SUPREME COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA

************ NORTH CAROLINA LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS, INC., et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, REBECCA HARPER, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants, and COMMON CAUSE, Plaintiff-Intervenor-Appellant, From Wake County 21 CVS 015426 v. 21 CVS 500085 REPRESENTATIVE DESTIN HALL, in his official capacity as Chair of the House Standing Committee on Redistricting, et al., Defendants. Appellees.

LEGISLATIVE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME TO FILE

THEIR APPELLANTS NEW BRIEF

TO THE HONORABLE SUPREME COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA:

NOW COMES President *Pro Tempore* Philip E. Berger, Senator Warren Daniel, Senator Ralph Hise, Senator Paul Newton, Representative Destin Hall, and Speaker Timothy K. Moore in their official capacities (collectively, "Legislative Defendants") through counsel, pursuant to N.C.R. App. P. 37(a) and (e) and in furtherance of Legislative Defendants' motion to dismiss their appeal, and hereby respectfully this Court extend file move to time Legislative Defendant-Appellants' New Brief. In support of this motion, Legislative Defendants show the Court as follows:

- 1. On 23 February 2022 all Plaintiffs and Legislative Defendants filed notices of appeal from the trial court's 23 February 2022 order. Plaintiffs appealed from the trial court's order upholding one or both of the remedial House and Senate Redistricting Plans. Legislative Defendants filed a notice of appeal from two trial court orders: (1) striking down the remedial Congressional Redistricting Plan and replacing it with a plan drawn by an Assistant to the Special Masters; and (2) denying Legislative Defendants' Motion to Disqualify Special Master Assistants Wang and Jarvis.
 - 2. On 26 May 2022, the Parties filed their joint record on appeal.
- 3. At present, there are two pending motions before this Court. First, Plaintiff Intervenor, Common Cause, sought to suspend the Appellate Rules and expedite briefing and oral arguments in this case. Legislative Defendants have provided their response to that motion and Plaintiffs and State Defendants have

provided their position on what effect the grant of certiorari by the Supreme Court of the United States in *Moore v. Harper* (21-1871) has on the posture of this matter. Second, Legislative Defendants have recently moved this Court to allow the dismissal of their notice of appeal. Plaintiffs have not, as of yet, elected to provide a formal response to the motion except to say they oppose the motion (a status that was reflected in the motion to dismiss). The State Defendants have taken no position on the motion to dismiss the appeal.

- 4. Considering the pending motion to dismiss their appeal, and the fact that the deadline for Appellant-Legislative Defendants new brief approaches, Legislative Defendants ask that Court extend the deadline for their Appellants' New Brief, if still necessary, to five business days following disposition of their motion to dismiss the appeal by this Court. Should this Court grant Legislative Defendants' motion, then no appellants' brief would be necessary, this motion would be moot, and the issues before this Court would be narrowed. Should this Court deny Legislative Defendants' motion to dismiss, then the abbreviated extension would allow Legislative Defendants to finalize their appellants' brief without unreasonably delaying the proceedings.
- 5. Legislative Defendants intend to provide a timely Appellees' Brief to the issues raised by Plaintiff-Appellants.
- 6. Legislative Defendants make this motion in good faith, in furtherance of their motion to dismiss their appeal, and in furtherance of judicial economy.

7. Legislative Defendants have alerted the other parties to this filing. All Plaintiffs oppose this motion, and State Defendants take no position on this motion.

WHEREFORE, Legislative Defendants request that should their motion to dismiss be denied, that this Court grant an extension of time up to and including five business days following this Court's disposition of the motion to dismiss. Should this Court grant the motion to dismiss, Legislative Defendants anticipate that this Motion would be denied as moot.

Respectfully submitted, this the 19th day of July, 2022.

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

Electronically Submitted
Phillip J. Strach
NC Bar No. 29456
4140 Parklake Avenue, Suite 200
Raleigh, NC 27612
Telephone: (919) 329-3800
Facsimile: (919) 329-3799
phillip.strach@nelsonmullins.com

N.C. R. App. P. 33(b) Certification: I certify that all of the attorneys listed below have authorized me to list their names on this document as if they had personally signed it.

Thomas A. Farr (NC Bar No. 10871) tom.farr@nelsonmullins.com Alyssa M. Riggins (NC Bar No. 52366) Alyssa.riggins@nelsonmullins.com 4140 Parklane Avenue, Suite 200 Raleigh, NC 27612 Telephone: (919) 329-3800

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

Katherine L. McKnight (VA Bar. No. 81482)* kmcknight@bakerlaw.com
E. Mark Braden (DC Bar No. 419915)* mbraden@bakerlaw.com
Washington Square, Suite 1100
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-5403
Telephone: 202.861.1500

 $Counsel\ for\ Legislative\ Defendants$

*Admitted Pro Hac Vice

RELIBIENED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET, COM

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that on this the 19th day of July, 2022, the foregoing was

served on the individuals below by email:

Burton Craige
Narendra K. Ghosh
Paul E. Smith
Patterson Harkavy LLP
100 Europa Drive, Suite 420
Chapel Hill, NC 27517
bcraige@pathlaw.com
nghosh@pathlaw.com
psmith@pathlaw.com
Counsel for Plaintiffs Rebecca Harper, et al.

Abha Khanna
Elias Law Group LLP
1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100
Seattle, WA 98101

<u>AKhanna@elias.law</u>
Counsel for Plaintiffs Rebecca Harper, et al.

Elisabeth S. Theodore
R. Stanton Jones
Samuel F. Callahan
Arnold and Porter
Kaye Scholer LLP
601 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20001-3743
elisabeth.theodore@arnoldporter.com
Counsel for Plaintiffs Rebecca Harper, et al.

David J. Bradford Jenner & Block LLP 353 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60654 dbradford@jenner.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs North Carolina League of Conservation Voters, et al.

Aria C. Branch
Lalitha D. Madduri
Jacob D. Shelly
Graham W. White
Elias Law Group LLP
10 G Street NE, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20002
ABranch@elias.law
LMadduri@elias.law
JShelly@elias.law
GWhite@elias.law

Counsel for Plaintiffs Rebecca Harper, et al

Terence Steed
Special Deputy Attorney General
N.C. Department of Justice
Post Office Box 629
Raleigh, NC 27602-0629
tsteed@ncdoj.gov

Counsel for the North Carolina State Board of Elections; Damon Circosta, Stella Anderson, Jeff Carmon III, Stacy Eggers IV, and Tommy Tucker, in their official capacities with the State Board of Elections

Stephen D. Feldman Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A. 434 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1600 Raleigh, NC 27601 <u>sfeldman@robinsonbradshaw.com</u> Counsel for Plaintiffs North Carolina League of Conservation Voters, et al. Sam Hirsch
Jessica Ring Amunson
Kali Bracey
Zachary C. Schauf
Karthik P. Reddy
Urja Mittal
Jenner & Block LLP
1099 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 900
Washington,DC 20001
shirsch@jenner.com
zschauf@jenner.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs North Carolina League of Conservation Voters, et al.

Allison J. Riggs
Hilary H. Klein
Mitchell Brown
Katelin Kaiser
Southern Coalition For Social Justice
1415 W. Highway 54, Suite 101
Durham, NC 27707
allison@southerncoalition.org
hilaryhklein@scsj.org
Mitchellbrown@scsj.org
Katelin@scsj.org

J. Tom Boer
Olivia T. Molodanof
Hogan Lovells US LLP
3 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1500
San Francisco, CA 94111
tom.boer@hoganlovells.com
olivia.molodanof@hoganlovells.com
Counsel for Intervenor Common Cause

Adam K. Doerr Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A. 101 North Tryon Street, Suite 1900 Charlotte, NC 28246 adoerr@robinsonbradshaw.com

Erik R. Zimmerman Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A. 1450 Raleigh Road, Suite 100 Chapel Hill, NC 27517 ezimmerman@robinsonbradshaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs North Carolina League of Conservation Voters, et al.

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

/s/ electronically submitted Phillip J. Strach, NCSB #29456