1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	THE STATE OF OREGON
5	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF MARION
6	1	
7	BEVERLY CLARNO, GARY WILHELMS, JAMES L. WILCOX, and	Case No. 21CV40180
8	LARRY CAMPBELL,	INTERVENTION PETITION
9	Petitioners,	INTERVENTIONTETITION
10	v.	
11	SHEMIA FAGAN, in her official capacity as Oregon Secretary of State,	CRACTOCKET COM
12	Respondent,	OCK
13	and	ERACTU
14	JEANNE ATKINS, SUSAN CHURCH,	
15	NADIA DAHAB, JANE SQUIRES, JENNIFER LYNCH, and DAVID	
16	GUTTERMAN,	
17	Intervenor- Respondents.	
18		
19		
20	Intervenor-Respondents Jeanne Atkins,	Susan Church, Nadia Dahab, Jane Squires
21	Jennifer Lynch, and David Gutterman, by and	through their undersigned counsel, file this
22	Intervention Petition in the above-captioned proce	eding and allege as follows:
23		
24		
25		
26		

PAGE 1- INTERVENTION PETITION

2	1.	
3	11	
4	As the United States Supreme Court has noted, "[p]olitics and political considerations are	
5	inseparable from districting and apportionment." Gaffney v. Cummings, 412 US 735, 753, 93 S Ct	
6	2321, 37 L Ed 2d 298 (1973). But just because the redistricting process is inherently political does	
7	not mean that a resulting map is impermissibly partisan—or that neutral criteria were not fairly	
8	and conscientiously applied in its enactment.	
9	2.	
10 11	Following a deliberative process that invited and incorporated comments and contributions	
12	from elected officials, community leaders, members of the public, and Republican legislators, the	
13	Oregon Legislative Assembly enacted a new congressional map that readily satisfies the	
14	requirements of the United States Constitution, the Oregon Constitution, and applicable state are federal statutes. The map is the product of legislative compromise, and it both honors the neutrons are compromised in the product of	
15		
16	criteria prescribed by state law and ensures that the voices of all Oregonians will be fairly and	
17	freely heard.	
18	3.	
19	Although Petitioners Beverly Clarno, Gary Wilhems, James L. Wilcox, and Larry	
20	Campbell do not favor this new map, it is the members of the Legislative Assembly—not	
21	Petitioners—who are charged by the United States Constitution with redrawing Oregon's	
22	congressional districts.	
23		
24		
25		
26		

INTRODUCTION

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor Portland, Oregon 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000 Fax: 503.727.2222

PAGE 2-

INTERVENTION PETITION

1	4.
2	Because the Legislative Assembly complied with all applicable statutes and the state and
3	federal constitutions when they drew the new map, Petitioners' claims necessarily fail, and this
4	Court must affirm the properly enacted districting plan.
5	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
6	_
7	5.
8	This Court has jurisdiction over the above-captioned case and this Petition pursuant to
9	Senate Bill 259 (2021) ("SB 259").
l0 l1	6.
12	Venue is proper in the County of Marion pursuant to SB 259.
13	PARTIES
14	ON DEATHOCK
16	Intervenor-Respondent Jeanne Atkins is a citizen and resident of Oregon and a qualified
17	registered voter and elector in the First Congressional District of Oregon. ¹ Ms. Atkins was Oregon
8	Secretary of State from 2015 to 2017.
9	8.
20	Intervenor-Respondent Susan Church is a citizen and resident of Oregon and a qualified
21	registered voter and elector in the Second Congressional District of Oregon.
22	registered veter und erecter in the second congressional statute of eregen.
23	
24	
25	
26	¹ Because SB 881 has been signed into law, this Intervention Petition refers to the new congressional districts unless otherwise noted.

1	9.
2	
3	Intervenor-Respondent Nadia Dahab is a citizen and resident of Oregon and a qualified
4	registered voter and elector in the Third Congressional District of Oregon.
5	10.
6	Intervenor-Respondent Jane Squires is a citizen and resident of Oregon and a qualified
7	registered voter and elector in the Fourth Congressional District of Oregon.
8	11.
9	
10	Intervenor-Respondent Jennifer Lynch is a citizen and resident of Oregon and a qualified
11	registered voter and elector in the Fifth Congressional District of Oregon.
12	12.
13	Intervenor-Respondent David Gutterman is a citizen and resident of Oregon and a qualified
14	registered voter and elector in the Sixth Congressional District of Oregon.
15	13.
16	ER CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO
17	Respondent Shemia Fagan is the Oregon Secretary of State, charged under the laws of the
18	State of Oregon with overseeing the conduct of elections and the responsibility to administer and
19	enforce this state's election laws.
20	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS.
21	14.
22	
23	Last year, the United States Census Bureau conducted a decennial census (the "2020
24	Census") throughout the nation pursuant to Article I, section 2, of the United States Constitution.
25	
26	
PAGE	4- INTERVENTION PETITION Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor Portland Organ 97700 4128

15.

Under 2 USC sections 2a and 2c, as well as 13 USC section 141(c), the Census Bureau, on or about April 26, 2021, announced and certified the actual enumeration of Oregon's resident and apportionment populations. Oregon's resident population is 4,237,256.

16.

Following the 2010 decennial census (the "2010 Census"), Oregon was apportioned five congressional seats. Once the results of the 2020 Census were tallied, Oregon's apportionment population entitled it to an additional seat in the United States House of Representatives pursuant to Article I, section 2, of the United States Constitution and 2 USC section 2a. Accordingly, under 2 USC section 2c, Oregon was required to establish a sixth congressional district from which its sixth representative would be elected.

Moreover, significant population shifts since the 2010 Census generated substantial inequalities among the resident populations of Oregon's five previous congressional districts. Oregon's congressional districts ranged from a low of 823,608 residents in the then-Fourth Congressional District to a high of 864,052 in the then-First Congressional District. All were unequal in population size.

District	2010 Population	2020 Population
1	766,216	864,052
2	766,215	850,971
3	766,215	851,078
4	766,214	823,608
5	766,214	847,547

PAGE 5- INTERVENTION PETITION

1 **18.**

2

3 "Representatives ... shall be apportioned among the several States ... according to their 4 6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

> 17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

INTERVENTION PETITION PAGE 6-

respective Numbers" and that "[t]he House of Representatives shall be composed of Members chosen every second Year by the People of the several States." These provisions establish a constitutional guarantee of "one person, one vote," shorthand for the principle that equal apportionment of a state's population in each of its congressional districts guarantees equal representation in the United States House of Representatives for all persons within the state.

Article I, section 2, of the United States Constitution provides, in relevant part, that

19.

Under Article I, sections 2 and 4, of the United States Constitution, Oregon has the responsibility for redrawing its congressional districts unless Congress directs otherwise. To establish new congressional districts, legislation must be passed by both the Oregon Senate and Oregon House of Representatives and signed into aw by the Governor. See Hartung v. Bradbury, 332 Or 570, 581, 33 P3d 972 (2001).

The Oregon Legislative Assembly commenced its redistricting efforts by constituting Special Committees on Redistricting in the Senate and House of Representatives and holding hearings on congressional reapportionment during the 2021 legislative session. Initially, both the House and Senate committees were populated by a majority of Democratic legislators, proportionate to majorities held by Democrats in both chambers. However, on or about April 15, 2021, House Speaker Tina Kotek agreed to change the composition of the House committee so it would be split evenly between Democrats and Republicans. This agreement was based on an understanding that Republicans and Democrats would work collaboratively to develop redistricting proposals.

1	21.
2	Due to a delay in the Census Bureau's dissemination of population data caused by the
3	ongoing pandemic, the Legislative Assembly postponed the process for congressional redistricting
4	with the enactment of SB 259. The Legislative Assembly then convened in a special session on
5	September 20 to consider and vote on plans for congressional and legislative districting.
6	22.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On September 3, in preparation for the upcoming special session, the Legislative Assembly released congressional and legislative map proposals for public scrutiny and comment. Two proposals for congressional district boundaries were released: "Plan A" was offered by the Redistricting Committees' Democratic members; "Plan B" was offered by the Redistricting Committees' Republican members. The Redistricting Committees also invited members of the public to submit their own proposals.
14	
15	In preparation for the special session, and exceeding the statutory requirement of ORS
16	188.016, the Redistricting Committees held 28 public hearings between August 18 and
17	September 13, 26 of which occurred after the release of Plan A and Plan B. The Redistricting
18	Committees accepted testimony in both oral and written form.
19	24.
20212223	On September 20—the first day of the special session—the Oregon Senate passed Senate Bill 881 (2021) ("SB 881") relating to congressional redistricting in Oregon, which was substantively identical to Plan A. SB 881 passed in the Senate by a vote of 18 to 11. 25.
24	

Although Speaker Kotek initially gave Republicans disproportionate representation on the

House Redistricting Committee, House Republicans refused to work collaboratively with House

PAGE 7- INTERVENTION PETITION

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor Portland, Oregon 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000 Fax: 503.727.2222

25

1	Democrats in developing redistricting proposals. See Video Recording, House, SB 881, Sept 20,
2	2021, at 2:29:05. Though they had been invited to meet and collaborate with Democrats numerous
3	times prior to the special session, they refused. See Video Recording, House Special Committee
4	on State Legislative Redistricting, SB 881, Sept 20, 2021, at 11:43, 13:10, 14:25, 15:10, 16:35,
5	22:55. Republican members of the House Redistricting Committee also sought to prevent SB 881
6	from receiving a vote on the House floor. In response, Speaker Kotek exercised her prerogative to
7	reconstitute the committee again: she divided it into two committees—one for legislative and one
8	for congressional redistricting—and restored proportionate Democratic majorities. See Video
9	Recording, House, SB 881, Sept 20, 2021, at 2:14:50.
0	26.

and any other business that day. See id. at 30:45-4:34:43.

11

Thereafter, House Republican leader Christine Drazan, who opposed the Senate-passed reapportionment plan, publicly threatened that her caucus would stage a walkout to deprive the House chamber of a quorum—and thus obstruct passage of SB 881. See Zoe Strozewski, Oregon GOP Mulling Walkout After Democratic House Speaker Retracts Terms for Redistricting, Newsweek (Sept 22, 2021), https://www.newsweek.com/oregon-gop-mulling-walkout-afterdemocratic-house-speaker-retracts-terms-redistricting-1631717. When the House later convened on September 25 for the second teading of the Senate's bill, the Republican caucus carried through on its threat and only one Republican representative appeared on the House floor. See Video Recording, House, SB 881, Sept 25, 2021, at 30:45–4:34:43. The Republican walkout denied the House the quorum necessary for it to conduct a vote on the Senate-passed congressional districts

20

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

PAGE 8-INTERVENTION PETITION 27.

During the course of the special session, leaders of the Legislative Assembly from both

parties and both chambers engaged in extensive negotiations over SB 881. As leverage, House

1	Minority Leader Drazan wielded her caucus's ability to obstruct passage of a redistricting plan by
2	depriving the House of a quorum.

28.

5

6

7

8

9

4

Ultimately, in response to objections to SB 881 raised by House Minority Leader Drazan and her Republican colleagues, the leaders of the Legislative Assembly proposed concessions and compromises that were responsive to public testimony that the Redistricting Committees had received on Plan A. In response, House Minority Leader Drazan agreed to recommend to her caucus's members that they appear for a vote and thus allow the House to conduct business and vote on the modified redistricting plan.

10

29.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Consequently, on September 25, Senate President Peter Courtney proposed an amendment to SB 881² that redrew the original map based on the feedback the Redistricting Committees had received and the negotiations with Republican lawmakers, resulting in a new map (the "Compromise Map"). *See* Video Recording, House Special Committee on Congressional Redistricting, SB 881, Sept 27, 2021, at 8:25. The Compromise Map is the congressional redistricting plan that House Minority Leader Drazan had acceded to in negotiations.

30.

18

19

20

21

22

23

On September 27, consistent with House Minority Leader Drazan's agreement, Republican representatives appeared on the House floor and helped established the quorum needed to conduct business. *See* Video Recording, House, SB 881, Sept 27, 2021, at 28:00. Indeed, not only did House Republicans appear in order to establish a quorum, they also voted to suspend House rules to allow a vote on the Compromise Map that day. *See id.* at 28:46–31:25. Had they not voted to

24

Once SB 881 was amended, it came to be known in legislative proceedings as "SB 881-A." But the enrolled version of the bill, which Governor Kate Brown ultimately signed into law, is identified as "SB 881." Thus, this Intervention Petition does not use the "-A" designation to describe the amended and final versions of the bill.

1	suspend the rules, the House could not have held a vote that day; it would have needed to wait	
2	until at least the following day, which would have gone beyond the Legislative Assembly's self-	
3	imposed deadline.	
4	31.	
5	Acting pursuant to the Republican-supported rules suspension, Speaker Kotek sent SB 881	
6	back to the House Redistricting Committee to consider and vote on the amendment proposed by	
7	President Courtney. <i>See id.</i> at 28:46–31:25. The amendment was considered and the Compromise	
8	Map was adopted by the House Redistricting Committee. See Video Recording, House Special	
10	Committee on Congressional Redistricting, SB 881, Sept 27, 2021, at 17:30.	
11	32.	
12	That afternoon, the House reconvened to debate and vote on the Compromise Map. Again,	
13	House Republicans appeared on the floor to establish a quorum. See Video Recording, House,	
14	SB 881, Sept 27, 2021, at 40:40. And again acting pursuant to the Republican-supported rules	
15	suspension, the House debated, voted on, and passed the Compromise Map. See id. at 41:12-30,	
16	1:55:40.	
17	33.	
18	Immediately thereafter, the Senate convened to debate and vote on the House-passed	
19	Compromise Map. Senate Republicans, like their House counterparts, appeared on the Senate floor	
20	to establish a quorum. See Video Recording, Senate, SB 881, Sept 27, 2021, at 7:49. Senate	
21		
22	debate and a vote. See id. at 23:25–24:40. The Compromise Map passed the Senate. See id. at	
23	50:38.	
24		
25		
26		

1 34.

In the debate on the Senate floor, Republicans acknowledged the tough negotiations and

mutual compromise that had brought about the Compromise Map. Senate Minority Leader Fred

Girod acknowledged that the Compromise Map was "an improvement from the original map,"

referring to the Senate-passed Plan A. Id. at 29:18. The ranking Republican member of the

Redistricting Committee, Senator Tim Knopp, recognized that the Compromise Map adopted

many, though not all, of the changes to the Plan A map that had been suggested in public testimony.

See id. at 32:10. Republican Senator Lynn Findley echoed this sentiment, saying that the

Compromise Map "reflects a lot of that testimony, which I think is a great thing." *Id.* at 38:03. He

further commended the Compromise Map for "answer[ing] several of the things I spoke about last

week," harkening back to criticisms of Plan A he had levied in the Senate's initial floor debate on

Compromise Map into law. In a public statement, Governor Brown also recognized that the

always all agree, but when we find common ground, we can work together to do what is best for

Oregon." Press Release, Governor Kate Brown Signs Redistricting Bills, State of Or Newsroom

36.

reported: that the congressional map enacted by the Legislative Assembly and signed by Governor

Ultimately, both the public and legislative records confirm what various news outlets

(Sept 27, 2021), https://www.oregon.gov/newsroom/Pages/NewsDetail.aspx?newsid=64420.

Later that evening, Governor Kate Brown signed the House- and Senate-passed

SB 881 and praising the Compromise Map for addressing them. *Id.* at 38:44.

2

3 4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 Compromise Map was the product of negotiation and agreement. She said, "I'd like to thank the 18 Legislature for coming together, through adversity, to pass legislation for redistricting. We do not

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

PAGE 11- INTERVENTION PETITION

Brown was the result of a compromise among legislative leaders. See, e.g., Dirk VanderHart,

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor Portland, Oregon 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000

Fax: 503.727.2222

- 1 Oregon Lawmakers Pass Plans for New Political Maps, After Republicans End Boycott, OPB
- 2 (Sept 27, 2021), https://www.opb.org/article/2021/09/27/oregon-resdistricting-vote-republicans-
- 3 democrats-quorum-political-maps.

4 37.

5

6

7

In addition to representing the product of negotiation and public input, the Compromise Map satisfies the requirements of state and federal law and reflects the neutral redistricting criteria mandated by statute.

8

38.

9

10

11

12

13

Because Oregon's resident population of 4,237,256 is not evenly divisible by six, the ideal result for its congressional districts is four districts with populations of 706,209 persons and two districts with populations of 706,210 persons. Under the Compromise Map, the populations of Oregon's six required congressional districts satisfy this ideal:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

District	Ideal Population	Actual Population	Deviation
1	706,209	706,209	0 percent
2	706,209	706,209	0 percent
3	706,209	706,209	0 percent
4	706,209	706,208	0 percent
5	706,209	706,209	0 percent
6	706,209	706,212	0 percent

22

39.

2324

25

26

By providing each district with virtually identical populations to the greatest extent possible, the Compromise Map satisfies the constitutional guarantee of "one person, one vote" set forth in Article I, section 2, of the United States Constitution. The Compromise Map also satisfies

1	2 USC section 2c by including a sixth congressional district. And the Compromise Map is
2	consistent with section 2 of the Voting Rights Act because its adoption will not result in the denial
3	or abridgement of the right to vote based on race, color, or membership in a language minority.
45	40.
6	The Compromise Map is consistent with the Privileges and Immunities Clause of the
7	Oregon Constitution by providing each district with virtually identical populations to the greatest
8	extent possible.
9	41.
10	The Compromise Map likewise satisfies the statutory criteria set forth in ORS 188.010. As
11	nearly as practicable, each congressional district in the Compromise Map is contiguous, of equal
1213	population, utilizes existing geographic and political boundaries, does not divide communities of
14	common interest—including economic, social, and cultural interests—and is connected by
15	transportation links. See ORS 188.010(1)(a)—(e). Moreover, no districts in the Compromise Map
16	were drawn for the purpose of diluting the voting strength of any language or ethnic minority group
17	or for the purpose of favoring any political party, incumbent, or other person. See ORS 188.010(2)-
18	(3).
19	42.
20	The First Congressional District unifies communities of common interest and relies on
21	critical transportation links and geographic boundaries running between Portland and the North
22	Coast. As Representative Andrea Salinas stated on the House floor, "District 1 maintains the
23	critical links from the North Coast to Portland," taking into account the substantial volume of
24	"[c]ommercial trade at our ports, including along the Columbia River." Video Recording,
25	House, SB 881, Sept 27, 2021, at 44:57. The district also "keep[s] together critical infrastructure,

like Highway 26, which is a major transportation link between the two regions and . . . a critical

PAGE 13- INTERVENTION PETITION

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor Portland, Oregon 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000 Fax: 503.727.2222

R	43.
7	at 46:11.
6	concerns, including skyrocketing housing, issues with homelessness, and thriving businesses." Id
5	downtown," with "[b]oth the inner Eastside and downtown Portland hav[ing] similar policy
4	Representative Salinas explained, now "more closely resembles Portland's Westside and
3	previous maps. And the district brings in "parts of Portland's inner Eastside" which, as
2	The district likewise unifies Tillamook County and Clatsop County, which had been divided in
1	evacuation route for coastal communities in the event of an earthquake or tsunami." <i>Id.</i> at 45:08

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The Second Congressional District, which covers much of eastern Oregon, relies on existing political boundaries, is connected by extensive transportation links, and maintains communities of common interest. In her speech on the House floor, Representative Salinas explained that, in drawing the district, lawmakers had "respected the voices of our rural neighbors, who have asked for a district that will have a uniquely rural voice." Id. at 46:44. She continued, observing that "Congressional District 2, while covering a large geographic area, is connected by ample transportation links, adheres to county boundaries, as much as possible, and is united by communities of interest that represent the needs of eastern Oregon." Id. at 46:53. Although the district excludes more populous cities like Bend and Redmond, which are now in the Fifth Congressional District, Representative Jason Kropf of Bend explained that those communities "are distinct from our neighbors in eastern Oregon" and home to industries that are "much more similar" to those in "Oregon City, Milwaukie, . . . and the other cities of [new] Congressional District 5." Id. at 1:24:25.

21

22

23 24

25

26

The Third Congressional District relies on the pervasive commercial, transportation, cultural, and environmental links between the Portland area and the Hood River and Mount Hood areas. As Representative Salinas stated on the House floor, "the Columbia Gorge—from Troutdale

44.

PAGE 14- INTERVENTION PETITION

1	in Multnomah County to Hood River and Hood River County—shares important natural,
2	geographic, economic, cultural, and commercial ties." Id. at 48:36. The Columbia Gorge, in turn,
3	is connected to Portland via a robust tourism economy and "existing transportation infrastructure,
4	such as I-84, Highway 30, and the Columbia Area Transit Bus." As one resident of the district
5	explained, "[t]he new boundaries respect this [interconnectedness] within CD 3" and "maintain[]
6	transportation connections [of] U.S. 26, I-84, U.S. 97, OR-35, OR-126, and the Cline Falls
7	Highway." Id. at 49:06. Representative Anna Williams of Hood River also observed that the
8	district reflects an understanding that, "while tourism uplifts our local economies, it also leads to
9	countless challenges around affordable housing and transportation infrastructure, challenges that
10	speak to the need for a representative who understands the interconnected nature of our state's
11	rural and urban communities." <i>Id.</i> at 1:18:22.

45.

The Third Congressional District also keeps together communities of common interest in East and North Portland. Representative Salinas recounted that the new district "responds to feedback from . . . advocates who expressed an interest in keeping North and Northeast Portland connected to the rest of Portland's Eastside." *Id.* at 47:13. This is because, "as housing prices have increased, and as North and Northeast Portland have become gentrified, it is well documented that Black families who have lived in Portland's historically Black neighborhoods have been pushed out to outer East Portland and east Multnomah County." *Id.* at 47:38. Nevertheless, "Black community members in outer East Portland still visit Black businesses, restaurants, and places of worship in North and Northeast Portland." *Id.* at 47:50. As Representative Salinas concluded, "[t]his district respects that . . . and this change in CD 3 keeps those communities together." *Id.* at 47:58.

PAGE 15- INTERVENTION PETITION

46.

The Fourth Congressional District maintains communities of common interest and relies on transportation links, county lines, and geographic boundaries running from the Central Coast through the Southern Coast. The district contains all of Curry, Coos, Lane, Lincoln, and Benton counties, as well as a portion of Douglas County. In her speech on the House floor, Representative Salinas explained that, in addition to maintaining existing political boundaries, the district is "connected by I-5, US 101, OR-126, US 20, OR-58, and OR-99W." *Id.* at 49:27. The district also "includes two of Oregon's major institutions of higher education, the University of Oregon and

47.

Oregon State University, clearly keeping two state educational interests together." *Id.* at 49:36.

The Fifth Congressional District is connected by transportation links, communities of common interest, and shared environmental challenges. The district brings in the Deschutes County communities of Sisters, Sunriver, Tumalo, Redmond, and Bend, which are connected to the rest of the district by US 20 and OR-22. In a speech on the House floor, Representative Salinas explained that the contours of the district "reflect[] the input from public testimony that Jefferson County and Wasco County should be in District 2 and that Bend and Deschutes communities could be joined with Marion and Lum counties." *Id.* at 50:16. Representative Kropf of Bend likewise noted that, over the past decade, the Bend area has "seen significant growth and change as new industries, attitudes, and communities of interest have emerged that are distinct from our neighbors in eastern Oregon." *Id.* at 1:24:16. As a result, Bend's communities and industries "are much more similar to that of Oregon City, Milwaukie, Redmond, and the other cities" in the new Fifth Congressional District. *Id.* at 1:24:35. "It is also sensible," Representative Kropf observed, "that this new district would connect Bend with Marion, Linn, and Clackamas counties, as [they] share many policy concerns, chief among them fire mitigation and preparedness." *Id.* at 1:25:27.

1	48
1	40

3

5 and out to the boundaries of Yamhill and Polk counties, relies on transportation links and 4 communities of common interest in one of Oregon's fastest growing regions. As Representative Teresa Alonso Leon of Woodburn explained in a speech on the House floor, "I am proud that this 6 map acknowledges the links between Salem, Woodburn, Polk County, Yamhill County, and the rural parts of Washington County." Id. at 59:42. "This new district," she continued, "will truly be

10

12

11

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

new district.

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

PAGE 17- INTERVENTION PETITION

At base, the fatal flaw in Petitioners' challenge to the Compromise Map is their erroneous assertion that it "is a clear, egregious partisan gerrymander." Petition ¶ 9. As reflected by the

descriptions above, the new congressional districts were not drawn for any partisan purpose, and

instead are based on the neutral redistricting criteria enumerated in ORS 188.010. All four of

The Sixth Congressional District, which includes the agricultural corridor running along I-

the breadbasket of Oregon, producing many of the agricultural products that fuel our economy and

feed our communities here in Oregon and abroad. I cannot overemphasize the importance of

having a district that represents the agricultural community and its workers who make Oregon

renowned nationally." Id. at 1:00:30. As one resident of the district explained, "these communities

are newer, and their needs are different from other parts of the state. Looking at its boundaries, I

also believe that this district does a great job of encompassing many of our state's suburban

population centers: Sherwood, Woodburn, McMinnville, Salem, and Dallas, [which] have sizable

population and face some similar challenges." Id. at 50:44. Those communities, which are

connected by I-5, OR-99W, OR-217, OR-210, OR-47, and OR-219, will remain together in this

49.

Petitioners' claims, as such, necessarily fail.

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor Portland, Oregon 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000

Fax: 503.727.2222

1	50.
2	
3	Other factual and legal errors abound in Petitioners' filing.
4	51.
5	Petitioners claim that "[t]he Democrat [sic] members of the House and Senate Redistricting
6	Committees never negotiated proposed congressional maps with their Republican committee
7	member counterparts." Id. ¶ 6. But this misleading assertion neglects to mention that
8	(1) Republican committee members refused to collaborate with their Democratic counterparts
9	prior to the special session, and (2) extensive negotiations took place between leaders of the House
10	and Senate—including House Republican leader Drazan—which is precisely what brought about
11	the Compromise Map.
12	and Senate—including House Republican leader Drazan—which is precisely what brought about the Compromise Map. 52.
13	
14	Petitioners assert that the House Redistricting Committee approved the Compromise Map
15	"without holding any meetings where the public could participate." Id. \P 8. But as acknowledged
16	by even Republican senators, the Compromise Map was developed in order to address the
17	extensive public comments prompted by its predecessor, Plan A. Far from shutting out public
	comment, the drawers of the Compromise Map took into account exhaustive public feedback.
18	53.
19	
20	Petitioners devote substantial attention to Speaker Kotek's decision to reform the House
21	Redistricting Committee during the special session. It is unclear why. Speaker Kotek's decision
22	was well within her prerogatives as the chamber's presiding officer—and Petitioners do not claim
23	otherwise. The effect of her decision was merely to allow the Compromise Map to reach the House
24	floor for a vote—the same map that had been negotiated with the House Republican leader, and
25	the same map for which House Republicans granted a quorum and supported rules suspension to

1	enable passage. Speaker Kotek's decision did not pass the Compromise Map; aye votes by	
2	majorities in both chambers did.	
3	54.	
4 5	Petitioners assert that under the Compromise Map, "the Democrats are projected to win	
6	five of the six congressional seats in Oregon in a typical year." Id. ¶ 10. But analyses by	
	independent media outlets refute this statement. Several publicly available analyses indicate that	
7	the Compromise Map has three competitive districts, two of which (the Fourth and Sixth) learn	
8	Democratic and one of which (the Fifth) is tied or leans Republican. Indeed, Oregon Catalyst—a	
9	conservative political blog-predicts that a strong electoral season for Republicans could yield	
10	"victories for the Republicans in three congressional districts and victories for the Democrats in	
11	only two districts, with Oregon's 4th congressional district too close to call." Jim Pasero, Hidden	
12	Opportunities for GOP in New Redistricting Maps, Oregon Catalyst (Oct 5, 2021), https://	
13	oregoncatalyst.com/55573-hidden-opportunities-gop-redistricting-maps.html.	
14	OND S.	
15		
16	Petitioners claim that the Compromise Map made "insubstantial adjustments" to the	
17	Senate-passed Plan A map. Petition ¶ 41. This outrageously false assertion is refuted by a cursory	
18	review of the two maps, which are significantly different from one another. These differences were	
19	acknowledged even by Republican legislators, who recognized that the Compromise Map was	
20	designed to address points made in testimony about Plan A.	
21	56.	
22		
23	Petitioners assert that the Compromise Map "splits 13 counties into two or more	
24	congressional districts." <i>Id.</i> ¶ 46. But many of these asserted county divisions are de minimis. For	

instance, the Compromise Map's boundary between the Fourth and Fifth Congressional Districts

follows the Linn-Benton county line (which is also the Willamette River), except where a small

PAGE 19- INTERVENTION PETITION

Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor Portland, Oregon 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000 Fax: 503.727.2222

25

1	tract of Linn County with minuscule population falls in the Fourth Congressional District. This
2	immaterial deviation is likely the result of needing to achieve population balance between districts.
3	Similarly, the southwest tip of Jefferson County—again, a tract with virtually no population—is
4	drawn into the Fifth Congressional District in order to keep OR-20 (the Santiam Pass) contiguous
5	within the district. This slight deviation from county boundaries is otherwise of no consequence.
6	To be sure, the Compromise Map deviates materially from county lines with respect to six
7	counties—all for sound reasons—but to say that it "splits 13 counties" is simply misleading.
8	57.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Petitioners claim that the Compromise Map does not connect districts by transportation links, offering as an example that the Fifth District "stretch[es]
19	Finally, the Petition filed by Petitioners does not include "[t]he legislatively adopted
20	reapportionment plan that is being challenged," as required by section 1(5)(b) of SB 259, nor does
21	it propose an alternative reapportionment plan.
22	59.
23	
24	WHEREFORE, Intervenor-Respondents respectfully request that this Court:
25	1. Find that the legislatively adopted reapportionment plan of SB 881 complies with
26	all applicable statutes and the state and federal constitutions;

PAGE 20- INTERVENTION PETITION

1	2.	Enter judgment affirming the legislatively adopted reapportionment plan of
2	SB 88	31;
3	3.	Dismiss the Petition that challenges the legislatively adopted reapportionment plan
4	of SB	881;
5	4.	Reject and deny all other relief sought by the Petition and Petitioners;
6	5.	Award Intervenor-Respondents their costs and reasonable attorneys' fees consistent
7	with t	the ruling of the Oregon Supreme Court in Armatta v. Kitzhaber, 327 Or 250, 959 P2d
8	49 (19	998), or, in the alternative, as otherwise allowed by law; and
9	6.	Grant Intervenor-Respondents such further relief as may be appropriate, just, and
10	equita	able. Ref. Reconstitute of the contract of th
11		
12		OCK
13		DACTV.
14		ENOC.
15		
16		
17		TRIENT
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

PAGE 21- INTERVENTION PETITION

1	DATED: October 18, 2021	PERKINS COIE LLP
2		By:/s/Thomas Johnson
3		Thomas R. Johnson, OSB No. 010645
		TRJohnson@perkinscoie.com Misha Isaak, OSB No. 086430
4		MIsaak@perkinscoie.com
5		Jeremy A. Carp, OSB No. 173164
6		JCarp@perkinscoie.com
U		Garmai Gorlorwulu, OSB No. 213731 GGorlorwulu@perkinscoie.com
7		1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor
8		Portland, Oregon 97209-4128
		Telephone: 503.727.2000
9		Facsimile: 503.727.2222
10		ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP
11		Abha Khanna (pro hac vice pending)
12		AKhanna@elias.law
		Jonathan P. Hawley (pro hac vice pending)
13		Hawley@elias.law
14		1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100 Seattle, Washington 98101
15		Telephone: 206.656.0177
13		Facsimile: 206.656.0180
16	RET RIEVED S	
17	EVER	Aria C. Branch (pro hac vice pending) ABranch@elias.law
	E REIV	Jacob D. Shelly (pro hac vice pending)
18	₽ _X	JShelly@elias.law
19		10 G Street NE, Suite 600
20		Washington, D.C. 20002 Telephone: 202.968.4518
20		Facsimile: 202.968.4498
21		
22		Attorneys for Intervenor-Respondents Jeanne Atkins, Susan Church, Nadia Dahab, Jane
23		Squires, Jennifer Lynch, and David Gutterman
24		
25		
26		

PAGE 22- INTERVENTION PETITION

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that I served the foregoin following:	g INTERVENTION PETITION on the	
3		Charry M. Linday	
4	Misha Tseytlin Misha.tseytlin@troutman.com	Shawn M. Lindsay shawn@hbclawyers.com	
4	Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders, LLP	Harris Berne Christensen LLP	
5	227 W. Monroe Street	15350 SW Sequoia Parkway	
_	Suite 3900	Suite 250	
6	Chicago, IL 60606	Portland, OR 97224	
7	Attorneys for Petitioners	Attorneys for Petitioners	
8	Brian Simmonds Marshall		
0	Brian.s.marshall@doj.state.or.us		
9	Sadie Forzley		
10	Sadie.forzley@doj.state.or.us		
	Oregon Department of Justice	Ch.	
11	100 SW Market Street	A.C.	
12	Portland OR, 97201	C.K.	
13	Attorneys for Respondents	G-NOCKET, COM	
	to be sent by the following indicated met	hod or methods, on the date set forth below:	
14	x by sending via the court's electronic i	O iling system	
15	by sending via the court's electronic.	mig system	
	by email		
16	by sending via the court's electronic by email by mail by hand delivery		
17	by hand delivery		
18	by hand derivery		
19			
20	DATED: October 18, 2021	PERKINS COIE LLP	
20		By:/s/Thomas Johnson	
21		Thomas R. Johnson, OSB No. 010645	
22		TRJohnson@perkinscoie.com 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor	
22		Portland, Oregon 97209-4128	
23		Telephone: 503.727.2000	
24		Facsimile: 503.727.2222	
25		Attorneys for Intervenor-Respondents Jeanne	
		Atkins, Susan Church, Nadia Dahab, Jane	
26		Squires, Jennifer Lynch, and David Gutterman	
PAGE	1- CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	Perkins Coie LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor Portland, Oregon 97209-4128 Phone: 503.727.2000 Fax: 503.727.2222	