UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-16490

Phoenix

ORDER

District of Arizona,

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-01374-GMS

MAY 23 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER. CLERK **U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

ARIZONA ALLIANCE FOR RETIRED AMERICANS; VOTO LATINO; PRIORITIES USA,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

KRISTIN K. MAYES, in her official capacity as Attorney General for the State of CTDOCKET.COM Arizona.

Defendant-Appellant,

YUMA COUNTY REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE,

> Intervenor-Defendant-Appellant,

Before: NGUYEN, COLLINS, and LEE, Circuit Judges.

The parties are directed to file simultaneous supplemental briefs addressing the following questions:

> (1) Whether any of the Plaintiff-Appellee organizations have established standing to challenge the so-called Cancellation Provision, ARIZ. REV. STAT. § 16-165(A)(11), (B), on either a theory of direct organizational standing under Havens Realty Corp. v. Coleman, 455 U.S. 363 (1982), or a theory of associational standing under Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commission, 432 U.S. 333 (1977).

FILED

(2) Whether this panel should certify to the Arizona Supreme Court an appropriate question concerning the meaning of the phrase "mechanism for voting" in ARIZ. REV. STAT. § 16-1016(12). *See Arizonans for Off. Eng. v. Arizona*, 520 U.S. 43, 77–80 (1997); *see also Hayes v. Cont'l Ins. Co.*, 872 P.2d 668, 676–77 (Ariz. 1994) (en banc).

The parties shall file their respective supplemental briefs within 14 days of the entry of this order. As with the merits briefing, Plaintiffs-Appellees shall file a single, joint supplemental brief. Each supplemental brief shall conform to the requirements of FED. R. APP. P. 32(a)(1)–(6) and shall not exceed 5,000 words, excluding the items listed in FED. R. APP. P. 32(f).