

KATHRYN SZELIGA, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v.

LINDA LAMONE, et al.,

Defendants

* * * * *

NEIL PARROTT, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v.

LINDA LAMONE, et al.,

Defendants

* * * * *

* IN THE
* CIRCUIT COURT
* FOR
* ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY
* CASE NO.: C-02-CV-21-001816

* IN THE
* CIRCUIT COURT
* FOR
* ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY
* CASE NO.: C-02-CV-21-001773

SHOW CAUSE ORDER REGARDING COURT CONSULTANT


The Court intends to appoint a consultant to advise it with regard to legislative redistricting, pursuant to its inherent powers, *Arey v. State*, 400 Md. 491, 508-09 (2007), and Rule 5-706 of the Maryland Rules of Evidence. The parties in the above-captioned cases are ordered to show cause why Dr. James G. Gimpel, whose curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit 1, should not be appointed as a consultant to the Court, because of bias, qualification, experience, or any other factor. The parties are also ordered to make recommendations regarding the scope of Dr. Gimpel's work as a consultant to the Court.

02/23/2022 SMB

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYPOCKET.COM

Responses are required in writing and must be filed no later than Friday, February 25, 2022, at 4:30 p.m.

2/23/2022
Date



LYNNE BATTAGLIA, Judge (Ret.)
Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County

CC:
All parties

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM