

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

THE OHIO ORGANIZING	:	Case No. 2021-1210
COLLABORATIVE, <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
	:	APPORTIONMENT CASE
<i>Relators,</i>	:	
v.	:	Filed pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.03(A)
	:	and section 9 of Article XI of the Ohio
OHIO REDISTRICTING	:	Constitution to challenge a plan of
COMMISSION, <i>et al.</i> ,	:	apportionment promulgated pursuant to
	:	Article XI.
	:	
<i>Respondents.</i>	:	
	:	

RELATORS' MOTION FOR SCHEDULING ORDER

Peter M. Ellis (Ohio Bar No. 0070264)
Counsel of Record
M. Patrick Yingling*
Natalie R. Salazar*
REED SMITH LLP
10 South Wacker Drive, 40th Floor
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (312) 207-1000
Fax: (312) 207-6400
pellis@reedsmith.com

Dave Yost (0056290)
OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL
30 E. Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215
Tel: (614) 466-2872
Fax: (614) 728-7592

Counsel for Respondents

Brian A. Sutherland (PHV 25406-2021)*
REED SMITH LLP
101 Second Street, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 543-8700
Fax: (415) 391-8269
bsutherland@reedsmith.com

Ben R. Fliegel*
REED SMITH LLP
355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel: (213) 457-8000
Fax: (213) 457-8080
bfliegel@reedsmith.com

Continued on next page

Alicia L. Bannon (PHV 25409-2021)*

Yurij Rudensky (PHV 25422-2021)*

Michael Li (PHV 25430-2021)*

Ethan Herenstein*

BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE

AT NYU SCHOOL OF LAW

120 Broadway, Suite 1750

New York, NY 10271

Tel: (646) 292-8310

Fax: (212) 463-7308

alicia.bannon@nyu.edu

Attorneys for Relators

**Pro Hac Vice Motion Forthcoming*

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

RELATORS' MOTION FOR SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.03(B), relators the Ohio Organizing Collaborative *et al.* hereby move this Court to adopt the schedule proposed by relators in *League of Women Voters of Ohio v. Ohio Redistricting Commission*, No. 2021-1193, as the scheduling order governing the proceedings in this apportionment case (with one exception for relators' service of document requests and interrogatories). This apportionment case and the apportionment case docketed as case number 2021-1193 are based on the same operative set of facts and the relators in both cases challenge as unconstitutional the General Assembly district plan adopted by the Ohio Redistricting Commission. Relators in this apportionment case expect to seek substantially the same discovery as relators in case number 2021-1193, such that respondents' production of documents, responses to interrogatories, and deposition testimony will be equally responsive to discovery propounded by relators in this case. Thus, these cases should share a common schedule to serve the interests of efficiency and to obtain a ruling from this Court in advance of applicable candidate filing deadlines.

Accordingly, and for the additional reasons stated in the League of Women Voters' motion for scheduling order, and based on the allegations of relators in this apportionment case and supporting affidavits, relators the Ohio Organizing Collaborative *et al.* respectfully request that the Court enter the following scheduling order in this case.

DEADLINE	DESCRIPTION
September 28	Service of Relators' document requests and interrogatories
October 1	Relators' expert disclosures
October 8	Production by Respondents of all documents and interrogatory responses
October 15	Respondents' expert disclosures
October 22	Relators' rebuttal expert disclosures
October 29	Discovery cutoff (including completion of all depositions)
November 5	Concurrent submission of evidence by all parties
November 10	Relators' merits brief
November 17	Respondents' merits brief, evidentiary objections, including in limine and/or <i>Daubert</i> motions
November 17	Relators' evidentiary objections, including in limine and/or <i>Daubert</i> motions
November 24	Relators' reply brief
November 24	Relators' responses to Respondents' evidentiary objections, including in limine and/or <i>Daubert</i> motions
November 24	Respondents' responses to Relators' evidentiary objections (including in limine motions and/or <i>Daubert</i> motions)

Relators in this case are available for oral argument at the Court's convenience. Relators' proposed schedule assumes that filing and service shall be electronic and that all dates are calculated through 11:59 p.m. ET.

Dated: September 27, 2021

Alicia L. Bannon (PHV 25409-2021)*
Yurij Rudensky (PHV 25422-2021)*
Michael Li (PHV 25430-2021)*
Ethan Herenstein*
BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE
AT NYU SCHOOL OF LAW
120 Broadway, Suite 1750
New York, NY 10271
Tel: (646) 292-8310
Fax: (212) 463-7308
alicia.bannon@nyu.edu

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Peter M. Ellis
Peter M. Ellis (Ohio Bar No. 0070264)
Counsel of Record
M. Patrick Yingling*
Natalie R. Salazar*
REED SMITH LLP
10 South Wacker Drive, 40th Floor
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (312) 207-1000
Fax: (312) 207-6400
pellis@reedsmith.com

Brian A. Sutherland (PHV 25406-2021)*
REED SMITH LLP
101 Second Street, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 543-8700
Fax: (415) 391-8269
bsutherland@reedsmith.com

Ben R. Fliegel*
REED SMITH LLP
355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel: (213) 457-8000
Fax: (213) 457-8080
bfliegel@reedsmith.com

Attorneys for Relators

**Pro Hac Vice Motion Forthcoming*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing was served via email on September 27, 2021 upon the following:

Office of the Ohio Attorney General
Bridget Coontz
Section Chief, Constitutional Offices Section
Bridget.Coontz@OhioAttorneyGeneral.gov

Michael Grodhaus
Legal Counsel Ohio Secretary of State
mgrodhaus@OhioSOS.Gov

Matthew Donahue
Legal Counsel Governor's Office
matthew.donahue@governor.ohio.gov

Mary DeGenaro
Legal Counsel Auditor's Office
MDeGenaro@ohioauditor.gov

Paul DiSantis
Legal Counsel for the Ohio House of Representatives
Paul.Disantis@ohiohouse.gov

Frank Strigari
Legal Counsel for the Ohio Senate
Frank.Strigari@ohiosenate.gov

/s/ Peter M. Ellis

Peter M. Ellis (Ohio Bar No. 0070264)