1 The Honorable J. Robert S. Lasnik 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 BENANCIO GARCIA III, NO. 3:22-cv-05152-RSL 11 Plaintiff, **DEFENDANT STEVEN HOBBS'** ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S 12 COMPLAINT v. 13 STEVEN HOBBS in his official capacity as Secretary of State of Washington, 14 Defendant. 15 Defendant Steven Hobbs hereby answers Plaintiff's Complaint as follows. 16 Secretary Hobbs reserves the right to amend this pleading as permitted by this Court's 17 rules and orders, including Fed. R. Civ. P. 15. 18 19 I. INTRODUCTION 1. Admit. 20 21 2. Secretary Hobbs admits that, as part of the 2021 redistricting process, the Washington State Redistricting Commission approved a plan for the redistricting of state 22 legislative districts. Secretary Hobbs further admits that the Washington Legislature amended 23 that plan. Secretary Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the 24 25 remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 26

- 3. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Secretary Hobbs admits that the language in quotations is accurate but denies that the Paragraph is a complete or accurate recitation or summary of the referenced law.
- 4. Secretary Hobbs admits that Legislative District 15 crosses five county lines and bisects Pasco and Yakima, which Secretary Hobbs admits are among the largest cities in Central or Eastern Washington by population. Secretary Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 5. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *Cooper v. Harris*, 137 S. Ct. 1455 (2017), which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 6. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *Cooper v. Harris*, 137 S. Ct. 1455 (2017), which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 7. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *Abbott v. Perez*, 138 S. Ct. 2305 (2018), which otherwise speaks for itself. Secretary Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 8. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is required,

- 15. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Secretary Hobbs admits that he is a state official who resides in Washington and performs official duties in Olympia, Washington.
- 16. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Secretary Hobbs admits only that venue is proper in this judicial district and that he is a state official performing official duties in the Western District of Washington.

IV. FACTS

A. Washington State Redistricting

- 17. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of the Washington State Constitution, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 18. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of the Washington State Constitution, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 19. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of the Washington State Constitution, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 20. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of the Revised Code of Washington, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 21. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of the Washington State Constitution, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.

26

- 22. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of the Washington State Constitution, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 23. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted or paraphrased a portion of the Revised Code of Washington, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 24. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of the Revised Code of Washington, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 25. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of the Revised Code of Washington, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 26. Secretary Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of the Revised Code of Washington, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent that a response is required, Secretary Hobbs denies that the Paragraph is a complete or accurate recitation or summary of the referenced law.

B. The History of Legislative District 15

- 27. Secretary Hobbs admits that from 1931 to 1982, and 2002 to 2021, Legislative District 15 contained a portion of Yakima County, and from 1982 to 2001, contained a portion of Yakima County as well as portions of neighboring counties, but never Othello or Pasco. Secretary Hobbs denies any remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 28. Secretary Hobbs admits that the image in this paragraph is an accurate reproduction of a portion of the 1931-1957 district map in STATE OF WASH., MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURE 1889-2019 (2019). Secretary Hobbs admits that the section of the image designated as Legislative District 15 contains only a portion of Yakima County. To the extent a further response is required, denied.

- 29. Secretary Hobbs admits that the image in this paragraph is an accurate reproduction of a portion of the 1957-1965 district map in STATE OF WASH., MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURE 1889-2019 (2019). Secretary Hobbs admits that the section of the image designated as Legislative District 15 contains only a portion of Yakima County. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 30. Secretary Hobbs admits that the image in this paragraph is an accurate reproduction of a portion of the 1965-1972 district map in STATE OF WASH., MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURE 1889-2019 (2019). Secretary Hobbs admits that the section of the image designated as Legislative District 15 contains only a portion of Yakima County. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 31. Secretary Hobbs admits that the image in this paragraph is an accurate reproduction of a portion of the 1972-1981 district map in STATE OF WASH., MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURE 1889-2019 (2019). Secretary Hobbs admits that the section of the image designated as Legislative District 15 contains only a portion of Yakima County. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 32. Secretary Hobbs admits that the image in this paragraph is an accurate reproduction of a portion of the 1982-1991 district map in STATE OF WASH., MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURE 1889-2019 (2019). Secretary Hobbs admits that the section of the image designated as Legislative District 15 contains portions of Yakima and Benton Counties. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 33. Secretary Hobbs admits that the image in this paragraph is an accurate reproduction of a portion of the 1991-2001 district map in STATE OF WASH., MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURE 1889-2019 (2019). Secretary Hobbs admits that the section of the image designated as Legislative District 15 contains portions of Yakima, Benton, Klickitat, and Skamania Counties. To the extent a further response is required, denied.

that the quoted language appears in Commissioner Walkinshaw's statement on his proposal, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.

- 44. Secretary Hobbs admits that the image appears to be a partial reproduction of Commissioner Fain's proposed map, which speaks for itself. Secretary Hobbs admits that the quoted language appears in Commissioner Fain's statement on his proposal, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 45. Secretary Hobbs admits that the image appears to be a partial reproduction of Commissioner Graves's proposed map, which speaks for itself. Secretary Hobbs admits that the quoted language appears in Commissioner Graves's statement on his proposal, which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, depied.
- 46. Secretary Hobbs admits that the hyperlink in this Paragraph leads to the cited report by Dr. Barreto, which otherwise speaks for itself. Secretary Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 47. Secretary Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 48. This Paragraph contains Plaintiff's characterization of the above-cited report, which otherwise speaks for itself and does not require a response. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 49. This Paragraph contains Plaintiff's characterization of the above-cited report, which otherwise speaks for itself and does not require a response. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 50. This Paragraph contains Plaintiff's characterization of the above-cited report, which otherwise speaks for itself and does not require a response. To the extent a further response is required, denied.

Hobbs admits only that the image appears to be a reproduction of Legislative District 15 under the Commission's plan, and otherwise denies.

- 61. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Secretary Hobbs admits only that the current Legislative District 15 extends to Mattawa and Othello, and otherwise denies.
- 62. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Secretary Hobbs admits only that the current Legislative District 15 covers portions of five counties and no complete county, and otherwise denies.
- 63. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Secretary Hobbs admits only that the adopted district plan divides Yakima, Pasco, Grandview, and Moxee, and that Pasco, Othello, and the Hanford Nuclear Site have not previously been in the same legislative district as Yakima County of city, and otherwise denies.
- 64. Secretary Hobbs admits that the boundaries of the new Legislative District 15 approved by the Redistricting Commission are not identical to prior Legislative District 15 boundaries or those of any publicly-proposed districts by any Commissioner during the 2021 redistricting process. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 65. Secretary Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 66. Secretary Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 67. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, denied.

1	68. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
2	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Secretary
3	Hobbs admits that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of <i>Cooper v. Harris</i> , 137 S. Ct.
4	1455 (2017), which otherwise speaks for itself.
5	69. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
6	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Secretary
7	Hobbs admits that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of <i>Abbott v. Perez</i> , 138 S. Ct.
8	2305 (2018), which otherwise speaks for itself.
9	70. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
10	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Secretary
11	Hobbs admits that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of <i>Cooper v. Harris</i> , 137 S. Ct.
12	1455 (2017), which otherwise speaks for itself.
13	V. CLAIMS
14	A. Violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution
15	71. Secretary Hobbs restates and incorporates by reference his responses to the
16	allegations in the Complaint.
17	72. Secretary Hobbs admits that this Paragraph accurately quotes a portion of
18	Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, which otherwise speaks for
19	itself.
20	73. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
21	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, denied.
22	74. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
23	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, denied.
24	75. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
25	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, denied.
26	
I.	

1 76. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined 2 by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, denied. 3 PLAINTIFF'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF VI. 4 Secretary Hobbs takes no position on whether Plaintiff is entitled to any relief requested 5 except that Secretary Hobbs denies that Plaintiff is entitled to an award of costs, expenses, 6 disbursements, or reasonable attorneys' fees against Secretary Hobbs. 7 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 8 Secretary Hobbs' affirmative defenses to the Complaint are set forth below. By setting forth 9 the following defenses, Secretary Hobbs does not assume burden of proof on the matter at issue other than those on which he has the burden of proof as a matter of law. Secretary Hobbs reserves 10 11 the right to supplement these defenses. 12 1. Plaintiff has failed to join all necessary parties. 13 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 14 1. Such relief as the Court deems just and proper. 15 DATED this 13th day of May, 2022. 16 ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General 17 s/Leslie A. Griffith 18 KARL D. SMITH, WSBA 41988 LESLIE A. GRIFFITH, WSBA 47197 19 Deputy Solicitors General 1125 Washington Street SE 20 PO Box 40100 Olympia, WA 98504-0100 21 (360) 753-6200 Karl.Smith@atg.wa.gov 22 Leslie.Griffith@atg.wa.gov 23 24 25 26

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using
3	the CM/ECF System, which in turn automatically generated a Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF)
4	to all parties in the case who are registered users of the CM/ECF system. The NEF for the
5	foregoing specifically identifies recipients of electronic notice as follows:
6	Andrew R. Stokesbary, WSBA 46097
7	1003 Main Street, Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390
8	(206) 486-0795 dstokesbary@stokesbarypllc.com
9	
10	DATED this 13th day of May 2022, at Olympia, Washington.
11	
12	s/ Leena Vanderwood LEENA VANDERWOOD
13	Legal Assistant
14	Legal Assistant
15	DEP.
16	DIE VE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
ا ۵۷	