

June 12, 2023

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Ardoin v. Robinson, No. 21A814

To the Clerk of the Court:

In response to Petitioners' June 8, 2023, correspondence to the Court regarding the abovecaptioned matter, I write on behalf of the *Galmon* Respondents.

When Petitioners filed their emergency application for stay pending appeal and petition for writ of certiorari before judgment with this Court, they argued that it merited the Court's attention because it was functionally identical to Allen v. Milligan, No. 21-1086, and Allen v. Caster, No. 21-1087 (collectively, "Allen").¹ In Petitioners' view, Allen and Ardoin did not present merely similar or overlapping questions; they presented the *same question*: "Because this case presents the same question as [Allen]," Petitioners argued at the time, "the Court should grant certiorari in advance of judgment, consolidate the cases, and issue a briefing schedule for this case under which arguments could be heard the same day as [Allen], or simply hold the case in abeyance pending the opinion in [Allen]." App. 4; see also id. (drawing three analogies between Allen and Ardoin); id. at 39 ("This Court [in Allen] will address an identical issue to the one here—i.e., when does Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act command the creation of additional majority-minority districts."); Reply in Supp. of App. 1 (arguing that Allen v. Caster "present[s] the same legal question at issue in this case"). Indeed, when Respondents suggested that the Court should allow this case to proceed while Allen remained pending, Petitioners accused Respondents of "try[ing] to contrive daylight between this case and [Allen] where none exists," id. at 3 (emphasis added). The Court granted Petitioners' request, ordering this case held in abeyance pending the decision in Allen.

The Court issued its decision in *Allen* on June 8, 2023, prompting an immediate about-face from Petitioners. Petitioners now turn and run from any association with the *Allen* litigation, insisting that this action turns on a medley of fact-specific issues that "suitably distinguish the Court's [*Allen*] decision." Pet'rs' Letter 2; *but see* Reply in Supp. of App. 17 ("Plaintiffs' suggestion that '[*Allen*] is . . . readily distinguishable' . . . is flat wrong." (first ellipsis in original)).

¹ Wesley Allen succeeded John Merrill as Secretary of State of Alabama—and thus, as named Petitioner in that litigation—on January 16, 2023. For consistency, this letter has updated in brackets quoted references to the prior *Merrill v. Milligan* and *Merrill v. Caster* captions.

The Court should reject this transparent bait-and-switch. Petitioners' request for certiorari was premised on avoiding "the colossal waste of judicial resources" that would result if litigation proceeded in this matter, only to require reversal following *Allen*'s resolution of identical threshold legal questions. App. 11. By affirming the analogous district court decisions in *Allen*, the Court has eliminated that risk. This Court should summarily affirm the district court decision below, which applied the same *Gingles* framework that this Court reviewed and reaffirmed in *Allen*. In the alternative, the stay in this case should be dissolved, as anticipated by Petitioners at the application stage, and any efforts by Petitioners to distinguish the *Allen* decision should be heard in the first instance by the lower courts in the regular course of litigation.

Sincerely,

GBh Kle

Abha Khanna

Counsel of Record for the Galmon *Respondents* cc: Counsel of Record

PEERAFUED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET, COM

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Abha Khanna, hereby certify that I emailed the foregoing Letter Brief for Galmon Respondents in No. 21A814, Kyle Ardoin, Secretary of State of Louisiana, et al., v. Press Robinson, et al., this 12th day of June, 2023, to:

Elizabeth Baker Murrill Office of the Attorney General 1885 N. Third Street Baton Rouge, LA 70802 murrille@ag.louisiana.gov (225) 326-6766

Stuart Charles Naifeh NAACP Legal Defense and Education Fund, Inc. 40 Rector Street ETRIEVED FROM DEMOGRACIDOCKET.COM 5th Floor New York, NY 10006 snaifeh@naacpledf.org (917) 574-5846

Edmund Gerard LaCour, Jr. Office of the Attorney General 501 Washington Ave Montgomery, AL 36130 edmund.lacour@alabamaag.gov (334) 242-7300

Christopher E. Mills Spero Law LLC 557 East Bay Street #22251 Charleston, SC 29413 (843) 606-0640 cmills@spero.law

Tyler Green Consovoy McCarthy PLLC 222 S. Main Street 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84101 (703) 243-9423 tyler@consovoymccarthy.com