

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

IN RE GEORGIA SENATE BILL 202

Master Case No.:
1:21-MI-55555-JPB

SIXTH DISTRICT OF THE AFRICAN
METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH, a
Georgia nonprofit organization, *et al.*,

Civil Action No.:
1:21-CV-01284-JPB

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRIAN KEMP, Governor of the State of
Georgia, in his official capacity, *et al.*,

Defendants,

REPUBLICAN NATIONAL
COMMITTEE, *et al.*,

Intervenor-Defendants.

**STATE DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO
PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT**

INTRODUCTION

SB 202 updates Georgia's reasonable, nondiscriminatory election rules in response to lessons learned from challenges to the 2018 and 2020 elections. And SB 202 provides procedural safeguards of the type necessary to restore confidence in the integrity of Georgia elections for voters on all sides of the political spectrum. The reforms are also designed to streamline the process of conducting elections in Georgia and reduce the burdens on elections officials. Contrary to the Second Amended Complaint, SB 202 expands ballot access beyond previous statutory limits.

Ignoring this expanded access created by SB 202, the Second Amended Complaint asks the Court to make permanent various emergency practices that were temporarily permitted by the State during the 2020 and 2021 elections due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Yet there is no basis to do so, as Georgia's election laws, as amended by SB 202, expand every voter's access to voting.

Tellingly, the Second Amended Complaint focuses largely on practices most susceptible to abuse, such as the solicitation and harvesting of absentee ballots, and claims that regulation of those practices is "discriminatory." But there is nothing discriminatory about restricting practices that are widely recognized to undermine ballot integrity. Moreover, the claim of discrimination rests on the *false* assumption that demographic groups

Plaintiffs claim to represent are either less competent or less honest than other demographic groups in the Georgia electorate.

Plaintiffs also complain about past long lines and polling place closures. But those resulted from decisions in the Democrat-dominated counties where those problems occurred and have nothing to do with SB 202's reforms, which are designed to reduce similar problems in the future.

In short, Plaintiffs' claims should be rejected because this Court's role "does not extend to second-guessing and interfering with a State's reasonable, nondiscriminatory election rules." *New Ga. Project v. Raffensperger*, 976 F.3d 1278, 1284 (11th Cir. 2020). That is surely so when the alternative is judicial enactment of Plaintiffs' partisan policy goals.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted because, among other things, SB 202 does not have a discriminatory purpose or intent.

Second Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs lack standing to bring this action.

Third Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs' claims are barred by sovereign immunity and the Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs have not been subjected to the deprivation of any right, privilege, or immunity under the Constitution or laws of the United States.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs failed to join necessary and indispensable parties.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs' requested relief is barred by the *Purcell* Principle.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs' Voting Rights Act Section 2 claims are barred because there is no private right of action under Section 2.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

State Defendants reserve the right to amend their defenses and to add additional ones, including lack of subject matter jurisdiction based on the mootness or ripeness doctrines, as further information becomes available in discovery.

RESPONSES

State Defendants respond to the separately numbered paragraphs and prayer for relief contained in the Second Amended Complaint below. To the extent that any allegation is not expressly admitted herein, it is denied. Moreover, to the extent that the Second Amended Complaint refers to or quotes from external documents, statutes, or other sources, State Defendants may

refer to such materials for their accurate and complete contents; however, State Defendants' references are not intended to be, and should not be construed to be, an admission that the cited materials: (a) are correctly cited or quoted by Plaintiffs; (b) are relevant to this, or any other, action; or (c) are admissible in this, or any other, action.

On those understandings, State Defendants answer as follows:

INTRODUCTION¹

1. Paragraph 1 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

2. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 and deny them on that basis.

3. State Defendants admit the importance of the right to vote. By way of further response, State Defendants aver that SB 202 was passed for the purpose of protecting that important right.

¹ For ease of reference, State Defendants refer hereafter to Plaintiffs' headings and titles, but to the extent those headings and titles could be construed to contain factual allegations, those allegations are denied.

4. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 4.

5. Paragraph 5 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of population data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant population data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegation inconsistent therewith.

6. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 6.

7. State Defendants deny that there were barriers to vote in the 2020 General Election and 2021 Runoff Elections. State Defendants admit the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 7.

8. Paragraph 8 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of voter turnout data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant turnout data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

9. Paragraph 9 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of voter turnout data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant turnout data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

10. State Defendants admit that the 2020 elections and 2021 runoff elections took place in a global pandemic. This remaining allegations in Paragraph 10 contain Plaintiffs' characterization of voter turnout and epidemiological data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant turnout data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

11. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 11.

12. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 and deny them on that basis.

13. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 and deny them on that basis.

14. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 and deny them on that basis.

15. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 and deny them on that basis.

16. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 and deny them on that basis.

17. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 17.

18. Paragraph 18 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterizations of election results, legal action, and uncited statements, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the referenced election results, legal actions, and statements for a full and accurate statement of their outcomes and contents and deny any allegation inconsistent therewith.

19. Paragraph 19 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of voting activity, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the voting activity for a full and accurate description of its results and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

20. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 20.

21. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 21.

22. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 and further state that the provisions of SB 202 speak for themselves.

23. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first two sentences of Paragraph 23. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

24. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first two sentences of Paragraph 24. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

25. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 25.

26. Paragraph 26 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, State Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

27. Paragraph 27 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, State Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

28. Paragraph 28 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, State Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

29. Paragraph 29 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. State Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

30. Paragraph 30 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

31. Paragraph 31 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, State Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

PARTIES

Plaintiffs

32. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 and deny them on that basis.

33. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 and deny them on that basis.

34. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 34.

35. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 35 and deny them on that basis.

36. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 and deny them on that basis.

37. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 37 and deny them on that basis.

38. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 38 and deny them on that basis.

39. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 and deny them on that basis.

40. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 and deny them on that basis.

41. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 41 and deny them on that basis.

42. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to

form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 42 and deny them on that basis.

43. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 43 and deny them on that basis.

44. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 and deny them on that basis.

45. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 45 and deny them on that basis.

46. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 46 and deny them on that basis.

47. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 47 and deny them on that basis.

48. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to

form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 48 and deny them on that basis.

49. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 49 and deny them on that basis.

50. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 50 and deny them on that basis.

51. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 51 and deny them on that basis.

52. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 52 and deny them on that basis.

53. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 53 and deny them on that basis.

54. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to

form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 54 and deny them on that basis.

55. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55 and deny them on that basis.

56. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 56 and deny them on that basis.

57. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 57 and deny them on that basis.

58. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 58 and deny them on that basis.

59. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 59 and deny them on that basis.

60. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 60 and deny them on that basis.

61. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 61 and deny them on that basis.

62. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 62 and deny them on that basis.

63. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 63 and deny them on that basis.

64. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 64 and deny them on that basis.

65. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 65 and deny them on that basis.

66. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 66 and deny them on that basis.

67. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 67 and deny them on that basis.

68. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 68 and deny them on that basis.

69. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 69 and deny them on that basis.

70. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 70 and deny them on that basis.

71. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 71 and deny them on that basis.

72. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 72 and deny them on that basis.

73. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 73 and deny them on that basis.

74. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 74 and deny them on that basis.

75. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 75 and deny them on that basis.

76. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 76 and deny them on that basis.

77. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 77 and deny them on that basis.

78. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to

form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 78 and deny them on that basis.

79. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 79 and deny them on that basis.

80. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 80 and deny them on that basis.

81. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 81 and deny them on that basis.

82. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 82 and deny them on that basis.

83. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 83 and deny them on that basis.

84. State Defendants deny the allegations regarding the effects of SB 202. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to

form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 84 and deny them on that basis.

Defendants

85. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 85.

86. State Defendants admit that Brad Raffensperger is the Secretary of State, that his office issues non-binding guidance to county election officials, and that he is named in his official capacity. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required, and State Defendants deny all remaining allegations in Paragraph 86.

87. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 87. By way of further response, State Defendants aver that local county election officials are responsible for implementing many election statutes and procedures.

88. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 88, except that the current members of the State Election Board are John Fervier, Sara Tindall Ghazal, Rick Jeffares, Janice Johnston, and Janelle King. Because this is an official-capacity suit, they are automatically substituted.

89. State Defendants admit that the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections is responsible for the administration of elections in

Fulton County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 89 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

90. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 90.

91. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 91 and deny them on that basis. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

92. State Defendants admit that the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections is responsible for the administration of elections in DeKalb County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 92 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and

accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

93. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 93. By way of further response, Defendants

94. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 94 and deny them on that basis. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

95. State Defendants admit that the Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections is responsible for the administration of elections in Gwinnett County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 95 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

96. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 96.

97. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 97 and deny them on that basis. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

98. State Defendants admit that the Cobb County Board of Elections and Registration is responsible for the administration of elections in Cobb County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 98 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

99. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 99.

100. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth

of the allegations contained in Paragraph 100 and deny them on that basis. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

101. State Defendants admit that the Hall County Board of Elections and Registration is responsible for the administration of elections in Hall County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 101 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

102. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 102.

103. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 103 and deny them on that basis. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

104. State Defendants admit that the Clayton County Board of Elections and Registration is responsible for the administration of elections in Clayton County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 104 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

105. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 105.

106. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 106 and deny them on that basis. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

107. State Defendants admit that the Richmond County Board of Elections is responsible for the administration of elections in Richmond County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 107 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants

respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

108. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 108.

109. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 109 and deny them on that basis. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

110. State Defendants admit that the Bibb County Board of Elections is responsible for the administration of elections in Bibb County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 110 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

111. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 111.

112. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 112 and deny them on that basis. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

113. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 113.

114. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 114.

115. State Defendants admit that the Chatham County Board of Elections is responsible for the administration of elections in Chatham County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 115 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

116. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 116.

117. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 117.

118. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 118.

119. State Defendants admit that the Clarke County Board of Elections and Registration is responsible for the administration of elections in Clarke County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 119 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

120. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 120.

121. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 121 and deny them on that basis. The remaining allegations are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

122. State Defendants admit that the Columbia County Board of Elections is responsible for the administration of elections in Columbia County. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 122 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

123. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 123.

124. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 124.

125. This answer is filed on behalf of the State Defendants, who lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 125.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

126. State Defendants admit that this Court has federal-question jurisdiction for claims arising under the Voting Rights Act. State Defendants deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 126.

127. Paragraph 127 sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required, and State Defendants therefore deny them. State Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.

128. Paragraph 128 sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required, and State Defendants therefore deny them. State Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.

129. State Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 129.

130. To the extent the Court has jurisdiction over this matter, State Defendants admit that venue is proper in this Court. State Defendants deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 130.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Georgia has a long history and ongoing record of racial discrimination in voting.

131. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 131. The remaining portions of this paragraph contain Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

132. Paragraph 132 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of historical events, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants admit that events have occurred in the State previously that have harmed racial minorities. But State Defendants

deny any implication that these events are relevant to the claims brought in this action.

133. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 133 but deny any implication that these events are relevant to the claims brought in this action.

134. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation that certain electoral results in the 1800s were “due primarily to the support of Black voters.” State Defendants admit the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 134 but deny any implication that these events are relevant to the claims brought in this action.

135. Paragraph 135 contains Plaintiffs’ characterization of historical events, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants admit that events have occurred in the State previously that have harmed racial minorities. But State Defendants deny any implication that these events are relevant to the claims brought in this action.

136. Paragraph 136 contains Plaintiffs’ characterization of historical events, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants admit that events have occurred in the State previously that have harmed racial minorities. But State Defendants

deny any implication that these events are relevant to the claims brought in this action.

137. Paragraph 137 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of historical events, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants admit that events have occurred in the State previously that have harmed racial minorities. But State Defendants deny any implication that these events are relevant to the claims brought in this action.

138. Paragraph 138 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of historical events, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants admit that events have occurred in the State previously that have harmed racial minorities. But State Defendants deny any implication that these events are relevant to the claims brought in this action.

139. Paragraph 139 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of historical events, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants admit that events have occurred in the State previously that have harmed racial minorities. But State Defendants deny any implication that these events are relevant to the claims brought in this action.

140. Paragraph 140 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of historical events, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants admit that events have occurred in the State previously that have harmed racial minorities. But State Defendants deny any implication that these events are relevant to the claims brought in this action.

141. Paragraph 141 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of a legal action, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited legal action for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

142. Paragraph 142 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of the cited report, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited report for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

143. Paragraph 143 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

144. Paragraph 144 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

State Defendants further state that the cited objections include actions by counties unrelated to the actions of the State.

145. The first sentence of Paragraph 145 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. State Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

146. The first sentence of Paragraph 146 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. The remainder of this paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of the cited statement, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited statement for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

147. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 147. The remaining allegations of this paragraph contain Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited report for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

148. The State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 148.

149. The first sentence of Paragraph 149 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the remaining portions of this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

150. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 150. The second sentence contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. The third sentence contains Plaintiffs' characterization of voter data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith. The State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the fourth sentence of this paragraph, and lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

151. The first sentence of Paragraph 151 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. State

Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

152. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 152.

153. Paragraph 153 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of voter data and press reports, as well as legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and deny them on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited material for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

154. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 154. The remainder of this paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of voter data and the cited report, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited material for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

155. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 155 and deny them on that basis.

156. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 156 and deny them on that basis.

157. Paragraph 157 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of investigations and legal actions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited legal actions for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith. State Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

158. Paragraph 158 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of investigations and legal actions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited legal actions for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

159. State Defendants admit the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 159, and deny the allegations contained in the final sentence of this paragraph. The remaining portions of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the

cited statutes for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

160. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 160 and deny them on that basis. The remaining portions of this paragraph contain Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required.

161. The first sentence of Paragraph 161 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. The remaining portions of this paragraph contain Plaintiffs' characterization of an uncited statement, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited statement for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

162. Paragraph 162 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

163. Paragraph 163 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited legislative history for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

164. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 164 and deny them on that basis.

165. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 165 and deny them on that basis.

166. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 166 and deny them on that basis.

167. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 167 and deny them on that basis.

168. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 168 and deny them on that basis.

169. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 169 and deny them on that basis.

170. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 170 and deny them on that basis.

171. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 171 and deny them on that basis.

172. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 172 and deny them on that basis.

173. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 173. The remainder of this paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of legal action, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited legal actions for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

B. Georgians with disabilities have also experienced historical and widespread discrimination

174. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 174 and deny them on that basis.

175. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 175 and deny them on that basis.

176. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 176 and deny them on that basis.

177. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 177 and deny them on that basis.

178. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 178 and deny them on that basis. State Defendants further state that county election officials are responsible for the location and layout of voting precincts.

179. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 179 and deny them on that basis.

180. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 180. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

181. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 181 and deny them on that basis.

182. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first and last sentences of Paragraph 182 and deny them on that basis. The remainder of this paragraph contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required.

183. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 183.

184. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 184 and deny them on that basis.

185. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 185 and deny them on that basis.

C. Georgia has an increasing number of voters of color.

186. State Defendants deny that there are discriminatory provisions in Georgia law. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 186 and deny them on that basis.

187. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 187 and deny them on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants

respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

188. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 188. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

189. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 189. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

190. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 190. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

191. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 191. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court

to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

192. Paragraph 192 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of survey data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

193. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 193 and deny them on that basis.

194. Paragraph 194 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of survey data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

195. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 195.

196. Paragraph 196 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of survey data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a

full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

197. State Defendants admit the allegations included in the final sentence of Paragraph 197. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

198. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 198 and deny them on that basis.

D. Black voter participation reached historic levels in the 2020 primary election, General Election, and the Runoff Elections.

199. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 199 and deny them on that basis.

200. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 200 and deny them on that basis.

201. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 201 and deny them on that basis.

202. State Defendants admit that turnout was record-setting for the 2020 election cycle. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 202 and deny them on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

203. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 203. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

204. State Defendants admit that more than 1.3 million absentee ballots were cast in the 2020 General Election. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 204. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

205. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 205 and deny them on that basis.

206. State Defendants admit that drop boxes were used in the 2020 election cycle in some counties under an emergency rule that has since expired. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 206. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

207. State Defendants admit the first three sentences of Paragraph 207. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.

208. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 208 and deny them on that basis.

209. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 209 and deny them on that basis.

210. State Defendants admit that President Biden won Georgia's electoral votes and that Senators Warnock and Ossoff were elected in runoff elections. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 210.

E. The Georgia General Assembly passed S.B. 202 immediately following historic Black voter participation in the General Election and Runoff Elections, in a flawed and nontransparent process.

211. State Defendants admit that the General Assembly passed SB 202 on March 25, 2021. State Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 211.

1. *S.B. 202 was passed in a hostile, racially charged environment following the General Election and Runoff Elections*

212. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 212 and deny them on that basis.

213. State Defendants admit that lawsuits were filed after the 2020 election and were unsuccessful in changing any election outcome. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 213 and deny them on that basis.

214. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 214 and deny them on that basis.

215. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 215 and deny them on that basis.

216. State Defendants admit that post-election litigation filed in 2020 was unsuccessful in changing election outcomes. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 216 contain Plaintiffs' characterization of the referenced legal actions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

217. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 217. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited report for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

218. State Defendants admit that Senators Ossoff and Warnock were elected in runoff elections and admit that Speaker Ralston formed a Special Committee on Election Integrity chaired by Rep. Barry Fleming, and that Rep.

Alan Powell was its vice chair. This remaining allegations in Paragraph 218 contain Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202, its timing, and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required.

219. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 219. The remaining allegations in this paragraph contain Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required.

220. Paragraph 228 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of the cited statements. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited statements for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

2. *The Georgia General Assembly passed S.B. 202 with little process or regard for the ideals of an open democracy*

221. Paragraph 221 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

222. Paragraph 222 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

223. Paragraph 223 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

224. Paragraph 224 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

225. Paragraph 225 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants

respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

226. Paragraph 226 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

227. Paragraph 227 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

228. Paragraph 228 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

229. Paragraph 229 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

230. Paragraph 230 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

231. Paragraph 231 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

232. Paragraph 232 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants

respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

233. Paragraph 233 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant committee rules and notices for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

234. Paragraph 234 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant statement for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

235. Paragraph 235 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant floor debate and rules for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

236. State Defendants admit that Governor Kemp signed SB 202 on March 25, 2021. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 236 contain Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant journals and records for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

237. State Defendants admit that Rep. Cannon was removed from the Capitol on March 25, 2021. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 237 contain Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202 and its legislative history, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the relevant state records for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

F. The challenged provisions of S.B. 202 place limitations on opportunities for voters to exercise their right to vote.

1. Sections 20 & 26, mobile voting unit restrictions

238. Paragraph 238 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

239. State Defendants admit that, during the 2020 elections, mobile voting units were employed by one county, Fulton County, for the first time.

State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 239 and deny them on that basis.

240. Paragraph 240 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

2. Section 25, new identification requirements, timing parameters, and wei signature requirements for requesting an absentee ballot

241. Paragraph 241 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

242. Paragraph 242 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and

accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

243. Paragraph 243 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

244. Paragraph 244 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

245. Paragraph 245 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

246. Paragraph 246 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

3. *Sections 27 & 28, new identification requirements for casting an absentee ballot*

247. Paragraph 247 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

248. Paragraph 248 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

249. Paragraph 249 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

250. Paragraph 250 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

251. Paragraph 251 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

4. Section 26, secure drop box limitations

252. State Defendants admit that during the COVID-19 pandemic, some voters were able to use outdoor drop boxes to return their ballots under

emergency rules that have since expired. By way of further response, State Defendants aver that SB 202 statutorily provided for drop boxes for the first time. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 252 and they are denied on that basis.

253. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 253 and they are denied on that basis.

254. State Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 254. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

255. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 255 and they are denied on that basis.

256. Paragraph 256 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and they are denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

257. Paragraph 257 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and they are denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

258. Paragraph 258 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and they are denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

259. Paragraph 259 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and they are denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

5. Section 28, runoff early voting restriction

260. Paragraph 260 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is

required and they are denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

6. Section 33, Line Relief Ban

261. Paragraph 261 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and they are denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

262. Paragraph 262 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and they are denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

7. Sections 34 & 35, out-of-precinct provisional ballot

263. Paragraph 263 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

264. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 264 and they are denied on that basis.

265. Paragraph 265 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and they are denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

8. *Section 47, felony punishment for acceptance of an absentee ballot for delivery or return if not a family member or caregiver*

266. Paragraph 266 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

G. *S.B. 202 severely burdens all voters, and discriminates against Black voters, other voters of color, disabled voters, and other historically disenfranchised communities, in particular.*

267. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 267.

268. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 268.

1. *Elimination of mobile voting units*

269. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 269. With respect to the quotation in the fourth sentence of this paragraph, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited material for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the second through the ninth sentences. State Defendants deny the tenth and eleventh sentences in this paragraph and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

2. *Additional requirements for absentee voting*

270. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 270. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

271. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 271.

272. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph

272 and deny them on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited survey for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

273. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 273 and deny them on that basis.

274. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 274. The final sentence contains Plaintiffs' characterization of the cited study, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited study for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

275. Paragraph 275 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

276. State Defendants admit that the General Election and Runoff Elections featured record-setting turnout and an increased use in absentee ballots. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 276 contain Plaintiffs' characterization of voter data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the

Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

277. Paragraph 277 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of the cited report, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith. The State Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

3. *Restrictions on distribution of absentee ballots*

278. Paragraph 278 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of voter data, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited data for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith. State Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

279. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 279 and deny them on that basis.

4. *Restrictions on drop boxes*

280. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 280 and deny them on that basis.

281. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 281 and deny them on that basis.

282. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 282.

5. *Prohibiting provisional ballots cast in the wrong precinct*

283. Paragraph 283 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the text of SB 202 for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

284. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 284 and deny them on that basis.

285. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 285 and deny them on that basis.

6. *The early voting runoff restrictions*

286. The first sentence of Paragraph 286 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the text of SB 202 for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

287. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 287 and deny them on that basis.

7. *The Line Relief Ban*

288. Paragraph 288 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the text of SB 202 for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

289. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 289 and deny them on that basis.

8. *Criminalizing the acceptance of an absentee ballot for delivery or return*

290. Paragraph 290 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

291. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 291 and deny them on that basis.

292. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 292 and deny them on that basis.

9. *The cumulative effects of the challenged provisions*

293. State Defendants admit that Plaintiffs accurately quote SB 202 in the first sentence of Paragraph 293. The second sentence contains Plaintiffs' characterization of the cited report, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited report for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

294. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 294. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and deny them on that basis.

295. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 295 and deny them on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited report for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

296. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 296 and deny them on that basis.

297. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 297 and deny them on that basis.

298. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 298 and deny them on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited article for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegation inconsistent therewith.

299. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 299 and deny them on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited Tweet for a full and accurate statement of its contents and deny any allegation inconsistent therewith.

300. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 300 and deny them on that basis.

301. State Defendants deny that they “allow these lines to occur year after year.” State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 301 and deny them on that basis.

302. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 302 and deny them on that basis.

303. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 303 and deny them on that basis.

304. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 304 and deny them on that basis.

305. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 305 and deny them on that basis.

306. Paragraph 306 contains Plaintiffs’ legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

307. Paragraph 307 contains Plaintiffs’ legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to

the cited Official Election Bulletin and statute for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegation inconsistent therewith.

308. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 308 and deny them on that basis.

309. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 309 and deny them on that basis.

310. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 310.

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

10. *S.B. 202's provisions deny qualified individuals with disabilities an equal opportunity to participate in and benefit from voting*

311. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 311.

312. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 312.

313. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 313.

314. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 314.

11. *The disparate burdens and barriers imposed by individual provisions of S.B. 202 and the combined effect of the provisions will exponentially harm voters with multiple and/or intersecting race and disability identities*

315. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 315.

316. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 316 and deny them on that basis.

317. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 317 and deny them on that basis.

318. Paragraph 318 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

319. Paragraph 319 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act
52 U.S.C. § 10301, *et seq.*
(Intentional Racial Discrimination & Discriminatory Results)

320. State Defendants incorporate their responses to the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

321. Paragraph 321 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

322. Paragraph 322 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

323. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 323.

324. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 324.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments
U.S. Const. amend., XIV, XV; 42 U.S.C. §1983
(Intentional Race Discrimination)

325. State Defendants incorporate their responses to the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

326. Paragraph 326 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

327. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 327.

328. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 328.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Freedom of Speech / Expression
U.S. Const. amend. I; 42 U.S.C. §1983

329. State Defendants incorporate their responses to the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

330. Paragraph 330 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

331. State Defendants lack sufficient knowledge or information with which to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 331 and deny them on that basis.

332. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 332.

333. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 333.

334. Paragraph 334 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

335. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 335.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act

42 U.S.C. §§ 12131, et seq.

(Discrimination on the Basis of Disability by State and Local Government Entities)

336. State Defendants incorporate their responses to the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

337. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in the last sentence of Paragraph 337. The remaining allegations in this paragraph contain Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and are denied on that basis.

338. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 338.

339. Paragraph 339 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

340. Paragraph 340 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

341. Paragraph 341 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

342. Paragraph 342 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

343. Paragraph 343 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

344. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 344.

345. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 345.

346. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 346.

347. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 347.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act
29 U.S.C. § 794
**(Discrimination on the Basis of Disability by Recipients of Federal
Financial Assistance)**

348. State Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

349. Paragraph 349 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

350. Paragraph 350 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

351. Paragraph 351 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

352. Paragraph 352 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

353. Paragraph 353 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

354. Paragraph 354 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

355. Paragraph 355 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

356. Paragraph 356 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

357. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 357.

358. State Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 358.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
52 U.S.C. §10101, 42 U.S.C. § 1983

359. Paragraph 359 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

360. Paragraph 360 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

361. Paragraph 361 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

362. Paragraph 362 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and characterization of SB 202, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis. By way of further response, State Defendants respectfully refer the Court to the cited authority for a full and accurate statement of their contents and deny any allegations inconsistent therewith.

363. Paragraph 363 contains Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, not allegations of fact, to which no response is required and is denied on that basis.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The remaining portions of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint contain its request for relief, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, State Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief whatsoever.

Respectfully submitted this 1st day of August, 2024.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Bryan K. Webb
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 743580
Elizabeth T. Young
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 707725
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Gene C. Schaerr*
Special Assistant Attorney General
Erik Jaffe*
H. Christopher Bartolomucci*
Brian J. Field*
SCHAERR | JAFFE LLP
1717 K Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 787-1060
gschaerr@schaerr-jaffe.com
**Admitted pro hac vice*

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Special Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 515411
btyson@taylorenghish.com
Bryan F. Jacoutot
Georgia Bar No. 668272
bjacoutot@taylorenghish.com
Diane F. LaRoss
Georgia Bar No. 430830
dlaross@taylorenghish.com
Taylor English Duma LLP
1600 Parkwood Circle
Suite 200
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
(678) 336-7249
Counsel for State Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to L.R. 7.1(D), the undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document has been prepared in Century Schoolbook 13, a font and type selection approved by the Court in L.R. 5.1(B).

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM