# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA STATE	:
CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP, et al.,	:
	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	:
	: No. 1:22-cv-00339-SPB
V.	:
	:
LEIGH M. CHAPMAN, in her official	:
capacity as Acting Secretary of the	:
Commonwealth, et al.,	:
	: ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Defendants.	:

# ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Defendant Berks County Board of Elections ("Berks Board"), by its undersigned attorneys, answers Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint dated November 30, 2022 (doc. 121), as follows:

1. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

2. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 2 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint regarding the counting of ballots cast in the 2022 election by the Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth or other county boards of elections; thus, those allegations are deemed denied. As to the actions of Berks Board in counting absentee and/or mail-in ballots cast in the 2022 election, Berks Board denies that it refused to count thousands of absentee and/or mail-in ballots based on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. To the contrary, there were only a few hundred such ballots. Berks Board denies the remaining

## Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 2 of 25

allegations in Paragraph 2 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board's actions regarding the counting of absentee and/or mail-in ballots with missing or incorrect dates on the elector's declaration were based on the orders of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court interpreting the Pennsylvania Election Code on the counting of such ballots.

3. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as a conclusion of law. Berks Board's actions regarding the counting of absentee and/or mail-in ballots with missing or incorrect dates on the elector's declaration were based on the orders of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court interpreting the Pennsylvania Election Code on the counting of such ballots.

4. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 4 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

5. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

6. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as a conclusion of law.

### **RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS OF JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

 Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

8. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

9. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as a conclusion of law.

## Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 3 of 25

Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs'
Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

### **RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS ABOUT THE PARTIES**

11. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 11 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

12. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 12 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

13. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 13 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope will disenfranchise potentially thousands of voters. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope constitutes a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 13 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

14. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 14 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 4 of 25

15. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

16. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope will disenfranchise potentially thousands of voters. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope constitutes a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

17. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 17 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

18. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 5 of 25

19. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

20. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 20 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope has the effect of disenfranchising eligible electors. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope constitutes a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 20 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

21. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 21 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope risks disenfranchising eligible electors. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope constitutes a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 6 of 25

Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 21 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

22. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 22 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

23. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope disenfranchises eligible electors. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope constitutes a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

24. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 24 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 7 of 25

25. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 25 of Plaintiffs'

Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope disenfranchises eligible electors. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope constitutes a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 25 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

26. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 26 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope risks disenfranchising eligible electors. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope constitutes a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board is without knowledge or

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 8 of 25

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 26 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

27. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 27 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

28. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 28 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope will disenfranchise potentially thousands of voters. To the contrary, an elector who fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope constitutes a failure by an elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 28 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

29. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 29 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

30. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 30 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

## Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 9 of 25

31. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 31 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

32. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 32 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

33. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 33 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

34. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 34 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

35. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 35 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

36. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 36 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

37. Admitted in part, denied in part. In response to Paragraph 37 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, Berks Board admits only the allegations that Acting Secretary Chapman's duties are defined by statute, and that Acting Secretary Chapman issued written guidance to county boards of elections for the 2022 general election regarding tabulation of timely received absentee and mail-in ballots determined to have a missing or incorrect date on the elector's

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 10 of 25

declaration on the outer return envelope. Upon information and belief, Acting Secretary Chapman's written guidance was issued pursuant to orders by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to Acting Secretary Chapman's written guidance as the best evidence of its contents. Berks Board denies the remaining allegation in Paragraph 37 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint conclusions of law. The Pennsylvania Election Code is a statute that sets forth in writing the authority, rights, and obligations of participants in Pennsylvania elections, including Acting Secretary Chapman, and Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the Election Code as the best evidence of its contents.

38. Admitted in part, denied in part. In response to Paragraph 38 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, Berks Board admits only the allegation that it was created pursuant to the Pennsylvania Election Code. Berks Board denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 38 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The Pennsylvania Election Code is a statute that sets forth in writing the authority, rights, and obligations of participants in Pennsylvania elections, including Berks Board and the other county boards of elections, and Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the Election Code as the best evidence of its contents.

## **RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS OF FACTS**

## Response to alleged facts regarding Pennsylvania's mail ballot rules

39. Admitted in part, denied in part. In response to Paragraph 39 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, Berks Board admits only the allegations that Pennsylvania law has for many years permitted electors who meet certain criteria to cast absentee ballots, and that new mail-in voting provisions were enacted into law in 2019. Berks Board denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 39 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The Pennsylvania Election Code is a statute that sets forth in writing the authority, rights, and obligations of participants in Pennsylvania elections, and Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the Election Code as the best evidence of its contents.

40. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 40 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The Pennsylvania Election Code is a statute that sets forth in writing the authority, rights, and obligations of participants in Pennsylvania elections, and Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the Election Code as the best evidence of its contents.

41. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 41 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The Pennsylvania Election Code is a statute that sets forth in writing the authority, rights, and obligations of participants in Pennsylvania elections, and Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the Election Code as the best evidence of its contents.

42. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 42 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The Pennsylvania Election Code is a statute that sets forth in writing the authority, rights, and obligations of participants in Pennsylvania elections,

## Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 12 of 25

and Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the Election Code as the best evidence of its contents.

43. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 43 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The Pennsylvania Election Code is a statute that sets forth in writing the authority, rights, and obligations of participants in Pennsylvania elections, and Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the Election Code as the best evidence of its contents.

44. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 44 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

45. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 45 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

# Response to alleged facts regarding litigation over the envelope-date requirement

46. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 46 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The Pennsylvania Election Code is a statute that sets forth in writing the authority, rights, and obligations of participants in Pennsylvania elections, and Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the Election Code as the best evidence of its contents.

47. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 47 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

48. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 48 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to *In re Canvass of Absentee and Mail-In Ballots of Nov. 3, 2020 Gen. Election*, 241 A.3d 1058

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 13 of 25

(Pa. Nov. 23, 2020), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

49. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 49 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to *In re Canvass of Absentee and Mail-In Ballots of Nov. 3, 2020 Gen. Election*, 241 A.3d 1058 (Pa. Nov. 23, 2020), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

50. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 50 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to *Migliori v. Cohen*, No. 22-1499, 36 F.4th 153 (3d Cir. May 27, 2022), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

51. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 51 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to *Migliori v. Cohen*, No. 22-1499, 36 F,4th 153 (3d Cir. May 27, 2022), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

52. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 52 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to *Migliori v. Cohen*, No. 22-1499, 36 F.4th 153 (3d Cir. May 27, 2022), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

53. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 53 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to *Migliori v. Cohen*, No. 22-1499, 36 F.4th 153 (3d Cir. May 27, 2022), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

## Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 14 of 25

54. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 54 of Plaintiffs'

Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to *Ritter v. Lehigh Cnty. Bd. of Elections*, No. 1322 C.D. 2021, 272 A.3d 989 (Table), 2022 WL 16577 (Pa. Commw. Ct. Jan. 3, 2022), *appeal denied*, 271 A.3d 1285 (Pa. 2022), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

55. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 55 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to *Migliori v. Cohen*, No. 22-1499, 36 F.4th 153 (3d Cir. May 27, 2022), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

56. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 56 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the pleadings in *Ritter v. Migliori*, No. 21A772, as the best evidence of the parties' claims in that case.

57. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 57 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to *Ritter v*. *Migliori*, No. 21A772, 142 S. Ct. 1824 (Mem.) (U.S. Jun. 9, 2022) (Alito, J., dissenting), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

58. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 58 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the decision in *Chapman v. Berks Cnty. Bd. of Elections*, No. 355 M.D. 2022, 2022 WL 4100998 (Pa. Commw. Ct. Aug. 19, 2022) and *McCormick for U.S. Senate v. Chapman*, No. 286 M.D. 2022, 2022 WL 2900112 (Pa. Commw. Ct. Jun. 2, 2022), as the best evidence of the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Court in that case.

## Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 15 of 25

59. Admitted in part, denied in part. In response to the allegations in Paragraph 59 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, Berks Board admits only the allegation that after the *Chapman v. Berks Cty. Bd. of Elections* and *McCormick v. Chapman* decisions, Acting Secretary Chapman issued written guidance and statements regarding the 2022 general election that, upon information and belief, conformed to the Commonwealth Court's rulings. Meanwhile, other cases raising the same issues were brought before the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. Berks Board denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 59 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to Acting Secretary Chapman's written guidance and statements as the best evidence of their contents.

60. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 60 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the petition filed with the Pennsylvania Supreme Court in *Ball v. Chapman*, No. 102 MM 2022 [J-85-2022], as the best evidence of the petitioners' claims in that case.

Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 61 of Plaintiffs'
Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the
Pennsylvania Supreme Court's order in *Ball v. Chapman*, No. 102 MM 2022 [J-85-2022],
284 A.3d 1189 (Mem.) (Pa. Nov. 1, 2022), as the best evidence of the Court's order in that case.

62. Admitted in part, denied in part. In response to the allegations in Paragraph 62 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, Berks Board admits only the allegation that Department of State Deputy Secretary for Elections and Commissions Jonathan Marks sent an email to county boards of elections on November 1, 2022, at approximately 6:50 PM, after issuance of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's order in *Ball v. Chapman*, No. 102 MM 2022 [J-85-2022], 284 A.3d 1189 (Mem.) (Pa. Nov. 1, 2022), and that a true and correct copy of Deputy Secretary Marks's

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 16 of 25

November 1, 2022 email is attached as Exhibit I to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. Berks Board denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 62 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the November 1, 2022 email sent by Deputy Secretary Marks as the best evidence of its contents.

63. Admitted in part, denied in part. In response to the allegations in Paragraph 63 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, Berks Board admits only the allegation that Acting Secretary Chapman issued new written guidance to county boards of elections on November 3, 2022, after issuance of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's order in *Ball v. Chapman*, No. 102 MM 2022 [J-85-2022], 284 A.3d 1189 (Mem.) (Pa. Nov. 1, 2022), and that a true and correct copy of Acting Secretary Chapman's November 3, 2022 written guidance is attached as Exhibit J to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. Berks Board denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 63 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to Acting Secretary Chapman's November 3, 2022 written guidance as the best evidence of its contents.

64. Admitted in part, denied in part. In response to the allegations in Paragraph 64 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, Berks Board admits only that the Pennsylvania Supreme Court issued a supplemental order on November 5, 2022 in *Ball v. Chapman*, No. 102 MM 2022 [J-85-2022], and that a true and correct copy of the Court's supplemental order is attached as Exhibit K to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. Berks Board denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 64 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Berks Board respectfully refers the Court to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's November 5, 2022 supplemental order in *Ball v. Chapman*, No. 102 MM 2022 [J-85-2022], as the best evidence of its contents.

## Response to alleged facts regarding Pennsylvania's 2022 general election

65. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 65 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

66. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 66, including subparagraphs 66(a) through 66(p), of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

67. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 67 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

68. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 68 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

69. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 69 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

70. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 70 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope will disenfranchise eligible voters. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 18 of 25

tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board also denies the allegations in Paragraph 70 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

71. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 71 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint characterizing the litigation over the past year as conclusions of law. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 71 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint regarding the individual voter Plaintiffs; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

72. Denied. Berks Board is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 72 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint; thus, those allegations are deemed denied.

73. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 73 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope disenfranchises eligible voters. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board also denies the allegations in Paragraph 73 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

74. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 74 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope

## Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 19 of 25

disenfranchises eligible voters. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board also denies the allegations in Paragraph 74 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

#### **RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

# Count I: Alleged Violation of the Materiality Provision of the Civil Rights Act (52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B), 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

75. Paragraph 75 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint is an incorporation paragraph to which no response is necessary. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Berks Board incorporates by reference its responses in Paragraphs 1 through 74 above as though set forth at length here.

76. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 76 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

77. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 77 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

78. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 78 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The challenged conduct does not deny any elector the right to vote or disqualify any elector from being eligible to vote. To the contrary, the challenged conduct is the disqualification of ballots of electors who fail to comply with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme

#### Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 20 of 25

Court, regarding completion of the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope of their absentee or mail-in ballot.

79. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 79 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

80. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 80 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The challenged conduct does not deny any elector the right to vote or disqualify any elector from being eligible to vote. To the contrary, the challenged conduct is the disqualification of ballots of electors who fail to comply with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, regarding completion of the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope of their absentee or mail-in ballot.

81. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 81 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The challenged conduct does not deny any elector the right to vote or disqualify any elector from being eligible to vote. To the contrary, the challenged conduct is the disqualification of ballots of electors who fail to comply with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, regarding completion of the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope of their absentee or mail-in ballot.

82. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 82 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope will disenfranchise eligible voters. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return

## Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 21 of 25

envelope. That is a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board also denies the allegations in Paragraph 82 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

## Count II: Alleged Violation of the Materiality Provision of the Civil Rights Act (52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B), 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

83. Paragraph 83 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint is an incorporation paragraph to which no response is necessary. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Berks Board incorporates by reference its responses in Paragraphs 1 through 82 above as though set forth at length here.

84. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 84 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as a conclusion of law.

85. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 85 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

86. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 86 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. Defendants' interpretation of the Pennsylvania Election Code is not at issue here. To the contrary, at issue is the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's interpretation of the Pennsylvania Election Code, as reflected by its order in *Ball v. Chapman*, No. 102 MM 2022 [J-85-2022], 284 A.3d 1189 (Mem.) (Pa. Nov. 1, 2022), which defendants, including Berks Board, are legally bound to follow.

87. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegation in Paragraph 87 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint that not counting timely received absentee and mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope

## Case 1:22-cv-00339-SPB Document 148 Filed 01/04/23 Page 22 of 25

disenfranchises eligible voters. To the contrary, it is not disenfranchisement of an elector when an elector fails to record the correct date on the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope. That is a failure by the elector to complete the elector's ballot in accordance with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that such failure requires that elector's ballot to be set aside and not included in the tabulation of votes for that election. Berks Board also denies the allegations in Paragraph 87 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law.

88. Denied. Berks Board denies the allegations in Paragraph 88 of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint as conclusions of law. The challenged conduct does not disqualify any eligible elector from voting. To the contrary, the challenged conduct is the disqualification of ballots of electors who fail to comply with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court regarding completion of the elector's declaration on the outer return envelope of their absentee or mail-in ballot.

## FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

89. Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

## SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

90. Plaintiffs' claims, including, without limitation, the claims for monetary relief, costs, and attorneys' fees, are barred or limited because Berks Board acted in good faith to comply with duly issued orders of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court interpreting the Pennsylvania Election Code, which Berks Board was legally bound to follow.

# THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

91. Plaintiffs' claims are barred or limited because some or all of Plaintiffs lack standing to bring this action.

## FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

92. Some or all of Plaintiffs' claims may be barred by the applicable statute of

limitations under the Pennsylvania Election Code or other Pennsylvania law.

# FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

93. Some or all of Plaintiffs' claims may be barred by the doctrine of laches.

## FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

94. Some or all of Plaintiffs' claims may be barred by Plaintiffs' failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

# **RESERVATION OF RIGHT TO ASSERT ADDITIONAL AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

95. Berks Board expressly reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses that may become apparent during the pendency of this action.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based on all the foregoing, Defendant Berks County Board of Elections hereby demands judgment as follows:

(a) As to Plaintiffs' claims that the Pennsylvania Election Code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, violates (a) the Materiality Provision of the federal Civil Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B) (Count I), and (b) the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution (Count II), an Order dismissing with prejudice all of Plaintiffs' claims; and

(b) An Order granting all such other relief as may be warranted under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 4, 2022

# SMITH BUKOWSKI, LLC

By: <u>/s/ Jeffrey D. Bukowski</u> Jeffrey D. Bukowski, Esquire PA Attorney I.D. No. 76102 JBukowski@SmithBukowski.com 1050 Spring Street, Suite 1 Wyomissing, PA 19610 Telephone: (610) 685-1600 Facsimile: (610) 685-1300

Attorneys for Berks County Board of Elections

REPRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACY DOCKET, COM

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA STATE	:
CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP, et al.,	:
	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	:
	: No. 1:22-cv-00339-SPB
V.	:
	:
LEIGH M. CHAPMAN, in her official	:
capacity as Acting Secretary of the	:
Commonwealth, et al.,	:
	: ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Defendants.	:

# <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b) and LCvR 5.6, the undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was electronically filed on the below date with the Court's CM/ECF system, which transmitted a Notice of Electronic Filing of the filed document on counsel of record and/or each party in the case who is registered as a Filing User.

Dated: January 4, 2022

# SMITH BUKOWSKI, LLC

By: /s/ Jeffrey D. Bukowski Jeffrey D. Bukowski, Esquire PA Attorney I.D. No. 76102 JBukowski@SmithBukowski.com 1050 Spring Street, Suite 1 Wyomissing, PA 19610 Telephone: (610) 685-1600 Facsimile: (610) 685-1300

Attorneys for Berks County Board of Elections