1		The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9	SUSAN SOTO PALMER, et al.,	NO. 3:22-cv-5035-RSL
10	Plaintiffs,	STATE OF WASHINGTON'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'
11	v.	RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS REMEDIAL PROPOSALS
12	STEVEN HOBBS, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of Washington, and	arc-100
13	the STATE OF WASHINGTON,	3
14	Defendants,	
15	and	
16	JOSE TREVINO, et al.,	
17	Intervenor-Defendants.	
18		
19	Pursuant to this Court's October 4, 2023 Order (Dkt. # 230), the State of Washington	
20	submits the following response to the proposed remedial maps submitted by Plaintiffs.	
21	The State does not dispute Plaintiffs' assertion that each map "is a complete and	
22	comprehensive remedy to Plaintiffs' Section 2 harms" Dkt. # 245 at p. 2. The State defers	
23	to the Court on which remedial map best provides Latino voters with an equal opportunity to	
24	elect candidates of their choice while also balancing traditional redistricting criteria and	
25	federal law.	
26		

1

## 1 **DECLARATION OF SERVICE** I hereby declare that on this day I caused the foregoing document to be electronically 2 filed with the Clerk of the Court using the Court's CM/ECF System which will serve a copy of 3 4 this document upon all counsel of record. DATED this 22nd day of December 2023, at Seattle, Washington. 5 6 /s/ Andrew R.W. Hughes ANDREW R.W. HUGHES, WSBA #49515 7 Assistant Attorney General 8 RELIBIENED FROM DEINO CRACYDOCKET COM 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26