The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

2

1

3

4

56

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

SUSAN SOTO PALMER, et. al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STEVEN HOBBS, et. al.,

Defendants,

and

JOSE TREVINO, ISMAEL CAMPOS, and ALEX YBARRA,

Intervenor-Defendants.

Case No.: 3:22-cv-05035-RSL

Judge: Robert S. Lasnik

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO STATE OF WASHINGTON'S STATUS REPORT

Plaintiffs respectfully submit this response to State of Washington's Status Report to this Court, Dkt. 225, and Non-Party Legislators' Statement in Response to Court Order, Dkt. 227. Plaintiffs agree that the Court ought to "allow[] legislative efforts to continue for the full period originally allotted by the Court's decision in this case." Dkt. 227 at 4. However, in light of the State of Washington's contention that the Commission is unlikely to be reconvened, Plaintiffs propose that the parties proceed with a dual-track approach.

Plaintiffs propose that the Court continue to provide the Legislature its allotted time to reconvene the Commission should doing so become politically feasible. However, rather than waiting for that time to elapse before accepting alternative remedial proposals, Plaintiffs propose

that the Court set earlier deadlines for both the parties and interested nonparties to file their proposals in the interim. This will ensure that the Court has alternative remedial proposals in hand and ready for its consideration by January 8, 2024 should the Legislature fail to reconvene the Commission and/or transmit an approved map by that deadline.¹

Accordingly, Plaintiffs propose the following amended schedule for the parties to file alternative remedial submissions:

December 1, 2023: Deadline for parties and non-parties, including amici curiae, to submit remedial proposals, supporting memoranda, and exhibits (including expert reports)

December 22, 2023: Deadline for parties and non-parties, including amici curiae, to submit briefs and rebuttal expert reports in response to remedial proposals

January 5, 2024: Deadline for parties and non-parties, including amici curiae, to submit replies to the responsive briefs and sur-rebuttal expert reports

Regarding the State's suggestion to appoint a special master, Plaintiffs believe that doing so is not necessary for the Court to select an appropriate remedy in this matter. As the Court envisioned in its Memorandum Opinion, if the Legislature fails to reconvene the Commission, the Court can consider and select from the parties' alternative remedial submissions, which will

¹ In the instance that the Legislature does reconvene the Commission and transmit an approved map by the January 8, 2024 deadline, Plaintiffs request that the parties be given 14 days to respond with memoranda and exhibits, including expert reports, regarding the legality of that map and whether it remedies the VRA violation.

² So that the parties, nonparties, their experts and the Court can adequately review and analyze the remedial proposals, each remedial proposal shall include with the filing the following: zoomable pdf of the map boundaries that includes important roadway and geographic markers as well as voting precinct boundaries. The filing shall also include demographic data, including total population per district and race by district of total population and citizen voting age population. Contemporaneous with the filing, all counsel of record shall be provided shapefiles, a comma separated value file, or an equivalent file that is sufficient to load the proposed plan into commonly available mapping software.

include additional expert analysis and "supporting memoranda and exhibits for the Court's consideration." Dkt. 218 at 32. The Court also has the benefit of a trial record that includes exhaustive analysis of several potential remedial districts. Unlike Singleton, this case involves a single state legislative district and does not require the sort of judicial line-drawing across the state that might necessitate a special master. Nevertheless, if the Court intends to appoint a special master, Plaintiffs request that they be given an opportunity to propose appropriate experts for the Court to consider and that the Court consider engaging a special master only to assist in the assessment of proposed plans. If the special master is ordered to go beyond assisting the Court in evaluating plans, and also to prepare plans for the Court's adoption. Plaintiffs request that they be given an opportunity to comment on those plans prior to the Court's entering of a final remedial order. Dated: October 3, 2023 By: /s/ Edwardo Morfin Chad W. Dunn* Edwardo Morfin Sonni Waknin*

13

UCLA Voting Rights Project

Los Angeles, CA 90095

Chad@uclavrp.org

Sonni@uclavrp.org

Mark P. Gaber*

Simone Leeper*

Benjamin Phillips* Campaign Legal Center

1101 14th St. NW, Ste. 400

mgaber@campaignlegal.org

sleeper@campaignlegal.org

amulji@campaignlegal.org

Washington, DC 20005

Aseem Mulii*

Telephone: 310-400-6019

3250 Public Affairs Building

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

WSBA No. 47831 Morfin Law Firm, PLLC 2602 N. Proctor Street, Suite 205 Tacoma, WA 98407 Telephone: 509-380-9999

Annabelle E. Harless* Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe St., Ste. 1925 Chicago, IL 60603 aharless@campaignlegal.org

Thomas A. Saenz* Ernest Herrera* Leticia M. Saucedo* Erika Cervantes* Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund

Case 3:22-cv-05035-RSL Document 229 Filed 10/03/23 Page 4 of 5

1	bphillips@campaignlegal.org *Admitted pro hac vice		643 S. Spring St., 11th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90014 Telephone: (213) 629-2512
2			
3	Counsel for Plaintiffs		tsaenz@maldef.org eherrera@maldef.org
4			lsaucedo@maldef.org ecervantes@maldef.org
5			\odot
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			COM
11			CKE!
12		RETAIL VED FROM DE MOS	C400°
13		20,	RA
14		and Environment	
15		ERON.	
16		OLEVED .	
17		PETER STATE OF THE PETER STATE O	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that all counsel of record were served a copy of the foregoing this 3rd day of October, 2023 via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Edwardo Morfin

Edwardo Morfin WSBA No. 47831 Morfin Law Firm, PLLC 2602 N. Proctor Street, Suite 205 Tacoma, WA 98407 Telephone: 509-380-9999

3ET RIEVED FROM DEING CRACYDOCKET. COM