

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, <i>et al.</i> ,	§	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	5:21–CV–0844–XR
	§	[Consolidated Cases]
GREGORY W. ABBOTT, <i>et al.</i> ,	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

ORDER ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING CASE

On this date, the Court considered the status of these consolidated cases.

Because this action cannot proceed to the next stage of trial (bearing on Plaintiffs’ claims of intentional discrimination) until the Court issues its findings and conclusions as to the first phase, the Court finds this case is appropriate for administrative closure. *See Mire v. Full Spectrum Lending, Inc.*, 389 F.3d 163, 167 (5th Cir. 2014) (“District courts frequently make use of this device to remove from their pending cases suits which are temporarily active elsewhere (such as before an arbitration panel) or stayed (such as where a bankruptcy is pending). The effect of an administrative closure is no different from a simple stay . . .”).

The Clerk’s office is therefore **DIRECTED** to **ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSE** this case pending further order of the Court. Though administratively closed, this case will still exist on the docket of this Court and may be reopened upon request or on the Court’s own motion. Parties may continue to file motions and documents in the case.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 27th day of September, 2024.



XAVIER RODRIGUEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

RETRIEVEDFROMDEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM