IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF OHIO, et al.

Relators,

v.

OHIO REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2021-1449

Original Action Filed Pursuant to Ohio Const., art. XIX, Sec. 3(A)

SUPPLEMENT TO RELATORS' MERITS BRIEF VOLUME 2 OF 2

Freda J. Levenson (0045916)

Counsel of Record

ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC.

4506 Chester Avenue

Cleveland, Ohio 44103

(614) 586-1972 x125

flevenson@acluohio.org

David J. Carey (0088787)
ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC.
1108 City Park Avenue, Suite 203
Columbus, Ohio 43206
(614) 586-1972 x2004
dcarey@acluohio.org

Julie A. Ebenstein (PHV 25423-2021) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004 (212) 519-7866 jebenstein@aclu.org

Robert D. Fram (PHV 25414-2021) Donald Brown (PHV 25480-2021) David Denuyl (PHV 25452-2021) Joshua González (PHV 25424-2021) Juliana Goldrosen (PHV 25193-2021) Dave Yost Ohio Attorney General

Bridget C. Coontz (0072919)

Julie M. Pfeiffer (0069762)
Michael A. Walton (0092201)
Assistant Attorneys General
Constitutional Offices Section
30 E. Broad Street, 16th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 466-2872
bridget.coontz@ohioago.gov
Counsel for Respondent Ohio Secretary of
State LaRose

Phillip J. Strach
Thomas A. Farr
John E. Branch, III
Alyssa M. Riggins
NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH,
LLP
4140 Parklake Ave., Suite 200
Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
(919) 329-3812
phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com

COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 591-6000 rfram@cov.com Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Robert R. Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman

James Smith (PHV 25421-2021)
L. Brady Bender (PHV 25192-2021)
Sarah Suwanda**
Alex Thomson (PHV 25462-2021)
COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
One CityCenter
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, District of Columbia 20001
(202) 662-6000
jmsmith@cov.com

Anupam Sharma (PHV 25418-2021) Yale Fu (PHV 25419-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 3000 El Camino Real 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor Palo Alto, California 94306 (650) 632-4700 asharma@cov.com

Counsel for Relators
** Pro Hac Vice Motion Forthcoming

SUPPLEMENT TO RELATORS' MERITS BRIEF

INDEX OF DOCUMENTS

Vol. 2 of 2

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	PAGE RANGE
1	Excerpts from Ray DiRossi Deposition, conducted	Pages 1-70
	on December 7, 2021	
2	Excerpts from Blake Springhetti Deposition,	Pages 71-121
	conducted on December 7, 2021	

Supplement page numbers are included on the upper right-hand corner of each page.



ITEM 1

Excerpts from Ray DiRossi Deposition, conducted on December 7, 2021

RELIBIENED EROM DEMOCRAÇADOCKET, COM

1	Λ
Z	U

1	time, do you think you have a significant degree	08:23:30
2	of familiarity with what Ohio's county, city, and	08:23:32
3	township borders are?	08:23:36
4	A Well, I definitely have a general	08:23:38
5	knowledge of that, but I'm sure the elected	08:23:40
6	officials who represent the 132 districts in the	08:23:42
7	General Assembly have probably an even greater	08:23:45
8	appreciation for their the geography of the	08:23:48
9	state in their districts.	08:23:51
10	Q Certainly.	08:23:53
11	A As well as the other statewide elected	08:23:53
12	officials that are in Ohio.	08:23:56
13	Q Certainly. Yeah.	08:23:57
14	But just speaking about you and not in	08:24:02
15	comparison to anybody else, would you say that you	08:24:04
16	have a significant - would you say that you have	08:24:07
17	a significant amount of knowledge regarding the	08:24:09
18	kinds of communities within Ohio's cities and	08:24:11
19	townships and counties?	08:24:16
20	MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form.	08:24:20
21	MR. KLEIN: Fair. That's fair.	08:24:20
22	MR. McGUIRE: You can answer.	08:24:22
23	BY MR. KLEIN:	08:24:23
24	Q You know, would you say that you have a	08:24:23
25	significant amount of familiarity with, say, what	08:24:25
		Ī

Transcript of Raymond DiRossi Conducte

ed on December	7 2021
	1, 2021

	Transcript of Taymond Director	
ı	Conducted on December 7, 2021 21	1
1	sort of industry would be prevalent in a given	08:24:27
2	city in Ohio?	08:24:30
3	A I wouldn't answer that in a general way.	08:24:35
4	I mean, obviously I was I was born in Summit	08:24:38
5	County, so I know Summit County a little better.	08:24:43
6	And I've worked in the General Assembly for	08:24:46
7	certain legislators from certain areas, and I	08:24:48
8	might have a better understanding of those areas,	08:24:50
9	but the state as a whole, I would not generally	08:24:52
10	say that.	08:24:55
11	Q Okay. Okay. So getting into this	08:24:55
12	redistricting cycle, did you help prepare did	08:25:08
13	you help prepare a congressional redistricting map	08:25:13
14	for Ohio this year?	08:25:17
15	A Yes.	08:25:18
16	Q Okay. And were you asked to work on a map	08:25:21
17	by someone?	08:25:29
18	A Yes.	08:25:29
19	Q And who was that?	08:25:34
20	A President Huffman directed me to work with	08:25:35
21	Senator McColley on the map that he introduced.	08:25:39
22	Q Okay. And do you remember when you got	08:25:43
23	this direction?	08:25:46
24	A It would have been mid to mid to late	08:25:54
25	October.	08:25:57
		I

1	1
Z	Z

1	Q Okay. Mid to late October. All right.	08:25:58
2	And so you got the direction in mid to	08:26:05
3	late October. Had you done any work regarding	08:26:07
4	congressional redistricting for the cycle before	08:26:09
5	that time?	08:26:11
6	A The maybe a little but not a lot. The	08:26:12
7	time after or the time preceding that was	08:26:20
8	mostly taken up by things that we were doing post	08:26:23
9	legislative redistricting, and that was a major	08:26:28
10	focus of and a consumption of time in October	08:26:32
11	leading up to when I was working with Senator	08:26:35
12	McColley, among other things.	08:26:40
13	Q Right. Right. So after you got this	08:26:41
14	instruction, when would you say you got to work on	08:26:47
15	this project to make congressional redistricting	08:26:50
16	maps?	08:26:53
17	A Probably mid-October.	08:26:54
18	Q Mid-October. Okay.	08:26:55
19	Do you have any ballpark on, you know,	08:26:57
20	when that might be or anything?	08:27:01
21	A No. Like I said, there were a number of	08:27:05
22	things that were consuming a lot of time in early	08:27:09
23	October and late mid to late September,	08:27:13
24	including spending some time with you in previous	08:27:16
25	depositions and other activities. And so we	08:27:19

1	_
Э	Э

1	conversation I had.	08:43:35
2	Q Okay. Well, let's just	08:43:39
3	A Like I said before and sorry. I was	08:43:41
4	working with Senator McColley, and he was working	08:43:44
5	with whoever the sponsor of the bill in the House	08:43:48
6	was. And those were the silos we were working in,	08:43:50
7	and we were both, I assume, focused on the	08:43:54
8	that, not working together.	08:43:56
9	Q Okay. So what conversations do you recall	08:43:58
10	with Mr. Springhetti? And we can go through them	08:44:02
11	one by one. So	08:44:06
12	MR. McGUIRE: Objection. Those we're	08:44:08
13	going to object on the basis of legislative	08:44:12
14	privilege. Discussions between Mr. DiRossi and	08:44:14
15	Mr. Springhetti as another member of the staff of	08:44:17
16	General Assembly are integral and part of the	08:44:19
17	deliberative and communicative process and the	08:44:22
18	work of the General Assembly.	08:44:25
19	Don't answer that.	08:44:27
20	BY MR. KLEIN:	08:44:36
21	Q Let's change gears a little bit there.	08:44:36
22	Where did you draw congressional maps this	08:44:48
23	cycle?	08:44:55
24	A Well, the I mean, help me with	08:44:56
25	drawing, I mean, there's thought processes and	08:44:59

1	conversations that go into that that happened in	08:45:03
2	the State House, in my Senate office. But I also	08:45:04
3	had, you know, an office where I had the software.	08:45:11
4	To which or all are you referring?	08:45:17
5	Q So I guess where was the office where you	08:45:25
6	had the software? We'll start there.	08:45:26
7	A The office where I had the software was in	08:45:29
8	the William Green Building.	08:45:35
9	Q Okay. And by just to confirm, by the	08:45:37
10	"software," what are you referring to there?	08:45:41
11	A It's Maptitude. It's a Caliper	08:45:44
12	Corporation, Maptitude.	08:45:50
13	Q Okay. And we can get into those specifics	08:45:50
14	in a little bit, but	08:45:52
15	A Sure.	08:45:53
16	Q So you did not have Maptitude on any other	08:45:54
17	devices aside from the computer in your office?	08:45:57
18	A Correct.	08:46:00
19	Q Okay.	08:46:00
20	A Well, when you say "office," you're	08:46:05
21	referring to the office in the William Green	08:46:07
22	Building. I obviously had an office in the	08:46:09
23	Senate.	08:46:13
24	Q Hm-hm.	08:46:13
25	A So just to keep the terms "offices"	08:46:14

		1
1	separate.	08:46:16
2	Q Right. Of course. So you did not have	08:46:16
3	Maptitude in the computer or any device in your	08:46:20
4	Senate office	08:46:22
5	A Correct.	08:46:22
6	Q correct?	08:46:23
7	And so is it fair to say that your work	08:46:24
8	of actually draw actually, strike that.	08:46:29
9	Sorry.	08:46:32
10	Your work in Maptitude, it only took place	08:46:33
11	at the William Green Building; is that right?	08:46:38
12	A Well, the use of the software took place	08:46:41
13	in the William Green Building Decause that's where	08:46:43
14	it was, but I met with Senator McColley in his	08:46:46
15	State House office numerous times to get his	08:46:49
16	thoughts.	08:46:52
17	And so, as I said, that was more important	08:46:52
18	than just using the software. That was his	08:46:55
19	thoughts and direction. And that was in the State	08:47:00
20	House.	08:47:07
21	Q Okay. And aside from those two locations,	08:47:07
22	were there any other places where you did work	08:47:09
23	related to congressional redistricting?	08:47:12
24	A Well, certainly phone calls. So I don't	08:47:17
25	know how you situs that, but Senator McColley and	08:47:19

		i
1	task force and provide that information to all	09:01:35
2	Ohioans to use.	09:01:38
3	That's that's what I'm referring to.	09:01:39
4	Q Okay. And so in terms of population data,	09:01:41
5	the stuff that came from the census, was there any	09:01:50
6	difference between the data you consulted for the	09:01:54
7	congressional process and the data you consulted	09:01:57
8	for the State legislative process?	09:02:00
9	A You're referring to are you referring	09:02:03
10	specifically to population data still?	09:02:05
11	Q Right. Only population data.	09:02:06
12	A To my my understanding where we start	09:02:08
13	to get into a little technical area, it was the	09:02:10
14	same the same population data was used for	09:02:12
15	that.	09:02:18
16	Q And when you were drawing congressional	09:02:18
17	maps, did you use any data reflecting the results	09:02:24
18	of any elections in drawing maps?	09:02:26
19	A Yes.	09:02:32
20	Q Okay. And do you recall what those	09:02:37
21	elections were?	09:02:41
22	A We had access, as we did during the	09:02:43
23	legislative process, to federal election results	09:02:51
24	over the preceding decade and other election	09:02:58
25	results for statewide elections.	09:03:00
		1

1	Q Okay. And what other election results did	09:03:02
2	you have access to?	09:03:09
3	A The ones that we talked about during the	09:03:13
4	legislative process. I guess those are statewide	09:03:15
5	partisan election results from the preceding	09:03:18
6	decade.	09:03:21
7	Q Okay. And were these only partisan	09:03:22
8	elections sorry. Strike that.	09:03:34
9	Were this was this only partisan	09:03:36
10	election results that you had access to?	09:03:38
11	A I believe that's the case, yes.	09:03:40
12	Q Okay. And what was the source or sources	09:03:47
13	for this data?	09:03:51
14	A I think all of those came from the	09:03:52
15	Secretary of State's election results.	09:03:58
16	Q Okay.	09:04:01
17	A And some of them might have come through	09:04:03
18	Ohio University through the common unified	09:04:06
19	redistricting data process.	09:04:09
20	Q Okay. And did you use or strike that.	09:04:10
21	While you were drawing congressional maps,	09:04:17
22	did you consult partisan indices?	09:04:19
23	A Yeah, in when we were creating the a	09:04:22
24	large number of competitive districts, that	09:04:27
25	information was helpful to guide us.	09:04:30

_	1
Э	1

1	Q Okay. And do you recall what partisan	09:04:32
2	indices you had access to?	09:04:38
3	A Help me understand that question a little	09:04:47
4	more.	09:04:49
5	Q Sure. So I'm specifically asking about	09:04:49
6	which partisan indices you received the data for.	09:04:56
7	A Okay. If I I think I understand. The	09:05:00
8	federal election results for President of the	09:05:03
9	United States and the United States Senate were	09:05:06
10	the ones that we primarily used.	09:05:10
11	Q Right. But did you have access to	09:05:13
12	well, let's actually back up a little bit.	09:05:18
13	So you said you used these indices. Do	09:05:21
14	you know what the source for these indices were?	09:05:24
15	A I believe the Secretary of State produces	09:05:26
16	election results for all elections well, maybe	09:05:33
17	not all elections, but statewide elections and	09:05:36
18	legislative and other races. And I think that's	09:05:39
19	the source of the results.	09:05:42
20	Q Okay. But then when you just have the raw	09:05:44
21	results, you don't have the actual indices,	09:05:47
22	correct?	09:05:50
23	A Well, help me understand that also. I'm	09:05:50
24	sorry.	09:05:59
25	Q No. No. For sure. Right.	09:06:00

		1
1	I understand you had access to the data.	09:22:02
2	The question I'm asking is, did that data lead you	09:22:05
3	to the conclusion that federal statewide election	09:22:08
4	results are a better predictor of congressional	09:22:10
5	results?	09:22:14
6	A I would not say it led me to that. I	09:22:14
7	thought it was the right decision. That was the	09:22:17
8	recommendation that I made, and it it cuts both	09:22:19
9	ways.	09:22:23
10	And, again, that's for certain	09:22:24
11	districts, it may be it may be a good thing, it	09:22:26
12	may be a bad thing, but it's the right decision.	09:22:29
13	Q Got it. Okay. All right. So I want to	09:22:35
14	talk a little bit about Martitude.	09:22:38
15	So you already mentioned that you used	09:22:41
16	Maptitude to draw congressional maps, correct?	09:22:42
17	A Correct.	09:22:44
18	Q Okay. And how long have you worked with	09:22:45
19	Maptitude for in your career?	09:22:47
20	A Well, I would say the last two election	09:22:51
21	cycles, but it makes it sound like 20 years, but I	09:22:54
22	obviously only worked with it for about six months	09:22:57
23	out of each decade. So	09:23:00
24	Q Right.	09:23:02
25	A the two cycles I used Maptitude.	09:23:03

~	1
O	4

1	Q So that's the so when you say "the last	09:23:05
2	two cycles," are you including this cycle, 2021?	09:23:11
3	A Yes, 2021 and 2011.	09:23:15
4	Q Okay. Thanks.	09:23:16
5	And when you were working in Maptitude to	09:23:22
6	draw congressional maps, you were able to view	09:23:24
7	data related to the districts as you drew the	09:23:26
8	maps; is that correct?	09:23:30
9	A As we talked about in the legislative	09:23:30
10	process, the data was on my left screen, the	09:23:35
11	geography was on the right screen.	09:23:38
12	Q Right. Got you. And we're going to	09:23:41
13	rehash a few things from that deposition just to	09:23:45
14	sort of get it on the record here.	09:23:48
15	All right. So you said the data was on	09:23:49
16	your left screen and the geography was on your	09:24:00
17	right screen; is that correct?	09:24:04
18	A That's	09:24:04
19	Q Okay. And	09:24:05
20	A how I personally set it up, right.	09:24:06
21	Q Right. And so when you say "geography,"	09:24:08
22	you're talking about the actual map, correct?	09:24:11
23	A Correct.	09:24:12
24	Q All right. Okay. And when we're talking	09:24:12
25	about the data, was there more than one data box	09:24:17
		Ī

6	5
v	J

1	that displayed data, or was it just one?	09:24:23
2	A I had a I mean, I'm not sure of the	09:24:25
3	technical capabilities of Maptitude, but I had it	09:24:30
4	set up with one data view.	09:24:33
5	Q Okay.	09:24:35
6	A One data data window.	09:24:35
7	Q All right. So it was only one data	09:24:37
8	window. And that one data window contained all of	09:24:40
9	the data that you were able to see while you were	09:24:51
10	drawing maps; is that right?	09:24:53
11	A Yes.	09:24:55
12	Q And did you always have this data view	09:24:55
13	window open while you were drawing maps?	09:24:58
14	A It was it was always there. I didn't	09:25:00
15	use it all the time, but it was always there.	09:25:05
16	Q Okay. So by "always there," you mean that	09:25:08
17	the that this window was always open and on the	09:25:13
18	screen, correct?	09:25:16
19	A Yes. I guess why I was sometimes I	09:25:17
20	would have another document open that would be	09:25:25
21	over it, and I wouldn't be	09:25:27
22	Q Right.	09:25:29
23	A able to see that. Yeah. So but	09:25:29
24	Q Okay. And so was there another window	09:25:32
25	that would show you changes to a district that	09:25:40

1	would occur if you changed district lines?	09:25:44
2	A I am aware that Maptitude has that	09:25:48
3	capability, but I've never used that.	09:25:51
4	Q Okay. But it but it is correct that	09:25:54
5	when you change district lines in Maptitude,	09:25:59
6	you're able to see the data changing in the	09:26:03
7	program, right?	09:26:05
8	A Well, if you are specifically referring to	09:26:06
9	the change window, no, I've never used it ever.	09:26:09
10	Q Right. But so did you have a way of	09:26:14
11	looking at what changes would result when you	09:26:18
12	changed district lines as you were drawing maps?	09:26:20
13	A Well, like I said, the data window would	09:26:24
14	change any time you made a change to the state's	09:26:29
15	geography.	09:26:32
16	Q Right. So is it correct then that so	09:26:33
17	your way of looking at changes to the data	09:26:36
18	associated with change to lines was looking at	09:26:38
19	your one data view window, correct?	09:26:40
20	A When I needed to or wanted to. But that	09:26:42
21	wasn't always what I was doing.	09:26:45
22	Q For sure. For sure. But that would have	09:26:47
23	been your way of looking at the changes if you	09:26:49
24	wanted to?	09:26:52
25	A Yes.	09:26:53

7/1	
/4	

1	Q Okay. What about total population?	09:35:59
2	A Yes.	09:36:02
3	Q Okay. And what about target population?	09:36:04
4	A The way I had it set up is, depending	09:36:11
5	on and I know I keep referring to legislative	09:36:15
6	stuff, but a lot of you know, this is how the	09:36:17
7	computer was set up. Depending on how many	09:36:19
8	districts you had, 15, 33, or 99, Maptitude would	09:36:22
9	automatically calculate a target population based	09:36:28
10	on the state's population of 11,799,000 and	09:36:31
11	change.	09:36:35
12	And then it would show that number for	09:36:35
13	each district, and it would also show a deviation	09:36:38
14	from that from that ideal population, target	09:36:41
15	population.	09:36:45
16	Q Okay. So just to confirm, you could see	09:36:45
17	target population in the data view; is that right?	09:36:47
18	A Well, I I so target population for	09:36:49
19	congressional districts is	09:36:56
20	Q Right.	09:36:57
21	A 786,630. I don't think that number was	09:36:57
22	actually visible. The deviation from	09:37:00
23	Q Deviation.	09:37:02
24	A that number above or below is what I	09:37:04
25	was keying on.	09:37:06

]
1	Q All right. Thank you for clearing that	09:37:06
2	up.	09:37:08
3	Was there any data besides that in your	09:37:08
4	data view window?	09:37:14
5	A I had the federal election result	09:37:15
6	calculation that was visible for the districts.	09:37:18
7	That was that was also visible.	09:37:23
8	Q Okay. Did you have any other	09:37:24
9	election-related data in your data view window?	09:37:30
10	A I think the percentage of the	09:37:32
11	presidential the recent presidential election	09:37:40
12	was visible. I rarely used it. But I think that	09:37:42
13	was visible, both the Republican candidate and the	09:37:46
14	Democrat candidate.	09:37:50
15	And then I think maybe leftover from the	09:37:52
16	legislative process was also on the screen of the	09:37:55
17	six-year lookback of election results.	09:38:02
18	Q So just to just to get some clarity on	09:38:07
19	that, what are you referring to when you're	09:38:09
20	talking about the six-year lookback?	09:38:11
21	A So that would be election results from	09:38:12
22	2020, 2018, and 2016 for state and federal	09:38:19
23	statewide elections.	09:38:27
24	Q So, just to confirm, that's a partisan	09:38:27
25	index for all statewide partisan races for those	09:38:31

1	four years; is that right or over those four	09:38:36
2	years?	09:38:38
3	A Yes.	09:38:40
4	Q Okay. And do you recall any other	09:38:41
5	election data that you had displayed?	09:39:13
6	A No, none that I recall.	09:39:13
7	Q And the election data you've just	09:39:13
8	described, was it always visi strike that.	09:39:13
9	The election data you just described, was	09:39:13
10	it always in the data pane, or did you ever have	09:39:16
11	it turned off or made not visible?	09:39:18
12	A You know, thanks for that question. I	09:39:21
13	think in some cases, yeah, it was turned off.	09:39:22
14	Q Okay. And so when you were drawing the	09:39:25
15	state legislative map, you also were able to view	09:39:46
16	election data, correct?	09:39:49
17	A Yes.	09:39:50
18	Q Okay. Did you ever use an index in the	09:39:53
19	state legislative context that contained only	09:40:02
20	state elections?	09:40:05
21	A Only contained state elections. I can't	09:40:05
22	recall if one was ever used, like, that would meet	09:40:19
23	that description.	09:40:22
24	Q Okay.	09:40:23
25	MR. KLEIN: So we've been going an hour	09:40:39

1	and 40 minutes. I don't know if folks are looking	09:40:41
2	to take a break now. I'm fine to keep going, ut I	09:40:45
3	also want to be mindful of other.	09:40:49
4	MR. McGUIRE: I mean, I think we wouldn't	09:40:49
5	mind a short break.	09:40:50
6	MR. KLEIN: Okay. How long are you	09:40:51
7	thinking?	09:40:57
8	MR. McGUIRE: Can we be back in five	09:40:58
9	minutes?	09:41:00
10	MR. KLEIN: Five is good.	09:41:01
11	MR. McGUIRE: We'll shoot for five	09:41:02
12	minutes.	09:41:04
13	Hey, can I ask a question	09:41:09
14	MR. KLEIN: Would you like to stay on the	09:41:11
15	record for that or	09:41:13
16	MR. McGUIRE: No, no, you're right.	09:41:14
17	MR. KLEIN: Okay. So then let's go off.	09:41:16
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:41. We	09:41:16
19	are now off the record.	09:41:22
20	(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)	09:50:04
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:50. We	09:50:04
22	are on the record.	09:50:58
23	MR. KLEIN: So I'd like to pull up Tab 1	09:51:06
24	in the exhibits, and I'd like to mark this as an	09:51:10
25	exhibit.	09:51:10

1	column or 35th column. I never had anywhere near	10:08:15
2	that, obviously.	10:08:18
3	So it but, yes, those are the	10:08:19
4	population numbers, and I assume they are	10:08:20
5	attributable to the map as was enacted.	10:08:23
6	Q Got you. How about DEVIATION?	10:08:26
7	A Can you scroll I mean, I know the word	10:08:30
8	"deviation"	10:08:33
9	Q Yeah, sure.	10:08:34
10	A deviation because I mentioned I had	10:08:34
11	deviation, but it's actually behind your face on	10:08:36
12	my screen.	10:08:38
13	Q Oh. It is. Sorry.	10:08:39
14	A There you go. No, you're good.	10:08:41
15	Yeah, "POPULATION" and "DEVIATION," yes,	10:08:42
16	those those look like something I those look	10:08:44
17	exactly like I had them on my screen.	10:08:47
18	Q Got you. And what about "F" I think	10:08:49
19	it's probably cut off there but "DEVIATION"?	10:08:51
20	A Yeah, that doesn't look familiar to me.	10:08:54
21	It looks like it's a percentage of deviation. But	10:08:56
22	it is not something that I had not something	10:08:59
23	that I used	10:09:05
24	Q Okay.	10:09:05
25	A in either process.	10:09:05

-	1	^
•	4	' /

1	Q How about this one here? It also looks	10:09:07
2	like it may have been cut off. But "DISTRICT_N,"	10:09:10
3	any sense?	10:09:18
4	A You know, I'm just thinking as I'm looking	10:09:19
5	at it. There are numbers that appear to be one	10:09:20
6	through 15, so you would logically think that's	10:09:23
7	some type of identification of the district	10:09:26
8	numbers.	10:09:28
9	Q Right.	10:09:28
10	A I can't see if it matches up with the	10:09:29
11	previous one that I said was one I had, and it	10:09:31
12	but I "DISTRICT_N" does not I don't know	10:09:33
13	what that is.	10:09:36
14	Q Okay. How about EA53?	10:09:40
15	A So EA53 is one I think I know a little	10:09:42
16	better. This is a holdover from the legislative	10:09:45
17	process. It is — when you and I talked before	10:09:47
18	about looking at election results of the previous	10:09:54
19	six years, I think EA53 was the one.	10:09:56
20	And as you'll note, this is showing it not	10:10:01
21	as a raw number but in a two-digit decimal. So	10:10:03
22	it's looking more like a percentage would look.	10:10:07
23	So that's what EA53 is.	10:10:11
24	Q All right. So just to confirm what we	10:10:14
25	talked about in so just to confirm what we	10:10:16

talked about in the last deposition right?	10:10:22
so this is a partisan index representing all	10:10:24
statewide elections, 2016 through 2020; is that	10:10:27
what you were saying?	10:10:30
A 2016, 2018, 2020 statewide partisan	10:10:31
elections, yes.	10:10:37
Q Okay. All right.	10:10:37
A This came out of a lot of discussions,	10:10:40
most of which I was not part of, with the minority	10:10:42
members of the Senate Democratic Caucus and trying	10:10:46
to find a commonality of some type of indexing.	10:10:50
Q Okay. And when you were doing just one	10:10:59
moment. Do you have any sense of what the	10:11:08
significance of the number 53 is here?	10:11:19
A No idea.	10:11:21
Q No idea. Okay. How about	10:11:25
A I'm laughing because I've asked myself	10:11:27
that question. I have no idea.	10:11:30
Q Yeah. How about EA?	10:11:32
A I think that's I refer to it as	10:11:33
election analysis. I don't know if the creator of	10:11:37
that is what they refer to it as, but that's what	10:11:40
I refer to it as.	10:11:43
Q Right. And so is it the case that if it	10:11:44
has the if it has this EA in the title for the	10:11:56
	so this is a partisan index representing all statewide elections, 2016 through 2020; is that what you were saying? A 2016, 2018, 2020 statewide partisan elections, yes. Q Okay. All right. A This came out of a lot of discussions, most of which I was not part of, with the minority members of the Senate Democratic Caucus and trying to find a commonality of some type of indexing. Q Okay. And when you were doing just one moment. Do you have any sense of what the significance of the number 53 is here? A No idea. Q No idea. Okay. How about A I'm laughing because I've asked myself that question. I have no idea. Q Yeah. How about EA? A I think that's I refer to it as election analysis. I don't know if the creator of that is what they refer to it as, but that's what I refer to it as. Q Right. And so is it the case that if it

1	category of data, is it always a partisan index,	10:12:01
2	as you've seen it?	10:12:06
3	A Well, I think everything we're looking at	10:12:07
4	on the screen, all of these columns are partisan	10:12:15
5	election results for either one party, Republican,	10:12:17
6	Democrat, or a total vote, raw votes. So, I mean	10:12:20
7	they're all they're all showing some type of	10:12:25
8	partisan partisan information.	10:12:28
9	Q Right. But your sense here, looking at	10:12:29
10	EA53, is that this is a partisan index, correct?	10:12:32
11	A Yeah, from the legislative process.	10:12:35
12	Q Okay. And was this visible at any point	10:12:41
13	when you were drawing congressional maps in	10:12:43
14	Maptitude?	10:12:45
15	A This was this was one of the things	10:12:48
16	that I referenced L had on my display window at	10:12:50
17	various times.	10:12:55
18	Q Okay.	10:12:57
19	A Sometimes sometimes this stuff was off,	10:12:57
20	and sometimes it was on.	10:12:59
21	Q Okay. Would you be able to tell would	10:13:00
22	you be able to tell us what specific times it was	10:13:08
23	on?	10:13:10
24	A Well, again, I think I mentioned before if	10:13:10
25	you're in southeast Ohio where, as you know, we	10:13:18
		ī.

		i
1	have some counties that have 14-, 15,000 people in	10:13:21
2	them, and just for reference point, I know in	10:13:26
3	Franklin County we have some wards of the City of	10:13:29
4	Columbus that have over 20,000 people in them.	10:13:32
5	So when you're creating a district or	10:13:36
6	putting together a district to see if it balances	10:13:38
7	on population and primarily in a rural area and	10:13:40
8	I'm using the building blocks of a district to be	10:13:48
9	whole counties this is this is where I'm	10:13:50
10	focused on population, and all this other stuff is	10:13:52
11	probably turned off so I can just focus on the	10:13:54
12	population.	10:13:57
13	Q All right. So so you were so do I	10:14:07
14	understand correctly the tames that you had this	10:14:11
15	off, those were the instances where you were	10:14:13
16	focusing on population considerations, right?	10:14:15
17	A Well, I just want to be clear. I mean,	10:14:20
18	sometimes I had it on and didn't use it. And when	10:14:24
19	I had it off, obviously I wasn't using it. But	10:14:26
20	just because it was on doesn't mean I was using	10:14:31
21	it.	10:14:33
22	Q Right.	10:14:34
23	A So, I mean, look, this is one of the	10:14:34
24	pieces of information that was in my display	10:14:37
25	window. It's there because it was there for the	10:14:39

1	legislative process, but this wasn't the one	10:14:43
2	especially as you and I have started to talk about	10:14:47
3	the competitive districts that are in the map that	10:14:50
4	was adopted by the General Assembly, this wasn't	10:14:52
5	what I was looking at.	10:14:57
6	Q Okay. Right. Okay.	10:14:58
7	Let's go one over to the right, F_G20P_RV.	10:15:03
8	Do you know what that stands for?	10:15:13
9	A Well, we you had previously shown me	10:15:14
10	G20P_RV, which I, you know, guessed was the	10:15:16
11	general election of 20 presidential Republican	10:15:20
12	vote raw number.	10:15:22
13	I don't know what the K underscore means,	10:15:23
14	but I do I'm smart enough to see this looks	10:15:25
15	like it's in a percentage number. But I don't	10:15:28
16	know, and this wasn't something that this	10:15:31
17	wasn't something that I had open or had acc or	10:15:35
18	had used.	10:15:40
19	Q Okay. So this was this was not a	10:15:40
20	column that was visible in your data view,	10:15:44
21	correct?	10:15:46
22	A Well, you're showing me F_G20P_RV. I did	10:15:46
23	not have that column, but I did mention to you	10:15:55
24	that I earlier that I had have the Biden	10:15:58
25	percentage and Trump percentage for the most	10:16:00

1	recent federal presidential election in my display	10:16:03
2	window.	10:16:06
3	Q Got you. Okay.	10:16:07
4	What about F_EA51_RV?	10:16:08
5	A Yeah, these ones that have these prefixes,	10:16:12
6	I have no idea what they are. I mean, we	10:16:16
7	previously discussed EA51_RV, and I've given you	10:16:17
8	my guess as to what that is or I've said I don't	10:16:22
9	recall what it is.	10:16:25
10	F underscore, I don't know I don't know	10:16:25
11	what that is, and it was not something that I had	10:16:27
12	access to or used.	10:16:30
13	Q The same answer for this one here,	10:16:32
14	F_EA52_RV?	10:16:37
15	A Yes.	10:16:38
16	Q Okay. And so this "IDEAL VALUE," that	10:16:38
17	would be target population, correct?	10:16:42
18	A So, Mr. Klein, you are now behind can I	10:16:44
19	ask you to scroll over to the middle	10:16:47
20	Q Of course.	10:16:47
21	A if you're now	10:16:48
22	Q Yes.	10:16:48
23	A behind a visual. Yeah.	10:16:49
24	Q Absolutely.	10:16:50
25	A Okay. Thank you.	10:16:51

1	So orient me again, which column are we	10:16:52
2	in?	10:16:54
3	Q Right, we're over in "IDEAL VALUE"?	10:16:55
4	A Yeah, I mean so obviously 786630 is an	10:16:58
5	important number in this process. I didn't have	10:17:03
6	the column as "ideal value," but that appears to	10:17:05
7	be showing you the target population. But I	10:17:09
8	know what it is, but I did not use that. I used	10:17:12
9	the deviation that we previously talked about.	10:17:14
10	Q Okay. Any sense of what this one is	10:17:16
11	appears to be, "F_POPULATION"?	10:17:23
12	A It doesn't look familiar, and I don't I	10:17:26
13	wouldn't even hazard a guess as to what that	10:17:29
14	means. I don't know what that means with 1 in	10:17:32
15	every district.	10:17:35
16	Q I think we may have touched on this	10:17:36
17	earlier, "F20PRES_RD"?	10:17:37
18	A F20PRES_RD. Yeah, I mean interesting.	10:17:41
19	We've been talking about G20P_RV. And now this is	10:17:48
20	F20P and underscore RD. And it's obviously a	10:17:58
21	percentage, but it does not look familiar to me,	10:18:02
22	and I wouldn't want to guess what it is.	10:18:04
23	Q Okay. What about "FEDEA"?	10:18:16
24	A Yeah, this looks familiar.	10:18:19
25	Q All right. So can you tell us what this	10:18:20
		Ī

		1
1	numbers, and then to compare them like that is not	10:26:30
2	something that I would do.	10:26:33
3	Q Right. So I simply what I'm asking	10:26:34
4	I think it's two questions right? so of	10:26:38
5	course 57.8 is higher than 56.7, but it is what	10:26:42
6	these two numbers are telling us, right? What	10:26:46
7	does 56.7 mean in the context of FEDEA?	10:26:50
8	A That's your question to me?	10:26:54
9	Q Yes.	10:26:57
10	A Okay. What does let me make sure I	10:26:58
11	understand that.	10:27:04
12	What does 56.7 mean in relation to FEDEA?	10:27:04
13	Q Right.	10:27:09
14	A Okay. So I guess I would say that is	10:27:10
15	using ten years of federal election results that	10:27:19
16	we previously discussed for President of the	10:27:23
17	United States and a U.S. Senator to Congress, that	10:27:25
18	a score for a generic Republican or a generic	10:27:32
19	Democrat would be about 56.7 percent of the vote,	10:27:39
20	I guess is the best way I can answer your	10:27:44
21	question.	10:27:46
22	Q All right. So that means so that under	10:27:46
23	this index, under FEDEA, a generic Republican	10:27:47
24	performs worse than the generic Republican would	10:27:55
25	perform under EA53 for District 7, correct?	10:27:57
		1

1	A Again, I I guess I repeat what I said	10:28:01
2	before. I if you're asking me about the	10:28:07
3	numbers, obviously 57.8 is a higher number than	10:28:10
4	56.7 numerically. But I don't feel it's	10:28:15
5	appropriate to compare those two numbers because	10:28:20
6	they're using very different one is a six-year	10:28:23
7	lookback, one is a ten-year lookback, one is	10:28:26
8	federal elections only, which I think is the most	10:28:28
9	appropriate one, and one is federal and state.	10:28:30
10	And so I wouldn't compare to then make a	10:28:32
11	conclusion going forward. That's that's beyond	10:28:36
12	my capability.	10:28:39
13	Q All right. So I'll give you a moment to	10:28:41
14	take a look at each of these districts.	10:28:55
15	Does it look like there are any how	10:29:03
16	many instances are there where FEDEA is higher	10:29:08
17	than EA53, the value in FEDEA is higher than EA53?	10:29:14
18	A Okay. Well, if you're asking me just to	10:29:21
19	look at the numbers and tell me which is greater	10:29:23
20	than or less than, I can do that because I know	10:29:25
21	basic math. I see I see at least one or two	10:29:28
22	I think at least one that the FEDEA is higher,	10:29:32
23	but, again, I don't feel that's appropriate to	10:29:35
24	cast a judgment on going forward.	10:29:37
25	Q Okay.	10:29:43
		Ī

- 1		•	١	_
- 1		ı	,	- /
	L	-	,	,

		1
1	A I just don't think I think you're	10:29:44
2	comparing apples and oranges.	10:29:46
3	And, I mean, just to point out, even	10:30:03
4	though I don't think it's a good comparison,	10:30:04
5	they're almost identical in a number of them.	10:30:07
6	Q Okay. But would you be able to tell me	10:30:10
7	how many districts there are in which FEDEA is the	10:30:16
8	higher of the two?	10:30:20
9	A Well, again, if you want me just to do	10:30:21
10	basic math, we can certainly go through them one	10:30:28
11	by one, and I'll give you the answer. But, again,	10:30:31
12	I'll just say, as I've said before, I don't think	10:30:33
13	it's a fair comparison. They re using very	10:30:35
14	different lookback periods and races	10:30:38
15	Q Okay.	10:30:40
16	A and	10:30:40
17	Q Yeah. Okay. So with that understanding,	10:30:42
18	we'll just briefly walk through.	10:30:44
19	A Great.	10:30:46
20	Q So comparing for District 4 over here	10:30:46
21	FEDEA and EA53, which one is higher?	10:30:52
22	A Can you move your move your	10:30:57
23	Q Sure.	10:31:03
24	A cursor.	10:31:03
25	Thank you.	10:31:05

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1	66 and 67, EA53 is higher	10:31:05
2	Q Okay. The same question	10:31:08
3	A by one point.	10:31:10
4	Q Right. The same question but for	10:31:11
5	District 9.	10:31:14
6	A 47.7 and 50.3. 50.3 is higher than 47.7.	10:31:15
7	Q Okay. The same question for District 1.	10:31:24
8	A Yeah, E well, obviously, as you can	10:31:27
9	see, FEDEA only goes to one decimal.	10:31:32
10	Q Right.	10:31:34
11	A Whereas, EA53 goes to five decimals. 51.5	10:31:34
12	and basically 51.5, those are identical.	10:31:39
13	Q Right. This is a matter all right.	10:31:45
14	So	10:31:47
15	A I mean, if you want to say which one is	10:31:48
16	higher, I guess well, I mean, we're talking	10:31:50
17	about eight one ten thousandths of a	10:31:52
18	percent. They're identical.	10:31:57
19	Q Is it your understanding that the data for	10:31:59
20	FEDEA was rounded to the nearest tenths place?	10:32:02
21	A I believe that's what I did, yeah. I went	10:32:06
22	to one digit post decimal, and that's what I see	10:32:12
23	on the screen.	10:32:15
24	Q Okay. Thank you.	10:32:15
25	All right. We'll keep moving on for	10:32:20

109

1	District 8.	10:32:22
2	A I mean, 62 and 62.4, I mean, I'd say those	10:32:26
3	are about the same, but I guess 62.46 is	10:32:30
4	infinitesimally higher than 62.	10:32:35
5	Q Okay. How about District 2?	10:32:39
6	A 65.1 and 66.7, if you round, they're	10:32:45
7	pretty close, but 66.7 with some rounding would be	10:32:50
8	higher than 65.1.	10:32:54
9	Q Okay. How about how about District 14?	10:32:56
10	A Yeah, this one, the differentiation is	10:32:59
11	less than a point. 53.2 compared to 54.13, you're	10:33:02
12	about nine basis points of a percentage	10:33:09
13	difference. 54.13 is higher than 53.2.	10:33:12
14	Q All right. How about District 3?	10:33:15
15	A 30.4 and 29.67, call it rounding. Again,	10:33:20
16	almost almost identical. Very similar. FEDEA	10:33:25
17	is higher.	10:33:28
18	Q Okay. How about 6?	10:33:29
19	A 52.9 for FEDEA and 56.3 with rounding for	10:33:31
20	the other one. I mean, this one has actually	10:33:40
21	has, you know, three points. That's noticeable.	10:33:44
22	But the EA53 number on the screen is higher than	10:33:47
23	52.9.	10:33:53
24	Q Okay. 15?	10:33:54
25	A I'd call these a tie. 53.7 and 53.88,	10:33:56

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1	call it 53.9. I mean, those are two basis points	10:34:01
2	post decimal difference, but technically, 53.88 is	10:34:07
3	more than 53.7.	10:34:11
4	Q Okay. How about 5?	10:34:13
5	A 58.8 and 60.8 again, I'm rounding a	10:34:16
6	little bit with the five decimal points over	10:34:22
7	here that is about two points difference. So	10:34:24
8	pretty similar but, you know, two points. 60.8 is	10:34:28
9	two points higher than 58.8.	10:34:34
10	Q Okay. How about District 10?	10:34:37
11	A We've got 52.2 and 53.3 rounding down just	10:34:39
12	slightly. I mean, that's pretty pretty close.	10:34:50
13	1 point 1 points' difference, but the EA53 53.3	10:34:53
14	is mathematically higher.	10:34:58
15	Q Okay. How about 13?	10:35:00
16	A So we're comparing 48.6 and 49.2,	10:35:04
17	rounding. So now we're a differentiation of	10:35:10
18	about about a half a point. So almost similar	10:35:14
19	in my thought, but 49.23 is higher than 48.6.	10:35:18
20	Q Okay. 12?	10:35:25
21	A Just thinking of the court reporter right	10:35:28
22	now and probably pulling her hair out. Apologies.	10:35:36
23	61.3 is the FEDEA number, and it is being	10:35:39
24	compared to 63.07, roughly 63.1. So you're about	10:35:43
25	1.8 points different.	10:35:48

		l
1	The EA53 number, 63.07 is a bigger	10:35:50
2	numerical value.	10:35:55
3	Q Okay. And how about 11?	10:35:56
4	A 19.4 and 19.8, these are less than a half	10:35:58
5	a point difference, so but comparing them	10:36:05
6	straight up numerically, 19.8 is more than 19.4.	10:36:09
7	Q Okay. Thank you for that.	10:36:15
8	Let's change gears a little bit.	10:36:20
9	MR. KLEIN: We can pull this down.	10:36:26
10	BY MR. KLEIN:	10:36:36
11	Q So going back to your map drawing process,	10:36:36
12	while you were working on the congressional map,	10:36:40
13	did you work on several drafts at the same time,	10:36:44
14	or was there a single master draft?	10:36:46
15	A Well, when Senator McColley was asked to	10:36:48
16	introduce a bill, and I was working directly with	10:36:57
17	him, that was the all-encompassing focus.	10:37:01
18	Q Right. I think I'm asking a more	10:37:04
19	fundamental question, which was just that when you	10:37:15
20	were working on the congressional map, was it one	10:37:17
21	draft you were working on or were there several	10:37:19
22	different drafts of the congressional map that you	10:37:21
23	were working on?	10:37:23
24	A Mostly it was one draft. Absolutely with	10:37:25
25	Senator McColley and yes, mostly one draft.	10:37:31
		•

1	Q Right. So did you generate any other	10:37:37
2	Maptitude files while you were working on	10:37:43
3	congressional maps other than the one draft?	10:37:46
4	A There I would create other ones that	10:37:48
5	weren't full documents if I needed to kind of do	10:37:56
6	some mathematical analysis on an area, like	10:38:00
7	whether or not a group of counties together would	10:38:03
8	actually, you know, go together without exceeding	10:38:06
9	or being too under the deviation.	10:38:09
10	And so I might pull those out, put them in	10:38:15
11	another map, do those calculations and figure it	10:38:18
12	out so I wasn't working in the master map. And	10:38:20
13	then if it worked, I would put it in the master	10:38:22
14	map. If it didn't, I would just get rid of it	10:38:25
15	and because that didn't work.	10:38:28
16	Q Right. Okay. But so there weren't any	10:38:29
17	other maps besides the one master map that you	10:38:31
18	were working on with an intention for it to be	10:38:34
19	presented?	10:38:36
20	A Well, there	10:38:37
21	Q To the General Assembly.	10:38:39
22	A Well, the Senator McColley map, what I	10:38:41
23	worked with him on, obviously generated a map	10:38:45
24	file. The map that was ultimately enacted was a	10:38:51
25	separate a separate file because it changed	10:38:54
		ī

1	Q Sorry. Let's back up. You had mentioned	10:41:34
2	that you had created another Maptitude file for	10:41:37
3	the version that was ultimately enacted, correct?	10:41:40
4	A Yes. Yes.	10:41:44
5	Q Right. And so it's that was that	10:41:46
6	was a separate draft from this initial Senate	10:41:53
7	Bill 258 introduced in, let's say, early November?	10:41:57
8	A Yes.	10:42:02
9	Q Okay. Okay. Aside from those two drafts,	10:42:02
10	were there any other drafts you worked on that	10:42:06
11	were presented to the General Assembly?	10:42:12
12	A Well, again, just you say "worked on."	10:42:14
13	I mean, I had on my computer I had the Senate	10:42:20
14	Democrat map that I was, you know, looking at and	10:42:23
15	trying to understand. I didn't work on it to	10:42:27
16	create it or help produce it, but I had it.	10:42:29
17	Q Okay.	10:42:32
18	A The same thing with the House Democrat	10:42:32
19	proposal that was offered. I had that file, I was	10:42:38
20	looking at it, analyzing it, trying to understand	10:42:41
21	it, but I did not do any work to create it or help	10:42:43
22	produce it.	10:42:46
23	Q Okay. So then turning to the original	10:42:47
24	Senate Bill 258, the map presented in early	10:43:00
25	November, do you recall when you started work on	10:43:03

1	this specific draft?	10:43:05
2	A I remember don't hold me to the exact	10:43:06
3	date, but I think it was October 25th or 26th is	10:43:13
4	when I had my first phone call with Senator	10:43:16
5	McColley that he had been directed to work with me	10:43:20
6	to produce a bill to be introduced.	10:43:24
7	Q Okay. So following that time, was it	10:43:26
8	Senator McColley who primarily supervised your	10:43:38
9	work?	10:43:40
10	A Yeah, he he directed me. He did not	10:43:41
11	have access to Maptitude. So without you know,	10:43:43
12	he it was his bill, his name was on it, he was	10:43:47
13	in charge.	10:43:50
14	Q Okay. And so you so you were so	10:43:50
15	after that time, when did you send to Senator	10:44:00
16	McColley a draft of the map?	10:44:06
17	A I don't recall ever sending him a copy of	10:44:07
18	it. I met with him in his Senate office and	10:44:16
19	showed him a visual representation of his	10:44:19
20	direction.	10:44:23
21	Q Okay. And do you recall when that meeting	10:44:25
22	was?	10:44:29
23	A Well, it was sometime after October 25th	10:44:30
24	and November 3rd because you said that's when it	10:44:33
25	was introduced. So it was sometime in that six,	10:44:36
		1

1	1	7
-		

1	seven, eight days.	10:44:40
2	Q Do you think	10:44:40
3	A It was it was earlier than that,	10:44:43
4	because he and I went back and forth several	10:44:46
5	times. But so it would have been shortly after	10:44:48
6	October 25th, is when I would have showed him a	10:44:51
7	first draft of his thoughts.	10:44:53
8	Q Do you think this was in November?	10:44:55
9	A I can't recall the specific dates, but if	10:44:59
10	you're telling me the bill was introduced on	10:45:04
11	November 3rd, I think I would have shown him	10:45:06
12	something soon thereafter of the 25th or 26th,	10:45:09
13	still in October.	10:45:14
14	Q Okay. All right.	10:45:14
15	So before Senator McColley presented that	10:45:21
16	draft map as the original Senate Bill 258, did you	10:45:25
17	discuss the draft map with anyone besides Senator	10:45:29
18	McColley.	10:45:35
19	MR. McGUIRE: Objection. We're going to	10:45:35
20	assert the legislative privilege that any	10:45:37
21	communications that Mr. DiRossi had with members	10:45:40
22	of the General Assembly, other than Senator	10:45:41
23	McColley, are an integral part of the deliberative	10:45:47
24	and communicative process with the General	10:45:50
25	Assembly and are privileged.	10:45:54
		Ī

1	Don't answer that question.	10:45:55
2	MR. KLEIN: Okay. I'll say on the record	10:45:56
3	that we disagree with assertion of privilege under	10:45:57
4	Ohio law, but that, you know, even even	10:46:02
5	accepting arguendo that that privilege is	10:46:08
6	applicable, it would not apply to who was in the	10:46:11
7	conversation and when the conversation took place	10:46:14
8	and where the conversation took place.	10:46:16
9	So not inquiring as to the substance of	10:46:18
10	this conversation, but, rather, asking if you had	10:46:21
11	discussed the draft map with anyone besides	10:46:25
12	Senator McColley before it was introduced.	10:46:29
13	MR. McGUIRE: Okay. That that, he can	10:46:35
14	answer.	10:46:36
15	BY THE WITNESS:	10:46:41
16	A Senator McColley's name was on the bill,	10:46:41
17	he was in charge, but I also did speak to	10:46:43
18	President Huffman about the draft.	10:46:46
19	BY MR. KLEIN:	10:46:48
20	Q Okay. Do you recall when you spoke to	10:46:48
21	President Huffman.	10:46:50
22	A The same time frame, October 25th or 26th	10:46:53
23	is when I was given direction to work with Senator	10:47:00
24	McColley. The days between the 25th and the date	10:47:02
25	you said the bill was introduced on November 3rd	10:47:04

1	would have been all these conversations would	10:47:08
2	have happened in that time frame.	10:47:10
3	Q Okay. Do you recall speaking with anybody	10:47:11
4	else about the draft map prior to its	10:47:20
5	introduction?	10:47:21
6	A I would have told Blake that we were doing	10:47:27
7	this, but never discussing the substance of it, so	10:47:29
8	that he would be aware. The Chief of Staff of the	10:47:35
9	Senate, I'm sure, would have I would have made	10:47:45
10	the Chief of Staff aware that I was working on	10:47:48
11	this.	10:47:52
12	Q Okay. So you had so	10:47:52
13	A And obviously and obviously in I	10:47:54
14	apologize for cutting you off. But in-house	10:47:56
15	counsel would have been aware of it as well.	10:47:59
16	Q Okay. All right. So you said you spoke	10:48:04
17	to President Hufiman. Was it more than once in	10:48:06
18	this time period between October 25th and when the	10:48:10
19	map was introduced?	10:48:13
20	A I can't recall. I remember it being a	10:48:15
21	very fast process from the first instruction to	10:48:20
22	work with Senator McColley to introduction, and it	10:48:27
23	was it was an all-time-consuming effort. And I	10:48:29
24	cannot recall how many times I would have	10:48:33
25	interacted with the President during that window	10:48:35

		1
1	about it.	10:48:37
2	Q Okay. Did you think it was more than	10:48:37
3	once?	10:48:40
4	A It could have been, but if it was once, it	10:48:40
5	wouldn't shock me. But I	10:48:45
6	Q Okay. What about the	10:48:47
7	A He was busy doing other legislative stuff,	10:48:49
8	obviously, right? He's not just focused on this.	10:48:51
9	That's Senator McColley's job and mine as a	10:48:55
10	staffer.	10:48:58
11	Q All right. What about the Senate Chief of	10:48:58
12	Staff; did you speak to the Chief of Staff more	10:49:03
13	than once?	10:49:05
14	A I don't recall if I spoke to John more	10:49:05
15	than once during that time.	10:49:12
16	Q Okay.	10:49:13
17	MR. KLEIN: Let's let's pull up	10:49:19
18	actually, let's sorry. Just one second.	10:49:28
19	BY MR. KLEIN:	10:49:40
20	Q So prior to the map's introduction, you	10:49:40
21	mentioned you had showed the draft map to Senator	10:49:42
22	McColley. Did you show it to anyone else?	10:49:47
23	A I remember showing it to President	10:49:49
24	Huffman.	10:50:07
25	Q Okay.	10:50:07

1	A I cannot recall specifically showing it to	10:50:18
2	any of the other people I mentioned. It's	10:50:21
3	possible, but I cannot I cannot recall that.	10:50:24
4	Q So your recollection is, prior to the	10:50:25
5	draft map's introduction, you showed the draft map	10:50:28
6	to Senate President Huffman and Senator McColley,	10:50:32
7	correct?	10:50:35
8	A Yes. Yes. Those are the two I can	10:50:36
9	recall. And it was	10:50:38
10	Q Okay.	10:50:38
11	A it was a very chaotic time. It	10:50:41
12	right you what was the can you the	10:50:44
13	time frame you're talking about again	10:50:47
14	Q Right. So from when you were told to work	10:50:49
15	with Senator McColley on the map	10:50:52
16	A Okay.	10:50:54
17	Q and when the map was presented, that's	10:50:54
18	the that's that time frame. Does that change	10:50:58
19	your answer at all?	10:51:01
20	A Well, that's the you said from the time	10:51:02
21	I was instructed, which, in my mind, is that	10:51:04
22	October 25th/26th day. To when?	10:51:07
23	Q To	10:51:11
24	A Help me understand to when.	10:51:12
25	Q So to when the map was introduced	10:51:15

1	_	\sim
- 1	- 2	v
- 1	.,	O

1	through them. There were a lot of things from	11:11:10
2	public testimony and other maps that were before	11:11:14
3	the General Assembly that were taken and put into	11:11:16
4	the final enacted Senate Bill 258.	11:11:19
5	But I wouldn't sit here and say everything	11:11:23
6	from it happened post the introduction of Senate	11:11:25
7	Bill 258.	11:11:28
8	Q Okay. Right. So there was some	11:11:28
9	substantive work that happened for this second	11:11:34
10	draft prior to the introduction of that first	11:11:37
11	draft?	11:11:39
12	A I'm saying I'm saying there could	11:11:39
13	have there could have been yeah. I'm yeah,	11:11:41
14	I'm saying there could have been.	11:11:44
15	Q There could have been. Okay. Okay.	11:11:45
16	A But as you know, when you change one	11:11:52
17	district and they're all balanced to the person,	11:11:54
18	it's like throwing a rock in the middle of the	11:11:56
19	pond and, you know, you get these ripple effects	11:11:58
20	and you have to change a lot when you make one	11:12:01
21	change	11:12:04
22	Q Okay.	11:12:04
23	A and so it's prob I think it would	11:12:04
24	be fair to say every district changed in some way	11:12:07
25	probably several times through this process.	11:12:10
		1

1	Q Right. Did anyone else work with you in	11:12:13
2	drawing this second draft map besides Senator	11:12:19
3	McColley?	11:12:23
4	A President Huffman. I had a few	11:12:23
5	conversations with President Huffman about the	11:12:31
6	the final map as it was being put together.	11:12:33
7	Q Is there anybody else?	11:12:37
8	A Let me think for a minute and go through	11:12:41
9	that.	11:12:44
10	So at what level are you when you say	11:12:55
11	"work with," what can you expound on that a	11:12:58
12	little bit to help me understand?	11:13:02
13	Q Right. Let's say let's well, we'll	11:13:03
14	sort of start at we'll start with did you have	11:13:12
15	conversations with anyone besides Senators	11:13:18
16	McColley and Senate President Huffman about the	11:13:21
17	about this revised plan?	11:13:24
18	A Yes.	11:13:26
19	Q Yeah. And also just to be clear, we're	11:13:33
20	talking about a time period prior to when it was	11:13:35
21	actually enacted.	11:13:38
22	Okay. So who did you have conversations	11:13:39
23	with during that time period?	11:13:41
24	A So conversations about post let me just	11:13:42
25	make sure I understand before I give you an	11:13:51
		1

1	14	.(

1	answer.	11:13:53
2	Q Mm-hm.	11:13:53
3	A Post the introduction of Senate Bill 258.	11:13:54
4	Q Mm-hm.	11:13:57
5	A Before obviously the enacted map was	11:13:57
6	enacted.	11:14:00
7	Q Yeah, well, let's say because, you	11:14:01
8	know because we're not certain when work on the	11:14:04
9	second draft started, let's say between late	11:14:06
10	October and when the map was voted up by the	11:14:09
11	General Assembly right? who did you have	11:14:13
12	conversations with regarding this second draft	11:14:17
13	map?	11:14:20
14	A Now "conversations with," I mean, that's	11:14:20
15	very broad. Are you intentionally I mean,	11:14:29
16	anybody I talk to about anything about the map,	11:14:32
17	including the logistics and all that stuff, or are	11:14:34
18	you talking about the substance of what it was	11:14:37
19	going to look like, what concepts we were going to	11:14:38
20	borrow from the Senate Democrat and put into this.	11:14:41
21	Help me understand.	11:14:45
22	Q Well, let's why don't we talk about	11:14:46
23	substance first. Who did you have substantive	11:14:48
24	conversations with regarding this second draft map	11:14:51
25	between in the time between late October and	11:14:53

1	4	7

1	to answer on that basis.	12:00:17
2	I just wanted to clarify that.	12:00:19
3	MR. KLEIN: Okay. Thank you.	12:00:21
4	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:00:22
5	Q So what I so then let me rephrase the	12:00:23
6	question slightly. I'm not asking you about any	12:00:29
7	conversations you've had related to this	12:00:33
8	litigation. I am simply asking about any	12:00:35
9	conversations about the map that was ultimately	12:00:38
10	enacted or the original draft presented to the	12:00:43
11	General Assembly.	12:00:47
12	With that caveat, did you have any	12:00:48
13	conversations with John Morgan concerning	12:00:51
14	congressional redistricting in Ohio?	12:00:56
15	MR. McGUIRE: We're going to object on the	12:01:00
16	basis of attorney-client privilege and attorney	12:01:02
17	work product and the it was well anticipated by	12:01:04
18	the Speaker and the President, given the public	12:01:07
19	statements of various groups and simply the way	12:01:11
20	this everyone inevitably knew this would play	12:01:14
21	out that at the time Mr. Morgan was retained,	12:01:19
22	there was an anticipation of litigation involving	12:01:23
23	the redistricting congressional map.	12:01:26
24	And, again, who who outside counsel	12:01:29
25	chose to have their witnesses speak to, which	12:01:32

1	4	8

]
1	nontestifying consultants or experts, reflects the	12:01:34
2	mental impressions of counsel and is work product	12:01:37
3	privilege.	12:01:41
4	So we're going to do not answer that	12:01:42
5	question.	12:01:44
6	THE WITNESS: All right.	12:01:44
7	MR. KLEIN: Just for the record, I'm going	12:01:45
8	to I'm going to note that we dispute that	12:01:46
9	objection. We do not believe work product or	12:01:54
10	attorney-client is so capacious as to include	12:01:57
11	those matters, but we're noting that for the	12:02:01
12	record and we're moving on.	12:02:03
13	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:02:05
14	Q And so, Mr. DiRossi coming back, I think	12:02:06
15	we covered this to some extent, but just to recap,	12:02:11
16	who did you report to when you were working on	12:02:15
17	congressional maps for this cycle?	12:02:17
18	A Well, I am a staff person, so I am always	12:02:20
19	reportable to the President, the Senate, and the	12:02:27
20	Chief of Staff. When I was working with Senator	12:02:30
21	McColley, I was it was his bill. I was	12:02:32
22	reporting directly to him.	12:02:35
23	Q Okay. So is it fair to say that Senator	12:02:36
24	McColley was supervising your work on	12:02:40
25	congressional maps this cycle?	12:02:42

1	4	Ç

1	A I don't know if there that has	12:02:43
2	supervising has some type of significance to it.	12:02:47
3	I was reporting to him. That's the best I can	12:02:50
4	tell you.	12:02:52
5	Q Okay. So if you were to report to someone	12:02:52
6	on the progress of your work on the maps, would it	12:02:54
7	be Senator McColley?	12:02:57
8	A Yes.	12:03:02
9	Q And how did you report the progress of	12:03:05
10	your work on draft congressional maps to Senator	12:03:07
11	McColley?	12:03:13
12	A Well and, again, the progress of my	12:03:13
13	work was implementing his directions. So, you	12:03:16
14	know, it he was the one his bill Senate	12:03:20
15	Bill 258 has his name on it. He's telling me what	12:03:25
16	counties you know, I'm just helping him with	12:03:28
17	the technical aspects and helping make sure the	12:03:30
18	districts are balanced out.	12:03:33
19	MR. McGUIRE: I think the question from	12:03:34
20	Mr. Klein was how, by what methods were you	12:03:36
21	communicating with I think, with Mr with	12:03:38
22	Senator McColley about the progress of the maps,	12:03:42
23	email, conversations, texts, et cetera. I think	12:03:44
24	that was the question.	12:03:47
25	MR. KLEIN: Yeah, that is.	12:03:48
		Ī

		•
1	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:03:49
2	Q So we can kind of run through. So did you	12:03:49
3	send Senator McColley written reports of some sort	12:03:52
4	on on how work was going on the congressional	12:03:57
5	maps?	12:04:04
6	A No.	12:04:04
7	Q Did you send him emails?	12:04:04
8	A None that I can recall. I don't believe	12:04:10
9	so.	12:04:12
10	Q Okay. Texts?	12:04:12
11	A I you know, as we discussed earlier, I	12:04:13
12	would have sent him text messages about logistics,	12:04:16
13	like if you're available for a phone call later	12:04:19
14	and so forth, and that would be the	12:04:22
15	Q Okay.	12:04:27
16	A extent of that.	12:04:28
17	Q Yeah. So based on that, I have a sense	12:04:29
18	that you gave him reports of how progress was	12:04:31
19	going over the phone?	12:04:33
20	A In person. I mean, I met with him in his	12:04:34
21	office, as we discussed numerous times.	12:04:37
22	Q Right. And so was that always the way	12:04:40
23	that you reported on progress of the congressional	12:04:42
24	maps?	12:04:45
25	A Well, phone phone and in person.	12:04:45

1	Q Okay. Did he ever visit your office to	12:04:55
2	see how congressional maps were coming along?	12:04:57
3	A Which office are you referring to? Sorry.	12:04:59
4	Q Right. So the so I am referring to the	12:05:05
5	office that you referenced earlier where you had a	12:05:07
6	computer that had Maptitude loaded up.	12:05:10
7	A No.	12:05:17
8	Q Okay. So he never visited you there. So	12:05:17
9	did McColley ever have a chance to look at your	12:05:22
10	Maptitude screen?	12:05:25
11	A No.	12:05:26
12	Q Okay. So did you ever get a chance to	12:05:26
13	present a draft map to Senator McColley before it	12:05:37
14	was introduced?	12:05:40
15	A Well, again, what is present present	12:05:48
16	a draft map? I mean, I was implementing his	12:05:50
17	direction. So obviously	12:05:53
18	Q Okay.	12:05:53
19	A he saw it before it was introduced	12:05:55
20	under his name.	12:05:57
21	Q Okay. So how did he see it? How did you	12:05:58
22	show him the map?	12:06:02
23	A I would have showed him a physical printed	12:06:03
24	copy of the map.	12:06:07
25	Q Okay. And what did and what did that	12:06:07
		I

ĺ		[
1	printed copy look like?	12:06:14
2	A I mean, it was well, it's very similar	12:06:16
3	to the 8-and-a-half-by-11 copies that we have now,	12:06:23
4	although I think it might have been larger. Just	12:06:26
5	it was a graphical representation of the districts	12:06:30
6	as his direction was dictating.	12:06:32
7	Q Okay. So this graphical representation,	12:06:36
8	did it show where district lines were?	12:06:41
9	A Well, as all of the maps that have been	12:06:49
10	produced, the districts are different colors.	12:06:51
11	Q Right.	12:06:54
12	A So	12:06:55
13	Q Right. A So Q Okay.	12:06:56
14	A I mean, that would show where a	12:06:56
15	district line would be.	12:06:59
16	Q And	12:07:02
17	A A district border. A district border.	12:07:03
18	Q Yeah. Did it show any data beyond the map	12:07:05
19	that was publicly released with the bill, which I	12:07:08
20	believe you I believe you have in front of you,	12:07:12
21	correct?	12:07:14
22	A If you're referring to Senate Bill 258 as	12:07:14
23	Senator McColley	12:07:19
24	Q Mm-hm.	12:07:22
25	A directed it, I do not have that in	12:07:22

1	5	2
1	J	J

1	front of me.	12:07:26
2	Q Okay. But in terms of the data that is	12:07:27
3	so just in terms of the data that is on it from	12:07:30
4	where you know, what sort of lines are actually	12:07:33
5	visible, is it anything beyond just a map of Ohio	12:07:35
6	and basic district lines with numbers	12:07:38
7	superimposed?	12:07:42
8	A No, that's it. You nailed it. And the	12:07:43
9	numbers superimposed are the district numbers	12:07:47
10	Q Right. Okay.	12:07:49
11	A yeah.	12:07:51
12	Q All right. And you said that you received	12:07:52
13	instructions from Senator McColley; is that right?	12:07:58
14	A Yes.	12:08:00
15	Q All right. Did Senator McColley instruct	12:08:02
16	you to create maps that were in compliance with	12:08:05
17	Article 19 of the Ohio constitution?	12:08:10
18	A Yes, he wanted he definitely wanted to	12:08:17
19	make sure that we followed any provisions of the	12:08:19
20	constitution.	12:08:23
21	Q Okay. Were you instructed to draw compact	12:08:23
22	districts?	12:08:29
23	MR. McGUIRE: I'm going to object on the	12:08:34
24	basis of legislative privilege to specific	12:08:34
25	instructions given by Senator McColley or any	12:08:37

→	

1	other member of the General Assembly to	12:08:39
2	Mr. DiRossi regarding how to what to draw into	12:08:41
3	the maps.	12:08:44
4	MR. KLEIN: Okay. I will note our dispute	12:08:46
5	of that objection.	12:08:49
6	I'll also note that this portion is	12:09:00
7	incomplete since we've asked the one question of	12:09:02
8	whether it complied with the constitution but have	12:09:04
9	not discussed any further instructions and	12:09:06
10	therefore, we have an incomplete picture of what	12:09:08
11	instructions Mr. DiRossi was actually given. But	12:09:11
12	noting that objection and disputing it, we'll move	12:09:14
13	on. BY MR. KLEIN:	12:09:16
14	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:09:17
15	Q Did Senator McColley give you a deadline	12:09:23
16	for the first drafts of the map?	12:09:25
17	A Good question. Not not I can recall.	12:09:28
18	I don't I don't remember I don't I can't	12:09:40
19	recall that.	12:09:43
20	Q Okay. And you said strike that.	12:09:43
21	I believe it was your testimony that you	12:09:52
22	sent a draft map over to Senator McColley sometime	12:09:53
23	in late October/early November, correct?	12:09:58
24	A Yeah, I'm not yeah. Well, October 25th	12:10:00
25	or 26th is when I was directed to work with him.	12:10:07
		I

1	1	$\overline{}$
- 1	n	/

1	an analysis of several proposed congressional	12:27:00
2	plans; is that correct?	12:27:06
3	A I mean, it's got a lot of facts on it,	12:27:07
4	yeah	12:27:09
5	Q Right. But	12:27:09
6	A probably a couple sources.	12:27:10
7	Q But at least in part, we've got an	12:27:11
8	analysis of several congressional plans, correct?	12:27:13
9	A Yes.	12:27:16
10	Q Okay.	12:27:21
11	MR. KLEIN: And if we could just scroll	12:27:22
12	down towards the bottom of Page 1	12:27:25
13	Scroll up just a little bit.	12:27:29
14	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:27:30
15	Q So where it says "McColley (SB 258)," do	12:27:31
16	you does that refer to the plan that was	12:27:40
17	ultimately enacted by the General Assembly?	12:27:46
18	A I believe it does, yes.	12:27:53
19	Q Okay. And what about the House Dems	12:27:55
20	portion; do you know which proposal that refers	12:27:58
21	to?	12:28:01
22	A I believe it was their modified version.	12:28:05
23	They did a they did a couple sub bills along	12:28:08
24	the way as well to refine their their map.	12:28:11
25	Q Okay. And Sykes/Yuko 258?	12:28:14

1	~	O
-1	O	o

1	A Same.	12:28:19
2	Q Okay. And then Contest Winning map	12:28:20
3	Number 1, 3, do you know what that refers to?	12:28:23
4	A There was a public contests that were	12:28:26
5	put on by a number of groups that put into the	12:28:29
6	public domain well, they had a contest, and	12:28:32
7	these were the winning maps. I'm not sure I can	12:28:40
8	say more than that.	12:28:43
9	Q Okay. And then "Current map," does that	12:28:43
10	refer to the map in effect from 2012 through 2022?	12:28:47
11	A Yes.	12:28:51
12	Q Okay. All right.	12:28:52
13	MR. KLEIN: If we can scroll down to Bates	12:29:00
14	number 5603. I'm not sure how that corresponds to	12:29:02
15	PDF pages, but yeah, I think that is this one	12:29:05
16	right here.	12:29:08
17	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:29:08
18	Q So I want to walk through what we're	12:29:10
19	looking on the page here. So it looks like this	12:29:13
20	is a chart without lines. It looks like the	12:29:15
21	we've got a left-most column here that's numbered	12:29:21
22	1 through 15.	12:29:25
23	So those are numbers of so those are	12:29:26
24	numbers of those are numbers of districts,	12:29:29
25	correct?	12:29:31

1	A Yes.	12:29:31
2	Q And all right. And this and what	12:29:31
3	we're seeing here, does this refer to the plan	12:29:37
4	that was ultimately enacted by the General	12:29:39
5	Assembly?	12:29:43
6	A I believe it does.	12:29:43
7	Q Okay. All right. And then the second	12:29:44
8	column there, is that the total population of each	12:29:49
9	district?	12:29:53
10	A It is.	12:29:53
11	Q And then the third one, there's really	12:29:54
12	only two numbers on there, that refers to the	12:29:59
13	number of deviation from target, correct?	12:30:01
14	A Yes, the deviation column.	12:30:03
15	Q Okay. And then FED 12 to 20, is that the	12:30:05
16	index we were talking about earlier that that	12:30:13
17	has all the federal elections from 2012 to 2020?	12:30:18
18	A Yes.	12:30:22
19	Q Okay. And so is it your understanding	12:30:22
20	that what we're looking at here is the same as	12:30:27
21	what was loaded into Maptitude for U.S. FEDEA?	12:30:30
22	A Yes.	12:30:37
23	MR. KLEIN: So all right. Let's go	12:30:53
24	down I think it's just one page to Bates 5604.	12:30:54
25		

170

		1
1	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:30:57
2	Q So at the top it says, "Competitive CDs."	12:30:58
3	We'll walk through this by word for word.	12:31:01
4	So what does "Competitive CDs" mean?	12:31:04
5	A Competitive congressional districts.	12:31:08
6	Q Okay. And how about plus or minus	12:31:11
7	4 percent?	12:31:13
8	A It's referring to a scoring if 50/50 was	12:31:13
9	absolute, perfectly balanced, plus or minus	12:31:22
10	4 percent up or down. That's what it's referring	12:31:25
11	to.	12:31:30
12	Q Okay. Got you. And then, finally, "Using	12:31:31
13	FED Data," is that what we just discussed, this	12:31:34
14	this index that is all of the federal elections	12:31:43
15	from 2012 to 2020?	12:31:50
16	A Yes.	12:31:53
17	Q Okay. And so what this is saying here is,	12:31:53
18	these are indices where or, rather, these	12:31:58
19	are these are districts where there is a	12:32:04
20	where there is an index that is plus or minus 4,	12:32:12
21	correct?	12:32:17
22	A Yeah well, I think I I think I	12:32:17
23	followed you there, yes, it's	12:32:19
24	Q Right. So imagine we're looking at FEDEA	12:32:21
25	and the figure 4 at given districts was	12:32:24

1	MR. McGUIRE: That will be 6?	12:35:02
2	MR. KLEIN: I believe so. Yeah.	12:35:05
3	Why don't we scroll through so Mr. DiRossi	12:35:10
4	can have a chance to see what this document is.	12:35:14
5	BY THE WITNESS:	12:35:59
6	A Thank you.	12:36:00
7	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:36:00
8	Q Have you had a chance to look at it,	12:36:01
9	Mr. DiRossi?	12:36:04
10	A Yeah. I was just looking to see if there	12:36:04
11	was a date on it.	12:36:07
12	Q Oh, right. Yeah, I'm not sure there is.	12:36:07
13	But have you ever seen this document	12:36:12
14	before?	12:36:13
15	A I don't think so.	12:36:13
16	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:36:22
17	Q Okay. Well, I'm representing to you this	12:36:23
18	is this is Senator McColley's written testimony	12:36:26
19	to the Senate Committee on Local Government and	12:36:30
20	Elections, and it was presented at the	12:36:33
21	November 16th hearing.	12:36:35
22	A Okay.	12:36:38
23	Q So let's scroll down to this would be	12:36:38
24	PDF Page 2. And let's take a look at this chart.	12:36:43
25	Does this chart look familiar?	12:36:59
		1

	_
- 1	7/
	14

1	A Generally, yeah.	12:37:01
2	Q Okay. Is this the same data that we were	12:37:02
3	just looking at in the document you prepared	12:37:04
4	listing partisan indices for the districts under	12:37:09
5	the enacted plan?	12:37:14
6	A I mean, it looks like without going	12:37:16
7	back and comparing them to just verify they're the	12:37:18
8	same, they they look the same.	12:37:21
9	Q Yeah, why don't we actually click back to	12:37:23
10	that. I think we should have that.	12:37:25
11	THE TECHNICIAN: Where are you directing	12:37:40
12	me to, Counsel? I apologize.	12:37:42
13	MR. KLEIN: Yeah, so - so, yeah, could we	12:37:44
14	just click back to Exhibit 5, DiRossi 5. And I	12:37:45
15	think we're going to be going back up to 5603.	12:37:52
16	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:38:00
17	Q So I'll give you a chance to look at that,	12:38:01
18	and we can of course we can click back and	12:38:03
19	forth as you want.	12:38:06
20	A Yeah. Thank you.	12:38:07
21	Okay. You can click to the other one.	12:38:17
22	Q Okay.	12:38:19
23	A I didn't verify every number, but I looked	12:38:25
24	at some of them, so they look the same.	12:38:29
25	Q And did Senator McColley get this data	12:38:32

175

1	from you?	12:38:36
2	A I'm sure he did.	12:38:37
3	Q Okay. Okay. So we already talked about	12:38:41
4	this a little bit, but if you scroll up just a	12:38:58
5	little bit	12:39:02
6	MR. KLEIN: If you can do that, Ethan.	12:39:03
7	Thanks.	12:39:05
8	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:39:05
9	Q If you could take a moment just to read	12:39:06
10	this paragraph here. Really just the just the	12:39:08
11	last two sentences of this paragraph here. It	12:39:14
12	looks like, as we've discussed, there is a	12:39:18
13	definition of competitive district that is ranging	12:39:20
14	from 46 to 54 percent. That's in line with the	12:39:23
15	data that you listed in the previous sheet where	12:39:29
16	it lists plus or minus 4 percent, correct?	12:39:31
17	A Plus or minus 4, correct.	12:39:34
18	Q Right. And do you have any sense of how	12:39:37
19	Senator McColley reached this figure for	12:39:45
20	determining if a district is competitive?	12:39:46
21	A I can't speak to his thought process on	12:39:49
22	that.	12:39:54
23	Q Okay. Did you in any way assist him in	12:39:57
24	reaching that conclusion?	12:40:08
25	A I don't know if I would know whether or	12:40:15

4	$\overline{}$	-
- 1	. /	h
1	_ /	v

		i
1	not I assisted him or not. I mean, there was a	12:40:17
2	tremendous amount of public testimony about the	12:40:19
3	existence of competitive districts and what type	12:40:23
4	of range would be used to determine what was a	12:40:25
5	competitive district. And I know he had put a lot	12:40:27
6	of thought into that.	12:40:30
7	I watched the public testimony, as much of	12:40:35
8	it as I could. I saw him speak about that. I saw	12:40:38
9	him get questioned about it from members of the	12:40:41
10	Senate Q Okay.	12:40:44
11	Q Okay.	12:40:45
12	A so, you know, I think that some people	12:40:45
13	testified that competitive districts were as much	12:40:53
14	as plus or minus 5. I think we felt comfortable	12:40:56
15	with plus or minus 4 being an applicable range.	12:41:00
16	Q So is this definition of competitive	12:41:02
17	districts, namely plus or minus 4 percent, a	12:41:04
18	definition that you agree with?	12:41:08
19	A Yes.	12:41:08
20	Q Okay. And in the last ten years, are you	12:41:15
21	aware of any congressional elections in Ohio where	12:41:20
22	a party won despite being favored by a partisan	12:41:24
23	index of 46 percent or less? And that could be	12:41:32
24	and that could be whichever partisan index you	12:41:35
25	might be using.	12:41:39
		4

179

1	50 percent in favor of their party won?	12:44:49
2	A I can't give you a specific example. I	12:44:50
3	have a couple races in mind over the last decade	12:45:01
4	that I think meet your fact pattern, but I'm not	12:45:05
5	sure, and so I don't want to hazard a guess.	12:45:08
6	Q Okay. Okay.	12:45:10
7	MR. KLEIN: Let's just turn let's turn	12:45:20
8	to Tab 17.	12:45:21
9	That should be DiRossi 008948.	12:45:42
10	(WHEREUPON, DiRossi Exhibit No. 7 was	12:45:42
11	presented to the witness.)	12:45:49
12	MR. KLEIN: All right. Let's scroll	12:45:49
13	through that so Mr. DiRossi has a chance to take a	12:45:51
14	look at it. BY THE WITNESS:	12:45:53
15	BY THE WITNESS:	12:46:09
16	A Thank you.	12:46:09
17	BY MR. KLEIN:	12:46:09
18	Q Have you ever seen this document before?	12:46:10
19	A Yes.	12:46:11
20	Q Did you prepare this document?	12:46:11
21	A Yes.	12:46:17
22	Q When did you prepare this document?	12:46:19
23	A I mean, if you want me to answer with a	12:46:21
24	date, I cannot.	12:46:36
25	Q All right. Well, was it before the	12:46:38

]
1	General Assembly voted in favor of the now-enacted	12:46:45
2	plan?	12:46:50
3	A Yes. Yes.	12:46:50
4	Q All right. And were you asked to prepare	12:46:59
5	this document?	12:47:02
6	A I don't recall being asked to prepare	12:47:06
7	this	12:47:08
8	Q Okay.	12:47:09
9	A specific document.	12:47:10
10	Q Do you know what the purpose was for	12:47:11
11	preparing this document?	12:47:14
12	A Well, from listening to Senator McColley	12:47:19
13	and his public testimony in the General Assembly,	12:47:21
14	he was touting competitive districts in his	12:47:24
15	initial proposal and the and the final	12:47:29
16	proposal.	12:47:31
17	And this was to show him or to show	12:47:35
18	whoever might look at it, that the map that was	12:47:38
19	adopted by the General Assembly scored very well	12:47:40
20	when looking at the number of competitive	12:47:46
21	districts it was creating, even compared to not	12:47:47
22	only the other legislative caucus maps that were	12:47:52
23	out there, but the current map. That's the	12:47:57
24	Q Okay.	12:47:58
25	A general point of this document.	12:47:58

]
1	Q Right. And did you send this document to	12:48:03
2	Senator McColley?	12:48:05
3	A I don't recall sending this document I	12:48:06
4	don't have that recollection.	12:48:11
5	Q Okay. Do you recall sharing this document	12:48:12
6	with anybody else?	12:48:16
7	A I remember giving it over to my attorneys	12:48:16
8	for production.	12:48:24
9	Q Okay. But that's the extent that you	12:48:26
10	recall sharing this?	12:48:31
11	A Yes.	12:48:31
12	Q Okay. Why don't we go through row by row	12:48:31
13	here. This is mostly just confirming that this is	12:48:43
14	similar to what we saw in Nab 5.	12:48:47
15	So McColley here, that's the ultimately	12:48:49
16	enacted plan, correct?	12:48:54
17	A Yes.	12:48:55
18	Q And in the next row where it says	12:48:55
19	"Yuko/Sykes (SB 237)," that is the Senate Democrat	12:48:58
20	proposal of September 29th?	12:49:04
21	A Yeah, so just to explain why there are two	12:49:07
22	there, that proposal initially when it was	12:49:09
23	introduced, as you probably well know, was	12:49:10
24	subsequently refined by the Senate Democrat	12:49:13
25	sponsors. And it as you can see at a couple	12:49:16
۷ ک	sponsors. And it as you can see at a couple	12.77.10

1	Λ	
	U	7

1	A I do this once every ten years. I don't	13:16:00
2	know when I received the license and downloaded	13:16:09
3	and installed Maptitude, it had sample data in it	13:16:13
4	I think from the previous decade, and I don't know	13:16:17
5	if that was just population geography or I	13:16:19
6	can't say for sure what that was.	13:16:23
7	Q So you are not sure whether partisan	13:16:26
8	election results would have been preloaded in	13:16:29
9	Maptitude or loaded thereafter?	13:16:32
10	A I can't say. I think I said earlier that	13:16:33
11	working with our contractor, Ohio University, and	13:16:40
12	the Common Unified Redistricting Database project,	13:16:44
13	that that's where a lot of the census data and the	13:16:49
14	election results and other things were married	13:16:51
15	together. And ultimately then I had to have a	13:16:53
16	technical consultant put that stuff into the	13:16:56
17	computer. I'm not capable of doing that.	13:16:59
18	Q Okay. So you agree that these partisan	13:17:00
19	or excuse me, these indices of partisan election	13:17:04
20	results show up in Maptitude, as we discussed	13:17:07
21	earlier.	13:17:10
22	Do you know who created those indices, who	13:17:10
23	decided upon what elections were included in them?	13:17:14
24	A Are you asking just about the	13:17:17
25	congressional one, or are you asking about the	13:17:23

\mathbf{a}	Λ	2
Z	u	

1	ones that the EA53 from the legislative	13:17:25
2	process?	13:17:28
3	Q Well, let's start with EA53.	13:17:28
4	A Yeah, I would refer you in large part to	13:17:32
5	my deposition from that where I had a long	13:17:37
6	discussion about that.	13:17:42
7	I mean, there was a a very long process	13:17:43
8	of the Chief of Staff of the Ohio Senate, the	13:17:47
9	Chief of Staff of the the Ohio Senate majority,	13:17:50
10	the Ohio Senate minority, and the Ohio House	13:17:54
11	majority, those three leaders and their staff	13:17:59
12	having a conversation about putting their hands on	13:18:01
13	publicly available election results and underlying	13:18:04
14	geography so that there could be some commonality.	13:18:09
15	And a lot of that, as you I'm sure you know, is	13:18:12
16	controlled by Article 11 and actually referred to	13:18:15
17	in Article 11 about the some of the elections	13:18:17
18	that you would use.	13:18:19
19	And so that's that's kind of the	13:18:23
20	genesis of what is lovingly called EA53, for	13:18:26
21	whatever reason, in the computer system that was a	13:18:30
22	holdover for congressional.	13:18:33
23	Q And who would have created the FEDEA	13:18:34
24	index?	13:18:37
25	A Well, "created" is	13:18:38
		ĺ

1	Q Just a	13:18:44
2	A it is a collection of election results.	13:18:45
3	So those election results are just the election	13:18:47
4	results provided by the Secretary of State's	13:18:50
5	Office who is that what your question is, or	13:18:55
6	did you have another question?	13:18:57
7	Q Who would have decided which election	13:18:58
8	results from the Secretary of State's Office were	13:19:00
9	included in that index?	13:19:03
10	A Well, I spoke to the President and Senator	13:19:05
11	McColley about what index we should be using	13:19:14
12	and Q Okay. And so	13:19:20
13	Q Okay. And so	13:19:20
14	A why I thought we should be using the	13:19:21
15	federal election results for the federal districts	13:19:23
16	and why that made an abundant amount of sense.	13:19:27
17	Q And when you say that, you mean the	13:19:30
18	federal EA index?	13:19:33
19	A Yeah, federal	13:19:36
20	Q You talked about using the federal EA	13:19:37
21	index?	13:19:39
22	A Statewide	13:19:40
23	MR. McGUIRE: Objection. I'm going to	13:19:41
24	object on the basis of legislative privilege.	13:19:42
25	This is getting into substantive conversations	13:19:45
		I

1	Λ	4
	U	

1	between Mr. DiRossi and members of the General	13:19:47
2	Assembly regarding the legislative process.	13:19:53
3	MS. BENDER: Okay. Well, I will just	13:19:56
4	respond that we don't agree with that objection,	13:20:00
5	but I will move on for the sake of time.	13:20:02
6	BY MS. BENDER:	13:20:04
7	Q What other election analyses did you	13:20:05
8	consider or, excuse me, election analyses,	13:20:07
9	these indexes I think you called them election	13:20:11
10	analyses earlier did you consider beyond FEDEA	13:20:15
11	and election or and EA53?	13:20:18
12	A Well, as Mr. Klein and I talked about, I	13:20:24
13	mean, there were only a couple that were up on my	13:20:27
14	screen, you know, throughout the process at	13:20:29
15	various points. EA53 was there because it was a	13:20:31
16	holdover from legislative. The federal election	13:20:35
17	analysis you and I now have talked about, that	13:20:37
18	that was on the screen.	13:20:40
19	The only other data point for election	13:20:41
20	results was the recent presidential election was	13:20:44
21	available both I think I had both the Trump	13:20:48
22	number and the Biden number on the screen at the	13:20:50
23	same time when that would be a pair.	13:20:55
24	Q Okay. All right. I'd like to we can	13:20:58
25	move on from that topic. I do want to talk a	13:21:02

\sim	\wedge	C
	u	۲

1	Q Okay. There are two questions here. So	13:23:09
2	as the maps were evolving in Maptitude throughout	13:23:11
3	the time period in which they're evolving in	13:23:14
4	Maptitude, would there be any others that would	13:23:16
5	have seen those maps over the broad time period?	13:23:19
6	A Yeah, I mean, the vast majority of the	13:23:24
7	time that I was working at my computer, the	13:23:26
8	computer in the office that has the Maptitude in	13:23:29
9	the William Green Building, I was alone.	13:23:33
10	Or on days that Blake would have been	13:23:35
11	there, he would have been working, you know, on	13:23:38
12	whatever he was working on.	13:23:41
13	And you said except for Senator Huffman	13:23:45
14	and except for Senator McColley?	13:23:48
15	Q I did not say except for Senator Huffman.	13:23:50
16	But to clarify, on both of those both	13:23:53
17	of those individuals, are they among the people	13:23:55
18	that would have been there at some time other than	13:23:58
19	the time that you just referred to when you were	13:24:01
20	alone?	13:24:03
21	A Okay. All right. I	13:24:04
22	Q Sorry. That was	13:24:06
23	A (indiscernible) your question. Yeah,	13:24:07
24	no problem.	13:24:08
25	Are you saying were they physically there?	13:24:09
		1

1	^	C
	U	>

1	Or are you saying did they see the map as it was	13:24:12
2	going through its evolution	13:24:15
3	Q I'd like to know	13:24:17
4	A (indiscernible) get to.	13:24:19
5	Q I'd like to ask both questions.	13:24:19
6	So, first, did either of them visit	13:24:21
7	visit the office in which you were drawing	13:24:23
8	Maptitude maps while you were drawing them?	13:24:26
9	A Senat President Huffman, yes. Senator	13:24:28
10	McColley, no.	13:24:31
11	Q Okay. And were there any other	13:24:31
12	individuals then beyond those two and Blake that	13:24:33
13	visited the office as you were drawing?	13:24:35
14	A Well, you said "beyond those two." I said	13:24:39
15	no for Senator McColley.	13:24:42
16	Q Yeah, beyond	13:24:44
17	A I think I mentioned it I referenced a	13:24:45
18	November 9th meeting that the Speaker was there	13:24:48
19	for, the Chiefs of Staff were there for. At	13:24:50
20	various times, I had in-house counsel from the	13:24:56
21	House and Senate that were present there and	13:25:00
22	and external counsel.	13:25:06
23	Q Okay. And would any of the or which	13:25:08
24	would any of these individuals have seen your	13:25:21
25	Maptitude maps on your computer when they visited?	13:25:23

Transcript of Raymond DiRossi Conducted on December 7, 2021

1	1	•
	1	ι

1	A I think the November 9th meeting, I	13:25:35
2	don't think the computers were on. I don't recall	13:25:37
3	using the computers. President Huffman would have	13:25:39
4	seen the computers on.	13:25:45
5	Q Okay. Anyone else?	13:25:48
6	A Blake Blake would have seen the	13:25:50
7	computers on. Whether he saw what was on the	13:25:54
8	screen or not, that's not a question for me. But	13:25:57
9	he was you know, he was there on days he was	13:26:00
10	there.	13:26:01
11	And I can't speak to what others would	13:26:03
12	have seen as far as counsel. I just	13:26:06
13	Q Were others	13:26:10
14	A don't recall.	13:26:12
15	Q Were there any others that were there	13:26:13
16	while the computers were on?	13:26:15
17	A Others that were there when the computers	13:26:16
18	were on? So we've talked about the President, the	13:26:21
19	Speaker, Blake, myself, the Chiefs of Staff,	13:26:25
20	in-house counsel, exterior coun external	13:26:29
21	counsel.	13:26:33
22	For congressional redistricting, I I'm	13:26:38
23	not sure I can think of anybody else that was	13:26:40
24	there sitting here right now.	13:26:42
25	Q Okay. And did you convey information	13:26:43
		1

Transcript of Raymond DiRossi Conducted on December 7, 2021

1	about the partisan leanings of the districts that	13:27:01
2	you were drawing to Senate President Huffman?	13:27:04
3	MR. McGUIRE: Objection, we're going to	13:27:08
4	assert the legislative privilege.	13:27:11
5	BY MS. BENDER:	13:27:17
6	Q Okay. I'm going to ask the same question	13:27:17
7	about Speaker Cupp?	13:27:19
8	MR. McGUIRE: The same objection,	13:27:21
9	legislative privilege.	13:27:24
10	BY MS. BENDER:	13:27:25
11	Q Okay. Representative Oelslager?	13:27:27
12	MR. McGUIRE: The same objection,	13:27:28
13	legislative privilege.	13:27:30
14	BY MS. BENDER:	13:27:31
15	Q Any other legislative leadership?	13:27:31
16	MR. McGUIRE: The same objection, we	13:27:35
17	assert the legislative privilege.	13:27:36
18	BY MS. BENDER:	13:27:37
19	Q And any others outside of the legislature?	13:27:37
20	MR. McGUIRE: We will insert the	13:27:41
21	legislative privilege to the extent that includes	13:27:44
22	members of any legislative staff in addition to	13:27:46
23	legislators.	13:27:50
24	But you can answer for anyone other than	13:27:54
25	those two groups.	13:27:56

ITEM 2

Excerpts from Blake Springhetti Deposition, conducted on December 7, 2021

PAFEL BYFELD ENOWN DEFINO CHARCALD COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF

1	Λ
-1	υ

1	Q No. Okay.	14:34:54
2	So let's talk a little bit about the map	14:34:58
3	drawing process. Did you work with Ray DiRossi	14:35:01
4	again while working on the congressional maps?	14:35:04
5	A Could you clarify your question?	14:35:06
6	Q Did you during the General Assembly	14:35:10
7	redistricting process, I understand you had you	14:35:14
8	had worked with Mr. Ray DiRossi. Did you also	14:35:18
9	work with him while working on the state excuse	14:35:20
10	me on the congressional maps?	14:35:22
11	A I would say that we did not work in the	14:35:24
12	same manner that we did during the General	14:35:33
13	Assembly process.	14:35:35
14	Q I'm sorry, could you repeat that? I	14:35:35
15	didn't catch the end of that sentence.	14:35:37
16	A We did not work in the same manner as we	14:35:39
17	did in the congressional process as we did in the	14:35:42
18	General Assembly process.	14:35:45
19	Q Can you tell me, how did you work together	14:35:46
20	then?	14:35:49
21	A Well, for this process there were a lot of	14:35:57
22	other things happening other than congressional	14:36:00
23	redistricting and, frankly, we didn't spend much	14:36:03
24	time together discussing or deliberating	14:36:05
25	congressional maps throughout the process.	14:36:08
		1

1	Q Okay. And just to backtrack a little bit.	14:36:12
2	Mr. DiRossi was working on drawing maps for the	14:36:15
3	Senate side?	14:36:18
4	A Yes, that's correct.	14:36:19
5	Q Okay. And you were working on the House	14:36:20
6	side?	14:36:22
7	A Correct.	14:36:22
8	Q And I understand that well, did you	14:36:25
9	work in the same room for the congressional maps	14:36:32
10	as you did while you were working on the General	14:36:34
11	Assembly maps?	14:36:40
12	A We used the same same state office	14:36:40
13	building that we used for the General Assembly	14:36:44
14	maps, yes.	14:36:46
15	Q Okay. And that was the William Green	14:36:47
16	Building?	14:36:49
17	A Yes.	14:36:49
18	Q Okay. Did you work in any other	14:36:50
19	locations.	14:36:59
20	A Could you clarify the question?	14:37:00
21	Q So I understand did you work on the	14:37:01
22	congressional maps from any locations beyond the	14:37:04
23	William Green Building?	14:37:08
24	A The Maptitude software was stationary in	14:37:15
25	the William Green Building.	14:37:18

1	
1	7

1	Q So all of your Maptitude work would have	14:37:18
2	taken place in the William Green Building, but	14:37:20
3	potentially conversations or other things might	14:37:23
4	have taken place outside of the William Green	14:37:25
5	Building?	14:37:27
6	A Yes.	14:37:27
7	Q Okay. When you were in the William Green	14:37:27
8	Building, did you and Mr. DiRossi work in the same	14:37:32
9	room?	14:37:34
10	A Again, we worked in the same room in the	14:37:34
11	same state office building, same state office that	14:37:41
12	we used for the General Assembly process.	14:37:44
13	Q And just to clarify, I understood during	14:37:47
14	the General Assembly process that both of you	14:37:50
15	were your computers were in the same room, and	14:37:53
16	that's also the case here in the William Green	14:37:56
17	Building?	14:37:59
18	A Yes, the computers are in the same spot	14:37:59
19	that they were during that process.	14:38:03
20	Q And so because you were working in the	14:38:05
21	same room, you could simply talk to one another as	14:38:08
22	you were drawing or as you were working?	14:38:11
23	A Could that happen, yes. But I'll just say	14:38:13
24	that, again, it was very much not the same type of	14:38:19
25	situation, just due to scheduling. He and I very	14:38:23

1	much were in passing. But, yes, that could	14:38:27
2	happen.	14:38:31
3	Q And it did happen sometimes?	14:38:31
4	A Yes, it did happen sometimes.	14:38:33
5	Q Did you and Mr. DiRossi communicate in any	14:38:37
6	other ways about the congressional maps, beyond	14:38:43
7	talking while you were in this room?	14:38:45
8	A No.	14:38:50
9	Q Okay. So no email?	14:38:50
10	A There we exchanged bill drafts, yes.	14:38:54
11	So we did exchange some emails related to drafting	14:39:02
12	and block assignment files and things of that	14:39:06
13	Q And would those emails have been provided	14:39:08
14	to your counsel for this case?	14:39:10
15	A I produced them.	14:39:11
16	MS. BENDER: Okay. I just want to confirm	14:39:17
17	that any such emails would have been produced to	14:39:18
18	us and request that if they have not yet been	14:39:20
19	produced to us, that they that they are	14:39:23
20	produced imminently immediately after this	14:39:25
21	deposition.	14:39:29
22	MR. McGUIRE: All right. Yeah, I'm not	14:39:35
23	aware of any documents responsive to your request	14:39:35
24	for Mr. Springhetti that have not been produced.	14:39:37
25	MS. BENDER: Okay.	14:39:39

1	Q Okay. And which elections from 2016,	14:46:37
2	2018, and 2020?	14:46:46
3	A Statewide, federal elections, and	14:46:47
4	Ohio-elected office holders, statewide office	14:46:58
5	holders.	14:47:10
6	Q I'm sorry. If you could if you could	14:47:10
7	just repeat the end of that again. I couldn't	14:47:10
8	quite catch the I couldn't quite hear you.	14:47:11
9	A Yes. Statewide elections including state	14:47:11
10	office holders, statewide office holders, and	14:47:15
11	federal elections.	14:47:21
12	Q Okay. And those statewide office holders	14:47:21
13	would be can you name those offices?	14:47:25
14	A Sure. Secretary of State, Treasury of	14:47:27
15	State I'm sorry, Treasurer of State, the	14:47:32
16	Attorney General, the who am I leaving out?	14:47:38
17	Auditor, Treasurer, Secretary of State, Governor.	14:47:42
18	Q All right. Auditor, Treasurer, Secretary	14:47:47
19	of State, Governor. Good.	14:47:52
20	Unless you can unless you can think of	14:47:54
21	anyone else that is not on there.	14:47:56
22	A Attorney General?	14:47:58
23	Q Oh, AG. Yeah. Okay. We'll add that.	14:48:01
24	And where did this election sorry. I	14:48:04
25	missed that.	14:48:09

Transcript of Blake Springhetti Conducte

ed	on December 7,	2021
cu	on December 7,	2021

	Transcript of Blake Springhetti	
Ī	Conducted on December 7, 2021 20	1
1	A Yeah, it's all good.	14:48:10
2	Q Where did the election data you used come	14:48:11
3	from?	14:48:13
4	A The the election data comes from the	14:48:13
5	Secretary of State's Office.	14:48:20
6	Q Okay. And was it was it processed by	14:48:23
7	anyone beyond or was the state the election	14:48:31
8	data that you get from the Secretary of State's	14:48:38
9	Office, was it then processed by anyone else to	14:48:40
10	reveal partisan voting preferences from that data?	14:48:43
11	A So as I stated earlier, the data and the	14:48:50
12	information that was in my Maptitude computer was	14:48:56
13	unchanged from the General Assembly and the	14:48:59
14	redistricting process.	14:49:01
15	I did not manage that process for the	14:49:02
16	General Assembly process as far as re	14:49:07
17	obtaining data and things like that.	14:49:12
18	So my computer was unchanged from the	14:49:14
19	General Assembly process.	14:49:18
20	Q Okay. And when was it decided which	14:49:21
21	elections you would consider voting data from in	14:49:30
22	this process?	14:49:33
23	A I'm sorry, could you restate the question?	14:49:34
24	Q When was it decided which elections you	14:49:44
25	would consider voting data from in this process?	14:49:47
		Ī

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1	1
	1

1	A I would say probably the second week of	14:49:50
2	November.	14:49:59
3	Q And prior to that, you might have been	14:50:02
4	considering other data as well?	14:50:05
5	A Yes.	14:50:07
6	Q And what other data might you have been	14:50:08
7	considering or were you considering?	14:50:17
8	A So up until that point, I was considering	14:50:21
9	the data that I mentioned, '16, '18, and '20	14:50:26
10	statewide elections.	14:50:30
11	Q Okay. And then at that point, you were no	14:50:34
12	longer considering at that point, you narrowed	14:50:37
13	it down to which elections again?	14:50:40
14	A So, again, I when I was actually	14:50:42
15	drafting maps, I that's what I considered.	14:50:47
16	Q Okay. So, actually, throughout the entire	14:50:52
17	period you were considering 2016, 2018, and 2020	14:50:56
18	statewide elections?	14:51:00
19	A Yes.	14:51:01
20	Q Okay. And that's both state and federal	14:51:01
21	elections?	14:51:04
22	A Yes.	14:51:07
23	Q And who decided which elections would be	14:51:07
24	considered?	14:51:13
25	MR. McGUIRE: Objection, we're going to	14:51:16

1	assert the legislative privilege.	14:51:19
2	Mr. Springhetti's communications with any members	14:51:20
3	of the General Assembly are an integral part of	14:51:22
4	the deliberative and communicative process of the	14:51:26
5	work of the General Assembly.	14:51:29
6	So to the extent that answer involves	14:51:31
7	anybody who was in either in the General	14:51:33
8	Assembly or on their staffs, don't answer that	14:51:35
9	question. If it's somebody else, you can answer	14:51:37
10	the question, someone outside that group.	14:51:39
11	BY MS. BENDER:	14:51:46
12	Q Can you provide an answer	14:51:47
13	Mr. Springhetti, given that instruction?	14:51:49
14	A Yeah, that was an internal discussion.	14:51:50
15	Q Okay.	14:51:53
16	MS. BENDER: So I'd just like to say, as I	14:51:54
17	said or as we've said before, I find we find	14:51:57
18	these objections improper. We don't believe that	14:52:01
19	this is covered by legislative privilege. And for	14:52:03
20	the sake of time, we will move on.	14:52:05
21	Let me make sure that I'm on track.	14:52:13
22	BY MS. BENDER:	14:52:22
23	Q So do you know how it was decided which	14:52:22
24	elections you would consider?	14:52:25
25	MR. McGUIRE: Once again, I'll assert the	14:52:26

1	1
	J

1	legislative privilege to the extent that answer	14:52:28
2	would involve him identifying members of the	14:52:30
3	General Assembly or members of their staff.	14:52:33
4	BY THE WITNESS:	14:52:33
5	A I do not know how it was considered.	14:52:42
6	MS. BENDER: And I'll say, the same	14:52:46
7	response to the objection as before.	14:52:49
8	BY MS. BENDER:	14:52:50
9	Q Did you ever participate in any meetings	14:52:54
10	about what election data would be considered?	14:52:55
11	A I'm sorry, could you restate that? There	14:52:57
12	was another lag at the beginning	14:53:04
13	MR. McGUIRE: You're breaking up a little	14:53:05
14	bit occasionally.	14:53:07
15	MS. BENDER: Oh, sorry about that.	14:53:09
16	BY MS. BENDER:	14:53:10
17	Q Did you ever participate in any meetings	14:53:11
18	about what data would be considered?	14:53:13
19	A Yes.	14:53:15
20	Q And who would participate in these	14:53:16
21	meetings?	14:53:23
22	A It would have been myself and the Speaker	14:53:23
23	and the Senate President and Ray and our my	14:53:29
24	Chief of Staff and Ray's Chief of Staff.	14:53:35
25	Q And can you give names for the chiefs of	14:53:39

_	
•	Λ.
Ľ	4

1	staff there?	14:53:42
2	A The Ohio House Chief of Staff is Christine	14:53:43
3	Morrison. The Senate Chief of Staff is John	14:53:47
4	Barron.	14:53:52
5	Q All right. So once the election data was	14:53:52
6	received, it was loaded into Maptitude. I believe	14:53:58
7	you said earlier that you weren't sure how that	14:54:00
8	happened; is that right?	14:54:03
9	A The management and facilitation of	14:54:06
10	obtaining the data was not something that I	14:54:13
11	managed for the General Assembly process. And	14:54:16
12	I'll just say again that the data and geography	14:54:19
13	that was in my computer then was unchanged for the	14:54:21
14	congressional districts.	14:54:24
15	Q So you don't know how the election results	14:54:25
16	data was got into Maptitude?	14:54:30
17	A For the congressional process?	14:54:32
18	Q Just in general. It sounds like it was	14:54:34
19	the same data either way.	14:54:37
20	A Yeah, so for the General Assembly process,	14:54:40
21	we had to upload it to Maptitude, but I was not	14:54:47
22	involved in the attainment of that data.	14:54:50
23	Q Okay. Do you know who uploaded it into	14:54:52
24	Maptitude?	14:54:55
25	A For the General Assembly process?	14:54:55

\mathbf{a}	_
,	`

1	Q So I understand that this is the same data	14:54:58
2	that's being used in the congressional process.	14:55:03
3	So it was uploaded at the time of the General	14:55:04
4	Assembly process, correct, but it's the same data?	14:55:07
5	A Yes, it was uploaded for the General	14:55:12
6	Assembly process, and it is	14:55:14
7	Q Okay.	14:55:14
8	A the same data.	14:55:15
9	Q And then so who uploaded it for the	14:55:16
10	General Assembly process?	14:55:18
11	A I physically up put all the	14:55:19
12	information into Maptitude.	14:55:22
13	Q And who did you get that information from?	14:55:24
14	A So, again, I didn't manage that process.	14:55:26
15	I actually got that from Ray.	14:55:33
16	Q Okay. And do you know who Ray got it	14:55:36
17	from?	14:55:38
18	A I don't know who sent who sent it to	14:55:38
19	Ray, no.	14:55:43
20	Q Okay. Once it was in Maptitude, you could	14:55:44
21	view this you could view this election data	14:55:49
22	alongside the map that you were drawing; is that	14:55:52
23	right?	14:55:54
24	A Yes. I had two screens. One had data	14:55:54
25	a data view on it. The other side has a, what I	14:56:03

		1
1	call, a canvass.	14:56:06
2	Q I'm going to dig in a little bit more on	14:56:08
3	that maybe later. But before we get to that, I	14:56:14
4	wanted to ask about your reporting.	14:56:18
5	Who did you report to regarding your work	14:56:20
6	on congressional redistricting?	14:56:21
7	A The Speaker of the House.	14:56:25
8	Q And how did you report to him?	14:56:26
9	MR. McGUIRE: I'm sorry, objection to the	14:56:34
10	form.	14:56:35
11	Is the question what means of	14:56:35
12	communication did he use?	14:56:37
13	Is the question what means of communication did he use? MS. BENDER: Yes. BY MS. BENDER:	14:56:40
14	BY MS. BENDER:	14:56:40
15	Q What means did you use?	14:56:41
16	A We physically met and discussed	14:56:42
17	congressional redistricting.	14:56:55
18	Q And where would you meet?	14:56:55
19	A We would meet in the congressional	14:56:56
20	redistrict well, in the redistricting office,	14:57:02
21	and we would also meet in the Riffe Center, and we	14:57:04
22	would also meet in the State House.	14:57:08
23	Q Okay. So the State House, the I missed	14:57:10
24	that second one. What center? What center?	14:57:12
25	A The Riffe Center.	14:57:15

$\overline{}$,	
Z		1

1	Q Okay. And then the in the	14:57:16
2	redistricting office, which was the office in the	14:57:21
3	Green Building?	14:57:24
4	A Yes. And just so you know, the Riffe	14:57:24
5	Center is where the Ohio House office offices	14:57:27
6	are.	14:57:31
7	Q Okay. Great. Thank you. Sorry. I'm not	14:57:32
8	familiar with all the names of the buildings.	14:57:34
9	A Yeah.	14:57:37
10	Q And did you ever report to him in any	14:57:37
11	manner other than in person in one of these	14:57:44
12	buildings?	14:57:48
13	A No.	14:57:48
14	Q Okay. So no not by phone?	14:57:52
15	A Yeah, I don't recall us ever talking about	14:57:59
16	congressional redistricting without us physically	14:58:01
17	being in the same in the same room.	14:58:03
18	Q So just to I'll just confirm then. So	14:58:06
19	not by email?	14:58:10
20	A Yeah, I don't I don't recall ever	14:58:10
21	sending an email about congressional	14:58:15
22	redistricting.	14:58:17
23	Q Or text?	14:58:17
24	A Or text.	14:58:18
25	Q Okay. So when you met in person	14:58:20
		Ī

1	٦	•	
		7	۲.
			`

1	sometimes in sometimes in the state legislative	14:58:31
2	building, sometimes in the Green Building, when	14:58:33
3	you met in the Green Building, in particular,	14:58:34
4	would you sometimes show Speaker Cupp the map that	14:58:36
5	you were working on?	14:58:39
6	A I would, yes.	14:58:40
7	Q Okay. And would you show it to him on	14:58:47
8	your computer?	14:58:49
9	A Yes.	14:58:49
10	Q Okay. And did you ever show it to him in	14:58:49
11	physical copy?	14:58:52
12	A Yeah.	14:58:52
13	Q Okay. And have those those maps that	14:58:57
14	you provided to Speaker Cupp in physical copy been	14:59:05
15	produced to your counsel in this case?	14:59:08
16	A So those are in Maptitude. So I have I	14:59:10
17	have them. I don't have physical printouts, no.	14:59:16
18	Q But you provided physical printouts to	14:59:20
19	Speaker Cupp?	14:59:23
20	A Yes.	14:59:25
21	Q And those are smart-retained?	14:59:27
22	A So I have I have those files. I	14:59:31
23	just	14:59:35
24	Q The physical	14:59:35
25	A They're not	14:59:36

1	Q copies?	14:59:37
2	A they're not letter-sized paper, so	14:59:38
3	they're not particularly easy to turn over.	14:59:41
4	Q Okay. Well, we just want to make sure	14:59:43
5	that any physical copies that have been or that	14:59:47
6	were provided are produced, even if not in	14:59:50
7	letter-size paper. They could be scanned in or	14:59:54
8	or something of the like and produced to us in	14:59:59
9	this case.	15:00:01
10	A Yeah.	15:00:04
11	MR. McGUIRE: I mean, if that s you're	15:00:06
12	seeking a response from me, Ms. Bender, again, I	15:00:07
13	am not I was not involved in the collection of	15:00:11
14	documents from anyone in the production of them.	15:00:13
15	I certainly have not been advised and I am not	15:00:16
16	aware of anything responsive to the request that	15:00:18
17	was not produced.	15:00:21
18	BY MS. BENDER:	15:00:26
19	Q Okay. And did you provide reports on the	15:00:27
20	your map drawing to anyone besides Speaker	15:00:30
21	Cupp?	15:00:33
22	A Yes.	15:00:33
23	Q Who else?	15:00:40
24	A So could you clarify what you mean by	15:00:41
25	"reports," just so I can accurately answer the	15:00:47
		1

1	4
_	٠.

1	Q Okay. And I think we talked about maps	15:19:01
2	that had been printed out before. So you have	15:19:06
3	shared maps in printout, but	15:19:11
4	A I have	15:19:15
5	Q with the Speaker I think we talked	15:19:16
6	about before?	15:19:17
7	A Yeah, there was a map that I shared via	15:19:17
8	printout, but, you know, the others that were	15:19:21
9	shared, I showed directly on Maptitude.	15:19:23
10	Q Okay. And when you showed or shared	15:19:27
11	those directly on Maptitude, can you tell me it	15:19:33
12	sounds like those were shared that was shared	15:19:40
13	with the Speaker? A Yes.	15:19:42
14	A Yes.	15:19:43
15	Q With never with Representative	15:19:45
16	Oelslager?	15:19:52
17	A Correct.	15:19:52
18	Q Ever with Senator Huffman?	15:19:54
19	A I don't I don't recall ever showing	15:19:59
20	anything to President Huffman directly on my	15:20:05
21	computer.	15:20:08
22	Q Okay. What about Senator McColley?	15:20:08
23	A No.	15:20:10
24	Q And with Christine Morrison?	15:20:11
25	A Yes, I showed her things directly on my	15:20:15

]
1	computer.	15:20:22
2	Q And anyone else?	15:20:22
3	A Ray DiRossi.	15:20:24
4	Q Okay. Anyone beyond that?	15:20:26
5	A No.	15:20:28
6	Q Okay. So digging in on how Maptitude	15:20:33
7	works. When you use Maptitude, you see a map of	15:20:36
8	the state of Ohio with individual districts	15:20:42
9	represented on the map of state of on the map,	15:20:45
10	correct?	15:20:49
11	A So on my computer, I had I had a	15:20:49
12	canvass on one of my monitors, yes.	15:20:55
13	Q And when you say "a canvass," that is	15:20:58
14	a effect or is essentially the map, correct?	15:21:02
15	A It's Ohio geography.	15:21:06
16	Q Okay. So it conveys the state of Ohio and	15:21:08
17	then potentially I'm sorry. It conveys the	15:21:11
18	state of Ohio?	15:21:15
19	A It conveys the state of Ohio's geography,	15:21:15
20	yes.	15:21:18
21	Q Okay. And other subdivision lines, for	15:21:18
22	instance, on the state of Ohio?	15:21:21
23	A Yes.	15:21:23
24	Q Okay. And then also the district lines as	15:21:23
25	you're drawing them on the state?	15:21:27

	Transcript of Brane Springhetti	
ĺ	Conducted on December 7, 2021	47
1	A Yes.	15:21:29
2	Q Okay. And you can move the district lines	15:21:33
3	on the map?	15:21:35
4	A Yes.	15:21:36
5	Q Maptitude also has data view windows, as	15:21:36
6	you said earlier, correct?	15:21:42
7	A Yes.	15:21:43
8	Q So when you or in the data view, you	15:21:44
9	would see, for instance, the partisan voting	15:21:50
10	leanings of the district; is that right?	15:21:55
11	A Yes.	15:21:56
12	Q Okay. So when you moved the district	15:21:57
13	lines, the data in the data view window about the	15:22:00
14	partisan leanings of the district would shift?	15:22:06
15	A Yes.	15:22:08
16	Q And so when you shared your maps with the	15:22:09
17	people that you reported to, was the data view	15:22:16
18	window or did you share information in the data	15:22:18
19	view window?	15:22:21
20	A So when I would when I would show	15:22:21
21	things on Maptitude, I would have my data view on	15:22:27
22	one monitor and my canvass on the other. And the	15:22:30
23	focus was, I would say, primarily on the vast	15:22:34
24	majority of the time on the canvass. What	15:22:39
25	Q Okay.	15:22:42

1	A the district lines looked like, what	15:22:42
2	counties were included, what subdivisions were	15:22:44
3	included, what subdivisions are split. That was	15:22:46
4	where the focus was.	15:22:50
5	Q But the data view was also up on the other	15:22:51
6	monitor?	15:22:55
7	A It was up, but I would just say, just so	15:22:57
8	you understand what the system what the setup	15:23:00
9	looks like, you know, my monitor to the right has	15:23:02
10	the canvass, and I'm shifted to the left so	15:23:05
11	someone can see what that monitor looks like. The	15:23:09
12	data view is not in plain site when we're going	15:23:11
13	over those things.	15:23:15
14	Q Okay. But the data view was up when you	15:23:16
15	showed others your map, including Speaker Cupp?	15:23:18
16	A Yes.	15:23:22
17	Q Okay. And Christine Morrison?	15:23:23
18	A Yes.	15:23:26
19	Q And Ray DiRossi?	15:23:29
20	A Yes.	15:23:36
21	MS. BENDER: I would like to pull up now	15:23:41
22	the document titled Springhetti001042 GE09.	15:23:42
23	(WHEREUPON, Springhetti Exhibit No. 1 was	15:23:42
24	presented to the witness.)	15:24:33
25	MS. BENDER: Ethan, would you mind if I	15:24:33

1	took control of the screen.	15:24:35
2	Thank you.	15:24:36
3	BY MS. BENDER:	15:24:38
4	Q All right. So does this generally look	15:24:39
5	familiar?	15:24:41
6	A No, I don't think I've seen this before.	15:24:50
7	Q Okay. But this is this is maybe not	15:24:52
8	the exact way that you would have seen Maptitude,	15:24:53
9	but this, you can agree, is map or is an image	15:24:56
10	of a Maptitude screen?	15:25:00
11	A Could you zoom in on I'm a little	15:25:02
12	this doesn't look like how I have my system set	15:25:10
13	up.	15:25:13
14	Q Okay. What is different about this or	15:25:13
15	what was different about how you had your system	15 : 25 : 17
16	set up? Sorry about the highlighting.	15:25:19
17	A Yeah, this is something I haven't seen	15:25:22
18	before.	15:25:24
19	Q And what was different about how you had	15:25:24
20	your system set up?	15:25:30
21	A There's well, first off, I don't even	15:25:31
22	really it's hard for me to even tell what any	15 : 25 : 37
23	of this is. I can't even make an accurate	15:25:40
24	comparison.	15:25:42
25	Q Okay. So when you viewed Maptitude, you	15:25:43

1	plan.	15:34:42
2	Q Okay. And do you know what "Members"	15:34:43
3	would mean?	15:34:46
4	A I would be speculating. I don't know	15:34:47
5	what what that means.	15:34:51
6	Q Okay. And "Locked"?	15:34:53
7	A Again, I'll just yeah, I don't	15:34:56
8	recognize that.	15:34:59
9	Q And "Locked"?	15:34:59
10	A I don't recognize Column E.	15:35:01
11	Q Okay. And Column E is "Locked" or says	15:35:07
12	"Locked," correct?	15:35:08
13	A It does say "Locked," but I	15:35:11
14	Q Okay.	15:35:11
15	A don't know what that means.	15:35:13
16	Q Okay. Column F, "Name"?	15:35:13
17	A Yeah, again, it says "Name" in Column F,	15:35:19
18	but I don't know what that means.	15:35:22
19	Q Okay. Column G, T-O-T-P-O-P, or TOTPOP	15:35:24
20	I'm sorry about that.	15:35:32
21	A Yeah, I recognize TOTPOP.	15:35:41
22	Q Okay. What is that	15:35:41
23	A I don't know what all of the again,	15:35:43
24	this is first time I'm seeing this set up this	15:35:46
25	way. But I do have a TOT a TOTPOP column on	15:35:49
		1

_	$\overline{}$
_	-/

		1
1	my data view.	15:35:54
2	Q And what does that mean when you have it	15:35:54
3	in your data view?	15:35:57
4	A In my data view, the TOTPOP is the total	15:35:58
5	population of an assigned area in Maptitude.	15:36:01
6	Q So it would be the total population, for	15:36:05
7	instance, of the district that you were working	15:36:10
8	in?	15:36:11
9	A Yes.	15:36:11
10	Q Okay. Column H, G20R, do you know what	15:36:12
11	this is?	15:36:19
12	A So, again, this is very different from my	15:36:20
13	data view. But in my data view, I do have a G20R.	15:36:25
14	Q And what is that?	15 : 36 : 31
15	A That is the presidential election results	15:36:31
16	in 2020.	15:36:39
17	Q Okay. And Column I, G20V?	15:36:42
18	A I don't recognize that.	15:36:48
19	Q Okay. So you're not sure what that	15:36:55
20	what that is?	15:36:56
21	A I don't have that in my data. I don't	15 : 36 : 57
22	know what that is.	15:36:59
23	Q And you don't know what that means?	15:36:59
24	A I don't know what G20V means, no.	15:37:01
25	Q Okay. G20V_TAPR, that is Column J?	15:37:05

1	A I don't recognize Column J.	15:37:10
2	Q Okay. So you don't know what it means?	15:37:13
3	A Correct.	15:37:17
4	Q G20P_DV?	15:37:17
5	A I don't recognize that, either.	15:37:27
6	Q Okay. And when you say you don't	15:37:29
7	recognize it, you mean that you don't know what it	15:37:31
8	means?	15:37:33
9	A Yeah, I don't know what that means.	15:37:33
10	Q Okay. G20P_RV, which is Column L?	15:37:34
11	A Yeah, I don't I don't recognize that.	15:37:43
12	And just to correct the record, I thought	15:37:45
13	that the that Column H was G20P, but that's	15:37:48
14	actually an R. I know what G20P is, which we'll	15:37:52
15	get to here in a minute, it looks like. But I	15:37:58
16	G20R I don't have G20R in my data view	15:38:02
17	Q Okay.	15:38:05
18	A just to correct that.	15:38:05
19	Q Okay. So I'm sorry. So we said	15:38:08
20	G20P_RV. You don't know what that means?	15:38:13
21	A Yeah, G20P_RV, I don't recognize that.	15:38:15
22	Q G20P_TV?	15:38:19
23	A Yeah, I don't recognize that.	15:38:21
24	Q And G20P_RP?	15:38:23
25	A Yeah, I have a I have a G20_RP in my	15:38:28

~	1
O	Z

1	A I did not have anything in my data view	15:42:44
2	just showing 2016 percentages, no.	15:42:51
3	Q Okay. So not any you know, the	15:42:56
4	Presidential election in 2016?	15:43:01
5	A I didn't have anything in my data view	15:43:03
6	that was just 2016 elections.	15:43:06
7	Q Okay. So no no data view or no 2016	15:43:10
8	in your data view as you could see it.	15:43:13
9	Did you then in Column AY, we get to	15:43:18
10	2018 elections. Did you have anything in your	15:43:22
11	data view representing 2018 elections?	15:43:24
12	A I did not have anything in my data view	15:43:30
13	that was showing just 2018 election results.	15:43:33
14	Q Okay.	15:43:35
15	A And so none of this I'll say again,	15:43:37
16	none of this looks familiar to me.	15:43:39
17	Q Okay. So you would have had your data	15:43:41
18	view set to not reveal any of this information, it	15:43:45
19	sounds like. Can you confirm that?	15:43:49
20	A This does not look like my data view.	15:43:54
21	Q So if you had wanted to adjust your data	15:43:58
22	view to reveal other elections beyond the ones	15:44:09
23	that we've discussed thus far, could you?	15:44:11
24	A It is possible to do in Maptitude, but I	15:44:16
25	have not done it, so I do not know how to do it.	15:44:19

1	Q Okay. All right. We're going to do a few	15:44:23
2	more minutes, and then I think take a quick break.	15:44:34
3	In Column BW, we get to something starting	15:44:37
4	with the heading "EA51." Do you recognize the	15:44:40
5	acronym "EA"?	15:44:44
6	A Yes.	15:44:47
7	Q And what does "EA" generally stand for?	15:44:52
8	Or what do you know it to stand for?	15:45:00
9	A I don't know what "EA" stands for. 🚜 just	15 : 45 : 02
10	know it as EA51.	15:45:05
11	Q And what do you what is EA51?	15:45:07
12	A So EA51 generally is a ten year lookback	15:45:14
13	of election results for statewide state and	15:45:19
14	federal elections.	15 : 45 : 25
15	But this in this column is not that. This	15 : 45 : 26
16	is I actually don't know what EA51_DV is	15:45:34
17	because I don't have that on my	15:45:39
18	Q Okay. So the generally you understand	15:45:41
19	EA51 to be a ten-year lookback of election results	15:45:43
20	for statewide state and federal elections.	15:45:47
21	Would you have I'm sorry. Would you	15:45:50
22	have anything in your data view showing	15 : 45 : 53
23	EA51-related data?	15:45:57
24	A Yes.	15 : 45 : 58
25	Q And what would that be?	15:46:05

		1
1	A Well, I have ten-year election results for	15:46:10
2	statewide state and federal elections in my data	15:46:17
3	view.	15:46:19
4	Q Is that in terms of the percentage of	15:46:23
5	Republican voters under that set of data.	15:46:25
6	A I would have Republican voters and	15:46:30
7	Democrat voters.	15:46:35
8	Q Okay. So there might be two EA two	15:46:35
9	EA-associated columns: one for Republican woters,	15:46:39
10	one for Democratic voters?	15 : 46 : 42
11	A Yes.	15:46:44
12	Q Okay. Then moving over, we see on	15:46:44
13	Column CA, it's titled "EA52."	15:46:52
14	Do you know what EA\$2 is?	15:46:56
15	A Yes.	15:46:58
16	Q And what is EA52?	15:47:02
17	A So in my data view, EA52 is a combination	15:47:10
18	of election results for 2018 and 2020 statewide	15 : 47 : 16
19	elections.	15 : 47 : 24
20	Q And so that would be state and federal?	15 : 47 : 25
21	A Yes.	15:47:34
22	Q Okay. And then I see one more EA, EA53	15:47:34
23	oh, actually, I'm sorry. To close the loop on	15:47:47
24	that, EA52, did you have columns in your data view	15:47:51
25	corresponding to EA52?	15:47:54
		1

1	A Again, my data view looks very different	15:47:56
2	than this, but, yes, I did have columns associated	15:47:58
3	with EA52 in my data view.	15:48:04
4	Q And would those be the Republican votes	15:48:07
5	and Democratic voters like we talked about earlier	15:48:11
6	for EA51?	15:48:13
7	A In my data view, I have for EA52	15:48:15
8	Republican percentage and Democrat percentage.	15:48:19
9	Q Okay. And it sorry. For EA51, those	15:48:22
10	would also be percents?	15:48:25
11	A Yes.	15:48:27
12	Q Okay. All right. Then over in Column CH,	15:48:28
13	we have EA53. Do you know what EA53 would be?	15:48:34
14	A Yes.	15:48:37
15	Q And what is that?	15:48:41
16	A In my data, EA53 is the election	15:48:42
17	results statewide election results for state	15:48:50
18	and federal races in '16, '18, and '20.	15:48:52
19	Q Okay. And you would have those in terms	15:48:56
20	of Democratic votes and Republican votes as well?	15:49:02
21	A In my data view, I have EA53 Republican	15:49:05
22	percentage and Democrat percentage.	15:49:11
23	Q Good. And so these these are three	15:49:15
24	different sets of election data. Who came up with	15:49:21
25	these sets of election data?	15:49:25

1	A So for the General Assembly process, Ray	15:49:33
2	and I discussed election results that would be	15:49:39
3	useful or good to look at for the General Assembly	15:49:46
4	process.	15:49:51
5	Q Okay. And so you and Ray decided do	15:49:52
6	you mind if I call these index indices or	15:49:56
7	indexes of election results?	15:50:00
8	A Sure.	15:50:05
9	Q Is there a different way that you would	15:50:05
10	refer to them?	15:50:07
11	A I would just call them election results.	15:50:07
12	Q Okay. So just to just to clarify and	15:50:11
13	make sure that I'm being clean on the record when	15:50:15
14	we're talking about just election results versus	15:50:17
15	sets or composites of elections results, then I'm	15:50:22
16	just going to call them indices.	15:50:25
17	But you and Ray would have decided or	15:50:28
18	would have discussed which indices you might	15:50:33
19	consider?	15:50:35
20	A In the General Assembly process, we	15:50:35
21	discussed election results that would be helpful	15:50:42
22	for us to have in Maptitude	15:50:46
23	Q Okay.	15:50:51
24	A for the General Assembly process, and	15:50:51
25	then	15:50:52
		1

6	7

1	Q And those were also	15:50:53
2	A my data view my data view did not	15:50:54
3	change from that.	15:50:58
4	Q Okay. All right. Just to move along	15:50:59
5	then.	15:51:14
6	We have, in Column CI, we have a percent	15:51:14
7	G20P_RV. Do you know what that means?	15:51:24
8	A I do not recognize that column.	15 : 51 : 27
9	Q Okay. So you're not you don't know	15 : 51 : 32
10	what it means?	15:51:34
11	A Yeah, I don't have that in my database.	15:51:35
12	Q So, so far I think we've discussed four	15:51:39
13	composites. You had a an EA51 percentage of	15:51:43
14	Democratic votes, percentage of Republican votes.	15:51:50
15	EA52, same thing. EA53.	15 : 51 : 52
16	Were there any other composites in your	15:51:55
17	results or, I mean, in your data view?	15:51:58
18	A Well, the fourth one is just 2020.	15 : 52 : 01
19	Q Okay. Just 2020. And no others?	15:52:08
20	A Correct.	15:52:11
21	Q Okay. If you'll give me just one minute.	15:52:16
22	Okay. And did you so you didn't have a	15:52:48
23	composite that was just statewide federal election	15:52:54
24	results between 2012 and 2020?	15:53:00
25	A That's correct; I did not have that in my	15:53:06

1	data view.	15:53:09
2	Q Okay.	15:53:09
3	MS. BENDER: I think that we can take down	15:53:18
4	this exhibit. Thank you, Ethan.	15:53:22
5	And if it's all right by you, I would like	15:53:28
6	to take a break. I imagine that you could use one	15:53:31
7	as well.	15:53:36
8	MR. McGUIRE: Yes, that's we have no	15:53:36
9	problem with that. Again, I think we'd like to	15:53:38
10	get since we're going to go into the pretty	15:53:40
11	long into the evening, keep it relatively short if	15:53:43
12	we can.	15:53:44
13	MS. BENDER: Can we go off record.	15:53:48
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:53. We	15:53:50
15	are off the record.	15:53:53
16	(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)	15:54:46
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:02. We	16:02:17
18	are on the record.	16:02:23
19	MS. BENDER: Ethan, would you mind pulling	16:02:28
20	up, please, Springhetti the document titled	16:02:30
21	"Springhetti000217."	16:02:34
22	(WHEREUPON, Springhetti Exhibit No. 3 was	16:02:47
23	presented to the witness.)	16:02:59
24	MS. BENDER: And can you move to or I'd	16:02:59
25	like to mark this as an exhibit, of course. And	16:03:03
		Ī

	\cap
О	9

		1
1	I'm not sure that I formally said that for the	16:03:09
2	last two documents that we've looked at, but also	16:03:12
3	those should be marked as exhibits. So this	16:03:14
4	should be Exhibit 3.	16:03:17
5	So if you could move to Page 6.	16:03:23
6	BY MS. BENDER:	16:03:30
7	Q Okay. Great.	16:03:30
8	Mr. Springhetti, are you familiar with	16:03:31
9	this document?	16:03:31
10	A Yes.	16:03:40
11	Q And this appears to be a memorthat's	16:03:40
12	analyzing substitute Senate Bill or 258; is	16:03:43
13	that right?	16:03:47
14	A Could you scroll down just so I can see	16:03:47
15	the full document?	16:03:50
16	Q Yeah, I think that's	16:03:51
17	A I can only see like the top.	16:03:53
18	Q I think actually why don't we to get	16:04:02
19	you acquainted with this, why don't we go to	16:04:07
20	the no, actually, that's fine. If you want to	16:04:10
21	go to the first page and just scroll through the	16:04:12
22	whole document.	16:04:15
23	(Brief pause in proceedings.)	16:06:10
24	BY MS. BENDER:	16:06:13
25	Q With that context, does this portion of	16:06:13
		I

_	-
\neg	_
- /	n

		1
1	Democrat plan.	16:15:33
2	Q Okay. Then we've got some detail about	16:15:34
3	cities. [As read] "70 percent of Columbus and	16:15:40
4	subdivisions circumscribed within Columbus, is	16:15:43
5	within Congressional District C" C3, so on and	16:15:47
6	so on.	16:15:51
7	That's right?	16:15:52
8	A Yes, approximately 70 percent of Columbus	16:15:52
9	is in the 3rd Congressional District.	16:16:03
10	Q Okay. All right. I'm going to flip ahead	16:16:05
11	to Page 9 here. Sorry, I'm going too far.	16:16:07
12	All right. Bullet 2, it says,	16:16:15
13	"Congressional districts are considered	16:16:18
14	competitive if the district leans politically	16:16:19
15	towards one party by 4 percent or less."	16:16:22
16	Is that right?	16:16:25
17	A For the purposes of this memo, yes, it	16:16:25
18	Q That's what	16:16:32
19	A the that's how the memo reads, yes.	16:16:32
20	Q Okay. And where did this 4 percent number	16:16:35
21	come from?	16:16:40
22	A It is a number that was agreed to be	16:16:43
23	considered competitive.	16:16:51
24	Q And who agreed?	16:16:53
25	MR. McGUIRE: I'm going to assert the	16:16:58

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

77

1	legislative objective legislative privilege	16:17:00
2	objection to the extent that his answer would	16:17:03
3	encompass about who agreed to the 4 percent	16:17:06
4	would encompass members of the General Assembly or	16:17:10
5	the staff members and instruct him not to provide	16:17:13
6	any not to answer with any of those names.	16:17:15
7	But you can answer if there were people	16:17:18
8	outside of that group.	16:17:20
9	THE WITNESS: There was not.	16:17:21
10	MS. BENDER: Okay. Again, I would say	16:17:23
11	that we do not agree that this is covered by the	16:17:25
12	legislative privilege and we will move on.	16:17:29
13	BY MS. BENDER:	16:17:38
14	Q When was it agreed that 4 percent was the	16:17:39
15	number which you considered things competitive	16:17:41
16	or district competitive?	16:17:43
17	A I don't recall the exact time and day when	16:17:45
18	that when that was to when that was	16:17:53
19	determined, but I can tell you it was sometime	16:17:57
20	between November 9th and November 15th.	16:18:00
21	Q And who did or who proposed this	16:18:08
22	4 percent number?	16:18:13
23	MR. McGUIRE: Well, I'll object on the	16:18:24
24	basis of legislative privilege, again, if that	16:18:25
25	answer calls for you to name a member of the	16:18:28
		I

1	General Assembly or any staff member. If not, you	16:18:32
2	can answer.	16:18:34
3	BY THE WITNESS:	16:18:35
4	A That was again, that was deliberated	16:18:35
5	internally amongst legislative members and staff.	16:18:37
6	MS. BENDER: Okay. And, again, I'll say	16:18:42
7	the same thing as before, we do not agree that	16:18:44
8	this is covered by the legislative privilege, but	16:18:46
9	we will move on.	16:18:49
10	BY MS. BENDER:	16:18:50
11	Q So at the next bullet, it says,	16:18:50
12	"Congressional Districts 1, 6" or not the next.	16:18:55
13	I guess this is two down.	16:18:57
14	"Congressional Districts 1, 6, 9, 10, 13,	16:18:58
15	14, and 15 could lean plus or minus 4 percent,"	16:19:08
16	correct?	16:19:12
17	A Yes, that's what it says.	16:19:12
18	Q Okay. All right. I'd like to go back	16:19:18
19	now I'm going to go to Page 2. And this is you	16:19:25
20	know, we have this included in the same document	16:19:28
21	because it appears to be attached to the same	16:19:30
22	email, but this appears to be a separate document.	16:19:32
23	Are you familiar with with this one?	16:19:34
24	A Could you scroll down a little bit so I	16:19:36
25	can see the full the full document.	16:19:45

1	Q I think it just repeats itself.	16:19:54
2	A Yeah.	16:19:56
3	Q Are you familiar with this document?	16:19:56
4	A Yes.	16:20:05
5	Q And this appears to be just highlights of	16:20:05
6	Senate Bill or Substitute Senate Bill 258; is	16:20:08
7	that right?	16:20:12
8	A Yes.	16:20:12
9	Q Did you also write this?	16:20:13
10	A Yes.	16:20:14
11	Q Did you have any help writing it?	16:20:15
12	A I recall this the same myself and	16:20:22
13	legal counsel drafted it.	16:20:30
14	Q And did anyone provide any edits on it	16:20:33
15	beyond legal counsel?	16:20:37
16	A Yes.	16:20:40
17	Q And who was that?	16:20:41
18	A So after I sent that to Christine, I	16:20:43
19	believe Christine made some edits for purposes	16:20:53
20	that she wanted to use the the document.	16:20:58
21	Q Okay. And did would you did you get	16:21:02
22	back a version of that document reflecting her	16:21:10
23	edits?	16:21:13
24	A No, I don't believe I got a document back	16:21:18
25	from her with her edits on it.	16:21:21
		1

		1
1	Q Okay. So you sent this to her, and she	16:21:24
2	edited it and sent it off?	16:21:28
3	A Yes, I I don't know who she provided	16:21:30
4	the document to. Similar to the other document, I	16:21:34
5	don't know which email list she sent that out.	16:21:38
6	Q Okay. When was this document written?	16:21:42
7	A I would say around the same timeline as	16:21:45
8	as the other memo.	16:21:49
9	Q So that was, I believe, between	16:21:50
10	Q So that was, I believe, between November 9th and 15th? A Yes.	16:21:53
11	A Yes.	16:21:55
12	Q Before that is before the substitute	16:21:59
13	bill was introduced?	16:22:03
14	A Yes.	16:22:10
15	Q Okay. And you shared this with Christine	16:22:10
16	Morrison, and you said you're not sure who she	16:22:13
17	would have shared it with?	16:22:15
18	A Yes. I don't know which again, I don't	16:22:18
19	know which email list she may have used or who	16:22:22
20	else she might have sent it to. I the buck	16:22:25
21	stops there for me. Once I provided it to her, I	16:22:29
22	don't know really	16:22:32
23	Q And do you know if she did share it with	16:22:32
24	anyone else?	16:22:35
25	A I believe she did, but I don't know	16:22:35
		ī.

v	

		1
1	Q But you're	16:22:37
2	A if	16:22:39
3	Q just not sure exactly?	16:22:40
4	A Right.	16:22:40
5	Q Sorry about that. I didn't mean to speak	16:22:41
6	over you.	16:22:43
7	And did you share it with anyone be	16:22:44
8	beyond Christine Morrison?	16:22:47
9	A No.	16:22:48
10	Q And legal counsel, I guess you said	16:22:57
11	earlier?	16:22:59
12	A Other than legal counsel and Christine,	16:22:59
13	no.	16:23:00
14	Q And do you recall around when you shared	16:23:00
15	this?	16:23:03
16	A When I could you when I shared it	16:23:09
17	with legal counsel and	16:23:11
18	Q Mm-hm.	16:23:12
19	A Christine?	16:23:12
20	Q Yep.	16:23:14
21	A So I shared it with legal counsel around	16:23:14
22	the same timeline as the other memo and then	16:23:20
23	provided it to Christine, I believe, on on the	16:23:23
24	15th.	16:23:26
25	Q Okay. And what was the purpose of this	16:23:27

1	document?	16:23:30
2	A So the purpose of that document was was	16:23:30
3	to have a little less dense although, I don't	16:23:36
4	think four pages, single space, is dense. But we	16:23:42
5	tried to give something to members that's even	16:23:45
6	more narrowed down in addition to the memos for	16:23:49
7	for legislation before a floor vote.	16:23:52
8	So this is not an uncommon common thing	16:23:55
9	for staff to do for members.	16:23:58
10	Q Okay. So this is this is, like, the	16:24:00
11	this is and even narrower version with the most	16:24:03
12	important points, something like that?	16:24:07
13	A I wouldn't say that important? I mean,	16:24:08
14	I think there are other things that are not on	16:24:16
15	this that are important, but this is just a	16:24:18
16	simplified, shortened version of the previous	16:24:20
17	memo.	16:24:23
18	Q Okay. I'm going to scroll up to this	16:24:35
19	first document here, which is the email that these	16:24:37
20	are attached to.	16:24:42
21	In this email, it looks like it was sent	16:24:43
22	on November 15th, correct?	16:24:44
23	A Yes, that's what it says.	16:24:45
24	Q And to Christine Morrison and Paul	16:24:51
25	Disantis?	16:24:54
		•

0	2
0	Э

1	A Yes, that's correct.	16:24:54
2	Q And Paul Disantis is legal counsel?	16:24:59
3	A He is the House achieve legal counsel.	16:25:01
4	Q And the subject is "Content." What do you	16:25:04
5	mean content for what? What does that mean?	16:25:13
6	A Just consent for the substitute bill.	16:25:17
7	Q The content of the substitute bill?	16:25:24
8	A Yeah, content is just information	16:25:27
9	information about the sub bill.	16:25:33
10	Q Okay. So the would you mind just	16:25:34
11	reading the text of this email for us.	16:25:42
12	A Sure. The text says, "The highlight brief	16:25:46
13	is skimmed down with non-constitutional facts	16:25:49
14	added. The memo is the same document I sent	16:25:52
15	earlier that has a lot more information."	16:25:55
16	Q When you say "with non-constitutional	16:25:59
17	facts added," what did you mean by that?	16:26:02
18	A So what I meant by that is, I this	16:26:04
19	was this if you look if you compare the	16:26:10
20	two memos, one gives a brief summary of some of	16:26:12
21	the rules in Article 19, and this shortened memo	16:26:17
22	does not.	16:26:23
23	Q Okay. And so when you say "added," you	16:26:26
24	mean added oh, wait. Actually, let me make	16:26:29
25	sure that I understood that correctly.	16:26:36

1	A I don't recall sending sending any	16:34:54
2	drafts to anyone	16:35:01
3	Q Except for legal	16:35:03
4	A but, again but, again yeah,	16:35:05
5	except for legal counsel. But, again, this	16:35:08
6	these all look pretty similar, so I don't, you	16:35:10
7	know, recall which one I drafted when.	16:35:13
8	Q Okay. But there were drafts that you	16:35:15
9	would have shared?	16:35:17
10	A With legal counsel there are	16:35:17
11	Q Yeah.	16:35:21
12	A some drafts that I would have shared.	16:35:22
13	Q Okay. All right. So on Page 3 then, the	16:35:24
14	second bullet, would you read this to me.	16:35:28
15	A "Congressional districts are considered	16:35:30
16	competitive if the district could could have	16:35:35
17	favored or disfavored one political party by	16:35:38
18	3.75 percent or less."	16:35:41
19	Q So this is different than the 4 percent	16:35:44
20	metric we looked at earlier, correct?	16:35:47
21	A That's correct.	16:35:51
22	Q And where did this metric come from?	16:35:51
23	MR. McGUIRE: Well, I'm going to raise an	16:35:57
24	objection on legislative privilege grounds to the	16:35:59
25	extent that the that figure was provided by a	16:36:02

90

1	member of the General Assembly or a member's staff	16:36:05
2	members.	16:36:10
3	You can answer for anyone other than that.	16:36:10
4	BY THE WITNESS:	16:36:13
5	A So when we discussed 3.75 percent, that	16:36:13
6	was an internal deliberation.	16:36:19
7	MS. BENDER: Okay. I will make the same	16:36:22
8	response that, again, we don't believe this to be	16:36:25
9	covered by the legislative privilege, and we can	16:36:28
10	move on.	16:36:30
11	BY MS. BENDER:	16:36:30
12	Q When would this 3.75 percent figure have	16:36:31
13	been discussed?	16:36:37
14	A Sometime between November 9th and	16:36:39
15	November 15th.	16:36:51
16	Q Okay. Before the 4 percent figure was	16:36:52
17	decided on?	16:36:57
18	A Yes. Yes. Before before that, yes.	16:36:58
19	MS. BENDER: All right. I think we're	16:37:03
20	done with this exhibit then. And that would if	16:37:04
21	we haven't already, can we mark that as Exhibit 5.	16:37:11
22	I'm sorry about that.	16:37:14
23	And then, Ethan, after that, would you	16:37:14
24	please pull up Springhetti00020.	16:37:17

91

		1
1	(WHEREUPON, Springhetti Exhibit No. 6 was	16:37:22
2	presented to the witness.)	16:37:38
3	BY MS. BENDER:	16:37:38
4	Q Mr. Springhetti, are you familiar with	16:37:40
5	this document?	16:37:41
6	A Yes, I	16:37:47
7	MS. BENDER: And, again Ethan, if you	16:37:48
8	wouldn't mind giving me that control again.	16:37:50
9	BY MS. BENDER:	16:37:52
10	Q Sorry. I think you said yes?	16:37:52
11	A I'm familiar with this page, ves.	16:37:53
12	Q Sorry to speak over you.	16:37:55
13	And then this page as well?	16:37:57
14	A Yes.	16:37:59
15	Q Okay. So this appears to be an analysis	16:38:01
16	of a map, correct?	16:38:12
17	A Yes.	16:38:13
18	Q And do you know which map?	16:38:14
19	A Yes.	16:38:16
20	Q What map was that?	16:38:21
21	A That would be subject to House Bill 258.	16:38:23
22	Q Okay. And did you create this?	16:38:28
23	A I did not.	16:38:29
24	Q Who created this?	16:38:31
25	A I believe this was created by Ray DiRossi.	16:38:33

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

\cap	\sim
ч	1
_	_

		1
1	Q Okay. Did you have any input into this?	16:38:41
2	A No.	16:38:43
3	Q Did you provide any feedback on this?	16:38:46
4	A No.	16:38:48
5	Q Okay. Do you know if anyone else had any	16:38:48
6	input into this beyond Mr. DiRossi?	16:38:56
7	A I don't know how the draft started, so I	16:38:58
8	can't I'm not sure who else may have opined on	16:39:03
9	that.	16:39:09
10	Q And do you know when this was created?	16:39:09
11	A I do not.	16:39:11
12	Q When did you first see it?	16:39:12
13	A Sometime between November 9th and	16:39:19
14	November 15th.	16:39:23
15	Q Did you share it with anyone else?	16:39:23
16	A This graph, I believe, was in one of the	16:39:27
17	memos that I provided.	16:39:37
18	Q Okay. Other than that?	16:39:39
19	A No.	16:39:41
20	Q And do you know if it was shared with	16:39:43
21	anyone else, even if you didn't do the sharing?	16:39:49
22	A Yeah, I that would be speculation. I'm	16:39:52
23	not sure who who may have seen this or received	16:39:54
24	it.	16:39:57
25	Q Okay. So beyond Mr. DiRossi and yourself,	16:39:57

1	you don't know who else might have had this	16:40:00
2	memo or excuse me, this memo this document?	16:40:02
3	A Yeah, I'm not sure. I don't know who else	16:40:05
4	may have received it.	16:40:08
5	Q Okay. All right. So let's look at the	16:40:09
6	first page. So on the first page I'll zoom in	16:40:11
7	a little bit to make it a little easier on	16:40:18
8	everyone's eyes we see that this says there are	16:40:22
9	seven competitive districts plus or minus 4	16:40:27
10	percent.	16:40:30
11	I assume that that means that under a	16:40:30
12	4 percent competitiveness measure, there are seven	16:40:33
13	competitive districts, correct?	16:40:37
14	A Yes, there are seven competitive	16:40:41
15	districts.	16:40:43
16	Q Okay. And this is based upon or this	16:40:43
17	column suggests that it's federal elections from	16:40:45
18	2012 to 2020, correct?	16:40:48
19	A Yes	16:40:49
20	Q And I guess down here.	16:40:52
21	A And it I think it's, yes, federal	16:40:53
22	statewide elections. Yes.	16:40:57
23	Q Federal statewide elections. Okay.	16:40:58
24	A Yes.	16:41:00
25	Q Okay. So federal elections from the	16:41:00

u	

1	Presidential and U.S. Senate races between 2012	16:41:02
2	and 2020 according to the note at the bottom of	16:41:06
3	the page?	16:41:08
4	A That's correct.	16:41:08
5	Q Okay. Sorry. District 15 here, it says	16:41:09
6	that well, actually, I guess to contextualize,	16:41:21
7	these numbers would be the Republican percentage	16:41:24
8	for this map in each of these districts based upon	16:41:27
9	the data from federal elections from 2012 to 2020;	16:41:32
10	is that right?	16:41:40
11	A This yeah, I would say that this	16:41:40
12	these are the, yeah, election results from for	16:41:44
13	the races that are noted at the bottom from 2012	16:41:47
14	to 2020.	16:41:50
15	Q Okay.	16:41:52
16	A Those those are Republican percentages.	16:41:53
17	Q Okay. So based upon those or those	16:41:57
18	2012 to 2020 elections that we've talked about,	16:41:59
19	this map is is projected to have a Republican	16:42:02
20	percentage vote of what's listed in these	16:42:10
21	column in this column under FED 12 to 2020 for	16:42:13
22	each district?	16:42:19
23	A Could you could you rephrase or not	16:42:20
24	rephrase. Could you restate your question.	16:42:24
25	Q So this last column, federal 12 to 2020,	16:42:26

_	_
(1	5
7	•

1	that that provides the projected or the	16:42:32
2	projected Republican share of the vote for each of	16:42:39
3	these created districts based upon if it were the	16:42:42
4	same as the aggregate of the elections from 2012	16:42:45
5	to 2020?	16:42:48
6	A So I would say that this does not this	16:42:49
7	is not a projection. It's	16:42:53
8	Q Okay.	16:42:56
9	A merely a snapshot of election	16:42:56
10	results	16:43:01
11	Q Ah, okay. Good	16:43:01
12	A merely a snapshot of election results Q Ah, okay. Good A from the elections Q clarification.	16:43:02
13	Q clarification.	16:43:02
14	A yeah, from the elections that are noted	16:43:03
15	at the bottom	16:43:06
16	Q Okay.	16:43:07
17	A in the years that are noted in that	16:43:07
18	column.	16:43:10
19	It's a snapshot. That's not to say that	16:43:12
20	this is a projection for any of these districts.	16:43:15
21	Q Okay. Okay. So it's a snapshot if these	16:43:17
22	districts under this aggregate had existed, this	16:43:20
23	would have been or this would have been the	16:43:22
24	result.	16:43:24
25	And so row 15 says 53.7, correct?	16:43:27

\cap	-
ч	n
_	v

1	A It does say 53.7.	16:43:34
2	Q Okay. So let's go to Page 2. On Page 2	16:43:38
3	at the top of this page, we have seven competitive	16:43:45
4	CDs, which I'm assuming stands for congressional	16:43:48
5	districts	16:43:52
6	A Yes.	16:43:53
7	Q correct?	16:43:53
8	Yeah. Okay.	16:43:54
9	A Yes.	16:43:54
10	Q But this says .375 to point or to	16:43:55
11	3.8 or 3.75 to 3.8?	16:44:00
12	A Yes, that's that's what it says.	16:44:04
13	Q Okay. So it's so it's measuring	16:44:06
14	competitiveness based upon a metric of 3.75 to 3.8	16:44:09
15	rather than 4 percent?	16:44:14
16	A Yeah, that's that appears to be what	16:44:18
17	this document's doing.	16:44:20
18	Q And this is this is different than	16:44:22
19	the than the 4 percent that we've looked at	16:44:24
20	earlier. And this is also different than the less	16:44:26
21	than 3.75 percent I think that came up in the	16:44:29
22	last 3.75 percent or less that came up in the	16:44:37
23	last document, correct?	16:44:40
24	A It is it is a different comparison	16:44:41
25	using different percentages.	16:44:47

\sim	$\overline{}$
u	
~	/

1	Q And where did this figure come from?	16:44:49
2	MR. McGUIRE: Objection, I'm going to	16:44:52
3	assert the legislative privilege. To the extent	16:44:54
4	that a member of the General Assembly or some	16:44:57
5	member of their staff provided this figure, if you	16:44:59
6	know, do not testify to that. You may answer as	16:45:03
7	to any other individuals.	16:45:06
8	BY THE WITNESS:	16:45:09
9	A This these percentages, just like the	16:45:10
10	others, were discussed internally.	16:45:12
11	MS. BENDER: Okay. And, again, I will say	16:45:14
12	the same thing as we said to the other objections,	16:45:15
13	we do not believe this is covered by the	16:45:18
14	legislative privilege, but we will move on.	16:45:20
15	BY MS. BENDER:	16:45:23
16	Q And when would this figure, 3.75 percent	16:45:26
17	to 3.8 percent, have been discussed?	16:45:29
18	A Sometime between November 9th and	16:45:33
19	November 15th.	16:45:36
20	Q Okay. And, again, before the 4 percent	16:45:37
21	figure, correct?	16:45:39
22	A Yes, before the 4 percent figure.	16:45:40
23	Q Okay. Were there any other options of how	16:45:44
24	to measure competitiveness considered beyond those	16:45:53
25	three?	16:45:57

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1	anything other than that?	16:48:01
2	A I think his comments were based on a	16:48:02
3	different data set, but other than that, I think	16:48:13
4	that covers it.	16:48:16
5	Q Okay. So no other metrics beyond the	16:48:17
6	5 percent that you just listed in this less than	16:48:20
7	3.75 percent, 3.75 to 3.8 percent, and 4 percent	16:48:24
8	that you know of?	16:48:27
9	A I would I would just add that there was	16:48:27
10	some public testimony from various groups and from	16:48:34
11	folks that aren't maybe directly affiliated with	16:48:37
12	of any types of group or organization that also	16:48:41
13	mention plus or minus 5 percent, plus or minus	16:48:47
14	4 percent.	16:48:51
15	There was a lot of public testimony on	16:48:51
16	what is competitive, and we've heard a lot about	16:48:54
17	the numbers that exist in these various documents	16:48:57
18	within plus or minus 5 percent.	16:49:03
19	Q Okay. So it seems likes, based upon these	16:49:05
20	documents, that there is initially a metric of	16:49:13
21	less than 3.75 percent and then a met of 3.75 to	16:49:15
22	3.8 percent and then a metric of 4 percent.	16:49:21
23	Why was there this did this shift take	16:49:24
24	place?	16:49:28
25	MR. McGUIRE: Objection, I'm going to	16:49:33
		1

1	assert the legislative privilege to the extent	16:49:34
2	that the answer would your answer would include	16:49:35
3	that the shift took place as a result of input	16:49:39
4	from any member of the General Assembly or a staff	16:49:42
5	member of a member of the General Assembly.	16:49:44
6	Other than that, you can answer.	16:49:46
7	BY THE WITNESS:	16:49:52
8	A So shifting from 3.75 to 3.8 to 4, that	16:49:52
9	was all discussed internally.	16:49:58
10	MS. BENDER: And, again, I respond the	16:50:00
11	same as before. We do not believe this to be	16:50:02
12	covered by the legislative privilege, but we will	16:50:04
13	move on. BY MS. BENDER:	16:50:06
14	BY MS. BENDER:	16:50:07
15	Q All right. Looking back at the document.	16:50:15
16	So this, again, says there's seven competitive	16:50:17
17	districts at 3.75 to 3.8 percent, correct?	16:50:20
18	A Yes, that's what it says.	16:50:23
19	Q And this time, though, it lists	16:50:24
20	District 15 as having 53.8 percent, correct?	16:50:27
21	A It does, yes.	16:50:32
22	Q And that's not the same as the	16:50:45
23	53.7 percent listed on the previous page?	16:50:45
24	A It's not, but I'll just give the caveat	16:50:47
25	that I didn't draft this this document, but	16:50:50

1	Λ	1
- 1	U	ı

1	I don't know what rounding mechanisms were used	16:50:53
2	for all of these different things. I don't know	16:50:56
3	if if that was just a rounding thing or not,	16:50:58
4	but	16:51:02
5	Q Okay	16:51:02
6	A I	16:51:03
7	Q so you don't know why this why	16:51:03
8	there's the difference between those two?	16:51:06
9	A Yes, I don't know yeah, I didn't draft	16:51:07
10	it so I'm not familiar with that.	16:51:11
11	Q Okay. All right. So let's look at this	16:51:13
12	table down here. This appears to be comparing a	16:51:19
13	few different indexes or aggregates for these	16:51:22
14	districts. Do is that right?	16:51:29
15	A Yes, it appears to be a comparison, yes.	16:51:30
16	Q Okay. And looking at the first column	16:51:39
17	titled "All 16 to 20," this means all statewide	16:51:44
18	and federal elections between 2016 and 2020,	16:51:53
19	correct?	16:51:56
20	A Again	16:51:56
21	Q All statewide.	16:51:59
22	A Yeah, I would I would be specu I	16:52:01
23	didn't draft this, so I didn't put this	16:52:04
24	together.	16:52:06
25	Q Okay. So you don't know what "All 16 to	16:52:08

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Freda J. Levenson, hereby certify that on this 13th day of December, 2021, I caused a true and correct copy of the following documents to be served by email upon the counsel listed below:

1. Supplement to Relators' Merit Brief - Volumes 1 and 2

Bridget C. Coontz, bridget.coontz@ohioago.gov Julie M. Pfeiffer, julie.pfeiffer@ohioago.gov Michael Walton, michael.walton@ohioago.gov

Counsel for Respondent Ohio Secretary of State LaRose

Phillip J. Strach, phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com Thomas A. Farr, tom.farr@nelsonmullins.com John E. Branch, III, john.branch@nelsonmullins.com Alyssa M. Riggins, alyssa.riggins@nelsonmullins.com

Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Robert R. Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman

/s/ Freda J. Levenson Freda J. Levenson (0045916) Counsel for Relators