

FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAR 18 2025

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ARIZONA ALLIANCE FOR RETIRED
AMERICANS; VOTO LATINO;
PRIORITIES USA,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

KRISTIN K. MAYES, in his official
capacity as Attorney General for the State of
Arizona,

Defendant-Appellant,

YUMA COUNTY REPUBLICAN
COMMITTEE,

Intervenor-Defendant-
Appellant,

and

KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as
Secretary of State for the State of Arizona;
LARRY NOBLE, Nominal Defendant, in his
official capacity as Apache County Recorder;
DAVID STEVENS, Nominal Defendant, in
his official capacity as Cochise County
Recorder, previously named as David
Stephens; PATTY HANSEN, Nominal
Defendant, in her official capacity as
Coconino County Recorder; SADIE JO
BINGHAM, Nominal Defendant, in her

No. 22-16490

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-01374-GMS
District of Arizona,
Phoenix

ORDER

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

official capacity as Gila County Recorder; WENDY JOHN, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Graham County Recorder; SHARIE MILHEIRO, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Greenlee County Recorder; RICHARD GARCIA, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as La Paz County Recorder; STEPHEN RICHER, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as Maricopa County Recorder; KRISTI BLAIR, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Mohave County Recorder; MICHAEL SAMPLE, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as Navajo County Recorder; GABRIELLA CAZARES-KELLY, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Pima County Recorder; DANA LEWIS, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Pinal County Recorder; SUZANNE SAINZ, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Santa Cruz County Recorder; MICHELLE BURCHILL, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Yavapai County Recorder; RICHARD COLWELL, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as Yuma County Recorder,

Defendants.

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Upon the vote of a majority of nonrecused active judges, it is ordered that this case be reheard en banc pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 40(c) and Circuit Rule 40-3. The three-judge panel opinion is vacated.