast.

May 26/2022 20:02:21: Security Error <u>QR code Signature mismatch</u>.

May 26/2022 20:02:21: ScanVote Warning <u>+ Ballot format or id is unrecognizable</u>.

May 26/2022 20:02:21: Ballot 39: - Problem Ballot - saved as C:\DVS\Ashford
```

³ Hand count in District 2 DeKalb Commission race changes runoff picture – Decaturish - Locally sourced news

While there may be another explanation than the cause and effect consistent with the Williamson Incident for the uncounted ballots, there is not one which can be found in the public record and no matter the cause, the deficiency remains. The post-election discovery of 2,810 uncounted votes further establishes that no effective reconciliation, accounting, or canvass process exists to protect the integrity of elections in Georgia, for if it did then the same would have revealed a discrepancy and the fact that votes were missing from the count.

FLOYD COUNTY 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

Following the 2020 General Election, the Georgia Secretary of State, Brad Raffensperger, ordered a hand count of all paper ballots. During the course of the hand count, several counties found ballots which were not included in the November 3 rd results. In all incidents, the uncounted ballots were attributed to flashcards that had not been uploaded or included in the results. Floyd County was one where approximately 2,700 ballots were not included in the November 3 rd results, but despite reports to the contrary, the uncounted ballots were not due to an unreported flashcard.

An astute investigative journalist and reporter, Heather Mullins, chronicled the incident in real-time. In an interview with Floyd County election officials and Dominion technicians present, Mullins directly asks if the discrepancy could be caused by a flashcard that wasn't uploaded. The official says "No, they have ruled out a flashcard". He goes on to say that they don't know why the ballots weren't counted. The Floyd County tabulator System Log files show the presence of the same "QR code signature mismatch" error pair as that which the EAC found triggered the Williamson Incident anomaly:

While there may be another explanation than the cause and effect consistent in the Williamson Incident for the uncounted ballots, there is not one which can be found in the public record and no matter the cause, the deficiency remains. The report of uncounted ballots and/or outst and inglas heards further establish est hatno effect iv er econ ciliation, accounting, or canvass process exists to protect the integrity of elections in Georgia, for if it

⁴(1) Heather Mullins on Twitter: "Floyd County, GA: After a FULL day of rescanning, counting, & software techs troubleshooting, election officials (while VERY transparent), still had NO answer as to what caused 2700 votes to go uncounted. Dominion techs said they could not comment. Listen to this! @RealAmVoice https://t.co/v6j9lMatXH" / Twitter

did then the same would have revealed a discrepancy and the fact that ballots were missing from the count.

GWINNETT COUNTY 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

A Declaration filed by Marilyn Marks in the Curling V. Raffensperger case describes a problem witness ed by Ms. Marks during the 2020 G eneral Election count in Gwinnett County.⁵ Specifically, Marks states:

- 12. During the November 3, 2021 election, Harri Hursti and I visited Gwinnett County Elections for several hours on multiple days as they were having significant problems with the Dominion server processing certain batches of scanned ballot images uploaded on precinct scanner memory cards. County officials disclosed in public announcements that several thousand ballots (tens of thousands of votes) in the batch would not be processed efforts to process the ballots.
- 13. A Dominion technical expert, David Moreno, was flown in from Denver to attempt to remedy the vote tabulation problem, County spokesman Joe Sorenson repeated explained that ballots were simply failing to be processed by the system, and that thousands of ballots were caught up in the failure.
- 14. Based on contemporaneous discussions with Mr. Hursti, who was watching Mr. Moreno's actions and computer screens, it appeared that that Mr. Moreno made software code changes in real time to circumvent the problem to force the system to process most, but not all, of the uncounted ballots. After most of the ballots were process sandcounted, Gwinnequi ckylose all deer tifitehake lection. estimated that at the time the election was certified at least 1,600 ballots remained uncounted. I askedounted on toyfficiakespeated hyperoneous deep these ballots, but received no response.
- 15. A few days later a statewide hand count audit of the presidential race was conducted. I was an authorized monitor of the audit process in several counties including Gwinnett. According to the audit summary published by the Secretary of State, attached hereto as Exhibit 1, during the audit Gwinnett discovered 1,642 more ballots than were originally counted. This confirmed my belief that over 1,600 ballots had not been counted even after Dominion made real time software changes and the Gwinnett Board of Elections certified the result.

Marks meticulously detailshefact that therewerel, 642m or eball ot shanoriginally counted "...even after Dominion made real time software changes and the Gwinnett Board

⁵ See a true and correct copy of the referenced Declaration by Marilyn Marks attached hereto as "Exhibit E".

of Elections certified the result.". The tabulator System Log files from the Gwinnett County General Election reveal the same "QR code signature mismatch" error pair as that which the EAC found triggered the Williamson Incident anomaly:

Nov 04/2020 13:32:44: Security Error QR code Signature mismatch.

Nov 04/2020 13:32:44: ScanVote Warning + Ballot format or id is unrecognizable.

Nov 04/2020 13:32:44: Ballot 40: - Problem Ballot - saved as C:\DVS\Nov 2020 AV-Shorty Howell ICC 2B 79-156\Project\NotCastImages\NotCast_001_002_001.tif.

While there may be another explanation than the cause and effect consistent with the Williamson Incident for the uncounted ballots, there is not one which can be found in the public record and no matter the cause, the deficiencyemains. The outstanding ballots further establish that no effective reconciliation, accounting, or canvass process exists to protect the integrity of elections in Georgia, for if it did then the same would have revealed a discrepancy and the fact that ballots were missing from the count.

Furthermore, if the anomalous results described herein are somehow found to be not exactly the result of that which caused the Williamson Incident (which would be difficult given that the cause has not been identified) the same must be investigated to conclusion as the same symptoms are present and have been specifically documented in several incidents in several counties.

It's also worth noting that Ms. Mark's Declaration indicates the alteration of software code within a previously certified voting system in real-time during its operation for an election in violation of Georgia election code. The actions Marks described clearly violated the voting system certification and all use of that system should have been immediately halted and furth as eprohibited if ucltimeasthesystem ould be broughtackinto compliance and properly tested.

OTHER ERRORS

Althoughe "QR codes ignatumismatch", alongwith the "Balloform actr ID unrecognizable" pair were the only errors acknowledged by Dominion and the EAC to affect the tabulator countingprocess, there are several other errors potentially yielding the same result.

When the tabulator produces an error, the ICP "reverses" or returns the ballot to the voter. Aside from a genuine mechanical or folded paper error, the ICP should reverse the same ballot for the same error no matter how many times the ballot is scanned (within acceptable tolerances). For example, A "QR code signature mismatch" error should be reversed on the second, third, and 25th attempt; however, the logs and corroborating reports reveal that ballots are being reversed on the first attempt but accepted on the second or subsequent scanning attempts. This too is consistent with what the investigations by the Tennessee Secretary of State and the EAC found in Williamson, TN as it was found that the ballot that triggered the anomaly was initially reversed due to error, but subsequently accepted.

Because the sam do allow hichnitially g gears errorausinigto berevers ext subsequently accepted, evidence strongly suggests that either the error as initially returned is not really an error, or the voting system is grossly inaccurate. Complainants have effectively ruled out inaccuracy as the same pattern repeats itself in county afterounty thousands of times. The ballot is scanned and then reversed due to an error, followed by the ballot being accepted seconds later with no error.

What's more, we have been able to identify the exact ballots which triggered various errors as each time an error is generated, the ballot is reversed and the image of the deficient ballot which triggered the error is placed in the "Not Cast Images" folder. For example, the tabulator log file below shows that a ballot was reversed due to the error "Image scan could not find QR code on ballot" and an image of the "problem ballot" is saved.

Nov 25/2020 17:57:26: Ballot 28: Id=3 Cast.
Nov 25/2020 17:57:26: Ballot 29: Id=3 Cast.
Nov 25/2020 17:57:27: Image Warning Image scan could not find QR code on ballot.
Nov 25/2020 17:57:27: ScanVote Warning + Ballot format or id is in recognizable.
Nov 25/2020 17:57:27: Ballot 30: - Problem Ballot - saved as C:\DVS\RECOUNT ADVANCE VOTING\Project\NotCastImages\NotCast 057 001 001.tif.
Nov 25/2020 17:57:27: Nov 25/2020 Ballot 31: Skipped

The image of the problem ballot, named "NotCast_057_001_001.tif" is shown below:

BIBB COUNTY

OFFICIAL BALLOT

GENERAL AND SPECIAL ELECTION OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA **NOVEMBER 3, 2020**

"I understand that the offer or acceptance of money or any other object of value to vote for any particular candidate, list of candidates, issue, or list of issues included in this election constitutes an act of voter fraud and is a felony under Georgia law." [O.C.G.A. 21-2-284(e), 21-2-285(h) and 21-2-383(a)]

503-EM4



For President of the United States (Vote for One) (NP)

Vote for Joseph R. Biden (Dem)

For United States Senate (Perdue) (Vote for One) (NP)
Vote for Jon Ossoff (Dem)

For United States Senate (Loeffler) -Special (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Raphael Warnock (Dem)

For Public Service Commissioner (Vote for One) (NP)
Vote for Robert G. Bryant (Dem)

For Public Service Commissioner (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Daniel Blackman (Dem)

For U.S. Representative in 117th Congress From the 2nd Congressional District of Georgia (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Sanford Bishop (I) (Dem)

For State Senator From 26th District (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for David E. Lucas, Sr. (I)

For State Representative in the General Assembly From 143rd District (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for James Beverly (I) (Osrn)

For District Attorney of the Macon Judicial Circuit (Vote for One) (NP)
Vote for Anita Reynolds Howard

for Clerk of Superior Court (Vote for One)

Vote for Erica L. Woodford (I) (Dem)

For Sheriff (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for David Davis (i) (Dem)

For Tax Commissioner (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for S. Wade McCord (I) (Dem)

For Solicitor of State Court of Macon-Bibb County (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Rebecca Liles Grist (I) (Dem)

Constitutional Amendment #1 (NP) Vote for YES

Constitutional Amendment #2 (NP) Vote for YES

Statewide Referendum A (NP) Vote for YES

1/1

The QR code is clearly visible and is in exactly the correct position on the ballot. Also, the image is crisp with no visible deficiency whatsoever. It's important to note that the same imaging devices which capture the image also read the QR code. This removes the possibility that dirt, ink or dust caused the error. For if it did, the image above would reflect the deficiency, as that is the very image the tabulator read and reversed. Therefore, if that very ballot image was scanned

it should return the very same error, but it does not.

Complainants scanned the ballot image using the very same third-party QR code software that D o m i n i tahulators are s u p p o s toduse t o r e a dQR codes⁶ which i s a v a i l a toltel i næt www.zxing.org. The image that was reversed due to error scanned successfully:

₩ Deco	de Succeeded
Raw text	±, T
Raw bytes	43 e0 00 10 10 00 00 05 20 00 00 10 40 00 00 00 e0 00 80 04 40 00 02 22 2a a5 55 00 00 0d 02 1 f 29 cf dc 65 ed a9 a9 aa 69 71 b2 11 3a 52 80 38 27 dd 86 85 e9 53 c5 4b 0e a4 a2 ea 5e 19 a0 ec 11 ec 1
Barcode format	ec 11
Parsed Result Type	TEXT
Parsed Result	◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆◆ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

The same software that Dominion tabulators use to read QR codes was not only able to find the QR code but also read and decode it successfully. This shows that no actual error condition existed at the time it was scanned because the image above is the actual image that triggered the error.

The following is another example. The System Log file shows a ballot was rejected due to a "QR code Signature mismatch" error (same error that the EAC named as triggering the anomaly in the Williamson Incident).

⁶ See Dominion Democracy Suite 5.5A software configuration as tested on pg. 19 of the "As Run Test Plan" located here: *VVSG 2005 Cert Test Plan (eac.gov)

The image of the problem ballot listed in the log above, "NotCast 067 001 001.tif" that was rejected due to the "QR code Signature mismatch" error is shown below:

BIBB COUNTY

OFFICIAL BALLOT

GENERAL AND SPECIAL ELECTION OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA **NOVEMBER 3, 2020**

"I understand that the offer or acceptance of money or any other object of value to vote for any particular candidate, list of candidates, issue, or list of issues included in this election constitutes an act of voter fraud and is a felony under Georgia law." [O.C.G.A. 21-2-284(e), 21-2-285(h) and 21-2-383(e)]

510-HA4A



For President of the United States (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Donald J. Trump (I) (Rep)

For United States Senate (Perdue) (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for David A. Perdue (I) (Rep)

For United States Senate (Loeffler) -Special (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Doug Collins (Rep)

For Public Service Commissioner (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Jason Shaw (I) (Rep)

For Public Service Commissioner (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Lauren Bubba McOonald, Jr. (I) (Rep)

For U.S. Representative in 117th Congress From the 2nd Congressional District of Georgia (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Don Cole (Rep)

For State Senator From 18th District (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for John F. Kennedy (I) (Rep)

For State Representative in the General Assembly From 141st District (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Dale Washburn (I) (Rep)

For District Atrongey of the Macon Judicial Circuit (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Anita Reynolds Howard (Detn)

For Clerk of Superior Court (Vote for One)

(NP) Vote for Erica L. Woodford (I) (Dem)

For Sheriff (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for J. T. Ricketson (Rep)

For Tax Commissioner (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for S. Wade McCord (I) (Dem)

For Solicitor of State Court of Macon-Bibb County (Vote for One) (NP) Vote for Rebecca Liles Grist (I) (Dem)

Constitutional Amendment #1 (NP) Vote for NO

Constitutional Amendment #2 (NP) Vote for YES

Statewide Referendum A (NP) Vote for NO

Complain somtessagainsedhewww.zxing.org website and the same of twarsed y Dominion to read the QR code ballot. The very ballot image that was rejected due to a QR code signature mismatch error, was somehow successfully decoded using the very same software.

Decode Succeeded						
Raw text	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					
Raw bytes	43 e0 00 10 10 00 00 02 c0 00 00 13 a0 00 00 00 e0 00 80 08 82 00 00 44 4a a9 4c 80 00 00 d3 52 74 57 e9 ae 97 84 73 de 3f b8 84 f2 d4 e7 3b d0 6b ad 53 ca 66 ca 7c 1b 3f f4 87 b0 6c a6 20 ec 11					
Barcode format	QR_CODE					
Parsed Result Type	TEXT					
Parsed Result	\$\$\$\$\$\$0.000:000000000000000000000000000					

Again, a QR code is either read or it isn't read, but it cannot be misread. Complainants have tested hundreds of these ballot images reversed due to error and they are all read and decoded successfully.

Because of this, complainants did are analysis on the number of ballots being reversed and why they were being reversed (The report and the breakdown for each county we evaluated is in a report attached hereto as "Exhibit F"). This analysis included 13 randomly selected counties and includes over 100,000 scanned ballots.

include:

According to our review of the Dominion-produced tabulator system log files including over 104,000 ballots, an average of 18.6% of all ballots are being initially reversed due to error. Nearly all ballots reversed are then subsequently accept ed without err or. The list of er rors

- 1. Ballot Format or ID is unrecognizable
- 2. Image scan could not find QR code on ballot
- 3. QR code signature mismatch
- 4. Ballot's size exceeds maximum expected ballot size
- 5. Scanner transport error

Consider that in 13 counties, the tabulator could not find the QR code on ballot 5,952 times, but then miraculously found the QR code when the ballot was scanned again.⁷

This phenomenon is not isolated to one machine or one race, one county, or even one election.

⁷ The scanners are required to read the ballot no matter the orientation, scans both sides simultaneously and the same has been tested out as a contributing factor.

s undeniable that the

oducbst Dominion

r, then subsequently

n e n i a de m a i l text

ems with their election

uests for help to the

uerd General Election,

County's Board of

ce to the Secretary of

The Coffe & ount B oar & f Electionns of egistrationn oder tifthe electronic recount numbers given its inability to repeatably duplicate creditable election results. Any system, financial, voting, or otherwise, that is not repeatable nor dependable should not be used. To demand certification of patently inaccurate results neither serves the objective of the electoral system nor satisfies the legal obligation to certify the electronic recount.

I am enclosing a spread sheet which illuminates that the electronic recount lacks credibility. NO local election board has the ability to reconcile the anomalies reflected in the attached. Accordingly, the Coffee County Board of Elections and Registration have voted to certify the votes cast in the election night report. The election night numbers are reflected in the official certification of results submitted by our office.

The spreadsheet attached to the correspondence is below:

⁸ A true and correct copy of the Coffee County's correspondence to the Georgia Secretary of State is attached hereto as "Exhibit G".

*NOCKACTOOCKET.COM

Following additional problems associated with the January 5th, 2021 Senate runoff election, Jeff Lenberg, a computer systems expert⁹, went to Coffee County in an attempt to determine the cause of their voting system problems. Mr. Lenberg had the Elections Supervisor run a mock election (Mr. Lenberg had the Election Supervisor control the machines). An equal number of ballots were created for President Trump and Joseph Biden (20 each) which were then scanned several times on an ICP. Out of approximately 480 ballots scanned, 15% of Trump ballots were reversed due to error as opposed to only 2.5% of those ballots for Biden. In other terms, ballots were being reversed at a ratio of 7:1, Trump to Biden.

Mr. Lenberg's findings support that which was witnessed in Coffee County by Cathy Latham on January 5th 2021 Senate runoff after the polls closed. From Ms. Latham's affidavit: ¹⁰

- 10. As everyone settled in for a long night in a very small room with a tabulation computer, Ms. Hampton began pulling batches to begin scanning. As she put in the first batch, the machine began scanning and then jammed on a ballot with the following screen message: QR CODE Failure.
- 11. This continued, batch after batch, time after time. Dominion tech, Samuel Challandes from Colorado, was an extra tech assigned to Coffee County after scanner issue problems in the June 2020 Primary and November 3

⁹ See Mr. Lenberg's Bio attached hereto as "Exhibit H".

¹⁰ See a true and correct copy of Cathy Latham's affidavit attached hereto as "Exhibit I"

Presidential Election, and the machine recount. Mr. Challandes recommended to Ms. Hampton that she needed to take a cloth and wipe down the scanner. At times he advised and instructed her to blow canned air at the eye of the scanner to help remove paper debris. This didn't help.

12. One thing that was noticed by Ms. Hampton, Mrs. Thomas-Clark, and me was that every ballot that had a QR Code Failure was a ballot for all three Republican candidates: David Perdue, Kelly Loeffler, and Bubba McDonald. At some point during the evening of this, Mrs. Thomas-Clark looked over at me and said, "This isn't right." I agreed with her.

Mr. Lenberg's testing is consistent with that witnessed by Ms. Latham and Coffee County election officials, which is that ballots were being rejected in a clearly biased manner. The same anomaly was also witnessed in Coffee County during the recount.

It's also important to note that the astute Coffee County Elections Supervisor, Misty Martin, details several important points as captured in the November 10, 2020 County Board of Elections meeting minutes: 11

Mr. Chaney asked "So you can scan the same ballot two times, or multiple times. Mrs. Martin replied "Yes". Mr. Peavy said there are check points that have to match. Mrs. Martin replied "yes there are several check points for the honest person, but the honest person is not in every county. Mrs. Martin also stated that "all counties do not have the same check points that I have in place." Ms. Thomas-Clark asked "if you have a ballot and you ran it twenty times, the system would count it 20 times." Mrs. Martin replied "yes". Mrs. Martin said that during advance voting the number on the scanner never matched the number of ballots yoted.

Mrs. Martin describes her practice of reconciling the number of physical ballots with the number of ballots cast as reported by the scanner, and that they "never matched". Once again, and time after time during early voting, when the number of ballots is compared with the number of ballots as as report both these anneth, erseemso be a discrepancy just like hat f Williamson County.

CONCLUSION

Ballots are being reversed due to errors that are not truly errors, and in large numbers across the state of Georgia. Election officials and independent experts have documented the reversals in Coffee County not as random but based on the choice of candidates on the ballot. Because the exact same equipment running the exact same version of software as that of Coffee County is

¹¹ A true and correct copy of the Coffee County November 10, 2020 meeting minutes is attached hereto as "Exhibit P"

being used across the state, there is every reason to believe the other counties are experiencing the exact same results. This is also bolstered by the errors and reversals that the complainants have painstakingly documented and tracked from Dominion's own records from 67 counties spanning 3 separate elections.

The only possible explanations for the error anomalies are defect, malware, or intentional design with each yielding the same result, the continued disenfranchisement of voters.

In short, due to defect or deficiency the Dominion Voting systems currently being used in Georgia cannot reliably perform their sole purpose and function. To accurately count votes. Furthering this deficiency is Georgia's current lack of even the most basic election accounting practices which could potentially detect or prevent any innacuracies.

WHEREFORE, Complainants respectfully ask this board:

- 1. To grantelicifitheform of immediated ypendinguse of the Dominion Voting System, in its entirety, until such time as a thorough forensic review can be performed by an independent panel of experts to find the cause of the anomalies detailed herein.
- 2. To compel and enforce compliance with existing Rules and Regulations governing the early voting ballot scanner poll closing protocols, specifically those requiring the reconciliation of each tabulator count with that of the ballot scanner recap sheets.
- 3. To promulgate rules requiring the following during early voting:
 - a. The daily reconciliation of the number of physical ballots scanned, the number of ballots cast according to the ballot scanner daily status tape, and the number of voters checked in at each polling location, certified by the polling and a gendtwowitness sams dsubmitteed hest at e Election Board daily via email and posted on the county's website for public review. The same should also have the automatic remedy of a required hand count for any polling location that fails to comply as required.
 - b. Then a mee fall voterwhocheck eich at each polling cation, certified by the poll manager and two witnesses, submitted daily to the Stat Electi Broardiae mail. Thes a meshoulalls chave the automatic remedy of a required hand count for any polling location that fails to comply as required.
- 4. Any other relief that this board deems proper to ensure the accuracy and integrity of Georgia's elections.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of October, 2022 via email:

Kevin M. Moncla

David A. Cross

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACY DOCKET. COM