Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 20
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Great.
3	MR. NKWONTA: You can take that
4	down. And can we pull up Document 75,
5	please.
6	(Exhibit 75 marked for
7	identification.)
8	BY MR. NKWONTA:
9	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, do you recognize
10	Document 75? Have you seen this document before?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And this is a deposition notice
13	issued to you individually; is that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And do you understand that you are
16	also being deposed today in your individual
17	capacity?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And as we have done with the
20	prior deposition in this case, we will ask that
21	you agree that your answers today will be
22	attributed to you and/or True the Vote, unless we

	Page 21
1	specify otherwise, or you specify otherwise in
2	the deposition in response to that question. Is
3	that fair?
4	A. Yes.
5	MR. NKWONTA: And do you agree to
6	that, counsel.
7	MR. BOPP: Do I agree to what?
8	MR. NKWONTA: That Ms. Engelbrecht's
9	answers will be attributed to Ms. Engelbrecht
10	and True the Vote, unless she specifies
11	otherwise in response, just as we did
12	yesterday?
13	MR. BOPP: I assume your questions
14	are directed at her in both capacities.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And counsel,
16	sorry. I apologize. This is Joe. I just
17	want to make sure for clarity that
18	Document 75 and 76, will those be entered
19	into as exhibits?
20	MR. NKWONTA: Yes, those will be
21	entered in as exhibits.
22	I think what might be best is I will

	Page 22
1	continue to refer to them throughout the
2	deposition as 75 and 76. And then we can
3	decide after the fact whether we want to
4	number them sequentially. Is that fair?
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Understood.
6	BY MR. NKWONTA:
7	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, I want to start
8	with some background questions for you.
9	Where do you currently reside?
10	A. In Cat Spring, Texas.
11	Q. Are you a Texas native?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And what do you do for a living?
14	A. In addition to my work with True the
15	Vote, I am the co-founder of a healthcare fintech
16	software company.
17	Q. What is your role with True the
18	Vote?
19	A. I am both the founder of the
20	organization and its current president.
21	Q. Sorry, I didn't hear the last bit of
22	your answer. Do you mind repeating that?

- 1 A. So sorry. Sure, with respect to
- 2 True the Vote, I am the founder of the
- 3 organization and its current president.
- 4 MR. BOPP: And, Catherine, please
- 5 don't interrupt him. Let -- if he needs to
- 6 say something, just sit tight. Okay?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 8 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- 9 Q. When was True the Vote founded? You
- 10 mentioned that you are the founder.
- 11 When did you launch True the Vote?
- 12 A. We started as a organization in late
- 13 2009 and filed official paperwork for its
- 14 designation under the IRS rules as a nonprofit in
- 15 2010, in the summer of 2010.
- Q. What was True the Vote's mission
- 17 when the organization first launched in 2009 or
- 18 2010?
- 19 A. We had learned that there were not
- 20 enough poll watchers or poll workers broadly.
- 21 And that seemed like a good project to take on
- just to encourage people to work in the polls.

- 1 And then from there we became more aware of other
- 2 challenges in the system.
- 3 Q. And what types of activities did
- 4 True the Vote engage in when it first launched in
- 5 2009/2010?
- 6 A. First, understanding the process
- 7 where we started which was in Harris County,
- 8 Texas.
- 9 So, understanding the process of our
- 10 elections. Understanding the need for volunteers
- 11 and where those volunteers -- how they can be
- 12 placed and the rules around those placements.
- 13 And that was the origin of the organization and
- 14 our activities.
- 15 Q. You mentioned assessing the need for
- 16 volunteers and where those volunteers needed to
- 17 be placed.
- In that initial period when you
- 19 launched True the Vote, where did you, your
- 20 organization determine the greatest needs were?
- 21 A. Well, to make that determination we
- 22 met with -- in Harris County there is a -- the

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 35 1 terms of your role with the organization. 2 How many employees did King Street Patriot have when the organization was first 3 4 launched? 5 Oh, first launched, none. We didn't Α. 6 have any employees for several years. 7 And did you ever have employees or Ο. did you rely solely on volunteers and outside 8 9 contractors? 10 Α. We did have, as I recall, one or two employees, but it was far and away run by 11 12 volunteers. 13 Q. Were you also in charge of the 14 day-to-day operations with respect to 15 communications including press or other types of 16 media? 17 Α. I would have certainly had a 18 leadership role, or as I commented earlier, would 19 have made it a goal to always look at things that 20 were being published. 21 But it was, with King Street

2.2

particularly more volunteer oriented and less, or

- 1 less structured.
- 2 Q. So, just to be a little bit more
- 3 specific, if there was a press release issued by
- 4 King Street Patriots, would that press release
- 5 have come from an employee or from you, or would
- 6 it have been something that you would have
- 7 overseen or supervised?
- 8 A. It could have -- really any of those
- 9 could have been accurate. It may have been
- 10 something that a volunteer wrote. It would
- 11 certainly have been something that I would have
- 12 wanted to have seen.
- 13 Although I can't attest to having
- 14 seen everything, unfortunately.
- 15 Q. The standard practice was to, -- was
- 16 for you to be able to approve all of the
- 17 communications and the media that would come out
- 18 of King Street Patriots, is that fair?
- 19 A. That was the goal. That was the
- 20 goal.
- 21 Q. Have you heard of the organization
- 22 called Time for a Hero?

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 37
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And what is your connection to that
3	organization?
4	A. The organization no longer is
5	active, but that was an organization started by
6	myself and Gregg Phillips.
7	The purpose of that organization was
8	to assist with the needs of Special Forces
9	Veterans. And it started out sort of broadly
10	looking to support the needs that ultimately
11	turned into sort of a medical missions driven
12	program.
13	And it was
14	Q. When did
15	A. Sorry, go ahead.
16	Q. No, you finish your answer.
17	A. It was well, I was about to say
18	I'm not even really I don't quite remember
19	when it started or ended. It was I'm sorry,
20	please ask your question.
21	Q. I was going to ask when it started.
22	A. Let me think. 20 I don't clearly

Page 38 1 remember, is probably my best answer. 2018'ish, But, I don't clearly remember. 2 2019. So, after 2015, is that fair to say? 3 0. 4 Α. Yes, yes. 5 And is that organization still in 0. existence or still in operation? 6 7 Α. No. 8 When did the organization cease 0. 9 to -- cease all operations, I should say? 10 As a practical matter we stopped Α. 11 doing -- we stopped doing activities, I guess, in 12 2020, in late 2019 and early 2020. 13 But then submitted paperwork to the 14 IRS, the final tax filing and so forth in 2020. 15 Q. And why did the organization cease 16 operations? 17 Α. We had the -- for a variety of 18 The hope of the organization was to reasons. 19 support the medical needs or certain of the 20 medical needs of Special Forces Veterans with 21 traumatic brain juries. And that is a tall 2.2 order.

Page 39 1 There is a lot of things that you 2 don't think about that will come with that. 3 so, and there is so many new organizations that were better equipped to, I think, handle that. 4 5 And you know, we were just doing 6 what we could. I think we helped other 7 organizations kind of find their footing but then 8 at that point there was no real need for us to 9 continue on. 10 Ο. Sounds like a lot of work. 11 You know, it is, it is a lot. Α. It is 12 a lot. 13 Q. What was your role within Time for a 14 Hero? 15 Α. I don't remember the structural --16 my structural designation in terms of the 17 paperwork. I don't remember if I was president 18 or vice president. 19 But it was very sort of equally, 20 equally yoked between Greq Phillips and myself. 21 And primarily what I did was support the, support

2.2

the intake, the review of applications for

- 1 contractor, were both veterans themselves and
- 2 very single-minded in their desire to
- 3 communicate.
- 4 And so they oftentimes just
- 5 communicated without --
- 6 Q. And again --
- 7 A. -- clear oversight from the Board.
- 8 Q. And would they communicate on their
- 9 own behalf or on behalf of Time for a Hero?
- 10 A. I did not -- I have not seen
- 11 everything that was ever put out.
- I would, I would venture to say that
- 13 it would -- their approach would have found it to
- 14 be a little bit of both. That they would have
- 15 communicated personally with their own
- 16 experiences and then on behalf of the
- 17 organization.
- 18 Q. And would you have seen all of the
- 19 communications that have come out from Time for a
- 20 Hero?
- 21 A. No. No, I would -- I don't think
- 22 so.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 Q. Do you have access to any of the
- 2 organizations' press releases or statements?
- 3 A. I may have some. I don't have all.
- 4 Certainly I don't have all. I don't have access
- 5 to any of the social media stuff anymore.
- Q. Did you at any point have access to
- 7 any of the organizations' social media accounts?
- 8 A. I would have known their log-ins. I
- 9 never posted anything that I recall.
- 10 But in the later periods of the
- 11 organization, the individual who was organizing
- 12 that or was overseeing the operation used it --
- 13 changed the passwords and we didn't have them.
- Q. So, the two gentlemen that you spoke
- of who were also involved with the organization,
- 16 what were their names?
- 17 A. The first gentleman who ran the
- 18 organization for a period was Travis -- I cannot
- 19 remember his last name. I apologize. And then
- 20 the second gentleman was short lived. His name
- 21 escapes me. I apologize. I don't recall his
- 22 name. I should. I just don't. It is not coming

	Page 45
1	to me right now.
2	Q. What was his position?
3	MR. BOPP: I have to unmute myself.
4	I would like to talk to my client for one
5	minute here.
6	So, if Catherine, you would turn off
7	your video and your audio, I will do the same
8	and I will call you.
9	MR. NKWONTA: Mr. Bopp
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	MR. NKWONTA: Mr. Bopp, I, I assert
12	an objection to you conferring with your
13	client about a pending question. As you know
14	that is improper under the federal rules, and
15	I would ask that you allow
16	MR. BOPP: You can purport to
17	instruct me however you wish. Good-bye.
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, do you
19	want to go off the record?
20	MS. BRYAN: Are we still on the
21	record?
22	MR. NKWONTA: No, I believe Joe said

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 46
1	we are off the record.
2	MS. BRYAN: I would recommend that
3	you stay on the record and record the time
4	that Bob left and the time Bob comes back on.
5	MR. NKWONTA: We can do that, but
6	that will still be reflected on the
7	whether we are on or off the record, right?
8	MS. BRYAN: Well, that is true. You
9	are right.
10	MR. NKWONTA: So, let's go off the
11	record just to save time.
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
13	off the video record, the time is 8:50 a.m.
14	(Recess taken 8:50 a.m.)
15	(After recess 8:55 a.m.)
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
17	back on the video record. The time is
18	8:55 a.m.
19	BY MR. NKWONTA:
20	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, we just took a
21	short break. Now we are back on the record.
22	Do you recognize that you are still

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 47 1 under oath? 2 Α. Yes. During the break, did you discuss 3 Q. with your counsel any of the questions that I 4 5 asked or the response to the question that I had asked before you took a break? 6 7 And I'm asking this without 8 disclosing the, what you actually discussed. 9 asking whether you discussed the content of the 10 question that I asked before we took a break? 11 Α. No. 12 Q. So, you did not discuss any of my questions about Time for a Hero before we took a 13 14 break? 15 Α. No. 16 Q. During that break you did not 17 discuss any of the questions that I asked 18 regarding Time for a Hero with Mr. Bopp? 19 Α. No. 20 MR. NKWONTA: Okay. Joe, could we 21 pull up Exhibit 74, please. 2.2 (Exhibit 74 marked for

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 60
1	volunteers working inside of elections.
2	And the thought behind the outreach
3	was that these were folks that are very good at
4	chain of command, at understanding process.
5	And in our experience they make
6	great volunteers for these kinds of things,
7	because often when you have people who are very
8	well intended, but they are not as familiar with
9	that construct of, you know, ordered processing
10	and very observant of standards and time periods
11	in which things must be reported in an orderly
12	fashion, that can throw people.
13	And for people that come out of
14	backgrounds that are more oriented towards that
15	chain of command, that works, they do really
16	well. And so that was the thought behind
17	Continue to Serve.
18	MR. NKWONTA: Joe, can you pull up
19	Exhibit 65 or Document 65.
20	(Exhibit 65 marked for
21	identification.)
22	BY MR. NKWONTA:

	Page 61
1	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, Document 65 is a
2	transcript of a statement that you made which we
3	had transcribed and which we which True the
4	Vote acknowledged in response to one of our
5	requests for admission that this was a correct
6	it is a true and correct transcript.
7	MR. NKWONTA: Joe, can you go to
8	MR. BOPP: I'm sorry, I have a
9	question. I didn't understand what you just
10	said.
11	What is the date of this, did you
12	say?
13	MR. NKWONTA: The date of this
14	transcript?
15	MR. BOPP: Yes. You gave a date.
16	MR. NKWONTA: August 13, 2021.
17	MR. BOPP: Okay, all right, thank
18	you. Sorry, I didn't understand what you
19	said.
20	MR. NKWONTA: Joe, can you go to the
21	second page of this transcript.
22	BY MR. NKWONTA:

- 1 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, can you read this
- 2 second paragraph into the record.
- 3 A. "Of interest here, we have a new
- 4 initiative called Continue to Serve which is
- 5 about recruiting veterans and first responders to
- 6 work inside the polls. You want to talk about
- 7 people who understand and respect law and order
- 8 and chain of command, you get Seals in the polls.
- 9 "And they're going to say no, no,
- 10 that is not -- this is what it says and this is,
- 11 this is how we're going to play the show. And
- 12 that's what we need."
- Q. When you were making this statement
- 14 and when you were referring to Seals in the
- 15 polls, who did you envision them referring to or
- 16 interacting with?
- 17 A. Well -- I'm sorry. Can you repeat
- 18 the question?
- 19 Q. Sure. Who did you envision -- when
- 20 you were making the statement, who did you
- 21 envision the Seals interacting with or talking
- 22 to?

- 1 A. Depending upon the capacity in which
- 2 they were working, things can get very confusing
- 3 in polling places. And the thought was just the
- 4 individuals that are, as I say here, familiar
- 5 with that kind of law of order and chain of
- 6 command and understanding process are very
- 7 decisive in their, this is how we need to do
- 8 this, this is what the rules say.
- 9 So, I'm familiar with this entire
- 10 situation and how this came about. And I would
- 11 say that, you know, it was taken out of context.
- 12 That is, what I have just explained to you was
- 13 the, the rationale behind the comment.
- Q. And you anticipate that these Seals
- would be interacting with people in the polling
- 16 place including voters or election officials; is
- 17 that correct?
- 18 A. I would say that veterans and first
- 19 responders, working inside the polls, depending
- 20 upon their capacity, may interact with voters,
- 21 also depending upon the state.
- 22 If they were serving in the capacity

- 1 of poll watcher, they would not engage with
- 2 anyone. If they were working as a judge or a
- 3 clerk, then they may.
- 4 And certainly with one another as
- 5 part of the team working at the polls which can
- 6 get very confusing, they would interact together
- 7 working with others there at the polling place.
- 8 Q. Who is Ed Hiner? H-I-N-E-R is the
- 9 last name.
- 10 A. He temporarily was the spokesperson
- 11 for Continue to Serve.
- 12 Q. You say temporarily. Did he stop
- 13 being a spokesperson at some point?
- 14 A. He did, yes.
- 15 Q. Why is that?
- 16 A. He also had a program that was a
- 17 leadership program for after school, like after
- 18 school programs.
- 19 And that -- in California. And that
- 20 really got busy. And he was also writing a book
- 21 or had written a book and was promoting that
- 22 book. And, you know, the oversight of an effort

- 1 like this is, takes a lot of time. And he just
- 2 didn't have that time to devote because there
- 3 were so many other interests in play for him.
- 4 Q. Have you seen or are you familiar
- 5 with news articles or news reports in which Ed
- 6 Hiner claimed that he withdrew after realizing
- 7 how partisan the program had become?
- 8 A. No, I'm not aware of that.
- 9 Q. Do you have any reason to dispute
- 10 that those were his reasons for withdrawing?
- 11 A. Well, the reasons for his withdrawal
- 12 were, as I have stated, he didn't have the time.
- He was shocked by how mean spirited
- 14 comments can be about these kinds of efforts.
- 15 And he didn't have any political background and
- 16 didn't want it to -- he didn't, he didn't want
- 17 the, the animus that comes oftentimes,
- 18 unfortunately, with detractors who are looking to
- 19 try to find a partisan angle here when there is
- 20 none. But that is not what the media will
- 21 report.
- Q. And did you discuss Mr. Hiner's

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 concerns with him?
- 2 A. Yes, I recall that we talked about
- 3 it and I understand. I mean it is a lot.
- 4 Q. And when you talked about it with
- 5 him did he relay the concerns about the program
- 6 being partisan?
- 7 A. Not the program. No, our program
- 8 was not partisan. He was shocked at, you know,
- 9 how could it be that the comments were taken and
- 10 twisted in a way that made things seem negative.
- 11 That was a shock to him.
- 12 Q. I want to ask you about a different
- 13 program. Have you heard or used the phrase,
- 14 Validate the Vote?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And where did that phrase come from?
- 17 A. It was a recommended name given to,
- 18 or suggested to me, by a consultant of a donor
- 19 that had come to us and had suggested, the
- 20 consultant suggested the name, Validate the Vote,
- 21 and I have used it.
- 22 Q. Is that phrase -- is that name, is

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 67
1	that specific to True the Vote?
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. Have you heard of any other
4	organizations that have used that phrase for any
5	of their programs?
6	A. I have. I have.
7	Q. Which ones?
8	A. The consultant who suggested that we
9	use that name went on to start his own
10	organization or had some other affiliation with
11	an organization that was using that name.
12	Whether or not they are still doing anything I
13	don't know.
14	But I recall seeing the I was
15	shocked to see that that had occurred.
16	Q. When did the consultant recommend
17	this name to you?
18	A. On November the 5th.
19	Q. What year?
20	A. Oh, sorry, 2020.
21	Q. And when did you see the consultant
22	start a different organization and use that same

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 phrase?
- 2 A. I do not recall. Shortly
- 3 thereafter, but I do not recall.
- Q. Other than that, do you recall any
- 5 other instances of organizations announcing sort
- of Validate the Vote issues?
- 7 A. I do -- I cannot give you a specific
- 8 organization to direct your intentions to, but
- 9 that term I have seen many times, often with the,
- 10 you know, with the state attached to it, Validate
- 11 the Vote in a certain state or something like
- 12 that.
- So, my recollection is I have read
- 14 it and seen it other places, but I can't give you
- 15 any other specifics about where to look.
- Q. And during the 2020 election cycle
- and the lead up to the 2021, the January 2021
- 18 runoff in Georgia, was Validate the Vote or the
- 19 phrase or the name of one of the programs that
- 20 True the Vote was initiating in Georgia and
- 21 elsewhere?
- 22 A. Validate the Vote was used broadly.

```
Page 69
 1
     We had an election integrity hotline, and it
 2
     didn't have a name so to speak. So we named it
     Validate the Vote.
 3
                  And then when the attentions turned
 4
 5
     towards Georgia, as I recall, we would say
 6
     Validate the Vote Georgia, but it was still a
 7
     national effort.
 8
                  Does that answer your question?
 9
                  Yes, it does. You have used the
            Ο.
10
     word, bounty on fraud, before, correct?
11
     discussing the Validate the Vote program?
12
            Α.
                  I don't -- I have read through this
13
     in the preparation for this. I don't recall
14
     saying that but -- I don't recall saying that,
15
     but -- well, I will leave it at that.
                                             I don't
16
     recall saying it.
17
                  MR. NKWONTA: Joe, can you pull up
18
         Exhibit 64, please. And if we can go to
19
         Page 3 of Exhibit 64.
20
                       (Exhibit 64 marked for
21
                       identification.)
2.2
     BY MR. NKWONTA:
```

Page 70 1 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, can you look -- can 2 you read into the record that starting with the 3 first full sentence -- or starting on the second line, first full sentence, could you read that 4 5 sentence into the record? Sure, sure. "And what Validate the 6 Α. 7 Vote is about is putting a bounty on the fraud. 8 Creating an environment for whistleblowers to 9 come forward and tell the story, make sure that 10 they have protections, make sure that they have 11 compensation, and further, creating a space for people to come and share what they know or share 12 13 with us what they know and then let us try to 14 aggregate it." 15 Q. So, Exhibit 64 is a transcript of 16 some remarks you gave during a podcast. 17 Do you recall this podcast? 18 I did host a podcast for a brief 19 period of time. I absolutely recall that. 20 Q. When you used the term, Validate the

www.DigitalEvidenceGroup.com

21

2.2

Vote and the Bounty on Fraud here, can you

describe what you were referring to?

	Communical Farousing to Frontesiand Order
	Page 71
1	A. Sure. These were extemporaneous
2	unscripted, just me talking.
3	And, I used that word for clearly
4	it is there. I don't recall saying it, but
5	clearly it was there. It was very much just sort
6	of a riff of trying to explain, you know, what
7	Validate the Vote was going to try to do.
8	And that is the nature of all of the
9	comments, which is just sort of a riff of trying
10	to explain it.
11	Q. In addition to the protection that
12	you mentioned that you wanted to offer to
13	whistleblowers, did that also include legal
14	support? Did you also discuss offering legal
15	support to whistleblowers?
16	A. I do recall in other instances
17	saying that it would be you know, legal
18	support would be one of the things that we would
19	hope to be able to offer.
20	Q. And why did you want to offer legal
21	support to whistleblowers?
1	

Α.

22

There were people coming to us and

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 72 1 just over the years, you know, people that have 2 information that they would like to share and are 3 concerned. And want to not be left hanging if 4 they say something that, you know, would lead to 5 6 a place of needing counsel, you know, needing 7 some kind of representation. And, you know, I can appreciate that. 8 9 So we just wanted to create an 10 environment where if they wanted to say something we would, we would be with them. 11 12 Did you offer that in order to, in Q. 13 order to encourage whistleblowers to come 14 forward? 15 Is the question did we offer to pay 16 for legal counsel in order to encourage the 17 whistleblowers to come forward? Is that -- I'm 18 sorry --19 MR. BOPP: Catherine, Catherine --20 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat it? 21 Could you repeat the question? 2.2 Excuse me, I am speaking. MR. BOPP:

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 73
1	MR. NKWONTA: She is speaking as
2	well, but you have to assert an objection.
3	What is your objection?
4	MR. BOPP: If you stop talking, I
5	will interpose my objection.
6	My objection is, Catherine, you are
7	not to rephrase the question. You are to
8	answer the question.
9	If you don't know the if you
10	can't answer the question because it is
11	unclear or whatever, then ask him to rephrase
12	the question. And that is my objection.
13	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the
14	question?
15	BY MR. NKWONTA:
16	Q. Certainly.
17	MR. NKWONTA: Before I do, I want to
18	state for the record, Mr. Bopp's objections
19	are actually not objections under any
20	definition of the federal rules. It is
21	actually coaching the witness.
22	So, I object to you coaching your

	Page 74
1	witness during the testimony. And I ask that
2	you refrain from doing that further in this
3	deposition.
4	You have not asserted any objections
5	to my questions. You don't get to object to
6	your witness's own testimony.
7	BY MR. NKWONTA:
8	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, I will repeat my
9	question. Did you offer legal support because
10	you thought it would encourage whistleblowers to
11	come forward?
12	A. Thank you. I thought that by making
13	it known that there would be legal support for
14	people who came forward, that it may encourage
15	people who were otherwise concerned about not
16	being able to withstand the whirlwind that these
17	things came to elicit.
18	Q. So, was it your view that concerns
19	about legal ramifications would keep some
20	whistleblowers from coming forward?
21	A. I'm sorry, can you repeat the
22	question?

- 1 Q. Sure. Was it your view that
- 2 concerns about potential legal ramifications
- 3 would keep some whistleblowers from coming
- 4 forward?
- 5 A. It was my concern that, or my belief
- 6 that, in the environment in which we find
- 7 ourselves, it seems that it doesn't take too much
- 8 to end up being caught into a lawsuit.
- 9 And that we have all watched as
- 10 people who never thought they would find
- 11 themselves involved in anything like this do.
- 12 And that keeps a lot of people -- that has a very
- 13 chilling effect.
- And so the thought was to try to
- 15 create an environment, as I say here on this
- 16 exhibit that is on the screen, to create a space
- for people to come to and know that they wouldn't
- 18 be alone.
- 19 Q. So, and just to make sure I am fully
- 20 understanding, I think I am following what you
- 21 are saying.
- A. Sure. Sure.

	Page 76
1	Q. To make sure I'm fully
2	understanding.
3	Was it your concern that without
4	providing that legal support people may not come
5	forward because they were concerned about
6	potential legal ramifications?
7	MR. BOPP: I object. Asked and
8	answered now multiple times. You are
9	harassing the witness.
10	But you may answer if you, you know,
11	and if you you may answer.
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I feel like I
13	have answered it. I feel like I have
14	answered the question.
15	We thought that creating or making
16	it known that if people came forward and
17	needed some kind of legal support that we
18	would help support that. That was the reason
19	that I said what I said.
20	BY MR. NKWONTA:
21	Q. I understand that you feel like you
22	have answered the question. I do, I do want to

Page 77 1 get clarity on your answer. 2 Α. Sure. Just to make sure that I am 3 Q. following. And I understand that Mr. Bopp has 4 5 objected that it is asked and answered. 6 MR. NKWONTA: I am willing to take 7 that as a standing objection, unless you want to interject again. 8 9 BY MR. NKWONTA: 10 But I want to just ask the question Q. 11 once more and just make sure that I get, I fully 12 understand your answer. 13 The question I'm asking is slightly 14 different, I think. What I am asking is not just why you offered legal support, but whether you 15 16 felt like the potential legal ramifications of 17 being a whistleblower or coming forward might 18 prevent people from whistleblowing or from coming 19 forward? 20 Α. I don't want to rephrase the 21 question, but no, that -- as I understand the 2.2 question again repeated, no, that that was not

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 79
1	Q. You make the statement there of the
2	last paragraph. It says, "That is going to be a
3	standing offer and the dollars will continue to
4	increase as awareness grows."
5	What did you mean by that?
6	A. Give me one second to read the
7	preceding paragraph.
8	Q. Sure.
9	A. Again these were just, you know,
10	riffs, but I, having read the preceding
11	paragraph, when I said that is going to be a
12	standing offer, that would have necessarily tied
13	to my previous comments about how they could
14	reach to the various outlets, the websites, the
15	phone number, support, financial support, legal
16	support. I used the term, whistleblower
17	immunity.
18	So, so that is what I was referring
19	to.
20	Q. So, I want to break that down
21	A. Oh, I'm sorry okay. Yes, please.
22	Q. No. Please continue.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 80 1 Α. You also asked about the dollars 2 will continue to grow. That was a -- the more that these 3 types of services or types of supports are 4 5 required, the more expensive that would become. 6 And there was nothing behind that 7 other than to say, you know, more people would be 8 willing to support and donate and help if that 9 became necessary. 10 And that was my opinion and that is 11 I'm sure what was going through my mind at the 12 time. 13 0. So, more people would be willing to 14 support and donate to what exactly? 15 Α. It's in the instance that people 16 were sharing information, that lawsuits were, you 17 know, on the radar. That a broad support was 18 potentially necessary of a variety of types, I 19 would imagine. 20 That the people that support the 21 work that True the Vote does, would have a part

2.2

for seeing the, you know, the people that came

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 forward would be taken care of and not just left.
- 2 Q. So, is one way to read this then is
- 3 that the dollars or the support, the financial
- 4 support or donations or dollars of True the
- 5 Vote -- and True the Vote's efforts will increase
- 6 as awareness of the Validate the Vote program and
- 7 these other efforts grows.
- Is that, is that a fair reading?
- 9 A. Yes, I think that is fair.
- 10 Q. You also had a Validate the Vote
- 11 program hotline; is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. Was it called a Validate the Vote
- 14 Program Hotline or was there a specific name for
- 15 it?
- 16 A. Well, not initially. Every election
- 17 cycle we host a hotline that is both available
- 18 online, and then we have a toll free number that
- 19 people can call and share any manner of things.
- 20 And that has been consistent over a
- 21 number of cycles.
- In the most recent cycle, we had

- 1 started the hotline in late September. And we
- 2 didn't begin to use the name Validate the Vote
- 3 until, as I mentioned, November 5th or 6th,
- 4 something like that.
- 5 O. But the hotline itself doesn't have
- a specific name separate from Validate the Vote;
- 7 is that right?
- 8 A. Just the Election Integrity Hotline.
- 9 Q. And someone didn't have any ideas
- 10 for that?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 O. Well, it is the Validate the Vote
- 13 hotline that you initiated, when did that hotline
- 14 take off for the 2020 election? Or when was that
- 15 hotline officially opened?
- 16 A. In, in, the hotline itself, just the
- 17 election integrity hotline, that is actually up
- 18 on our website right now. But we added the -- we
- 19 expanded the use of it for, to host live, live
- 20 operators taking calls and so forth. That
- 21 started in late September of 2020.
- 22 Q. So, that hotline started in late

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 September 2020, and it is still ongoing or is
- 2 there a period in which the hotline stopped
- 3 receiving calls?
- 4 A. It is still available. People still
- 5 report things. Certainly the focus is no longer
- 6 what it was then, but we do still have that up.
- 7 And it is a useful way for people to help
- 8 organize their thoughts about their questions and
- 9 what it is that they are seeking help with.
- 10 Q. Did you keep track of the reports
- 11 that came in through the hotline?
- 12 A. As best as we could, yes.
- 13 Q. And what did you do with those
- 14 reports?
- 15 A. They were provided to me and to the
- 16 True the Vote team on a routine basis to review,
- 17 and I'm sure they have been archived somewhere.
- 18 MR. NKWONTA: Joe, can you pull up
- 19 Document 35 or Exhibit 35. And we are going
- to need to blow that up significantly or
- 21 magnify that so we can look at some of these
- 22 entries.

	Page 84
1	(Exhibit 35 marked for
2	identification.)
3	BY MR. NKWONTA:
4	Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 35,
5	Ms. Engelbrecht?
6	A. I recognize it from the package of
7	exhibits that was included for the purposes of
8	this deposition. So, I reacquainted myself. I
9	couldn't read it myself when I was looking at it
10	but
11	Q. Is this one of the sorry. Were
12	you finishing your answer?
13	A. Yes.
14	THE WITNESS: Is it possible maybe
15	to enlarge it a little bit more? Perfect.
16	Can you scroll to the right so I can see the
17	headings?
18	Yes. I'm sorry. Could you repeat
19	your question?
20	BY MR. NKWONTA:
21	Q. I wanted to ask, is this the list of
22	the report of the incidents that you received

	Page 92
1	A. I would have to I can't confirm
2	that. I would have to I can't confirm that.
3	It should have just been a, you know, dump out to
4	fulfill the requirement, but I can't confirm it.
5	MR. NKWONTA: Let's see if we can
6	blow up a document. That might help.
7	Can we pull up Exhibit 79?
8	(Exhibit 79 marked for
9	identification.)
10	MR. NKWONTA: And can you enlarge
11	that a little bit and scroll to Page 8.
12	BY MR. NKWONTA:
13	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, Exhibit 79 is the
14	Second Amended Response True the Vote's Second
15	Amended Response to Plaintiff's Second Request
16	for Production.
17	Do you recognize this document?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Now, if you look at the Request
20	Number 18, Request Number 18 seeks, "All
21	documents and communications relating to True the
22	Vote's Election Integrity Hotline as described in

- 1 your responses to Interrogatories 2 and 3,
- 2 including, but not limited to, all documents and
- 3 communications surrounding the launch of the
- 4 hotline, follow-up with users of the hotline,
- 5 vetted reports, and follow-up with the
- 6 authorities charged with investigating such
- 7 claims as described in your response to
- 8 Interrogatory Number 3."
- 9 Is that a correct reading of Request
- 10 Number 18?
- 11 A. That is a correct reading, yes.
- 12 Q. And in your response you state that,
- 13 "The defendant True the Vote has produced the
- 14 record of all hotline contacts relevant to
- 15 Georgia during the time frame of the runoff
- 16 election." Is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes. And that would be relevant to
- 18 Georgia at the time of the runoff collection --
- 19 runoff election, yes.
- 20 Q. You also state that, in the second
- 21 paragraph, "None of these contacts resulted in
- the need for True the Vote to follow up or report

	Page 94
1	the contact information to appropriate
2	authorities."
3	Is that correct?
4	THE WITNESS: Can we I apologize.
5	Could we just scroll down so I can see that
6	in the response?
7	MR. NKWONTA: Keep scrolling.
8	THE WITNESS: I can go yes.
9	MR. NKWONTA: The next page.
10	THE WITNESS: The next page.
11	MR. NKWONTA: And then the paragraph
12	starting with None of these concepts.
13	Can you scroll down a little bit
14	more, Joe?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
16	BY MR. NKWONTA:
17	Q. Is it accurate that none of the
18	reports to your election integrity hotline or
19	Validate the Vote hotline resulted in the need
20	for True the Vote to report anything to
21	authorities?
22	A. Specific to this request for

```
Page 95
 1
     production around the Georgia runoff and the
 2
     exhibit that we have looked at, that would be the
 3
     case, yes.
                                 You can pull that
 4
                  MR. NKWONTA:
 5
         down, Joe. I would like to ask about some of
         your other election related efforts.
 6
 7
                  If we could pull up Exhibit 61.
         can we scroll to the next page.
 8
 9
                       (Exhibit 61 marked for
10
                        identification.)
11
     BY MR. NKWONTA:
                  Do you recognize this document,
12
            Q.
     Ms. Engelbrecht?
13
14
            Α.
                  Yes.
15
            Q.
                  What is it?
16
                  This was, based on its formatting,
            Α.
17
     this would have been taken from our website. And
18
     it just describes that we launched the Election
19
     Integrity Hotline specific to the runoff period.
20
            Q.
                  And this is a press release issued
21
     by True the Vote, correct?
2.2
                  Yes. Or a blog post, but yes.
            Α.
```

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 96 1 Q. Or a blog post? 2 Or a blog post. I'm not certain Α. 3 that this was a press release, but it most certainly was posted on our website. 4 5 Ο. Now, this press release makes 6 reference to efforts to provide signature 7 verification along with -- sorry, signature 8 verification training, absentee ballot drop box 9 monitoring, and other nonpartisan election 10 integrity initiatives. 11 Is that correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. I want to explore each of those. 14 What signature training did you provide or what 15 signature verification training did you provide? 16 We posted online a signature Α. 17 verification training course. 18 For that program particularly we had 19 worked with a signature verification specialist, someone who is accredited in that field and has 20 21 worked in law enforcement and even in elections. 2.2 And so, she led the course, again

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 online, but led the course in describing for
- 2 volunteers who would be potentially working in
- 3 that capacity what to look for.
- And, you know, when you are looking
- 5 at signature verifications, how do you, if you
- 6 are going to compare two signatures, what are
- 7 some key traits that to an untrained eye you
- 8 might want to look at first. These are people
- 9 that -- most of them had never worked in that
- 10 capacity before.
- 11 So, just some basic understandings
- 12 of signature verification. And then the process
- 13 behind that.
- So, taking the actual process of
- 15 looking at the signature and then in the greater
- 16 context of what that means inside of an election.
- 17 And the standards particularly in Georgia were
- 18 changing and how to do as best as you could to,
- 19 as a volunteer to be useful in that -- for the
- 20 state in that capacity.
- Q. Who provided the training?
- 22 A. I do not remember her name. We

- 1 training what did that entail?
- 2 A. How to -- again, recognizing that
- 3 every state handles this process differently, but
- 4 a broad overview of the definition of an absentee
- 5 ballot, what one might expect to experience from
- 6 the different elements of the absentee ballot.
- 7 Package from the carrier envelope to
- 8 the ballot. Just very high level explanations
- 9 that the goal of which was to share with the
- 10 volunteer that, you know, this is probably what
- 11 happens but every state has their own process.
- 12 Q. What was the target audience for the
- 13 training?
- 14 A. Anybody that wanted to take the
- 15 training.
- Q. And what was the purpose of the
- 17 training?
- 18 A. To create a transparency that would
- 19 encourage volunteers, of which there are not
- 20 enough, to get a basic understanding of what they
- 21 might be able to expect, and direction on how
- 22 they can connect with the locality to serve if

- 1 they so chose.
- 2 Q. And in what way would these
- 3 individuals serve that would allow them to
- 4 implement this training?
- 5 A. They would -- if they had watched
- 6 the election or the absentee ballot training and
- 7 they went on to serve in the Absentee Ballot
- 8 Review Board, that would be, you know, a point of
- 9 connection or a point of familiarity of the broad
- 10 process.
- But there are any number of
- 12 positions that they may end up working in.
- 13 Q. You also referenced monitoring
- 14 absentee ballot drop boxes in this press release.
- 15 And I'm still referring to Exhibit 61.
- What did that entail?
- 17 A. I don't recall. I'm glad to review
- 18 the exhibit again, but I don't recall.
- MR. NKWONTA: One second. Can we
- 20 put that exhibit back up, Joe? That is
- 21 Exhibit 61. So, if we can scroll to the last
- page, to Page 3.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- 2 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, can you read that
- 3 last paragraph, starting with this week, into the
- 4 record?
- 5 A. "The week, True the Vote also
- 6 announced its partnership with the Georgia
- 7 Republican party, in addition to the Georgia
- 8 Election Integrity Hotline. True the Vote will
- 9 assist with the Senate runoff election process,
- 10 including publicly available signature
- 11 verification training, monitoring absentee ballot
- 12 drop boxes and other nonpartisan election
- 13 integrity initiatives."
- 14 Q. To cue up my question again, what
- did monitoring absentee ballot drop boxes entail?
- 16 A. We did not do any monitoring of
- 17 absentee ballot drop boxes for -- that is a
- 18 misstatement.
- 19 Q. And why did True the Vote announce
- 20 that it was conducting absentee ballot drop
- 21 boxes -- or absentee ballot drop box monitoring?
- 22 A. I do not recall. There was no

	Page 113
1	monitoring of absentee ballot drop boxes. Just,
2	it is not what volunteers did.
3	Q. Has True the Vote monitored absentee
4	ballot drop boxes in prior elections or during
5	the 2020 general election or outside of Georgia?
6	A. No. No.
7	Q. Can you think of an instance in the
8	past where True the Vote monitored absentee
9	ballot drop boxes?
10	MR. BOPP: Excuse me, I object.
11	That goes beyond the six states that are
12	specified in the court's order that you are
13	directed to limit your questions to.
14	It also goes to the history of the
15	world as opposed to being confined to 2012.
16	And I will instruct her not to
17	answer it because that would violate the
18	court order.
19	MR. NKWONTA: Are you also
20	instructing the witness not to answer in her
21	individual capacity?
22	MR. BOPP: I have been, no well,

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 114
1	excuse me. Yes. Because the you are
2	obligated to comply with the court order.
3	You are violating the court order.
4	And so you can't ask about things
5	that are not permitted. And you are to
6	adhere to the limits of the court's discovery
7	order.
8	MR. NKWONTA: I think you are
9	actually misreading and violating the court
10	order. And I also think that regardless of
11	what you feel about the scope of the topics,
12	there are no 30(b)(6) topics for
13	Ms. Engelbrecht in her individual capacity.
14	So, I will ask her I understand
15	that you have instructed her not to answer as
16	a 30(b)(6) witness for True the Vote which I
17	will object to as improper.
18	But I will ask Ms. Engelbrecht, in
19	her individual capacity
20	BY MR. NKWONTA:
21	Q. Whether you are aware of any
22	instance in which True the Vote or any of its

	Page 123
1	Our website is full of nonpartisan
2	information. There was information around
3	specific to Georgia, there was information around
4	dates for when you can vote and drop box
5	locations. And things that you would, you know,
6	just good guidance kinds of stuff.
7	Maybe that is would qualify for
8	other initiatives.
9	Q. Other than materials posted on the
10	True the Vote website, are there any other
11	election integrity initiatives that True the Vote
12	engaged in?
13	A. Can you define the time frame? I
14	want to make sure I'm answering properly.
15	Q. Certainly. So, the time frame would
16	have been the same time frame in which this press
17	release was issued. So in that period through
18	the runoff election. It is between the November
19	general election and the runoff election.
20	A. Well, the we were working on
21	the we had, we had had individuals that had
1	

22

requested support for knowing, because they knew

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 that the rolls had not been cleaned.
- 2 So, we were looking at the project
- 3 around -- during this time we have been looking
- 4 at the project around the elector challenges.
- 5 Q. Which individuals had requested
- 6 assistance or noted that the rolls had not been
- 7 cleaned?
- 8 A. I mean, it was broadly known that
- 9 the rolls hadn't been cleaned. That was well
- 10 publicized.
- Just, you know, various people,
- 12 volunteers, people who follow True the Vote
- 13 had -- we received e-mails saying could we do
- 14 anything because the rolls aren't clean. I mean
- 15 very loose sort of realizations that people
- 16 wanted to do something about it.
- 17 Q. All right. Well, let's turn to some
- 18 of those activities and some of the folks
- 19 involved with those activities.
- How do you know Gregg Phillips?
- 21 A. Gregg Phillips and I were introduced
- 22 in late 2013, early 2014, because he -- at the

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 time I was undergoing some pretty extensive
- 2 targeting by the government.
- 3 And he had had his information, some
- 4 of his donations released to -- kind of in the
- 5 same vein. And so there was an introduction
- 6 based on that sort of common, this was happening
- 7 to a lot of people.
- 8 From -- so then that is how I know
- 9 him. I was introduced in that vein of shared,
- 10 just shared experience.
- 11 O. And when did True the Vote start
- 12 working with OPSEC Group?
- 13 A. It would have been late, late summer
- 14 of 2020.
- 15 Q. And True the Vote had worked with
- 16 OPSEC Group before the late summer of 2020; is
- 17 that right?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Had True the Vote worked with Gregg
- 20 Phillips before the late summer of 2020?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And am I right that True the Vote

Page 126 1 worked with Gregg Phillips to conduct similar 2 data and voter analysis to the landmark election challenge that True the Vote launched in the 2021 3 runoff; is that correct? 4 5 Α. I'm sorry, could you ask that again? Sure. Did True the -- I will 6 0. 7 rephrase the question. 8 Did True the Vote work with Gregg Phillips on data analysis and voter analysis 9 10 before September of -- or the summer of 2020? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Can you describe the types of voter 13 analysis and data analysis that True the Vote 14 worked with Gregg Phillips on? 15 Α. We reviewed a variety of things 16 including reviewing how the changing laws 17 around -- or changing guidance around the 2020 18 election was impacting voters' engagements, you 19 know, with respect to absentee ballots and so 20 forth. 21 So, that was an example. 2.2 We have looked at, in the State of

- 1 Texas, we looked at the distinctions between the
- 2 way that counties managed their rolls or reported
- 3 actions taken during the election via the voter
- 4 rolls versus what counties were reporting to the
- 5 secretaries of state, those kinds of data
- 6 projects.
- 7 Q. Did True the Vote work with Gregg
- 8 Phillips on identifying voters who may have
- 9 changed their addresses or who may have filed
- 10 notices of a change of address with the Postal
- 11 Service?
- 12 A. We did, we worked -- yes, yes. But
- 13 it -- yes. Yes.
- Q. When was that?
- 15 A. That would have been probably
- 16 starting the second week of December of 2020.
- 17 Q. Before that, had True the Vote done
- 18 any of that type of work with Gregg Phillips,
- 19 meaning identifying voters who had moved or who
- 20 had filed change of address notices?
- 21 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Let me ask it this way.

	Page 128
1	Was December 2020 the first time
2	that True the Vote had attempted to identify
3	voters who had changed their address or had moved
4	out of their current voting jurisdiction?
5	A. It was not the first time True the
6	Vote had done that, no.
7	Q. When had True the Vote done that in
8	the past?
9	A. In Texas, True the Vote in 2020, had
10	reviewed voter records that for that type of
11	disqualification potential.
12	And done so recognizing the voter
13	challenge that enabled citizens to ask those
14	questions of their counties, encourage their
15	counties to take a look at the record.
16	Q. When in 2020 did that occur?
17	A. I'm sorry. I didn't if I said
18	2020 that was a mistake. I meant 2012. I
19	apologize.
20	Q. When True the Vote conducted that
21	analysis in 2012, what did True the Vote do with
22	that information?

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 139 1 you have been told? 2 Α. That is my personal knowledge. Have you used Smarty Streets before? 3 Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 0. And did you use Smarty Streets to refine an NCOA list? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 And in what context? For the 0. 9 Georgia election law challenges? 10 Α. I should say, I did not Yes. 11 personally do that, but that was my understanding 12 as part of what was being used to, broadly to 13 refine the NCOA list itself. 14 And you mentioned other databases 0. 15 like the Social Security database and a few others. Do you know if OPSEC conducted all of 16 17 those -- all of that analyses internally or 18 whether it outsourced some of that analysis? 19 I do not know. Α. 20 How did you first get in contact Q. 21 with Mark Williams? 2.2 Mark Williams is a printer that Α.

```
Page 140
 1
     OPSEC was introduced to and that was the extent
 2
     of my introduction.
                  Who introduced OPSEC to Mark
 3
            Q.
     Williams?
 4
 5
            Α.
                  I do not know.
 6
                  MR. NKWONTA: Could we pull up
 7
         Document 15. Could we zoom in a little bit
 8
         on the document below the redacted portion.
 9
                       (Exhibit 15 marked for
10
                        identification.)
11
     BY MR. NKWONTA:
12
            Q.
                  Ms. Engelbrecht, Document 15 or now
     Exhibit 15 is an e-mail from Mark Williams to
13
14
     Gregg Phillips and you are copied as well.
                                                   Is
15
     that your e-mail address on the cc line?
16
            Α.
                  Yes.
17
                  Do you recognize this e-mail?
            Q.
18
                   I do not.
            Α.
19
            0.
                  But you don't dispute that this was
20
     an e-mail that was sent to you, right?
21
                  No, I don't dispute it. I just
            Α.
2.2
     don't recall it.
```

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 Q. And then in the e-mail below, it is
- 2 actually forwarding an e-mail or adding you to an
- 3 e-mail chain below.
- 4 It says -- the e-mail from below is
- 5 from Gregg Phillips. And it says, "Mark, you
- 6 were referred to us by the chairman of the GOP.
- 7 We have a large print job for which we need help.
- 8 Please let me know if you have ten minutes to
- 9 discuss."
- 10 Is that a correct reading of the
- 11 e-mail from Gregg Phillips?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. And the reference to Chairman of
- 14 GOP -- of the GOP, is that the same individual,
- 15 David Shafer, whom you spoke with before
- 16 announcing True the Vote's partnership with the
- 17 GOP in the press release that we discussed
- 18 earlier?
- 19 A. David Shafer is the Chairman of the
- 20 GOP, yes.
- 21 Q. And that was the same individual
- 22 that you spoke with before True the Vote

	Page 142
1	announced its partnership with the GOP?
2	A. Yes.
3	MR. NKWONTA: You can pull that
4	down, Joe.
5	BY MR. NKWONTA:
6	Q. How did you first get in contact
7	with Mr. James Cooper?
8	A. James Cooper I have never met. I
9	have been in e-mail communication with him. And
10	he was a friend or an acquaintance of Mark
11	Williams.
12	Q. And how did you first get in contact
13	with James Cooper?
14	A. I don't recall.
15	Q. What was James Cooper's role in the
16	Georgia elector challenges?
17	And by the Georgia elector
18	challenges I'm referring to the landmark voter
19	challenge program that True the Vote launched?
20	A. Sure. He was interested in
21	participating and was interested in, knew other
22	Georgians who were also interested in
İ	

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 participating. That was my connection.
- 2 Q. When you say interested in
- 3 participating, what do you mean by that?
- 4 A. Interested in participating in the
- 5 cleaning of their rolls, locally -- or not
- 6 cleaning, but the elector challenges, to be more
- 7 to the point.
- 8 And was interested in what could be
- 9 accomplished in that regard.
- 10 Q. And he expressed that interest to
- 11 you?
- 12 A. No. He expressed that interest to
- 13 Mark Williams which led to that introduction to
- 14 OPSEC.
- Q. And what did you discuss with
- 16 Mr. Cooper regarding his participation in the
- 17 elector challenges?
- 18 A. I do not specifically recall.
- 19 Q. Did you ask him to be a challenger?
- 20 A. I don't specifically recall.
- Q. How about Ron Johnson, when did you
- 22 first get connected with Ron Johnson?

- 1 A. My headphones are going dead so I
- 2 may have to -- give me a second if I have to
- 3 switch out.
- 4 Same situation, he was an
- 5 acquaintance of Mark Williams. And that was the
- 6 extent of my introduction. He was interested in
- 7 participating in the program to look at their
- 8 local voter rolls.
- 9 Q. What was Ron Johnson's role in the
- 10 challenges?
- 11 A. He was a challenger. He was an
- 12 elector challenger. And then he, he was very
- 13 familiar with other people in the state and
- 14 had -- there was e-mail back and forth between
- 15 Ron and other -- others.
- Q. What was James Cooper's role in the
- 17 challenges?
- 18 A. I do not recall. I do not recall if
- 19 he was a challenger.
- Q. Do you recall if he had any other
- 21 role?
- A. He was sort of proximate to the

- 1 initiative, and as I mentioned, knew other people
- 2 who had expressed interest in being elector
- 3 challengers in their county. I just don't
- 4 remember if he himself participated.
- 5 Q. How did you first get in contact
- 6 with Derek Somerville?
- 7 A. Through OPSEC, through Gregg who had
- 8 been referred to him. And then Gregg suggested
- 9 that I reach out to Derek.
- 10 Q. Who referred Gregg to
- 11 Mr. Somerville?
- 12 A. I am not certain; I don't recall.
- Q. When was your first communication
- 14 with Mr. Somerville?
- 15 A. I don't recall that either. Around
- 16 that time, but I don't recall the date.
- Q. Was there a phone call or was there
- 18 an e-mail or a text?
- 19 A. It was a phone call and then we --
- 20 yes, it was a phone call and then we had dinner.
- 21 Q. And what did you discuss with
- 22 Mr. Somerville at that dinner?

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 146 1 Α. They were also -- he and another 2 individual were also working through elector 3 challenges and the thought was not to be at cross purposes. And, you know, that is really the 4 5 extent of it. Was that other individual Mark 6 Ο. 7 Davis? 8 Α. Yes. 9 In what way were the challenges Q. 10 potentially at cross purposes? 11 They were doing a much smaller Α. 12 challenge scope that included different -- a 13 different approach to counties that I -- you 14 know, a different approach to counties and a different approach to the registry as a whole, 15 16 looking at only active voters where we looked at 17 both active and inactive voters. 18 And in what ways was their challenge Q. 19 effort at cross purposes with True the Vote? 20 Α. I think only inasmuch as the fact 21 that these challenges were going to be submitted

2.2

around the same time and certainly for the same

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 147 1 concerns. And to not cause any undue, you know, 2 consternation or just general confusion, because 3 they had already been at work at, you know, at their project. 4 5 MR. NKWONTA: Could we pause for a second maybe to announce a counsel who just 6 joined. 7 8 MS. SIEBERT: Good afternoon. Yeah, 9 my name is Melena Siebert. I will be 10 representing Ms. Engelbrecht and True the Vote here. 11 12 MR. NKWONTA: Hi, Melena. 13 MS. SIEBERT: Hello. 14 BY MR. NKWONTA: 15 Q. I will go back to my question, 16 Ms. Engelbrecht. Was there any potential for 17 confusion between the two challenges? 18 Was that the concern, or were you 19 concerned with confusion among election 20 officials? 21 Α. We were just being observant that 2.2 what they were doing, we could not, you know,

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 attest to or confirm whether or not their
- 2 methodology was, you know, based upon, for
- 3 example, the active versus inactive, those kinds
- 4 of things, because certainly with it all
- 5 happening so proximate to one another, we were
- 6 just aware, just broadly aware.
- 7 Q. Did you all discuss the differences
- 8 in methodology?
- 9 A. To a limited degree. I'm not sure
- 10 exactly how their process worked.
- But those examples that I gave, I
- 12 was aware of.
- 13 O. Other than the distinction between
- 14 inactive and active voters, what other, what
- 15 other differences in methodology created the
- 16 different sizes and scope of the challenges that
- 17 True the Vote submitted versus --
- 18 A. I'm not sure other than to say that
- 19 we reviewed the entire state. And they, to the
- 20 best of my understanding, did not review the
- 21 entire state.
- I don't have any more information

- 1 about why.
- 2 Q. Did you have any concerns about
- 3 Mr. Somerville's and Mr. Davis's methodology?
- 4 A. My observation was that for our
- 5 purposes the way to be most exacting was to not
- 6 limit anything. Any record that was available
- 7 for review should be reviewed equally.
- 8 And so, to the extent that any other
- 9 group did not do that, you know, they -- that was
- 10 just not our choice. We wanted to look at
- 11 everything equally.
- 12 Q. In other words you wanted to include
- as many people as possible within your challenge?
- 14 A. We wanted to review as many records,
- 15 recognizing that the state hadn't cleaned their
- 16 rolls in two years. And recognizing all of the
- 17 new rules around the election process that would
- 18 have impact. We wanted to do as much as we could
- 19 to afford an even review.
- Q. And affording that even
- 21 comprehensive review, that meant including as
- 22 many records as possible within your challenge?

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 150 1 Α. Correct. 2 Did Mr. Somerville or Mr. Davis 0. 3 express any concerns about True the Vote's methodology? 4 5 They -- as I recall, Mr. Somerville, Α. 6 did want to know the methodology. But beyond 7 that I don't, I don't believe so. 8 Other than Mr. Somerville and Q. 9 Mr. Davis, was anyone else at that dinner? 10 Α. It was just Mr. Somerville and myself and Gregg Phillips. 11 12 Q. And aside from the methodologies, 13 what else did you discuss at the dinner relating 14 to the challenges? 15 Α. I don't recall anything else. 16 What role did Mr. Somerville and Q. 17 Mr. Davis take going forward in the challenges? 18 As the challenges began to be Α. 19 submitted, we became aware of some of the 20 volunteers who had, who had indicated they wanted 21 to be a part of challenging the records with the 2.2 True the Vote project were also working with the

	Page 151
1	other projects.
2	So, we were aware of that. And that
3	caused some need to try to understand how to
4	avoid that.
5	And then beyond that, when the
6	animus around the project became more extreme,
7	and our challengers were being threatened and
8	more confused about what would have seemed to
9	have been a very simple process that took a very
10	different turn, we then were in communication
11	with Derek and Mark as a broader group of, you
12	know, people that were just generally involved in
13	elector challenges and trying to understand what
14	was happening.
15	Q. What do you mean by a simple process
16	that
17	MR. BOPP: Excuse me, excuse me.
18	I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but I
19	am bowing out. Melena will take over here
20	and defend the deposition, so good luck and
21	thank you. And we will I will talk to
22	you, Melena after everything is done. All

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 152
1	right?
2	MS. SIEBERT: Sounds good.
3	MR. BOPP: I am logging off. Bye
4	Cathy.
5	THE WITNESS: All right.
6	BY MR. NKWONTA:
7	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, could you explain
8	what you meant by what should have been a simple
9	process that, I forget your exact words, but went
10	off the rails or something along those lines?
11	A. Sure, sure. Would you like me to
12	describe the process as I understood it should
13	have been conducted?
14	Q. Yes, please.
15	A. Okay. So, the way that the standard
16	reads and what we were expecting was and this
17	was informed by a meeting we had with the
18	Secretary of State, which I'm sure we will get
19	to.
20	But the elector challenges should
21	have been taken in by the or accepted by the
22	counties. They should have been reviewed for the

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 156
1	There is reason for concern that
2	there would be no way to know whether or not an
3	absentee ballot was sent to an inaccurate address
4	because the basic maintenance requirement had not
5	been fulfilled by the state.
6	THE WITNESS: Give me one second.
7	Hang on guys.
8	MR. NKWONTA: Can we just take a
9	minute and go off the record while
10	Ms. Engelbrecht changes her headphones.
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
12	off the video record. The time is 12:34 p.m.
13	(Recess taken 12:34 p.m.)
14	(After recess 12:35 p.m.)
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
16	back on the video record. The time is
17	12:35 p.m.
18	BY MR. NKWONTA:
19	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, you took issue with
20	my phrasing according to plan so let me rephrase
21	that.
22	If the challenges had been addressed

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 the way you had expected them to, or the way you
- 2 envisioned they would have been, all 364,000
- 3 challenged voters would have been required to
- 4 provide evidence of their residence if they
- 5 attempted to vote in Georgia. Is that correct?
- 6 A. They would have been expected to
- 7 show an identification that shows their residence
- 8 which is also Georgia law, so they wouldn't have
- 9 had to do anything other than what they would
- 10 have normally had to have done in casting a
- 11 ballot.
- 12 Q. Well, you just indicated that would
- 13 not have been required for absentee voters.
- 14 Absentee voters would not have been required to
- 15 present identification, right?
- So, and, and that may not have been
- 17 required for in-person voters, if their
- identification was from, you know, from somewhere
- 19 else.
- So, let me pose my question again.
- 21 Not having addressed those sort of underlying
- 22 assumptions, let me pose my question again.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 158 1 If the challenges had gone according 2 to the way you had envisioned them being addressed, it would have resulted in 364,000-plus 3 challenged voters being required to present 4 5 evidence of residency before voting in the Georgia runoff elections; is that correct? 6 7 Well, for those voters that were Α. 8 voting in-person, they would have, by law, been 9 required to show identification that supports 10 their residency. That is -- that would have been 11 true in any case, whether or not a challenge 12 would have been issued. 13 You are right, with respect to absentee ballots. This was a change in standard 14 15 where the absentee ballot recipient did not have 16 to show any form of identification. 17 And so, there, what -- that, in that 18 instance, had somebody been challenged. But it 19 is important to remember that the only records 20 that were challenged were those records that the 21 elector had also notified the United States 2.2 Postal Service that they had permanently changed

Page 159 1 their residence. 2 So, this was not without, you know, 3 causation. But yes, then in the case of absentee ballots, that would have been given the curing 4 5 process -- or resolved during the curing process. And what would -- we'll return to 6 Ο. 7 the specific operation of the curing process and 8 of the challenge process. I do want to get back 9 to the meeting between you and Mr. Davis and 10 Mr. Somerville. 11 MR. NKWONTA: Joe, could we pull up 12 Exhibit 19. And can we enlarge that a little 13 bit as well. Great. 14 (Exhibit 19 marked for 15 identification.) 16 BY MR. NKWONTA: 17 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 19, 18 Ms. Engelbrecht? 19 Α. Yes. 20 What is it? Q. 21 That was a notice that was sent from Α. 2.2 True the Vote to all the elector challengers who

- 1 we were working with.
- 2 And this, as I mentioned earlier,
- 3 was part of the discussions that we had with
- 4 Derek, because of the confusion and concern that
- 5 was being experienced by the elector challengers
- 6 who were a part of our project.
- 7 And so this was an invitation to
- 8 participate in a Zoom call where we could talk
- 9 about what people were experiencing.
- 10 Q. And what did you all discuss during
- 11 those Zoom calls?
- 12 A. The process that was to have been
- 13 followed. And the people shared their concerns
- 14 of threats that they were receiving. And we gave
- 15 direction as to where to submit those to so that
- 16 we would have them on record.
- 17 Q. And where did you ask them to submit
- 18 the threats to?
- 19 A. I don't recall. Somewhere, within
- 20 True the Vote. I don't recall the specific
- 21 e-mail address or whatever.
- 22 Q. And do you still have a record of

1/26/2022

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

	Page 161
1	those threats?
2	A. Yes.
3	MR. NKWONTA: Could we pull that
4	down and pull up Exhibit 30.
5	(Exhibit 30 marked for
6	identification.)
7	MR. NKWONTA: And then before I get
8	into this, I will note that I have referred
9	to these documents as either document number
10	or exhibit number interchangeably.
11	We will just say either Document
12	Number 30 or Exhibit Number 30. I'm
13	referring to the exhibits.
14	BY MR. NKWONTA:
15	Q. So, Exhibit Number 30 is an e-mail
16	from you Ms. Engelbrecht to Brian Robinson. And
17	beneath it an e-mail to Senator Williams; is that
18	correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you recognize that e-mail?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And what was the date of that

```
Page 164
 1
     the following week I reached out to the
 2
     Democratic Party.
 3
                  So, it is accurate to say that the
     introduction to the Georgia Republican Party
 4
 5
     came, preceded my outreach to the Democratic
 6
     Party, but we did not initiate that outreach to
 7
     the Republican Party, sorry.
 8
                  MR. NKWONTA: Could we pull up
 9
         Exhibit 81, please.
10
                       (Exhibit 81 marked for
11
                       identification.)
12
     BY MR. NKWONTA:
13
            Q.
                  Ms. Engelbrecht, Exhibit 81 is the
     response that True the Vote filed to Plaintiffs'
14
15
     First Interrogatories.
16
                  MR. NKWONTA: And, Joe, can you go
17
         to Page 25. Can you scroll up a little bit
18
         to catch the Interrogatory No. 8? Scroll up
19
         a little bit to the end of Page 24.
20
     BY MR. NKWONTA:
21
            0.
                  Ms. Engelbrecht, Interrogatory No. 8
2.2
     says, "Describe your self-proclaimed partnership
```

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 with the Georgia Republican Party to assist with
- 2 the Senate runoff election process as announced
- 3 in your December 14, 2020 press release,
- 4 including but not limited to the names and
- 5 contact information of each of the entities and
- 6 individuals with whom True the Vote has been and
- 7 intends to work with in this partnership and the
- 8 approximate date when the partnership began and
- 9 the purpose and/or goals of the partnership."
- 10 Is that a correct reading of
- 11 Interrogatory No. 8?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Can we advance to the
- 13 Page 25?
- 14 Yes.
- 15 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- Q. And the response, the first sentence
- of the response says, "The partnership with the
- 18 Georgia Republican Party was announced on
- 19 December 14, 2020, shortly after a meeting with
- 20 David Shafer, Executive Director Stewart Bragg,
- 21 and Florida Elections Day Operations Director
- 22 Alyssa Gonzalez Specht."

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

	Page 166
1	Is that a correct reading of the
2	first sentence of the response?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Is it your testimony that that is
5	not outreach to the GOP?
6	A. We did not we were introduced. I
7	was introduced. I did not initiate that
8	introduction.
9	Q. But at some point you would have to
10	have reached out in order to schedule a meeting
11	and conduct a meeting, correct?
12	A. I mean, yes, we could say it that
13	way, yes.
14	Q. So, it is fair to say that True the
15	Vote had some outreach with the GOP before
16	reaching out to any Democratic Party official or
17	legislator and announced the partnership with the
18	GOP before reaching out to any Democratic
19	official or legislator?
20	A. We I would say that the outreach
21	consisting of acknowledgment that we would meet
22	or be at a place where these folks were. And

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 inasmuch as partnership meant them, to make them
- 2 aware of the, of the election integrity
- 3 initiatives that were already posted on line,
- 4 then yes.
- 5 MR. NKWONTA: You can pull down
- 6 Exhibit 81.
- 7 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- 8 O. I would like to talk about True the
- 9 Vote's coordination with the Secretary of State's
- 10 office. Did True the Vote reach out to the
- 11 Secretary of State's office before launching the
- 12 challenge effort?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you walk me through how that
- 15 outreach occurred?
- 16 A. We were working with a
- 17 communications consultant who knew the staff at
- 18 the Secretary of State's office.
- 19 And so, through him I asked to set
- 20 up a meeting. And we attended that meeting -- or
- 21 I attended take meeting.
- Q. Who was the communications

1/26/2022

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 168 1 consultant? 2 Α. Brian Robinson. 3 Q. And when you attended that meeting, who was present at the meeting? 4 5 Jordan Fuchs, Ryan Germany, Brian Α. 6 Robinson, for a brief period of time Secretary 7 Raffensperger, and myself. And that is all I 8 recall. 9 When did this meeting occur? Ο. 10 I don't recall specifically. Α. It was 11 in, you know, mid-December, somewhere in there. 12 0. How long did it last? 13 I don't recall that, either. Α. 14 What did you all discuss at this 0. 15 meeting? 16 I went with the express purpose of 17 describing the elector challenge and the wanting 18 to make sure that we understood, as best as we 19 could, what that process would look like at the 20 county level for the electors who wanted to 21 participate in their -- with their counties to 2.2 avoid any friction or inappropriate process.

1/26/2022

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 169 1 And, I expressed that I was 2 concerned about the size of the number, how large 3 it was. And I expressed that, you know, even though we had done what we could to refine the 4 list so to be, you know, as exact as possible, 5 6 but the number was still large. 7 Secretary Raffensperger quickly commented that he thought the number was about 8 9 right because they hadn't been able to clean the 10 list and so people move. And he did some fast 11 math in his head, yeah, XYZ, it should be about 12 that number. 13 And I remember the feeling of 14 saying, you know, this is a -- the only way we can see to do this is to run the whole list, and 15 16 he agreed. 17 And again it is a process that electors can participate in, and it is afforded 18 in state law. And that was kind of it. And then 19 20 we went through the specific steps of what would 21 happen. 2.2 Another thing I recall crisply is my

- 1 requires standardization. That is the reference
- 2 to ETL.
- 3 And then you know they indicate here
- 4 analyses, so that would come back to us over time
- 5 in the form of analysis.
- And litigation support, which was
- 7 another, you know, a manner, a matter of their
- 8 involvement.
- 9 O. When did this work start?
- 10 A. I am not sure. I don't know.
- 11 O. Did this work start before
- 12 December 7th?
- 13 A. I don't recall.
- Q. So, it is possible this work started
- 15 after December 7th?
- 16 A. No, because litigation support would
- 17 have occurred in November.
- 18 Q. What does that term, litigation
- 19 support, mean? Litigation support for what
- 20 exactly?
- 21 A. We had served in support of OPSEC's
- 22 analyses for lawsuits that were filed post the

1/26/2022

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 general election that were heavily dependent on
- 2 OPSEC's ability to review data that should have
- 3 been made available by the state.
- And, so that was their -- that was
- 5 their role and we were supporting that effort.
- Q. Are you referring to the lawsuits
- 7 filed in battle ground states like Georgia,
- 8 Michigan, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And the lawsuits filed shortly after
- 11 the November general election?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So, part of this invoice includes
- 14 work that was done in connection with lawsuits
- 15 that were filed shortly after the November
- 16 presidential election?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Can you identify other line items
- 19 that relate to the November general election,
- 20 aside from litigation support?
- 21 A. Well, all of the data acquisition
- 22 could conceivably have been used for the

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 litigation support.
- 2 Q. So, this invoice and all of the
- 3 analysis is not specific to the Georgia runoff
- 4 election?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 O. It is all combined; it is all one
- 7 invoice?
- 8 A. Yeah, just a listing of all manner
- 9 of things.
- 10 Q. But in terms of the work OPSEC was
- 11 doing for True the Vote, was it sort of all one
- 12 combined project?
- In other words, the analyses for the
- 14 general election or the November presidential
- 15 election and the analyses for the runoff, was it
- 16 all one combined project?
- 17 A. No, the analyses for the lawsuits
- 18 that were filed post election would have been in
- 19 support of those lawsuits specifically.
- 20 And True the Vote was, you know,
- 21 the, financially supporting the effort, but it
- 22 was being used in lawsuits or should have been

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 183 1 used in lawsuits. 2 Right. I'm saying there is no Q. differentiation here between analysis for those 3 lawsuits and the analysis for the Georgia elector 4 5 challenges suit? 6 So I'm asking whether there was all 7 one project, at least as far as OPSEC was 8 concerned? 9 Yeah, I don't, I don't recall. Α. 10 So, I understand you may not recall Q. 11 exactly how it was set up. 12 But, the invoice does reflect --13 they are combined on the invoices; is that 14 correct? 15 Α. Yes. I mean there is an element of 16 combination here, yes. 17 Q. What does Eyes on Georgia mean? 18 That was the term that OPSEC had, 19 and I guess they in their system set that up for 20 part of the description. 21 0. What were they describing? 2.2 At that point it would have -- I Α.

- 1 mean their terminology for looking at what could
- 2 be, what could be addressed in Georgia or what
- 3 could be -- let me say that a little differently.
- 4 What could be done to address the
- 5 concerns of Georgians relative to citizen
- 6 engagement. What could be done. And that is
- 7 where you see where it says Georgia Code
- 8 Analysis. That is kind of the beginning of
- 9 figuring out, you know, what could we do for
- 10 citizens.
- 11 Q. What other types of analyses did
- 12 OPSEC conduct aside from generating a list of
- 13 challenged voters in Georgia?
- 14 A. I know that they looked at, looked
- 15 post the -- sorry guys, post -- my apologies,
- 16 give me a second. A rogue pet. Hang on.
- 17 They looked at other data
- 18 elements -- I'm sorry. Can you repeat the
- 19 question?
- Q. Sure. What other types of analyses
- 21 did OPSEC conduct in Georgia aside from preparing
- 22 challenge lists for the runoff election?

- 1 A. They looked at other data elements
- 2 that are tracked in the Georgia file. When it
- 3 was mentioned that there was bias, we wanted to
- 4 see what the records of the state would show, so
- 5 they did that analysis.
- 6 Q. What other analysis did they
- 7 conduct?
- 8 A. Relative to all of this, I don't
- 9 recall.
- 10 Q. Is there anyone from True the Vote
- 11 who would recall? Are there any -- sorry, let
- 12 me -- you were -- I think you were shaking your
- 13 head but I will let you answer.
- A. Sorry, no. I'm sorry, no. I'm --
- 15 that is my thinking nod. No, I don't think so.
- 16 No.
- 17 Q. Are there any documents that you
- 18 could review that would help refresh your
- 19 recollection of any other analyses that you
- 20 conducted?
- 21 A. No. I don't recall. I don't think
- 22 so.

- 1 challenges was to bring to the -- to help
- 2 electors bring to the attention of their local
- 3 counties, records that appeared not to comply
- 4 with eligibility standards.
- 5 And it is within state law for them
- 6 to -- for citizens to participate in that way to
- 7 ask that question. And that is the extent of the
- 8 elector challenge.
- 9 Q. And if the challenges, as True the
- 10 Vote claims, does not result in a person be
- 11 removed, then why go through the effort of
- 12 scrubbing military addresses?
- 13 A. As I have said, it was just a choice
- 14 that we made to not -- I mean, there are, you
- 15 know, deployments. There are different ways in
- 16 which addresses are identified.
- 17 And because there is a filter that
- 18 exists within the expanded NCOA, we just chose to
- 19 remove them.
- 20 Q. You chose to remove them because
- 21 there are a lot of valid reasons why someone in
- 22 the military might file a notice of change of

- 1 address even while maintaining permanent
- 2 residence in Georgia; is that right?
- 3 A. No. To be clear, NCOA is in the
- 4 database of, that is NCOA is the result of the
- 5 resident notifying -- ostensibly is the result of
- 6 the resident notifying the United States Postal
- 7 Service that they have permanently moved their
- 8 residence.
- 9 It is also worth noting that inside
- 10 of the expanded NCOA you can, you can as a
- 11 resident or as a reviewer of the data, you can
- 12 select a temporary move, right, so who moved only
- 13 temporarily. And there are classifications
- 14 around all of that.
- So, we only looked at permanence.
- 16 Nonetheless, I just, our recognition that
- 17 military, because of the nature of the military,
- 18 can fall outside of some of the stricter
- 19 standards in postal and in the delivery services,
- 20 it was really just a choice to not take that --
- 21 not include them.
- 22 Q. So, according to True the Vote's

- 1 claims then, the challenge list would have only
- 2 included members of the military who indicated or
- 3 provided a notice of permanent address changes;
- 4 is that right?
- 5 A. That would have been true for the
- 6 entire list. That we would have only been given
- 7 notice based upon our aggregation of the data
- 8 from USPS as they provide it.
- 9 They are attestation through that
- 10 data is that the selection had been made by the
- 11 resident. That the move -- the residential move
- 12 to a new address was permanent.
- 0. And that would be true for -- that
- 14 would be true for members of the military as
- 15 well, right?
- 16 A. For everyone. According to what we
- 17 were provided, uh-huh.
- 18 Q. So, what I am -- the question I am
- 19 trying to get at is what prompted the exception
- 20 for members of the military who had already
- indicated that their move was permanent?
- 22 A. As I said, it is just a -- I think

- 1 being aware of the sensitivities around the
- 2 military, because they do move so often. And as
- 3 I have mentioned, because of the number of
- 4 elector challenges, because the rolls had not
- 5 been cleaned in two years, the number was already
- 6 so large that that seemed like -- and because the
- 7 filters were available, that seemed like an
- 8 appropriate action to take.
- 9 O. Did -- what is the difference
- 10 between a temporary move and a permanent move
- 11 when it comes to reporting through the NCOA?
- 12 A. Um --
- 13 Q. Let me rephrase it this way. I
- 14 think I can make my question a little bit
- 15 clearer.
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. Am I right that any move that lasts
- 18 longer than a year is considered a temporary --
- is considered a permanent move?
- 20 A. I'm not certain what time lines are
- 21 put on the -- I'm not sure what time lines govern
- 22 the actual input when a resident goes to USPS or

- 1 through some other forum, puts the date in. I
- 2 don't know if the year is the governance or not.
- I think -- I should leave it at
- 4 that. I'm not certain. But there are
- 5 distinctions between permanent and temporary.
- We chose only to look at permanent.
- 7 And we chose only to look at permanent with the
- 8 date that was at minimum 90 days -- how do I say,
- 9 90 days pre the generals. So that it would have
- 10 been a substantial period of time, so that when
- 11 we rescreened everything, if there was a change,
- 12 we would have caught that.
- I hope that makes sense. That is
- 14 confusing.
- 15 Q. If an individual wanted to change
- 16 their address for 18 months, would they be able
- 17 to do that by filing a temporary address change,
- 18 or would they be forced to file a permanent
- 19 address change and then file another address
- 20 change when they return home?
- 21 A. I know that you can submit the dates
- 22 that you want the change to be effective for.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 211 1 I'm not certain what other guidance USPS may 2 follow. As you sit here today, you can't 3 Q. tell me what definition of a permanent move is 4 5 according to the USPS guidelines or according to the reporting on the NCOA database? 6 7 I can't affirm exactly what their Α. statutes or their guidelines say, no. 8 9 Did you scrub the challenge list for Ο. 10 college students as well who tend to move often? 11 I, I, it was discussed. Α. I'm not 12 sure whether or not that was done in whole or in 13 part. I'm not sure. 14 0. Has True the Vote participated in challenges involving students in the past in 15 16 Georgia? 17 Α. Not that I recall. 18 MR. NKWONTA: Could we pull up 19 Exhibit 46, please. I would like to show you 20 Exhibit 46 to see if you recall this. 21 (Exhibit 46 marked for 2.2 identification.)

1/26/2022

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 220 1 BY MR. NKWONTA: 2 Ms. Engelbrecht, do you recognize 0. that e-mail? 3 4 Can you enlarge --Α. 5 E-mail in Exhibit 9 from you to Ο. Gregg Phillips -- or from you to Mark Williams, 6 7 copying Gregg Phillips. 8 THE WITNESS: An you enlarge just a 9 bit. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I apologize, 11 Catherine. It is stuck. Bear with me for 12 one second. Sorry. 13 MR. NKWONTA: Keep scrolling. Keep 14 scrolling, there we go. 15 BY MR. NKWONTA: 16 Q. Do you recognize this e-mail, 17 Ms. Engelbrecht? It is my e-mail. 18 Α. 19 And this was addressed to Mark Q. 20 Williams? 21 Α. Uh-huh, yes. 2.2 And Mark Williams is the printer, Q.

- 1 right? Or he owns the print shop, right?
- 2 A. The print, yes.
- 3 Q. In the e-mail in the third
- 4 paragraph, or the third line, fourth line, it
- 5 says, "Also please remove addresses that would
- 6 suggest that they are military bases." And lists
- 7 some potential military bases; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So, as you were sending the
- 10 challenge list to the printer, you were also
- instructing him to remove the addresses that
- 12 would suggest that they are military bases; is
- 13 that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. That would suggest that they were
- 16 not scrubbed; is that right? At least not in the
- 17 analysis?
- 18 A. No, that would have suggested that,
- 19 if they saw anything that slipped through, just
- 20 to try to notate it and remove it.
- 21 Q. In other words, was it your view
- 22 that there may have been some military addresses

- 1 or addresses on military bases that still
- 2 remained on the list when the list went to
- 3 Mr. Williams?
- 4 A. It would -- conceivably, yes.
- 5 MR. NKWONTA: Could we pull up
- 6 Exhibit 13 now.
- 7 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- 8 Q. Exhibit 13 is Mark's response. And
- 9 it says, "We will replace them on our files as we
- 10 go forward. It's not going to matter enough on
- 11 the printed ones to back up and reprint. Just
- 12 remove them from the electronic copy as you send
- 13 them."
- Do you know whether those military
- 15 addresses were ever removed?
- 16 A. As I stated, we did all of the
- 17 filtering out on our side and gave Mark notice
- 18 that if they were to see any, remove them. That
- 19 is really all I can attest to.
- This also has to do with, you know,
- 21 whether or not anything even needed to be
- 22 printed. Whether or not Mark even needed to be

- 1 involved because we have been given indication
- 2 from the Secretary of State that they didn't need
- 3 printed copies.
- So, there is a lot of there is a lot
- 5 that is inherent within this trying to understand
- 6 what the process was going to be going forward.
- 7 Q. Are you able to testify today that
- 8 your challenge list did not include voters who
- 9 lived on military installations?
- 10 A. No. I can testify that we did -- we
- 11 put the data through all of the filters and
- 12 followed the process that I have described.
- But, data is data. It is possible.
- 14 MR. NKWONTA: We can pull down
- Exhibit 9 -- or Exhibit 13.
- 16 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- 17 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, how did you go
- 18 about recruiting challengers to submit these
- 19 challenges in various counties in Georgia?
- 20 A. Some had already -- some Georgians
- 21 had already come to us which was really the
- 22 impetus behind the idea that there might be

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 something that we could help them with.
- 2 And Georgia's elector challenge laws
- 3 are unique in that it did afford an opportunity
- 4 for citizens to engage in that way.
- 5 So, there were some that had come to
- 6 us initially.
- 7 And our thought was that others that
- 8 would be interested would either come to us or be
- 9 referred if that was something that was of
- 10 interest.
- 11 O. Were some of these voters referred
- 12 by Republican Party officials?
- 13 A. They were referred by, that group of
- 14 James Cooper and Mark Williams as people that
- 15 they knew for different counties, but we never
- 16 did any deeper dives into their affiliations.
- Q. Were any of the voters who
- 18 approached you, were any of them referred by the
- 19 Republican Party officials?
- 20 A. I don't recall. I don't think so,
- 21 but I don't recall specifically.
- Q. When the voters approached you or

- 1 Williams 374, at the bottom of Page 1 of
- 2 Exhibit 36.
- 3 MR. NKWONTA: Can you scroll down so
- we can get the full e-mail. The other way.
- 5 Great.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you
- 7 ask your question again?
- 8 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- 9 Q. Well, I wanted you to take a minute
- 10 to review the e-mail.
- 11 A. Oh, sure. Okay.
- 12 O. And this is a communication that
- went to potential challengers, correct?
- 14 A. I can't, I can't confirm that -- let
- 15 me, let me state that differently.
- I guess if we looked at the address
- 17 and if that was one of the challengers, but there
- 18 are some things in this e-mail that give me pause
- 19 sufficient to not be able to confirm that this
- 20 came from Amy yet. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's scroll down to
- 22 Page 4 of this exhibit. And is part of that

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

	Page 230
1	because of the redactions in the e-mail?
2	A. No.
3	THE WITNESS: Would you continue to
4	scroll? This is just a different take on it.
5	MR. NKWONTA: Yes. If you could
6	stop there.
7	BY MR. NKWONTA:
8	Q. It shows on December 17th, 2020, Amy
9	Holsworth wrote, and it shows Amy's e-mail
10	address there.
11	And this document was produced, by
12	the way, by one of the defendants.
13	A. Yeah, I mean it appears in this, in
14	this exhibit as though it came from Amy's e-mail
15	address. There are a number of things that stand
16	out to me as not being normal.
17	But, it does appear, according to
18	this, that it came from Amy's e-mail address.
19	MR. NKWONTA: And can you scroll a
20	little bit so we can see the full e-mail? I
21	think there are a few more lines down.
22	Perfect.
ĺ	

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 231 1 BY MR. NKWONTA: 2 Do you want to take a minute just to Q. read that e-mail? 3 4 Α. Okay. 5 0. How many challengers did the True the Vote reach out to? 6 7 How many potential challengers did 8 True the Vote reach out to in order to seek 9 assistance in submitting these challenges? 10 Α. I don't know. 11 Did True the Vote try to recruit Ο. 12 challengers in all Georgia counties? 13 Α. We were open to that for sure and 14 prepared the analysis to support that. 15 But as far as the individuals and 16 the voters who wanted to participate that was --17 you know, as much as people coming to us as it 18 was people being referred that were also coming 19 to us, so --20 So this e-mail that went to Ο. 21 potential challengers stated that True the Vote 2.2 has identified over 500,000 people on the Georgia

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 voter list that shouldn't be there.
- 2 Is that correct that True the Vote
- 3 identified over 500,000 people in the Georgia
- 4 voter lists?
- 5 A. They are in -- yeah, there are a
- 6 number of things in this e-mail that are not
- 7 correct which is what is giving me pause, so --
- 8 Q. Okay. So, we will start first with
- 9 that 500,000 figure. Is that correct?
- 10 A. Sure. Um, that is not the number
- 11 that we had for our challenges, no.
- 12 Q. And states that the 500,000 people
- 13 should not be on the challenge list.
- Is it True the Vote's position that
- 15 all individuals on those challenges should not be
- 16 registered in Georgia or should not be on the
- 17 voter list?
- 18 A. It was and is our position that
- 19 according to the analysis that we provided, or
- 20 that we supported, records corresponded with
- 21 individual decisions to permanently change their
- 22 residence.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 233 1 And therefore it would have made 2 their record ineligible and appropriate in the 3 scope of an elector challenge. That sentence is -- doesn't indicate 4 5 those nuances that I think are critical. 6 0. At that point had True the Vote 7 concluded that these voters should not be on the 8 voter rolls or that they were not legally 9 registered? 10 Α. Well, again on the basis of our 11 analysis, the, all that is and should have been 12 done was the recognition of the information that 13 was available and the provision of that to the 14 counties. 15 This is, you know -- this e-mail is, doesn't clearly make those distinctions known or 16 17 understood. 18 The e-mail also, I think the fourth Ο. 19 paragraph down asked the voter to take a photo of 20 and scan your signature and e-mail it with their 21 voter registration information. 2.2 But it doesn't offer the voter an

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 234 1 opportunity to review the list, does it? 2 Α. This e-mail does not offer that, no. 3 Q. At the third paragraph from the bottom, in the last sentence of that paragraph, 4 5 it says, "True the Vote has assured me that the list that they are challenging is 99.9 percent 6 7 likely to be incorrectly registered." 8 Do you have any way of knowing whether 99.9 percent of your challenge list is 9 10 incorrectly registered? 11 No. And my data background would Α. 12 never make that kind of statement. And the statement itself is odd in the way the sentence 13 14 is written, "True the Vote has assured me that the list." 15 16 It seems odd that Amy would have 17 written that because Amy was part of the True the Vote team. 18 That is a distinction that you probably didn't -- well, you didn't ask for, no. 19 20 But specific to your inquiry about 21 the 99.9, this data is -- data is data. 2.2 shouldn't make assertions like that.

	Page 235
1	Q. And regardless of who wrote it, you
2	don't dispute that Amy sent it, right?
3	A. I, according to what I'm looking at
4	on the screen, the markings are there to support
5	it.
6	It just does not
7	Q. If Amy testified that she sent it,
8	would you have any reason to
9	A. No, if Amy testified that she sent
10	it, if she said she sent it, then she sent it.
11	Q. And if this document was produced by
12	defendants, would you have any reason to doubt
13	that this was sent by defendants?
14	A. I mean if they said they did this,
15	then they did this.
16	MR. NKWONTA: Can we go to Page 16
17	of Exhibit 36. And can you scroll a little
18	bit so we get that full e-mail below from
19	James Cooper.
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry, guys. It
21	is just stand by.
22	MR. NKWONTA: Okay.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

	Page 236
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And then you said
2	Page 16 of 36?
3	MR. NKWONTA: Yes, Page 16.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Roger that.
5	BY MR. NKWONTA:
6	Q. And then you see that the e-mail
7	from James Cooper is also on in Exhibit 36,
8	and also includes similar language?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And if you look at the second
11	paragraph, second to the last sentence, there is
12	an additional sentence there that says, "If this
13	very type action" I think there is a typo. I
14	will start again.
15	"If this very type action had been
16	taken back in October, it is very likely Trump
17	would have won Georgia." Do you see that there?
18	A. I do.
19	Q. At the very top, do you see the
20	response from the voter to James Cooper that
21	says, "True the Vote has my permission to use my
22	signature to challenge the illegal votes in Cobb

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

	Page 237
1	County."
2	Is that right?
3	A. That is what it says, yes.
4	Q. You mentioned that the challenges
5	were not technically meant to remove voters from
6	the voter rolls.
7	But isn't it true that some voters
8	got that impression from the communications that
9	were issued to these voters?
10	MS. SIEBERT: Objection. You are
11	asking her to testify about other people's
12	state of mind.
13	Catherine, go ahead.
14	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is what
15	James Cooper wrote. It is really all I can
16	say. It is what somebody else wrote.
17	MR. NKWONTA: Could we pull up
18	Exhibit 39, please.
19	(Exhibit 39 marked for
20	identification.)
21	BY MR. NKWONTA:
22	Q. Exhibit 39 is a little bit clearer.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 238 1 And you will see at the top of Exhibit 39, James 2 Cooper forwards the e-mail chain below to a number of individuals, including yourself. 3 And you can see that the body of the 4 5 e-mail below that he forwarded is similar; is 6 that right? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And in response to James Cooper's 0. 9 e-mail, the perspective challenger responds, 10 "James, Here is my," it is redacted. I'm 11 assuming it is a registration number. 12 "I give True the Vote permission to 13 use my name and signature in the pursuit of 14 purging the rolls of the deceased, nonexistent 15 and nonresidents of my county." 16 Is that a correct reading of the 17 proposed challenger's response? 18 THE WITNESS: Can you scroll up a 19 little bit, Joe? Or down. Sorry. Yes. 20 So, that is what you just read and 21 that is what the document says, yes. 2.2 BY MR. NKWONTA:

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

		Page 239
1	Q.	So, you would agree that that
2	proposed cha	llenger was of the belief that he or
3	she was purg	ing the voter rolls?
4	Α.	I that is what that statement
5	indicates.	
6		MR. NKWONTA: Can we pull that down
7	and pull	up Exhibit 38.
8		(Exhibit 38 marked for
9		identification.)
10	BY MR. NKWON	TA:
11	Q.	Exhibit 38 is an e-mail that was
12	also forward	ed to you, Ms. Engelbrecht?
13		Do you see that?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	And do you recognize this exhibit?
16	Α.	I don't recall this, but
17	Q.	Do you dispute that you received
18	this e-mail?	
19	Α.	I mean, all of the indications in
20	this exhibit	would suggest that I would have
21	received this	s e-mail, yes.
22	Q.	And the response to James Cooper's

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 240 1 e-mail below, the one that he forwarded to you 2 and others states, the voters name is redacted. 3 "Has agreed to be the designated challenger for Jones County and True the Vote has expressed 4 5 permission to use her attached digital signature 6 for the limited and specific purpose of 7 challenging voter registrations in Jones County." 8 Is that an accurate reading of the 9 proposed challenger's response? 10 That is what it says. Α. And this e-mail came from the Jones 11 Ο. 12 County GOP Chairman, right? 13 MR. NKWONTA: Could you scroll to 14 the bottom. 15 THE WITNESS: To James Cooper, yes. 16 MR. NKWONTA: Could we take that 17 down and pull up Exhibit 40. 18 (Exhibit 40 marked for 19 identification.) 20 BY MR. NKWONTA: 21 Exhibit 40 is another e-mail that Ο. 2.2 was forwarded to a number of folks, including

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 241
1	yourself.
2	Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And the response from the proposed
5	challenger or the challenger below says, "True
6	the Vote has my permission to use my name for
7	challenging the voters in my county that I
8	believe voted illegally."
9	And then the voter provides their
10	address.
11	Is that a correct reading of that
12	prospective challenger's response?
13	A. That is a correct reading, yes.
14	MR. NKWONTA: Could we take that
15	down and pull up Exhibit 37.
16	(Exhibit 37 marked for
17	identification.)
18	BY MR. NKWONTA:
19	Q. Exhibit 37 is another e-mail that
20	James Cooper forwarded to a number of people,
21	including yourself.
22	MR. NKWONTA: If you scroll down to

	Page 242
1	the response from the prospective challenger.
2	BY MR. NKWONTA:
3	Q. It says, "James, Please find my
4	digital signature and in the body of this e-mail
5	you will find the necessary information you
6	requested. You and True the Vote have my
7	permission to use my name, digital signature and
8	other necessary information to challenge voter
9	registrations in my County of Dodge."
10	Is that a correct reading of the
11	prospective challenger's response?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. These prospective challengers that
14	are shown, would you agree that they believed
15	they were either purging voters or asserting
16	challenges to purge voters from the rolls or to
17	accuse voters of voting illegally?
18	MS. SIEBERT: Again, objection to
19	the extent that you are asking her for
20	somebody's state of mind.
21	But, go ahead, Catherine.
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean this

	Page 243
1	is this is between what James sent out and
2	the response.
3	If those people for whom the, their
4	information has been redacted went on to be
5	associated with, as an elector in their
6	county and work through the True the Vote
7	arrangement, there would have been
8	distinctions throughout.
9	So at this point I can't state to
10	their state of mind, but this is between
11	James Cooper and people, other people. So, I
12	don't know.
13	BY MR. NKWONTA:
14	Q. In their responses, they are
15	expressly providing permission to challenge voter
16	registrations, to challenge illegal voting, or to
17	purge the voter rolls; is that correct?
18	A. That is what these e-mails, you
19	know, how they read, yes.
20	MR. NKWONTA: We can pull down
21	Exhibit 37. I want to ask you a little bit
22	about the data analysis once again. And I

	Page 244
1	want to return to Exhibit 8.
2	(Exhibit 8 marked for
3	identification.)
4	BY MR. NKWONTA:
5	Q. I guess this is the first time you
6	are seeing Exhibit 8 in this deposition.
7	Ms. Engelbrecht, do you recognize
8	Exhibit 8?
9	A. This is the first time I have seen
10	it.
11	Q. And you have never seen any analysis
12	of any political party breakdown or racial or
13	demographic breakdown of the challenge lists?
14	A. No, I have seen that. I have seen
15	that.
16	Q. Where did you see that?
17	A. It was provided when there were
18	comments being made of, you know, as I mentioned
19	earlier of bias being entered in. And because
20	Georgia uniquely tracks those elements, you can
21	run, you know, the data or an analysis around
22	whether or not that was true or whether or not

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 245 1 the, what the data shows. 2 So, I knew that that had occurred. 3 Q. Do you know when this analysis was first conducted? 4 5 Α. The analysis on this exhibit? Or --The analysis of the demographic 6 0. 7 breakdown of the challenge list. 8 Α. I don't know exactly. It came later 9 as a form of reputation of the assertion that 10 there was -- that that was part of this. 11 But, I don't know the date, no. 12 Q. True the Vote announced its 13 challenge program on December 18th, 2020; is that 14 correct? 15 Α. I don't recall exactly. It would 16 have been around then, yes. 17 Q. And if I told you the date was --18 the date that had been provided by defendants was December 18th, would you have any reason to 19 20 dispute that? 21 Α. No real reason to dispute it, no. 22 And if you look at this file here, Q.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 249 1 Q. And would this analysis have been 2 conducted by Gregg Phillips or OPSEC? 3 Α. Yeah, I would believe so, yes. Would that have been done at True 4 Q. the Vote's direction? 5 I just, I don't recall. It is -- I 6 Α. 7 don't recall. It is possible. I don't recall. 8 MR. NKWONTA: We can pull this down. BY MR. NKWONTA: 9 10 The text file you were referring to, Q. 11 under what circumstances did you have a chance to 12 review that text file? 13 You asked me if I had ever seen Α. 14 anything. I'm just saying I recall seeing 15 something like that. And it would have been -- I 16 mean I just from the recesses of my mind I recall 17 seeing it. 18 And in my, in my background in data 19 and technology, I associate the look of something 20 a little bit different than what I just saw. 21 But, I hope that is helpful. 2.2 MR. NKWONTA: I would like to turn

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 250
1	next to the press release, the launch that we
2	have discussed, the December 18th launch of
3	the elector challenges. Could we pull up
4	Exhibit 62, please.
5	(Exhibit 62 marked for
6	identification.)
7	MR. NKWONTA: And could we go to the
8	second page, Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 62.
9	BY MR. NKWONTA:
10	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, do you recognize
11	Exhibit 62?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. What is it?
14	A. A post to our website that describes
15	the challenge of, the elector challenge.
16	Q. The title of the post says, "True
17	the Vote partners with Georgians in Every County
18	to preemptively challenge 364,541 potentially
19	ineligible voters."
20	When you issued this post, had you
21	in fact partnered with Georgians in Every County
22	to preemptively challenge 364,000-plus voters?

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 251

- 1 A. No. This was just the beginnings of
- 2 the -- I mean this is the first announcement. We
- 3 had people that had come to us, but we were, you
- 4 know, had already -- we were prepared to,
- 5 certainly. But, no.
- 6 Q. So, why does it say True the Vote
- 7 partners with Georgians in Every County to
- 8 preemptively challenge 364,000 potentially
- 9 ineligible voters?
- 10 A. Partnering in the sense of capable
- of partnering with, it is the best I can explain.
- 12 Q. Would it be fair to say that it is
- 13 not accurate?
- 14 A. No. I wouldn't, I wouldn't think
- 15 that is fair. This is sort of a forward looking
- 16 statement of the willingness to partner with
- 17 Georgians in Every County.
- 18 Q. And the next paragraph you state,
- 19 "We are proud to be working alongside patriots
- 20 across the Peach State, Derek Sommerville of
- 21 Forsyth County and Mark Davis of Gwinnett County
- 22 who have been leading citizen efforts to

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 252 1 highlight issues in Georgia's voter rolls." 2 And you also mention Mark Williams 3 and Ron Johnson and James Cooper. 4 What did you mean by working 5 alongside these individuals? Just that they were also involved 6 Α. 7 in -- in the case of Derek and Mark Davis, they 8 were -- you know, they were working through their 9 own elector challenges. And in the case of the 10 other gentlemen, you know, the Mark Williams' 11 support with helping to work on the printing and 12 the fact that he had connected the other 13 gentlemen who were, you know, interested in 14 participating. 15 And frankly, it was a comment meant 16 more to show just support for the engagement of 17 citizens. 18 So, at the time you issued this Ο. 19 press release, is it fair to say that you had not 20 submitted 364,541 elector challenges? 21 Α. That is correct. We did not do 2.2 that.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 253

- 1 Q. And at the time you issued this
- 2 press release is it fair to say that you had not
- 3 identified challengers in all 159 counties?
- 4 A. Yeah, I think that is fair to say,
- 5 ves.
- 6 Q. How many challengers had you
- 7 identified at the time True the Vote issued this
- 8 press release?
- 9 A. That I do not recall.
- 10 Q. Do you know how many counties or how
- 11 many challenges True the Vote had submitted at
- 12 the time that it issued this press release or
- 13 website post?
- 14 A. At this point I don't believe that
- 15 there had been any submitted. But I do not --
- 16 let me rephrase that.
- I do not specifically recall that.
- 18 I have a general recollection, but I do not
- 19 specifically recall.
- Q. How many challenges did True the
- 21 Vote end up filing for the, for the runoff
- 22 election?

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 254 1 Α. We ended up with electors that 2 wanted to challenge, totaling 65 total counties. And, so submissions were made in those counties 3 on behalf of those electors. 5 And why didn't True the Vote file Ο. 6 challenges in all 159 counties as it stated in 7 the press release? 8 THE WITNESS: Guys, I just got a 9 password required notice. Can you all see 10 that on the screen or is it just me? 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry, Catherine. This is Joe. That might be on your end. I'm 12 13 not sure what it is relating to. 14 THE WITNESS: It is, it is. Ι 15 apologize. I just Xed out of it and it is 16 gone. I apologize. 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. 18 I'm sorry, could you THE WITNESS: repeat the question? 19 20 BY MR. NKWONTA: 21 Ο. Sure. 2.2 MR. NKWONTA: Can the court reporter

	Page 255
1	read back the question, please.
2	(Whereupon, the record was read by
3	the reporter as requested.)
4	THE WITNESS: Again, I think the
5	press release was meant to acknowledge that
6	we had done the analysis to support that.
7	The reason that we didn't ultimately is
8	because it wasn't for us to do.
9	It was for electors in the, in their
10	respective counties. And that is just the
11	way the process works.
12	BY MR. NKWONTA:
13	Q. But True the Vote said it was going
14	to do this in the press release, in the very
15	first line, right?
16	A. Yeah. Again, I think that the
17	intent of the line was to suggest that we that
18	True the Vote was prepared to do that and do that
19	in every county.
20	But, you know, we go quickly into
21	the description of an elector challenge. And it
22	is, you know, the qualifications therein, so that

	Page 256
1	is, that is what was that is how it was meant
2	to be taken.
3	Q. So, True the Vote did not actually
4	intend to file challenges in all 159 counties?
5	A. Oh, no. We were definitely prepared
6	to do that, but it was up to electors.
7	I mean the reason the True the Vote
8	exists is to help support citizens who want to
9	engage in their process. And this is a process
10	in Georgia that is afforded to electors and, you
11	know, that is we were ready to do that.
12	But, the process is that you only
13	work with electors from their specific counties.
14	MR. NKWONTA: Can we take a brief
15	five-minute break?
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
17	off the record
18	MR. NKWONTA: Is that okay with you
19	all?
20	MS. SIEBERT: Sure.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
22	3:00 p.m.

1

Page 259
whether
ia, so
rlier,

- Q. Who would be able to confirm whether
- 2 Time For A Hero has a Facebook page?
- 3 A. The last person who ran the
- 4 organization managed all of the social media, so
- 5 he would be able to.
- 6 Q. And who was that person?
- 7 A. I couldn't recall his name earlier,
- 8 but his name is Ty Bathurst.
- 9 Q. How do you spell that?
- 10 A. T-Y, B-A-T-H-U-R-S-T.
- 11 Q. And do you have any reason to doubt
- 12 that this is Time for a Hero's Facebook page?
- 13 A. Well, Time for A Hero is no longer
- 14 an organization that I am connected with. I
- 15 filed their closing tax return a couple years
- 16 ago. If this was still there I, I am -- I can't
- 17 say that I have reason to doubt it, but I
- 18 can't -- I don't know about it.
- MR. NKWONTA: Can we go to Page 19.
- But before we do, I noticed some sound issues
- 21 when Ms. Engelbrecht was responding. I just
- 22 want to make sure that we were able to

	Page 260
1	capture the response. If there is anything to
2	resolve.
3	THE REPORTER: I'm happy to read
4	back the answer if you'd like or do you want
5	her do you want me to read back what I
6	have?
7	MR. NKWONTA: Yes, please.
8	(Whereupon, the record was read by
9	the reporter as requested.)
10	BY MR. NKWONTA:
11	Q. And you have no reason to doubt that
12	Time For A Hero created a Facebook page? In fact
13	you acknowledged that Time For A Hero created a
14	Facebook page?
15	A. I, acknowledge that when the
16	organization was active, we had somebody that was
17	managing, or, you know, overseeing social media.
18	And so, it is not outside of the
19	realm of possibility, but I can't confirm it.
20	I mean I can confirm that I'm
21	looking at a document that says Time For A Hero,
22	but I can't confirm anything past that.

	Page 261
1	MR. NKWONTA: Could we go to Page 19
2	of the Facebook page, of Exhibit 72.
3	BY MR. NKWONTA:
4	Q. Is that is that you in that
5	Facebook post from August 8, 2020?
6	A. That is me, that is me.
7	MR. NKWONTA: And could we go to the
8	next post on the following page, Page 20.
9	BY MR. NKWONTA:
10	Q. It says, "Crusade for Freedom coming
11	soon."
12	What is the Crusade for Freedom?
13	A. I don't, I don't know. I don't have
14	any affiliation with Crusade for Freedom.
15	I, I guess that Ty was posting some
16	stuff from True the Vote here just to keep stuff
17	on social media. I don't know about Crusade for
18	Freedom.
19	Q. So, he was posting stuff from where?
20	A. From True the Vote. But, I don't
21	know about this.
22	Q. Uh-huh. Have you heard that phrase

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 262
1	before?
2	A. Have I heard the phrase, Crusade for
3	Freedom?
4	Q. Yes.
5	A. I don't recall.
6	Q. Have you seen this symbol before?
7	A. This
8	Q. This diagram, this symbol here, this
9	Crusade for Freedom symbol?
10	A. Not specific to this. I feel like I
11	have seen it broadly before. May I ask, is this
12	current? Is this currently I don't know if I
13	can ask that.
14	But, is this currently on Facebook?
15	Q. Yes, if you look at the top left
16	corner, you will see the download date.
17	A. I didn't know if that is when it
18	was.
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. Okay. Well, okay. Thank you.
21	MR. NKWONTA: So, we can pull down
22	Exhibit 72. Could we pull up Exhibit 73.

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 263
1	(Exhibit 73 marked for
2	identification.)
3	BY MR. NKWONTA:
4	Q. And could we go to the second page.
5	Ms. Engelbrecht, do you recognize
6	that tweet in Exhibit 73, the tweet at the top?
7	A. No.
8	Q. The Twitter handle and the name on
9	top of it states Crusade for Freedom, right?
10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q. It is the same it is the same
12	slogan that was on the Time For A Hero Facebook
13	page; is that right?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And that symbol, the logo or the
16	symbol for that Twitter handle, that is the same
17	or similar logo that was on the Time For A Hero
18	Facebook page, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And the tweet says, "We have just
21	prospectively challenged the eligibility of
22	360,000 voters in Georgia." Is that right?

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 264

- 1 A. That is what it says, yes.
- 2 Q. Are you aware of any other groups
- 3 that challenged the eligibility of approximately
- 4 360,000 voters in Georgia during the runoff
- 5 elections?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. The hashtag, Eyes on Georgia, that
- 8 was the same slogan that has appeared on several
- 9 True the Vote documents, I think including that
- 10 invoice from OPSEC, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And then the second hashtag,
- 13 Validate the Vote Georgia, that was the slogan
- 14 that was recommended to you by the consultant; is
- 15 that correct?
- A. Yes, yes.
- 17 Q. And that tweet was followed up by
- 18 the one right under it. It says, "If the Georgia
- 19 counties refuse to handle the challenges of
- 20 366,000 ineligible voters in accordance with the
- 21 law, I plan to release the entire list so America
- 22 can do the QC."

	Page 265
1	Is that a correct reading of the
2	tweet underneath?
3	A. It is a correct reading of it, yes.
4	Q. And this tweet also has a Crusade
5	for Freedom Twitter handle name and symbol that
6	appeared on the Time For A Hero page?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And the hashtags underneath say
9	Validate the Vote Georgia, which is the slogan
10	that is the True the Vote uses, correct?
11	And Eyes on Georgia, which is
12	another slogan that True the Vote uses, correct?
13	A. During that period, yes, that is
14	correct.
15	Q. And this tweet was dated
16	December 20, 2020, correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. So, moving on from those tweets, I
19	wanted to ask you specifically about the Validate
20	the Vote program.
21	And I wanted to explore that in a
22	little bit more depth.

	Page 266
1	MR. NKWONTA: Could we pull up
2	Exhibit 1, please. Can we is there any
3	way to enlarge that a little bit?
4	(Exhibit 1 marked for
5	identification.)
6	BY MR. NKWONTA:
7	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, do you recognize
8	this document?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What is it?
11	A. This is a one-page document that was
12	asked for by, asked to be provided to a donor who
13	had come to True the Vote and wanted a one-page
14	document to describe some of the activities that
15	we were planning to work through.
16	Q. And did the donor have any requests
17	or any suggestions for activities that True the
18	Vote should engage in?
19	A. This donor is connected with the
20	consultant that I mentioned earlier.
21	So, the contribution was to use the
22	name Validate the Vote and to put a one-pager

Page 267

- 1 together for this donor's use.
- 2 Q. And is this, this one pager, is this
- 3 essentially the framework for the Georgia elector
- 4 challenge or the activities that occurred in
- 5 Georgia afterward?
- 6 A. I -- no. This doesn't have any -- I
- 7 mean, we could look at it. I would like to look
- 8 at the whole thing. But, I don't believe so, no.
- 9 Q. So, this document -- let's look at
- 10 the first sentence underneath which says, "Goal:
- 11 To ensure the 2020 election returns reflect one
- 12 vote cast by one eligible voter and therefore
- 13 protect the right to vote and the integrity of
- 14 the election."
- 15 Is that correct? Does that reflect
- 16 your understanding?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And, underneath that, the Problem,
- 19 it says, "There is significant evidence that
- 20 there are numerous instances of illegal ballots
- 21 being cast and counted in the 2020 general
- 22 election. Most of these illegal votes are being

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 268 1 counted in Democratic counties and are 2 suppressing legitimate results." Do you see that first paragraph 3 underneath Problem? 4 5 Α. I do. And who wrote that? 6 0. 7 Pardon me, sorry. I don't, I don't Α. 8 specifically recall. But the document came from True the 9 Ο. 10 Vote, right? 11 Α. That is correct, yes. 12 Q. How did True the Vote determine that 13 most of the illegal votes were being counted in Democratic counties? 14 I would not know why that would have 15 Α. 16 been written that way. 17 Q. This was prepared shortly after the 18 November presidential election, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Before new results had been Q. 21 published --2.2 Α. That's correct.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 269 1 Q. -- or certified I should say; is 2 that correct? That is correct. 3 Α. And by then True the Vote had 4 0. 5 indicated in this Validate the Vote document that there is significant evidence of illegal ballots, 6 7 most of which were being counted in Democratic counties; is that right? 8 9 That is what this says, yes. Α. 10 The next paragraph starts with, Q. 11 "This is a result of Democrat official's refusal 12 to obey state election laws and counting illegal 13 votes." Is that --14 Α. That is what it says, yes. How did True the Vote reach that 15 Q. 16 conclusion? 17 Α. I, as a -- you know, as a 18 promotional piece, this was, you know, those --19 that phraseology was used. I, you know, I don't 20 really have much more to say about that than 21 that. 2.2 Q. The next sentence says, "It is also

Page 270 1 the result of deliberate election fraud." 2 What evidence did True the Vote have to make that statement? 3 Α. I don't recall. 4 5 The next sentence, "The situation Ο. 6 has been aided by the Democrat's deliberate 7 effort to radically expand mail-in balloting, 8 creating myriad opportunities for voter fraud 9 that does not exist with in-person voting." 10 Did True the Vote have any evidence 11 to support that statement? 12 Α. That statement in particular I would 13 say yes in that the -- there was an effort to 14 radically expand mail-in balloting or mail-in 15 voting. 16 And it has been documented over time 17 that mail-in balloting, mail-in voting does 18 increase the opportunity for vote fraud or election fraud. 19 20 Ο. Had True the Vote identified any 21 flood of illegal votes as referenced in that 2.2 following paragraph?

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 271 This was a promotional piece. 1 Α. 2 believe that the third paragraph there was to tie 3 to the one above saying that there was a radical expansion and therefore it would precipitate a 4 5 flood. It says, "This flood of illegal 6 Ο. 7 votes violates the U.S. Constitution's right to 8 vote, " and the sentence continues, "by diluting 9 the votes of legitimate voters." 10 But, had True the Vote identified 11 any flood of illegal votes at this point? No, this was a promotional piece 12 Α. 13 that was written. 14 Q. And then we get to the plan. 15 says, "Solicit whistleblower testimonies for 16 those impacted by or involved in election fraud." 17 Did True the Vote obtain those whistleblower testimonies? 18 19 MS. SIEBERT: I'm sorry. I'm just 20 going to object one more time. And maybe it 21 is just a point of clarification. 2.2 Are you limiting these questions to

	Page 272
1	the six states, the jurisdictions?
2	MR. NKWONTA: I don't believe I have
3	to. This is specifically about the Validate
4	the Vote program which is so this is in
5	in other words I don't read our deposition
6	notice or the court's order to say every
7	single topic is constrained to these six
8	states.
9	So, if I ask Ms. Engelbrecht, for
10	instance, what Validate the Vote program
11	means, you can't limit her testimony to six
12	states.
13	MS. SIEBERT: Well, the court's
14	order says that "True the Vote shall present
15	a witness that is adequately prepared to
16	answer questions relating to topics listed in
17	the plaintiff's respective 30(b)(6)
18	deposition notice with the following
19	limitations:
20	"Plaintiff shall limit their
21	questions regarding True the Vote's and OPSEC
22	Group LLC's pre and post-election activities

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 273
1	to 2012, onward, and the following states:
2	Georgia, Texas, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Michigan,
3	Wisconsin."
4	So, the court specifically stated
5	the topics listed in the 30(b)(6) were to be
6	limited and would include that following
7	limitation.
8	So that is directly part of the
9	court's order.
10	MR. NKWONTA: I understand your
11	point. I disagree that we cannot ask her
12	about soliciting whistleblower testimonies
13	unless we say soliciting whistleblower
14	testimonies in a specific state, because we
15	are literally asking to explain a document.
16	So, if my question to you is are
17	you instructing her not to answer this
18	question with respect to any state outside of
19	the target jurisdictions that we have
20	identified?
21	MS. SIEBERT: Yes, I am.
22	BY MR. NKWONTA:

	Page 274
1	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, are you going to
2	follow your counsel's instruction?
3	A. Yes, I will.
4	Q. So, can you answer my question with
5	respect to the six target states that we
6	discussed?
7	A. Can you repeat the question?
8	Q. Sure.
9	MR. NKWONTA: Can the court reporter
10	read back the question.
11	(Whereupon, the record was read by
12	the reporter as requested.)
13	THE WITNESS: We did not obtain any
14	whistleblower testimonies.
15	BY MR. NKWONTA:
16	Q. The second bullet in the plan says,
17	"Build public momentum through broad publicity."
18	Does that include well, why don't
19	you explain to me what type of broad publicity
20	was anticipated.
21	A. Again, this is the first I have
22	seen an orientation of a one-pager like this.

Page 275

- 1 This is the first time I have seen this one-pager
- 2 with some of this language.
- 3 So, I can only respond to -- you
- 4 know, I mean, to repeat back build public
- 5 momentum through broad publicity. We didn't do
- 6 any advertising of any sort. I had a podcast, I
- 7 mean that was it.
- 8 Q. Would you consider a press
- 9 release --
- 10 A. Oh, I'm so sorry. Yes, and if we
- 11 did press releases, that would be considered in
- 12 that, in that bullet, yes.
- 13 Q. The next bullet, "Galvanize
- 14 Republican legislative support in key states."
- Why the focus on Republican
- 16 legislative support?
- 17 A. I, I don't know. I don't know why
- 18 that was written that way.
- 19 Q. The next is, "Aggregate and analyze
- 20 data to identify patterns of election
- 21 subversion." And that task is assigned to OPSEC
- 22 group. Do you see that?

	Page 276
1	THE WITNESS: Can you scroll up, can
2	you scroll a little bit, Joe?
3	That would have been going back to
4	the litigation support for the cases that
5	were being filed shortly after the election.
6	BY MR. NKWONTA:
7	Q. So, the items that we discussed on
8	that OPSEC invoice including litigation support,
9	that was part of the aggregating and analyzing
10	data to identify patterns of election subversion
11	that we see in this document?
12	A. I mean I would, aggregate and
13	analyze data to identify patterns full stop.
14	But, that would have been part of that, yes.
15	Q. "File lawsuits in federal court with
16	capacity to be heard by SCOTUS," the Supreme
17	Court of the United States; is that correct?
18	A. That is what it says, yes.
19	Q. And is that referring to the
20	lawsuits that were filed in Georgia,
21	Pennsylvania, Michigan, Wisconsin, Arizona?
22	And also it lists the key states

Page 277

- 1 here below as well, Arizona, Nevada --
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. Are those the lawsuits or legal
- 4 actions that that plan is referring to?
- 5 A. Yes. Those would have been in that
- 6 timeline of lawsuits.
- 7 Q. And then next it goes on to the
- 8 legal strategy for the Validate the Vote program.
- 9 And it states that, "Jim Bopp will
- 10 file federal suits in the seven closest
- 11 battleground states to investigate voter fraud,
- 12 expose it and nullify the results of the state's
- 13 election so that the presidential electors can be
- 14 selected in a special election or by the state
- 15 legislature."
- Why was the goal to nullify the
- 17 results of the state's election even before the
- 18 election had been certified?
- 19 A. I do not know why this was -- I
- 20 don't -- that was not the goal. Let me answer it
- 21 that way. That was not the goal.
- 22 As we discussed earlier the goal was

	Page 283
1	Is that a correct reading of
2	Paragraph 41?
3	A. Yes, it is a correct reading.
4	Q. And other than that link, did True
5	the Vote have any evidence to support the
6	allegation that noncitizens, or as many as
7	70,000-plus noncitizens, voted for Joe Biden in
8	Georgia?
9	A. I think it was just this link. No.
10	No.
11	Q. Are you aware of any analysis or any
12	study that has been done to confirm this or any
13	additional corroborating evidence that has been
14	provided to support this?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Do you believe this to be true?
17	A. I believe that Just Facts wrote that
18	article. Yes, I would have to look at the
19	underpinnings but I mean that is plausible,
20	certainly.
21	Q. If we go to the Prayer for Relief,
22	starting on Page 20 and which spills over to

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 284 1 Page 21. 2 Under Paragraph 65, would you agree 3 that that paragraph seeks to invalidate the defendant counties' presidential election 4 5 results? 6 Α. That is what that communicates, yes. 7 And just the counties that were Ο. named as defendants in this lawsuit, correct? 8 9 I apologize. Can you restate the --Α. 10 So, this request to Q. Sure. 11 invalidate the results, this request is to 12 invalidate the results of the counties that were 13 named as defendants in this lawsuit; is that 14 right? Well, the -- what it says is in 15 Α. 16 contested counties or in the state overall. 17 So that the -- oh, so that the counties -- yeah, I, I -- that appears to be what 18 19 it communicates. I'm not a lawyer, but that 20 appears to be what it communicates. 21 Ο. The Validate the Vote program 2.2 document that I showed you earlier made reference

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 285 1 to targeting Democratic counties. 2 Does this complaint carry out that 3 that strategy? Α. I would have to, I guess look at --4 I mean I would have to run the numbers to see if 5 6 the counties were leaning in that way. 7 don't -- it is certainly not part of my mindset on any of it, so --8 9 Why is True the Vote focused on 10 enjoining the results of the presidential 11 election in this lawsuit -- let me rephrase. 12 Α. Sure. There were a number of elections 13 0. that occurred in November, both elections for 14 15 president -- both for the presidential race and 16 there were a number of other state and federal 17 races on the same ballot. This lawsuit is focused on the 18 19 presidential election results only. Why is that? 20 I don't know. Α. 21 Ο. The Validate the Vote program 2.2 document that we just discussed, Exhibit 1, would

```
Page 286
 1
     you agree that was also focused on overturning
 2
     the results of the presidential election?
 3
            Α.
                  That was the language on that page,
 4
     yes.
 5
                  MR. NKWONTA: Could we take a quick
         ten-minute recess, I just want to see how
 6
 7
         much I have left.
 8
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
 9
         off the video record. The time is 3:49 p.m.
10
                   (Recess taken -- 3:49 p.m.)
11
                   (After recess -- 4:00 p.m.)
12
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
13
         back on the video record the time is
14
         4:00 p.m.
15
     BY MR. NKWONTA:
16
                  Ms. Engelbrecht, we just took a
            Q.
17
     short break. You understand you are still under
     oath?
18
19
            Α.
                  Yes.
20
                  So, before we went on break we
            Q.
21
     discussed the Georgia lawsuit that was filed
2.2
     immediately after the November election.
```

	Page 287
1	Did True the Vote also participate
2	in filing a lawsuit in Pennsylvania shortly after
3	the November general election?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And in that lawsuit True the Vote
6	sued specific counties, correct?
7	A. I don't recall.
8	Q. Do you have any reason to dispute
9	that?
10	A. No. I mean the briefing will show
11	it. So, no, it is whatever the briefing says.
12	Q. And do you dispute that that lawsuit
13	also sought to enjoin certification of the
14	election results in specific counties?
15	A. If that is what the brief says,
16	then
17	Q. Does True the Vote have or did True
18	the Vote submit any evidence to support the
19	allegations of voter fraud in the Pennsylvania
20	lawsuit?
21	A. There were a number of
22	I'm sorry, could you repeat the

	Page 288
1	question? I want to make sure I get it right.
2	MR. NKWONTA: Could the court
3	reporter read the question back, please.
4	(Whereupon, the record was read by
5	the reporter as requested.)
6	THE WITNESS: I'm aware that there
7	were points added into the filing that
8	supported the concerns around election fraud
9	so that is what I would say.
10	BY MR. NKWONTA:
11	Q. Beyond what was written in the
12	actual filings, are you aware of any evidence or
13	have any additional evidence to support the
14	claims of voter fraud in the Pennsylvania
15	lawsuit?
16	A. At the time, what we had was what
17	was put into the file with the yeah, that is
18	at the time it was just what was filed.
19	Q. Did you obtain any additional
20	evidence since then?
21	A. For the purposes of the lawsuit, no.
22	Q. I will ask you the same question

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 about Michigan.
- 2 Did True the Vote participate in
- 3 filing a lawsuit in Michigan shortly after the
- 4 November election to challenge the election
- 5 results or to prevent certain counties from
- 6 certifying the election results?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And does True the Vote have any
- 9 evidence, other than what was written in the
- 10 filings, to support the claims of voter fraud
- 11 asserted in the Michigan complaint?
- 12 A. What was put in the brief was what
- 13 we had.
- 14 O. And True the Vote hasn't obtained or
- does not have any additional evidence beyond?
- 16 A. None of the suits were dismissed, so
- 17 no.
- 18 Q. I will ask you the same question for
- 19 Wisconsin.
- 20 Did True the Vote participate in the
- 21 filing of a lawsuit in Wisconsin shortly after
- 22 the November general election?

1/26/2022

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And does True the Vote have or did
- 3 True the Vote collect any additional evidence
- 4 beyond what was written in the complaints to
- 5 support the allegations of voter fraud in the
- 6 Wisconsin lawsuit?
- 7 A. No. Not beyond what was in the
- 8 filing we didn't -- nothing else was done and
- 9 then the case was dismissed.
- 10 Q. And that case -- those cases that I
- 11 just mentioned, the Georgia case, the Michigan
- 12 case, the Pennsylvania case, the Wisconsin case,
- 13 all of those cases were voluntarily dismissed.
- 14 Is that correct, by the plaintiffs?
- 15 A. Yes, or withdrawn. I'm not certain
- 16 what the proper term is. But, yes.
- 17 Q. And those lawsuits were voluntarily
- 18 dismissed without providing any additional
- 19 evidence or support to the court; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Why were those lawsuits dismissed

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 291 1 shortly after they were filed? 2 The data that was necessary to be Α. 3 exacting in the support of the filing or in -not support necessarily but in the ongoing filing 4 5 and the way that the case would naturally sort of 6 unfold, that data was not available. 7 And that specifically would have been the complete record from the state of voters 8 9 who actually voted in the 2020 election. And 10 then we had quite a number of other documents 11 that we were requesting, but most specifically it 12 was the final register of who voted in the 2020 13 election which was not available for months. 14 MR. NKWONTA: I would like to pull 15 up another exhibit. Exhibit 71 please. And 16 I would like to go to Page 246 of Exhibit 71. 17 (Exhibit 71 marked for identification.) 18 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm so sorry, I 20 missed that page. I apologize. 21 MR. NKWONTA: Sure. Page 246. 2.2 BY MR. NKWONTA:

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 292 1 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, do you recognize this document, Page 246 of Exhibit 71? 2 3 Α. Yes. What is it? 4 0. 5 Α. This was an e-mail sent to me by Fred Eshelman -- excuse me. This is an e-mail 6 7 sent to, sent by Fred Eshelman to his consultant and copied me. 8 9 And was the e-mail directed to you? Ο. 10 Α. I'm not sure. THE WITNESS: Could we scroll down? 11 12 I don't know. It could have been 13 either to me or his consultant who he worked 14 very closely with. 15 MR. NKWONTA: Okay. Could you 16 scroll backup to the first e-mail. 17 BY MR. NKWONTA: 18 So first can you tell me who is Fred Q. Eshelman? 19 20 Α. Fred Eshelman is someone who, on 21 November the 5th, ostensibly at the behest of his 2.2 two consultants, Tom Crawford being one, called

- 1 True the Vote, first the consultants and then
- 2 they put Mr. Eshelman on, in the interests of
- 3 making a donation.
- 4 And that was the first time I had
- 5 ever heard of or spoken with any of the three of
- 6 them, the two consultants or Mr. Eshelman.
- 7 Q. In Mr. Eshelman's e-mail, the second
- 8 sentence says, "However I do want to know what
- 9 money is accomplishing and where this is headed
- 10 and the odds of winning."
- 11 What is he referring to?
- 12 A. I, I don't know specifically. I
- 13 will say that his consultants, from what I
- 14 gathered, had other activities going on that were
- more political that I don't know, I can't speak
- 16 to.
- Q. Was his goal to overturn the results
- 18 of the election?
- 19 A. I don't know what his goal was.
- Q. Did he express to you or to anyone
- 21 at True the Vote that his interest in overturning
- 22 the results of the election?

	Page 294
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Catherine, this
2	is Joe. It is not your I don't think it
3	is your headset. I'm checking your
4	bandwidth. And when it dips low, you cut
5	out. I don't think it is anything that you
6	can control, but if you have a new set of
7	headphones, we will try that.
8	Counsel just so everyone knows the
9	bandwidth is dipping quite low and it is
10	cutting her off.
11	THE WITNESS: Is this any better?
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You sound great.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. So the answer
14	is not as far as I know with respect to what
15	he communicated to True the Vote, no.
16	Whatever else they had going on, I
17	don't know.
18	BY MR. NKWONTA:
19	Q. And if you look below at, there are
20	a couple of references to the likelihood of a
21	favorable outcome. What is that referring to,
22	what outcome?

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 A. I'm going to make sure that I'm
- 2 tracking with you. Likelihood of a favorable
- 3 outcome.
- I, I don't know. I would presume
- 5 this is what he is talking to his consultant
- 6 about and I'm copied, but I don't specifically
- 7 know.
- 8 MR. NKWONTA: Can we jump to
- 9 Page 300, please.
- 10 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- 11 Q. Do you recognize the e-mail on
- 12 Page 300, Ms. Engelbrecht?
- 13 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. And can you tell me, you know, what
- is Mr. Eshelman seeking here in this e-mail?
- 16 A. He is reaching out to me for
- 17 information that -- actually let me take another
- 18 second to read it because it has been a minute
- 19 since I have seen this.
- Q. And actually can I ask that you read
- 21 the e-mail out loud into the record.
- 22 A. Sure. "Catherine, I hope we are all

- 1 A. I, I don't know. I don't know if
- 2 that refers to other activities he had going on
- 3 with Tom. I don't know. Or maybe that he is
- 4 reacting to the news. I shouldn't speculate. I
- 5 don't know. I do not know. That is my answer.
- 6 I don't know.
- 7 Q. If we go to Item Number 3, can you
- 8 read into the record the action item there under
- 9 Item Number 3?
- 10 A. It says Number 3, "Status of Bopp
- 11 cases by state, deal with Trump people and
- 12 details, dates for orders by state, how long to
- 13 implement. Who is forensic team deciding bases
- 14 for tests to prove fraud and present to courts?
- 15 Strategy still county by county
- 16 disqualification?"
- 17 O. What does the reference to deal with
- 18 Trump people and details mean?
- 19 A. In this context I -- deal with
- 20 details -- I don't recall.
- Q. Were there any communications or
- 22 coordinations with the Trump campaign or the

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 Trump people relating to this effort?
- 2 A. I know that others, you know, were
- 3 in touch with them. We were not.
- 4 So, yeah, I mean, further up in this
- 5 you can see where there was a comment made that
- 6 Jim, in his individual capacity, had been on a
- 7 call, but we were not otherwise engaged in any of
- 8 that.
- 9 MR. NKWONTA: Can we go to Page 314.
- 10 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- 11 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, do you recognize
- 12 Page 314?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What is it?
- 15 A. This is an e-mail that I sent to
- 16 Mr. Eshelman post, post a call that we had.
- 17 Q. And this was dated
- 18 November 16th, 2020?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Can you read the first paragraph of
- 21 that e-mail into the record?
- 22 A. "Fred, I have attached the budget we

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 provided to Tom and Dikran on November 5th. Our
- 2 not having full funding was well known and often
- 3 discussed. I had written in my 11/14 e-mail to
- 4 you that it appeared our legal fees would have
- 5 been covered by the Trump campaign which I
- 6 described in a statement of our cash position,
- 7 described as best as possible given the tight
- 8 timeline with so many moving parts."
- 9 Q. What do you mean -- sorry, continue.
- 10 A. I didn't know if you wanted me to
- 11 read the second paragraph.
- 12 Q. Sure.
- 13 A. Go ahead with your question.
- Q. Sure, why don't you read the second
- 15 paragraph.
- 16 A. "We have done a tremendous amount of
- 17 work in the 11 days since we first met. Have
- 18 talked with Tom routinely about status and
- 19 provided him with access to all comms, press
- 20 releases and briefings.
- 21 "Tom and Dikran both asked that I
- 22 communicate directly through them and indicated

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 that the information was being passed to you.
- 2 Moving forward I will keep you directly apprised
- 3 of continued developments in the whistleblower
- 4 situation unless I hear otherwise from you."
- 5 Q. Now going back to the first
- 6 paragraph and the second sentence, what did you
- 7 mean that it appears that your legal fees would
- 8 have been covered by the Trump campaign?
- 9 A. Initially we had thought that our
- 10 lawsuits would be, would, you know, be very
- 11 expensive and cost -- you know, we had a budget
- 12 anticipated around those lawsuits.
- This is, this is a -- that is a
- 14 ham-handed way of saying that the research was --
- and I don't understand all of the legal
- 16 maneuverings or how cases come together
- 17 necessarily.
- But that our research was possibly
- 19 going to be used in the move forward of the cases
- 20 that would have been part of the Trump defense, I
- 21 quess, or cases.
- 22 And, what this was attempting to

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 communicate was that there would not be any legal
- 2 fees.
- 3 So, when Mr. Eshelman was asking
- 4 about the budget and so forth, I have -- you
- 5 know, I had written this to say we would not have
- 6 those expenses if the research was going to be
- 7 used in a different direction. That is all that
- 8 meant.
- 9 Q. So it was your belief that the Trump
- 10 campaign would pay for True the Vote's legal fees
- 11 to pursue evidence of voter fraud and to pursue
- 12 the lawsuits that True the Vote had filed?
- 13 A. No, it was, this was just not --
- 14 this was ill worded, but the intent was to say
- 15 that we would not have legal expenses for these
- 16 cases. The cases wouldn't -- we wouldn't be a
- 17 part of those anymore.
- That, the, you know, they would
- 19 be -- we wouldn't have that expense.
- 20 Q. Because the phase would be covered
- 21 by the Trump?
- A. Again, it is a ham-handed way to say

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 it, but it was the research that was being done
- 2 that we were covering the research for, we would
- 3 no longer be a part of. If the Trump campaign
- 4 wanted to use it, they would cover that and we'd
- 5 be out, so we wouldn't have those expenses.
- 6 Q. Why was the Trump campaign
- 7 interested in covering your fees?
- 8 A. Well, it wouldn't be covering our
- 9 fees. It would just be -- well, it wouldn't be
- 10 covering our fees. It would, we would have not
- 11 needed to pursue the lawsuits.
- 12 O. And we discussed earlier how the
- 13 post election effort in Georgia, and when I say
- 14 post election, I'm referring to post November
- 15 presidential election, the immediate post
- 16 election effort in Georgia and the data analysis
- 17 conducted by OPSEC was combined with the analysis
- 18 conducted by OPSEC in advance of the, of the
- 19 elector challenges for the runoff election.
- 20 Do you recall that?
- 21 A. I recall us talking about broadly
- 22 that in the context of that invoice and what it

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 audiences and targeting based on the above."
- 2 Q. This seems to be another discussion
- 3 about upcoming Georgia work.
- 4 Do you recognize any of that or is
- 5 any of that related to the work that you did with
- 6 Gregg Phillips?
- 7 A. Absolutely not, no. This is a --
- 8 they are referring to -- Mr. Eshelman comes from
- 9 North Carolina. I had a sense from conversations
- 10 with Tom that they had done things in North
- 11 Carolina. But, I don't know. We didn't do
- 12 anything with them on any of this.
- MS. SIEBERT: I'm sorry. Sorry to
- interrupt. What is the exhibit number here?
- 15 I missed that.
- 16 MR. NKWONTA: 71.
- MS. SIEBERT: Thank you.
- 18 BY MR. NKWONTA:
- 19 Q. Did Mr. Eshelman fund any of the
- 20 post-election activities that True the Vote
- 21 engaged in, in Georgia?
- 22 A. No.

		Page 314
1	Q.	I would like to turn back to True
2	the Vote's s	tatements about the election and
3	activity and	post election activities.
4		MR. NKWONTA: Can we pull this down
5	and pull	up Exhibit 63.
6		(Exhibit 63 marked for
7		identification.)
8	BY MR. NKWON'	TA:
9	Q.	Ms. Engelbrecht, do you recognize
10	Exhibit 63?	
11	Α.	That was a blog post to our website.
12	Q.	And is that posted on
13	November 10th	h, 2020?
14	Α.	According to this document, yes.
15	Q.	And that would have been
16	approximatel	y a week after the November election?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	And can you read the third paragraph
19	on Page 2 in	to the record, starting with, "Never
20	in our histor	ry".
21	Α.	"Never in our history has there been
22	such blatant	disregard for election integrity.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

- 1 During these pivotal times we refuse to stand on
- 2 the sidelines.
- 3 "True the Vote will keep fighting to
- 4 ensure 2020 election returns reflect the
- 5 principle of one vote for one voter and to repair
- 6 our broken elections once and for all."
- 7 Q. And following that statement, the
- 8 release makes reference to the Validate the Vote
- 9 initiative that True the Vote recently launched;
- 10 is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And that is the same initiative we
- discussed in Exhibit 1, correct?
- 14 A. Yes. Well, I'm not sure what
- 15 Exhibit 1 was any longer, but we have discussed
- 16 that, yes.
- 17 Q. But that Validate the Vote document,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. I know the name is the same, yes.
- Q. So, True the Vote announces that it
- 21 is launching this initiative that was described
- 22 in Exhibit 1, and announces a whistleblower fund

- 1 in excess of \$1 million. Is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And was the purpose of that million
- 4 dollars to reward people that came forward with
- 5 evidence of voter fraud?
- 6 A. The fund was to -- or the idea of
- 7 the fund was to support people that would come
- 8 forward, as we discussed previously, to have
- 9 funds available should they be necessary for
- 10 their legal support.
- Also through this we were funding
- 12 the state election or county election lawsuits.
- 13 Q. Did you present any of the evidence
- 14 that you obtained through this initiative to any
- of the courts or to -- or to Mr. Eshelman?
- 16 A. I don't recall. I talked to his
- 17 consultants daily. I don't recall anything in
- 18 specific.
- 19 Q. Did True the Vote obtain any
- 20 evidence of -- any credible evidence of criminal
- 21 malfeasance as referenced in this press release
- 22 after announcing this initiative?

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 317 1 Α. We did have some reports that we 2 considered credible. And did you submit those reports to 3 0. anyone? 4 5 They have been submitted. Α. Yes. Where did you submit those reports? 6 Q. 7 There are active investigations in Α. 8 Georgia and in Arizona, and I guess, those are 9 the two active states. 10 What was the criminal malfeasance or Ο. 11 misconduct identified in those reports or alleged 12 in those reports? I don't -- I mean those are active 13 Α. 14 investigations and our approach to this point has 15 been that we don't comment on active 16 investigations. 17 Q. So, you are not willing to disclose 18 or identify the nature of any of the reports of fraud or evidence of fraud that you received? 19 20 THE WITNESS: May I consult with

21

2.2

counsel and just make sure I am answering the

question properly? I just want to make sure

1/26/2022

Fair Fight, Inc. et al. v. True the Vote, et al. Confidential - Pursuant to Protective Order

	Page 318
1	I'm being respectful of all of the
2	considerations here.
3	MS. SIEBERT: Um, okay. I'm sorry.
4	I'm coming in I came in halfway through
5	this deposition and so I'm a little bit
6	behind the eight ball as far as what has been
7	testified to or not, regarding that before
8	and the objections made.
9	MR. NKWONTA: I can give you a quick
10	playback of where this is coming from.
11	MS. SIEBERT: Thank you, yes.
12	MR. NKWONTA: So, Jim and I had
13	several discussions about consulting with the
14	witness regarding a pending question. And I
15	objected on numerous occasions that it was
16	improper to consult with the witness on a
17	pending question, and I think that is
18	probably where some of this is coming from.
19	But, I will caveat and say if the
20	witness is consulting for the purpose of
21	determining whether privilege applies, then
22	you know, I for this, you know, one instance

	Page 321
1	my question to be limited to the jurisdictions
2	identified in the 30(b)(6) notice for True the
3	Vote.
4	And you may answer. And you can
5	also interpret my question to be limited to
6	evidence obtained before this lawsuit was filed.
7	MS. SIEBERT: Thank you for that
8	clarification.
9	MR. NKWONTA: Can the court reporter
10	read back the last question before we went
11	before we went off the record.
12	(Whereupon, the record was read by
13	the reporter as requested.)
14	THE WITNESS: Shall I answer? We
15	have reported to the State of Georgia. We
16	have filed three complaints about
17	observations and concerns that we have
18	witnessed.
19	But that is the extent of it as we
20	have filed these complaints that are now
21	under active investigation.
22	BY MR. NKWONTA:

	Page 322
1	Q. Are you willing to disclose what
2	those concerns are or what the subject of those
3	complaints are?
4	MS. SIEBERT: Again, I just want to
5	clarify my objection as far as scope and
6	timeline and limited to the states in
7	question.
8	Is that correct, are we still under
9	that understanding?
10	MR. NKWONTA: Yes.
11	MS. SIEBERT: Okay.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay. So, to that end
13	I would say that what we the basis of our
14	filings were regarding things that, and
15	information post the filing of this lawsuit.
16	MR. NKWONTA: I would like to turn
17	your attention to Exhibit 47.
18	(Exhibit 47 marked for
19	identification.)
20	BY MR. NKWONTA:
21	Q. This is an article published by Jim
22	Hoft from the Gateway Pundit. Can you read the

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 323 1 title of this article? 2 Α. "It's now clear: Trump will win the election -- Democrats will steal -- True the Vote 3 offers essential tips on what you can do to stop 4 the steal." 5 6 Ο. Do you recall offering comments or 7 insight on this issue for this article? 8 Α. I do not recall. 9 MR. NKWONTA: Can you scroll down to 10 Page 2 and to the highlighted paragraph on 11 Page 2 -- or sorry, the paragraph right --12 third paragraph from the bottom, starting with, "Tonight." 13 14 BY MR. NKWONTA: 15 Q. So the article says, "Tonight the 16 Gateway Pundit reached out to Catherine 17 Engelbrecht at True the Vote to offer tips to 18 ordinary Americans to prevent the Democrat plan to steal the election in 2020. Catherine 19 20 Engelbrecht wrote back with these essential 21 tips." 2.2 Do you dispute this characterization

```
Page 324
 1
     or this statement from this article?
 2
                  No, it is entirely possible that he
            Α.
     called me.
 3
                  And it is possible that you
 4
            Q.
 5
     responded with essential tips?
                  And it is possible that I responded,
 6
            Α.
 7
     yes.
 8
                  MR. NKWONTA: Could we pull up
         Exhibit 44.
 9
10
                       (Exhibit 44 marked for
11
                        identification.)
12
     BY MR. NKWONTA:
13
            Q.
                  Ms. Engelbrecht, have you stated
14
     publicly or elsewhere before that some counties'
15
     ballots are counted in Spain?
16
                  I don't recall. I'm generally aware
            Α.
17
     of the ballot counting software platforms that
18
     are multinational. But I don't, I don't -- I
     have never seen this document before and I'm not
19
20
     certain what it might contain.
21
            0.
                  Have you expressed a view before
2.2
     that some states have their votes counted in
```

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 325 1 Spain? 2 Α. I don't recall. Do you have any reason to dispute 3 0. this quote in this Brightbart article? 4 5 Α. I have no context around it. I'm 6 aware of softwares that are used by states that 7 have, as a practical matter of their structure, 8 server ports in Spain. That is the extent of 9 what I do know is true, but that is not at all 10 uncommon in software. 11 So, I don't know what this -- you 12 know, what else may be here. I don't know. 13 MR. NKWONTA: Can you scroll down to 14 Page 3. 15 BY MR. NKWONTA: 16 And Page 3 of this article quotes Q. 17 Can you read that quote into the record? 18 "There is a tabulation company called Sidel that does" --, jeez, spelling. 19 20 "There is a tabulation company 21 called Sidel that does have Cloud, I guess, 2.2 cold-based servers in Barcelona. And yes, it is

- 1 true that the tabulation of votes occurs in that
- 2 way in many states that could use that system."
- 3 Q. Is that an accurate quote?
- 4 A. There is a tabulation company called
- 5 Sidel that has cloud-based servers in Barcelona,
- 6 ves, that is true.
- 7 Q. And is it your view, based on that
- 8 that, that some states have their ballots counted
- 9 in Spain?
- 10 A. I think that that is a leap to a
- 11 conclusion, but it is certainly true that if you
- 12 are using a company that has cloud-based servers
- in Spain, and that is in Barcelona, that is a
- 14 part of a process that may or may not impact the
- 15 vote count. But it is part of a process
- 16 nonetheless.
- 17 Q. Briefly I want to return back to the
- 18 voter challenges.
- 19 Are you familiar or aware of any
- 20 challengers who withdrew or asked to withdraw
- 21 their challenges during the, during the --
- 22 A. Yes, I am familiar with one, yes.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 327 1 Sorry, sorry. 2 And who is that challenger that 0. 3 asked to withdraw their challenge? I don't recall his name. 4 Α. 5 Was it Joe Martin? Ο. 6 That does sound familiar, yes. Α. 7 sounds correct. 8 And do you recall why Joe Martin 0. 9 chose to withdraw his challenge? 10 My general recollection is that in Α. 11 looking at names on a challenger list he 12 identified that a couple of them were at long --13 were residents at long-term care facilities. 14 And he didn't -- for that purpose he 15 didn't want to move forward. And he notified 16 Amy. And we notified -- as I understand it, we 17 notified the county. And that was -- that is the 18 end of it as far as I know or as far as I recall. 19 0. And did you determine or make any 20 efforts to determine whether those voters were 21 properly included in the challenge list? 2.2 We didn't submit the challenge list Α.

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 328 1 after he declined to participate. We, on his 2 behalf, just rescinded the challenges, as I 3 recall. So you submitted the challenges, but 4 Q. 5 then withdrew them after Mr. Martin requested that they be withdrawn; is that correct? 6 7 Α. I believe that that is correct. I am not certain, but I believe that that is 8 9 correct. 10 Were there any other challengers who Q. 11 requested that their challenges be withdrawn? 12 Α. None that I am aware of or that I 13 recall. 14 And you submitted, at some point in Q. 15 this case, a True the Vote and other defendants 16 submitted a counterclaim or asserted a 17 counterclaim for voter intimidation. 18 And can you explain -- can you 19 explain what caused that intimidation? 20 MS. SIEBERT: I'm going to object to 21 That counterclaim has been completely 2.2 dismissed and it is no longer relevant or a

	Page 329
1	part of this complaint.
2	The court has already dismissed
3	those claims. It wouldn't be relevant to any
4	claim or defense in this case.
5	BY MR. NKWONTA:
6	Q. You may answer, Ms. Engelbrecht.
7	A. I will just take advice of counsel
8	on that.
9	MS. SIEBERT: Catherine, you can go
10	ahead and answer. I'm sorry. I didn't make
11	that clear. You can go ahead and answer but
12	that is our objection.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm so sorry.
14	I just want to make can you repeat the
15	question again. I want to make sure I'm
16	framing it properly in my mind.
17	BY MR. NKWONTA:
18	Q. Sure. And I will narrow the
19	question a little bit.
20	In what way were was True the
21	Vote and perhaps yourself as well intimidated by
22	these legal proceedings?

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 330 1 Α. Well, True the Vote, you know, 2 received e-mails and calls particularly to the 3 election hotline that were, you know, unkind. But that was really not the 4 The intimidation that I was most 5 intimidation. 6 troubled by was that suffered by the electors who 7 participated, and as a consequence of that had 8 their, there were docs online, had businesses 9 targeted, had threats sent to them in e-mail and 10 calls, you know, to their businesses. 11 And so you've told me about the 0. 12 electors. 13 And in talking about True the Vote 14 and yourself specifically, were you intimidated 15 by the legal proceedings or by the allegations in 16 the lawsuit? 17 MS. SIEBERT: Same objections. 18 will just make a continuing objection based 19 upon the same -- for this entire line of 20 questioning as earlier. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah, was I 2.2 intimidated by the lawsuit? No. I mean, I'm

	Page 331
1	just concerned about what was happening to
2	the volunteers.
3	MR. NKWONTA: And can we take a
4	quick five-minute break. I think I am just
5	about done. I just want to make sure that we
6	have covered everything.
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
8	off the video record. The time is 5:04 p.m.
9	(Recess taken 5:04 p.m.)
10	(After recess 5:09 p.m.)
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
12	back on the video record. The time is
13	5:09 p.m.
14	BY MR. NKWONTA:
15	Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, we just took a
16	short break. Do you understand you are still
17	under oath?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. I just have a couple of quick
20	questions remaining.
21	First, can you explain to me the
22	term or have you heard of the term the Photo

Catherine Engelbrecht 30(b)(6)

Page 332 1 Ernesto Program? 2 Α. Yes. What is the Photo Ernesto 3 Q. Initiative? 4 5 That was an initiative we had, True Α. the Vote had for a brief period of time, maybe, I 6 don't recall the years, 2012/2013, somewhere in 7 8 there. 9 And, it was led by someone. 10 point and focus in the initiative was to --11 basically everything we did was translated into 12 Spanish and focused on working in Latino 13 communities in that regard. 14 And it was -- and the spokesperson 15 for that was Latina and, you know, was very 16 active in that community and speaking Spanish 17 fluently so it was useful for those folks. 18 What exactly was True the Vote doing Ο. in those Latino communities? 19 20 Everything from helping to do Α. training for voter registration guides, 21 2.2 clarifying on voter registration intake or how to