1	Tyler Green*		
2	Cameron T. Norris*		
	Daniel Shapiro*		
3	CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC 1600 Wilson Blvd., Ste. 700		
4	Arlington, VA 22209		
5	(703) 243-9423		
3	tyler@consovoymccarthy.com		
6	cam@consovoymccarthy.com		
7	daniel@consovoymccarthy.com *pro hac vice		
8	W I 1 C A : D N 004700		
9	Kory Langhofer, Ariz. Bar No. 024722 Thomas Basile, Ariz. Bar. No. 031150		
	CTATEODAET DI I C		
10	649 North Fourth Avenue, First Floor	As a second seco	
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85003	Y. Co.	
12	(602) 382-4078	C.Kr.	
	kory@statecraftlaw.com tom@statecraftlaw.com	400	
13	toni@statecraftiaw.com	RACADOCKET COM	
14	Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants		
15	, DEL		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
17			
18	Mi Familia Vota, et al.,	G N 2 21 1422 DW	
.	Plaintiffs,	Case No: 2:21-cv-1423-DWL	
19		INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS'	
20	V.	JOINDER OF CORRECTED	
21	Katie Hobbs, et al.,	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	
,	Defendants.	CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO	
22	Defendants.	DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' AND	
23		INTERVENOR-PLAINTIFFS'	
24		COMPLAINTS UNDER RULES 12(B)(1) AND 12(B)(6)	
25		12(2)(0)	
25 26			
27			

28

Case 2:21-cv-01423-DWL Document 77 Filed 11/26/21 Page 2 of 3

Per this Court's order (Doc. 53), the Republican National Committee and National Republican Senatorial Committee will not file separate motions to dismiss; they instead join parts I.B, III, and IV of the State's corrected motion (Doc. 76). Intervenor-Defendants join the State's motion in lieu of filing an answer to Intervenor-Plaintiffs' complaint. And though Intervenor-Defendants have already answered Plaintiffs' complaint, they should be allowed to join the State's motion to dismiss. See 5C Fed. Prac. & Proc. Civ. §1361 & n.7 (3d ed.) (collecting cases where "federal courts have allowed" post-answer motions to dismiss). Plaintiffs could not be prejudiced because they must respond to the State's motion to dismiss anyway, Intervenor-Defendants raised these same defenses in their answer, and the Rules allow the Court to simply treat Intervenor-Defendants as having raised these defenses under Rules 12(c), 12(i), or 56, rather than Rule 12(b). See Resol. Tr. Corp. v. Holland & Knight, 832 F. Supp. 1528, 1529 n.2 (S.D. Fla. 1993); Doty v. United States, 2016 WL 3398579, at *2 (D.N.J. June 15); Green v. Henry Cty. Comm'n, 2020 WL 974388, at *3 & n.4 (M.D. Ala. Feb. 28).

1	Respectfully submitted this 26th day of November, 2021.	
2		
3	<u>By: /s/ Tyler Green</u>	
4	Tyler Green*	
	Cameron T. Norris*	
5	Daniel Shapiro* Consovoy McCarthy PLLC	
6	1600 Wilson Blvd., Ste. 700	
7	Arlington, VA 22209	
8	(703) 243-9423	
	tyler@consovoymccarthy.com cam@consovoymccarthy.com	
9	daniel@consovoymccarthy.com	
10	*pro hac vice	
11	W I A C A C D N 024722	
12	Kory Langhofer, Ariz. Bar No. 024722 Thomas Basile, Ariz. Bar. No. 031150	
	STATECRAFT PLLC	
13	649 North Fourth Avenue, First Floor	
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85003	
15	(602) 382-4078 kory@statecraftlaw.com	
16	tom@statecraftlaw.com	
17	Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants	
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85003 (602) 382-4078 kory@statecraftlaw.com tom@statecraftlaw.com Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants	
19		
20	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
21	I e-filed this document on November 26, 2021, which emailed everyone requiring	
22		
23	notice.	
	/s/ Tyler Green	
24	Attorney for Intervenor-Defendants	
25		
26		
27		
28		