In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho

BRANDEN JOHN DURST, a qualified elector) of the State of Idaho,	Order Denying Motion for Clarification
Petitioner,	Supreme Court Docket No. 49621
v.)	
IDAHO COMMISSION FOR) REAPPORTIONMENT, and LAWERENCE) DENNEY, Secretary of State of the State of)	A.
Idaho, in his official capacity,) Respondents.)	CKET CO.

Petitioner, Branden Durst, has filed a Motion for Clarification. In essence, the motion for clarification is an effort to obtain an advisory ruling from the Court. This Court declines the invitation to provide an advisory opinion. *See In re Contest of Election*, 164 Idaho 102, 109, 425 P.3d 1245, 1252 (2018) ("[T]his Court does not issue advisory opinions, or offer legal advice to prospective litigants."). The Motion for Clarification is denied.

DATED this 22 day of November, 2021.

By Order of the Supreme Court

G. Richard Bevan, Chief Justice

ATTEST;

Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk

cc: Counsel of Record Brandon John Durst

Counsel of Record

Idaho Commission for Reapportionment