1 2	BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. (NSB 10217) JOHN SAMBERG, ESQ. (NSB 10828) DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. (NSB 13078)				
3	WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 590 South	RABKIN, LLP			
4	Las Vegas, NV 89169 (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300 bschrager@wrslawyers.com jsamberg@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com				
5					
6	WILLIAM STAFFORD, ESQ. (pro hac vice fort	hcoming)			
7	LINDSAY MCALEER, ESQ. (pro hac vice forthcoming) ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP 1700 Seventh Ave, Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101				
8					
9	(206) 656-0235/Fax: (202) 968-4498 bstafford@elias.law				
10	Imcaleer@elias.law Attorneys for Plaintiff				
12		CHET			
13	IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY				
14					
15	EMILY PERSAUD-ZAMORA, an individual,	Case No.:			
16	Plaintiff,	Dept. No.:			
17	vs.	- · · · · ·			
18	8 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARA' AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF				
19	capacity as NEVADA SECRETARY OF PETITION S-07-2022				
20 21	INTEGRITY IN STATE ELECTIONS, a Priority Matter, Pursuant to				
21	Nevada Committee for Political Action; and RAJA MOUREY, in his capacity as the President of R.I.S.E. NEVADA - RESTORING INTEGRITY IN STATE ELECTIONS, NRS 295.061(1) Arbitration Exemption: Declaratory as Injunctive Relief				
23					
24	Defendants.				
25					
26	Plaintiff Emily Persaud-Zamora, an ind	ividual registered to vote in Nevada, files this			
27	Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief	f against Defendants Barbara Cegavske, in her			

official capacity as the Nevada Secretary of State, R.I.S.E. Nevada – Restoring Integrity in State Elections ("R.I.S.E. Nevada"), and Raja Mourey, in his capacity as the president of R.I.S.E. Nevada – Restoring Integrity in State Elections, pursuant to the Nevada Constitution and NRS 30.030 and 33.010. Plaintiff alleges and complains as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction to hear Plaintiff's claims pursuant to the Nevada Constitution and to grant declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to NRS 30.030, 30.040, and 33.010.
- 2. Venue is proper under NRS 13.020 and 13.040 because this action is against a public officer for acting in her official capacity, and also pursuant to NRS 295.061(1).

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Emily Persaud-Zamora is a resident of and a registered voter in Clark County, Nevada.
- 4. Defendant Barbara Cegavske is Nevada Secretary of State and is sued in her official capacity. As the Secretary of State, Ms. Cegavske is the Chief Officer of Elections for Nevada and is responsible for the execution, administration, and enforcement of the state's election laws. *See* NRS 293.124. Ms. Cegavske's duties also include qualifying initiatives for submission to the Nevada Legislature and/or the Nevada electorate and disqualifying initiatives that are determined to be invalid.
- 5. Defendant R.I.S.E. Nevada is a Nevada committee for political action existing pursuant to Chapter 294A of the Nevada Revised Statutes and is named herein as the proponent of the petition at issue, Initiative Petition S-07-2022, styled as the "Initiative to Restore Integrity of State Elections" (the "Petition").
- 6. Defendant Raja Mourey is named as a proponent of the Petition. Mr. Mourey is the President of R.I.S.E. Nevada. Upon information and belief, Mr. Mourey is an individual and, at all times relevant herein, was and is a resident of the State of Nevada.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. On or about August 3, 2022, Mr. Mourey, on behalf of R.I.S.E. Nevada (collectively, "Proponents"), filed the Petition with the Nevada Secretary of State. *See* Exhibit 1, a true and accurate copy of the Notice of Intent to Circulate Statewide Initiative or Referendum Petition associated with Initiative Petition S-07-2022.
- 8. The Petition seeks to amend Chapter 293 of the Nevada Revised Statutes to make several significant changes to Nevada's election process, including imposing a photo ID requirement on in-person voters, limiting the forms of ID available to voters to match or identify a signature in certain circumstances, and mandating that Nevada provide a new form of free photo ID to be used for voting purposes for voters who lack a document bearing their signature and picture. The Petition provides no mechanism for raising any funds to cover the cost of the required special ID. See Ex. 1 at 2, a true and accurate copy of the fitted Petition.
- 9. The Petition would require that in-person voters present "valid photographic identification" to cast a ballot in all local and federal elections unless they fall under the exceptions specified in NRS 293.277. Under current Nevada law, voters may present a variety of forms of ID bearing their signature to vote in person, including their voter registration card or a form of government-issued ID containing their signature and a physical description. See NRS 293.277(2). The Petition seeks to amend the forms of permissible ID listed in NRS 293.277(2) to prevent voters from verifying their identity using only their voter registration cards or government-issued IDs that contains only a physical description of the voter, instead requiring that all forms of permissible ID under NRS 293.277(2) contain the voter's picture. See Ex. 1 at 2 (proposing to amend NRS 293.277(2)(a) to require that "[t]he voter registration card issued to the voter [be] accompanied by another form of identification bearing the voter's picture" (emphasis in original to highlight new matter)).
- 10. The Petition would also require that the Secretary of State create a new "special identification document" that voters who do not have a photo ID bearing their signature could request that they could then use as ID at a polling place under NRS 297.277. Ex. 1 at 2 (mandating

1 | t | t | 2 | r | 3 | ii | 4 | ii | 5 | 5 | 6 | a

7 8

that "[t]he Secretary of State shall furnish upon request a special identification document to any person who is eligible to vote"). The Petition provides that "[t]he special identification document is only valid for the purpose of identifying a voter at a polling place under NRS 293.277." *Id.* To implement this change, the Petition would require that the county clerk, with the approval of the Secretary of State: "(a) Amend the voter registration card to include an option for a voter to request a special identification document; and (b) Prescribe a procedure for the issuance of a special identification document." *Id.*

- 11. The Petition thus proposes significant changes to Nevada voting procedures. It contains no funding mechanism for these changes.
 - 12. The Petition's description of effect reads, in full:

If passed, this statutory measure would require all voters voting in person at a Nevada polling place to present photographic identification before casting a ballot. The measure would also require the Secretary of State to create a special photographic identification document for voting purposes. The new photographic identification document will be issued upon request to any eligible voter in Nevada.

Ex. 1 at 3.

mandate. Back in May 2022, Proponents filed an almost identical petition with the Secretary of State titled S-05-2022. See Notice of Intent to Circulate Statewide Initiative or Referendum Petition associated with Initiative Petition S-05-2022 (May 4, 2022), available at https://www.nvsos.gov/sos/home/showpublisheddocument/10560/637884821714430000 (last visited Aug. 19, 2022). On July 29, 2022, a district court judge held that this petition was an impermissible unfunded government mandate in violation of Article 19, Section 6. The only difference is that this Petition removes the following language from the prior petition: "The Secretary of State shall reallocate existing funds to cover any expenditure necessary to facilitate the issuance of a special identification document under subsection 1." Id. The description of effect is also the same for both, indicating that there is no difference in how each Petition must be implemented as a substantive matter. Id.

2

3

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Unfunded Expenditure Prohibition, Nev. Const. Art. 19, Sec. 6

- 14. The foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are realleged and fully incorporated as if set forth in full herein.
- 15. Nevada Constitution Article 19, Section 2(1) provides that the initiative process is "subject to the limitations of [article 19, section 6]." Article 19, Section 6, in turn, "does not permit the proposal of any statute or statutory amendment which makes an appropriation or otherwise requires the expenditure of money, unless such statute or amendment also imposes a sufficient tax, not prohibited by the constitution, or otherwise constitutionally provides for raising the necessary revenue." Nev. Const. art. 19, § 6.
- 16. "Section 6 applies to *all* proposed initiatives, without exception, and *does not permit* any initiative that fails to comply with the stated conditions." *Rogers v. Heller*, 117 Nev. 169, 173, 18 P.3d 1034, 1036 (2001) (emphases in original). When an initiative violates this "threshold content restriction" by mandating unfunded expenditures, it is void ab initio, and pre-election intervention by Nevada courts is warranted. *Herbst Gaming, Inc. v. Heller*, 122 Nev. 877, 891, 141 P.3d 1224, 1233 (2006) (quoting *Rogers*, 117 Nev. at 173).
- Although the Fiscal Analysis Division (the "Division") of the Legislative Counsel 17. Bureau has not yet issued a financial impact statement for the Petition, in 2014 and 2016, the Division determined that similar voter photo ID initiatives that would require a new form of photo ID would increase the expenditures of the state and local governments. See Financial Impact 2014), available 13, at the *Initiative* (Feb. Statement of Voter IDhttps://www.nvsos.gov/sos/home/showpublisheddocument/3214/636578340122570000 (last visit ed Aug. 19, 2022); Financial Impact of the Initiative to Require Voter ID (Aug. 4, 2016), available at https://www.nvsos.gov/sos/home/showpublisheddocument/4385/6365860236453000 00 (last visited Aug. 19, 2022).
- 18. As noted in each fiscal impact statement, "Legislation requiring the issuance of voter identification cards at no charge would increase the expenditures of the state and local

government entities required to issue the cards." Id. Further, the Division concluded in both analyses that "the provisions of the Initiative requiring a registered voter to present his or her proof of identity to vote in person would require the Secretary of State to conduct an educational campaign to inform voters of the identification requirements specified within the Initiative." Id. Neither of the initiative petitions the Division analyzed included language requiring that a government agency or actor reallocate funds to cover these expenditures; rather, each would have requires the creation of a new form of ID for voting purposes at no cost to voters, just as this Petition would do. See Initiative Petition to Require Voter ID (July 8, 2016), available at https://www.nysos.gov/sos/home/showpublisheddocument/4342/637080310707300000; The Voter ID*Initiative* (May 14, 2014), available at https://www.nvsos.gov/sos/home/showpublisheddocument/3330/636087792326570000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

19. The creation and issuance of a new special photo ID will also come with substantial costs to the state, as confirmed by numerous studies over the last decade. In Ohio, for example, a nonpartisan analysis found that providing free HD cards to eligible voters would cost the state between \$8.50 and \$13.00 per card, taking into account increased operating costs associated with extended hours of operation at offices that issue IDs and increased costs of carrying out additional education and outreach activities See Sana Haider and Amy Hanauer, Ohio Photo Voter ID: A Million Picture worth Year?, **POLICY MATTERS** OHIO, http://www.policymattersohio.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/PhotoVoterID Apr2012.pdf. Indiana calculated its production costs alone for the 168,264 voter ID cards that it provided to voters in 2010 to be over \$1.3 million. See Nat'l Conference for State Legislatures, The Canvass, No. XVII, Feb. 2011, p. 2, available at https://www.ncsl.org/research/elections-andcampaigns/cnv-the-canvass-vol-xvii-february-2011.aspx#Cost. The North Carolina legislature's fiscal impact analysis of the then-pending bill to require the state to issue free voter ID cards to eligible voters concluded that the state would incur \$3.2 million in startup costs followed by \$375,000 in recurring costs to administer the system. See H.R. 351, 2011 Sess. (N.C. 2011), available at http://www.ncga.state.nc.us/Sessions/2011/FiscalNotes/House/PDF/HF

1 N pr 3 III 4 T H 6 av 7 iff

N0351v1.pdf. Academic researchers in Minnesota estimated the direct cost to the state of providing free ID documents to half of the eligible voters who do not already have a valid photo ID to be in excess of \$1.03 million in the first year. See Nicholas Anhut, et al., Voter Identification: The True Cost, An Analysis of Minnesota's Voter Identification Amendment, The Hubert H. Humphrey School of Public Affairs, University of Minnesota, at 2 (Apr. 20, 2012), available at <a href="https://conservancy.umn.edu/bitstream/handle/11299/123582/Anhut_Voter%20Ident-ification%20The%20True%20Costs%20An%20Analysis%20of%20Minnesotas%20Voter%20Ident-ification%20Amendment.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.

- 20. Simply put, there is no way in which designing, printing, and administering a new form of required photo ID would be cost-free.
- 21. Each of these expenditures is inherently required by the Petition, whose measures cannot be achieved without them. By commanding Nevada officials to implement and maintain the new ID requirements, the Petition leaves "budgeting officials no discretion in appropriating or expending the money mandated by the initiative—the budgeting official must approve the appropriation or expenditure" to comply with its provisions. *Herbst Gaming*, 122 Nev. at 890.
- 22. Proponents are well aware that the Petition cannot be implemented without the allocation of additional funds. This is why the previous version of the same petition expressly required the Secretary to "reallocate existing funds" to cover its costs. Proponents omit this language from the current Petition. This omission is immaterial because the Petition still requires the Secretary to create, implement, and issue an entirely new form of photo ID without including any funding mechanism to offset those costs. Ex. 1 at 2. This violates Nevada Constitution.
- 23. Section 6 is not triggered only when an initiative would require a new appropriation—that is, "the setting aside of funds" that the Legislature would not otherwise set aside. *Rogers*, 117 Nev. at 173. It is also implicated when an initiative requires *an expenditure*—that is, "the payment of funds" for a particular purpose. *Id.* There is no reasonable debate that creating a new ID requires the payment of funds for that particular purpose. And the Nevada

- 24. For this Petition to work, the Legislature and other relevant budgeting officials have no choice: they must fund the creation of a new form of photo ID, among the other costs associated with administering the program. These other necessary and inherent costs include an extensive voter education and public outreach campaign, revised and additional election materials, and expanded poll worker training and wages, which have cost hundreds of thousands of dollars in states that have adopted similar laws. They also include the Petition's requirement that the county clerk "[a]mend the voter registration card to include an option for a voter to request a special identification document," Ex. 1 at 2, yet another change that would require the expenditure of funds to redesign and reprint all voter registration cards. When other states have imposed voter ID, it has come with significant costs. See Costs of Voter Identification.
- 25. Because the Petition would take away the Legislature and budgeting officials' discretion to lower current funding levels or decline to spend the funds for the purposes the Petition mandates, it requires an appropriation and expenditure. And, because no portion of the Petition "provides for raising the necessary revenue," as Article 19, Section 6 requires, it is void ab initio. *Rogers*, 117 Nev. at 173.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks this Court to enter an order:

Declaring that the Petition does not comply with Article 19, Section 6 of the Nevada Constitution because it impermissibly mandates an unfunded expenditure;

A. Enjoining Defendant R.I.S.E. Nevada and its proponents, officers, or agents from circulating the signatures for verification pursuant to NRS 293.1276 to 293.1279, inclusive;

¹ See Nat'l Conference of State Legislatures, Costs of Voter Identification, (June 2014) at 2-3, https://www.ncsl.org/documents/legismgt/elect/Voter_ID_Costs_June2014.pdf (hereinafter "Costs of Voter Identification").

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28
- B. Enjoining and prohibiting the Nevada Secretary of State from transmitting the Petition to the Legislature in 2023 or from taking further action upon it;
 - C. Awarding Plaintiff her reasonable costs and attorneys' fees; and
 - D. Granting such other relief as the Court deems appropriate.

AFFIRMATION

The undersigned hereby affirm that the foregoing document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 23rd day of August, 2022.

WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP

By:

BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. (NSB 10217)

JOHN SAMBERG, ESQ. (NSB 10828) DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. (NSB 13078)

3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 590 South

Las Vegas, NV 89169

(702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300

bschrager@wrslawyers.com

jsamberg@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com

WILLIAM STAFFORD, ESQ. (pro hac vice forthcoming)

LINDSAY MCALEER, ESQ. (pro hac vice forthcoming)

ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP

\$700 Seventh Ave, Suite 2100

Seattle, WA 98101

(206) 656-0235/Fax: (202) 968-4498

bstafford@elias.law

lmcaleer@elias.law

Attorneys for Plaintiff

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Documents	Pages
1	Notice of Intent to Circulate Statewide Initiative or Referendum	6
	Petition associated with Initiative Petition S-07-2022	

PAEL BALENED FROM DEMOCRACY DOCKET. COM

EXHIBIT 1

AET REVED FROM DEMOCRACY DOCKET. COM

EXHIBIT 1

NOTICE OF INTENT TO CIRCULATE STATEWIDE INITIATIVE OR REFERENDUM PETITION





Secretary of State Barbara K. Cegavske

Pursuant to NRS 295.015, before a petition for initative or referendum may be presented to registered wing

voters for signatures, the person who intends to circulate the information:	• •
NAME OF PERSON FILING THE PETITION	
Raja Mourey	
NAME(S) OF PERSON(S) AUTHORIZED TO WITHDRAW OR AMEND THE PE	ETITION (provide up to three)
1. Raja Mourey	
2.	
3.	
NAME OF THE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (PAC) ADVOCATING FOR REFERENDUM (if none, leave blank)	THE PASSAGE OF THE INITIATIVE OR
R.I.S.E. Nevada - Restoring Integrity in State	e Elections
Please note, if you are creating a Political Action Committee for the passage of the initiative or referendum, you must complete a separa	
Additionally, a copy of the initiative or referendum, including the des the Secretary of State's office at the time you submit this form.	scription of effect, must be filed with
x Raja Money	7-27-2022
Signature of Petition Eiter	Date

RESTORE INTEGRITY TO STATE ELECTIONS INITIATIVE

EXPLANATION: Matter in **bolded italics** is new; matter between brackets [omitted material] is language to be omitted.

The People of the State of Nevada do enact as follows:

Chapter 293 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto as follows:

- 1. A person who does not possess any document bearing his or her signature and picture may request from the Secretary of State a special identification document bearing his or her signature and picture.
- 2. The special identification document is only valid for the purpose of identifying a voter at a polling place under NRS 293.277.
- 3. The Secretary of State shall furnish upon request a special identification document to any person who is eligible to vote.
- 4. The county clerk, with the approval of the Secretary of State, shall:
 - (a) Amend the voter registration card to include an option for a voter to request a special identification document; and
 - (b) Prescribe a procedure for the issuance of a special identification document under subsection 1.

NRS 293.277 is hereby amended to read as follows:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 293.283, 293.541 and 293.5772 to 293.5887, inclusive, if a person's name appears in the roster or if the person provides an affirmation pursuant to NRS 293.525, and he or she presents valid photographic identification, the person is entitled to vote and must sign his or her name in the roster or on a signature card when he or she applies to vote. The signature must be compared by an election board officer with the signature or a facsimile thereof on the person's application to register to vote or one of the forms of identification listed in subsection 2.
- 2. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 293.2725, the forms of identification which may be used individually to identify a voter at the polling place are:
 - a. The voter registration card issued to the voter accompanied by another form of identification bearing the voter's picture;
 - b. A driver's license;
 - c. An identification card issued by the Department of Motor Vehicles;
 - d. A military identification card; or
 - e. Any other form of identification issued by a governmental agency which contains the voter's signature and [physical description or]picture.
- 3. The county clerk shall prescribe a procedure, approved by the Secretary of State, to verify that the voter has not already voted in that county in the current election.

Petition Packet Number:	Page 1 of 4

County of

DESCRIPTION OF EFFECT

If passed, this statutory measure would require all voters voting in person at a Nevada polling place to present photographic identification before casting a ballot. The measure would also require the Secretary of State to create a special photographic identification document for voting purposes. The new photographic identification document will be issued upon request to any eligible voter in Nevada.

(Only registered voters of this county may sign below)

P	etition District:	(Only regist	tered voters of this petit	ion district may	sign below)
					This Space Fo
1	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, ini	tial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	CITY	COUNTY	
2	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, ini	tial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	CITY	COUNTY	
3	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, ini	tial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	CITY	COUNTY	
4	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, ini	tiak, Yast name)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	CITY	COUNTY	
5	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, ini	tial, last name)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
	YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE / /	CITY	COUNTY	

Petition Packet Number: _____

County of

DESCRIPTION OF EFFECT

If passed, this statutory measure would require all voters voting in person at a Nevada polling place to present photographic identification before casting a ballot. The measure would also require the Secretary of State to create a special photographic identification document for voting purposes. The new photographic identification document will be issued upon request to any eligible voter in Nevada.

(Only registered voters of this county may sign below)

	Only registe	red voters of this petition	district may s	ign below)
				This Space For
PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last n	ame)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
		-OM		
YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	
	/ /	CK		
PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last n	ame)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
		RAC		
YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	1
	11,0			
PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last n	ame)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
	VO KK			
YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	1
ETRI	/ /			
PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name,	ame)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	1
	/ /			
PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last na	ame)	RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY		
YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE	CITY	COUNTY	1
	/ /			
	YOUR SIGNATURE PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last n YOUR SIGNATURE PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last n YOUR SIGNATURE PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last n YOUR SIGNATURE PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last n	PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) YOUR SIGNATURE DATE PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) YOUR SIGNATURE DATE PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) YOUR SIGNATURE DATE PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name)	YOUR SIGNATURE DATE PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY YOUR SIGNATURE DATE PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY YOUR SIGNATURE DATE CITY PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY YOUR SIGNATURE DATE CITY PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY	YOUR SIGNATURE DATE // PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY YOUR SIGNATURE DATE // PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY YOUR SIGNATURE DATE // PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY YOUR SIGNATURE DATE // PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY YOUR SIGNATURE DATE // PRINT YOUR NAME (first name, initial, last name) RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY RESIDENCE ADDRESS ONLY

THE FOLLOWING AFFIDAVIT MUST BE COMPLETED AND SIGNED:

AFFIDAVIT OF CIRCULATOR

(To be signed by the circulator in the presence of a notary public)

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF)
I,, (print name), being first duly sworn under penalty of perjury, depose and say: (1) that I reside a
(print street, city and state); (2) that I am 18 years of age or older; (3) that I personally circulated
this document; (4) that all signatures were affixed in my presence; (5) that the number of
signatures affixed thereon is; and (6) that each person who signed had an
opportunity before signing to read the full text of the act of resolution on which the initiative
or referendum is demanded.
of referendam is demanded.
OCRAR .
Girmaton of Girmaton
Signature of Circulator
Subscribed and sworn to or affirmed before me this day
of, by
Notary Public or person authorized to administer oath
EL502

Revised 8/19