1	The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik	
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	For The Western District Of Washington	
7	Susan Soto Palmer, <i>et al</i> .,	
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	V.	
10	STEVEN HOBBS, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of Washington, and the	
11	STATE OF WASHINGTON;	No. 3:22-cv-5035-RSL
12	Defendants,	Non-Party Legislators' Statement In Response To Court Order
13	and	ACTO
14	OSE TREVINO, ISMAEL G. CAMPOS, and REPRESENTATIVE ALEX YBARRA,	
15	Intervenor-Defendants.	
16		
17	I. INTRODUCTION	
18	Non-parties Senate Minority Leader John Braun and House Deputy Minority Leader Mike	
19	Steele ("Minority Caucus Leaders") file this Statement with the Court regarding their ongoing	
20	efforts to negotiate with legislators of both parties to call a special session of the Washington	
21	Legislature ("Legislature") for the purpose of reconvening the Washington State Redistricting	
22	Commission ("Commission") pursuant to WASH. CONST. Art. II, § 43(8).	
23	The Minority Caucus Leaders understand that they are not parties to the above-captioned	
24	case, but disagree with the recent contention to the Court by Defendant State of Washington	
25	("State") that "it appears clear that the Legislature will not return for a special session, and the	
26	Redistricting Commission will not be reconstituted." Dkt. No. 225 at 2.	
27		

Non-Party Legislators' Statement - 1 Palmer v. Hobbs, No. 3:22-cv-5035-RSL

Ard Law Group PLLC

P.O. Box 11633 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 Phone: (206) 701-9243 Counsel for the State does not represent the Legislature or any member of the Legislature,
 including the Minority Caucus Leaders or any member of their caucuses. Accordingly, the
 Minority Caucus Leaders are filing this Statement with the Court to ensure their position and
 ongoing efforts to negotiate a legislative resolution are not properly presented.

5

II. STATEMENT

On August 10, 2023, the Court released its Memorandum of Decision in this case. See Dkt.
No. 218. That decision gave the State "an opportunity to adopt revised legislative district maps for
the Yakima Valley region pursuant to the process set forth in the Washington State Constitution
and state statutes" by February 7, 2024, with the Commission's revised map functionally due to
the Legislature by January 8, 2024. *Id.* at 32.

While the Court has evidently taken judicial notice (see Dkt. No. 224) of statements by 11 12 Senate Majority Andy Billig and Speaker of the House Laurie Jinkins regarding "their decision not 13 to meet in special session to reconvene the redistricting commission," Press Release, Sen. Andy Billig & Rep. Laurie Jinkins, Leader Billig, Speaker Jinkins Call for Non-Partisan Process for VRA-14 15 Compliant Legislative District in Yakima Valley (Sept. 13, 2023), *available* at https://housedemocrats.wa.gov/jinkins/2023/09/13/leader-billig-speaker-jinkins-call-for-non-16 partisan-process-for-vra-compliant-legislative-district-in-yakima-valley/, the Minority Caucus 17 Leaders respectfully remind the Court that such a decision is not theirs alone to make. 18

19 There are 98 members of the Washington State House of Representatives and 49 members 20 of the Washington State Senate. See RCW 44.05.090(4). A special session of the Legislature may be convened by "the affirmative vote in each house of two-thirds of the members elected or 21 appointed thereto," WASH. CONST. Art. II, § 12(2), pursuant to a process established by the 22 Joint Rules of the 68th Legislature, see H. Con. Res. 4401, 68th Leg., 2023 Reg. Sess. Rule 29 (Wash. 23 24 2023). A two-third vote of legislators in each chamber is also required to reconvene the Commission. See WASH. CONST. Art. II, § 43(8); RCW 44.05.120(1). Thus, it will be up to 66 25 Representatives and 33 Senators to decide whether to convene a special session of the Legislature 26

27

Ard Law Group PLLC

P.O. Box 11633 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 Phone: (206) 701-9243 and reconvene the Commission, not any one caucus leader. Caucus leaders, including the Minority
 Caucus Leaders, are typically elected by their members to represent them, not to dictate to them.

In light of this numerical reality, shortly after the Court's decision in this case, the Minority Caucus Leaders began to engage in discussions with a multitude of legislators, both from their own caucuses and from the respective majority caucuses, regarding the Legislature's next steps. In its decision, the Court provided until as late as January 8, 2024 for the Legislature to reconvene the Commission, *id.* at 32, and the Minority Caucus Leaders have been engaging in their deliberations with this deadline in mind.

9 As the Court surely understands, the deliberative process can be messy. The Court need 10 look no further than the Commission's own negotiations in the fall of 2021 for a recent example of this—where the four voting Commissioners published their own proposals in September, two of 11 them published modified proposals in October, and all four finally agreed to a consensus map 12 13 (which bore little resemblance to any of the six publicly-released proposals) literally seconds before the November 15 deadline. (See, e.g., Dkt. No. 212 at 10-16.) As this example shows, and as the 14 Minority Caucus Leaders have learned from their own experience, bipartisan agreements can often 15 be forged in the crucible of a deadline, even if negotiators had previously appeared far apart. 16

17 Thus, the Minority Caucus Leaders believe it would be premature for the Court to suddenly alter its remedial process less than two months into the five-month period originally 18 allotted, particularly on the basis of out-of-court statements by just two of the Legislature's 147 19 members. To do so would severely undercut the bipartisan spirit imbued in Washington's 20 21 redistricting process. Much could happen over the next several months-for example, public pressure could mount on legislators to reconvene the Commission, supporters of a reconvened 22 Commission could agree to certain parameters as a condition for reconvening the Commission, or 23 24 opponents of reconvening the Commission could agree to do so in exchange for concessions on other legislative priorities of theirs-and the Court should ensure legislators have sufficient time 25 to engage in their legislative deliberations before assuming the Legislature has deadlocked. 26

27

Ard Law Group PLLC

P.O. Box 11633 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 Phone: (206) 701-9243 1 2

III. CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the Minority Caucus Leaders strongly disagree with the State's bald 3 assertion that "the Legislature will not return for a special session, and the Redistricting Commission will not be reconstituted." Dkt. No. 225 at 2. Instead, the Minority Caucus leaders 4 want the Court to know they are diligently working with legislators on both sides of the aisle to find 5 a path toward reconvening the Commission. 6

7 "[R]eapportionment is primarily the duty and responsibility of the State through its 8 legislature or other body, rather than of a federal court." Chapman v. Meier, 420 U.S. 1, 27 (1975) (citing Reynolds v. Sims, 377 U.S. 533, 586 (1964); Md. Comm. for Fair Representation v. Tawes, 377 9 10 U.S. 656, 676 (1964)). And as the Court is surely aware, the Commission is the *sole* method for "chang[ing] or establish[ing]" legislative districts under Washington's constitution. See WASH. 11 Const. Art. II, § 43(11).¹ 12

Therefore, the Minority Caucus Leaders believe that every opportunity should be provided 13 to the Legislature before the Court takes over the redistricting process, including allowing 14 legislative efforts to continue for the full period originally allotted by the Court's decision in this 15 RETRIEVED FR case. See Dkt. No. 218 at 32. 16

17 ///

18 ///

- 19 ///
- 20 ///
- 21 ///
- 22 ///
- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25

NON-PARTY LEGISLATORS' STATEMENT - 4

ARD LAW GROUP PLLC

²⁶ ¹ This constitutional amendment was approved by over 60 percent of Washington voters when placed on the ballot. See Washington Secretary of State, November 1983 General Election Results, available at 27 https://www.sos.wa.gov/elections/results report.aspx?e=&c=&c2=&t=&t2=&p=&p2=103&y=1983.

September 29, 2023. ARD LAW GROUP PLLC By: Joel B. Ard, WSBA # 40104 P.O. Box 11633 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 206.701.9243 Joel@Ard.law Jn-Pa CONDENOCRACHOCKET.CON Attorneys For Non-Party Legislators Braun and

Non-Party Legislators' Statement

PALMER V. HOBBS, NO. 3:22-cv-5035-RSL