

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

LISA HUNTER, JACOB ZABEL, JENNIFER OH, JOHN  
PERSA, GERALDINE SCHERTZ, and KATHLEEN  
QUALHEIM,

Plaintiffs,

and

21-cv-512-jdp-ajs-ec

BILLIE JOHNSON, ERIC O'KEEFE, ED PERKINS, and  
RONALD ZAHN,

Intervenor-Plaintiffs,

v.

MARGE BOSTELMANN, JULIE M. GLANCEY, ANN  
S. JACOBS, DEAN KNUDSON, ROBERT F.  
SPINDELL, JR., and MARK L. THOMSEN, in their  
official capacities as members of the Wisconsin Elections  
Commission,

Defendants,

and

WISCONSIN LEGISLATURE,

Intervenor-Defendant,

and

CONGRESSMEN GLENN GROTHMAN, MIKE  
GALLAGHER, BRYAN STEIL, TOM TIFFANY, and  
SCOTT FITZGERALD,

Intervenor-Defendants,

and

GOVERNOR TONY EVERS

Intervenor-Defendant.

BLACK LEADERS ORGANIZING FOR  
COMMUNITIES, VOCES DE LA FRONTERA, the  
LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF WISCONSIN,  
CINDY FALLONA, LAUREN STEPHENSON,  
REBECCA ALWIN, HELEN HARRIS, WOODROW  
WILSON CAIN, II, NINA CAIN, TRACIE Y. HORTON,  
PASTOR SEAN TATUM, MELODY MCCURTIS,  
BARBARA TOLES, and EDWARD WADE, JR.,

21-cv-534-jdp-ajs-ec

Plaintiffs,

v.

ROBERT F. SPINDELL, JR., MARK L. THOMSEN,  
DEAN KNUDSON, ANN S. JACOBS, JULIE M.  
GLANCEY, MARGE BOSTELMANN, in their official  
capacity as members of the Wisconsin Elections  
Commission, MEAGAN WOLFE, in her official capacity  
as the Administrator of the Wisconsin Elections  
Commission,

Defendants.

---

**BLOC PLAINTIFFS' UPDATED POSITION ON DISMISSAL**

---

On March 21, this Court declined to dismiss these consolidated cases due to the ongoing attempts to appeal the Wisconsin Supreme Court's March 3, 2022, Opinion and Order apportioning new state legislative and congressional districts following the 2020 Census. Dkt. 127.<sup>1</sup> The United States Supreme Court has now acted, *Wis. Legislature, et al. v. Wis. Elections Comm'n, et al.*, 595 U.S. \_\_\_ at 2 (2022) (per curiam), reversing and remanding the state legislative maps adopted by the Wisconsin Supreme Court to remedy the unconstitutional malapportionment of those districts. Because of this reversal, BLOC Plaintiffs' malapportionment claims pending before this Court remain unresolved.<sup>2</sup> Dismissal at this time would therefore be inappropriate.

It is now nearly a month past the deadline the Wisconsin Elections Commission (WEC) identified at the outset of this litigation for new maps to be finalized. Dkt. 41 at 2. The U.S. Supreme Court has instructed that time remains for the Wisconsin Supreme Court to resolve the issues identified and once again adopt state legislative maps ahead of the looming elections. *Wis. Legislature*, 595 U.S. \_\_\_ at 2. While the Wisconsin Supreme Court may act with necessary

---

<sup>1</sup> All citations to the docket refer to entries for Case No. 21-cv-512 unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>2</sup> BLOC Plaintiffs do not assert claims related to Wisconsin's congressional maps in this litigation, and therefore take no position on dismissal with regard to those claims.

expediency, the current state legislative maps are *equally malapportioned as at the outset of this litigation*, and just as constitutionally infirm. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has not yet indicated how it will proceed on remand, and the statutory date for the commencement of the collection of nomination signatures for candidates seeking election in state legislative districts is little more than two weeks away. *See* Wis. Stat. §8.15(1) (setting April 15 as the first day for circulation of nomination papers). This Court must, at least for a short time longer, “stand by to draw the maps—should it become necessary.” Dkt. 103.

Further, even if the Wisconsin Supreme Court acts immediately to remedy the malapportionment, these consolidated cases may not be fully resolved. Should the Wisconsin Supreme Court grant the Legislature’s request, Letter Br. of Legislature, March 24, 2022, *Johnson v. Wis. Elections Comm’n*, 21-AP-1450-OA, to now adopt their rejected maps, this would result in the same type of Voting Rights Act Section 2 violations that form the basis of BLOC Plaintiffs’ amended complaint here. Dkt. 74. Once again, action by this Court may be necessary.

The Court need not retain jurisdiction indefinitely. It is possible that the Wisconsin Supreme Court issues a new opinion—adopting Governor Evers’s proposed maps again, or others—in a matter of days or weeks that resolves both the malapportionment and Section 2 claims. But until and unless the now-ongoing malapportionment is resolved by the Wisconsin Supreme Court, dismissing this action would be inappropriate and could prejudice BLOC Plaintiffs’ ability “to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination” of the federal claims they brought in this action nearly seven months ago. Fed. R. Civ. P. 1. Therefore, BLOC Plaintiffs oppose dismissal at this stage and request that this Court set a new date seeking the parties’ positions on dismissal, two weeks from today’s date.

Dated: March 28, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Douglas M. Poland  
Douglas M. Poland, SBN 1055189

Jeffrey A. Mandell, SBN 1100406  
Colin T. Roth, SBN 1103985  
Rachel E. Snyder, SBN 1090427  
Richard A. Manthe, SBN 1099199  
Carly Gerads, SBN 1106808  
STAFFORD ROSENBAUM LLP  
222 West Washington Avenue, Suite 900  
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1784  
dpoland@staffordlaw.com  
jmandell@staffordlaw.com  
croth@staffordlaw.com  
rsnyder@staffordlaw.com  
rmanthe@staffordlaw.com  
cgerads@staffordlaw.com  
608.256.0226

Mel Barnes, SBN 1096012  
LAW FORWARD, INC.  
P.O. Box 326  
Madison, Wisconsin 53703-0326  
mbarnes@lawforward.org  
608.535.9808

Mark P. Gaber  
Christopher Lamar  
Simone Leeper  
CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER  
1101 14th St. NW Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20005  
mgaber@campaignlegalcenter.org  
clamar@campaignlegalcenter.org  
sleeper@campaignlegalcenter.org  
202.736.2200

Annabelle Harless  
CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER  
55 W. Monroe St., Ste. 1925  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
aharless@campaignlegalcenter.org  
202.736.2200

*Attorneys for BLOC Plaintiffs*