IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

BLACK VOTERS MATTER CAPACITY BUILDING INSTITUTE, INC., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2022-CA-000666

v.

CORD BYRD, in his official capacity as Florida Secretary of State, *et al.*,

Defendants.

____/

THE FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND THE FLORIDA SENATE'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AS TO SPECIFIC AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendants, the Florida House of Representatives and the Florida Senate, oppose

Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings As to Specific Affirmative Defenses, dated

June 16, 2023.

The Motion Should Be Denied for the Same Reasons the Court Recently Denied Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike

1. On April 14, 2023, Plaintiffs moved to strike certain affirmative defenses

asserted in Defendants' answers. Plaintiffs argued that the public-official standing doctrine

bars Defendants' assertion of those defenses.

2. Defendants responded to the motion, and the Court conducted a hearing on

June 5, 2023. In a written order entered on June 12, 2023, the Court denied Plaintiffs'

motion to strike.

3. Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings raises the same argument again. Plaintiffs concede that the argument presented in their latest motion "is substantially

similar to the argument advanced on the public official standing doctrine in their motion to strike." Mot. at 2 n.1. In fact, Plaintiffs assert that they "do not affirmatively seek reconsideration of the Court's substantive determinations." *Id.* at 2. Instead, as to the House and Senate, Plaintiffs reassert the same public-official standing doctrine only to preserve their arguments for appeal. *Id.* ("Plaintiffs seek to . . . preserve for appeal their argument that the doctrine applies with equal force to Legislative Defendants' affirmative defenses.").¹

4. In response, therefore, the House and Senate incorporate by reference Part II of their Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike, dated May 5, 2023, and the arguments they presented at the hearing on June 5, 2023. The transcript of that hearing is attached as Exhibit A to the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.

The Motion Should Be Denied Because Plaintiffs Failed to Plead the Public-Official Standing Doctrine As an Avoidance

5. The Court should alternatively deny the motion because it asserts an avoidance that Plaintiffs did not plead and have therefore waived.

6. An assertion that a defendant lacks standing to assert an affirmative defense is an avoidance of that defense. *Cf. Cong. Park Off. Condos II, LLC v. First-Citizens Bank & Tr. Co.*, 105 So. 3d 602, 607 (Fla. 4th DCA 2013) (concluding that a defendant's assertion that a plaintiff lacks standing to assert a claim is an affirmative defense).

¹ See also Mot. at 4 ("Through this motion, Plaintiffs seek to dismiss the Secretary's first and second affirmative defenses . . . and preserve their remaining arguments for appeal."); *id.* at 7 n.5 ("Plaintiffs understand that this Court has already determined that the public official standing doctrine does not apply to Legislative Defendants' affirmative defenses. Accordingly, they reiterate their arguments here to preserve them for appeal."); *id.* at 11–12 ("And despite this Court's determination to the contrary, Plaintiffs preserve their arguments that this Court should also dismiss Defendant Florida House's third and fifth affirmative defenses and Defendant Florida Senate's fourth affirmative defense for lack of standing.").

7. Plaintiffs did not, however, assert the public-official standing doctrine as an avoidance in their reply. *See* Pls.' Reply & Claims of Avoidance to Def. Sec'y of State, Def. Fla. House, & Def. Fla.' Senate's Affirmative Defenses to Pls.' Am. Compl. (Mar. 20, 2023).

8. An avoidance is waived if not pleaded in a reply. Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.100(a) ("If an answer . . . contains an affirmative defense and the opposing party seeks to avoid it, the opposing party must file a reply containing the avoidance."); Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.110(d) ("In pleading to a preceding pleading a party shall set forth affirmatively . . . any . . . matter constituting an avoidance or affirmative defense."); *Gamero v. Foremost Ins. Co.*, 208 So. 3d 1195, 1197 (Fla. 3d DCA 2017) (concluding that a trial court may not consider an unpleaded avoidance); *Burton v. Linotype Co.*, 556 So. 2d 1126, 1128 (Fla. 3d DCA 1989) (concluding that failure to plead an avoidance constitutes a waiver).

9. Thus, in *Frisbie v. Carolina Casualty Insurance Co.*, 162 So. 3d 1079, 1080–81 (Fla. 5th DCA 2015), the court reversed a summary judgment entered in reliance on an avoidance that the plaintiff did not plead in a reply.

10. Here too, Plaintiffs failed to allege that the House and Senate lack standing to assert their affirmative defenses. Because Plaintiffs did not plead this avoidance, the avoidance cannot support a judgment in their favor.

WHEREFORE, the Florida House of Representatives and the Florida Senate request that the Court deny Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings As to Specific Affirmative Defenses, dated June 16, 2023.

3

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel E. Nordby

Daniel E. Nordby (FBN 14588) George N. Meros, JR. (FBN 263321) Tara R. Price (FBN 98073) SHUTTS & BOWEN LLP 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 804 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 241-1717 DNordby@shutts.com GMeros@shutts.com TPrice@shutts.com MMontanaro@shutts.com CHill@shutts.com

Carlos Rey (FBN 11648) Kyle Gray (FBN 1039497) FLORIDA SENATE 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399 (850) 487-5855 Rey.Carlos@flsenate.gov

Counsel for the Florida Senate

/s/ Andy Bardos

Andy Bardos (FBN 822671) GRAYROBINSON, P.A. 301 South Bronough Street, Suite 600 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: 850-577-9090 andy.bardos@gray-robinson.com vanessa.reichel@gray-robinson.com *Counsel for the Florida House of Representatives*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on June 28, 2023, the foregoing document was furnished by email to all individuals identified on the Service List that follows.

<u>/s/ Andy Bardos</u> Andy Bardos (FBN 822671) GRAYROBINSON, P.A.

SERVICE LIST

Mohammad O. Jazil Gary V. Perko Michael Beato Chad E. Revis Holtzman Vogel Baran Torchinsky & Josefiak 119 South Monroe Street, Suite 500 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 mjazil@holtzmanvogel.com gperko@holtzmanvogel.com mbeato@holtzmanvogel.com crevis@holtzmanvogel.com zbennington@holtzmanvogel.com

Daniel E. Nordby George N. Meros, Jr. Tara R. Price Shutts & Bowen LLP 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 804 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 dnordby@shutts.com gmeros@shutts.com tprice@shutts.com mmontanaro@shutts.com chill@shutts.com *Attorneys for Defendant, Florida Senate*

Christopher DeLorenz Assistant General Counsel Office of the General Counsel Executive Office of the Governor 400 South Monroe Street, Suite 209 Tallahassee, Florida 32399 christopher.delorenz@eog.myflorida.com Attorneys for Defendant, Secretary of State

Abha Khanna Elias Law Group LLP 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100 Seattle, Washington 98101 akhanna@elias.law *Attorneys for Plaintiffs* Christina A. Ford Joseph N. Posimato Julie Zuckerbrod Jyoti Jasrasaria Elias Law Group LLP 250 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20002 cford@elias.law jposimato@elias.law jzuckerbrod@elias.law jjasrasaria@elias.law *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Bradley R. McVay Joseph S. Van de Bogart Ashley Davis David Chappell Florida Department of State R.A. Gray Building 500 South Bronough Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399 brad.mcvay@dos.myflorida.com joseph.vandebogart@dos.myflorida.com ashley.davis@dos.myflorida.com david.chappell@dos.myflorida.com stephanie.buse@dos.myflorida.com

Frederick S. Wermuth Thomas A. Zehnder King, Blackwell, Zehnder & Wermuth P.A. P.O. Box 1631 Orlando, Florida 32802 fwermuth@kbzwlaw.com tzehnder@kbzwlaw.com *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Carlos Rey Kyle E. Gray Florida Senate 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399 rey.carlos@flsenate.gov gray.kyle@flsenate.gov zaleski.michelle@flsenate.gov *Attorneys for Defendant, Florida Senate*