

Noel H. Johnson*
Maureen Riordan*
Kaylan L. Phillips*
PUBLIC INTEREST LEGAL FOUNDATION
32 E. Washington St., Ste. 1675
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Tel: (317) 203-5599
Fax: (888) 815-5641
njohnson@PublicInterestLegal.org
mriordan@PublicInterestLegal.org
kphillips@PublicInterestLegal.org
* *Pro Hac Vice application forthcoming*
Attorneys for Plaintiff Public Interest Legal Foundation

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA**

**PUBLIC INTEREST LEGAL FOUNDATION,
INC.,**

Plaintiff,

v.

KEVIN MEYER, in his official capacity as
Lieutenant Governor for the State of Alaska,

Defendant.

Civil Case No. _____

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff Public Interest Legal Foundation, Inc., by and through counsel, brings this action for declaratory and injunctive relief against Defendant for violations of the Public Disclosure Provision of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 (“NVRA”), 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)(1).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because the action arises under the laws of the United States. This Court also has jurisdiction under 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b), because the action seeks injunctive and declaratory relief under the NVRA.

2. This Court also has jurisdiction because Plaintiff complied the NVRA's pre-litigation notice requirements and Defendant did not cure the violation of law in the time the NVRA affords. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(1)-(2).

3. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), because the Defendant resides in this district, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district.

PARTIES

4. The Public Interest Legal Foundation, Inc., ("Foundation") is a non-partisan, 501(c)(3) public interest organization incorporated and based in Indianapolis, Indiana. The Foundation promotes the integrity of elections nationwide through research, education, remedial programs, and litigation. The Foundation regularly utilizes the NVRA's Public Disclosure Provision and state and federal open records laws that require government records be made available to the public. Using records and data compiled through these open records laws, the Foundation analyzes the programs and activities of state and local election officials in order to determine whether lawful efforts are being made to keep voter rolls current and accurate in accordance with federal and state law, and to determine whether eligible registrants have been improperly removed from voter

rolls. The Foundation also uses records and data to produce and disseminate reports, articles, blog and social media posts, and newsletters in order to advance the public education aspect of its organizational mission.

5. Defendant Kevin Meyer is the Lieutenant Governor for the State of Alaska. Defendant “control[s] and supervise[s] the division of elections.” Alaska Stat. § 15.10.105(a). Defendant has been designated Alaska’s “chief State election official to be responsible for coordination of State responsibilities under [the National Voter Registration] Act.” 52 U.S.C. § 20509. Defendant is sued in his official capacity only.

BACKGROUND

The NVRA

6. The NVRA provides, in relevant part, “Each State shall maintain for at least 2 years and shall make available for public inspection and, where available, photocopying at a reasonable cost, all records concerning the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and currency of official lists of eligible voters[.]” 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)(1) (hereafter, the “Public Disclosure Provision”).¹

7. The Public Disclosure Provision “embodies Congress’s conviction that Americans who are eligible under law to vote have every right to exercise their franchise, a right that must not be sacrificed to administrative chicanery, oversights, or inefficiencies.” *Project Vote/Voting for Am., Inc. v. Long*, 682 F.3d 331, 334-35 (4th Cir. 2012).

¹ The records described by the Public Disclosure Provision are common referred to as “voter list maintenance records.”

8. The Public Disclosure Provision is designed to “ensure that election officials are fulfilling their list maintenance duties” and is “available to any member of the public.” *Bellitto v. Snipes*, No. 16-cv-61474, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 103617, at *12 (S.D. Fla. Mar. 30, 2018). The Public Disclosure Provision “convey[s] Congress’s intention that the public should be monitoring the state of the voter rolls and the adequacy of election officials’ list maintenance programs. Accordingly, election officials must provide full public access to all records related to their list maintenance activities, including their voter rolls.” *Id.* at *12-13. Simply, all voter list maintenance records concerning the removal of deceased registrants are subject to physical public inspection and photocopying.

The Electronic Registration Information Center

9. The Electronic Registration Information Center (“ERIC”) “is a non-profit organization with the sole mission of assisting states to improve the accuracy of America’s voter rolls and increase access to voter registration for all eligible citizens.” Home, <https://ericstates.org/>.

10. ERIC is imposing requirements on Alaska that are void against public policy—namely, ERIC limits the release of public Alaska voter list maintenance records in contravention of the NVRA.

11. ERIC is “is managed by a Board of Directors comprised of a representative from each member state – either its chief election official or their designee.” ERIC: Summary of Membership Guidelines and Procedures, <https://ericstates.org/wp->

content/uploads/2019/06/ERIC-Membership-Summary-v20190603.pdf (last accessed Jan. 20, 2022) (hereafter, “ERIC Membership Guidelines”).

12. ERIC “[m]embers pay a one-time membership fee of \$25,000” and “annual dues.” *Id.*

13. Alaska has been a member of ERIC since 2016.² *See* ERIC 2017 Annual Report at 9, https://ericstates.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/FINAL_ERIC_2017_Annual_Report.pdf (last accessed Jan. 20, 2022) (hereafter, “ERIC Annual Report”).

14. All members of ERIC, including Alaska, signed the ERIC “Membership Agreement,” which “sets forth the terms and conditions of membership” in ERIC. ERIC Bylaws, Article II, Section 3 (PDF page 4), https://ericstates.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/ERIC_Bylaws_01-2020.pdf (hereafter, “ERIC Bylaws”) (last accessed Jan. 20, 2022).

15. Per the ERIC Membership Agreement, voter list maintenance records concerning deceased registrants are generated by Alaska and given to ERIC and, ERIC provides Alaska with voter list maintenance records. Specifically, the Membership Agreement says that Alaska provides the following to ERIC “every sixty (60) days”:

² According to ERIC’s website, as of October 2021, the following are members of ERIC: “Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. The District of Columbia is also a member. (31 states plus D.C.)” FAQs, Which States Are Members of ERIC?, <https://ericstates.org/>.

- “(1) all inactive and active voter files” and,
- “(2) all licensing or identification contained in the motor vehicles database.”

ERIC Bylaws, Exhibit A (Membership Agreement) at Section 2(b) (PDF page 17).

16. Alaska must also and does “use its best efforts to transmit, on a regular basis, data relating to individuals that exists in the records of other agencies within its jurisdiction that perform any voter registration functions, including, but not limited to, those required to perform voter registration pursuant to the National Voter Registration Act[.]” ERIC Bylaws, Exhibit A (Membership Agreement) at Section 3 (PDF page 17). These data are voter list maintenance records subject to public inspection under the NVRA.

17. ERIC “process[es] data that relates to the maintenance of [Members’] voter registration lists and provide[s] regular (at least on a monthly basis) reports to [each] Member.” ERIC Bylaws, Exhibit A (Membership Agreement) at Preamble (PDF page 16).

18. From ERIC, Alaska and “[e]ach member state receives reports that show voters who have moved within their state, voters who have moved out of state, **voters who have died**, duplicate registrations in the same state and individuals who are potentially eligible to vote but are not yet registered.” FAQs, What Reports Do States Receive From ERIC, <https://ericstates.org/> (emphasis added). These data are voter list maintenance records subject to public inspection under the NVRA.

19. “The Social Security Death Master File, sometimes referred to as the ‘Social Security Death Index,’ is used by ERIC to identify voters who have died so that they can be removed from ERIC states’ voter rolls.” ERIC Annual Report at 6.

20. Alaska receives data from ERIC showing registrants who are deceased or likely deceased (hereafter “ERIC Deceased Data”). These data are voter list maintenance records subject to public inspection under the NVRA.

21. When Alaska receives ERIC Deceased Data showing deceased registrants, Alaska is required to, “at a minimum, initiate[s] contact with th[ose] voter[s] in order to correct the inaccuracy or obtain information sufficient to inactivate or update the voter[s]’ record[s].” *Id.* at 5(b) (PDF page 20).

22. The ERIC Membership Agreement provides that Alaska “has ninety (90) days after the data was sent to initiate contact with at least 95% of the voters on whom data indicating a record was inaccurate or out-of-date ... was provided.” *Id.*

23. The ERIC Membership Agreement provides further, “Within ten (10) business days of the ninetieth day, [Alaska] shall provide a written certification to the Executive Director of ERIC that Member has complied or not complied with” the requirements described in paragraphs 21 and 22. *Id.*

24. Alaska uses ERIC Deceased Data to conduct voter list maintenance programs and activities required by state law and the NVRA, including cancellation of registrations belonging to deceased individuals. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(4)(A). These data are voter list maintenance records subject to public inspection under the NVRA.

25. The ERIC Membership Agreement prevents Alaska from disclosing records Alaska is otherwise legally required to disclose without first obtaining a court order. ERIC Bylaws, Exhibit A (Membership Agreement) at Section 4(a) (PDF page 15) (“Should a Member receive a request to disclose ERIC Data and determines that it is legally obligated, in whole or in part, to comply with such request, *it shall not make the disclosure without first obtaining a court order compelling it to do so*, a copy of which shall be provided to ERIC.”) (emphasis added). A “court order” is not required for parties to exercise the right to publicly inspect voter list maintenance records that was established by Congress in the NVRA.

Criticism of ERIC

26. The accuracy of ERIC reports, on which Alaska and other member states rely to remove registrants from the voter rolls, has been criticized. Barbara Arnwine, the former executive director of the Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, stated, “ERIC should be called ERROR because it’s that erroneous and that full of flaws.” Palast, *ERIC Crow, Jim Crow’s liberal twin* (July 15, 2020), <https://www.nationofchange.org/2020/07/15/eric-crow-jim-crows-liberal-twin/>.

27. The Brennan Center for Justice reported the following in a 2019 report: Wisconsin ... reported that although ERIC was helpful in updating more than 25,000 registration addresses in 2017 and 2018, it also resulted in more than 1,300 voters signing ‘supplemental poll lists’ at a spring 2018 election, indicating that they had not in fact moved and were wrongly flagged.

Brater et al., Purges: A Growing Threat to the Right to Vote at 9 (2019),

<https://www.brennancenter.org/sites/default/files/2019->

[08/Report_Purges_Growing_Threat.pdf](https://www.brennancenter.org/sites/default/files/2019-08/Report_Purges_Growing_Threat.pdf) (last accessed Jan. 20, 2022).

28. Marc Meredith, an associate professor in the Department of Political Science at the University of Pennsylvania, stated,

While ERIC is usually correct, sometimes they're wrong, and it turns out they're more likely to be wrong in the case where the registrant is a racial or ethnic minority as opposed to a white registrant[.]

Kristen de Groot, Penn Today, The racial burden of cleaning voter rolls (Feb. 24, 2021),

<https://penntoday.upenn.edu/news/racial-burden-cleaning-voter-rolls> (last accessed Jan.

20, 2022).

29. A Yale University-led study of ERIC in Wisconsin

found that at least 4% of people listed as suspected 'movers' cast ballots in 2018 elections using addresses that were wrongly flagged as out of date. Minority voters were twice as likely as white voters to cast their ballot with their original address of registration after the state marked them as having moved, the study showed.

Yale University, Study uncovers flaws in process for maintaining state voter rolls (Feb.

26, 2021), <https://phys.org/news/2021-02-uncovers-flaws-state-voter.html> (last accessed

Jan. 20, 2022).

30. The Yale study's lead author, political scientist Gregory A. Huber, stated,

The process of maintaining states' voter-registration files cries out for greater transparency[.] ... Our work shows that significant numbers of people are at risk of being disenfranchised, particularly those from minority groups. Unfortunately, we don't know enough about the process used to prune voter rolls nationwide to understand why mistakes occur and how to prevent them.

Id.

Defendant is Denying the Foundation Access to Voter List Maintenance Records

31. On August 11, 2021, the Foundation emailed a letter to the Alaska Division of Elections. The letter requested the following records, pursuant to the NVRA's Public Disclosure Provision:

1. All "ERIC Data"³ received from ERIC during the years 2019, 2020, and 2021 concerning registered voters identified as deceased or potentially deceased.
2. All reports and/or statewide-voter-registration-system-generated lists showing all registrants removed from the list of eligible voters for reason of death for the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. Such lists will optimally include unique voter identification numbers, county or locality, full names, addresses, and dates of birth.

Exhibit A (hereafter, the "Request").

32. On September 16, 2021, the Alaska Division of Elections denied the Foundation's request for ERIC Deceased Data for the years 2019, 2020, and 2021.

Exhibit B (hereafter, the "Denial Letter").

33. The Denial Letter explained, "Federal law protects the information contained in the Death Master File and permits disclosure only to certified entities. 42 USC 1306c; 15 CFR 1110.102. Accordingly, DOE cannot disclose the requested deceased-voter data." Exhibit B.

³ The Foundation defined "ERIC Data" as the "data included in reports provided by ERIC to member states concerning deceased and relocated registrants, and other information related to voter registration list maintenance." Exhibit A at 1 (quoting ERIC Bylaws, Exhibit A (Membership Agreement) at Section 4(a) (PDF page 18)).

34. The Alaska Division of Elections granted in part and denied in part the Foundation's second request. The Division of Elections provided "a list of deceased voters DOE removed from the voter registration list between January 1, 2019 and August 11, 2021.

35. According to the Division of Elections, the list "does not include the voters' dates of birth, because that information is confidential." Exhibit B (citing, *inter alia*, Alaska Stat. § 15.07.195).

The Foundation Notified Defendant that He is Violating the NVRA

36. On September 20, 2021, the Foundation notified Defendant that he is in violation of the NVRA for failure to permit inspection of voter list maintenance records as required by 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i). Exhibit C at 1 (hereafter, the "Notice Letter").

37. The Foundation sent the Notice Letter to Defendant via email and certified mail through the United States Postal Service.

38. The Notice Letter further notified Defendant, "Failure to permit public inspection or otherwise provide copies of the requested records is a violation of federal law for which the NVRA provides a private right of action." Exhibit C at 3.

39. The Notice Letter further notified Defendant that litigation may commence against him if the violation about which she was notified was not cured within 90 days of her receipt of the letter. Exhibit C at 3 (citing 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2)).

40. By sending the Notice Letter to Defendant, the Foundation complied with the NVRA's pre-litigation notice requirements. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(1)-(2).

41. In the Notice Letter, the Foundation offered to satisfy its request on the following terms:

1. The Alaska Division of Elections shall provide to the Foundation the requested “ERIC Data” reports with unique voter identification numbers. The Foundation will consent, in this instance, to the redaction of all data elements contained in the Limited Access Death Master File (“LADMF”), such as SSN dates of birth, SSN dates of death, SSN death locations, and full/partial SSN numbers.

Exhibit C at 3.

42. Neither Defendant nor anyone at the Alaska Division of Elections responded to the Foundation further.

Defendant Did Not Cure the Violation in the Time the NVRA Affords

43. Defendant received notice of his NVRA violation via email on September 20, 2021.

44. According to the electronic return receipt information provided by USPS, Defendant received notice of his NVRA violation via USPS certified mail on October 4, 2021.

45. The NVRA afforded Defendant 90 days to cure the NVRA violation, 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2), a period that expired on **December 19, 2021** (email), and, at the latest, on **January 2, 2022** (certified mail).

46. Defendant did not cure the NVRA violation within 90 days of his receipt of the Notice Letter, and as of the date of this pleading, has still not cured the NVRA violation. This action is therefore ripe.

Defendant's Actions Are Harming the Foundation

47. The requested records are records within the scope of the NVRA's Public Disclosure Provision.

48. The Public Disclosure Provision authorizes and entitles the Foundation to inspect and duplicate, or otherwise receive the requested records.

49. Defendant's violations of the NVRA are causing the Foundation to suffer a concrete informational injury because the Foundation does not have records and information to which it is entitled under federal law. *FEC v. Akins*, 524 U.S. 11, 21 (1998) (“[A] plaintiff suffers an ‘injury in fact’ when the plaintiff fails to obtain information which must be publicly disclosed pursuant to a statute.”).

50. By denying the Foundation the ability to obtain the requested voter list maintenance records, Defendant is also impairing the Foundation's ability to, *inter alia*, (1) assess compliance by Alaska with state and federal voter list maintenance obligations and (2) aid Alaska in carrying out its voter list maintenance programs and activities.

51. Defendant's violation of the NVRA is thus frustrating, impeding, and harming the Foundation's efforts to carry out its organizational mission and thereby injuring the Foundation.

52. The Foundation intends to request similar records from Defendant in the future.

COUNT I
Violation of Section 8(i) of the NVRA, 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i)

53. The Foundation realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

54. The requested record(s) are in the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.

55. Defendant is denying the Foundation access to records within the scope of the NVRA's Public Disclosure Provision and thereby violating the NVRA's Public Disclosure Provision.

56. Neither other federal laws nor federal regulations override the NVRA's Public Disclosure Provision as a matter of law.

57. Parties cannot contract to violate federal law. To the extent the ERIC Membership Agreement conflicts with the NVRA's obligation to publicly disclose voter list maintenance records, the ERIC Membership Agreement is void against public policy, invalid, and unenforceable.

58. Alaska Stat. § 15.07.195 and any other Alaska statute, code, regulation, practice, or policy that conflicts with, overrides, or burdens the NVRA, a federal statute, is preempted and superseded under the Supremacy Clause and the Elections Clause of the Constitution of the United States. *Arizona v. Inter Tribal Council of Arizona*, 570 U.S. 1, 9 (2013). Such preempted laws are invalid and unenforceable.

59. The Foundation is entitled to relief but has no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a judgment:

1. Declaring that Defendant is in violation of Section 8(i) of the NVRA for denying the Foundation the opportunity to inspect and copy the ERIC Deceased Data.
2. Declaring that Defendant is in violation of Section 8(i) of the NVRA for denying the Foundation the opportunity to inspect and copy deceased cancellation reports with voter identification numbers.
3. Declaring that Section 8(i) of the NVRA preempts and supersedes Alaska Stat. § 15.07.195 and any statute, code, regulation, practice, policy, or agreement with ERIC that prevents the Foundation from inspecting and copying the requested records, or data contained in them.
4. Ordering Defendant to provide the requested records to the Foundation, including voter list maintenance records received from ERIC.
5. Permanently enjoining Defendant from denying similar requests in the future.
6. Ordering Defendant to pay the Foundation's reasonable attorney's fees, including litigation expenses and costs, pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20510(c); and,
7. Granting the Foundation further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: January 20, 2022.

Noel H. Johnson*
Maureen Riordan*
Kaylan L. Phillips*
PUBLIC INTEREST LEGAL FOUNDATION
32 E. Washington St., Ste. 1675
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Tel: (317) 203-5599
Fax: (888) 815-5641
njohnson@PublicInterestLegal.org
mriordan@PublicInterestLegal.org
kphillips@PublicInterestLegal.org
** Pro Hac Vice application forthcoming
Attorneys for Plaintiff Public Interest Legal
Foundation*

By: /s/ Noel H. Johnson
Noel H. Johnson, *Pro Hac Vice*

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM